

Basky 5 May 1829

Fuente de Honor

My dear Yarrowage -

In consequence of Colonel D'Aquila having declined accepting the appointment of a Justice Magistrate and the difficulty appearing considerable in removing him from his present situation, I have had some conversation with my Father on the subject - He has now given his consent (unless there is a prospect of something else offering) to my accepting the Consulgeneralship in Europe -

But at the same time Mr Pitt wishes you would explain this to the Duke of Wellington, He is anxious the Duke should also know, ~~that~~ He would rather (without reference to his other objections to the Consulgeneralship) <sup>that</sup> I was kept in England by some employment, which coupled with My Pension of 500 £ a year, ~~and my salary as a Magistrate~~, would make me comfortable in this Country -

most truly Yours

Wm Pitt Rivers

Private and  
Confidential

J. Henry Hargrave M.B.  
White Hall Place

London.



Private.

Amherst, 7<sup>th</sup> May. 1829

My dear Harriette

I write to you very sorry  
that Lady Harriette and  
your good Daughters are coming  
to Plymouth. - She will offend  
you of her accounts, and I  
wonder where they may happen  
bringing her near to you, that  
you will be kind enough  
to go and see her.

Her child is now ten years  
old and both her Education  
and her Constitution (I understand)  
the latter (now under it)  
absolutely perfect. She will  
read so much. - Sincerely  
yours

I shall be  
Loving Harriette M.D.

D<sup>r</sup> Warren

that her mother started  
accounting her. They will  
in a day or two, and I expect  
a fearful boy, which nothing  
but the best is a party,  
could insure me tomorrow.

The troops here are healthy  
now, - and all is going  
right in the morning.

I am well, which I know  
you will be glad to hear.

God bless you! I am  
your faithful servant  
and friend.

Wm. H. L.

Toad City Key Lomax  
9<sup>th</sup> May 1825

Returning Mr.  
Harrison's opinion.

---

Dear Edward

May 9. 1825

My dear Harding

I return Mr. Harrison's  
opinion on the cases you  
asked him. I have  
returned a copy of it.

I should like to have a  
little conversation with  
you on the subject of  
some refractory Courts  
The Right Hon<sup>ble</sup> Sir L<sup>t</sup> Harding  
K<sup>t</sup>

who will neither buy nor  
sell -

You are quite right to gag the  
the Officers, who retire on  
receiving a commutation,  
in such terms as shall not  
imply that they are  
parties to the arrangements.

Yours very faithfully  
Richard Linnell

to the condition of our Colonies, both  
financial and otherwise, as their  
distance and the diversity of their  
modes of Government will allow; and  
that there would be no difficulty, if  
it were thought advisable, for the  
Secretary of State for the Colonies to lay  
before Parliament, annually, a detailed  
Statement of the Colonial Expenditure,  
with an Estimate of the Sums  
required for the current service of  
the year, in the manner pursued

Private and Confidential

Downing Street  
12. May 1829

My Dear Knollys

I transmit for your private use  
a Document of the state of our Colonial  
Finances which has been drawn up  
with considerable care under my  
directions in this Department.

My first object in thus putting  
together a more connected statement

of the financial condition of our Colonies than has hitherto been exhibited, was to demonstrate the fallacy of those arguments which have been advanced by certain Political Economists and others in regard to the value to this Country of her Colonial Possessions, and to prove that, even if they were not essential to the greatness of her Empire, as outworks of her power, and as depots for the encouragement of her Commerce and Navigation, their

abandonment would not, as a measure of economy, occasion that relief to the finances of the Mother Country which has been maintained by those who are imperfectly informed on the subject.

The next object I had in view was to shew (although this had been satisfactorily proved to the Finance Committee of last year) that the Colonial Offices ~~was~~ in possession of as ample information in regard



by the other Departments of the  
Public Service.

Where so large and complicated  
a statement is concerned, it is hardly  
possible to suppose that occasional  
inaccuracies have been altogether  
avoided in the Document which I  
enclose, but I feel assured that they  
will be found to be few and unim-  
portant. It has always been a  
matter of difficulty to procure from  
the Colonial Legislatures correct  
Returns of their Establishments and

Expenditure, and I have not even  
succeeded in obtaining all the  
information which I desired from some  
of the Public Departments of Governments  
at home.

I hope to be able to send you  
in a few days a Map, which has  
been drawn up by my directions  
in this Department, of the Colonial  
Possessions belonging to the different  
Powers of Europe, with some data  
respecting them, which, altho they

may not exactly agree with the results  
given in the Financial Statement  
which I now transmit, are neverthe-  
less drawn from official documents.

Yr very truly  
Albany

Right Hon<sup>ble</sup>  
Sir A. B. Admiral

The Papers you sent  
me, when I go back  
to Chatham. In the  
mean time I have  
been occupied by  
Committees in  
London

I remain  
my dear Sir Henry  
Yours faithfully  
O. Hawley

London the 22<sup>d</sup> May  
1829

My dear Sir Henry  
I beg to apologize  
for not having <sup>sooner</sup> answered  
your Communication  
respecting the Contract  
Price of meat being  
too high. It is  
usually, at all the  
Great Military Stations  
at a lower Price, than

good wholesome meat  
ought to fetch, but  
it is always too dear  
in reference to the  
quality actually  
supplied to the Troops.

I have sometimes  
but very rarely  
known the Contract

Price at Chatham to  
be higher than was  
necessary to provide  
good meat. but in  
all cases the  
Commisariat  
Contract meat was  
bad, and ever will  
be so  
Just return

I believe however that the Price  
is less an object of regard to the  
Soldier than the quality of his  
Provisions, and it is evident, on  
every Principle of Justice & Propriety,  
that he ought to have as good a Mess,  
as, for the Money taken from him  
for that Object, can possibly be  
procured —

With respect to Bread, I have already  
had the Honor to represent to you how  
many times during the last half  
Year we were under the necessity  
of rejecting (by a Board of Officers)  
that which was tendered by the Contract  
or's Agent. The Contract Price  
at that time was very high in pro-  
portion to the Market Price, and  
the Agent could probably well afford  
the Expense which fell on him (or  
the Contractor) by these rejections;  
at least he appeared, by the frequency  
of his bad Supplies, very indifferent  
about the consequences —

Portman Street Barracks  
May 26<sup>th</sup> 1819 —

Sir

with reference to the Subject on  
which I have taken the Liberty of  
addressing you before, namely the Mis-  
conduct of the Agents of the Contractor  
in issuing bad Provisions to the Soldiers,  
I now beg leave to state the following  
Facts —

The Meat having been complained of  
by the Men of the Battalion under my  
Command as being bad, & becoming  
hard & dry when cooked, and shrinking  
in Bulk, so as to produce very small  
Messes, although previously to its being  
cooked it could not be rejected accord-  
ing to the Terms of the Contract, I  
thought it necessary to make particular  
Enquiry as to the probable Cause of this  
indifferent Appearance of the Messes,  
and have every reason to believe  
that

To the W<sup>th</sup> House  
The Secretary at War

that the Animals purchased by the Agent are too old, and that he either does not receive a sufficient Price for the Purchase of good Meat, or that he makes an undue Profit at the Expence of the Soldiers.

The Contract Price as regulated by the Treasury is nevertheless sufficiently high, viz  $5\frac{1}{6}$  per lb. but the Truth I believe is, that the Butcher who is the best in the Gradation of Contractors & Sub Contractors does not receive 5<sup>d</sup>.

To prove however to my own Conviction whether the Fault was really in the Quality of the Meat, or in the Cooking, I directed that a Company should be supplied by private Contract; and the Quarter Master found a respectable Butcher willing to furnish Meat at the Rate of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per lb. — the same Rate at which the Hospital

of the Grenadier Guards is now supplied.

The result of this Experiment was so satisfactory to the Men, & the Messes were considered by them to be so much better, that I desired to have two Companies instead of one supplied in that manner; and during the last week four Companies had their Messes furnished by private Contract, and the public Contractor was aware of ~~it~~ <sup>its</sup> being my Intention, <sup>to do so,</sup> and I allowed him to bring Meats during that Week in Pieces, instead of Carcase, that there might be a fair Competition between him & the other Butcher — The effect of this arrangement was, that for the Week in question he brought much better Meat than he had before given to the Regt, & there was little Difference, if any, in the two Supplies; but still the Soldier pays to him  $5\frac{1}{6}$ , and to the other Butcher only  $4\frac{1}{2}$ , making the Difference of a halfpenny in the daily Ration of Meat only.

I believe

Bread, & two different supplies of Meat in the Battalion: the comparative Expence to the Soldiers is shown in the following Table, & in each Instance by private Supply, it was lower than by the Treasury Contract.

Daily Expence of the Ration of  
 $\frac{3}{4}$  lb Meat & 1 lb of Bread.

	Meat	Bread	Total
Supplied by the Public Contractor	$3\frac{7}{8}$	$1\frac{45}{64}$	$5\frac{37}{64}$
Supplied by private Contract, with white Bread at $8\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb Loaf.	$3\frac{3}{8}$	$2\frac{1}{8}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$
By private Contract with Hospital Bread at $8\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb Loaf	Same as the last.		
By private Contract with excellent Bread at $7\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb Loaf.	$3\frac{3}{8}$	$1\frac{7}{8}$	$5\frac{1}{4}$

Allowing therefore that a higher Price were to be paid to the private Butcher for the Pound of Meat, the Soldier would still pay less than at present. — But some of the Soldiers declared that their Mess supplied by the private Contract with the Meat at  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per lb, was worth a shilling a Week more than the Meat of the public Contractor, which costs  $5\frac{1}{6}$  per lb.

I have only to add that I have now put an End to the Arrangement for private Supply, because I understand the Government Contract gives the Contractor (unfortunately) the exclusive Privilege of furnishing Bread & Meat to the Troops — but I revert with great Reluctance to a mode of supplying the Soldier which gives him inferior Provisions at a higher Rate of Expence, and it is needless for me to observe that however little prone the Soldiers are to be dissatisfied with any Arrangements made for them by their own Officers, it is extremely disagreeable to the latter to be unable to apply a Remedy to a real Grievance.

I think there cannot be the slightest Doubt of the Disadvantage of the present System, particularly in London, where a Supply of good Meat may always be obtained — There are at present two or three Tenders from respectable Butchers for supplying it at  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per lb. — I would therefore

Continue

continue to have the Men's Messes  
provided at a cheaper Rate than  
by public Contract, and give them  
superior Bread & the best Meat,  
but the Contract prevents my  
doing this Justice to the Soldiers,  
& I therefore think it right to  
trouble you with this Representation,  
as it cannot be intended that the  
Troops should suffer, in order to  
give an unjust Profit to a few  
Individuals —

Shew the Honour to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

J Woodford

Major General  
Command 2<sup>d</sup> Batt<sup>n</sup>

P.S. The Contract directs that the Meat shall  
be furnished in Carcasses, but we find  
that better Meat is obtained by allowing  
it to be brought in Pieces — by allowing  
in short the Contractor to do that, which  
the Troops themselves can do at a lower  
Rate — viz<sup>t</sup> to purchase the suitable parts  
of Beef & Mutton — from one or more Butchers.

The Price at present is less high in  
Proportion to the Market Price —  
It is  $6\frac{13}{16}$  for the Loaf of 4 Lbs, but  
it is remarkable that the same Baker  
who supplies the Contract Bread at that  
Price, furnished (at his own request)  
some of the Companies which mess'd  
by private Contract with Bread of  
so superior a Quality, that the  
Men desired no better, for the  
Price of  $7\frac{1}{2}$ , being less than three  
farthings in the 4 Lb Loaf dears  
than the Contract Bread, — and adding  
therefore so little to the daily Expence  
of the Nation that the Men mess'd  
by private Contract with the superior  
Meat & superior Bread, were paying  
less than the others who were supplied  
by the public Contract.

For a further Experiment as to  
Bread I directed white Bread to be  
purchased for one Company, and for  
another the Household Bread furnished  
to the Hospital of the Grenadier Guards.

There were therefore four kinds of

Ms. A. 9. 2. 69



justice to the Soldiers, & I therefore think it right to trouble you with this representation, as it cannot be intended that the troops should suffer, in order to give an unjust Profit to a few Individuals.

I have the honor to be

Dear Sir

(Liquid)

J. Woodford, Col.

Major, Grenadier Guards

Comm<sup>d</sup>. 2<sup>d</sup>. Batt<sup>n</sup>.

J. J.

The Contract directs that the Meat shall be furnished in Carcase, but we find that better Meat is obtained by allowing it to be brought in Pieces — by allowing <sup>in short</sup> the Contractor to do that, which the troops themselves can do at a lower Rate — viz<sup>t</sup> to purchase the suitable parts of Beef & Mutton — from one or more Butchers.

Copy

Portman Street Barracks  
May 26<sup>th</sup> 1829.

Sir

With reference to the subject on which I have taken the liberty of addressing you before, namely the misconduct of the Agents of the Contractor in issuing bad provisions to the Soldiers, I now beg leave to state the following facts.

The Meat having been complained of by the Men of the Battalion under my command as being bad, & becoming hard and dry when cooked, and shrinking in bulk, so as to produce very small menses, although previously to its being cooked it could not be rejected according to the terms of the Contract, I thought it necessary to make particular inquiry as to the probable cause of this indifferent appearance of the Menses, and have every reason to believe that the animals purchased by the Agent are too old, and that he either does not receive a sufficient price for the purchase of good meat, or that he makes an undue profit at the expense of the Soldiers.

The Contract Price as regulated by the Treasury is nevertheless sufficiently high, viz<sup>t</sup> 5<sup>d</sup> per lb — but the truth I believe is, that the Butcher who is last in the gradation of Contractors & Sub-Contractors, does not receive 5<sup>d</sup>.

To prove however to my own conviction whether the fault was really in the quality of the Meat, or in the cooking, I directed that a Company should be supplied by private Contract; and the Quartermaster found a respectable Butcher willing to furnish Meat at the rate of 4<sup>½</sup> per lb — the same rate at which the Hospital of the Grenadier Guards is now supplied.

The result of this Experiment was so satisfactory to the Men, and the Menses were considered by them to be so much better, that I desired to have two Companies instead of one supplied in that manner; and during the last week four Companies had their Menses furnished by private Contract, and the public Contractor was aware of its being my intention to do so, and I allowed him to bring Meat during that week

To The Right Hon<sup>ble</sup>  
The Secretary at War.

in pieces instead of Carcase, that their might be a fair competition between him and the other Butcher — The effect of this arrangement was that for the week in question he brought much better Meat than he had before given to the Battalion, and there was little difference, if any, in the two Supplies; but still the Soldier pays to him  $5\frac{1}{2}$ , and to the other Butcher only  $4\frac{1}{2}$ , making the difference of a half penny in the daily ration of Meat only. — I believe however that the Price is less an object of regard to the Soldier than the Quality of his provisions, and it is evident, on every principle of Justice & Propriety, that he ought to have as good a Mef, as for the Money taken from him for that object, can possibly be procured.

With respect to Bread, I have already had the honor to represent to you how many times during the last half year we were under the necessity of rejecting (by a Board of Officers) that which was tendered by the Contractors Agent. — The Contract Price <sup>at that time</sup> was very high in proportion to the Market Price, and the Agent could probably, well afford the Expence which fell on him (or the Contractor) by these rejections: at least he appeared, by the frequency of his bad Supplies, very indifferent about the consequences. — The Price at present is less high in proportion to the Market Price. — It is  $6\frac{1}{16}$  for the loaf of 4 lbs; but it is remarkable that the same Baker who supplies the Contract Bread at that price, furnished (at his own request) some of the Companies which mesped by Private Contract with Bread of so superior a quality, that the Men desired no better, for the price of  $7\frac{1}{2}$  being less than three farthings in the 4 lb loaf dearer than the Contract Bread, — and adding therefore so little to the daily expence of the ration that the Men mesped by private Contract with the superior Meat & superior Bread, were paying less than the others who were supplied by the Public Contract.

For a further experiment as to Bread, I directed white Bread to be purchased for one Company, and for another the household bread furnished to the Hospital of the Grenadier Guards. — There were therefore four kinds of Bread & two different supplies of Meat in the Battalion: the comparative expence to the Soldiers is shown in the following table, and in

each

each instance by private supply, it was lower than by the Treasury Contract.

Daily expence of the ration of  $3\frac{1}{4}$  lb of Meat and 1 lb of bread.

	Meat	Bread	Total
Supplied by the Public Contractor	$3\frac{7}{8}$	$1\frac{45}{64}$	$5\frac{87}{64}$
Supplied by private Contract with white Bread at $8\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb loaf	$4\frac{3}{8}$	$2\frac{1}{8}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$
By private Contract with Hospital Bread at $8\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb loaf	same as the last.		
By private Contract with excellent Bread at $7\frac{1}{2}$ the 4 lb loaf	$3\frac{3}{8}$	$1\frac{7}{8}$	$5\frac{1}{4}$

allowing therefore that a higher Price were to be paid to the private Butcher for the pound of Meat, the Soldier would still pay less than at present — but some of the Soldiers declared that their Mef supplied by the private Contract, with the Meat at  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per lb was worth a shilling a week more than the Mef of the public Contractor, which costs  $5\frac{1}{2}$  per lb.

I have only to add that I have now put an end to the arrangement for private supply, because I understand the Government contract gives the Contractors (unfortunately) the exclusive privilege of furnishing Bread & Meat to the troops. — but I revert with great reluctance to a mode of supplying the Soldier which gives him inferior provisions at a higher rate of Expence, and it is needless for me to observe that however little prone the Soldiers are to be dissatisfied with any arrangements made for them by their own Officers, it is extremely disagreeable to the latter to be unable to apply a Remedy to a real grievance.

I think there cannot be the slightest doubt of the disadvantage of the present system, particularly in London, where a supply of good meat may always be obtained — There are at present two or three Tenders from respectable Butchers for supplying it at  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per lb — I could therefore continue to have the Men's Mefes provided at a cheaper rate than by public Contract, and give them superior Bread & the best Meat; — but the Contract prevents my doing this

Justice

consideration of the Commander  
in Chief, to whom I have  
been referred by Mr. Peel.

Ever very faithfully Yours

P. Wilson

Regent

May 20. 1829

Shewing  
in a very plain

My dear Hardinge,

You will much oblige  
me if you will give me  
for the purpose of shewing  
Lord Hill with whom I  
am to have an Audience  
on Monday next, a written  
Memorandum of the  
observations made by Lord  
Castlereagh to yourself,  
when speaking on the

subject of my Removal  
from the Service, by a  
Ministerial act. He gave  
you reason to understand  
that the measure was  
contemplated as one of a  
temporary, and not of  
a permanent character,  
and this prior to the Discussion  
which took place in the  
House of Commons.

You mentioned the  
conversation to me subsequently  
to that Discussion, and

congratulated me on that  
being the feeling of the  
Government, as it rendered  
the failure of my motion  
less painful to myself  
and Friends.

On various occasions, you  
have since kindly adverted  
to that opinion, and now  
it will be of great importance  
that I should be enabled to  
add it to other Documentary  
Papers which I am about  
to place under the

communicator of what they  
hoped can be of any use,  
to you as showing the late Lord  
Londonderry's impression of  
y<sup>r</sup> case. I have no objection to  
y<sup>r</sup> sending it to the Command  
in Chief & with my best wishes  
for the success of y<sup>r</sup> application  
I remain  
Y<sup>r</sup> Obedt. Servant

(Signed) J. H. Murray

Gen. Robert Wilson

War Office  
30<sup>th</sup> May 1843

My dear Gen. Robert.

In reply to your note  
reminding me of a conversation  
held with you, shortly after  
y<sup>r</sup> removal from the list of  
Genl. Officers, & previous to the  
description of y<sup>r</sup> case, in the  
H. of Commons, I distinctly recollect  
mentioning to you at that  
time my impression that the  
removal would be temporary  
& not permanent - and after

The description in the H. of  
Commons, retained the same  
opinion, & as <sup>a</sup>very old & truly  
friend of yours, desirous of  
rendering your failure less  
painful, I stated this opinion  
to you, & when pressed by you  
to know on what grounds I  
had formed it, I informed  
you that in conversing with  
the late Lord Londonderry  
on the subject, he told me,  
nothing in his opinion could

then be done, the breach of  
discipline having been so  
recently committed, but that he  
should be sorry to view y<sup>r</sup>. removal  
out from the Army as a final  
measure, and as far as my  
recollection serves me, he agreed  
with me, that it would fair-  
ly admit y<sup>r</sup>. restoration to the  
Service, after a proper term  
of punishment that you should  
not apply for permission to sell  
any of y<sup>r</sup>. commissions. If this

Colonel Moore to Sir  
A. W. 12<sup>th</sup> June 1754.

Private

My dear Sir Henry

In replying to you my warmest thanks for  
the fresh proof of your friendship conveyed  
in your note of this day, I beg to reply that  
feeling it my duty not to interfere directly  
with my Father's intentions, it would be most  
pardonable to me to adopt any indirect  
course which would have that effect. I have  
ever met with much favor and protection  
Colonel The Hon. Wm. Mordaunt  
Sir W. Mordaunt

in my professional career, and I am  
satisfied that the same disposition to meet  
my wishes, when practicable, will be continued.

Mean this early morning morning for  
Paris and carry with me the strongest  
sense of your kindness.

Believe me to be

Very Dear Sir Henry

Yours most sincerely

Goulds Hotel

June 12. 1879.

W. Moore



Mr Moore's present address, which I believe he has not given, is rue de Richelieu no 6.  
à Paris -

Private

Goulds Hill, Jermyn Street  
June 12. 1829

My dear Sir Henry

In expressing to you my grateful thanks for your truly friendly  
conduct towards me, I beg to inform you that after mature reflection  
I cannot bring myself to urge my Father to recall a measure  
which has been adopted by him after serious consideration; the more  
so, as I am satisfied that his acceding to my request (which his  
uniform indulgence might lead me to expect) would be most injurious  
to his sentiments - I shall therefore not mention your handsome offer  
to him until the arrangement shall have taken place. When I am  
sure, he will unite with me in feeling the full extent of your  
kindness - Believe me to be,

The Right Honble

Sir Henry Hardinge Bt

Yours most sincerely

W Moore

W Moore 12/6/29 -  
his father resigning £800.  
ann. 12/6 -

(copy)  
Initiate

W.O. 12/6/09 -  
My dear Moore  
Is there any step of bank which  
it is desirable you should obtain  
by purchase? - If so might  
not the acceptance of Mr  
Moore's liberal offer be  
conditional after the  
circumulation

sufficient to pay the difference  
of any such step of bank. -  
Yr. a.  
W.O. Moore

and the charges of insuring my life, in order to provide for my debt, for the education and outfit of my eight sons, and for the future support of my six daughters, leave me a good deal straightened.

I know too well your prudence, and your consideration for my real feelings, to apprehend, that you will permit me to appear as a complainant, or an impatient expectant. I have the most entire confidence in the Duke's kind intentions towards me.

Success — The times seem very strange — confidence appears to be given and withheld on grounds which to ordinary minds are incomprehensible. I hope, for the sake of much higher interests, than regard myself, that nothing will occur, to shake the Duke's power, or disgust him in the exercise of it.

Yours very sincerely  
W. Phillips

Oblige me by burning this letter.

My dear Sir Henry, Stanhope Wolsingham  
15 June 1829

May I trespass on your friendship with a request of information, if you can without inconvenience obtain it, on a matter of importance to my interests, and still more to my feelings?

It was intimated some time ago (but through a channel which I thought beneath attention) that such is the indignation at my supposed apostasy in the highest quarter, that I shall never be permitted to receive any mark of favor from the crown.

I repeat, that when this intimation first reached me, I deemed it utterly contemptible. But recent events, and observations from more than one quarter, make me apprehensive, that there may be more foundation for the former rumour, than I was inclined to think possible: — and I

am tempted to ask your kind assistance, in ascertaining whether such be indeed the truth.

It is not a mere fidgety impatience to learn the probabilities of my future fortunes, which prompts this enquiry, — but a desire of obtaining information, which must decide my plans of life.

If there be no hopes of improved performance, I must adopt such a course of retrenchment, as will proclaim the difficulties in which I find myself. This would, I trust, give me little annoyance, if I alone were concerned. But to make such a disclosure, just at the period when my children are coming into the world, is manifestly imprudent, unless it be necessary, — and necessary it would not be, unless the prospects, which were before me, be no longer likely to be realized. — I will own, too, that, besides this prudential consideration, there is a feeling concerned: — I am unwilling, at the present moment, to give my many enemies, (for many and bitter they are, <sup>recently</sup> become) an opportunity of exulting over me.

Do not, however, imagine my case to be worse

than it is. I am not ruined, nor, with God's Blessing, likely to be ruined. But I am in difficulties, caused, in part, by not duly calculating beforehand the cost of educating a very large family — but chiefly, by compliance with the very reasonable wish of my kind Patron, the late Bishop of Durham, that I should build a House, and make a residence here, commensurate with the value of the living. In executing this plan, I unwittingly, and, I confess, most imprudently, incurred an expense of 12,000, instead of 6000, which was the utmost I had contemplated. The inconvenience, which this "untoward event" produced, ~~nevertheless~~ would have been removed, had it not been for the great and permanent fall of the price of lead, on which my income depends. Matters in this respect are considerably worse, than they were when I was appointed to the Deanery of Chester. — a Deanery which will not produce 150 per Annum, till the year 1832, a sum <sup>or hardly above,</sup> below, the charge of moving my family to and from Chester, coupled with other contingent expenses. — In short, all this,

17 June 1829

Royal Military College  
June 16<sup>th</sup> 1829

*Handwritten signature*

Sir,

I had the honor, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of April last, to reply to so much of your Letter of the 9<sup>th</sup> of that Month, as related to the case of Major Downes, and to the terms and conditions on which Masters are engaged at the Royal Military College.

I have now, in further compliance with the suggestion contained in your Letter of the above date, to lay before you a revised Copy of a Warrant for regulating all matters relative to the Royal Military College.

This is the result of the best attention which I have been able to give to the subject; but as I am very unwilling that you should in any degree have to trust to my individual opinion upon a matter of so much importance to the interests and welfare of this Establishment, I have this day communicated your wishes, and also transmitted another Copy of the revised Warrant, to the Board of Commissioners.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient  
humble servant

*Law. Gaget.*

The Right Hon<sup>ble</sup>  
Sir Henry Hardinge K. B. &c.  
Secretary at War  
War Office

N<sup>o</sup>. 23,260

*Handwritten note: The brought before me 20th July*

*Pressing*

23.260

21

*Handwritten signature*

17/6

Sam 17/6

23.260

21

16/6 '29

*Handwritten signature*

23260

H. R. H.  
Mr. R. J. Lawrence  
regarding Mr. J. J. Lawrence

St. James's,  
June 27: 1813.

Dear Sir,

After the full and satisfactory  
enumeration we had this morning respecting  
my own fears on the subject of his eventually  
becoming the Deputy Assistant Adjutant  
General at the Army General's, I have only to  
request you will bear my reasons here and my  
own in mind and do for my anxious desire to  
the Duke of Wellington for this object of fear.  
At the same time I mention the kind disposition  
of Mr. Harcourt & Taylor I will thank you for  
information of what has this day passed between  
you and me relative to my own who has been

has the friend of my good name,  
I would like to see,

Dear Sir,

Yours truly

A. M. S.

*[Faint, illegible handwriting]*

and manners - He has much more  
his rupture, and it is now no  
inconvenience with his troops -  
altho' he will never be quite well -

He has a pension from the Navy  
for this, of 36 £ per Annum -

If through your kindness  
I could succeed in this appointment,  
it would be a great satisfaction  
to Mrs Mayne & myself -

My eldest son the Capt of the  
99<sup>th</sup> is doing very well, in the Army,  
& is in Ireland - My second is  
in the Royals, in India, and I regret  
to say, he has lately married, al-  
tho' with our concurrence, Miss  
Armstrong, a daughter of Colonel  
Armstrong, of the Royals - It may

Ans. 4/7/04.

Boulney Court  
Wentley on Thames  
June 30<sup>th</sup> 1824

Dear Sir Henry -

My son, Charles Judson  
Mayne (the 3<sup>rd</sup>) was obliged to  
give up the Navy, where he had  
nearly served his time, as a  
Midshipman, from having been  
severely wounded from a Fall  
from the M<sup>rs</sup> Shrouds - He was  
patronised by my friend, Sir  
George Larkins, & would have  
been a Lieut<sup>nt</sup> - could he have  
remained in this profession -  
about 2 years ago, I placed  
him with a Clergyman the

Rd W. Richardson



of D. Scudder's Reading, as he  
showed every disposition, to go  
into the Church, and I had proposed  
him, as I thought, for Oxford -  
and I was to have been  
entered at D. Johns College - This  
last term - but upon taking  
leave to Oxford, I ought to say,  
from having been, so young,  
so many years, in the Navy,  
he had only two years hard study,  
and he was <sup>not</sup> sufficiently grounded,  
in his Greek, & Latin, to pass  
his Examination, at Oxford -  
& the fact turned out, that he  
was so backward, in his studies  
that he

could not be classed at the College  
and in this case my hopes have  
failed me -

In a conversation I had  
with my friend, Mr. Paterson Taylor,  
He observed that he had some  
time since, in the hope of  
getting Charles employed, in  
one of the public offices, spoke  
to you about a Clerkship, in  
the War Office, for him, which  
you had entertained favourably  
I therefore address you, on this  
subject, in the hopes, I may  
get him provided for, in this  
way - He is now between 18  
& 19 years old - a very fine young  
man, & very amiable in his  
conduct

Mrs Henry & George

A. C. B.

de la

de Grosvenor Place

Hyde Park Corner

RECEIVED  
1829



W. NIGHTINGALE  
1. 17  
1829

turn out eventually tolerably  
well, as the Colonel has no  
sons, and has made his  
daughter, a good allowance,  
on their marriage - our ob-  
jection was, his youth, being  
only 21 years of age, & the lady  
only 18 -

I beg you will do me  
the kindness, to excuse my  
writing so long an Epistle.

and believe me, to  
remain Dear Sir Henry

your faithful

Wm H. Hardinge  
de la de la  
KCS  
William Mayne

Stanhope Wolsingham

22 June

My dear Mr King,

When I wrote to you last week, I fully understood, on what appeared to me the best authority, (two Bishops,) that certain arrangements were completed. As this does not appear to be the case, I would now gladly forego seeking the information, till all is settled. I say this, because I should be very sorry, to obtrude any thing like a pretension of mine during a vacancy in the highest order.

Pray forgive my thus troubling you.

Yours always, most sincerely

J. Philpotts

Catholic Era,

Exception of the great ~~injustice~~. It is  
equally admitted in the opinion of all  
that circumscribed as the Government is,  
& as parties stand. Some means of  
Strength, & consolidation must be obtained to  
enable the Administration to proceed successfully  
through another Parliament - If I am wrong  
in either of these Dates, I can only assure you  
I have heard them from men of all parties  
very more, it was affirmed, by one of the  
Cabinet Ministers who mentioned it, as heard  
to Lord Salisbury - "That George could not  
remain as they were" - by ~~admission~~

For the sake of my argument  
however, I will take the two propositions  
& reason them separately -

Suppose the Duke meets Parliament as he at  
present stands - To my own knowledge, there are  
that contend in his own camp, who visit the  
appointments of Scotch Highness, as an evidence

Adelaide House  
July 6 1829

My Dr. Darnley

In reference to our last  
Conversation, I feel it is fair, considering our  
private relations, & political Connection to  
put you in possession, without reserve of my  
sentiments on public affairs at the present  
Crisis - I am the more desirous to make  
some record of my opinions, as I should be  
as sorry to be misunderstood, as to be  
supposed indifferent to those public events  
which considering the situations I have held, the  
Confidence that was once placed in me, and the  
Duke hold in the Country must ever deeply  
interested me, & keep my faculties alive, as long  
as I am capable, of exerting them - Without  
looking however into my private views of  
Ambition, which as yet have been in no

Desire me by the Individual who,  
of all others, I consider as the person  
who would employ me in Public  
Life if I ever was to his employe  
again, I shall at once draw your  
attention to what I consider the State  
of the Country and the State of Parties  
at the close of this Session, when the  
Duke of Buckingham has been 18 Months  
First Minister of the Crown - You will  
remember when at Welton Castle, in July  
1828 - I wrote my apprehensions, that a  
Coalition with the Remnants of the Carnarvon  
Party, would not be productive of permanent  
Benefit - The Duke often regretted to me  
during that Winter's life, his injudicious  
policy, in uniting with the Tail of the Whigs  
& thus destroying Public Confidence in public Men,

The Misfortune of continuing the System  
of Coalition, or breaking up all the parties  
in the Country has led to an Indifference  
which makes only now the object of  
doing mischief <sup>& general dissatisfaction</sup> It is against this  
accumulating evil, which I largely foresee  
that I venture to raise my voice &  
to unfold to you my perceptions -  
Passing over the most proper disposal  
of Rushmore &c. and putting aside all  
that intricate & difficult Management  
which accomplished the great Measure of  
the Catholic Bill, I come at once to the  
present Crisis - It is, I believe, incontrovertible  
that during the ~~present~~ <sup>last</sup> Session, no Measure  
has been effected, nor any leading public  
point debated or adjudged, either in  
Home or Foreign Policy, with the single

He makes his own phalanx, his primary  
consideration - For myself; believe the  
Govt. what the King would fancy as most  
at the disposal, would be found out  
of this party & the King has even looked  
(as I am told) to Lord Melbourne as  
Leader in the Lords, & Mr Palmerston in  
the Commons. - It is however the policy of  
this Junta, not to commit themselves in  
any direct or violent opposition, because  
they think it might widen them from  
the Sovereign, who they flatter themselves  
will call upon them in the first Crisis,  
Their game is to remain in potence,  
They are satisfied of the Duke's Divulge  
They don't see hope of Appropriation,  
& they return the feeling the Govt. display  
towards them with the most secret &  
cordial hate - Palmerston's Displays at  
the close of the Leprosy (wornly calagined, in  
parade, by Baskin) is a specimen of the

Whitell  
alienation from former. Long friends - & a desire  
to favor & not to offend both parties. The  
Incorporation of this part, sacrifices as much force  
as it produces. - The Brunswickers keep aloof. -  
The Whigs & Tories keep in position - And  
the Treasury bench is not occupied by those  
Household Troops, which are indispensably necessary  
in carrying on a Government in the conduct.  
Debates & Divisions of a Parliament - We  
never have yet seen a Minister, without a  
Commanding body, who has successfully struggled  
through the Difficulties which will ever  
surround the Man who is called on to rule  
the Destinies of England, we have never yet  
seen a Minister, who could keep so many  
parties as now present themselves in Check,  
without coming to an Understanding with one  
or other. - If it possible, I would ask, for  
<sup>long</sup> any time, to keep the eager curiosity of all these  
Politicians out of place in suspense? Is it possible

to <sup>preserve</sup> keep all the <sup>new</sup> defiant parties, in  
expectation, & hope; Is it practicable  
to steer the State republic forward, as if  
all Differences of Whig & Tory; all Interests in Opposition  
in different Counties & places; all Announcements of a  
political or private nature, were embarked  
& about to sail in the same Conveyance & to  
the same port. I will admit: - for a

great Measure, & in a portentous Crisis,  
one voyage may thus be made, but  
I doubt greatly, when the ordinary papers &  
the ordinary considerations <sup>run back</sup> are in existence,  
whether, such a State of quies and  
Government could be long successfully  
persecuted in

Behaving in the critical Venture  
of such a course as is above described I  
would rather contemplate the Mode in which  
I consider, the Subs Government might be  
thoroughly & efficiently reinforced to meet those

Storms & those difficult Questions in height  
which are unquestionably in store  
for it - The present parties which seem  
to me to be decided, are 1<sup>st</sup>. The Aristocratic  
or Liberal Tories, there would be the largest  
number of efficient public men, they embrace  
the youth & Talent in the Doct. They have  
a strong hold in the Affections which they  
strongly display for them, especially if they  
join with them that portion of Whigs  
connected with the House of Fox, which  
subordinated Carrington's Gov<sup>t</sup>. - I should say of  
their Crew, that if they had such a  
Partyman, as the D of Cumberland, near the  
King's person, & that D of H. took as much pains  
for them, as he is doing for the Tory adherents  
that 'so long he would establish them, making  
the Ultra Tories join their Standard, but  
the D of H. dislikes the Aristocratic Lib<sup>l</sup>, &  
in rallying what D of H. calls the Whig friends,

Commanding Talents of one Man, I  
consider this party inefficient both in the  
House of Commons & H. of Lords - In our House  
I think Mr. Durham would cut Land down &c  
to go with Lord Grey, but I consider  
what power Lord Grey could bring over  
to join a Government formed upon Tory  
principles & Tory Measures is very  
problematical -

If I have described with any  
accuracy the State of Parties, you must  
now follow me through my Logic,  
on the best means, that presents  
itself, in my opinion, of strengthening  
the Duke's Administration by the  
great Measure carried for Ireland, by  
giving the Duke Peace few with the  
Protestants, & enables him to rally  
them all to his Standard, if he shall

not. I consider that will be opened next  
Sept. from that Quarter - I can hardly  
dismiss my observations on this party without  
referring to that portion of Whigs, that  
joined it in Cumings's reign - There are here  
powerful Interests, Fitzwilliam, Cleveland,  
Spencer &c. - That they could be incorporated  
with Lord Grey in any Coalition he might  
ever form with the Duke's Ent. I can not  
believe - I consider, the Duke in Opposition  
formed a Union of Whigs, - separated from  
all I have noted, - This Union has  
never tied itself on again to the  
Austrian or Land down Party - And  
I am prone to think by good Management  
it might be permanently secured, and  
possibly if so secured, some leading  
Whigs who I Cleveland, would still  
adhere to the strongest Point that was  
presented - I must however pronounce



it to be difficult to calculate on the  
Tail of the Wings, nor can I ever think  
the Duke can get any number of the  
clubs through him, Robhouse, Purcell &  
Wolton to his Quarters, while in the heart  
of his Sovereign, he will decrease in favor  
(or I am grossly mistaken) —

The 2<sup>d</sup> Party in strength & now  
in active operation are the Young Whigs  
the Prince who leads them is as  
intriguing, as he is at times powerful  
in the Cabinet. I am sure — although commonly  
in fault their Ultra's may be depicted in  
treachery & talented. Young — Their  
principles are those of the Mass of the  
well thinking people of England, who  
are alarmed at the strides of Liberalism  
of Democracy. of Free Trade & of

New Jangled Principles — The Queen  
has been sounded of Catholicism and  
Anglicanism, being united, & this Ultra Tory  
Party will do more mischief to the  
Government than any other set. if they  
are not won or subdued — I have seen  
accounts from the North, as well as the  
South, The War Cry of Deceit used  
Opposition has been raised against the Duke  
& Pele — The proof that Ireland is not in  
a better state, while Courtenay's demand  
more certain is forcibly depicted & the ruin  
of all the establishments of the Country with  
the watchword of Revolution is resounded  
by those who headed by the D. M. call  
themselves the King's friends —

The 3<sup>d</sup> Party which I should designate  
as the least strong is that of the Ultra  
Whigs under Lord Grey who found  
us in Opposition — Equal for the

left unmentioned an Opponent, & Goppley &  
Scarlett's appointments under this offer  
would be understood, if it was made. I  
grey simultaneously to the great measure  
of Coalition with the Ministers which  
in my opinion is the blow at once  
to Duke, & that no delay should  
occur in forming a permanent arrangement

This is not a time to stick at trifles  
& appointments abroad as well as at  
home should be made subsidiary to carrying  
on with Parliamentary force the Duke's  
God — There are no very commanding  
Talents, & men without influence or following  
ought not to be promoted to office  
when they can neither assist by their  
extraordinary abilities, their abilities,  
nor their engaging manners  
I have not adhered to any Coalition

of opinion, (which I firmly believe he  
must be soon) that the further measures  
which he promised for Ireland (if the Bill was  
not effectual), will soon be necessary —  
He can well say to the partisans of  
England now — We have been just to the  
utmost extent, they have been ungrateful,  
and now we are called upon to uphold the  
Country, & its Church & its feelings —

If the Duke of W. could take this  
basis, and condescend to strip a veil  
over the late conduct of the Ultra Tories  
to himself & his God — I am firmly of  
opinion by common management, the whole  
of the party would rally around him,  
in a coalition with Lord Eldon & Talbot  
so late as yesterday, <sup>Sunday</sup> the former said, "He could  
not conceive when the Idea had originated  
& yet the Duke of W. had mentioned it.  
That the party whom he had the honor

To act with had decided them —  
The fact was, — no communication had  
been held with them, they were ignorant  
of the System & Measures upon which the  
Gov<sup>ts</sup> were to be conducted, they would gladly  
have no retrospect to the past, they  
wanted not Office or Place, but they  
required Security for those Principles Foreign  
& Domestic for which they had now  
so many Years contended —

So short it was quite  
evident to perceive, if the Duke was  
disposed to Send la Main, with a  
frank & clear understanding with Old  
Utter, this powerful party would be  
reunited, the Difficulties softened &  
the Country get once more into a

Healthful & well understood posture —

It is the most natural course, It  
preserves Consistency best, — The Game that  
has been played may well appear as  
necessary for the Great Catholic Difficulty,  
that being achieved, the Parliament with  
old adherents, to old Principles, to  
the Love of the Country by Dissolution  
if necessary affords, in my humble Judgment  
beyond any other Line, the means of  
Stability and Solidity —

To a direct Objection to Lord Eldon.  
I would combine an Offer to Lord Grey  
& I would make them both feel they  
were essential, & I believe, both under  
the Cover of the Duke's Oyes, if they  
were sufficiently considered, & publicly  
subscribed would in the end yield  
by offering to Lord Grey, if he desired, he would

who to be ~~unassisted~~ so untroubled  
as while I remain capable of exertion.  
(with the interests I have in England &  
Ireland.) I should not be cramped, because  
a Relation or a son has Office, but  
that I should be fairly at liberty to  
make use of the humble means I  
possess, to establish myself hereafter, as  
well as an Aberdeen "Presbyterian" Pop'lar  
I have done, - In which, altho'  
I may fail, I consider I have a full &  
just right to aspire, as certainly by the  
the opinion of a Statesman, (whom we all  
revere.) I was found quite as capable as many  
I see in Cabinet situation now of conducting  
publick business in the highest situation,

I have now discharged my bound with Candor  
and I am sure you will feel it arises from Affection  
to you from whom I should have <sup>now</sup> no <sup>or from publick opinion</sup> ~~concernment~~ as  
well as from that Justice which I owe to myself  
your Yours, Your Son & Son-in-law

with the Aristocracy or the landed party  
first because, I think they are less disposed  
to the Duke & the Duke less inclined to their  
views; I think they are less <sup>capable</sup> ~~disposed~~ of doing  
real mischief, & have less power, than the  
high Tories, - They are generally uniform  
of purpose, & they have several, should be  
chiefs, amongst them, - these have feelings  
work, & divide their Ranks - whereas in  
the Whig, or Greg. party - the understanding  
would be made & the parties governed by  
the 2<sup>d</sup> Men - I have a perfect Relief  
the Duke of Cumberland would be  
displeas'd, and I only wish I had  
in some shape my encouragement to  
bring this consummation to bear. Which is  
so devoutly to be wish'd, #

Having now occupied your time  
sufficiently I shall only say as to my present  
affairs

July 26. - If the Duke continues in  
Office ~~as usual~~ I should be most anxious to see him  
my most cordial support, but if he ~~does not~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~think~~ <sup>de</sup> or ~~up~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~form~~ <sup>de</sup>  
~~however~~ <sup>de</sup> very affection for him  
personally may be undiminished, I ~~shall~~ <sup>do</sup> not  
~~think~~ <sup>de</sup> I can ~~do~~ <sup>de</sup> or  
~~return~~ <sup>de</sup> from Gt. Appointment  
(which was <sup>only</sup> drawn upon me by the  
Govt.) or by Frederick's having of his  
own accord, accepted the Admiralty  
to our unqualified support of his  
measures, ~~whereas~~ <sup>de</sup> If by an  
abandonment of those men who formerly  
acted with my Brother & a selection  
of such men as Poplyn, Scoble & others  
(who bring no force), I shall discover  
a departure from the principles I have  
ever upheld & in which I have been,  
& mean to be ever consistent - Why I

ought not to do the duty of some of  
those <sup>now</sup> ~~at the~~ <sup>now</sup> from the Duke's Cabinet, with  
as much zeal & loyalty as I am & possibly  
with equal ability, I am yet to  
learn & why they have been preferred,  
~~I am not~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~impressed~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~with~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~regard~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~my~~ <sup>de</sup>  
~~regard~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~my~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~regard~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~my~~ <sup>de</sup>  
regret - <sup>de</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>de</sup> forming a part of a Govt.  
You have common cause with them in  
well should ~~that~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~you~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~do~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~that~~ <sup>de</sup> ~~or~~ <sup>de</sup>  
have a complete understanding, There is  
nothing to prevent ~~one~~ <sup>de</sup> taking Office in  
any other Govt. that might be  
formed, if any change occurred -  
I am <sup>de</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>de</sup> course at a ~~Departure~~ <sup>de</sup>  
I should wish to be, independent, as  
to Durham, and if I am still  
considered by the Duke as ~~being~~ <sup>de</sup>  
a danger on, in second time, I should

thinker  
is a student of the Bible  
if he is capable of performing  
his service. If he does not  
choose to accept these laws,  
he will be entitled to the  
advantages which he may  
derive under the existing  
laws.

It is quite clear however  
that no Bible is entitled  
to his discharge as long as  
the laws may be proper  
to detain him in the  
service. If he is to be  
discharged on account of  
his father it must be  
at the will of the Crown.  
The Crown has a right

Monday July 27  
1794

Side observations of  
the Bill in (2)

It appears true the absolute  
necessity to adopt some  
measures to put an end  
to the abuses which exist  
in respect of the persons  
of Bibles. I was always  
aware of the existence  
of these abuses. It is  
difficult to find a  
remedy.

The system of persecution  
prevails to the whole Society  
in England. Every body  
looks on a person; or in  
other words a person  
from the Church without  
doing any thing for it;  
and there are several are  
but too ready to grant  
favours of this description

without adverting to the  
service of some for them  
wherever any individual  
asks for them, have particularly  
when not expected for  
them Acts, and when the  
expense is the defrayed by  
the Public Treasury, by  
a small number.

We must not look at  
the principle on which we  
are proposed in dispensing  
and charging on this question.

We consider that when we  
entire a soldier the King  
has a right of the Service  
for life. Accordingly we  
make him pay for his

Discharge; and I approve  
of the proposed Regula-  
tions which enable the  
King in Chief to order  
the Discharge of Soldiers  
at certain periods of  
Service making <sup>such</sup> ~~any~~ pay  
for the same up to  
14 years Service; and  
granting it I think after-  
wards with certain Restrictions  
at certain of the periods.  
The principle and the  
Measure <sup>are</sup> ~~is~~ applicable  
to every Soldier in the Service  
at the present moment;  
inasmuch as the acceptance  
of the Discharge upon these

I had written this part  
of the Service.

The first question is this.  
Is a Man disabled by  
the Service (through  
Wounds) to be discharged?

That must depend upon  
the Nature of the Disability.

If it is permanent; and likely  
to affect him permanently  
the convenience of the Service  
would require his Discharge.

Is he to be pensioned? If not  
discharged he must receive  
Pay or Pension. I should  
say that from the Moment  
at which the Soldier becomes  
his Service in his Reg<sup>t</sup> on  
account of Disability his  
Term of Service ought to cease

This is the great difficulty  
& has not yet been  
fixed -

in different Opinions  
fixed, the Law in which  
Claims for Disabilities shall  
be considered; but the  
Principle that the Soldier  
has no right to his Discharge  
is clearly the foundation  
on which all these Opinions  
turn.

The first question then is  
this. The Grant of a Discharge  
(with or without Pension  
is not now the question)  
being a favour to the Soldier,  
ought it to be granted to  
a Soldier disabled in the  
Service by Accident, or any  
other but Wounds received  
in Action for which particular  
Care Pension is made?



We know that ~~blotches~~  
managers. We are aware  
of the <sup>of what</sup> ~~habits~~ they are guilty  
in order to ~~blotch~~ themselves;  
we are aware of the effect  
upon their health of their  
own irregular habits. We  
we ~~blotch~~ a reputation  
to favour those whom we  
have formed ~~blotch~~ may  
have produced their disability  
in this manner?

It may be very proper to  
discharge from the ~~blotch~~  
men disabled from rendering  
service; and it may be very  
proper for the crown to provide  
for those disabled by service

It is an arbitrary  
administration of pensions  
wholly depending upon  
the ~~blotch~~

who cannot provide for  
themselves by their own in-  
dustry and exertions.  
But the ~~blotch~~ should  
be for the regulation of  
the conduct of the officers  
of the crown in regulating  
these matters; and not be  
considered as matter of  
engagement from the crown  
to the man who ~~blotch~~;  
who ~~blotch~~ for his wife  
unless he ~~blotch~~ should  
think proper to release  
him from his engagement.  
The ~~blotch~~ of the ~~blotch~~  
service should be clearly  
and shown in the regulation  
which should be formed  
only for those who are

proceeding before them  
and determine what  
shall be done with the  
Soldier; whether he be discharged  
immediately without Pension;  
he retained in the service  
what the terms or elsewhere  
or at large upon diminished  
Pay; and to appear again  
in a limited time;  
or he be discharged with Pension  
for a limited time, <sup>at the expiration of which</sup> he shall  
appear again to undergo  
a similar inquiry.

According to this system what  
it will be proved can be  
applied to the cases under  
the warrant of the Board  
of Health will always have  
the means of ascertaining exactly

Hee entitled; but he  
ought receive some  
allowance in the way  
of Pension as far as the  
Officers of the Army shall  
decide whether he is to  
be discharged on account of  
a disability.  
The next question to be  
considered is whether a  
Man discharged from the  
Service on account of a  
Disability ought to  
receive a Pension.  
That must depend upon the  
Nature and degree of the  
Disability <sup>and whether</sup> how long assumed,  
the Character and extent  
of the Illness. But this I  
must lay to the Soldiers

be charged an amount of  
Disability after a period  
of Service of less than twenty  
one years ought to have a  
Pension granted for a  
longer term than one or  
two years, ~~and~~  
such grant cannot be  
made without a resolution  
of the people or a  
Bill is introduced for  
Life; and are a new set  
of charges paying for the  
same a different rate  
at different periods of  
their Service.

The next point to be considered  
is the mode of enquiring into  
the Nature and degree of these

disabilities of Soldiers which  
have proved to be permanent  
of the nature, to as to prevent  
the funds and mischief  
which have resulted.

Just when a Man is  
recommended for his  
Discharge an amount of  
a disability to the Officer  
of the Regt. should order a  
Court Martial to enquire  
on his case; which Court  
should enquire into his  
State of Health, the Cause  
of the Disability, the Conduct  
and Character of the Man  
his Length of Service Age &c.  
and every circumstance which  
can throw light upon the  
Case. This enquiry should be  
upon Oath.

The Chelsea Board having the

to take of the Collier; and  
that is very common  
but no hands are  
restored.

122. Mount Street

31. July. 1829.

Dear Sir Henry

As I saw you this morning, you were so good as to ask me in what manner  
my Father was desirous that his diminished pension should be paid - to  
which I replied that I believed it was a matter of indifference - I then soon  
saw my Father, who particularly wishes that it ~~may~~ continue to be paid,  
as heretofore, at the War Office -

Believe me to be,

Your most Obedient and faithful Servant

Wm. Moore

The Rt. Hon. Mr.

Sir Henry Hardinge - Bt

\_\_\_\_\_

Colonel Moore  
31. July  
My father's pension of £500  
of his Retirement

Private

6

St. John's. July 22. 1829

My Dear Sir Henry.

I have read the Memorandum  
you entrusted to me with  
care & attention, and I  
entirely subscribe to the  
principle of your proposed  
correction —

The natural inclination  
of every Man in the  
Administration of such a  
Trust as the distribution of  
Pensions to Men wounded  
or disabled by Service is

to indulge to the utmost the  
feeling of compassion for the  
Individual case, & I  
have no doubt that in  
most if not all of the cases  
there were circumstances to  
warrant the individuals objects  
of great commiseration.

but there can be no doubt  
that there has been great  
excess in the grant of Pensions  
& that especially the indulgence  
shown has led to great  
abuse.

I think it will be very  
difficult if not impossible  
to withdraw Pensions  
actually granted & enjoyed  
except in cases of clear  
fraud or misrepresentation  
but I should be prepared  
to go with you to the  
full extent that you  
propose for the future

Yours faithfully  
R. B. S.

July 31/29  
from R. Bell

Whitehall  
July 31. 1829

My dear Baring

I think of forming my Police Establishment  
on the following basis. To divide the Metropolitan  
Police into several districts - I have about  
150 Police men in each district - I place at  
the head of the District a Superintendent with  
a salary of £200 per annum.

I have four inspectors in each district -  
two of them constantly on duty at £100 per annum  
each - and to have for every eight or ten  
men a superior Constable with a small addition to  
the ordinary pay - Will you be good enough

The Right Hon. J. B. Bell

29 July 29

Wrote to Baring the next  
Police Constables &c

Wrote to Baring



Do you see your opinion what would be  
the best mode of providing for the payment  
of this Establishment, every week or every fortnight?

~~It should be~~ My Policy Corps  
both they must resemble a military body -  
and probably experience has pointed out  
to you - what is the simplest method of  
providing for the payment of such a body  
and the most effectual - for preventing fraud  
or delay on the part of them. When the  
Money must be collected for the purchase  
of distribution to the men.

Ever most truly  
Robert Pol

Wm  
Wm Henry Garding