

McGILL UNIVERSITY
ARCHIVES
ACC. NO. 909B/19
REF. 10

McGill College,
Montreal.

Sept 21/72

Dear Sir,

I have received
yours of 16th and
am glad to have
the explanation for
some. Mr Kay and
I have no aspect
noting with Revue,
and hope to have
a basis settled.

Just something
could be done to
ascertain what becomes
of the with of

Plumbards, a ^{to as}
certain of existence
I remember between
that & Webster. My
would take away
at once the greater
part of Reuter's dip
findings. If you can
learn anything as to
this, please note
it.

The second bed
I view with of the
specular, I knew of
your bases; but your
his samples supposed

it to be merely a bed
of quartzite with string
of pebbles. It may have
even be with looking at.

The deep brook &
the west has thick
banks of debris on the
line of the one, and
I suspect the books are
mostly through the porous
parts. Still you can
trace it west & the
brook without much
doubt,

About the Severance,
I should not wonder at
any amount of trails,

and if you can connect
the expenses at Kuros
with those at Grants in
any way, even conjecturally,
it will be a great matter
in fixing any part, where
the def, which way
be concordable, and there
may also be purloining
frauds.

What you mention
about - the bed of me
behind Kuros is un-
likely, and it may
be of great value, if
I think enough & wishes
See whether it is or
the one with area
selected, and where
it was to; and keep

greet about its extent
beyond the area, as
this way run on
unreserved property we
may have to secure.

I like the notion
of the one at Webster
being the nose of
an artificial. I wish
you could find the
other side of it,
which would give
rather plenty of
paper miles.

And now I must
close with saying that
I hope you will remember
in the care of your

