

1028/85/3

Jan. 1889 (W.V.)

(Montreal Med. J., 1888-89, xvii, 557-58.)

Long Note & Comment (Volume 1  
series was not needed in the Library, 1889)

Jan 1889 CUS417/85.3

For the following, I blame that case of railroad delivery which has evidently given me a most fictitious reputation. A few days ago I received an urgent summons to come at once to an address at the northern part of the town. On arrival I found my confrère looking very tired and distressed after an anxious night with a primipara. He greeted me with the information that one child had been born but that he did not know what to do about the second, as he believed there was hour-glass contraction of the uterus. I assured him that our course of action was clear; we sent at once for an obstetrician. I had difficulty in convincing him that I was not professor of the art, but he persisted that my reputation was associated in some way with obstetrics, and brought up that north-west case! What I have suffered on account of that baby! To be jeered at by the French Journals, to be called by the editor of the Medical Record a narrator of funny stories, to be referred to by my friends as Munchausen - these things have been hard to bear, but on the strength of that case to develop a consulting practice in obstetrics, is indeed a warning. Hereafter I shall stick to my last.

William Osler

This would be the "Principle of the 'after-dinner speech'" referred to by Cattell in "Hereditarian Clinic", no. 40, vol. 3,  
pp. 220-6.

b.w.f. 1951.

Copies sent to Rushmore & Estaire, both of whom  
are writing a book on Obstetrics?