

M^r. Gill College.
Montreal.

May 26/44

My dear Sir,

Yours of 21st
is received to-day, &
I thank you for the reference
I have been attend-
ed to,

In referring to my
last letter, ^{of the 10th inst.} I think
you will see that
I did not refer to
any claims of mine.
I may give my in-
terpretation of Peattie's
or Burnett's remark

about the Sampers
the former had
made, and sug-
gested that if he
meant to consider
these Sampers as
including the King's
Claims a fee of
£100 as my expenses
for telegrams and
his interest, you
might have been
to settle the matter
with us, and that

I would prefer anything
I would, so as to make
no difference. I had
no intention to suggest
that I had any claims
as against the opposites,

As you will find
however by my last
letter after seeing
Burnetts last replied
to in telegram - I did
not rightly interpret
the meaning which
was apparently to sug-
gest to us that we
should take stock

in the concern for
payment or part
payment. That is
of course quite another
matter.

Should the entire
properties quite understand
and would be glad to
aid in bringing them
out so soon as Prentiss
affair is settled. If
the Wolfen is to be
Prentiss properties and
are about as reported
to open a road to
the Atlantic. The

Volume of these out-
lying properties may
be greatly increased,
and if a road is
to be made & start
of cars. The Run
on Putnam's Run
of the Hemlock bed
is largely developed
there will be
also improved in
value.

Sincerely yours

W. Dawson