

John Matthew  
10 Nov 1888

Dear Sir William

Sooner than I expected  
I found time to make up the  
collection from Seely Street  
Portland which I have broken  
out for you

I have been able to  
add a few species to those  
already on your list, and  
I hope have been able more  
completely to represent those  
you have, either by additional  
lots or by specimens in a  
better state of preservation.

Beside the suit of  
specimens I sent I have,  
to fill up the box, sent a lot  
of pieces of the shale that  
may be useful to you in

Samuel Curtis

class-work or otherwise. I  
can send you more of this  
shale if you think it will  
bear the cost of transpor-  
tation\*. It is necessary to  
work over a good deal of  
it to get reasonably good  
examples for illustration, as  
you may judge when I say,  
that the waste from that I used  
in getting the suit I send, filled  
a barrel.

The fossils as you will see  
are from the two <sup>upper</sup> bands of 1<sup>c</sup>.  
Those from the lower the "lamel-  
latus shale" are more complete  
than those of the upper the "ete-  
minius shale", but not so abun-  
dant. I have added an *Agrostis*  
discovered in Band d last  
\* It might be sent, freight,

Lingula Matthwei is the old name  
for Acrothete matthwei.

Lingulella allied to L. Davisii  
if so it is probably from Div. 2  
of your formation

Acrothete Ludlowi should be  
Acrotreta (?) Ludlowi. I have  
reason to suspect that Walcott's  
Harttia Matthwei is the same  
as this species. If so his name  
will take precedence -

The collection obtained  
is hardly up to the value in  
cash sent, but I hope to add  
to it by future discovery and  
I can at least help it out by  
sending the shale if you think  
it worth while

I remain very truly yours

R. W. Matthews.

summer and a few other forms  
from 1<sup>c</sup> - which did not turn  
up in breaking up this lot of  
shale and a few from Band ~~2~~ <sup>{not on  
your list</sup>

In closing - I may make  
a few notes on the list sent  
down from the Museum, viz -  
Discina acadica. This I have  
referred to Parmophorella as a  
subgenus of Stenotheca. But  
as D. Hicks has sent me ~~the~~ an  
example of the Welsh fossil on  
which the genus was founded,  
it is clear that the original  
Stenotheca is different from  
anything that has been described  
under this name on this side  
of the Atlantic, except the small  
specimens of your formation. Discinotina  
is very like S. cornucopia, but quite  
unlike an ordinary patelloid shell

TO:

G. M. Matthew  
St. John, N.B.  
10 Nov. 1888

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Dear Sir William:

Sooner than I expected I found time to make up the collection from Seely Street Portland which I have broken out for you.

I have been able to add a few species to those already on your list, and I hope have been able more completely to represent those you have, either by additional parts or by specimens in a better state of preservation.

Beside the suite of specimens I sent I have, to fill up the box, sent a lot of pieces of the shale that may be useful to you in classwork or otherwise. I can send you more of this shale if you think it will bear the cost of transportation\*. It is necessary to work over a good deal of it to get reasonably good examples for illustration, as you may judge when I say, that the waste from that I used in getting the suit I send, filled a barrel.

The fossils as you will see are from the two sub-bands of 1°. Those from the lower, the "laminatus shale", are more complete than those of the upper, the "etc.-minus shale", but not so abundant. I have added an *Agostus* discovered on Band 1, last summer and a few other forms from 1° which did not turn up in breaking up this lot of shale and a few from Band 2 (not on your list).

In closing I may make a few notes on the list sent down from the Museum with regards to *Discina scabra*. This I have referred to *Paranotaria* as a subgenus of *Stenotheca*. But as D. Hicks has sent me an example of the Welsh fossil on which the genus was founded, it is clear that the original *Stenotheca* is different from anything that has been described under this name on this side of the Atlantic, except the small species of one formation. *S. concentrica* is very like *S. cornucopia*, but quite unlike an ordinary patelloid shell. *Lingula matthewi* is the old name for *Acrothele matthewi*. *Lingula* allied to *L. davisi* if so it is probably from Div. 2 of our formation. *Acrothele guttata* should be *Acrothele (?) guttata*. I have reason to suspect that Walcott's *Hartia matthewi* is the same as this species. If so his name will take precedence.

The collection obtained is hardly up to the value in cash sent but I hope to add to it by future discovery and I can at least help it out by sending the shale if you think it worth while.

I remain very truly yours,

G. M. Matthew

\*It might be sent, freight.

Acc 86-080

FROM:  
SUBJECT:TO:  
G.M. Matthew  
St. John, N.B.  
10 Nov. 1888

Dear Sir William:

Sooner than I expected I found time to make up the collection from Seely Street Portland which I have broken out for you.

I have been able to add a few species to those already on your list, and I hope have been able more completely to represent those you have, either by additional parts or by specimens in a better state of preservation.

Beside the suite of specimens I sent I have, to fill up the box, sent a lot of pieces of the shale that may be useful to you in classwork or otherwise. I can send you more of this shale if you think it will bear the cost of transportation\*. It is necessary to work over a good deal of it to get reasonably good example for illustration, as you may judge when I say, that the waste from that I used in getting the suit I send, filled a barrel.

The fossils as you will see are from the two sub bands of 1<sup>c</sup>. Those from the lower the "lamellatus shale" are more complete than those of the upper the "etc-minius shale", but not so abundant. I have added an *Agnostus* discovered on Band <sup>d</sup> last summer and a few other forms from 1<sup>c</sup> which did not turn up in breaking up this lot of shale and a few from Band <sup>d</sup> (not on your list).

In closing I may make a few notes on the list sent down from the Museum with regards to *Discina acadica*. This I have referred to *Parmophorella* as a subgenus of *Stenotheca*. But as D. Hicks has sent me an example of the Welsh fossil on which the genus was founded, it is clear that the original *Stenotheca* is different from anything that has been described under this name on this side of the Atlantic, except the small species of one formation. *S. concertina* is very like *S. cornucopia*, but quite unlike an ordinary patilloid shell. *Lingula matthewi* is the old name for *Acrothele matthewi*. *Lingulella* allied to *L. davisii* if so it is probably from Div. 2 of our formation. *Acrothele gulichni* should be *Acrotreta* (?) *gulichni*. I have reason to suspect that Walcott's *Harttia matthewi* is the same as this species. If so his names will take precedence.

The collection obtained is hardly up to the value in cash sent but I hope to add to it by future discovery and I can at least help it out by sending the shale if you think it worth while.

I remain very truly yours,

G.M. Matthew

\*It might be sent, freight.