

You say the Canadian naturalists expect
little recognition in England. I cannot
think that there is any important differ-
ference to their claims. For myself,
I have too close a family connection
with your country, but to judge on interest
in all the concerns it, especially in
its scientific workers.

I notice in its preliminary report on the
'Wharfedale' dredging, the one of the recent
Greenland species is to be dedicated
to your friend Mr. Whiteaves.

I am very glad that you have been good
enough to write, and remain,

My Dear Sir, Very Sincerely Yours

Richard Owen
a. r.

Thomas Huxley

P. S. I have changed my residence
city, & my present address is as
above.

(it is included under the general heading "Proceedings"
& hence is easily overlooked. I am very much
obliged to you for directing my attention to it.
After reading carefully yr account of G. Wilkesii,
my impression certainly is that its distinctive
characters are varietal much & not specific.
I have as a Labrador specimen at hand
disposed to regard it as yr description.

I do not feel much obliged of yr work
kindly sent me, as you propose, specimens
of your Labrador Polygona; & I shall
only be too ready to correct at once any
errors or inaccuracies which I may find
justly. I have always regretted that there
was not a peer & more spontaneous inter-
change of specimens & papers amongst those
who are working at the same classes
in different parts of the world. It would save
much labour & many mistakes.

Clevedon, Somerset. Huxley
March 4th 1877.

Dear Sir,

I received your card in due
time, and am extremely sorry to find
that you think I have done less than
justice to your writings on the Polygona.
If I am offended, it has been unwittingly
by. I can truly say that I spare no pains
to be thoroughly acquainted with what has
been done by others, & for few things
have I among me more than to have
worked or failed to appreciate rightly
this work.

As to Hippothoa specimens, I am ~~sorry~~ ^{aware}
from Packard's List, that you had

published a species under this name, but
I can't see the description of it, we did
think then your paper containing it was
forgotten - I had asked the printer for
full investigation, in connection with
the general history of the British remains
Polygon upon which I am now engaged.
But my paper for the Annals was not
sent & prepared under a promise,
as I was far from libraries of reference at
the time: I am therefore obliged to a different
Nomenclature name, and I should indicated
A species it had in recent.

Since receiving your notes, I have been
making every effort in conjunction with
Mr. Whentley of the Royal Society &
Mr. Etheridge of the School of Mines

to discover your paper on Labrador
polygon & since you refer to ~~the~~
your first reference on your part, &
"Reports of Canadian Survey, 1835"
This date he felt sure must be a mistake
I have tried 58 other sources, & in-
deed have made a great search
with the result - Will you kindly ~~direct~~
send me the full & precise title of
the paper I indicate more minutely
when it is to be found. Is there any
"Canadian Survey," or the G.M. Survey
Geological Survey?

After it been found we have seen
from the notice of the Geographical; I have
been referred to it in the Table of contents
of the Vol. of Transactions (p. 1064-5)