

queries for me, telling him that I am queer.
You see he gives us a good pat on the back.
He wants to know—

- (1) whether any of the *Pitharella* have their embryos preserved, & if so a short description. I should also mention to him that it is a fresh-water genus.
- (2) He is disputing with Monterosato as to the latter's *Leisactæon*, wh. he thinks is his *Crenilabium*. He wants to know if the type of *Actæon exilis* carries any very fine crenulations on the columellar border. Also does *A. Elberidgei*, Wood of the Braz.

I should be much indebted to you if you could answer these questions for him, as it will save so much time.

My foot is a great deal better & I have been out for 2 or 3 drives, but I did not improve it by going to the Birkbeck last Tuesday, though I drove there & back. Perhaps another week will put me right.

Yours very sincerely
Geo. D. Harris

DAVIES SHERBORN
DONAVIT.

20 Craster road.

Brixton Hill. SW.

4. May. 1894

My dear Newton,

I have really not felt well enough to have dealt properly with the enclosed until now. I am sorry for the delay which we must make up when we get the proof. I thoroughly endorse everything in it & I notice that our views on the *Hereoglossa* affair are precisely similar to each other. It was very kind of you to have taken so much trouble in giving me the full evidence, which I think makes the whole very clear. Some of our worthy Editor's pencil suggestions are somewhat dilettante, but I have admitted most of them as you will see.

I shall be glad to learn about that other *Pitharella*.

I enclose a letter sent to me some time since by Cossmann which I have not been able to see to by reason of my not getting out. Would you mind answering the

Dear Sir Repetto says, is at present
Mr. Harris, ~~is at present~~ confined
to his home on account of having
sprained his foot and he has asked
me to reply to some enquiries of yours
contained in a letter dated the

28th of March - (1) I have examined
all our specimens of Pitharella and
with a view of ascertaining the condition
of the embryo but find that the
character is mostly wanting on
account of bad preservation.

~~But~~ From that I can see however
it appears to me that the spine
is dextral throughout and
on account of this differs from
the shell of the Opisthobranchia.

It must be remembered also
that Pitharella is a fluviatile
genus and ~~not marine~~
cannot possibly be recognized
as a marine ~~genus~~ form.

(2) ~~Monterozato's~~ Lissacodon is a exilis Jeffreys
is only a lish name

(2) Adami exilis Jeffreys, chosen
by Monterozato for his type of
Lissacodon is unfortunately only a
lish name (Quart. Jour. Nat. Soc. London
1871. Vol. 27. pp. 486 & 494) being
without figure or description. It
is no doubt synonymous with-

a (?) Elkeidzei, Bell, the description
of which I have enclosed. The only
figured specimen of this species is in
the Museum but it is evidently
much worn - I have examined
it under the microscope but can
detect no crenulations on the
columnella, the axis ^{of the shell} appearing to be
quite smooth throughout. ~~Considering~~

~~that the type is~~ This shell cannot
with any safety be taken as the type.
of a genus and I should be inclined
to regard it as belonging to your
genus Crenolabium (with a query)