

Woods

Avondale Road, Croydon.

April 27. 89

Dear Sir J. W. Dawson,

So far

as I was capable of forming
a judgment on your 'Sup-
plementary note' from hearing
it somewhat hastily read by
the Secretary at the Geol. Soc.
meeting, I quite understood
that its purport was to set
right the statement of your
previous paper that the
Ocellular zone was ~~below~~
above that of Paradoxides,

and the remarks I made were not intended to comment on the correction made, but simply to point out that the true succession had been already predicted in the paper written by Prof. Brögger three years since. It appeared to me that this paper had not received the recognition it deserved and as it had not been mentioned at all by you I improved the occasion to call attention to it. I did not mean in the least to

simply that your not having
mentioned it was from a desire
to depreciate its importance,
as I simply supposed that
it had escaped your notice
as it certainly would have
occurred if the author had not
presented me with a copy.

I do not know who is
responsible for the Abstract
Report of your 'Supplementary
Note'— I presume the Secretary
of the Geol. Soc.— but it certainly
would be much more explicit

by the addition of the words
'below the zone of Paradoxis'
as you propose. As your note
seemed to be very short there
would have been less risk of
mistake if it had been printed
verbatim. I should hope
that Mr. Dallas will be able
to put matters right. As you
know I am not on the Council
of the Geol. Soc. and suggestions
from me are likely to produce
a result the opposite of that
intended. Believe me
Yours very truly
George J. Hinde