13 Capital Co.

Atlanta, Dec. 15 th 1893.

My Dear Sir.

Thanks for your most maluable Ice Age. I did not know before that you had it out, and I am very glat to have a copt. I have more than looked over it. It will aid in the reaction from extreme views on the opposite side. Since writing my last ,, Thave made my final recalculations as to the age of the Niagara. I make the falls not less than 31000 years and the river 32000 years. Also I estimate that at least twice this time has elapsed since the close of the drift period or on other terms since the commencement of the lake epock. In my letter to Prof. Bonney ,I did not refer to the falls belonging to the last half of the lake epoch, and he evidently wanted more time for the close of the pleistocene. I shall have my Niagara read in London , as it is one of my bestkand could not have been written without the previous researches on lake history. You are right as to the appearance of man in the region. We have no evidence that helived at the time of the formation of any of the lake beaches. I have not gone over your book enough to know what all is in it, but I have not seen your views on glacial dams, upon which my friend Gilbert so strongly dwells.

Today I got a letter from Col. Fielden, and I don't want any the less to go Ellesmere land. He and Dr. Rea are our two best observers on field and coast ice in the arctic region. . I strongly suspect that with northern sybmergence and southern elevation as shown in my last paper sent to you, we can explain most of our pleistocene phenomena. I have come upon what is probably modern faulting in the Adirondack region. Hill has found it in Texas and MCGee from the Hudson to the Rappahannock; and this will assist in explaining some phenomena. In justice to myself I feel that I ought to write up all my researches in the form of a book on the History of then lakes, but so many more facts are needed to make the work complete as I should like it.

Atlanta, Dec. 15 th 1895.

This letter I am writing to thank you for your last volume
Thanks for your most walueble Ice Age. I did not know before
Yours faithfully

And I am very glad to have a copy. I have more than looked
over it. It will sid in the reaction from extreme views on the opposite side.

a letter from an old priend gives a frine illustration of lottly management. In the years, he has been bad 3 Presidents, 4 Prop. of Agric. 3 Prop. of Mechanics of Prop. of Military Science.

2 Secretario. 4 betraines, and assistants in helping this is the most propersion mobilet. There seems in the U.S.

close of the pleistocene. I shall have my Niagara read in London ,as it is one of my best and could not have been written without the previous researches on lake history. You are right as to the appearance of man in the region. We have no evidence that helived at the time of the formation of any or the lake in the country of the formation of any or the lake in it,

eaches. I have not gone over your book enough to know what all is in it,

I got a letter from Col. Fielden, and I don't wast any the less to go I and coast on a land. He and Dr. Rea are our two best observers on field and coast in the arctic region. I strongly suspect that with northern sybmergence and southern elevation as shown in my last paper sent to you, we can explain most of our pleistocene phenomena. I have come upon what is probably modern faulting in the Adirondack region. Hill has found it in Texas and MCGee from the Hudson to the Rappahannock; and this will assist in explaining some phenomena. In justice to myself I feel that I ought to write up all my researches in the form of a book on the History of then lakes, but so many

ACC. NO. (46 3 Ref. 2006 A