

Montreal 14 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1814

Dear Sir

I rec<sup>d</sup> your letter inclos<sup>g</sup>  
the papers respecting the purchase of  
M<sup>r</sup> L<sup>l</sup>'s house at Terrebonne &  
after perusing them am of opinion  
that you are not bound to pay  
unless M<sup>r</sup> McKay furnishes a receipt  
or discharge for the Constitut<sup>ion</sup> due  
to Linoges, an offer should be  
made to pay him, <sup>what is due</sup> as he is producing  
a receipt from Linoges for the  
Constitut<sup>ion</sup> - with respect to M<sup>r</sup> McKay  
rights it is impossible for me to give  
an opinion without seeing the  
Contract of marriage & how I am  
to get hold of that I don't know  
(however)

I think little difficulty will  
arise with respect to that Mr.  
McKay having sufficient property  
to indemnify you from any  
risk you may run on that  
account - ~~I do~~ I am not of  
opinion that you ~~can~~ <sup>can</sup> compel  
Mr. McKay to take the place back

Yours &c  
D. Ogden

W. McQuay Esq  
Corribone

Montreal 14 July 1814

Dear Sir

I rec<sup>d</sup> your letter inclos<sup>g</sup>  
the papers respecting the purchase of  
Mr. McKay's house at Terrebonne &  
after perusing them am of opinion  
that you are not bound to pay  
unless Mr. McKay furnishes a receipt  
or discharge for the Constitut due  
to Linoges, an offer should be  
made to pay him <sup>what is due</sup> and let producing  
a discharge from Linoges for the  
Constitut - with respect to Mr. McKay's  
rights it is impossible for me to give  
an opinion without seeing the  
Contract of marriage & how I am  
to get hold of that I don't know  
(however)

I think little difficulty will  
arise with respect to that Mr.  
McKey having sufficient property  
to indemnify you from any  
risk you may run on that  
account - ~~But~~ I am not of  
opinion that you <sup>can</sup> ~~are~~ compel  
Mr. McKey to take the place back

Yours &c  
D. Ogden

W. McKenzie Esq  
Morrisbone

Montreal

14 Junij :

1814

D: Ogden - Opinion

H. McKenzie Esq

Torreboune

1814  
Ogden  
Opinion

Mont. 13 May 13 -

Dear Mack

Herewith you have a long letter on the subject of Mr. Frobisher's Petate & I have given it to you on a separate piece of paper in order that you may communicate it if you think proper to Mr. Van Frobisher for my own part I think it will have a good effect, we ever do as you think proper.

I do not like the idea of proceeding cutting Prairie at Gules you will be as far advanced by proceeding in the first time to River & you will have but one suit instead of two on your hands

You must know you have the  
honor of corresponding with the  
Clerk of the Executive Council  
at Montreal, the Gov. thought  
proper to confer the appointment  
on me the day he left town,  
without my asking for it, or  
knowing any thing about it  
He astonished my father as well  
as myself —

Yours truly  
D. Ogden

H. Mackenzie Esq  
Wrethorne

Henry Mackenzie Esq  
Perth

London  
17th Dec 1791

per  
prop



13 May 1813

Djden

<sup>to</sup>  
H.M. 16

Handwritten scribble or signature, possibly "H.M. 16", partially obscured by a tear in the paper.

His appointment as  
Clerk of the Executive  
Council