

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY DEPARTMENT.

Alfred R. C. Selwyn, C. M. G., L. L. D., F. R. S.,

DEPUTY HEAD AND DIRECTOR,

MUSEUM AND OFFICE, SUSSEX STREET, OTTAWA.

Dec. 6. 1890

My dear Foster,

About your letter with rough maps
 copied from Lorell. I think on looking at the
 tracing that I will ask you to return the original
 pamphlet when you have done with it, when I
 can make a tracing indicating what I know on
 the subject. It is very hard to ~~trace~~ place anything
 on the rough tracing without latitudes & longitudes
 etc. I think that parts at least of the Laurentide
 glacier must at maximum have extended much
 further than you here show - possibly to about
 line of dotted red which I have sketched, but I
 have never looked into the evidence south of Great
 Lakes in order to see if one can discriminate
 between land & floating ice work there. It seems
 to me that such glacialism as that of Kelley's Island
 on W. Shore of L. Erie - illustrated by Chamberlain
 in Seventh Annual Report U.S. Geol. Survey
 must be glacial work & as there can scarcely
 have been local, the Laurentide glacier must at

the time has extended this far. I presume
it would be its maximum period that you would
indicate & represent. The other way in of
drift is but shown in wps in some report
just alluded to. However I can send copy this
as for you.

I think that we would require to discuss the
whole matter carefully when next I am in
Montreal, & shall be glad to do so. Meanwhile
if you can send up Forrell's pamphlet I can
afterwards return it.

Have you seen Prof Storer's account of Mount
Desert on Coast of Maine, in which he seems to
find good evidence of submergence to 1300 feet
or more? It is in part 2 of the Eighth (last)
U.S. Geol. Surv. Report, p 1032 etc.

I could scarcely arrange to go to Montreal today
being so much behind with my writing.

I can send you some copies of my paper if you
wish them, but think it will be better had to
duplication of names on my list, which is pretty
long.

Yours
J. W. G.

J. W. G.