

Claypole  
Oct/44

more largely or coarsely scarred  
but from the formation  
(close to the <sup>base</sup> ~~top~~ of the <sup>upper</sup> ~~lower~~  
Silurian) it seems to me  
very probably another species  
I believe is ~~best~~ spring  
shown out with one of my  
pupils.

By a line in reply you  
will oblige  
Yours faithfully  
E. V. Claypole

MCGILL UNIVERSITY ARCHIVES	
ACC. NO. 2211	57
REF. 15	

Antioch Co.  
Yellow Springs,  
Ohio  
Oct 17 77

My dear Dr Dawson

May I trouble you  
by asking if you can give  
me any clue to the nature  
of a fossil of which I send  
herewith a rough sketch.

Its resemblance to a Sigillaria  
will probably strike you  
at once as it did me but



it is from our Clinton limestone  
I have compared it with  
Vauntscui figures but it cer-  
tainly does not resemble them  
also with Popov's with the  
same result - Nor does  
it much resemble your own  
figure of *Pepidodendron fasp.*  
in Act. Geol. though the small  
fig A p 562 has some like-  
ness to it

I gather however from your  
description that *Lep. fasp.* is  
a slender stemmed plant  
whose stem is 2 1/2 inches wide  
nearly square & contains 7

scans in the breadth - It is  
to compare cylindrical the  
depression amounting to  
about 1/2 inch. I can  
see no trace of the usual  
vascular scan of *Sig* & *Lep.*  
but being a somewhat  
weathered surface they  
may have disappeared.

The drawing of natural size  
the semicircular upper bor-  
ders of the scan are sharp  
clear.

It may be that the trunk  
of your sp. was differently





0

