

FILE 539

REH - REZ

IDEALS OF A STUDENT

SIR JOSIAH STAMP

ON RESEARCH is the paper published herein which the Principal wanted to refer to.

It is an excellent summary of the "how" to do and not to do "research" work.

(He says that if the thesis "four methods of dish washing" which got a Ph.D.degree

at Chicago had been entitled: The artificial breakdown of adhesion between

triglycerides of stearic, palmitic, oleic or other fatty acids and the glazed surfaces

of vitrified porcelain. A time motion study in modern detergency." it would have

got by Dr. Flexner!

420 Seymour
Vancouver, B.C.
Aug 31/27

My dear Sir Arthur;

I am taking
the privilege of giving
Mr Frank Horsfall, of
Seattle a letter of intro-
duction to you. He is
planning on attending
McGill this fall, and
as he has few acquaintances
in Montreal anything
you may be able to
do for him will be
appreciated. He just
recently graduated
from the Washington U.
and intends to specialize
in medicine.

Sorry opportunity
did not permit me to

see you while ^{he} but
trust both yourself
and family had an
enjoyable visit to
G.C.

I may say that
Horsfall is the son
of Dr Frank A. Horsfall
an eminent surgeon
of Seattle and stands
high at the Wash. U.
is also a close personal
friend of my son
who will graduate
next year.

Kindest per-
sonal regards to
yourself and family
I am yours sincerely
W.C. Reid

September 13th, 1927.

D. C. Reid, Esq.,
420 Seymour Street,
Vancouver, B. C.

My dear Mr. Reid:-

I have your note of August 31st which was received by me in Vancouver. I tried to get in touch with you but failed. I wonder if you are the Mr. D.C. Reid whom I knew so well in Victoria. If so, please accept my very best wishes and also give Mrs. Reid my kindest remembrances.

Regarding Mr. Horsfall, I shall be glad to see him when he comes to McGill. I happen to know his father, Dr. Frank Horsfall, who is an old McGill graduate and who was here at the time of the Reunion last year.

Yours faithfully,

DOCKET STARTS:

REID, COLONEL G. ERIC

February 14th, 1925.

Colonel G. Eric Reid, D.S.O.,
London, Ont.

My dear Colonel:-

I was sorry to read in the McGill Daily that you had resigned from the Rules Committee.

I know that last Saturday night you were a bit hot about the way in which the Toronto representative had acted at your meeting, but I did not know at that time you were so incensed as to lead you to resign. I remember saying that, personally, I was not in favour of the forward pass. I hope, Eric, that that statement of mine had nothing to do in determining you to resign from the Committee.

Let me, on behalf of McGill, thank you for the good services you have rendered your Alma Mater, not only while serving on the Rules Committee, but also in every way open to you. If all our graduates were as enthusiastic in their support as you things would be much better all round.

With all good wishes, I am,

Yours faithfully,

REID BROS. & CO., LIMITED

Wholesale
Stationery

Printing - Ruling - Bookbinding
Paper Boxes

Wrapping
Paper, Etc.

...

LONDON, ONT., February 16, 192⁵.

Sir A.W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B.
McGill University,
Montreal, Que.

Dear Sir :

Thank you very much for your letter of Saturday and I want to tell you that I appreciate your thoughtfulness in writing to me on this subject.

I would like to explain to you the reasons I had for resigning from the Rules Commission so that you will see that your remark to me did not have any bearing on my action. The Rules Commission met in Montreal in December and it was the unanimous opinion of those present at the meeting that in the football game of to-day, the defence is so much stronger than the offence, that football games are won by taking advantage of mistakes made, rather than being earned by one team being superior to another. With this in view the following suggestions were made for strengthening the offence :

Firstly: Forward pass.

Secondly: Limiting the position where players on each team might stand.

Thirdly : Increasing the interference zone from three yards to five yards.

The forward pass was not considered at that time as none of us were very favorable toward it. The second suggestion was turned down as impracticable and most of the morning was spent discussing the third suggestion which seemed to be the only logical way to open up the game.

The meeting adjourned for luncheon and when we re-assembled, much to our surprise, Mr Foulds of Toronto and Mr. Malcolm of Queens suggested that we adopt the forward pass in some modified form that could be adopted to our Canadian rules. This matter was discussed at length and the meeting was adjourned for two months and a sub-committee was appointed to draw up rules and submit them at the next meeting. Mr. Foulds, Mr. Malcolm and myself were appointed

REID BROS. & CO., LIMITED

Wholesale
Stationery

Printing - Ruling - Bookbinding
Paper Boxes

Wrapping
Paper, Etc.

..:

LONDON, ONT., 192

to draw up these rules and the meeting was called at Toronto two weeks ago. Mr. Malcolm was unable to attend, Mr. Foulds and I drew up these rules. In order to avoid any trouble I made no suggestions at that meeting but let Mr. Foulds draw up the rules entirely by himself. This statement can be borne out by Mr. Forbes who sent me recommendations from the McGill Football Club, none of which were adopted by Mr. Foulds and the rules which we submitted at the meeting last Saturday were entirely different to anything suggested by the McGill Football Club.

The adjourned meeting met again in Montreal last Saturday and went over the rules which the subcommittee submitted to them and there were no criticisms of these rules. Before any further action was taken Mr. Malcolm moved that we do not accept the forward pass, basing his arguments on the fact that the crowd would not like it and would not attend the games. When the matter came to a vote Mr. Foulds and Mr. Malcolm both voted against the introduction of the forward pass and the play was thrown out as two-thirds majority is necessary before any new rules can be adopted and the vote stood five to four in favor of the pass.

Then I proceeded to tell these two gentlemen what I thought of them, making fools out of all members of the commission by making them attend two meetings where one would have done and in my own case having me go down to Montreal twice and Toronto once for no apparent reason. I also pointed out that ~~both~~ ^{each} universities were put to considerable expense by these tactics and that I for one could never sit on any commission with these two so-called gentlemen.

On explaining the whole thing that afternoon to Jack Maynard who was the other Toronto representative, but who had not been present at the December meeting, he agreed with me that the spirit prevailing amongst the members of the commission was not what it should be and thought for the good of the thing the personnel should be changed. He assured me that he would demand that Mr. Foulds resign and I thought the least I could do in that case was to get out also and

REID BROS. & CO., LIMITED

Wholesale
StationeryPrinting - Ruling - Bookbinding
Paper BoxesWrapping
Paper, Etc.

..

LONDON, ONT., 192

let a fresh group of more recent graduates get together and do as they saw fit. Mr. Forbes knows all these facts I have stated and I must admit I was surprised to see in the McGill Daily that my resignation was reported in such a manner as to lead people to think that I had submitted my resignation purely and simply because a forward pass had not gone through.

Yours Sincerely,

Eric Reid.

I hope, sir, that you approve of my action and think, with me, that it was the only course open to me.

J.C.R.

ACTING

Professor W.H.Brittain

30th October 1937

Dear Colonel Reid,

It is very kind of you to invite me to the Annual Dinner of the McGill Society of Ontario and I would have enjoyed the occasion very much. Unfortunately, however, it is not possible for me to be in Toronto on the 6th November, as I have an important college function scheduled for that day.

I hope you will all have a very pleasant gathering.

Yours sincerely,

W.H.BRITTAIN
Acting Principal

Colonel G.Eric Reid,
Reid Bros. & Co. Limited,
LONDON. ONTARIO.

G. ERIC REID

London, Ontario
October 28, 1937

Dr. W. H. Brittain
Acting Principal
McGill University
Montreal, Quebec

Dear Dr. Brittain:

The annual dinner of the McGill Society of Ontario is to be held on November 6th at the Royal York Hotel in Toronto.

The members of the Society would be very pleased indeed, if you could be present with us on that occasion.

It is our custom to entertain the football team and have a few speakers and our election of officers for the coming year, and as this is the only meeting we hold regularly I am sure the members would be delighted to have the pleasure of meeting you if you could attend.

Yours sincerely

G. Eric Reid
President.

GER/AW

Reid Bros & Co. Limited

DOCKET ENDS:

REID, CONOWEN G. ERIC

DOCKET STARTS:

REID, HEWEN R. Y

30th. August, 1919.

Miss Helen E. Y. Reid,
Office of The Canadian Patriotic Fund,
Drummond Building,
Montreal.

Dear Miss Reid:-

I have just received your letter of August 25th. with reference to the library of the late Mr. John Reade.

I have seen no reference to Mr. Reade's library in Mr. Gould's papers. I will ask Mr. Francis McLennan, who is on the Library Committee if he will look through Mr. Reade's library as you suggest. Mr. McLennan, however, is out of town at present, but will be back about September 10th. I hope this will not be too late.

With best wishes, I remain

Yours very sincerely

F D G

Acting Principal.

August
Thirteenth
1920.

Miss Helen R.Y. Reid,
Room 317, Drummond Building,
Montreal.

Dear Miss Reid:-

I thank you very much for your letter of the 6th instant in which you were kind enough to offer me a welcome on my coming to Montreal to take up my work as Principal of McGill University.

Everyone has been most kind and the many assurances of loyal support and co-operation have encouraged me very much. I fully realize the many responsibilities attached to the position and I shall try hard not to fail.

I am much interested in the School for Graduate Nurses, and I hope its success is all that we can wish for. I shall be glad, indeed, to discuss this matter further with you when we have an opportunity.

Most cordially reciprocating your good wishes, I am,

Ever yours faithfully,

Principal.

199
November
Third
1921.

Miss Reid,
698 Sherbrooke St. West,
Montreal.

Dear Miss Reid:-

Thank you for sending me the
clipping from "The Labour Gazette".

While there is nothing in existence
at McGill of so elaborate a nature as this implies,
we have, during the past few years, been sending
our Commerce students to the different manufacturing
establishments in the city, in order that they may
have an opportunity to examine at first hand
business organization, factory equipment, methods
and manufacturing processes. It is not thought
possible to extend that very much at the present
time.

Yours faithfully,

Principal.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER

From Our Readers

McGill And The Public.

To the Editor of The Gazette:

Sir,—Now that McGill's Centennial Reunion is over, it may be permissible to make some comments on the occasion.

It, no doubt, was a great success to the participants, exceeding, I believe, the expectations of those who planned it, but how many of the general public took any interest in the proceedings, and why were they not encouraged to do so?

H. E. Sir Auckland Geddes in his impressive address to the Canadian Club (the only function during McGill Week in which those outside the university set took any part, and this not by invitation or co-operation from McGill; though the Ambassador's presence in Montreal was, of course, owing to the McGill celebration) said the Centennial was an event of great import in the life of the city, which is true, but how were the citizens, the ordinary people, to know it? Sir Auckland emphasized the benefit of educating the people, but the educators of McGill showed no inclination to exercise such public spirit.

It seems to me that the Reunion was a great opportunity lost to enlist the public good-will towards McGill, but it would appear to the ordinary observer that McGill prefers to remain aloof. Montreal is deplorably lacking in public spirit; but when an occasion arises to create the interest and enlist the co-operation of the people those who should know let it pass.

Such a celebration in any other city would, I feel sure, be made the occasion for a general rejoicing; the city officials would be invited to participate, or would volunteer their co-operation, and many outward signs that an event of importance was happening in the community would be displayed.

McGill did not even display a "Welcome" sign at the gates of the university, or hang out an extra flag as a symbol of what was going on. I am not a flag waver; and do not approve of ostentatious display, but if McGill had let the public know that she was celebrating, the man in the street might have felt more warmly disposed to her. The visiting guests, graduates of McGill, who, I am told, had a "wonderful time," should have been made conscious that they were reunited in an event which really was of importance to everybody in Montreal, not merely in a McGill affair, which is, I am pretty sure, the feeling the majority had while they were here, and went away with.

If a dignified public procession, not a garden party or pageants held inside the grounds of the university, nor again, an undergraduate parade, but something symbolic of the development of McGill and the growth of the city had been planned, with the assistance and co-operation of the city officials and the best commercial interests of the community, it would, I believe, have done McGill a lot of good. Surely it would have helped the university if the mayor or other civic authorities had been invited to the official McGill banquet, if not to the special convocation.

I am convinced that there are many ways by which both the advancement of McGill and the interests of Montreal would have benefited if fitting opportunity had been taken of the Centennial by the university to make it an occasion of general celebration.

I am, etc.,

ROBERT ANDERSON.

Montreal, October 22, 1921.

177
698, Sherbrooke Street, W.,
Montreal.
27th. October 1921.

Sir Arthur Currie,
Principal,
McGill University,
Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur-

You will perhaps be appalled to hear from me so soon again! I certainly do not mean to pursue you with letters, but justify my present approach on the grounds of our mutual interest in the service the University should do for our city and country.

The enclosed clipping makes the second letter within a few weeks which has appeared in the "Gazette" on the question of McGill and the community. The first one you have doubtless seen. It outlined the need for instruction along the lines of national service, particularly for the new woman voter. Sir Auckland Geddes' address to the Men's Canadian Club followed the same thought. He gave them what I consider a most helpful message, particularly helpful coming from him, whose association with the University is not as intimate as that of the Governing Body and Staff.

The way now seems to have been definitely opened for an expression of opinion from the University itself as to the manner in which it hopes to fulfil the great national purpose for which it was established, I, therefore, trust that something from your pen may appear officially, in the "Gazette" on this question.

My suggestion today, however, was to ask you if you thought it would be wise and timely, under the circumstances, to have, in addition, a series of, say six, articles in the "Gazette" on the Editorial page in the columns which they reserve for special subjects. These articles might come from one socially minded Governor, one or two socially minded Deans of Faculties, and one or two men like Mr. Basil Williams, Mr. Falk and Mr. Hankin, who have, I think, a deep consciousness of the opportunity for service by the University for the community at this time.

If we, as University people, can only bring home to the people some of the lessons of truth and life, it will fill a serious need felt throughout our country and the world in these days of materialism and shallow thinking! Our University would then rightly be

26/10/21.

Sir Arthur Currie-

considered a branch of that "Tree of Life, whose leaves
are for the healing of the nations."

You will, I know, pardon my intrusion and
forgive it on the grounds of zeal for the University and
loyalty to yourself.

Ever cordially yours,

Henry R. R. Reid.

HRJR/R
Encl.

1452 Shubraoke str.

Jan 26th 1931

Dear Professor Stanley-

Enclose a letter from Dr
Limbres (English) and pamph-
let which are self-explana-
tory - After you have read them
and discussed them perhaps
with Mr. Doney may I have them
back, please? Is his artium
going to visit Santiniketan?

Hope so: His Pan Pacific
Conference experience - our
N.C. Educational Conference
with Tagore's address, and
his present Indian trip -
well, I feel sure, quicken
his desire for closer under-
standing and cooperation with
the peoples of the far East -

I was away from Montreal
when Mr. Williams visited
McKell - I wonder if he saw

Governors and of his Macaulay
was among them. Personally
I hope that you may think
it worth while to encourage
Mr. Lumbus to come on here,
but I fully realize that time
- financial - are not opportune.
The friendly picture with some
money - at the present stage
of Kemp's political in India
would, however, be worth a
great deal, particularly.

with our Principal as Canada's
representative at New Delhi!
I should be glad to put Dr. Finlay
up if he comes to Montreal -
I should like to hear from you,
however, before encouraging
him to do so - Thanking
you for your early considera-
tion of this matter I am

Faithfully yours

Wm. R. G. Reid.

JanJanuary 27, 1931.

Dr. Helen Reid,
1452 Sherbrooke Street,
Montreal, P. Q.

Dear Dr. Reid,

This correspondence from Mr. H. G. Timbres is very interesting, but, frankly, I am at something of a loss to know just what you wish to suggest in your own covering letter.

In the Principal's absence nothing, of course, can be said about his intentions or interests - especially as at the present moment his views about Indian matters may be undergoing a revolution. When Mr. Williams was here he had a long interview with Sir Arthur and gave him addresses in India and advice. (By the way, I presume when Mr. Williams speaks of the "Chancellor of McGill" he means the Principal).

You speak also of my personal interests in the matter. As you doubtless know, I have long had an admiration for Tagore and his work in India, as well as for his philosophy, and have plainly stated on more than one occasion; but I am in no position at present to speak for anyone but myself. My notion would be that Dr. Timbres would have a better opening through the Society of Friends in Canada than to come following in the wake of Mr. Williams. But I think these matters must be left to your own good judgment.

I am showing the letter to Colonel Bovey, as you suggest, and will send it back to you without delay.

Yours faithfully,

OWS

DOCKET ENDS:

REID, HELEN R. Y.

IN REPLY REFER TO
FILE NO.

JHC/MC
811.1



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE

Montreal, Canada, October 1, 1931.


Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B.,
Principal and Vice-Chancellor,
McGill University,
Montreal, Canada.

Dear General Currie:

Permit me to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of August 20, 1931, which was not presented until yesterday by Miss May Reid, an applicant for an immigration visa to provide for her readmission to the United States.

As the result of new and favorable evidence as to her resources which Miss Reid was able to submit during her call yesterday, I am much pleased to advise that a visa has been granted her and it is understood that she proceeded to take up her former work in Brooklyn, N.Y., by last night's train.

Sincerely yours,


Wesley Frost,
American Consul General.

October 2nd, 1931.

Wesley Frost, Esq.,
American Consul General,
Montreal, Canada.

Dear Mr. Frost,

Thank you very much for your
courteous letter of October 1st, in which you
tell me that Miss May Reid has been admitted
to the United States.

With kind personal regards,

I am,

Ever yours faithfully,

To the Deans of the Faculties of Arts and Science
Science Division
Medicine
Engineering
Graduate Studies
Law
Agriculture

September 20th
1935

Dear Dean

Coordination of Research

I should like to have an early opportunity of discussing with the Deans of the Faculties named above the possibility of formulating a University policy in the matter of research. Recognizing the valuable work which is being done in many quarters and anxious as I am to do everything to continue and increase support for this purpose from all available sources, I feel the need of gaining a conspectus of the problem as a whole. I am sure you will agree with me that it is only when looked at from the point of view of the University that a proper perspective can be obtained and it is for that reason that I should value the advice of the Deans in surveying the situation.

I suggest that it will be eventually necessary to ask each Faculty to make a somewhat careful report on its present activities and plans but in the meantime it would be helpful to me if we could have a preliminary conversation. I should therefore be glad if the Deans would meet me at four p.m. on October 2nd in my room.

You may desire in the meantime to submit a brief document expressing your views on the subject but my idea is that this meeting should be preliminary and that no bulk of documents should be circulated beforehand.

Yours sincerely,

To the Deans of the Faculties of Arts and Science
Science Division
Medicine
Engineering
Graduate Studies
Law
Agriculture

September 21st,
1935.

Dear Dean

Coordination of Research

I should like to have an early opportunity of discussing with the Deans of the Faculties named above the possibility of formulating a University policy in the matter of research. Recognizing the valuable work which is being done in many quarters and anxious as I am to do everything to continue and increase support for this purpose from all available sources, I feel the need of gaining a conspectus of the problem as a whole. I am sure you will agree with me that it is only when looked at from the point of view of the University that proper perspective can be obtained, and it is for that reason that I should value the advice of the Deans in surveying the situation.

I suggest that it will eventually be necessary to ask each Faculty to make a somewhat careful report on its present activities and plans but in the meantime it would be helpful to me if we could have a preliminary conversation.

I should be glad if the Deans would meet me at four p.m. on October 2nd in my room.

You may desire in the meantime to submit a brief document expressing your views on the subject, but my idea is that this meeting should be preliminary and that no bulk of documents should be circulated beforehand.

Yours sincerely,

Agenda 4.

Consultative Committee, 9th February

Research Associates

Suggest that in future departments should recommend to the Dean and the Dean should recommend to the Principal the appointment of Research Associates, and that the Principal should recommend to the Board of Governors, after seeking approval of Senate.

PRINCIPAL AND VICE-CHANCELLOR
A. E. MORGAN

MCGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL

The Registrar

5th February 1937

Research Associates

Thank you for sending to me Dean Eve's letter of the
12th April, which explains the origin of the present procedure.

I will take the matter up with the Deans.

4th February

1937

The Principal

Research Associates

—



MCGILL UNIVERSITY

April 12, 1934.

Mr. T.H. Matthews,
Registrar,
McGill University.

Dear Mr. Matthews:

In the matter of Research Associates, the word
"Honorary" has now been abolished.

Their names can be recorded in the Announcement.
They will be recommended by the Department concerned,
but they must be approved by the corresponding Divisional
Committee, who will report their names to Faculty.

Yours very truly,

A.S. Eve,
Dean, Graduate Faculty.

Ackd.

16 Apr. 1934

J.H. [unclear]

PRINCIPAL AND VICE-CHANCELLOR
A. E. MORGAN

MCGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL

The Registrar

3rd February 1937

At page 395 the Calendar makes reference to the status of Research Associates, methods of appointment, etc. Could you let me know what is the authority for the procedure laid down there? It seems on the face of it anomalous when the appointment to an office announced in the Calendar is made by no higher authority than a Faculty.



McGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL

RECEIVED
MAY 22 1936

May
Twenty-first
1936.

A.E. Morgan Esq., M.A.,
Principal,
McGill University.

Dear Principal Morgan:

The Registrar has informed me that it is ultra vires to submit nominations for appointment of Research Associateships to the Board of Governors. He points out that such appointments are made by the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research.

I have therefore removed from the list of proposed appointments the names of J.S.A. Bois and E.C. Webster.

There is no mention of the title of Research Associate in the statutes, but the following statement appears on page 383 of the current calendar:

"RESEARCH ASSOCIATES"

"Chairmen of Departments may recommend annually to the appropriate Divisional Committee, the names of research workers not proceeding to a degree, and not on the staff, for the title of 'Research Associate'. After their names have been approved by the Divisional Committee, they will be reported to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research for endorsement. Lists of such Research Associates will be filed in the offices of the Dean, Registrar, and Bursar, and names of Research Associates may appear in the Announcement. Recommendations should be made at the beginning of each session".

I call this matter to your attention as I believe that some revision of the procedure should be made. I cannot quite see why an official University title, which may be published in the Calendar, should be conferred directly by a Faculty without approval by any superior body.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen Stedder".

Bursar

Professor W. D. Woodhead.

21st May 1936

Psychology

I agree to the granting of the title of Research Associate
to Mr. Webster in the Department of Psychology.

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1936



MCGILL UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Arts and Science
Office of the Dean

May 15, 1936.

My dear Principal,

I have received a letter from Professor W.D. Tait recommending that as Mr. Webster may not be re-appointed as Demonstrator in his Department, he should be attached to it as a Research Associate. This will give Webster no official position in the Department and I recommend that Professor Tait's suggestion should be complied with.

Yours sincerely,

Dean

A.E. Morgan, Esq., M.A.,
Principal and Vice-Chancellor.

DOCKET STARTS:

REVIEW OF REVIEWS

CLASS OF SERVICE	SYMBOL
Day Message	
Day Letter	Blue
Night Message	Nite
Night Letter	N L

If none of these three symbols appears after the check (number of words) this is a day message. Otherwise its character is indicated by the symbol appearing after the check.

ORIGINAL OF MESSAGE

Telephoned *See*

CANADIAN NATIONAL TELEGRAM



HEAD OFFICE, TORONTO, ONT.

W. G. BARBER, General Manager

FORM 612
 SECTION
 NION
 TELEGRAPH CO.
 Cable Service
 to all the World
 Money Transferred
 by Telegraph

NA641 56 9 EXTRA

1926 JUN 19 PM 4 51

NEWYORK NY 18 438P

SIR ARTHUR CURRIE

3810 City Carlton

Standard Time

MCGILL UNIVERSITY MONTREAL QUE

COULD YOU KINDLY SUGGEST COMPETENT AND AVAILABLE WRITER WHO WOULD PREPARE FOR REVIEW OF REVIEWS FIVE THOUSAND WORD ARTICLE COVERING IN GENERAL WAY PRESENT ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL CONDITIONS IN PROVINCE OF QUEBEC WITH FACTS REGARDING RESOURCES RECENT PROGRESS AND POLICIES WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE REPLY AT OUR EXPENSE

ALBERT SHAW EDITOR REVIEW OF REVIEWS 55 FIFTH AVE NYC.

Am making inquiries as to availability of writers. when is article required and what is remuneration. G.W. Currie

CLASS OF SERVICE	SYMBOL
Day Message	
Day Letter	Blue
Night Message	Nite
Night Letter	N L

If none of these three symbols appears after the check (number of words) this is a day message. Otherwise its character is indicated by the symbol appearing after the check.

CANADIAN NATIONAL TELEGRAM



HEAD OFFICE, TORONTO, ONT.

W. G. BARBER, GENERAL MANAGER

Exclusive Connection
with
WESTERN UNION
TELEGRAPH CO.
Cable Service
to all the World
Money Transferred
by Telegraph

98 MO MD 9

NEWYORK NY JUNE 22ND 1029AM 1926

OPEN UNTIL MIDNIGHT
PHILLIPS SQUARE BRANCH
533A PHILLIPS SQUARE
LAN. 1253 & 6200

SIR ARTHUR CURRIE

MCGILL UNIVERSITY MONTREAL QUE

TELEGRAM RECEIVED MANY THANKS MAILING LETTER REGARDING PROPOSED
ARTICLE

ALBERT SHAW

11AM

Standard Time

WESTERN UNION

USE OUR DIRECT ALL-CANADA SERVICE TO VANCOUVER, VICTORIA AND BRITISH COLUMBIA POINTS

June 24th, 1926.

Professor Stephen Leacock;
Orillia, Ont.

Dear Dr. Leacock:-

Sir Arthur has received a telegram and letter from the American Review of Reviews asking him to recommend some one who could write a good article on the Province of Quebec. I enclose a copy of the telegram and of the essential portions of the letter.

I wonder if you would be good enough to wire him the name of anyone whom you could confidently recommend for this job. We thought of Hemmen and Carleton Stanley. Naturally the Principal is anxious to get some one on our own staff if at all possible, but it is hardly necessary to point out that the range of information asked for is a very broad one.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Wilfrid Bovey.

June 22, 1926.

General Sir Arthur Currie
McGill University
Montreal, Canada

My dear Sir Arthur:

I have felt some compunctions since sending you a telegram last Friday. It would probably have been better if I had written. But I thought there might be at hand some member of the University faculty --- a professor of economics or political science --- to whom you would ask your secretary to turn over the telegram. I should have hesitated greatly before requesting you to give the matter any of your own time.

Also, when I telegraphed, there had seemed to be some reasons for hastening the preparation of such an article and publishing it in our August number. In that case we should have wanted the copy ready in about two weeks.

I had the August number in mind because I thought the article might interest a good many people who would be making visits to Canada by automobile or otherwise. But, all things considered, the September number will be quite as opportune, and it will give more time for carrying out plans. ~~XX~~

The article might, therefore, be ready for us sometime between the middle of July and the first of August. If it were in hand three or four weeks from now it would give us more time to arrange for illustrations and to submit proofs to the author.

I dislike to bother you with details, but since you are so kind as to be giving me your aid it may save time if I write this letter in such a way that it could be passed on to a writer who might be ready to take the matter up. We should assume the author to be already well prepared and should not expect him to engage in any research. While the article would interest our readers, it should, I think, be of some benefit also to Quebec. I had supposed an honorarium of about \$200 would be suitable.

The writer should glance at recent numbers of the REVIEW OF REVIEWS to see, for example, the article that Professor Carver of Harvard University wrote about New England for our current June number, or that which Mr. Clarence Poe wrote upon the recent development of the South in our number for April, or Mr. Weaver's article on Iowa in the March issue. Looking at these articles would give him a rather good notion of what we have in mind.

For instance, few people in the United States understand the geography of Quebec or have any notion of its area or its natural resources. The article should deal with agriculture and industry, with the characteristics of the people, the progress of the French-speaking inhabitants, and so on. I should of course expect to have the writer use his own initiative in planning the article, and would appreciate having him send me a brief outline. I am greatly indebted to you for your courtesy and would welcome any opportunity that might come to me to express this in some practical way. Believe me, with great regard,

Sincerely, yours,

Wm. Shaw

COPY.

THE AMERICAN

June 22, 1926.

REVIEW

OF

REVIEWS

General Sir Arthur Currie
McGill University,
Montreal, Canada.

My dear Sir Arthur:-

.....

The article might, therefore, be ready for us sometime between the middle of July and the first of August. If it were in hand three or four weeks from now it would give us more time to arrange for illustrations and to submit proofs to the author.

I dislike to bother you with details, but since you are so kind as to be giving me your aid it may save time if I write this letter in such a way that it could be passed on to a writer who might be ready to take the matter up. We should assume the author to be already well prepared and should not expect him to engage in any research. While the article would interest our readers, it should, I think, be of some benefit also to Quebec. I had supposed an honorarium of about \$200. would be suitable.

The writer should glance at recent numbers of the REVIEW of REVIEWS to see, for example, the article that Professor Carver of Harvard University wrote about New England for our current June number, or that which Mr. Clarence Poe wrote upon the recent development of the South in our number for April, or Mr. Weaver's article on Iowa in the March issue. Looking at these articles would give him a rather good notion of what we have in mind.

For instance, few people in the United States understand the geography of Quebec or have any notion of its area or its natural resources. The article should deal with agriculture and industry, with the characteristics of the people, the progress of the French-speaking inhabitants, and so on. I should, of course, expect to have the writer use his own initiative in planning the article, and would appreciate having him send me a brief outline.

COPY.

THE AMERICAN

June 22, 1926.

REVIEW

OF

REVIEWS

General Sir Arthur Currie
McGill University,
Montreal, Canada.

My dear Sir Arthur:-

.....

The article might, therefore, be ready for us sometime between the middle of July and the first of August. If it were in hand three or four weeks from now it would give us more time to arrange for illustrations and to submit proofs to the author.

I dislike to bother you with details, but since you are so kind as to be giving me your aid it may save time if I write this letter in such a way that it could be passed on to a writer who might be ready to take the matter up. We should assume the author to be already well prepared and should not expect him to engage in any research. While the article would interest our readers, it should, I think, be of some benefit also to Quebec. I had supposed an honorarium of about \$200. would be suitable.

The writer should glance at recent numbers of the REVIEW of REVIEWS to see, for example, the article that Professor Carver of Harvard University wrote about New England for our current June number, or that which Mr. Clarence Poe wrote upon the recent development of the South in our number for April, or Mr. Weaver's article on Iowa in the March issue. Looking at these articles would give him a rather good notion of what we have in mind.

For instance, few people in the United States understand the geography of Quebec or have any notion of its area or its natural resources. The article should deal with agriculture and industry, with the characteristics of the people, the progress of the French-speaking inhabitants, and so on. I should, of course, expect to have the writer use his own initiative in planning the article, and would appreciate having him send me a brief outline.

June 28th, 1926.

W. W. Goforth, Esq.,
Mance Apartments,
Cor. Mance & Prince Arthur,
Montreal.

Dear Professor Goforth:-

Some few days ago the Principal was approached by the Editor of the American Review of Reviews asking whether he could recommend a writer capable of producing a good article on the Province of Quebec.

The Principal consulted with Dr. Leacock and he has recommended your name. I shall be very glad if you will call in at the office at your earliest convenience when Mrs. Chesley will let you see all the correspondence. You can then, if you wish, take the matter up direct with the American Review of Reviews. Dr. Leacock says that he is willing to help you and the Principal is sure that with this assistance you will be able to give them a really good article. You will note that the remuneration makes it quite worth while.

Yours faithfully,

July 2nd, 1926.

Albert Shaw, Esq.,
Editor, American Review of Reviews,
55 Fifth Avenue,
New York City.

Dear Mr. Shaw:-

Sir Arthur Currie is at present absent from the city, but at his request I am writing you to say that, after consultation with Dr. Stephen Leacock, Head of the Department of Economics at McGill University, he has asked Professor W. W. Goforth, Assistant Professor in that Department, to write the article on Quebec which you desire.

Professor Goforth has already written an article on Quebec for the Encyclopedia Britannica and is at present engaged in writing one on Industrial Conditions Among Women in the Province, so that he has most of the material necessary already in hand. He has promised to forward to you early next week a plan of the proposed article.

If you wish to communicate with Mr. Goforth mail addressed to him care of the University will be delivered.

Yours faithfully,

Principal's Secretary.

July 6, 1926.

Dear Miss Chesley:

Returning from holidays, I have your note of July 2 this morning. In consulting Dr. Leacock and in arranging with Professor Goforth, Sir Arthur Currie has done precisely what I should have desired, and I am highly appreciative of his kindness. Dr. Leacock is so often away at certain times of the year that I took the liberty to refer the matter of an article to the office of the Principal, feeling sure that it could be attended to in the course of daily routine.

Will you not give my thanks to Sir Arthur Currie? I am writing at once to Professor Goforth.

Believe me, with thanks,

Sincerely yours,

Miss Margaret M. Chesley
Principal's Office
McGill University, Montreal

Albert Shaw

McGILL UNIVERSITY

MONTREAL

FACULTY OF ARTS
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND
POLITICAL SCIENCE

469 Clarke Avenue,
Westmount. P.Q.

August 20th. 1926.

Col. Bovey,
Administration Offices,
McGill University,
Montreal. P.Q.

Dear Col. Bovey,

Due to my temporary change of address, I did not receive your letter re "Review of Reviews" article until to-day.

I have been busily engaged in writing it for some time, and plan to complete it by Tuesday. I have got in touch with the Editor re the outline, with which he seems quite satisfied.

I will send you a duplicate copy of the article before it is actually set up.

Sincerely trusting my work will do credit to you for giving me the opportunity of performing it.—

Wm. Wallace Goforth

Yours faithfully,

WWG/R.

DOCKET ENDS:

REVIEW OF REVIEWS

177
Montreal
Diocesan Theological College

743 UNIVERSITY STREET

October 27th, 1922.

Sir Arthur W. Currie LL.D.,
Principal, McGill University,
M O N T R E A L.

Dear Sir Arthur:-

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter drawing attention to an application from a candidate in India for opportunities of following a course of study here in Montreal.

I have placed the application before our Joint Faculty, and will communicate directly with the candidate later on.

I remain,
Faithfully yours,

Elson J. Rutford

PRINCIPAL.