

Queen's Coll.

Oxford.

Ap. 15/88.

Dear Sir William Dawson,

Absence in Egypt has prevented me from thanking you before for your letter & your correction of my blunder about the name of Khephren. The statement that the Stone was from Rosette was made to me by Mr. Ellis Clarke - a Scotch engineer - who was supposed to know how it had been cut.

That the bones from Tel-loh are of
green diorite rests on the authority of
Oppert; but the band of Nagan from
the Bone is stated to have come
is not Luristan, but the Sinitic
Peninsula.

I have, I am afraid, expressed my
meaning very badly, as the date of
Sargon I. has nothing to do with
the question of the bones of Tel-loh,
but rests on the authority of

halonidos & certain impressions from
the antiquity of Chaldean literature &c.

The Stone of which the Papyrus of Tel-
el-
are made in no way resembles that
of the great ~~piece~~^{wing} of Khephren but is very
dark, like the Stone of the smaller wing
of Khephren in the Salle of the Ancients
Empire at Bulag. According to the
Catalogue the latter is green basalt.

I hope you will be able to take
part in the meeting of the British
Association at Bath.

Yours truly
A. H. Sayce.

Payce
May 1/88