


68



Page 6



Page 8



Page 15



Page 17

Pub^d Oct^r. 2. 1824, by Hodgson & C^o 10, Newgate Street.

REMARKABLE TRIAL
OF
Lord Baltimore,
For a Rape
ON THE BODY OF
SARAH WOODCOCK;
ALSO OF
ELIZABETH GRIFFINBURG
AND
ANN HARVEY,

AS ACCESSORIES BEFORE THE FACT,
*At the Assizes held at Kingston, Surrey, on the 26th of
March, 1768,*

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE
SIR SIDNEY STAFFORD SMYTHE.

London:
PRINTED BY AND FOR HODGSON & CO.
No. 10, NEWGATE STREET.

Sixpence.

REMARKABLE TRIAL

Lord Baltimore

Part 1
LORD BALTIMORE

BY SAMUEL JOHNSON

of the Court of the Admiralty, the plaintiff, against the
 defendant, in the Court of the Admiralty, on the 17th of
 the month of June, 1773. The plaintiff, Lord Baltimore,
 brought in the bill, and the defendant, the King, answered
 the bill, and the Court, after a long and tedious trial,
 gave judgment in favour of the plaintiff, Lord Baltimore,
 and against the defendant, the King. The plaintiff, Lord
 Baltimore, was represented by the learned Counsel, Sir
 William Blackstone, and the defendant, the King, was
 represented by the learned Counsel, Sir John Dineley.
 The Court, in their judgment, were of opinion, that the
 plaintiff, Lord Baltimore, was entitled to the relief
 prayed for in his bill, and that the defendant, the King,
 was liable to the costs of the suit.

IN THE COURT OF THE ADMIRALTY

Between the said Lord Baltimore, Plaintiff, and the King, Defendant.
 The Court, after a long and tedious trial, gave judgment in
 favour of the plaintiff, Lord Baltimore, and against the
 defendant, the King. The plaintiff, Lord Baltimore, was
 represented by the learned Counsel, Sir William Blackstone,
 and the defendant, the King, was represented by the
 learned Counsel, Sir John Dineley. The Court, in their
 judgment, were of opinion, that the plaintiff, Lord Baltimore,
 was entitled to the relief prayed for in his bill, and that
 the defendant, the King, was liable to the costs of the suit.

3530488