

Shushoke, March 10, 1881.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of the 9th is rec^d. I had, yesterday, completed and mailed the list of teachers to the Superintendent. I should have made and sent it some time ago; but from the Superintendent's letter asking for it, I understood that the names of all the teachers were required; (the object was not stated,) and I could not give the names of present teachers with certainty ~~without~~ until I visited the schools; and that I have just finished.

Thinking that it may expedite the matter to which you refer, I will enclose an abstract of the list sent omitting the names of teachers. It will perhaps be found more available for the purpose mentioned than one made at the Education Office. I will give a few words of explanation of the list.

Schools which have not been open for the last two terms; i.e., those suspended for a time, I have omitted. Those kept only part of the year, I have generally marked in red ink, thus, X. In a few cases, I have found Catholic teachers employed; in such cases, I have marked (C) after the address.

The proper Post Office of districts is, in many of the rural districts, a matter of some uncertainty; as it not infrequently is the case that a school is about equidistant from two adjacent Post Offices, either of which might be given as the P.O. of the district; - in a few instances, I have given two offices.

In several cases, the Post Offices named are not within the Municipal-ity. It will, therefore, be necessary in mailing, to give the name of Municipal-ity after No. of District; for example Traden. Dist. No. 31 of Stanstead.

Agree Flat." (that Post Office being
outside of the Municipality of Stanstead)

Regretting the delay in this matter,

I remain

Yours truly,

H. Hubbard

J. R. Dawson Esq L.L.D.

Montreal.

Hubbard
Sep 189