ST. LAWRENCE WATERWAY PROJECT

REPORT

OF JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

WITH APPENDICES

PRESENTED TO THE LIBRARY by the government of THE DOMINION OF CANADA







REPORT OF

JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

ON

ST. LAWRENCE WATERWAY PROJECT

Dated November 16, 1926

OTTAWA F. A. ACLAND PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY 1927

TC 427 53J6 1926a Mchennan JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

CONTENTS

MAIN REPORT

	AUL
Constitution of the Board	4
of structions to Board	4
Description of the Great Lakes System.	6
rescription of the creat Larco Systems	9
hor he of 1091	9
teport of 1921	11
tecommendation of International of Engineers	11
Vork done by the Joint Board of Engineers the Great Lakes	13
mprovement of levels and outflows of the Given	14
Effect of regulating works, St. Mary's filter	15
Diversion of Chicago Sanitary District	15
Black River diversions.	16
Diversions from Lake Erie	16
Changes in St. Clair River	17
Changes in Detroit River	17
Changes in Niagara River	18
Changes in St. Lawrence River	18
Control of dredging sand and gravel in outlet rivers	18
Summary of effect of diversions and outlet changes	10
Regulation of Lake Ontario	19
Regulation of other lakes	20
Regulation for lake navigation	20
Regulation for power	21
General aspects of regulation	21
Compensating Works	22
Niagara River	22
St. Clair River.	22
Construction period	22
Compensation for authorized diversions only	22
Cost of deepening channels through and between the lakes	23
Improvements on the St. Lawrence River	25
Description	25
Control features of Plans	25
Navigation	25
Change denths	26
Standards for shannels and locks	26
Consolity of waterway	27
Demos installations	27
Winter nower operation	27
The word I John Section	28
Infousand Island Decidal Section	29
Description	29
Description	29
Plans	29
Fight Proposed	30
A valiable heads Two Stars plan	30
Available heads I woostage plan.	31
Maximum Instance capacities	31
Winter operation—Single-Stage plan	31
Winter operation—I wo-stage plan	32
Control of ferry operation	32
Costs	32
Recommendations	32
Location of Barnhart Island dain and power houses	23
Control of flow	22
Alternative plans considered	24
Improvement for navigation only	24
Summary	25
Lake St. Francis Section	- 20

	PAGE
Soulanges Section-	25
Description	26
Prior plans	00
Improvement for navigation and power	30
Complete river development	37
Improvement for navigation alone	38
Conclusions	38
Lachine Section—	
Description	39
Prior plans	39
Plan recommended by Board	39
Power development	40
Lower development for paying and nower	41
Joint improvement for havigation and power with costs	42
General Summary—Lake Ontario to Montreal Harbour—with Costs	
St. Lawrence River at and below Montreal—	10
Description	40
Effect of diversion of water	46
Restoration of navigable depths	47
Control works with locks below Montreal.	48
Finding on questions contained in the instructions to the Joint Board of Engineers.	49
Things on questions contained in the manufactories to the joint board of Engineers.	56
WEAMURANDUM RE AFFEMDICES	00

APPENDIX "A"

FIELD AND OFFICE INVESTIGATIONS

Investigations by Canadian Section—	
Staff	57
Borings	57
Surveys	58
Temperature measurements	59
Investigation of ice jams and packs	59
Experiments on strength of ice	59
Discharge measurements	59
Investigations by United States Section	60
Designs and estimates	60
Survey—Clavton to Brockville	60
Survey—Chimney Point to Cardinal	60
Survey-Barnhart Island	60
Borings	60
Special Exploration of the dam site at Long Sault Rapids	61
Synopsis of Geological and Boring information	64
Thousand Island Section	64
International Rapids Section	64
Galop Rapids	65
Ogden Island	65
Crysler Island	66
Long Sault Rapids	66
Lake St. Francis Section	69
Soulanges Section	69
Lachine Section	71

APPENDIX "B"

LAKE LEVELS AND OUTFLOWS

e:	soripulon-
	Areas and storage capacity
	Supply
	Outflow
	Annual fluctuation in lake levels
	Temporary oscillation of lake surfaces
	Levels prior to 1860
	Earth tilt

ii

Γ

\sim			1				
0	0	n	t	D	n	ts	
U	U	10	v	v	10	00	

Diversions and outlets enlargements affecting lake levels-	PAGE
Diversions and regulation works, St. Marys River	76
Outlet enlargements and diversions, Lakes Michigan and Huron	79
Discharge formula, St. Clair-Detroit Rivers	79
Effect of a diversion from Lake Michigan	19
Black River diversions.	81
Effect of diversions from Lake Eric on levels of Michigan-Huron	81
Changes in discharge capacity of St. Clair River	82
Diversions, Lake Erle.	83
Fifed of diversions Lake Ontario	83
Summary of offect of diversions	84
Summary of effect of unversions	85
Scale of investigations	85
Prine proposals	85
Comparison of benefits from regulation and compensation	86
Detailed studies of lake regulation	87
Sumplies to the lakes	88
Permissible high levels of lakes	91
Maximum discharge capacity of outlets	93
Minimum permissible discharge through outlets	95
Program of regulation to secure maximum benefits to lake levels	95
System adopted	96
Details of computation	97
Results secured	100
Effects on lake levels	100
Effect on outflow	101
Design and cost of regulating works	102
Works on St. Clair River	102
Point Edward by-pass	102
Stag Island contraction	102
Contraction at delta	103
Summary—St Clair River	103
Alternative plan of dam with lock	104
Works in Niagara River.	104
Summary	105
Comparative cost of compensating works with dredging	105
Conclusions	105
Regulation with partial control of the St. Clair River	107
Results secured.	108
Works in St. Clair River only	109
Domined regulation of Lakes Erie and Ontario.	110
Promam directed to raising Lake Ontario levels	111
Regulation of Lake Erie for Niagara Power.	111
Compensating works	
On Niagara River.	111
Estimated cost	113
Effect on oscillations at Buffalo	113
Adaptibility to changing conditions	113
Construction period	113
On St. Clair River.	113
Construction period.	. 114
Atternative plans	114
Compensation for enlarged navigation channels	115
Effect of control of lake levels on cost of interlake shannals	116
Detum planes	. 110
Cost of channels from Lake Frie to Laka Superior	117
Description of Lake Ontario only	110
Ends segured by proposed program	. 118
Lake Huran corrections	118
Results secured.	120
	1-0

Tables-	- and codets with research offerting late break-	PAGE
1.	Local supply to Lake Superior	121
2.	Total supply to Lake Michigan-Huron	122
3.	Local supply to Lake Michigan-Huron	123
4.	Total supply to Lake Erie	124
5.	Local supply to Lake Erie	120
6.	Total supply to Lake Ontario	120
7.	Local supply to Lake Ontario	78
8.	Effect of present regulation of Lake Superior	128
9.	Effect of regulation—Lake Superior.	143
10.	Effect of regulation—Lake Michigan-Huron	159
11.	Effect of regulation—Lake Ontario	176
12.	Partial list of damages which would result from high water in Lakes Michigan	
10.	and Huran	192
14	Partial list of damages which would result from high water in Lake Erie	193
15.	Partial list of damages which would result from high water in Lake Ontario	194
16.	Maximum safe stages for regulation	96
17.	Storage at determining points on storage distribution curves, for regulation	
	with complete control of St. Clair River	197
18.	Typical computation, proposed regulation of Lake Ontario only	119
19.	Effect of proposed program for regulation of Lake Ontario alone	198
20.	Details of cost of works for regulation with complete control of St. Clair River.	215
Plates-	– • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	017
1.	Effect of present regulation of Lake Superior	217
2.	Mass diagram of total supply to the Great Lakes	218
3.	Stages in all lakes with probable frequency of once in 8, 20, and 50 years	219
4.	Maximum anowable discharge of St. Lawrence Liver	220
Э. 6	Supply to Lake Superior May showing relation to supply during previous	221
0.	month	222
7	Typical storage distribution curves	223
Rogula	tion with complete control of St. Clair River-	
Regula	Comparative levels and discharges-Lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron	224
9	Comparative levels and discharges—Lakes Erie and Ontario	225
10	Stage duration curves of various schemes of regulation	226
11.	Discharge duration curves of various schemes of regulation	227
Regula	tion with partial control of St. Clair River-	
12	Rule for controlling outflow of Lake Superior	228
13	Rule for controlling outflow of Lake Michigan-Huron	229
14	Rule for controlling outflow of Lake Erie	230
15	Rule for controlling outflow of Lake Ontario	231
16	Comparative levels and discharges-Lake Superior	232
17	Comparative levels and discharges—Lake Michigan-Huron	233
18	Comparative levels and discharges—Lake Erle	234
19	Proposed compensation works in upper St Clair River	200
Dues	a republication of Lake Optonia along	200
Propos 21	Rule curve	927
22	Duration curves of discharge and levels—Lake Ontario	238

APPENDIX "C"

DETAILED PLANS AND ESTIMATES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE ST. LAWRENCE

Unit costs	$239 \cdot$
Navigation standards	240
Power house design	241
Dykes	241
Administration and contingencies	241
Datum planes	241
Thousand Island Section	241

-	×.	•	
-	-		

v

	PAGE
International Rapids Section	242
Description	242
Present navigation	240
Towns and villages	240
Present power developments	240
Plans for improvement	244
Full Single Stage Plan	244
Two Stage Plans	200
Ogden Island—224	200
Crysler Island Two Stage Plan	204
Partial Single Stage Plan	200
Improvement for Navigation alone	201
Lake St. Francis Section	200
Soulanges Section	201
Description	201
Proposed plan of improvement	264
Economic consideration	269
Improvement for navigation alone	200
Improvement for power alone	270
Lachine Section	270
Description	273
Plans for improvement	273
Plan recommended	275
Alternative plans	277
Power development	278
Alternative plans for side canal	210

TABLES tailed Estima

Table	e N	0. Decident Determination	
Inter	nati	ional Rapids Section—	991
	1.	Single Stage Scheme (242)	201
	2.	Single Stage Scheme with dam at Hawkins Point	200
	3.	Single Stage Scheme with dam at Long Sault Site	290
	4.	Two Stage development—Ogden Island—224	290
	5.	Crysler Island—Two Stage development—217	310
	~.	See also Table No. 40. (Page 392a).	910
	6.	Single Stage development—238	319
	7.	Improvement for navigation alone	320
Lake	St	Francis Section—	000
Louis	8.	Recommended Project	330
Soul	ange	es Section—	991
	9.	Recommended Project-details	241
	10.	Recommended Project—summary	241
	11.	Recommended Project—over all cost, power marketed at 40,000 H.P. per year.	041
	12.	Recommended Project—power marketed at 75,000 H.P. per year	344
	13.	Recommended Project—power marketed at 150,000 H.P. per year	942
	14.	Hungry Bay-Melocheville Project for navigation alone—details	240
	15.	Hungry Bay-Melocheville Project for navigation alone-summary	247
	16.	Lateral Canal on North Side of River for navigation alone-details	250
	17.	Lateral Canal on North Side of River for navigation alone-summary	500
	18.	Navigation combined with all river developmentCentre pool elev. 115-	251
		details 115	001
	19.	Navigation combined with all river development-Centre poor erev. 115-	359
		summary	000
	20.	Navigation combined with all river development—Centre poor elev. 115-	358
		over all cost	000
	21.	Navigation combined with all river development—Centre poor elev. 125-	350
		details	000
	22.	Navigation combined with all river development—Centre poor elev. 125-	365
		summary	364
	23.	Power alone as in Recommended Project—details	365
	24.	Power alone as in Recommended Project-summary.	000
	25.	Navigation combined with partial power development—Integry Day-Metoche-	36
		mile Route Inversion for power-al out C.I.Suctans	00

3

		PAGE
26.	Navigation combined with partial power development—Hungry Bay-Meloche- ville Route—Diversion for power—15.500 c.f.s.—summary	374
27.	Navigation combined with partial power development-Hungry Bay-Meloche-	0.54
90	ville Route—Diversion for power—31,800 c.f.s—summary	374
20.	ville Route-Diversion for power-66700 cfs-summary	375
29.	Over all cost of various schemes of improvement	375
achine	Section-	
30.	Recommended Project—Navigation alone—details	376
31.	Recommended Project—Navigation alone—summary.	382
32	Power development subsequent to Navigation_details	383
32	Power development, subsequent to Navigation_summary	387
24	Power development, subsequent to Navigation summary	387
25	Novie development, subsequent to Navigation—over an cost	388
ээ. т	Navigation alone without control dam-details	000
ower 1	louse Installations—	000
36.	International Rapids Section	389
37.	Soulanges Section	390
38.	Lachine Section	391
looded	Lands—Various schemes—	
39.	International Rapids Section	392

APPENDIX "D"

RIVER LEVELS AND DISCHARGES AT AND BELOW MONTREAL

Discharge Stage relation curves	393
Effect of Chicago Diversion on water levels	396
Compensation	396
Dredging Montreal Harbour.	397
Piers and dock walls	397
Summary	397
Table No.	00.
1. Derivation of Discharge—Stage relation for Lock 5—Lachine—Period 1904 to date	398
2. Derivation of Discharge—Stage relation for Lock 5—Lachine—Period 1860 to 1877	401
3. Derivation of Discharge—Stage relation for Lock 1—Lachine	401
4 Derivation of Discharge—Stage relations at Varennes and Sorel	404
a Derivation of Dietharge relations al varennes and Dorer	TOL

APPENDIX "E"

ICE FORMATION ON THE ST. LAWRENCE AND OTHER RIVERS

Ice pressure	406
Ice formation in rapid water	407
Effect on power improvement	407
Factors affecting ice covers	407
Limiting velocities for advance of ice packs	409
Rates of ice production	409
Slopes through ice covered sections	412
Summary of conclusions	414

TABLES

1.	Ice formation conditions between Lanoraie and Sorel on the St. Lawrence River	415
2.	Conditions under which ice bridges or packs have advanced on the St. Lawrence	110
	River	416
3.	Conditions under which ice bridges or packs have receded on the St. Lawrence	410
	River	417
4.	Amount of frazil or slush formed under various conditions	418
5.	Degree days of freezing to which water surfaces at Montreal and Kingston are	110
0	exposed	418
6.	Average air temperature at certain stations for winter months	418
7.	Values found for "V" and "M" in Bazins formula	410
8.	Values found for "V" and "M" in Bazins formula	490
9.	Relation between slope in feet per mile and curvature in degrees per mile	420
10	Determination of the set of local l	421
10.	Determination of the rate of neat loss in exposed water surface, during cold	
	weather, between various stations on the St. Lawrence River	422

	٠		
7			
1			
	-	•	

Table No.

2			1			1		
100	n	n	Т	P	n	T	S	
0	U.	10	e.	U	10	10	0	

APPENDIX "F"

EXPERIMENTS ON STRENGTH OF ICE	PA
Objects of tests	42
Apparatus used	44
Continuous yielding of ice under small pressure	44
Standard method of loading in compression tests	44
Direction of applied load, and determination of modulus of elasticity	4.
Compression tests at 28°F. to 30°F	44
Compression tests at 14°F. to 16°F	44
Compression tests at 3°F	4
Tables showing deformations of compression blocks	ч.
Summary of compression tests at different loading rates at temperatures ranging from	4
about 30°F. to 3°F	4
Deformations under sustained loads at 14°F. to 10°F	4
Bending tests-modulus of elasticity and modulus of rubture	4
Compression or crushing strength of ice	4
Miscellaneous tests	4
Weight of ice	4
Deflection of beam under small sustained loads.	• 4
Deflection of beams under their own weight	4
Acknowledgments	1
PLATES	
	1

Plates 1-7-Results of experiments on	physical properties of ice	446
8_Apparatus used in experime	ents	400

APPENDIX "G"

CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

Construction schedule	454
Thousand Islands Section	407
International Rapids Section	404
Improvement by Two Stage Projects	458
Crysler Island Project	458
Lake St Francis Section	459
Soulanges Section.	459
Laching Section	459

THE FOLLOWING PLATES TO ACCOMPANY APPENDICES "C", "D" AND "E" ARE IN THE BOX ACCOMPANYING THE REPORT

APPENDIX "C"

- Plate No.
 Plan and Section of Typical Lock.
 Diagram showing relation between Quantity of Concrete in Power Houses and Height-Draft Tube floor to coping.
 Diagram showing relation between cost of Generators, Turbines, Exciters and Governors, and Maximum Operating Head.
 Diagram showing relation between cost of switching per Horse Power and Maximum Operating Head.
 Depend cost of Generators and Exciters.
- Operating Head.
 5. Diagram showing relation between R.P.M. and cost of Generators and Exciters.
 6. Diagram showing relation between Head and cost of Turbines and Governors.
 7. Diagram showing relation between Head, c.f.s, per unit, and Throat Diameter.
 8. Diagram showing relation between Head, Speed and Throat Diameter.
 9. Types of Banks adopted for estimating purposes.
 10-16. Thousand Island Section—Brockville to Clayton.

INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION

- 17-24. Single Stage Scheme—242.
 25. Relation between surges on Lake Ontario and Barometric Pressure.
 26-33. Two Stage Development—224.
 34-38. Two Stage Development—217—Crysler Island.
 39-43. Single Stage Development—238.
 44-45. Diagrams showing results of Backwater calculation.

vii

LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION

46-48. Project Recommended.

SOULANGES SECTION

- 49-50. Project Recommended--1st Stage of Ile aux Vaches Project.
- 52-53.
- 54-55.
- 56-57.
- -30. Project Recommended—Ist Stage of lie aux Vaches Project.
 51. Subsequent Stages of lie aux Vaches Project.
 -53. All River Development—Centre Pool Elev. 115.
 -55. All River Development—Centre Pool Elev. 125.
 -57. Navigation and Power Combined. Hungry Bay-Melocheville Route.
 -59. Alternative Navigation Project. Hungry Bay-Melocheville Route.
 -61. Alternative Navigation Project. Lateral Canal on North Side. 58-59.
- 60-61.

LACHINE SECTION

62-64. Project Recommended.

65-66. Power Development Subsequent to Project Recommended.

APPENDIX "D"

- Derivation of Discharge Stage relation for Lock No. 25.
- 2. Relation between Gauge reading at Lock 25 and discharge of St. Lawrence River.
- 3. 4.
- Relation between Gauge reading at Lock 25 and discharge of St. Lawrence River.
 Relation between Gauge reading at Grenville, Que, and discharge of the Ottawa River.
 Relation between Gauge reading at Upper St. Annes Lock and discharge of Ottawa River into Des Prairies and Mille Iles Rivers.
 Relation between Gauge reading at Upper St. Annes Lock and discharge of Ottawa River into Lake St. Louis.
 Derivation of Discharge Stage for Lock No. 5, Lachine. Period 1860-1877.
 Derivation of Discharge Stage for Lock No. 5, Lachine. Period 1904 to date.
 Relation between Gauge reading at Lock No. 5, Lachine, and discharge of St. Lawrence River. 5. 6.
- 8.
 - Lawrence River.
- Relation between Gauge Reading at Pointe Claire, Que., and discharge of St. Lawrence River. 9.
- Derivation of Discharge Stage for Lock No. 1, Lachine. Typical Discharge Stage relation for Lock No. 1, Lachine. Derivation of Discharge Stage for Varennes, Que. 10.
- 11.
- 12
- Derivation of Discharge Stage for Sorel, Que. 13.

APPENDIX "E"

- Air and Water Temperature at various points. Lake Ontario to Montreal, 1921, 1. Air and Water Temperatures at various points. Lake Ontario to Montreal, 1921, Air and Water Temperatures at various points. Lake Ontario to Montreal, 1924,
- 2. 3.
- 1925 and 1926. Effect of snowfalls and cold weather on height of ice jams in St. Lawrence River, 1913, 1916 and 1920.
- Effect of snowfalls and cold weather on height of ice jams in St. Lawrence River, 1918, 1922 and 1923. Effect of snowfalls and cold weather on height of ice jams in St. Lawrence River, 1922 and 1925. 4. 5.
- 1923 and 1925. 6.
- Effect of snowfalls and cold weather on height of ice jams in St. Lawrence River, 1924, 1925 and 1926. Relation between slope through ice packs and curvature of river. Slush sections, St. Lawrence River—Winter of 1923-24. Slush sections, St. Lawrence River—Winter of 1923-24. 7.
- 9.

viii

REPORT OF JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

ST. LAWRENCE WATERWAY PROJECT

1. The Joint Board of Engineers appointed by the Governments of the United States and Canada presents herein its report on the improvement of the St. Lawrence River between Lake Ontario and Montreal, and on related questions referred to it by the two countries.

2. The report is subdivided into the following parts:-

- Part I-Constitution of Board; Instructions to Board; General Description of Great Lakes and St. Lawrence; Prior Reports; Work Done by Board.
- Part II—The Great Lakes; Existing Diversions and their Effects; Remedial Measures; The Cost of Improving the Lake Channels to conform to the Improvement of the St. Lawrence.
- Part III—Improvement of the St. Lawrence above Montreal; The Plans Recommended by the Board for Improvement for Navigation and Power.
- Part IV—The St. Lawrence at and below Montreal; Effect of Diversions; Remedial Measures; Effect of the Proposed Improvement of the Upper St. Lawrence on the Lower River.
- Part V-Specific Answers to Questions contained in the Instructions to the Board.

45827-11

4

PART I

CONSTITUTION OF THE BOARD

3. The President of the United States appointed, on March 14, 1924, a national committee of nine members, designated as the St. Lawrence Commission of the United States, having as its chairman the Hon. Herbert Hoover, Secretary of Commerce, to act as an advisory committee to the Government on all questions that might arise in the consideration of the project for the improvement of the St. Lawrence.

4. The Government of Canada on May 7, 1924, appointed a National Advisory Committee of nine members, having as its chairman the Hon. George Perry Graham, Minister of Railways and Canals, to advise that Government on the matters relating to the project.

5. Following a recommendation of the International Joint Commission in a Report on the Improvement of the St. Lawrence River, dated December 19, 1921, it was agreed by the two countries that a Joint Board of Engineers, consisting of three members representing Canada and three members representing the United States, should be constituted to review the plans then formulated and to report on additional related matters referred to it with the mutual approval of the two countries.

6. The United States Government designated as members of the United States Section of the Board and as advisers to the St. Lawrence Commission of the United States, the following officers, assigned to that duty by orders of the War Department, dated April 2, 1924:-

Major General Edgar Jadwin, Chief of Engineers (then Colonel, Corps of Engineers).

Colonel William Kelly, Corps of Engineers.

Lieut.-Col. George B. Pillsbury, Corps of Engineers.

7. The Government of Canada appointed on recommendation of the Privy Council, approved by the Governor General, May 7, 1924, the following members of the Canadian Section of the Board, who also act as advisers of the National Advisory Committee of Canada:-

Mr. Duncan W. McLachlan, of the Department of Railways and Canals, Ottawa.

Mr. Olivier O. Lefebvre, Chief Engineer Quebec Streams Commission, of Montreal.

Brig.-General Charles Hamilton Mitchell, C.B., C.M.G., of Toronto.

8. INSTRUCTIONS TO BOARD. The instructions to the Joint Board of Engineers were agreed to by the two Governments by an exchange of notes dated February 4 and March 17, 1925, and are as follows:-

The Governments of Canada and the United States have accepted the recommendation, made by the International Joint Commission in its report dated December 19, 1921, that the question of the development of the St. Lawrence river for navigation and for the supply of power be referred to an enlarged joint board of engineers. It is desired that the new board should review the report dated June 24, 1921, made by the late Mr. W. A. Bowden and Col. W. P. Wooten, and that it should extend its inquiries to certain additional matters with a view to supplying the technical information

likely to be relevant to the proposals made in the report of the International Joint Com-mission above referred to. The new board is therefore charged at this time with reporting upon the following :-

1. Is the scheme for the improvement of the St. Lawrence waterway, presented by the board in its report of June 24, 1921, practicable and does it provide to the best advantage, at this time and ultimately, for the development of the capacities and possibilities of the waterway?

2. What alternative scheme, if any, would be better adapted to secure the ends desired, due consideration being given,

- (a) To any special international or local interests having an importance justifying exceptional consideration; and
- (b) To the extent and character of the damage through flooding and the probable effect of the works upon the formation of ice and the consequent effect on the flow of the river?

3. Should the estimates of cost be revised and, if so, what are the revised estimates of cost having regard to alternative schemes?

4. In order to assist either Government to allocate the amounts chargeable to navigation and power, what would be the respective estimated costs for improving the river for navigation alone and for power alone?

5. To what extent may water levels in the St. Lawrence river at and below Montreal, as well as the river and lake levels generally, be affected by the execution of the project?

- 6. (a) To what extent and in what manner are the natural water levels in the St. Law-
- (a) To what extent and in what manner are the natural water levels in the 3t. Law-rence river and on the lakes affected by diversions authorized by license by either Canada or the United States, from or in the St. Lawrence river watershed?
 (b) By what measures could the water levels or navigable depths affected by the diversions referred to in section 6 (a) be restored, and what would be the cost the cost the section 2. thereof?
- (c) How much power could be developed on the St. Lawrence river with the water diverted from the watershed referred to in section 6 (a) under-
 - (1) The plans recommended.
- (2) Alternative plans providing for a full practical development of the river? (d) Without considering compensation by the present relative diversions of water from the Niagara river and from lake Erie, and without prejudice to a future consider-ation thereof, what works, if any, could be constructed to recover on the St. Law-rence river the amount of power determined under section 6 (c), and what would be the cost of such works?

7. Having regard to economy of construction and maintenance, expedition of construction and efficiency of operation,-

(a) Which of the works should be constructed under the technical supervision of an international board and what other works, if any, might advantageously be constructed under such supervision?

(b) Which of the works should be maintained and operated by an international board and what other works, if any, might advantageously be so maintained and operated?

8. What, if any, readjustments in the location of the international boundary are necessary or desirable to place power structures belonging to either country within its borders, as recommended by the International Joint Commission?

9. If the board is of the opinion that it would be advantageous to provide in the first instance for channel depths other than 25 feet, but less than 30 feet, for what draft of vessel should provision be made?

10. Having regard to the recommendation of the International Joint Commission that 10. Having regard to the recommendation of the International Joint Commission that the new Welland ship canal should be embodied in the scheme and should be treated as a part thereof, and to the fact that if a greater depth than 21 feet be adopted for the initial project depth of the St. Lawrence, such greater depth would not be available to the upper lake ports without further work in the navigation channels in the lakes, what would be the cost of improving the main navigation channels between and through the lakes, so as to provide, without impairing the present lake levels for (a) a depth of 25 feet and (b) for such other depth not exceeding 30 feet, as may be determined by the board to be that for which it would be most advantageous to provide on the St. Lawrence river? which it would be most advantageous to provide on the St. Lawrence river?

11. What is the time required to complete the proposed works, the order in which they should be proceeded with, and the progress which should be made yearly toward the completion of each in order to secure the greatest advantage from each of the works and from the development of the waterway as a whole?

It is desired that the report be accompanied by such drawings as are necessary for showing the location and general character of the works proposed.

It is also desired that in the preparation of the report, due regard should be had to any diversions from or in the St. Lawrence River watershed which, at the date of the report, are authorized by license by either Canada or the United States.

It is desired that the board report, from time to time, on the matters referred to it as the progress of its inquiries permits, and that these inquiries be so prosecuted that, if practicable, the board should have reported on all such matters by the end of April, 1926.

9. Funds for the work of the Canadian Section of the Joint Board were voted by the House of Commons of Canada yearly as required. Funds for the American Section were provided by the Deficiency Act of March 4, 1925, which made available for that purpose, under the direction of the President, not exceeding \$275,000 of funds appropriated for maintenance and improvement of river and harbour works.

DESCRIPTION

10. The Great Lakes are the source of the St. Lawrence, and form with it a waterway system extending from the interior of the continent to the sea. Lake Superior, the uppermost and largest of the Great Lakes, discharges into lake Huron through the rapids of St. Marys falls and the St. Marys river. Lake Michigan is connected with lake Huron by the wide and deep straits of Mackinac. Lake Huron discharges into lake Erie through the St. Clair river, lake St. Clair, and the Detroit river. Lake Erie discharges into lake Ontario through the Niagara river. From lake Ontario, the St. Lawrence flows 533 miles northeast to Father Point, which marks its transition into the gulf of St. Lawrence. The first 115 miles of the river is on the international boundary between Canada and the United States; the remainder of its course is through Canadian territory. The city of Montreal is 183 miles downstream from lake Ontario.

11. The distances by the ordinary vessel routes from Duluth, Minn., and Port Arthur, Ont., at the head of lake Superior, to Kingston, Ont., at the head of the St. Lawrence, are respectively 1,160 and 1,038 statute miles. The distance from Chicago to the head of the St. Lawrence is 1,067 miles.

12. The fall, at mean stages, between lake Superior and lake Huron is 21 feet. Lake Michigan and lake Huron are at the same level. The fall from lake Huron to lake Erie averages 8.5 feet, taken up in the slopes of the connecting rivers. The fall from lake Erie to lake Ontario is 326 feet, of which approximately 165 feet is concentrated in the drop at Niagara falls proper. The fall from lake Ontario to Montreal harbour averages approximately 226 feet, and from Montreal to the sea about 20 feet, the latter distributed through the 160 miles of river between Montreal and Quebec.

13. PRESENT NAVIGATION. Navigation from lake Superior to lake Huron passes through the locks at St. Marys falls. Channels have been excavated through the St. Marys river above and below the locks, and through the St. Clair river, lake St. Clair, and the Detroit river, to afford a minimum depth of 20 feet at the lake levels that have been adopted as the standard for improvements. The extreme low stages reached by the lakes during the last few years have been generally below these levels, with the result that the channel depths are less than 20 feet. In the latter part of the navigation season of 1925, the depth available was 18 feet, and at no time during that year did the maximum draft that could be carried from lake Superior to lake Erie exceed 19 feet.

14. The dredged channels between lake Superior and lake Erie aggregate nearly 100 miles in length. Their cost, for capital account only, including the costs of the locks in the St. Marys river, has been as follows:---

Expended by the United States (to June 30, 1926) Expended by Canada (to March 31, 1925)	44,721,319 69 5,560,009 00	
	\$50,281,328 69	

15. Navigation from lake Erie to lake Ontario passes through the Welland canal, constructed and operated by the Dominion of Canada. The present Welland canal affords a depth of 14 feet at normal lake levels. The new Welland ship canal, under construction by Canada, is 25 miles in length, with 7 locks each having a lift of $46\frac{1}{2}$ feet, and one guard lock. The portions of this canal first excavated were given a depth of 25 feet; the later contracts provide for a depth of 27 feet. The depth over the sills of the locks is 30 feet, to provide for subsequent enlargement of the canal reaches. The cost of the new Welland ship canal to March 31, 1925, has been \$50,772,092.77, and the estimated total cost when completed is \$114,526,484. These figures do not include interest during construction.

16. Navigation on the St. Lawrence river from lake Ontario to Montreal is provided by isolated channel improvements and a series of side canals around the rapids (also constructed and operated by Canada), which afford 14 feet depth.

17. The channels between Montreal and the sea have been dredged to a depth of 30 feet and a project to provide a 35-foot depth is about half completed.

- (a) Lake navigation, operating normally on 20-foot draft, on and between all of the lakes except Ontario.
- (b) Canal navigation, operating normally on 14-foot draft, between lake Erie ports and Montreal through the Welland canal, lake Ontario, and the St. Lawrence.
- (c) Deep-sea navigation, from Montreal to the ocean.

19. The completion of the new Welland ship canal will open lake Ontario to lake navigation, which will then be separated from deep-sea navigation by the 183 miles of the St. Lawrence above Montreal.

20. The present lake commerce is upward of 100,000,000 tons per annum. The bulk cargoes, principally iron ore, coal, and grain, are moved in a special class of vessels developed for that purpose, of great length in proportion to their draft, so designed that they can be loaded and unloaded rapidly by special machinery installed for that purpose at terminal ports.

21. The present canal commerce through the Welland and St. Lawrence canals is carried by smaller vessels of similar design. These vessels are relatively high powered, to meet the swifter currents on the St. Lawrence. This commerce has been increasing rapidly in recent years; that on the St. Lawrence canals amounted to 6,206,988 tons in 1925. Nearly all of the grain reaching Montreal harbour in recent years is transported by this route.

22. NAVIGATION SEASONS. The average dates of opening and closing navigation on the inter-connecting channels of the Great Lakes and on the St. Lawrence river during the last twenty years have been as follows:—

Great Lakes above Welland canal, April 18 to December 19.

Welland canal, April 18 to December 16.

St. Lawrence canals above Montreal, April 26 to December 9.

The average date of the arrival of the first vessel from the sea into the port of Montreal during the last ten years has been April 28; the average date of the last departure for the sea, December 7.

23. THE ST. LAWRENCE. The part of the St. Lawrence with which this report is particularly concerned lies between lake Ontario and Montreal. The river here runs in deep slow-flowing reaches and lake-like expansions, readily improved for navigation, with intervening reaches of rapids and swift currents. For the first 67 miles from lake Ontario the river is a deep slow-flowing stream. It then passes through the remaining 49 miles of the international border in a succession of rapids and swift water. Leaving the border, the river expands into the quiet waters of lake St. Francis. From this lake it drops in a succession of rapids to lake St. Louis, and from lake St. Louis drops through more rapids to Montreal harbour.

24. As it is fed from the great reservoirs formed by the lakes, the St. Lawrence has a remarkably steady flow. The mean discharge out of lake Ontario during the past 66 years has been 246,000 cubic feet per second, the maximum average discharge for any month 318,000 cubic feet per second, and the minimum average discharge for any month 174,200 cubic feet per second. Except where affected by ice gorging in winter, the fluctuations in the river surface nowhere exceed a few feet. The bed and banks are not subject to erosion and the river is free from silt.

25. Geologically, the St. Lawrence is a new river. Rock surfaces exposed indicate the passage of the continental glaciers across the valley, and the bed of the swifter portions is paved with boulders from them, mingled with those formed from the country rock. The rock itself, as determined by borings, is generally uniform in contour, but is broken by valleys and ridges which strike across it northwards. These are sometimes intersected by depressions from pre-glacial drainage. In the upper reaches the rock disclosed by borings is crystalline limestone of a firm character and close texture, mostly quite suitable for supporting hydraulic structures. Between lakes St. Francis and St. Louis rock is a hard limestone and a hard sandstone, equally sound. In the lower reaches around Lachine and Montreal, there are igneous intrusions amongst limestone and shale which, while providing firm foundations, would require special protection against scouring.

26. The main banks and islands of the river are formed of mixtures of clay, sand, gravel, and boulders, lying or deposited on the rocky floor of the valley. These materials are mixed in strata and irregular bodies but, in general, tight enough to form fairly watertight foundations for hydraulic structures under low heads. The high points on both islands and mainland are capped with extensive but shallow boulder deposits.

PRIOR REPORTS

27. On the 21st of January, 1920, the Governments of the United States and Canada referred to the International Joint Commission, created by the treaty of the 11th of January, 1909, between the Governments of the United States and Great Britain, questions relating to the improvement of the St. Lawrence river between lake Ontario and Montreal for the purpose of making it navigable for deep-draft vessels, and securing the greatest beneficial use of the water for power.

28. Each of the Governments also designated an engineer to co-operate in the surveys necessary to plans for improvement, and in the preparation of plans and estimates. These engineers were instructed to submit the surveys, plans and estimates to the International Joint Commission.

29. Colonel William P. Wooten, Corps of Engineers, United States Army, was designated as the engineer for the United States, and the late Mr. W. A. Bowden, Chief Engineer, Department of Railways and Canals, was designated as the engineer for Canada, these officers receiving identical instructions from their respective Governments.

30. REPORT OF 1921. Their report was submitted to the International Joint Commission on June 24, 1921. It is hereinafter referred to in this report as the Report of 1921. The salient conclusions and recommendations in that report are as follows:—

(1) That the physical conditions (on the St. Lawrence) are favourable for improvements for navigation which will be permanent, and will have very low upkeep costs.

(2) That improvement of the entire reach from Montreal to lake Ontario for navigation alone is feasible, but the loss of the power that can be generated as a by-product in some reaches is not warranted.

(3) That the development of nearly all the potential power in the river, amounting to approximately 4,100,000 horse-power, can be made as co-ordinate parts of schemes for the improvement of navigation.

(4) That the simultaneous development of such a vast quantity of power is not a sound economic procedure, as a market to take this output is not now in existence, and cannot be expected to spring into being at once.

(5) That the sound method of procedure is to improve for navigation along those reaches where side canals and locks can most economically be used, and where the development of the power at some future time is not interfered with by the proposed improvements; and in that part of the river where the construction of locks and dams offers the most feasible means of improving navigation to provide for the development of the incidental power obtainable as a result of the heads created by the dams.

(6) That the improvements undertaken afford a navigation channel 25 feet in depth, with lock sills 30 feet in depth, so built as to permit the eventual enlargement of the channel to that depth.

(7) That the improvement be secured by the combined development for navigation and for power of the rapids section on the international boundary, side canals around the other rapid sections, and the necessary channel excavation elsewhere.

31. The estimated cost of the entire work to provide a 25-foot channel and to develop 1,464,000 horse-power was as follows:—

First division—side canal from Montreal Harbour to deep water in lake St. Louis	55,783,000
Second division—side canal from deep water in lake St. Louis to deep water in lake St. Francis	36,590,000 1,158,000
Fourth division—combined navigation and power development in international section, with annual power output of 1,464,000 horse-power (total installed capacity approximately 1,850,000 horse-power)	159,097,200 100,000
Total	\$252,728,200

32. The estimated cost of increasing the navigable depth throughout the entire stretch to 30 feet at a later date was \$17,986,180.

33. The report considered, but did not recommend, plans for power development in the First and Second divisions, respectively.

34. Of the total estimated cost of the project, \$159,097,200 was for the combined navigation and power development on the international section of the river. A head of 74 feet was to be developed by a dam across the river at the Long Sault rapids. A second dam was to be constructed 23 miles upstream at Ogden island, just upstream from Morrisburg, to provide navigation through the upper rapids of the reach, afford control over the flow of the river and insure suitable winter operation. The head of approximately 8 feet available at this dam in summer was not to be developed. The main dam and related structures were, however, to be so designed that they could be raised subsequently so as to utilize fully whatever head the operation of the works might show to be economically practicable.

35. It was estimated that if the improvements were carried on simultaneously it would be possible to complete them in eight years from the time the work was begun, if funds were made available as fast as needed.

36. The report pointed out that the construction of the upper dam proposed (at Ogden island) and the enlargement of the discharge capacity of the upper reaches of the river would afford control over the level of lake Ontario and the flow in the St. Lawrence river. This control can be so exercised as to raise the mean level of the lake without causing it to fluctuate beyond the limits previously reached. The studies made did not show, however, that any very great increase in the natural low-water flow can be made for the benefit of either power or navigation in Montreal harbour, or the ship channel below.

37. The engineers of the two countries united in all of the recommendations contained in the Report of 1921, except as to the program of regulation of the levels and outflow from lake Ontario which should be put into effect after the project was completed, each submitting a program regarded as most suitable to that end. The essential difference between the two was that the program proposed by the Canadian engineer provided for a greater restriction of the winter flow, with a view to creating more desirable ice conditions. With this restriction it was not possible to secure quite as favourable results from regulation as would be afforded by the program proposed by the United States engineer.

38. The plans presented in the Report of 1921 were made the subject of public hearings before the International Joint Commission. At these hearings

several alternative plans were presented for the consideration of the commission, especially with relation to the development of power in the International Section.

39. RECOMMENDATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION. The report of the International Joint Commission included the following recommendations:-

(1) That the Governments of the United States and Canada enter into an arrangement by way of treaty for a scheme of improvement of the St. Lawrence river between Montreal and lake Ontario.

(2) That the new Weiland ship canal be embodied in said scheme and treated as a part thereof.

(3) That the proposed works between Montreal and lake Ontario be based upon the report of the engineering board—(Report of 1921)—but that before any final decision is reached the report of the board, together with such comments, criticisms and alternative plans as have been filed with the commission be referred back to the board enlarged by other leading members of the engineering profession, to the end that the whole question be given that further and complete study that its magnitude and importance demand, and that after completion the administrative features of the improvement be carried out as set forth in recommendations 7 and 8 hereof.

(4) That there shall be an exhaustive investigation of the extent and character of the damage through flowage involved in the plan of development finally adopted.

(5) That, assuming the adoption of the plans of the engineering board, or of other plans also involving a readjustment of the international boundary, in order to bring each of the power houses on its own side of the boundary, appropriate steps be taken to transfer to one country or the other, as the case may be, the slight acreage of submerged land involved.

(6) That Canada proceed with the works necessary for the completion of said new Welland ship canal in accordance with the plans already decided upon by that country.

(7) That such "navigation works" as do not lie wholly within one country or are not capable of economic and efficient construction, maintenance and operation within one country as complete and independent units, be maintained and operated by a board here-inafter called "the International Board," on which each country shall have equal representation.

(8) That such "navigation works" as lie wholly within one country and are capable of economic and efficient construction, maintenance and operation as complete and independent units be maintained and operated by the country in which they are located with the right of inspection by the said international board to insure economy and efficiency.

(9) That "power works" be built, installed and operated by and at the expense of the country in which they are located.

(10) That, except as set forth in recommendation (11), the cost of all "navigation works" be apportioned between the two countries on the basis of the benefits each will receive from the new waterways: Provided, That during the period ending five years after completion of the works—and to be known as the Construction Period—the ratio fixing the amount chargeable to each country shall be determined upon certain known factors, such as the developed resources and foreign and coastwise trade of each country within the territory economically tributary to the proposed waterway, and that that ratio shall be adjusted every five years thereafter and based upon the freight tonnage of each country actually using the waterway during the previous five-year period.

(11) That the cost of "navigation works" for the combined use of navigation and power over and above the cost of works necessary for navigation alone should be apportioned equally between the two countries.

WORK DONE BY THE JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

40. A program of the field work and office investigations to be undertaken respectively by the two sections of the Board was adopted at a meeting held at Ottawa, April 13-16, 1925. This embraced surveys of the sections of the river not previously covered in detail, and borings to determine foundation

conditions at sites of proposed structures, with a special examination by shafts and borings at the site of the dam proposed in the Report of 1921 at the Long Sault rapids.

41. The Canadian Department of Railways and Canals, having available the data collected for the Report of 1921, continued investigations on the St. Lawrence river through the years 1922 and 1923 until the appointment of this Board in the spring of 1924. Through the remainder of that year the Canadian Section of the Board further continued these investigations, and in April, 1925, after the adoption of the Board's program, both of the sections vigorously prosecuted extensive surveys and discharge meterings together with numerous borings, completing these in the summer of 1926. The United States Section devoted itself mainly to surveys and borings in the International Section, including the special work at dam sites around Long Sault rapids. The two sections together have made upwards of 400 borings covering the most critical portions of the St. Lawrence river between the Galop and Lachine rapids; these included a set of borings across the river in the swift water at the head of the Cedars rapids. The Canadian Section carried out in November and December of 1924 and 1925 an extended set of temperature measurements to determine the rate of loss of heat in the river, and in February and March, 1926, a set of experiments to determine the resistance of ice as bearing on the design of dams in the river.

42. Each section employed a competent and extensive engineering force in office and field to carry out its investigations. The office staff of the United States Section was maintained at the United States Lake Survey, Corps of Engineers, United States Army, at Detroit, and the Canadian, at the Department of Railways and Canals at Ottawa. The United States Section engaged the services of the engineering firm of Viele, Blackwell and Buck as consulting engineers on features relating to power development.

43. The Board had available for its use a large volume of data obtained from other sources. This consisted not only of topographic and hydraulic information concerning the lakes and river, but a great number of boring determinations as well as construction and price data useful for estimating purposes. The various departments of the Canadian and United States Governments contributed a large part of this. Other sources of information were the reports of the United States Board of Engineers on Deep Waterways of 1900 and the Georgian Bay Canal Survey of 1908, and data supplied by the St. Lawrence Power Company, the Canadian Light and Power Company, and the Montreal Light, Heat and Power Consolidated, and by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario which for several years has carried on extensive investigations in the vicinity of Morrisburg and the Long Sault rapids. The Board has had a special advantage with respect to navigation cost data in the current prices established on the new Welland ship canal, a similar work now under construction. The Board has also received much valuable data from operating power companies and manufacturers of hydraulic and electrical machinery in both countries.

44. The Board held frequent meetings at various points on the river and Great Lakes, to study and discuss the problems involved in the improvement.

45. The results of these various investigations are set forth in appendices to this report.

PART II

IMPROVEMENT OF LEVELS AND OUTFLOW OF THE GREAT LAKES

46. This part of the report deals with,-

- (a) The extent to which the levels of the Great Lakes are affected by diversions of water (Question 6a of the instructions to this board).
- (b) The feasible measures for raising the levels of the lakes to correct the effect of authorized diversions, and to reduce the cost of improving the lake channels (Questions 6b and 10).
- (c) The extent to which the outflow from the lakes can be improved by the manipulation of their levels (Question 6d).
- (d) The cost of deepening the channels through and between the lakes (Question 10).

DESCRIPTION

47. The Great Lakes serve two great economic uses; as navigation routes of vital concern to the two countries; and as a reservoir to equalize the flow of the St. Lawrence river.

48. The supply of water to the Great Lakes is furnished by the inflow of the many relatively small rivers of their drainage basins, increased by the rainfall on the lakes themselves, and decreased by the evaporation from the lake surfaces. The total area of the drainage basins of the lakes is approximately 300,000 square miles, of which nearly one-third is occupied by lake surface. Computations show that the average supply received from the land areas about equals that received as rainfall on the lakes, but that roughly 40 per cent of this total gross supply is lost by evaporation. The net supply varies widely. The records show rates of net supply to the whole lake system exceeding 800,000 cubic feet per second for a month; and they also show months during which the evaporation from the lakes exceeded the water received from all sources, with a consequent negative net supply. The average monthly net supply for the months of April and May is at a rate exceeding 500,000 cubic feet per second; and the average net supply for the month of November is at a rate of less than 20,000 cubic feet per second.

49. Notwithstanding this wide variation in supply, the monthly mean outflow from the lakes during the past 65 years has ranged between the <u>narrow limits</u> of 318,000 cubic feet per second and 174,000 cubic feet per second. But even this minimum was due partly to ice retardation. The minimum monthly mean discharge with open-river conditions was 194,000 cubic feet per second.

50. The lakes absorb the great variations in supply because of the rise and fall of their levels. When the supply is high, they rise and store water; when it is low they fall and deliver the stored water. The average annual rise and fall of the various lakes due to the seasonal variations in supply is from $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet to 2 feet; but extreme variations in seasonal supply have caused fluctuations in lake levels ranging from 2.67 feet on lake Superior to over 4 feet on lake Ontario. Extreme high and low lake levels are reached at the ends of periods of excessive or deficient supply extending over several years. The maximum ranges of the monthy mean levels of the various lakes since 1860 vary from 3.5 feet on lake Superior to a little more than 6 feet on lakes Michigan and Huron.

51. The period of low rainfall occurring during the past few years has brought down the levels of the lakes, and with other factors mentioned later has created record low levels on lakes Michigan, Huron and Erie. The rains of the summer of 1926 have, however, started the levels upward, and the lakes will return to their ordinary levels if the increased rainfall continues.

DIVERSIONS AND OUTLET ENLARGEMENTS AFFECTING LAKE LEVELS

52. It is evident that as the level of a lake falls, that of its outlet river will fall also, and the discharge capacity of the outlet river will be reduced. When water is diverted from the outlet, the lake levels will be steadily lowered with respect to their natural levels until the discharge capacity of the outlet has been reduced by an amount corresponding to the diversion, after which the effect of the diversion on lake levels ceases to increase. Thus, at mean stages of lake Erie, a fall of 6 inches in its level will reduce the discharge capacity of its outlet, the Niagara river, by 11,000 cubic feet per second. After a diversion of 11,000 cubic feet per second has lowered lake Erie by 6 inches, it will be balanced by the reduced outflow, and from then on the lake levels will remain substantially 6 inches below the levels that they would have if the diversion were not in existence.

53. The relation between the volume of flow of the various outlet rivers and the elevation of their water surface, or stage, has been accurately determined by repeated current-meter measurements made during the past quarter century, and the amounts by which the various existing diversions have affected the lake levels can be stated with assurance.

54. The time required for the decreasing outflow to reach an equilibrium with the decreased supply due to a diversion depends on the area of the lake in relation to its outlet capacity. Under present conditions, approximate equilibrium is reached on lakes Erie and Ontario in about a year, but several years are required to establish this equilibrium on the great reservoir formed by the combined areas of lakes Michigan and Huron.

55. It is obvious that any enlargement of the outlet channel will lower the level of a lake in the same manner as a diversion of water.

56. The levels of the Great Lakes have been affected by the following artificial factors:—

- (a) The operation of the regulating works constructed to correct for the power diversions in the St. Marys river at the outlet of lake Superior.
- (b) The diversion of the Chicago Sanitary District from lake Michigan.
- (c) Diversions from lake Eric for power and navigation through the Welland canal and from the Niagara river.
- (d) Changes in the discharge capacity of the St. Clair river at the outlet of lake Huron, and of the St. Lawrence river affecting lake Ontario.

57. EFFECT OF REGULATING WORKS, ST. MARYS RIVER. The extensive diversions of water for power development at St. Marys falls, amounting to approximately 50,000 cubic feet per second, has made necessary the installation of gates across the river, at the head of the falls, to control the outflow and levels of lake Superior. The gates are operated and the diversions are controlled by an International Board of Control in accordance with conditions laid down by the International Joint Commission, May 26-27, 1914. Their operation substitutes artifi-

cial for natural control of the levels of lake Superior, and has, in general, increased the levels of that lake at low water, and somewhat diminished those at high water. The control of the outflow of lake Superior for power and for navigation at St. Marys falls has therefore, in general, been beneficial rather than injurious in its effect on the levels of lake Superior.

58. The operation of these regulating works has affected somewhat the levels of the other lakes, since the controlled discharge from lake Superior into them is at times greater than the natural discharge, and at times less. A computation shows that the maximum effect since the regulation was begun was reached in 1922 and 1923, when lakes Michigan and Huron were lowered by $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and lakes Erie and Ontario by 3 inches. From 1923 to 1925 the release of water from lake Superior was in excess of the outflow that would have occurred under natural conditions, with the consequence that by January, 1926, the other lakes were slightly higher than they would have been had there been no regulation of lake Superior.

59. DIVERSION OF CHICAGO SANITARY DISTRICT. The diversion by the Sanitary District of Chicago of an average yearly flow of 8,500 cubic feet per second from lake Michigan through the Chicago Drainage canal into the basin of the Mississippi river has been authorized by the United States under the terms of a revokable permit issued by the Secretary of War, effective March 3, 1925. The permit was issued subject to the conditions, among others, that the Sanitary District should construct extensive sewage purification works, and control works in the river, within five years, and provides that the authorization shall terminate on December 31, 1929, unless specifically extended. The estimated cost of the sewage purification works are 46 per cent completed.

60. The diversion by the Sanitary District authorized by the permit is exclusive of the water pumped by the city of Chicago into its water-supply system and thence passing through the sewers into the Drainage canal. The amount so diverted in 1924 was reported as about 1,200 cubic feet per second. The permit was made contingent upon the adoption by the city of Chicago of an extensive program for metering its water service, and the execution of this program within ten years. The metering, which is estimated to cost \$15,000,000, will reduce the amount of water diverted through the city water-supply system, and will expedite the sewage purification by reducing the volume to be treated.

61. The official reports of the War Department show that the total diversion, including that diverted via the water-supply system, has averaged 8,660 cubic feet per second during the past five years. The Secretary of War, in issuing the permit, informed the Sanitary District that the diversion of water should be reduced to reasonable limits with utmost despatch. It was appreciated that the desired reduction could not be made instantaneously, but the conditions required under the permit were drawn with a view to making a substantial reduction by the time the permit expires.

62. The diversion of the Chicago Sanitary District authorized by license by the United States is taken in the present report as the diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second specifically authorized in the permit issued by the Secretary of War.

63. BLACK RIVER DIVERSION. There is a small diversion from lake Huron into the Black river, which discharges into the St. Clair river below the head of the latter. Its effect on lake levels is negligible.

64. DIVERSIONS FROM LAKE ERIE. On the Welland canal, in addition to the water required for lockages, etc., diversions for power purposes aggregating the equivalent of a total of 2,050 cubic feet per second have been authorized by the Department of Railways and Canals of the Dominion of Canada. The best measurements available indicate a total present average flow of 3,100 cubic feet per second for both navigation and power. More water will be required for the large locks of the new deep-draft canal now under construction. The Board is informed by the Chief Engineer, Department of Railways and Canals of the Dominion of Canada that the total average flow will not exceed 5,000 cubic feet per second after the new canal is put in operation.

65. On the Niagara river a diversion for navigation purposes through the Black Rock canal, operated by the United States to carry lake shipping past the rapids at the head of the river, has a small effect on the levels of lake Erie. There is a diversion of approximately 1,500 cubic feet per second through the New York State Barge canal, including 275 cubic feet per second for power purposes. This water is drawn from the Niagara river at Tonawanda below the rapids at the head of the river and is discharged into lake Ontario. Its effect on lake levels is negligible. The effect of the considerable diversions for power on the Niagara river has been compensated for, at least to a large degree, by intake structures and the deposit of excavated material. The effect of the power diversions on the levels of lake Erie, if any, is also regarded as negligible.

66. The diversions via the Welland canal and the Black Rock canal affect not only the levels of lake Erie, but also to a small degree the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron.

67. CHANGES IN ST. CLAIR RIVER. The St. Clair river (the outlet of lake Huron) is the one outlet of the Great Lakes system whose discharge capacity is not controlled by a natural weir of rock. The river has a sand and gravel bed. Any change in the slope of the river has an effect on the level of lake Huron. At the entrance from lake Huron it is contracted in a deep and narrow channel known as the Port Huron rapids, changes in the cross-sectional area of which have a much greater effect than those in any other similar length of the river. There is every reason to believe that this contraction was formed by the drift of beach gravel from lake Huron.

68. A detailed analysis of all available gauge records made by the United States Lake Survey indicates that between 1890 and 1900 discharge capacity of the St. Clair river increased possibly to the extent of 0.34 foot of stage of Huron. The question has been raised as to whether this was due to the dredging of navigation channels in the river. Most of such dredging was done, however, through the delta of the St. Clair, where the river flows with a flat slope through a number of channels into lake St. Clair, and the extent of the dredging was insufficient to produce any sensible increase of the discharge capacity of the river as a whole. A more probable explanation of the apparent increase in discharge capacities during that period is the natural erosion of the gravel bed of the Port Huron rapids.

The discharge measurements subsequent to 1899 afford a more definite basis for determining the changes in the discharge capacity of the river since that year. The shoaling caused by the wrecks of two schooners in the Port Huron rapids in 1900 reduced the discharge capacity by 0.1 foot of stage, leaving a net change of 0.24 foot to that date. No further change is indicated by the discharge measurements until after 1908.

69. The computations of the United States Lake Survey show that, between 1908 and 1925, the discharge capacity again enlarged to the extent of 0.38 foot of stage, and that this increase occurred in the contracted section near the head of the river. Its computations show no indication of any sensible increase in the discharge capacity except in this section. They do not show that the dredging done for the improvement of navigation during this period (embracing the removal of a shoal opposite Port Huron to the depth required for navigation), or the dredging of gravel for commercial purposes downstream from this contracted section, which has been permitted by both the United States and Canda, has sensibly affected the discharge capacity of the river.

70. In order to improve the navigable depth to the Point Edward docks, at the foot of the Port Huron rapids, the Department of Public Works of the Dominion of Canada authorized the licensees of the province of Ontario to dredge gravel in this contracted section. The records of the province show a total of 1,519,000 cubic yards dredged from this locality during the period. A survey made in 1925 disclosed that this dredging had been carried on by the licensees and others to such an extent as to create a material enlargement of the crosssectional area of the river through a distance of about 6,000 feet, such enlargement for about one-half this distance amounting to more than 30 per cent of the original area. This survey showed an apparent removal of 2,400,000 cubic yards. The computed effect of the enlargement is 0.29 foot and agrees reasonably closely with the observed increase in the discharge capacity during the period. The survey showed that the narrow section above the location of the dredging had contracted during the period, leaving this dredging as the only assignable cause of the increase in the discharge capacity of the river.

71. From the above figures, the total effect of the enlargement of the discharge capacity of the river is taken at 0.6 foot of stage.

72. Precise information as to the effect of gravel dredging in the part of the river below Point Edward cannot be given at the writing of the report, but a joint survey is being made by the officers of the two countries covering the uppermost six miles of the river. From this survey further information will become available in regard to this matter.

73. CHANGES IN DETROIT RIVER. The Detroit river has a wide sill of ledge rock across its lower reaches. The enlargement of the natural channels through this section of the river was commenced in 1876 and has been progressive since that time. In the lack of contemporaneous discharge measurements, the effect of the earlier excavation cannot be determined, but the amount of this excavation is insufficient to have caused any material increase in the discharge capacity of the St. Clair-Detroit outlet as a whole. In 1907 the excavation of a new straight channel, known as the Livingstone channel, was begun, but in the execution of the work the excavated material was so deposited as to compensate for the enlargement. The discharge measurements and computations made by the United States Government engineer in charge of the improvement since the opening of the channel have convinced the Board that the compensation for all channel excavation since 1901 was accomplished.

74. CHANGES IN NIAGARA RIVER. The Niagara river has had various minor contractions by bridge piers, shore encroachments, etc., and enlargements through the dredging of gravel for commercial purposes. Recent discharge measurements show that these have so closely balanced each other that the discharge capacity of the river has been substantially unchanged. 45827-2

75. CHANGES IN ST. LAWRENCE RIVER. In the St. Lawrence river, the works undertaken by the Canadian Government in connection with the present 14-foot navigation included the closure of a minor channel at the head of the Galop rapids by what is known as the Gut Dam. This work was undertaken for the purpose of improving navigation at the rapids, but caused a reduction in the discharge capacity of the outlet of lake Ontario, which, in addition to counteracting minor channel enlargements made in the same period, raised the levels of the lake by somewhat more than 0.4 foot.

76. CONTROL OF DREDGING SAND AND GRAVEL IN OUTLET RIVERS. The estimates of the cost of the channels of specified depths through and between the lakes, hereinafter presented, are based on the premise that the lake levels will not be lowered by the further enlargement of their outlets through the dredging of sand and gravel for commercial purposes. The control of this dredging to prevent injurious enlargements is now being considered in correspondence between the two countries.

77. SUMMARY OF EFFECT OF DIVERSIONS AND OUTLET CHANGES. Omitting the small and varying changes resulting from the regulation of lake Superior, the effect of the various diversions and outlet changes is found to be as follows. The minus sign indicates a lowering of lake levels and the plus sign a raising of lake levels.

	Amount of diversion,	Ef on le	fect, in feet evels of Lak	, ces
Cause	cubic feet per second	Michigan and Huron	Erie	Ontario
Authorized Diversions:— Chicago Sanitary District Power diversions, Welland canal		$-0.5 \\ -0.025$	$-0.4 \\ -0.1$	$-0.4 \\ 0$
All present diversions and outlet changes:- Chicago Sanitary District Welland canal. Black Rock canal. Changes in St. Clair river outlet-	8,660 3,100 1,000	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.5 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.01 \end{array} $	-0.4 -0.15 -0.05	-0·4 0 0
Prior to 1908. Subsequent to 1908. Gut Dam.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{vmatrix} -0.3 \\ -0.3 \\ \dots \\ $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+0.4
Total	Talt the	-1.15	*-0.6	0.0

*Upon the opening of the new Welland Ship Canal the lowering of the level of Lake Erie will be increased to 0.7 feet.

IMPROVEMENT OF LAKE LEVELS AND OUTFLOW

78. COMPENSATING AND REGULATING WORKS. The levels of the Great Lakes can be raised by works in their outlet rivers, which may be wholly in the form of fixed weirs and contractions or may be provided with sluice gates. The first of these have come to be termed compensating works, while the second are termed regulating works.

79. The effect of compensating works is to raise both the high and low lake levels in substantially the same degree, the fluctuation of levels remaining unchanged. After the lake levels have adjusted themselves to the new regimen of the outlet, the outflow from the lake will likewise be substantially the same as

if the compensating works had not been built. By operating the gates of regulating works, the discharge from a lake, and consequently the levels of the lake, can be controlled within limits to be discussed later.

80. REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO. The regulation of Lake Ontario is an inherent part of the plans for the improvement of the St. Lawrence river for navigation and power, proposed in Part III of this report, since these plans include a major enlargement of the rock sill at the head of the Galop rapids, which now controls the outflow from the lake, and provide for the control of outflow by sluice gates. The program for the regulation of lake Ontario recommended by the Board is presented in Appendix B.

81. REGULATION OF OTHER LAKES. Since regulating works are already in operation at the outlet to lake Superior, as a consequence of the large power diversions at St. Marys falls, there remains only the consideration of compensating or regulating works at the outlet of lake Huron (controlling also the levels of lake Michigan) and of lake Erie.

82. A widespread belief has arisen among members of the engineering profession as well as among the public at large, that a remedy for low lake levels and discharges can be found through a comprehensive system of regulation of these lakes. The Board has given the question searching study, and has turned to compensating works in the outlets of lake Huron and Erie only after it was found that the results that can be secured from regulating works are entirely incommensurate with their cost.

83. LIMITATIONS OF LAKE REGULATION. To many of the persons concerned in the levels of the Great Lakes, the apparent remedy for such low-water levels as are now occurring is the construction of regulating works across their outlets, with gates which can be closed at low-water periods to hold back the water which now runs out in excess of the supply, and which can be opened when the supply again becomes normal. It is the excess discharge during low-water periods, however, that furnishes the bulk of the flow of the Niagara and St. Lawrence rivers. There have been times when, for two months consecutively, practically all of the water flowing out of the lakes into the St. Lawrence came from the recession of lake levels. The lake levels would therefore have to be allowed to recede, when the rainfall is deficient, to maintain the natural lowwater flow in the Niagara and St. Lawrence rivers.

84. Similarly, when the lakes reach high stages, it is not possible to hold back more water for storage against a future low supply, without raising the Lakes to such extent as would do great damage to industries and lands on the lake shores.

85. The operation of regulating works must therefore be limited to holding back water in storage when the supply is in excess of the requirements of the Niagara and St. Lawrence rivers, and the stages of the lakes are at the same time such that the water can be stored without risk of causing excessively high levels. The water stored can subsequently be used for maintaining the outflow of the Niagara and St. Lawrence during periods of deficient supply without drawing down the Lakes as far as they would fall under present conditions.

86. The lake levels can be raised by compensating works to the extent regarded as justifiable with respect to high lake levels. With regulating works the range of stage can be reduced, so that, with the same high levels, the low levels will be higher than those secured by compensating works.

45827-21

87. REGULATION FOR LAKE NAVIGATION. To determine the extent of the benefit, a program of regulation was formulated by the Board, which was designed to secure, with as complete a control over the outflow of the lakes as is at all practicable, the maximum improvement in lake levels, and at the same time assure a minimum discharge of 176,000 cubic feet per second out of lake Erie and 200,000 cubic feet per second into the St. Lawrence river. The natural discharge heretofore has fallen below these figures but 5 per cent and 15 per cent of the time, respectively. This program was then applied to conditions that actually occurred on the lakes during the period from 1894 to 1925, inclusive. Considering only the levels affecting navigation, and eliminating the fluctuation in the natural stages which were due to progressively increasing diversions and outlet enlargements, the results are as follows:---

Lakes	Range of stage of Lakes as regulated	Range in stage if not regulated	Gain by regulation
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie Ontario	Feet $2 \cdot 4$ $2 \cdot 4$ $2 \cdot 8$ $2 \cdot 8$ $2 \cdot 8$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Feet} \\ 2 \cdot 8 \\ 3 \cdot 5 \\ 3 \cdot 3 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	Feet 0.4 1.1 0.5 1.4

88. The mnimum cost of regulating works necessary to put the program into effect is estimated at 36,400,000. The cost of securing the same improvement in lake channels and harbours by compensating works supplemented by dredging is 13,400,000, it being assumed that the dredging is undertaken in both cases as a part of the comprehensive project for channel enlargement. It is clear, therefore, that the construction of regulating works for the benefit of lake navigation is not economically justified.

89. Moreover, regulation works in the St. Clair river will necessarily be a burden to its present intensive water traffic. A preliminary investigation indicates that the control over the discharge of the river necessary to regulation could be obtained by a series of works, each with an open navigable pass having a width, depth, and current velocity suitable for navigation, and the estimate of \$36,400,000 is based on such a scheme. The scheme involves the maintenance of many miles of channel at the predetermined dimensions necessary to accomplish the result, and its practicability is not assured. It would certainly afford a waterway less convenient for navigation than are the present free channels. The somewhat more expensive plan that has been advanced, of works in which locks would be provided to pass vessels at the regulating works, would be more certain of operation, but would inflict a serious loss on present commerce through the delay of lockage. The total delay for each vessel passage, including the time lost in approaching the lock and delays awaiting lockage, would be approximately one hour. The aggregate economic loss resulting from such a delay to the great vessel movement through the waterway would be in the vicinity of \$1,000,000 per annum.

90. Furthermore, an analysis of the outflow from the lakes afforded by the program of regulation tested shows that, while the lowest outflow would be somewhat increased, the discharge would be held down to a lower flow than now occurs for nearly half the time, in order to build up the lake levels. As explained in Appendix B, a prolongation of the periods of low discharge disproportionate to the increase in the minimum discharge is an inevitable conse-

quence of the restricted discharge capacity of the lake systems. Aside from the effect on the future development of power, such long-continued low discharges would have serious consequences in reducing the water levels in Montreal harbour.

91. Various modified programs for regulation were tried out, but all with the same result; such improvement in lake levels as could be secured was at a cost greatly in excess of the saving effected in future channel and harbour dredging, and at the expense of prolonging the periods of low flow in the St. Lawrence.

92. REGULATION FOR POWER. While the general regulation of the Great Lakes is clearly inadvisable for the purpose of improving the lake levels for lake navigation, there remained a question whether it might be justifiable for the purpose of increasing the flow for power on the St. Lawrence. A study was made, therefore, to determine the results that could be expected if the operation of the works was directed toward that end, instead of toward reducing the fluctuations in the levels of the lakes. While the outflow could be thus redistributed to increase the primary power potentially available, no program of regulation was found that would increase materially the total output of plants with an installed capacity sufficient to utilize the mean flow of the river. The advisability of undertaking the regulation for the benefit of the power on the St. Lawrence depends, therefore, wholly on the nature of the market for power that may develop as the installation of power works proceeds. The regulation of lake Ontario alone will afford a sufficent control over the flow of that river for the advantageous development of power until at least the enormous amounts available without further regulation is absorbed. There is, therefore, no present justification for the great expenditure necessary to provide regulating works in the interest of power production.

93. GENERAL ASPECTS OF REGULATION. Regulation works could be administered to serve either of two divergent purposes. They could be used to decrease the fluctuations in the lake levels for the benefit of navigation and of riparian interests on the Lakes, at the expense of the outflow into the St. Lawrence; or they could be used to improve the outflow into the St. Lawrence for the benefit of power production and of navigation in the lower river, at the expense of the levels of the Lakes. The predominant interests concerned in the levels of the Great Lakes are in the United States; the predominant interests concerned in the outflow into the St. Lawrence are in Canada. Lake regulation might therefore, create points of difference between various interests in the two countries. It is not even possible to fix in advance a definite allocation of such benefits as might accrue from lake regulation, because any program of regulation must be based on past experience as to the supply of water to the lake system. If a future deficiency in supply should exceed past records in extent and duration, the question would arise whether the emergency should be met by holding back water in the lakes at the expense of the St. Lawrence, or whether the navigable depth in Montreal harbour is to be maintained at the expense of lake navigation.

94. The regulation of lake Superior has been satisfactory to the two countries for the reason that the fluctuations introduced in discharge from that lake are absorbed in the great reservoir formed by lakes Michigan and Huron without greatly affecting the levels of the latter or materially affecting the discharge of the Niagara and the St. Lawrence rivers. The recent great deficiency in supply to lake Superior, which was not anticipated when the program for regulation was drawn up, gave rise, therefore, to no special complications.

The regulation of lake Ontario, proposed as a necessary part of the improvement of the St. Lawrence, affects but one lake only, which has but 8 per cent of the area of the Great Lakes system. Its regulation will not affect in any substantial manner divergent national interests, and is a relatively minor problem, whose solution offers no serious difficulties. The regulation of the lakes as a whole is an entirely different matter.

95. COMPENSATING WORKS. The investigations made by the Board show that it is advisable to construct compensating works in the Niagara and St. Clair rivers to counteract the effect of all diversions and outlet enlargements on the levels of lakes Michigan, Huron, and Erie.

96. WORKS PROPOSED, NIAGARA RIVER. The works proposed in the Niagara river are located just above the contracted section of the river at Fort Erie, and in effect merely prolong this contracted reach. A longitudinal dyke, approximately one-half mile in length, is to be constructed to secure the required contraction. It is to be connected with the Canadian shore by a weir with its erest slightly below low-water-level, which will force practically all of the flow through the contraction at low lake levels, and a less proportion of the flow at high lake levels. The structures will not interfere with the free passage of ice, nor with such light-draft navigation as follows the river instead of using the Black Rock canal. In view of the approaching opening of the new Welland ship canal, with an increased diversion for its operation, they are designed to raise the low levels of lake Erie by 0.7 foot and the high levels by a slightly less amount. Should the amounts of the present or prospective diversions be reduced, the works can be altered at small cost to balance the reduced diversion. The cost of these works is estimated at \$700,000.

97. WORKS PROPOSED, ST. CLAIR RIVER. The works proposed on the St. Clair river are a series of submerged rock sills with crests 30 feet below the low-water stage of the river. It has been shown in paragraph 77 that present diversions and outlet enlargements have lowered the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron by 1.15 feet. The Board regards it as safe to restore them to the extent of one foot. The back-water effect of the compensating works proposed in the Niagara river is computed as 0.15 foot on lake Huron. It is estimated that 31 sills in the St. Clair river, will secure the remaining 0.85 foot of compensation proposed, at a cost of \$2,700,000.

98. This form of compensating works is selected primarily for the reason that the sills will not reduce the navigable width of this important waterway, nor will they increase the cost of providing a channel depth of 30 feet. While these works once built cannot be altered readily to meet a future reduction in the amount of the Chicago diversion, yet on account of the commercial value of the gravel in the river bed, it would not be costly to again enlarge the capacity of the river to meet such a reduction.

99. CONSTRUCTION PERIODS. To avoid an unwarranted reduction in the flow of the Niagara and St. Lawrence rivers while the lakes are being raised by the compensating works, the construction on the Niagara river should be spread over two years, and on the St. Clair river over four years' time, and the prosecution of the latter should be suspended during any extreme low-water periods that may occur at the time they are undertaken.

100. COMPENSATION FOR AUTHORIZED DIVERSIONS ONLY. The proposed compensating works will counteract not only the effect of diversions authorized by license in the United States and Canada, but also the effect of outlet enlargements, diversions for navigation, and diversions not covered by license. The

lake levels could be restored by similar but less extensive works to the extent that they have been reduced by diversions authorized by license in the two countries. The cost of such works would be nearly proportional to the amount of compensation of level effected, and is estimated as follows:—

Diversion compensated for	Cost of works in Niagara River	Cost of works in St. Clair River
Chicago Sanitary District Power diversions, Welland Canal	\$ 400,000 100,000	\$ 1,350,000

COST OF DEEPENING CHANNELS THROUGH AND BETWEEN THE LAKES

101. An uncompensated enlargement of the navigation channels through the St. Clair and Detroit rivers would slightly increase the discharge capacity of these rivers and hence will tend to lower the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron. On the Detroit river an enlargement can be compensated by the deposit of the excavated material. On the St. Clair river some additional compensating works will probably be required. The cost of these, to counterbalance the excavation of a channel to a depth of 25 feet, is estimated at \$200,000.

102. The cost of improving the channels between lake Erie and lake Superior to secure a depth of 25 feet below the levels which past experience indicates will be available 99 per cent of the time during the navigation season, after compensating works have been constructed, is as follows:—

TWENTY-FIVE	FOOT	CHANNEL.
-------------	------	----------

Cost of	compensating works.	3,600,000 41,100,000	
		Total	\$44,700,000

The present project for the new Welland ship canal, when completed, will give this depth of 25 feet between lake Erie and lake Ontario.

103. The estimates are based on the deepening of present channels, with such minor enlargements and straightening as experience with these channels has proved necessary. The lake levels on which the depths are based are:—

	601.0
Lake Superior	579.0
Lakes Michigan and Huron	573 75
Lake St. Clair	571.0
Lake Erie	011.0

The estimates do not include a new lock in the St. Marys river, since the available depth in two locks last built by the United States, the Davis and Fourth locks, is 24 feet when lake Huron is at the level chosen as a basis for this improvement. The additional depth provided in the 25-foot channels is no more than is required for safe and convenient navigation.

104. The estimates show that a saving of approximately \$1,250,000 will be effected in providing channels 25 feet in depth through and between the lakes by including compensating works in the project as proposed, rather than by securing the depth by dredging only. The construction of these compensating works will

afford also increased depth in all the harbours, large and small, on lakes Michigan, Huron, and Erie, and will reduce the cost of improving such harbours as may be deepened to correspond with the enlarged interlake channels. Moreover, without compensating works, the low-water depth in the Davis and Fourth locks at St. Marys falls will be but 23 feet. The construction of compensating works is therefore fully justified.

105. The costs of channels 27 and 30 feet deep, respectively, through and between the lakes at the same lake levels as those on which the channel 25 feet deep is based, are as follows:—

FOR A TWENTY-SEVEN-FOOT CHANNEL

Compensating works, Niagara and St. Clair rivers Channel excavation, lake Erie to lake Superior Lock in St. Marys river New Welland ship canal, in addition to present project	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{3,700,000} \\ 54,900,000 \\ 6,500,000 \\ 1,100,000 \end{array}$
Total	\$66,200,000
FOR A THIRTY-FOOT CHANNEL	
Compensating works, Niagara and St. Clair rivers Channel excavation, lake Erie to lake Superior Lock in St. Marys river New Welland ship canal, in addition to present project	3,800,000 75,900,000 6,500,000 14,100,000
	\$100,300,000

The studies made by the Board relating to lake levels and outflow, and to works for their control, will be given at length in Appendix B.
PART III

THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER

106. This part of the report sets forth the plans presented by the Board for the improvement of the St. Lawrence river for navigation and power, between lake Ontario and Montreal Harbour.

DESCRIPTION

107. For convenience of reference, the Board will use the following names to designate the five sections into which this part of the river naturally divides itself. In order downstream these are:—

The Thousand Islands Section (Fifth Division of the Report of 1921), embracing the deep, lake-like reaches of the river, 67 miles in length, from lake Ontario to the first swift water at Chimney point, 3 miles downstream from Ogdensburg, N.Y., and Prescott, Ont.

The International Rapids Section (Fourth Division of the Report of 1921), embracing the 48 miles of rapids and swift water between Chimney point and the head of lake St. Francis.

The Lake St. Francis Section (Third Division of the Report of 1921), extending 26 miles through that lake to the end of deep water at its foot.

The Soulanges Section (Second Division of the Report of 1921), embracing the 18 miles of rapids and shoal water from lake St. Francis to lake St. Louis.

The Lachine Section (First Division of the Report of 1921), embracing lake St. Louis and the rapids and shoals from this lake to Montreal Harbour, a length of 23 miles.

108. The first two sections lie along the international boundary, between the province of Ontario and the state of New York. The remaining three lie in the province of Quebec. The improvement of the Thousand Islands Section and of the Lake St. Francis Section is solely a question of excavating channels for navigation. The other three sections can be improved for power in addition to navigation.

GENERAL FEATURES OF PLANS

NAVIGATION

109. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES. The plans have been prepared in accordance with the recognized principle that the interests of navigation on the St. Lawrence are paramount. A full observance of this principle does not interfere with the beneficial use of the flow of the river for power generation. On the contrary, the improvement of the rapid sections of the river for the joint benefit of navigation and power affords, as a rule, much better navigation than could be secured by the improvement now economically justifiable in the interest of navigation alone.

110. In accordance with its instructions, the schemes presented by the Board are designed to provide to the best advantage, at this time and ultimately, for the development of the capacities and possibilities of the waterway. The magnitude of the interests in the two countries that would be affected

by the improvements if the project be adopted have been fully considered. The Board has visualized the fullest ultimate development of the navigable capacity of the waterway commensurate with cost. The endeavour has been made to provide the maximum amount of open-river navigation, with a minimum of locks and of canal navigation. For the initial improvement it has adopted the minimum standards hereinafter set forth, but the plans are so drawn that the navigation improvements can be enlarged, at the least economic loss, as the traffic justifies further improvement. Plans that would restrict the best eventual development of the waterway for navigation have therefore been discarded.

111. CHANNEL DEPTH. Conforming to the tenor of the instructions, the estimates are based on navigation channels 25 feet in depth. The sills of all locks and fixed structures are placed at 30 feet depth to permit of the future enlargement of the waterway. The Board has given careful consideration to the question whether it would be advantageous to provide initially for a channel depth other than 25 feet (Question 9 of Instructions). A majority of the Canadian Section favour the initial excavation to a depth of 27 feet. This is the depth to which the new Welland ship canal is being carried under the present contracts, and to which the sections of the canal previously excavated can be enlarged at relatively small cost. A majority of the United States Section regard the depth of 25 feet as sufficient initially, in the view that a project for a greater depth through the interlake channels above lake Erie is not foreseen for a long period. To afford full information on which to base the determination of this broad question of economic policy, the Board presents, in the summaries at the end of this part of the report, the estimates of the additional cost of excavating the channels initially to 27 feet; of the saving effected with an initial depth of 23 feet; and of the cost of subsequently enlarging the channel from 25 feet to 30 feet. Estimates for channels 23 feet deep are in-cluded, since such channels would accommodate comfortably all shipping that can use the existing interlake channels above lake Erie. The designs herein presented, and the alignment of the channels, are not affected by the depth to which the channels are excavated initially.

112. To remove any confusion between the depth of the channels and the draft of the vessels which can use them, the Board points out that channels 25 feet in depth are suitable for safe and convenient navigation by vessels of not to exceed 23 feet salt-water draft, and channels 27 feet in depth by vessels of 25 feet salt-water draft. For vessels of this size fresh-water draft exceeds salt-water draft by from 6 to 7 inches.

113. STANDARDS FOR CHANNELS AND LOCKS. The Board recommends and has adopted the following standards for navigation improvements:

Channels for navigation have a minimum width of 450 feet, except in canal sections, where they have a bottom width of 200 feet (at 25-foot depth). Open channels are widened where advisable on account of cross currents and at bends, and are both widened and deepened as required to afford suitable current velocities for navigation. The minimum radius of curvature of the channels is 5,000 feet.

The locks conform in dimensions with those in the new Welland ship canal, and have chambers 859 feet in length between inner quoin posts, and 766 feet between breast wall and fender. The clear width of the locks is 80 feet, and the depth over the sills 30 feet. Duplicate sets of gates are so provided that two gates may always be closed against the upper level. Fenders will afford an additional safety precaution, and guard gates or emergency dams are provided when necessary to afford a means for stopping the flow that would result

from the accidental destruction of any lock gates. The plans are so drawn that all locks can be duplicated as commerce requires additional facilities, and the estimates include the foundations for duplicating all flight locks, since these have less ultimate traffic capacity than single locks.

114. CAPACITY OF WATERWAY. The 25-foot waterway as designed has an estimated traffic capacity of 24,000,000 tons per annum after any flight locks included in the adopted plans have been duplicated. Flight locks are included in alternative plans for the improvement of the Soulanges Section only. With these alternative plans the initial capacity of the waterway would be 16,000,000 tons per annum until the duplicate locks of the flight were completed, after which the traffic capacity would be 24,000,000 tons, established by the capacity of the separate lock of the system having the highest lift.

POWER

115. POWER INSTALLATIONS. The plans provide for an initial construction of power plants based on conservative estimates of the rate at which power can be marketed under restrictions as to exportation. The demand for power the world over is growing rapidly and the great potential power of the St. Lawrence river may well become an important factor in the economic welfare of the two countries. The Board has therefore drawn its plans with especial view to the eventual utilization of the complete power resources of the river.

116. The various power houses have the capacity for the development of the maximum flow which the Board considers as utilizable in the future. The interests of navigation require that the flow down the St. Lawrence be maintained at a high degree of uniformity, and prevent the maximum use of water for power by fluctuating the hourly flow to meet the fluctuating power demand. An installed capacity well in excess of the minimum flow of the river has been provided, however, since the increasing value of power will justify its eventual development from the flow available during high-water periods only.

117. The ultimate installation proposed by the Board in the International Rapids Section is somewhat less than the installation proposed by some of the applicants for authority to develop power in this section. The excess installed capacity provided in the plans of these applicants would afford little return on account of the limits inherent in the regulation of flow required in the interests of navigation and of power downstream.

118. The initial installation of power machinery in each power house will depend on the market available when the works are put in operation. For purposes of estimating the initial expenditures required, the initial installation is taken at 50 per cent of the eventual capacity of the power houses first constructed.

119. WINTER POWER OPERATION. A full study has been given to the winter operation of power plants. The fundamental problem is found to be the maintenance of the winter discharge capacity of the river without excessive loss of head from gorging with ice, rather than the local problems of handling the ice at the power plants themselves.

120. The power sections of the river now have so rapid a current that (with an exception elsewhere noted in this report) they always run open throughout the winter. 'From the time that the water reaches the freezing point, in late December or early January, until the end of winter, these exposed reaches are continuously losing heat and making ice, in the form of frazil and anchor ice.

Frazil is the term applied to the particles of ice forming in water where the current prevents the formation of a surface ice sheet. These particles agglomerate in pans of soft, snow-like ice, which float down the surface of the river. Anchor ice is the ice forming on the bed of the river, due to the loss of heat by radiation. It rises to the surface when loosened by the heat of the sun, and floats downstream in masses resembling frazil ice. The term "slush ice" is often applied to both. The masses of slush ice are carried down by the current and pack under and against the ice sheet formed over the quiet water at the foot of the reach, gorging the channels to such an extent that rises in the water level of from 10 to 30 feet occur in winter at the foot of each open section.

121. The construction of a dam in any of the power sections for the dual purpose of concentrating head for power development and of improving the river for navigation will, in the general case, create a deep slow-flowing pool, certain to freeze over early in the winter. The situation to be guarded against is the throttling of the river by the gorging of the channel at the upper end of this frozen pool. It is established by the Board from measurements of the loss of heat from the river, confirmed by measurements of the ice actually formed, that, with the temperatures obtaining in the region, from 15 to 20 cubic feet of ice will be made in the course of a severe winter for every square foot of open water. It is found, however, that in all cases where the current velocity is as low as 2.25 feet per second, the frazil and anchor ice consolidates on the surface when it meets an ice sheet, and extends this sheet upstream, without the excessive gorging and throttling of the river that occur at higher current velocities. The plans for power development are therefore based on enlarging the upper reaches of the power sections by excavation where necessary to insure, with the discharges that must be maintained in winter, current velocities not exceeding 2.25 feet per second, except through short distances at the upper ends of the power reaches where the remaining area of open water could not produce enough ice to be of serious consequence. Such ice as may be formed in these short distances would be stowed in nearby enlargements of the river below

With an ice sheet extending down to the intakes of the power houses, the operation of the power plants will be nearly, if net entirely, free from ice difficulties.

122. MODIFICATION OF PLANS DURING CONSTRUCTION. In such an extensive project as that for the improvement of the St. Lawrence it is not possible, even in the time consumed by the Board in its investigations, to arrive at the best possible design of all features of the project, both for navigation and for power. The estimates are based on safe and adequate structures and channels, but it is expected that the responsible authorities in charge of the construction will exercise the usual latitude in making such alterations as are found to be desirable in consequence of more detailed studies, and the development of the art.

123. DATUM PLANE USED IN REPORT. All elevations in this report are elevations above mean sea-level. The precise reference planes used are described in Appendix C.

THOUSAND ISLANDS SECTION

(Fifth Division of Report of 1921)

124. This section, 67 miles in length, extends from Tibbets point, taken as marking the end of lake Ontario, to Chimney point, 3 miles downstream from the towns of Ogdensburg, N.Y., and Prescott, Ont. The river is generally

broad, deep and slow flowing, with a total fall at mean stage of but about one foot. Between Clayton, N.Y. (mile 20) and Brockville, Ont. (mile 52) a number of granite reefs endanger navigation, and the narrow deep channels through the Thousand Islands and the Brockville group require some straightening for safe and convenient navigation by deep-draft vessels. The improvement proposed is the removal of twelve reefs and the cutting back of four projecting points, all to a depth of 25 feet below a datum plane corresponding to elevation 242.5 on lake Ontario. The cost, determined from a detailed survey made by the present Board, is \$1,100,000. Details of the estimate are given in Appendix C.

125. The work recommended follows the same lines as that proposed in the Report of 1921, but the estimated cost is greatly increased on account of the more accurate data secured since that report.

INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION

(Fourth Division Report of 1921)

126. DESCRIPTION. This section extends from Chimney point (mile 67) to Colquhoun island (mile 115), opposite St. Regis, at the head of lake St. Francis, a distance of 48 miles. The river here runs in a succession of rapids, beginning with the Galop rapids, near the head of the section, and ending with the Long Sault rapids (miles 103 to 104), with the Rapide Plat just above Morrisburg, about midway between. Swift currents predominate in the reaches between the rapids and extend to the middle of Cornwall island (mile 111). The total fall through the section at mean river stage is 92 feet, of which approximately onethird occurs in the first 18 miles above the foot of the Rapide Plat at Ogden island, and the remaining two-thirds below that point. The present 14-foot navigation on the river is carried around the rapids by a series of side canals along the Canadian shore.

127. PRIOR PLANS. The improvement proposed in the Report of 1921 was the construction of a dam in the Long Sault rapids which would raise the water level to elevation 231, creating a pool reaching into the Rapide Plat at Ogden island. At Ogden island a second dam with a lock was to be constructed, which with suitable channel enlargements would carry navigation through the upper part of the section. A canal along the Canadian shore, 8 miles in length, with two locks, was to carry navigation from the pool formed by the lower dam back to the river at the town of Cornwall. The plan included the development of power at a Canadian and an American power house located at the foot of Barnhart island, with a head of 74 feet and a total installed capacity of 1,777,360 horse-power. In addition, a second power plant with a capacity of approximately 60,000 horse-power, located near the head of Long Sault island, was to develop the surplus head of 29 feet created in the diversion which feeds the power plant of the St. Lawrence River Power Company at Massena, N.Y. The head available at the upper dam at Ogden island, amounting to about 8 feet during the ice-free months, was not to be developed for power. It was estimated that most of this head would be absorbed in winter by the increased river slope due to ice conditions. The structures creating the lower pool were, however, to be so designed that the pool level could be raised to recover a part or all of the head lost at the Ogden island dam, if desired at a future time.

128. PLANS PROPOSED. The present Board concurs in the opinion that the improvement of the International Rapids Section should include the development of power. Its length is such that a side canal for navigation would be extremely costly and would impose an unnecessary hindrance to shipping.

129. The Board has given extended study to various plans for improving the river for power and navigation, including those presented by the Hydro-Electric Commission of Ontario and others to the International Joint Commission in 1921 and those recently submitted by American Corporations to the Water Power Commission of the State of New York.

130. The Board is of the opinion that the plan presented in the Report of 1921, although in a general sense practicable, should be modified to secure more dependable winter operation and to assure the fullest practicable utilization of power resources of the river.

131. Two plans meeting these requirements have been prepared by the Board, one for a single-stage development, with a dam and power houses in the vicinity of Barnhart island, at the foot of the reach, but with control gates at Galop island at the head of the reach, except across the channel provided for navigation.* The second scheme is for a two-stage development, with two pools, the upper pool formed by a dam and power house at Ogden island, just above Morrisburg, and the lower pool (at normal elevation 224) by a dam and powerhouses at Barnhart island.***

132. NAVIGATION. With the single-stage development, navigation enters the pool through a free channel from the upper river, and passes from the lower end of the pool through a canal, with two locks, on the United States side of the river, which leads to the south channel at Cornwall island, thence a free channel leads to lake St. Francis. With the two-stage development navi-gation similarly enters the upper pool through a free channel, passes from the upper to the lower pool through a lock at Odgen island, and from the lower pool to the south channel at Cornwall island by a canal with two locks as in the single-stage scheme. The two-stage scheme requires one more lock than the single stage.

133. AVAILABLE HEADS, SINGLE STAGE PLAN. The levels of the pool of the single-stage development, during the ice-free months, after the full estimated channel enlargements have been made, will vary normally between the limits of elevations 240 and 244, depending on the level of lake Ontario and the flow of water determined by the program of regulation. The tail-water elevation will be about elevation 157. Further channel enlargement below the power houses may lower the tail-water somewhat and add to the head, but the increased power made available is not considered in this report. The normal summer head at the power houses of the single-stage development will therefore be about 85 feet. The increased slope of the pool in winter due to ice retardation is expected to amount to about 6 feet, and a rise of about 4 feet in the tailwater levels is anticipated from the increased slopes below the power house, so that the net winter head expected is about 75 feet.

134. AVAILABLE HEADS, TWO-STAGE PLAN. With the two-stage develop-ment, the lower pool will be kept closely to elevation 224, both summer and winter, giving a summer head of 67 feet and a winter head of 63 feet. The summer levels of the upper pool at the Ogden island power houses will range between elevations 241 and 245. On account of the slopes of the lower pool, the summer head at the Ogden island power houses will be about 17 feet. A winter head of 12 feet is expected. The plans and estimates provide for the utilization of a head of 21 feet temporarily during the period between the completion of the upper and lower plants, respectively.

* The plans provide for partly closing the navigable channel by control gates, leaving a free opening

for navigation at least 450 feet in width. ** Attention is directed to an alternative two-stage project which was prepared after Par. 131 to Par. 166 of this Report was presented in November, 1926. In the alternative project, the upper dam is placed at Crysler Island instead of at Ogden Island. It is described in Appendix "C," Par. 120 to Par. 134.

135. MAXIMUM INSTALLED CAPACITIES. The maximum flow which the Board regards as eventually utilizable at the Barnhart island power houses is 245,000 cubic feet per second at winter head. The equivalent capacity at summer head in the single-stage development will be 261,000 cubic feet per second, and in the two-stage development 252,000 cubic feet per second. The utilization of such large flows will not be economically justified at the Ogden island power houses of the two-stage development, and the ultimate installation at these power houses is based on a flow of 212,000 cubic feet per second at winter head, equivalent to 240,000 cubic feet per second at summer head. The installed capacity of the power houses of the single-stage development based on the summer head and flow, and, including spares, is 2,326,000 horse-power. The installed capacity of the two-stage development, on the same basis, is as follows:—

136. The fact must be appreciated that the additional capacity proposed in the single-stage development is not a measure of power which can be delivered. Except for the slightly less efficiency of the machinery of the Ogden island power houses, which would not materially affect the total, the power that can be delivered depends on the flow of water available, which will be less than the installed capacity of the plants for the considerable part of the time.

137. WINTER OPERATION, SINGLE-STAGE PLAN. As for winter operation, the pool formed by the single-stage development is so wide and deep as far upstream as Ogden island that an ice cover will form over it promptly. The plans and estimates provide for the eventual enlargement of the constricted portions of the river from Ogden island as far upstream as Lotus island (at the foot of the Galop rapids), to the extent necessary to secure current velocities not exceeding 2.25 feet per second, in order to assure satisfactory ice conditions in winter. The contracted section from the foot of Lotus island to the head of Galop island, 2.5 miles in length, is to be given the area required for satisfactory navigation only, and is expected to have an open channel in winter; but the extent of this open water would be too limited to be of serious consequence in winter operation.

138. The amount of channel enlargement required to assure satisfactory winter operation cannot be predicted in advance with certainty. It is proposed to execute initially only such enlargement as is necessary to insure satisfactory navigation conditions, and to prosecute this enlargement after the pool has been created, when dredging can be done more advantageously, until satisfactory winter operation is secured. The control of the head through the section afforded by the control gates at the Galop will afford a means for insuring the winter discharge capacity of the river during this period.

139. WINTER OPERATION, TWO-STAGE PLAN. In the two-stage development some enlargement of the channels in the 8-mile reach between Ogden island and Weavers point is required to secure the desired low current velocities to assure winter operation. Above Ogden island the enlargement required will be identical with that required in the single-stage development. This enlargement must be completed before the complete scheme is put in operation, in order to ensure control of the winter flow and provide uninterrupted power at the Ogden island plant.

140. CONTROL OF FERRY OPERATION. Is is assumed that proper control will be exercised over the ferries operating between Ogdensburg and Prescott to prevent the ice situation from being aggravated by the breaking up of the ice sheet between these towns and Galop island by these agencies.

141. Costs. The cost of the single-stage development, including the full channel enlargement to insure satisfactory winter operation, is estimated at \$235,000,000. The cost of the two-stage development is estimated at \$264,600,000.

142. RECOMMENDATIONS. The United States Section of the Board recommends the single-stage development as affording better navigation by eliminating one lock, and obtaining slightly more power, at a cost of \$29,600,000 less than the cost of a two-stage development.

143. The Canadian Section of the Board recommends the two-stage development on the ground that it can be carried out in two parts, so that the power from the upper development can be developed and marketed before the whole of the improvement is completed. It believes that for this reason its overall cost, including interest charges, will not be as greatly in excess of the single-stage development as appears from the comparative costs without interest charges. It believes that the control over the flow of the river will be better assured. The flowage of land will be reduced from about 28,000 acres to about 18,000 acres.*

144. LOCATION OF BARNHART ISLAND DAM AND POWER HOUSES. Whatever plan be adopted, there is a choice of sites for the dam and power houses in the vicinity of Barnhart island that create the pool of the single-stage development, or the lower pool of the two-stage development. A suitable site for the dam exists at the foot of the Long Sault rapids, on an arc extending from the head of Barnhart island to the foot of Long Sault island and thence to the United States shore. With a dam at this site, the channel between Barnhart and Sheek islands would be utilized as a forebay channel to the power houses, which would be located at the foot of Barnhart island. This general arrangement was contemplated in the Report of 1921. For the 224 two-stage development it is proposed to supplement the capacity of this forebay channel by utilizing also the channel known as Bergen lake, between Sheek island and the Canadian shore. The low banks prevent the use of this channel for that purpose at the high levels of the single-stage development.

145. With the dam built at the foot of Long Sault island, the navigation canal from the pool would leave the river at the middle of Long Sault island. It would be 6.9 miles long.

146. The second site for the dam is across the main river at the foot of Barnhart island. The foundation rock is here quite deep. With a dam at this site the navigation canal would leave the river at Robinson's bay, and its length would be reduced to 2.9 miles. The power houses would be adjacent to the dam. Two alignments for the dam and power houses at this location are shown on the plans, either of which is regarded as satisfactory.

147. The United States Section prefers the location for the dam at the foot of Barnhart island, since it reduces the length of the navigation canal, reduces the chance of local ice difficulties in winter (since the section of the pool above the power houses is ample to insure a firm ice cover), and simplifies operation through the juxtaposition of the dam and power houses. The Canadian Section

*The above acreages include all lands the purchase of which is contemplated in the estimates. The area of land satually inundated at maximum emergency levels, including the inundated portions of islands, will be 22,000 acres and 12,000 acres respectively.

prefers the location at the foot of Long Sault island on account of the higher rock foundations there found, which it believes will lessen construction difficulties. The choice between the two locations is regarded as a matter of detail, to be settled by the constructing agencies after the general type of development has been determined.

• 148. The plans for the single-stage development submitted with this report show the dam across the main river channel at the foot of Barnhart island. Those for the two-stage development show it at the foot of Long Sault island. In the opinion of the Board either location can be used with either development.

149. CONTROL OF FLOW. Whether the single-stage or the two-stage development is finally selected as best meeting the joint interests of the two countries, the Board points out that the use of water at the power houses and the operation of the sluice gates, which with the wheels control the flow of the river, should be under the control of an international board. That board should be clothed with full authority to take such measures as will insure the regularity of flow that is necessary in the interest of navigation in the lower river, and of the power houses downstream; and to insure such flows as will maintain the levels of lake Ontario within proper limits, while preserving the volume of flow required to prevent injury to navigation at and below Montreal.

150. ALTERNATIVE PLANS CONSIDERED. Of the various alternative plans for the improvement of the International Rapids Section submitted to the International Joint Commission in 1921, the one requiring especial consideration at this time is that for navigation and power development proposed by the Hydro-Electric Commission of Ontario and designated as Scheme "B". This provided for a two-stage development broadly on the same lines as those proposed by the Canadian Section herein, except that the lower pool was to be held at elevation 210, or 14 feet below the elevation proposed in this report. At this low elevation a large amount of excavation would be required to secure suitable channels for navigation through the lower pool; and an enlargement to secure the low velocities regarded as necessary for satisfactory ice-covered winter operation would be excessively costly, and was not contemplated by the proponents. On the other hand, the higher head at the Ogden island power plants, amounting to about 30 feet, reduced materially the cost per horse-power of development of the upper head.

151. The operation of this scheme was based on maintaining an open channel through the river during the winter, and only such channel enlargements were proposed as would be necessary for navigation.

152. The cost, on estimates paralleling those herein presented for a singlestage and two-stage development, would be \$254,000,000.

153. The studies of the Board, and its investigations of power plants operating under similar climatic conditions, show conclusively that it is neither feasible nor desirable to maintain an open channel through this section in winter when it is improved for power. Even with the present current velocities the ice has at various times caught across the river in the quieter reaches of the section, starting an ice pack which quickly attained large proportions and raised the river level by as much as 10 feet. The likelihood of the ice catching to form ice jams would be increased after the river has been improved, on account of the greatly reduced current velocities. It is certain that an open channel through this 35-mile stretch could not be maintained without ice breakers; and all experi-4587-3

ence shows that a reasonable number of ice breakers could not be depended upon to keep open continuously so long a channel under these conditions. If, however, an open channel were maintained by such means, the accumulation of ice below the power houses of the lower pool at Barnhart island would raise the tailwater level at these power houses to such an extent that their output would be greatly curtailed.

154. Other alternative plans presented to the Joint Commission in 1921 were for two-stage developments with the upper dam at Crysler island (6 miles downstream from the foot of Ogden island), and at Cat island (10 miles downstream from the foot of Ogden island). The further borings made at the Crysler island site show that the foundation conditions are not as good as were first supposed,* and the proponents of the Cat island dam site now prefer a full single-stage development broadly on the lines of that proposed by the United States Section herein.

155. IMPROVEMENT FOR NAVIGATION ONLY. The least expensive method developed for improving the river for navigatoin alone is through the construction of a side canal on the American shore from the Galop rapids to Ogden island. Navigation would there enter a pool, with water level at elevation 220, to be formed by a dam at the head of the Long Sault rapids, and from this pool pass to the south channel of the river at Cornwall island through a canal on the same line as that proposed for the two-stage development. The navigation provided by such a plan would be far inferior to that provided by either the single or the two-stage developments respectively proposed. The estimated cost is \$79,000,000.

156. SUMMARY. Two alternative schemes for the improvement of the International Rapids Section in the joint interest of navigation and power are presented by the Board as best providing for the development of the capacity and possibilities of this section.

Their respective estimated costs are as follows:-

(1)	Single-stage	Development-
-----	--------------	--------------

 (1) Single-stage Development— Works solely for navigation Works common to navigation and power Works primarily for power— Substructures and head and tail race excavation Superstructures and machinery 	$\begin{array}{c} 22,000,000\\ 106,500,000\\ 42,000,000\\ 64,500,000\end{array}$
Total cost (2,326,000 installed horse-power)	\$235,000,000
Initial cost with installation of 1,163,000 horse-power (remaining installation deferred awaiting growth of market)	\$203,000,000
Estimated initial expenditure to open navigation and provide 1,163,000 installed horse-power before channels are enlarged to ensure winter operation (See par. 137, 138)	\$190,000,000
(2) Two-stage Development-	
Upper Pool—	
Works solely for navigation	
excavation 23,737,000	
Machinery and superstructure 33,829,000	119,385,000

*"Additional borings, made since the preparation of this paragraph, have changed the conclusions of the Canadian Section of the Board, in regard to the Crysler Island dam site. See Appendix "C," Par. 120 to Par. 134."

nt—Concluded.	Two-stage Development-Concluded.
navigation	Lower Pool- Works solely for navigation Works common to navigation and power.
no power and tail race n	Substructures, head and tail rac excavation
ost (2,215,000 installed \$264,546,000 2-power) \$264,600,000	Total cost (2,215,000 installe horse-power) Rounded total
ture to open navigation and provide n upper plant and 756,600 horse-power maining installation in lower plant owth of market)	imated initial expenditure to open navigation 406,400 horse-power in upper plant and 756,60 in lower plant (remaining installation in deferred awaiting growth of market)
ture to open nevigation and provide	initial amonditure to open newigation

Estimated initial expenditure to open navigation and provide 1,163,000 horse-power at lower plant (remaining installation at lower plant and all that of upper plant being deferred). \$214,500,000

These estimates exceed those given in the Report of 1921 because they provide a fuller power development, and more elaborate measures to ensure satisfactory winter operation, besides being based on the higher unit costs indicated by the detailed studies made by the present board.

LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION

(Third Division of Report of 1921)

157. This section extends from Colquhoun island opposite St. Regis (mile 115) to deep water at the foot of lake St. Francis (mile 141). The currents through the lake are sluggish, and the total fall through the section is about one foot. While the lake contains many shoals, deep channels extend through it. The work proposed is the dredging necessary to secure a suitable channel. It is on substantially the same lines as was recommended in the Report of 1921. The estimated cost, for a channel 25 feet deep below a datum plane having an elevation 151.5 at the head of the lake and 150.5 at its foot, is \$980,000. The estimates differ by a small amount from those shown in the Report of 1921, principally because the limits of the section are slightly changed to conform to the modifications of the project in the International Rapids Section.

SOULANGES SECTION

(Second Division of Report of 1921)

158. DESCRIPTION. This section, 18 miles in length, extends from deep water in lake St. Francis (mile 141) to deep water in lake St. Louis (mile 159). The river falls from lake St. Francis to lake St. Louis in a succession of rapids, the Coteau rapids at the head, the Split Rock and Cascades rapids at the foot, and the Cedars rapids about midway. The total fall through the section at present mean stages of the two lakes is 83 feet.

159. Present 14-foot navigation passes through the Soulanges canal, paralleling the river on the north.

160. There are a number of existing power developments in this section, which are described in Appendix C. The most important is that at the Cedars rapids where a third of the low-water flow of the river is diverted through a headrace canal to a power house with an installed capacity of 197,000 horsepower, at 32-foot head.

45827-31

(2)

Est

161. PRIOR PLANS. The improvement proposed in the Report of 1921 was a lateral canal, 15 miles in length, for navigation only, on the south side of the river, designated as the Melocheville-Hungry Bay Route. The report outlines a plan for navigation in conjunction with complete development of power, but contains the opinion that the rate of growth of the market for the large block of 1,560,000 horse-power afforded by the development was insufficient to justify its adoption.

162. IMPROVEMENT FOR NAVIGATION AND POWER. The Board finds that it is practicable and advantageous to combine the improvement for navigation in this section with the development of power on a progressive program of construction of power plants, only the first part of the power development being undertaken in conjunction with the works required to carry navigation through the section.

163. In brief, this plan provides for a dam at the head of the Cedars rapids, which will create a pool having a level from $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet to 5 feet below the level of lake St. Francis. The shores of that lake are so low that the raising of its high-water levels would destroy large areas of agricultural land and, aside from the large cost involved, is highly undesirable. The plans therefore include an extensive enlargement of the discharge capacity of the Coteau rapids to insure that the backwater slope will not raise the high levels of the lake. Navigation passes from lake St. Francis to the pool by a canal around the Coteau rapids, 3 miles in length with a low lift lock. Even with the enlargement proposed, the currents in these rapids will be too swift for safe navigation, and especially for safe passage through the draw in the railroad bridge which here crosses the river. The canal has, however, been given such an alignment that it can be converted into an open channel when the traffic justifies the large additional cost. A second canal, 5 miles in length, with two lift locks, carries navigation from the pool to lake St. Louis. These locks may be either in flight or separated by a short pool. The difference in cost in favour of the separate locks is small.

164. The first part of the power development is the generation of a total of 382,000 horse-power at a power house with 22-foot head incorporated in the dam. The present Cedars plant will be continued in operation, water being fed into the headrace through sluice gates.

165. The second part of the progressive development now envisaged is the generation of 500,000 horse-power at 75-foot head at a power house located on the shore of lake St. Louis north of Cascades point, and near the Chamberry gully. It will be supplied through a headrace canal formed, in part, by the enlargement of the navigation canal.

166. The third part is the construction of a dam and power house, with a 53-foot head, at the Cascades rapids, at the foot of the section, which will develop a total of 974,000 horse-power. The present Cedars plant will then be put out of commission.

167. The estimated cost of these works is as follows:-

Total	\$205,052,000
irst part, including navigation works econd part hird part	$103,945,000 \\ 37,291,000 \\ 63,816,000$

168. The installed capacities in these plants, including spares, at normal summer heads are:--

First part		404,300	horse-power
Second part	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 030 400	horse-power
Third part		1,000,100	Horse power
	Total	1,979,700	horse-power

169. If but one-half of the hydro-electric machinery is installed when the first part of the program is initially constructed, leaving the other half to be installed as the demand for power develops, the initial expenditure required to open navigation and provide 202,000 horse-power becomes \$92,000,000.

170. COMPLETE RIVER DEVELOPMENT. An alternative scheme which affords the maximum open river navigation warrants description. In this scheme two dams with power houses would be constructed initially, the upstream dam substantially on the line of the dam proposed in the first part of the recommended scheme, and the second dam and power houses at the Cascades rapids at the site of the structure forming the third part of the progressive power development therein contemplated. Navigation would pass from lake St. Francis to the pool formed by the upstream dam as in the recommended scheme. From this pool it would pass through a short canal and lock to the pool formed by the Cascades dam and power houses, thence through a lock directly to lake St. Louis. The 5-mile canal provided in the recommended scheme between the upper pool and lake St. Louis thereby would be eliminated.

171. The pool of the Cascades dam would be held at elevation 115, giving a 43-foot head between this pool and lake St. Louis, instead of the 53-foot head contemplated in the third part of the recommended project. This change would reduce the difference of levels to a conservative lift for a single lock. The power houses at the upstream dam would be so located as to develop the remaining 30 feet of head available in the section.

172. The scheme would entail the reconstruction of the existing Cedars power plant as a part of the initial work, instead of permitting a postponement until the last part of the power development program. Arrangement would have to be made to supply the present customers during the reconstruction period.

173. The total cost of this alternative scheme, with a complete eventual installed capacity of 1,948,000 horse-power, would be \$194,317,000 exclusive of interest charges, or approximately \$10,700,000 less than the cost with the plans recommended. On the other hand, the initial expenditure would exceed largely the initial expenditure required with the recommended plan. The initial power installation must include, in addition to such new power as is provided, an installation of 207,000 horse-power to replace power lost at existing plants, this being 197,000 horse-power at the present Cedars plant, and 10,000 at other plants. The initial expenditure required to open navigation and to provide an installation of 404,300 horse-power of new power, together with this replacement of power at existing installations, would be \$123,400,000, against the minimum initial expenditure of \$103,945,000 required with the same installation of new power under the recommended plan. Unless power can be sold more rapidly than the Board is led to believe, the interest charges on the \$19,455,000 increased initial cost would overbalance the \$10,700,000 difference between the ultimate costs of the completed projects indicated by the foregoing estimates. The scheme makes a maximum use of the river and merits serious consideration if a market for the large amount of power can be developed within a reasonable period.

174. IMPROVEMENT FOR NAVIGATION ALONE. The schemes studied by the Board for providing navigation alone are:—

- (a) A lateral canal on the south side of the river extending from Hungry bay to Melocheville, substantially as recommended in the Report of 1921. Its estimated cost is now \$33,640,000.
- (b) A lateral canal on the north side of the river, so designed as to conform to an eventual combined improvement of the river for navigation and power on the lines recommended by the Board. Essentially, this scheme embraces the construction of the upper and lower lateral canals proposed in the combined improvement, with a land canal connecting them, the latter to be abandoned when the river is improved for power. The estimated cost of the canal, complete, is \$40,378,000.

The part of the land canal that would be abandoned for navigation would be used in part for drainage. Its estimated cost is \$6,382,000. The estimated cost of the river connections is \$1,922,000.

(c) A river improvement as proposed in the recommended scheme, with substructures for power plant, but without power installation. Its estimated cost is \$78,515,000.

175. Conclusions. The Board unites in the view that the navigation improvement combined with the progressive development of power (paragraphs 162 to 169) hereinbefore set forth better provides for the present and future development of the waterway than any scheme for navigation alone, and is therefore the desirable scheme, if arrangements are made whereby power interests bear a fair proportion of the cost of the initial expenditure required.

176. If it be found impossible to arrange for such co-operation in meeting the initial cost, a majority of the Canadian Section favour the construction of the lateral canal on the south side of the river (Melocheville-Hungry Bay project) which is the least expensive means for providing navigation. The United States Section submits the view that a route designed to serve so large a territory will demand eventually the freer navigation of an open river. It believes, therefore, that even if arrangements cannot be made for the participation of power development in the initial improvement, it will be better to adopt the river development (navigation scheme c) or a canal on the north side capable of conversion into a river development (navigation scheme b) rather than the Melocheville-Hungry Bay route, the investment in which would largely be lost when a river development is adopted.

177. A detailed description of the works proposed in the combined navigation and power project recommended, including those necessary to prevent undue flowage, with detailed estimates of cost, and a discussion of alternative schemes and their relative economic values at various rates of power consumption, are given in Appendix C. A general analysis of the estimated cost of the initial part of the recommended combined navigation and power project is as follows:—

Works solely for navigation Works common to navigation and power	31,594,000 34,686,000
Works primarily for power— Substructures, and head and tail race excavation Superstructure and machinery	13,079,000 24,586,000
Total	\$103,945,000
Cost with initial installation of one-half of power machinery.	\$92,000,000

LACHINE SECTION

(First Division of Report of 1921)

178. DESCRIPTION. This section extends from deep water at the head of lake St. Louis (mile 159) to Montreal harbour (mile 183). The first 11 miles are through the deep water in the upper part of the lake; the next four miles are through the shoal water at its foot. From the foot of the lake, the river runs 5 miles with swift currents, through a channel badly obstructed with rock reefs, to the Lachine rapids. It drops through these rapids to the La Prairie basin, a wide expanse of shoal water, 5 miles in length; thence falls through a mile of shoal, swift running channels, to Montreal harbour. The total fall through the section is about 48 feet, of which 9 feet is between the upper end of lake St. Louis and the head of the Lachine rapids, 24 feet through these rapids, 4 feet through the La Prairie basin, and 11 feet between the La Prairie basin and Montreal.

179. The course of the river from lake St. Louis to Montreal harbour describes a wide bend to the south. The present 14-foot navigation passes through the Lachine canal, which cuts through the city across this bend.

180. In this section the St. Lawrence begins to receive water from the Ottawa river. The Ottawa discharges into the lake of Two Mountains, which lies just north of lake St. Louis, and is at a slightly higher level. That lake discharges a part of the flow through two outlets into lake St. Louis and the remainder into the St. Lawrence below Montreal, through two rivers lying to the north of the city. On account of the widely varying flow of the Ottawa, the range in the levels of lake St. Louis is about 8 feet.

181. The winter rise of the river due to the ice gorging raises the water in the La Prairie basin by 10 feet or more.

182. PRIOR PLANS. The improvement proposed for this section in the Report of 1921 was a side canal, 9 miles in length (10 miles to the end of the Lachine breakwater), with two lift locks and one guard lock, extending from the upper entrance to the present Lachine canal across the bend in the river to a point on the shore 3 miles above Montreal harbour (avoiding the built up portion of the city), thence along the shore to the harbour. The eventual increase in depth from the 25 feet provided in that report to 30 feet was to be secured by a dam in the Lachine rapids, which would raise the low-water levels of lake St. Louis and the upper canal level by 5 feet. The report considered, but did not recommend, an alternative project for combining navigation and power by constructing a dam and power works in the Lachine rapids.

183. PLAN RECOMMENDED BY BOARD. The Board has examined with care the feasibility of utilizing the contracted section of the river above the Lachine rapids for navigation, in connection with power development at these rapids, but finds that, without an excessive amount of costly excavation, the currents created by the concentration of the flow in the excavated channels would be excessive for navigation, even if the railroad bridge which here crosses the river were raised, at large cost, to provide overhead clearance. A side canal affords, therefore, the most suitable route for navigation between Montreal harbour and lake St. Louis.

184. The westward growth of the built up sections of the city of Montreal has already encroached on a part of the route selected for the canal in the Report of 1921. It is highly advisable to build the canal on a location that will not interfere with the future growth of the city and will eliminate the difficult problem inherent to the crossing of land and water traffic with the consequent inconvenience and delay to both. The route now proposed, therefore, follows close to the river bank throughout and consequently cuts off no area capable of urban development. Its length and its cost are substantially the same as on the route recommended in the Report of 1921. The canal has three lift locks and a guard gate, instead of the two lift locks and the guard lock proposed in that report. But 4 miles are in land cut with minimum section. The remaining 6 miles (counting the length to the end of the Lachine breakwater) have a minimum width of 300 feet. The additional lock assures the minimum alterations in sewerage and water supply systems, including the Montreal aqueduct. When the project is adopted, details can be modified to conform to any projected changes in these public utilities.

185. The excavation of the upper level of the canal, and through the long shoals at the foot of lake St. Louis, can be reduced by the construction of a control dam in the river at the head of the Lachine rapids, above Heron island, to raise the low-water levels of lake St. Louis to elevation 71 during the navigation season. Since at low stages this would back the water up into the lake of Two Mountains and slightly raise also the low-water levels of the latter, it is necessary to construct supplementary control works at the two northerly outlets of that lake (Mille Iles and des Prairies rivers) in order to preserve the present distribution of the flow of the Ottawa, and to prevent a reduction in the flow in the main channel of the St. Lawrence past Montreal. The cost of the entire system of control works is about \$2,000,000 in excess of the saving in excavation costs; but these works will reduce the cost of a future development of power at the Lachine rapids, besides being of benefit to local navigation on the two lakes. Their construction is therefore desirable, and is included in the plans of the initial improvement for navigation.

A detailed description of the improvement proposed is given in Appendix C. Its complete cost is estimated at \$53,000,000.

186. POWER DEVELOPMENT. The Board concurs in the views expressed in the Report of 1921 that the feasible power production in this section is limited to the development of the head of a little more than 30 feet available above the foot of the Lachine rapids. The winter rises of the river drown out the remaining head, and the upper level of a power development cannot be carried below the foot of these rapids without causing widespread flood damage.

187. To assure the safe and dependable winter operation of a power development at the Lachine rapids, the discharge capacity of the contracted reaches above these rapids should be so enlarged that the maximum winter current velocities will not create ice gorging. The alternative of a development based on maintaining an open channel through the river in winter is rejected as hazardous for the same reasons that such a proposal is rejected in the International Rapids Section (paragraph 153).

188. The most feasible method of enlarging the discharge capacity of the river is found to be the construction of a deep, concrete-lined headrace canal on the south side of the river. The plans for improving the river for power provide, therefore, for a development in two parts. The first part is the construction of such a power canal along the south shore, from the foot of lake St. Louis to the Lachine rapids, designed to carry a flow of 120,000 cubic feet per second at so high a velocity that an ice cover cannot catch across to form

an ice jam. The water would be delivered to a power house on the south shore at the foot of the rapids, discharging into the La Prairie basin, and would develop 391,000 horse-power.

189. A control dam in the river, with auxiliary structures at the outlets of the lake of Two Mountains, is required with the first part of the development, to prevent the lowering of lake St. Louis by the large diversion, and to secure the maximum allowable head at the power-house. The main control dam in the river would be at the head of the Lachine rapids, at the same location as the dam hereinbefore proposed to regulate the levels of lake St. Louis for the benefit of navigation, and the normal regulated level of the lake would be at elevation 71 in both cases. The auxiliary control structures would be identical. The main control dam would, however, require a different design. The dam proposed in connection with navigation improvement is designed with wide openings to be left clear in winter, in order to prevent the danger of the formation of an ice jam. With the power canal in operation, the currents in the main river would be so reduced as to eliminate the danger of an ice jam, but the openings must be reduced to such dimensions as will afford safe and convenient winter operation of the gates. A dam constructed initially for navigation purposes would therefore require alterations when the first part of the power development is undertaken. The cost of these alterations is estimated at \$281,000.

190. The estimated cost of this first part of the development is \$88,131,000 if no control dam has been built for navigation purposes, and \$81,247,000 if such a dam has been built, the latter figure including the necessary modifications in the dam.

191. The second part of the improvement for power is the development of 422,000 horse-power from the remaining flow of the river, at a power house to be constructed in the main river at the foot of the Lachine rapids, adjacent to the power house constructed in the first part of the development. The headrace to this power house would be formed by a longitudinal wall extending downstream from the control dam previously constructed, to the new power house, and by opening the portion of the control dam between this wall and the south shore. The estimated cost of the second part of the development is \$41,966,000.

192. JOINT IMPROVEMENT FOR NAVIGATION AND POWER. If the first part of the power development be undertaken simultaneously with the navigation improvement, the estimated combined cost would be \$133,358,000.

193. If the first part of the power development be undertaken subsequently to the navigation improvement, requiring the alteration of the control dam initially constructed for the latter purpose, the combined cost would be \$134,247,000.

194. The economic saving from combining power development with the improvement of the Lachine Section for navigation is therefore but \$889,000, and this saving would be soon counterbalanced by the interest charges on the large investment necessary to secure it, unless the power could be marketed promptly at remunerative rates. For this reason, and on account of the high cost of developing power in this section as compared with its cost in the Soulanges Section, the Board does not include power development in its plans for the initial improvement of this section. The development of power can be undertaken when found economically justifiable from the standpoint of power production alone.

195. In summary, the estimates for this section are as follows:-

Recommended project for navigation alone Power alone—1st part, 435,000 installed horse-power. 88,131,00 2nd part, 488,000 installed horse-power. 41,406,00	. \$ 53,000,000 0 0
Total, 923,000 installed horse-power	. \$129,537,000
Power subsequent to navigation	0 0
Total, 923,000 installed horse-power	\$123,213,000

GENERAL SUMMARY

LAKE ONTARIO TO MONTREAL HARBOUR

196. IMPROVEMENT PROPOSED. In summary, the plans recommended by the Board for the improvement of the river will provide to the best advantage for a navigation route through the 183 miles of river and lake from lake Ontario to Montreal harbour, with a total not exceeding 25 miles of restricted canal navigation, and with not more than nine locks. It will be crossed by but eight bridges. The plans include power houses with an ultimate installed capacity of from 2,619,000 to 2,730,000 horse-power, and permit the eventual development with installed capacity of approximately 5,000,000 horse-power which is the full power potentiality of the river.

197. INITIAL EXPENDITURE REQUIRED. The estimated expenditures required to open navigation with channels 25 feet in depth, with an initial power development having one-half the ultimate installed capacity of the power houses first constructed (the installation of the remainder being deferred to await the growth of the market), is as follows:-

- or or or
- .. \$361,600,000

COST OF WORKS COMPLETE. After all of the machinery in plants 198. recommended by the Board has been installed, these costs will become respectively:-

199. ALTERNATIVE PLANS. The Board has considered it advisable to present alternative plans and estimates in several instances for the reason that a choice between them rests on broad questions of policy rather than upon strictly engineering considerations.

200. EFFECT OF CHANNEL DEPTH ON COST. The estimated cost of additional channel excavation required to provide channels initially 27 feet deep from lake Ontario to Montreal instead of 25 feet deep is \$5,800,000.

201. The estimated saving in the cost of channel excavation through providing channels initially 23 feet deep instead of 25 feet deep is \$5,350,000.

202.~ The estimated cost of subsequently enlarging to 30 feet depth channels initially excavated 25 feet in depth is 24,400,000.~

203. COST OF ADDITIONAL WORKS FOR FULL DEVELOPMENT OF POWER. The estimated cost of additional works required to complete the full practicable development of power in the river, with works having an installed capacity of 2,500,000 horse-power is approximately \$225,000,000. The total eventual power installation visualized is therefore approximately 5,000,000 horse-power; and the total eventual cost of developing this power, and of providing navigation with channels 25 feet in depth, is in round numbers from \$620,000,000 to \$650,000,000, depending upon the form of improvement adopted in the International Rapids Section.

204. ANALYSIS OF COSTS. A general analysis of these costs is shown in the following tables:-

TABLE I

RECOMMENDED Plans with Single-Stage Development in International Power Section

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Section	Cost of works sole- ly for navigation.	Cost of works primarily for power.	Cost of works joint- ly for power and navigation.	Total cost with com- plete initial power installation.	Initial cost if one half initial power installation is deferred.	Complete installed capacity of initial works, provided in estimate column (e)
000.000.00	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	h.p.
Thousand Islands	1,100,000			1,100,000	1,100,000	
International Rapids	22,000,000	106,500,000	106, 500, 000	235,000,000	203,000,0001	2,326,000
Lake St. Francis	980,000			980,000	980,000	
Soulanges	31, 594, 000	37,665,000	34,686,000	103,945,000	92,000,000	404,300
Lachine	53,000,000			53,000,000	53,000,000	
Total	108,674,000	144, 165, 000	141, 186, 000	394,025,000	350,080,0001	2,730,300

¹ Including \$13,000,000 for channel enlargement to assure winter operation.

TABLE II

RECOMMENDED plans with Two-Stage Development in International Power Section

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Section	Cost of works solely for navigation	Cost of works primarily for power	Cost of works jointly for power and navigation	Total cost with complete initial power installation	Initial cost if one half initial power installation is deferred	Complete installed capa- city of initial works provided in estimate column (e)
strangend not a	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	h.p.
Thousand Islands	1,100,000			1,100,000	1,100,000	
International Rapids	33,481,000	140,209,000	90,656,000	264, 546, 000	238,400,0001	2,215,000
Lake St. Francis	980,000			980,000	980,000	
Soulanges	31,594,000	37,665,000	34,686,000	103,945,000	92,000,000	404,300
Lachine	53,000,000			53,000,000	53,000,000	
Total	120, 155, 000	177,874,000	125, 542, 000	423,571,000	385, 480, 000 ²	2,619,300

¹This becomes \$214,500,000 if installation is at Barnhart island powerhouses. ²This becomes \$361,580,000 if initial installation in International Rapids Section is at Barnhart island powerhouses.

TABLE III

ESTIMATED cost of additional works to complete the full practicable development of power in the river

Installed Horsepower	Cost
\$	\$
$545,000\\1,030,000\\435,000\\498,000$	37,391,000 63,816,000 81,247,000 41,966,000
400,000	224 420 000
	Installed Horsepower \$ 545,000 1,030,000 435,000 435,000 488,000

TABLE IV

ESTIMATED cost of improving the river for power alone, with power development as provided in the recommended joint navigation and power improvement (14 foot navigation maintained).

Section	With the two-stage development of the International Rapids Section	With the single- stage development of the International Rapids Section
	\$	\$
International Rapids Section Soulanges Section	231,800,000 77,172,000	77,172,000
Total	308,972,000	290, 172, 000

TABLE V

ESTIMATED cost of improving the river for navigation alone, under the least expensive alternative plan

Thousand Islands Section International Rapids Section Lake St. Francis Section Soulanges Section Lachine Section	$\begin{array}{c} 1,100,000\\ 79,000,000\\ 980,000\\ 33,640,000\\ 53,000,000\end{array}$	
	\$167,720,000	

TABLE VI

TABULATED ESTIMATES of cost of providing channels of various depths from the head of the Great Lakes to Montreal, including the installation of 1,365,000 horse-power on the St. Lawrence and the entire cost of the new Welland ship canal.

bye mean see-level, depending upon-the	23 feet depth	25 feet depth	27 feet depth	30 feet depth
a while, the material request of the liver	\$	\$	\$	\$
Great Lakes— Connecting channels St. Marys River Locks. Compensating Works. Welland Canal. St. Lawrence River to Montreal.	3,400,000 114,500,000 344,700,000	41,100,000 3,600,000 114,500,000 350,100,000	$54,900,000\\6,500,000\\3,700,000\\115,600,000\\355,900,000$	75,900,0006,500,0003,800,000128,600,000*374,500,000
be bight relation and spring levels. They	462,600,000	509,300,000	536,600,000	589,300,000

*Based on subsequent deepening from 25 feet.

PART IV

ST. LAWRENCE RIVER AT AND BELOW MONTREAL

205. This part of the report deals with the effect of the diversion of water from the Great Lakes system on the water levels at and below Montreal and with measures for restoring these levels (Question 6). It also considers the effect of the proposed improvement of the St. Lawrence on these levels (Question 5).

DESCRIPTION

206. Montreal harbour is a highly developed port, with 9 miles of improved wharf frontage, grain elevators with a total storage capacity of twelve million bushels, and an extensive warehousing system. The commerce through the port in 1925 amounted to 9,137,281 tons, including 166 million bushels of grain.

The water levels in Montreal harbour during the navigation season range generally between 18 and 28 feet above mean sea-level, depending upon the flow of the St. Lawrence and the Ottawa rivers, the higher stages being due to the spring floods in the Ottawa. In winter, the increased slope of the river due to ice retardation raises the water surface by from 10 to 20 feet, and ice jams occurring during the break-up season in April have raised the water to the stage of 52 feet above mean sea level.

207. The wharves in Montreal harbour are of exceptionally massive construction, to resist damage by ice at the high winter and spring levels. They are built typically with high masonry walls founded on wooden cribbing. The vessel berths at the wharves in the upper portion of the harbour are generally excavated in rock. Extreme low-water levels, which would expose the wooden foundations of the wharves, with consequent danger of decay, are regarded as of serious consequence; and the berths at wharves cannot be deepened readily to meet a reduction in the water levels.

208. Montreal lies 53 statute miles upstream from lake St. Peter, a wide expanse of shallow water, which is the head of the tide in the St. Lawrence. Below the city of Quebec, 160 miles downstream from Montreal, the river is a tidal estuary, with its mean level substantially at mean sea-level. The river below Montreal has been improved by dredging to afford a channel with 450 feet minimum width, 30 feet deep at water levels corresponding to a stage at the head of Montreal harbour, 18.4 feet above mean sea-level (low water of 1897 as modified). The water level rarely falls below this datum. This channel is now under enlargement to 35 feet depth. The expenditures by Canada on the improvement of the channel below Montreal, to March 31, 1925, have been as follows:—

Dredging, field cost	17,434,683 66
Plant, shops, surveys, etc	10,268,461 52
	\$27,703,145 18

EFFECT OF DIVERSION OF WATER

209. An accurate determination of the relation between the river discharge past Montreal and the river stage is complicated by the fact that these stages are modified by the varying discharge of the tributaries entering the river below Montreal, including the main part of the discharge of the Ottawa, and are affected by the long period tidal fluctuations.

210. A detailed analysis of the relation between gauge heights and discharge, given in Appendix D, shows, however, that a diminution of the flow past Montreal reduces the water levels in the harbour, at the rate of one foot for each 23,000 cubic feet per second of flow. The authorized diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second through the Chicago Drainage canal reduces the levels in Montreal harbour, therefore, by 0.37 foot. A similar analysis shows the following effects at points below Montreal:—

Locality	Statute miles below Montreal	Amount by which levels are lowered by diversion of 8,500 cfs.
Montreal	0	0.37 foot
Varennes	13	0.35 "
Batiscan	100	0.24 "
Lotbiniere	117	0.24 "
PlatonQuebec	125 160	0.17 "

RESTORATION OF NAVIGABLE DEPTHS

211. CHANNEL BELOW MONTREAL HARBOUR.—The navigable depths of the channels below Montreal harbour can be restored by dredging. An analysis of the gauge records shows that the dredging heretofore done has lowered the levels in Montreal harbour at the rate of 0.15 foot for each foot of navigable depth gained, and has lowered the levels of the river between Varennes and Quebec by an average of 0.06 foot for each foot of navigable depth gained. The estimated cost of increasing the effective depths of the channel below Montreal by the amounts found in the foregoing tabulation, if done as a part of the present project for a general increase in depth, and at the current costs of such dredging, is as follows:—

3,168,000 cubic yards dredging at 42.5 cents per cubic yard	1,346,400
beginning of work, 60 per cent	807,600
	\$2,154,000

212. MONTREAL HARBOUR.—The navigable depths in Montreal harbour can be restored by similar dredging. The estimated cost of this dredging necessary to compensate for a diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second is as follows:—

hale rock, 87,350 cubic yards at \$3.50 arth, 289,000 cubic yards at \$1 Ingineering and administration, approximately 10 per cent	
Total	\$654,000

213. The unit costs are based on the execution of the work as a part of a general project for deepening the harbour. The removal, as a separate undertaking, of the 5-inch layer required to compensate for the diversion would be much more expensive.

214. A comprehensive project for deepening the harbour would, however, require the reconstruction of a large amount of dock wall. An estimate of the part of the cost of reconstruction chargeable to diversion of water obviously presents difficulties. This diversion is but a contributing cause to the need for enlargement, for there has been a loss of 1.15 feet in depth in the harbour since 1895 due to other causes. The older dock walls will require reconstruction in the not distant future on account of deterioration. The Cauadian Section of

the Board has prepared an estimate of \$1,800,000 as the part of the cost of rebuilding dock walls due to the lowering of the levels by a diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second, the details of which are set forth in Appendix D. The American Section accepts this estimate with the understanding that it is subject to further investigation and revision.

215. A study was made of the possibility of constructing contraction works in the river below Montreal harbour to compensate for the effect of such a diversion. No substantial saving was indicated by this course.

216. SUMMARY. In summary, the cost of restoring the navigable depths at and below Montreal to the extent that they have been affected by the authorized diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second is as follows:—

Dredging, Montreal Harbour Reconstruction of dock walls, Montreal Harbour Dredging, below Montreal Harbour	1,800,000 2,154,000
Total	\$4,608,000

217. CONTROL WORKS WITH LOCKS BELOW MONTREAL. The suggestion has been advanced that, instead of securing the desired channel depth at and below Montreal by further dredging, control works with twin locks could be constructed in the river below Montreal to raise the water levels to the extent required for that purpose. Such a structure must be so designed that it would not aggravate ice conditions in winter, and therefore would be costly. It would afford incidentally a complete remedy for the lowering of the water in Montreal Harbour due both to channel enlargement and to the diversion of water, and also would afford an opportunity for the fuller development of power, especially in the Lachine Section, since the restrictions as to maintaining uniformity of flow could be made less stringent. The consideration of such a scheme is beyond the scope of the instructions to the Board.

EFFECT OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT OF THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER

218. The improvement of the St. Lawrence river could affect the water levels at and below Montreal to the extent only that the works might be so operated as to modify the rate of discharge of water down the river. The program for the regulation of lake Ontario recommended by the Board (Appendix B) is so drawn as to afford mean discharges during the critical months of September, October and November at least equal to the discharges that occur in nature; and discharges in the first half of April, when the river has its maximum flood levels, no greater than those that would occur with equal frequency without regulation. There remains the possibility of the introduction of fluctuations in the discharge of the river through the fluctuations in the discharges through the power plants to meet their changing loads.

219. Any necessary uniformity of discharge past the various power structures can be secured by opening sluice gates as the power load and power house discharge diminishes. Power can be profitably generated at the various plants recommended by the Board without causing any greater hourly and daily fluctuations in the water levels at Montreal than now occur from natural causes, and suitable government supervision, both over the plants in the International Section and over those in the province of Quebec, can assure this result.

220. In short, all the works of the improvement of the St. Lawrence river must be so operated as to have no injurious effect on the water levels at and below Montreal.

PART V

FINDINGS ON QUESTIONS CONTAINED IN THE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JOINT BOARD OF ENGINEERS

221. Answering specifically the questions contained in its instructions, the Board finds:-

QUESTION 1

"Is the scheme for the improvement of the St. Lawrence waterway, presented by the board in its report of June 24, 1921 (herein referred to as the Report of 1921), practicable and does it provide to the best advantage, at this time and ultimately, for the development of the capacities and possibilities of the waterway?"

222. ANSWER. The scheme as presented in the Report of 1921 is, in its broad lines, practicable; but should in the opinion of this Board be modified to provide to the best present advantage, at this time and ultimately, for the development of the capacities and possibilities of the waterway.

QUESTION 2

"What alternative scheme, if any, would be better adapted to secure the ends desired, due consideration being given,—

"(a) To any special international or local interests having an importance justifying exceptional consideration; and

"(b) To the extent and character of the damage through flooding and the probable effect of the works upon the formation of ice and the consequent effect on the flow of the river?"

223. ANSWER. The plans recommended by the present Board are set forth in Part III of this report, and are described in detail in Appendix C.

224. The plans presented in the Report of 1921 are altered in their broader features as follows:---

225. In the International Rapids Section (Fourth Division of the Report of 1921) the plans now presented provide for the development of the entire power possibilities of the section, without subsequent alterations in the works. Two alternative schemes for accomplishing this result are presented, one for a two-stage development, the other for a single-stage development.

226. In the Soulanges Section (Second Division) the Board recommends a scheme for navigation correlated with a progressive development of power instead of a side canal for navigation only.

227. In the Lachine Section (First Division) the alignment of the navigation canal is changed to secure a minimum interference between land and water traffic, and a control dam to regulate the levels of lake St. Louis has been included in the initial development.

228. The plans proposed have been drawn with full regard to all interests concerned. Flowage damage is inseparable from a practicable development of power on the St. Lawrence, since freedom from floods has led to the occupation 45827-4

of its banks almost to the waters edge. The plans have been drawn to reduce to a minimum the flowage consequent to the plans proposed. They have been prepared with special care to meet ice conditions affecting the flow of the river.

QUESTION 3

"Should the estimates of cost be revised, and, if so, what are the revised estimates of cost having regard to alternative schemes?"

229. ANSWER. The estimates should be revised. The estimates of the works proposed by this Board, with hydro-electric machinery completely installed, exclusive of interest during construction, are as follows:—

(1)	If a single-stage development be adopted in International Rap Works solely for navigation	bids Section- 108,700,000 141,200,000 144,100,000
	Total	\$394,000,000
	Installed capacity 2,730,300 horse-power.	
(2)	If a two-stage development be adopted in International Ray Works solely for navigation Works common to power and navigation Works primarily for power	pids Section— 120,200,000 125,500,000 177,900,000
	Total	\$423,600,000

Installed capacity 2,619,000 horse-power.

230. The Board considers that sound business management will dictate the initial installation of but a part of the hydro-electric machinery with its housing and accessories. With a total initial installation of 1,368,000 horse-power, the initial costs, including all features required for navigation and with complete channel enlargement for winter power operation, becomes respectively \$350,100,-000 and \$385,500,000.

231. The plans presented by the Board outline a subsequent complete development of the power resources of the river, by the construction of additional power works with an installed capacity of approximately 2,500,000 horse-power, at an additional cost of approximately \$225,000,000.

232. The total ultimate development visualized on the St. Lawrence river by the Board amounts therefore to approximately 5,000,000 horse-power at a total cost of from \$620,000,000 to \$650,000,000, including navigation works. Further details of estimates are given in Part III, paragraphs 200 to 204.

QUESTION 4

"In order to assist either Government to allocate the amounts chargeable to navigation and power, what would be the respective estimated costs for improving the river for navigation alone and for power alone?"

233. ANSWER. The estimated costs for the initial improvement of each river section, (a) on plans recommended by the Board for both power and navigation, (b) on similar plans for the development of the same amount of power without any navigation works other than to maintain the existing 14-foot navigation, and (c) on alternative plans for practicable, though inferior, navigation through the power sections, are shown in parallel columns as follows:—

(1) If a single-stage development is adopted in the International Power section—

Section	(a) Plans recommended	(b) Power alone	(c) Navigation alone
Upper International. International power Lake St. Francis. Soulanges. Lachine	$\begin{array}{c}1,100,000\\235,000,000\\989,000\\103,945,000\\53,000,000\end{array}$	213,000,000 77,172,000	$\begin{array}{c}1,100,000\\79,000,000\\980,000\\33,640,000\\53,000,000\end{array}$
Total.	\$394,025,000	\$290,172,000	\$167,720,000

(2) If a two-stage development is adopted in the International Power Section—

Section	(a) Plans Recommended	(b) Power alone	(c) Navigation alone
Upper International. International power Lake St. Francis. Soulanges. Lachine	$\begin{array}{r}1,100,000\\264,546,000\\980,000\\103,945,000\\53,000,000\end{array}$	231,800,000	$\begin{array}{r}1,100,000\\79,000,000\\980,000\\33,640,000\\53,000,000\end{array}$
Total	\$423, 571,000	\$308,972,000	\$167,720,000

QUESTION 5

"To what extent may water levels in the St. Lawrence River at and below Montreal, as well as the river and lake levels generally, be affected by the execution of the project?"

234. ANSWER. The irresponsible operation of the power works proposed by the Board, or indeed of any power works, however designed, that develop fully the power resources of any section of the river, would affect injuriously the water levels in the St. Lawrence river at and below Montreal; but it is feasible to operate these works under Government supervision in such manner that they will neither lower the summer levels in the lower river nor raise the winter and spring levels. With such control the improvements proposed will have no injurious effect whatever on the water levels of the St. Lawrence at and below Montreal.

235. The high levels on lake Ontario, of the upper reaches of the St. Lawrence river, extending 67 miles from that lake, and of lake St. Francis and lake St. Louis, will not be raised by the improvement. The low levels of lake Ontario and of these upper reaches of the St. Lawrence will not be made lower. The low levels of lake St. Francis will be raised about a foot and of lake St. Louis about 5 feet. The dams proposed in the power reaches of the St. Lawrence will create material local changes in the levels of these reaches only.

236. The levels of the Great Lakes above lake Ontario cannot be affected by any works in the St. Lawrence proper. Works to restore the effects of channel enlargement and of diversions from lakes above lake Ontario, are dealt with under the replies to Question 6 (b) and 10.

QUESTION 6(a)

"To what extent and in what manner are the natural water levels in the St. Lawrence river and on the lakes affected by diversions authorized by license by either Canada or the United States, from or in the St. Lawrence river watershed?"

45827-41

237. ANSWER. The diversion by the Chicago Sanitary District of 8,500 cubic feet per second from the lake basin through the Chicago Drainage canal, authorized by license by the United States, lowers the water levels on the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence river as follows:-

and the set fathered.	0 5	foot
Lakes Michigan and Huron	0.4	foot
Lake Erie	0.4	foot
Lake Ontario		
St Lawrence river between lake Ontario and Montreal-	0.1	foot
	0.4	1000
At Prescott	0.6	TOOL
At Lock 25 (Iroquois)	0.5	foot
At Lock 23 (Morrisburg)	0.4	foot
At Lock 21 (Dickensons Landing)	0.3	foot
At Lock 15 (Cornwall)	0.2	foot
Lake St. Francis	0.3	foot
Lake St. Louis	0.0	1000
St Lauronee river at and below Montreal-		
St. Lawrence fiver at and set	0.37	foot
At Montreal harbour	0.35	foot
At Varennes	0.28	foot
At Sorel	0.24	foot
At Batiscan	0.24	foot
At Lotbiniere	0 17	foot
At Platon	0.03	foot
At Oucher	0.05	1000
At Quebee	1 17	

238. The diversion of 2,080 cubic feet per second from lake Erie via the Welland canal for power use by corporations and municipalities authorized by license by Canada lowers the levels of the Great Lakes as follows:-

239. The foregoing are the only authorized diversions found by the Board to affect appreciably the levels of the lakes and the St. Lawrence. The effect of all diversions, including those for navigation purposes, and of other factors, is described in Part II of this report.

QUESTION 6(b)

"By what measures could the water levels of navigable depths affected by the diversions referred to in section 6(a) be restored, and what would be the cost thereof?"

240. ANSWER. The water levels of lakes Michigan, Huron and Erie can be restored most advantageously by compensating works in the St. Clair and Niagara rivers, which should, however, be so designed as to offset all existing diversions and outlet enlargements, as well as the diversions authorized by license. The total cost of these works is estimated at \$3,400,000. The cost of similar but less extensive works designed to restore the effect of authorized diversions only, is estimated as follows:-

hated us fills	Cost of Works
Diversion compensated for-	\$1,750,000
Chicago diversion Bower diversions, Welland canal	\$ 100,000
TOWEL diversions,	

241. The effect of the diversions on the levels of lake Ontario and of the St. Lawrence river above Montreal will be removed by the works provided for the improvement of this part of the St. Lawrence.

242. The effect of the authorized diversions on the levels of the St. Lawrence river at and below Montreal can be restored by dredging and accessory works at estimated costs as follows:-

Dredging Montreal harbour	654,000
Reconstruction of dock walls, Montreal harbour	1,800,000
Dredging below Montreal	2,154,000
Total	\$4,608,000

. 52

QUESTION 6(c)

"How much power could be developed on the St. Lawrence river with the water diverted from the watershed referred to in section 6(a) under—

- (1) The plans recommended?
- (2) Alternative plans providing for a full practicable development of the river?"

243. ANSWER. The following amounts of 24-hour power could be developed on the St. Lawrence river with the authorized diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second from the water shed through the Chicago Drainage canal:—

(1) At the average heads available at the power plants initially recommended—

In the International Power Section (82.5 feet average head) In the Soulanges Section (22 feet average head)	Horse-power 70,125 18,700
T-t-1	88 875

(2) At the average heads available at the power plant recommended for the eventual full practicable development of the river—

In the International Power Section (82.5 feet average head) In the Soulanges Section (75 feet average head) In the Lachine Section (32 feet average head)	Horse-power 70,125 63,750 27,200
Total	161,075

QUESTION 6(d)

"Without considering compensation by the present relative diversions of water from the Niagara river and from lake Erie, and without prejudice to a future consideration thereof, what works, if any, could be constructed to recover on the St. Lawrence river the amounts of power determined under section 6(c), and what would be the cost of such works?"

244. ANSWER. The Board finds that after the St. Lawrence river has been fully developed for power production, no works can be constructed which would recover on the St. Lawrence the power lost by the diversion of water from the watershed.

QUESTION 7

"Having regard to economy of construction and maintenance, expedition of construction, and efficiency of operation—

"(a) Which of the works should be constructed under the technical supervision of an international board and what other works, if any, might advantageously be constructed under such supervision?

" (b) Which of the works should be maintained and operated by an international board and what other works, if any, might advantageously be so maintained and operated? "

245. ANSWER (a) CONSTRUCTION OF WORKS. All dams, embankments, power house substructures, water-passages, gates and channel enlargements within the International Sections should be designed and constructed under the technical supervision of a single international authority.

246. The purpose of this is to make sure that the different parts of the works will not be so prosecuted as to interfere with each other, and that safe and equitable regulation of both winter and summer flows of the river will be possible

both during and after construction; as well as to secure uniformity, economy and expedition by co-ordinating design and construction programs.

247. The same authority should co-ordinate for the entire river, from lake Ontario to Montreal, the programs of construction and the channel dimensions and clearances for works necessary to secure through navigation.

248. (b) MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF WORKS. The Board regards it as essential that an international control board be created with full power to regulate the use of water at the power plants in the International Section in order that such use may be prevented from creating conditions harmful to navigation in any part of the St. Lawrence, and in order that the operation of the various power plants be conducted with full regard to the use of water at other power plants on the river.

All locks and other navigation structures will necessarily lie in the territory of one country or the other, and can be most advantageously maintained and operated by the usual government agencies of the two countries.

QUESTION 8

"What, if any, readjustments in the location of the international boundary are necessary or desirable to place power structures belonging to either country within its borders, as recommended by the International Joint Commission?"

249. ANSWER. Readjustments in the international boundary are necessary only in the International Rapids Section and depend upon the plan adopted for the improvement of that section.

A change in the boundary in the vicinity of Barnhart island is necessary irrespective of whether the single-stage or the two-stage scheme be adopted in this Section. If, with either of these general schemes, the dam is located at the foot of Long Sault island and both powerhouses at the foot of Barnhart island, as shown on the plans of the two-stage development, a change is necessary between Turning Points 10 and 14 to bring the power houses within the borders of the two countries. If, on the other hand, the dam and power houses are at the foot of Barnhart island, with the United States power house on the mainland of the United States, as shown on the plans of the single stage development, it is desirable to so change the boundary between Turning Points 10 and 21 as to bring all of Barnhart island into Canadian territory. This island is separated from other American territory by the main channel of the St. Lawrence. The estimates include the acquisition of the entire island in connection with power development, and the land remaining unsubmerged can, with this plan, be put to beneficial use only in connection with the Canadian power house located thereon.

250. With the two-stage scheme, a slight change is needed also in the boundary north of Ogden island, to bring the power houses at that locality within the borders of the respective countries.

251. A detailed description of the necessary changes will be given in Appendix C.

QUESTION 9

"If the Board is of the opinion that it would be advantageous to provide in the first instance for channel depths other than 25 feet, but less than 30 feet, for what draft of vessel should provision be made?"—

252. ANSWER. As explained in paragraph 111, Part III, the Board is not agreed on the advantage of any depth other than 25 feet.

QUESTION 10

"Having regard to the recommendation of the International Joint Commission that the new Welland ship canal should be embodied in the scheme and should be treated as a part thereof, and to the fact that if a greater depth than 21 feet be adopted for the initial project depth of the St. Lawrence, such greater depth would not be available to the upper lake ports without further work in the navigation channels in the Lakes, what would be the cost of improving the main navigation channels between and through the lakes, so as to provide, without impairing the present lake levels, for (a) a depth of 25 feet and (b) for such other depth not exceeding 30 feet, as may be determined by the Board to be that for which it would be most advantageous to provide on the St. Lawrence river?"

253. ANSWER. The cost of improving the main navigation channels between and through the lakes, so as to provide a depth of 25 feet, including all compensating works constructed in furtherance of the work, is estimated at \$44,700,000, not including the cost of the new Welland ship canal.

QUESTION 11

"What is the time required to complete the proposed works, the order in which they should be proceeded with, and the progress which should be made yearly toward the completion of each in order to secure the greatest advantage from each of the works and from the development of the waterway as a whole?"

254. ANSWER. It is estimated that the waterway can be opened to navigation in from seven to eight years from the time that active work has been begun. All works should be so prosecuted as to insure the completion of navigation works at the same time. A complete program for the prosecution of the work will be presented in Appendix G.

APPENDICES

255. The investigations by the Board are set forth more fully in appendices as follows:—

Appendix A—Field investigations.

- B—Lake levels and outflows.
 - C—Detailed plans and estimates for the improvement of the St. Lawrence.
- D-River levels and discharges at and below Montreal.
- " E—Ice formation on St. Lawrence. " E Experimenta on strength of ice
 - F-Experiments on strength of ice.

" G—Construction program.

United States Section

"

"

EDGAR JADWIN,

DUNCAN W. McLACHLAN,

OLIVIER O. LEFEBVRE,

Major General, Chief of Engineers.

WILLIAM KELLY, Colonel, Corps of Engineers.

G. B. PILLSBURY, Lieut. Colonel, Corps of Engineers.

WASHINGTON, D.C., November 16, 1926.

CHARLES HAMILTON MITCHELL.

Canadian Section

ST. LAWRENCE WATERWAY PROJECT

Memorandum re Appendices to accompany Report of Joint Board of Engineers

Since the completion of the Main Report dated November 16, 1926, the Board has completed Appendices as follows:----

Appendix A-Field Investigations

- " B-Lake Levels and Out Flows
- " C-Detail plans, and estimates of Projects
- " D-River levels and discharges at and below Montreal
- " E-Ice formation on the St. Lawrence
- " F-Experiments on the Strength of ice
- " G-Construction program

In these Appendices alternatives are presented to those described in the main report. Attention is invited to the Crysler Island two-stage project presented by the Canadian Section for the International Rapids Section. This project is described in paragraphs 121 to 134 of Appendix C.

United States Section:

Canadian Section:

DUNCAN W. McLACHLAN.

EDGAR JADWIN, Major General, Chief of Engineers.

WILLIAM KELLY, Colonel, Corps of Engineers.

G. B. PILLSBURY, Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers.

DETROIT, MICHIGAN, July 13, 1927.

OLIVIER O. LEFEBVRE.

CHARLES H. MITCHELL.

APPENDIX A

FIELD AND OFFICE INVESTIGATIONS

INVESTIGATIONS BY CANADIAN SECTION

1. The Canadian Section of the Board was appointed on May 7, 1924. On that date funds were available for the work. A central office was etsablished in Ottawa and an organization was already in the field. After May, 1924, the personnel in both field and office was increased and work was prosecuted with energy from that time until the end of 1926.

2. STAFF. Throughout the progress of investigations a field office was maintained at Cornwall. Mr. Russell Yuill, B.Sc., was in local charge of this office and also directed all boring and survey parties at work in the field.

3. Mr. Guy A. Lindsay, B.Sc., supervised the preparation of detail plans and estimates in Ottawa as well as the greater part of the hydraulic computations made.

4. Mr. J. K. Wyman, B.Sc., developed stage relation diagrams for the St. Lawrence above and below Montreal and determined the effect of outlet changes at a number of critical points in the Great Lakes System. He developed a number of schemes for regulation of the Great Lakes, including that of Lake Ontario.

5. Mr. A. L. Mudge, B.Sc., had charge of the assembly and preparation of tentative lay-out plans for power houses and the assembly of data obtained from manufacturers of hydraulic and electrical machinery.

6. Mr. W. Chase Thomson, M.E.I.C., prepared outline plans and detail estimates for a large number of bridges at various points on the river. Other members of the staff of the Canadian Section did much useful work in connection with the compilation of data, the working out of designs, and the preparation of estimates.

7. Mr. D. W. McLachlan, B.Sc., Chairman of the Canadian Section, was in general charge of all investigations made by the Canadian Section.

8. BORINGS. A very important part of the work done by the Canadian Section was the borings made to determine the character of foundations.

9. Previous to the appointment of this Board, but subsequent to the filing of the report of 1921, the Canadian Government put down 63 borings, in the years 1922 and 1923. Almost all of these were in the International Section of the river.

10. During the year 1924, the Canadian Section put down 15 borings in the International Section and 15 in the Lachine Section.

11. For drilling in deep swift water, one strong spud scow was built at Cornwall and a lighter spud scow for drilling in quiet water was rented in the spring of 1925. The first scow was equipped with a churn drill and with a Calyx core drill and the second scow was equipped with a churn drill only. These two outfits were put to work in the Canadian Section of the river early in the summer of 1925, and worked continuously during the open season of 1925. They did much difficult work in that season in the Cedars and Lachine

靈

rapids. The rented scow was not used during 1926, but the specially built scow was also used throughout that season, part of the time by the United States Section in the deep swift waters at the foot of Barnhart island.

12. In the years 1925 and 1926, taken together, 22 borings to rock were put down in the International Section and 144 in the part of the river below lake St. Francis. In 1927, 8 borings were put down in the International Section.

13. The number of borings made in the Soulanges Section was 88. Of this number, 17 were along the north shore of the river between Coteau and Cascades, 14 along the south shore of the river between Clark island and St. Timothee, 25 were along the route of a canal between Hungry Bay and Melocheville, 12 were in the river at Cedars, 17 in the Ottawa arm of lake St. Louis, and 3 in Hungry bay.

14. The number of borings put down in the Lachine Section in 1925-26 was 51. Of these, 13 were at the foot of Lake St. Louis, 16 in the LaPrairie Basin, 18 along the north shore of the river between the town of Lachine and the shore of the river at Verdun, and 4 were on the south shore of the river above Lachine rapids.

15. In 1926, 5 borings to rock were made by the Canadian Section in the St. Lawrence river below Montreal.

16. In 1924 and 1925, the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario put down 128 borings in the International Section of the St. Lawrence.

17. A large number of cores were obtained in connection with the borings made. The cores obtained by the Canadian Section are being preserved at Cornwall for future reference. In almost all cases in which borings were made, solid rock was penetrated a distance of from 10 to 15 feet in order to make sure that a boulder was not mistaken for solid rock. Wash boring equipment was used only in a very few cases by the Canadian Section.

18. The following summary shows the linear feet of borings made by the Canadian Section in 1924, 1925, 1926, and 1927:---

Section	Borings made	Length in earth	Length in rock		Total
			Uncored	Cored	length
		ft.	ft.	ft.	ft.
Below Montreal Lachine Soulanges International Rapids	$5 \\ 66 \\ 88 \\ 45$	$218 \\ 1,370 \\ 1,922 \\ 1,954$	$\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 1,168 \\ 320 \\ 409 \end{array}$	24 127 187	$246 \\ 2,538 \\ 2,369 \\ 2,550$

19. A detailed description of the material penetrated in each hole is on file in the Department of Railways and Canals at Ottawa. The rock elevations found and the location of all borings made are shown in the plans accompanying Appendix "C".

20. SURVEYS. In the summer of 1924, the surveying of an uncharted portion of the St. Lawrence river, between the town of Lachine and the foot of the Lachine rapids, was undertaken and partially completed. This work was originally plotted at a scale of 400 feet to 1 inch.

21. In the years 1925-26, contour surveys of all islands in the river between lake St. Francis and Montreal were made. Topographical information formerly obtained along the river in the Soulanges Section was greatly extended, particularly on the north shore between lake St. Francis and lake St. Louis.

22. In the Soulanges Section a number of water level profiles were made on both shores of the river and around the larger islands.

23. In a number of cases in this section, basic data from plans of power companies was secured and replotted so that the plans filed with this report show all the data extant in the section.

24. During 1925-26, the surveys of Lachine rapids and LaPrairie basin, begun in 1924, were completed, and topographical information formally derived was extended on both shores of the river so that all areas of interest to the St. Lawrence project were covered.

25. Detail plans showing all buildings and improvements in the village of Caughnawaga and in the highly developed territory between the town of Lachine and Verdun were prepared.

26. In the International Section of the St. Lawrence river, a number of small surveys were made by the staff of the Canadian Section. These embraced the south shore of the river between Lotus island and Iroquois point, the high portions of Ogden island, the lower part of the channel south of Long Sault island, the river bed in the Little Long Sault rapids, and a series of summer cross-sections of the river between Morrisburg and the Long Sault rapids.

27. A comprehensive valuation of all property and buildings affected by the proposed improvements on the Canadian side of the International Section, and in the Long Sault and Lachine Sections, was made in 1926.

28. TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS.—In the autumn months of 1924, an elaborate series of water temperature measurements between lake Ontario and lake St. Louis were undertaken. This investigation extended through the early months of winter and furnished much needed data upon heat transfer between water and air in cold weather.

29. A series of water temperature measurements in the Ottawa river, between Grenville and the head of lake St. Louis, were completed during the month of November, 1924.

30. INVESTIGATION OF ICE JAMS AND PACKS. During 1925, a careful survey of the hanging dams at the head of lake St. Louis was made, and the progress of the ice packs as they built up from the foot of lake St. Peter to Montreal and from the head of lake St. Francis to the Long Sault rapids, was carefully recorded.

31. At the request of the Board, a special survey of an unusual ice jam in the Niagara river was made in the winter of 1924-25, by the staff of the Welland Ship Canal.

32. During the winter of 1924-25 and the two succeeding winters, record was kept of the movements of various ice jams and packs as they occurred at many points in the St. Lawrence river, between the foot of lake Ontario and the head of lake St. Peter.

33. EXPERIMENT ON STRENGTH OF ICE. In the winter of 1925-26, the use of two rooms in the refrigeration plant of the Harbour Commission of Montreal was secured and in these rooms a great many tests of the strength of ice were made. The information obtained from these tests is given in appendix "F".

34. DISCHARGE MEASUREMENTS. During the open water period of 1924, and again in 1925, many meterings of the St. Lawrence were made above Iroquois Point. During the winter of 1925, careful measurements of the flow

of the river at the head of lake St. Francis were made. These, along with measurements made in the winter of 1923 and 1924, and data compiled by the United States Lake Survey, enabled a close determination of flow out of lake Ontario to be made both for winter and for summer.

35. In addition to meterings mentioned above Iroquois Point, measurements of river flow were also made opposite the mouth of the Montreal Acqueduct, at Boucherville island, and at Vercheres island, and also on the Richelieu, Ottawa, St. Regis and Raquette rivers.

INVESTIGATIONS BY UNITED STATES SECTION

36. The United States Section established a field office at Ogdensburg, N.Y., continuing from April, 1925, to January, 1926. Lieut. Joseph H. Stevenson, Corps of Engineers was in charge to July, 1925, when Col. C. W. Sturtevant assumed charge. Mr. F. W. Maltby was later engaged to collaborate with Col. Sturtevant on the studies of the proposed works.

37. DESIGNS AND ESTIMATES. Extensive studies of hydro-electric development in the International Rapids Section were made for the United States Section of the Board by the firm of Viele, Blackwell and Buck, engaged as consulting engineers on this feature of the improvement. Designs and estimates for various schemes for improving the International Sections of the river were prepared by a special force organized in the United States Lake Survey Office at Detroit, in the winter of 1925-26, under Mr. Roger B. McWhorter.

38. SURVEY, CLAYTON TO BROCKVILLE. All shoal areas in this critical section of the proposed navigation route through the upper St. Lawrence were determined by sweeping with a wire drag, set at a depth of at least 33 feet. The work followed the sweeping methods developed by the United States Lake Survey, and was done by a party from the Survey. All shoal spots were sounded at 50 feet intervals. The areas swept, and the shoals found, are shown on the maps accompanying appendix "C". The detailed soundings of the shoal areas are on file in the United States Lake Survey.

39. Probings of the shoal spots were made with a steel rod in the course of the survey, and showed that these were principally solid rock (granite) or boulders.

40. SURVEY, CHIMNEY POINT TO CARDINAL. On account of the great importance of this section of the river in all plans for improvement, a detailed hydrographic survey of this territory was made and plotted on a scale of 400 feet to the inch.

41. SURVEY, BARNHART ISLAND. A new detailed hydrographic survey was made from Robinsons' bay to Massena point, and was also plotted on a scale of 400 feet to the inch.

42. BORINGS. Under a contract entered into with Clarke Brothers, Maysville, Kentucky, 93 wash borings were made to determine the character of the material between Chimney point and Point Three points, in the upper part of the river, and 61 borings, most of which were cored, were made to determine the elevation of suitable foundations for power houses, locks, etc., at the foot of Barnhart island, near the mouth of the Grass river, and at other points. In addition, 28 holes were drilled in this region with rented drills and on a footage basis.
43. To determine the elevation of the rock at the dam site near the foot of the Long Sault rapids, supplementing the special investigations by test pits and horizontal borings later described, a rented diamond drill, mounted on scow, was placed with some difficulty on the mid-channel shoal at the locality, and 5 vertical holes were drilled into rock. The rock elevation at the abutments of a dam at this site were explored by 8 diamond drill borings.

44. It was found that the wash borings made under contract in the upper portion of the river did not afford a reliable indication of the quantity of ledge rock to be handled in the execution of the proposed improvement, and the critical areas were therefore re-examined with diamond and heavy well-drills. These further investigations showed that ledge rock lies, at a number of places, at considerably lower elevations than was indicated by the wash borings above described or those made by the Deep Waterways Board in 1898 and 1899. A few wash borings were also made in the Lake St. Francis Section.

45. Most of the boring operations were made during 1925, but a few supplementary borings were made in 1926 to establish the foundation conditions at sites for structures developed by the office studies. The Canadian Section put its drill barge at the disposal of the United States Section for exploring the proposed dam site near the foot of Barnhart island during the latter season.

46. The following is a summary of the borings made:-

Class	Number	Total length
Borings cored into rock (total length cored approximately 1,100 feet). Well drill borings.		5,285 1,283
Other borings— Reaching desired grade Not reaching desired grade		$579 \\ 1,600$
Total		8,767

47. The location of the various borings, except such wash borings as were rejected, is shown on the detailed maps accompanying Appendix "C". A detailed description of the borings is on file in the office of the United States Lake Survey at Detroit.

48. SPECIAL EXPLORATION OF THE DAM SITE AT LONG SAULT RAPIDS. At this site, the river flows in two channels on either side of a midstream bar. The swift currents and heavy breaking swells in these channels render ordinary boring inordinately expensive, if not impossible. A test shaft was therefore sunk on the shore on each side, on Barnhart and Long Sault islands respectively, and horizontal borings driven under the river from the bottom of these shafts. As previously described, vertical borings were made on the bar with a diamond drill

49. BARNHART ISLAND SHAFT. The Barnhart island shaft was located on a bench about thirty-five feet above the river surface, and 100 feet from the water's edge. Active work on sinking the shaft was begun July 15, 1925. The collar was set at elevation 210. A timbered shaft was carried to bed rock, which was reached July 25, at elevation 148. The shaft was continued, without timbering, to elevation 121. A sump, with a depth of nine feet, was then excavated and the whole was completed on September 9, 1925.

50. The material penetrated was as follows:---

Elevations	Description of Material
210 to 207	Heavy black loam.
$\begin{array}{c} 207 \text{ to } 206 \cdot 5 \dots \dots \\ 206 \cdot 5 \text{ to } 205 \cdot 5 \dots \dots \\ 205 \cdot 5 \dots \dots \\ 172 \end{array}$	Coarse sand. Sand and loam. Bluish clay and sand with small rock mixed, turning to a hardpan towards
173 to 150	the end. Sh le hardpan. This material was very hard, requiring shooting to loosen it up. It seemed to lay in layers and while it could be picked, much better progress was made by light charges of 40 per cent powder.
150 to 148.5	Blue limestone with large amount of fossils showing. Sloped about 1 to 12 to the south and varied in thickness from 12 to 18".
148.5 to 148 148 to 145	Haropan with excess sand. Blue limestone with seams ¹ / ₄ to 1" thick of pure sand running both horizon-
145 to 138.5	Blue limestone. Very hard with tight seams running both vertical and horizontal. Shatters easily under 60 per cent powder. There was a
138.5	small open seam at elevation 141. Seam 3" thick of soft shale laying almost level and extending clear across the hole.
138.2 to 125 125 to 121	Hard blue limestone. Blue limestone but softer and showing large amount of fossils. Lighter in
121 to bottom of sump, approx 112	Hard blue limestone.

51. The work was done by hired labour and was under the supervision of Junior Engineer W. B. Anthony.

52. LONG SAULT ISLAND SHAFT. This shaft was located at the foot of Long Sault island, on the shore, about seventy-five feet from the water's edge. Sinking operations commenced on August 13, 1925, and were completed on September 20. The collar of the shaft was placed at elevation 183.6. The timbering was carried down from the surface and was bedded at elevation 159.2 on a limestone stratum.

53. It was found that this limestone stratum was about ten inches thick, underlain by a four-foot layer of shale and separated therefrom by an open seam. When this seam was penetrated, the flow of water produced in the drill hole indicated that the pumping equipment would be insufficient to handle the water if the seam was fully opened. Grouting was therefore resorted to, and the shaft was then successfully completed.

54. The material penetrated was as follows:-

From elevation	To elevation	Description
180.9	171.6	River gravel and sand.
$171 \cdot 6$	$162 \cdot 1$	Grayish, fine-grained marine clay, containing considerable line sand.
167.0	162.1	Bluish-gray, thick-bedded innestone. NoteThis formation was encountered on the west side of the shaft and extended about one-quarter of the way across the shaft.
$162 \cdot 1$	161.15	Bluish-gray, fossiliferous limestone.
$161 \cdot 15$	159.25	Bluish-gray shale.
159.25	158.45	Bluish-gray limestone.
 158.45 	154.15	Bluish-gray shale. The contact between this shale and the overlying immestone stratum is an open water seam and was grouted as described above. It is thought that there is a change in the rock series at this contact.
154.15	151.5	Bluish-gray, shaly, fossiliferous limestone.
151.5	147.6	Bluish-gray, fossiliferous limestone.
147.6	$145 \cdot 2$	Light bluish-gray, crystalline limestone with shale partings.
$145 \cdot 2$	143.1	Bluish-gray, fossiliferous limestone.
$143 \cdot 1$	141.7	Bluish-gray, arenaceous limestone.
141.7	138.7	Bluish-gray, crystalline limestone, with shale partings.
138.7	135.9	Light-gray, dense, crystalline limestone with shale partings.
$135 \cdot 9$	133.9	Bluish-gray, cross-bedded, shaly imestone.
$133 \cdot 9$	131.5	Gray, dense crystalline limestone.
$131 \cdot 6$	131.2	Bluish-gray, crystalline limestone, with shale partings.
$131 \cdot 2$	128.3	Dove-colored, dense, crystalline linestone.
128.3	126.8	Bluish-gray, coarse, crystalline innestone.
126.8	123.5	quartz deposition on joint planes.
123.5	122.8	Bluish-gray, cross-bedded, finely crystalline limestone with shale partings.
$122 \cdot 8$	122.7	Same as No. 21, but lower shale partings.
122.7	119.6	Bluish-gray, dense, crystalline limestone.

55. The work was done by hired labour, three shifts being employed. Mr. W. W. Gruber, Junior Engineer, was in local charge of the work during the period of organization and preliminary construction. Mr. E. L. Lull, Junior Engineer, was in local charge during the sinking of the shaft.

56. HORIZONTAL BORINGS. The horizontal borings were driven under contract with the Pennsylvania Drilling Co., by diamond drills from chambers excavated near the bottom of the shaft. The deflection of the holes from the horizontal was measured every 100 feet by means of etching solution on glass tubes inserted in the holes; and the deflection in direction by compass needle in a congealing solution. It was found that all holes tended to dip downward. The boring from the Long Sault Shaft was driven 690.7 feet with a total calculated downward deflection of 15.7 feet. The first hole driven from the Barnhart Island shaft, when it had penetrated 660 feet, had such a downward inclination that it was apparent that further information from this hole would have little value. A second hole was started with an upward inclination of $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. This hole also dipped downward to such an extent that, at the end of 350 feet, it was deemed desirable to discontinue it. A third hole was started with an upward inclination of 3 per cent, and reached a distance of 760 feet, with the elevation at the end of the hole 4 feet below the point of starting. The end of the hole was then approximately 600 feet from proved rock established by a drilled hole on the midstream bar.

57. The material penetrated by all horizontal borings was limestone bedded horizontally, with tight shale seams. No evidence of vertical seams or cavities was shown by any of the holes, and the leakage from all holes was insignificant.

SYNOPSIS OF GEOLOGICAL AND BORING INFORMATION

58. As previously described, various borings, down to and into rock, have been carried out by both sections, distributed throughout the entire length of the river under investigation.

59. In this appendix, it is thought desirable to outline more in detail the nature of the several rock formations and their over-burden, and at the same time to include some typical records of rock borings to indicate the character and arrangement of the strata of the various materials encountered in the more critical localities. These typical records have been selected from those on file in the respective government offices at Ottawa and Detroit.

60. The country rock, apart from the river influences, displays characteristics, including striations, indicating a southerly passage of glaciers. There are in addition, indications of pre-glacial erosion and definite channels which cut across the glacier tracks in an easterly direction, not easy to trace or connect with any definite system. There are repeated series of ridges and valleys in the rock surface, cutting southerly across the present river throughout its whole course. The foregoing conditions have given rise to the statement expressed in the Main Report that "geologically the St. Lawrence is a new river" (para. 25).

61. Indications of the changes which the river has undergone are frequent. While it appears generally to have preserved its uniformly straight course from Lake Ontario to Montreal, there are frequent instances of local variations as disclosed by rock borings, which may have some bearing upon future construction on the river. Modern theories of river hydraulics may explain these variations by changes in discharge, by some rock and earth erosion, by ice gorging, etc., but this river, with its great volume of water, appears to have kept very closely to its relatively straight course.

62. THOUSAND ISLAND SECTION. The rock surfaces through this section, as found by hydrographic surveys are very irregular. The shoals to be excavated are granite, characteristic of this region.

63. INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION. General: The material overlying the rock throughout this section is generally a mixture of clay, sand, gravel, and boulders, with clay predominating. These are compacted into occasional masses of hardpan. Boulders occur frequently; they commonly form a pavement on the bed of the river especially where the current is swift; they are also frequently found in layers in the bodies of the islands and on the mainland, and almost invariably form the upper strata and caps of the high spots or knolls. As excavation work proceeds it is possible certain deposits of both sand and gravel may be found suitable for construction but these are likely to be limited.

64. The rock in the International Rapids Section as indicated by borings, is generally limestone of various degrees of hardness and varying thickness of strata with occasional seams of shale and sandstone. A good portion of the rock, where excavated, may be used for different classes of construction, but only a limited quantity is suitable for concrete or other uses where uniformly hard and durable rock is required.

Geological records (Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa and Cornwall Sheet, No. 120, 1906), show calciferous dolomite between Chimney Point and Ogden Island and at Farrans Point and in the Long Sault Rapids. They show Chazy limestone with occurrences of Chazy shale with bands of sandstone between Ogden Island and the foot of the section. No geological faults have been found in the district. All rock encountered in this section appears to be ouite strong and impervious.

65. GALOP RAPIDS. The head of Galop Rapids is formed by a rock ridge with its uneven surface filled in by boulder pavement. This ridge, which constitutes the control for the level of Lake Ontario and virtually forms the bed of the river, may be said to vary across the two channels, between Elev. 224 and 228.

66. The following boring record is given in order to present an idea of the typical character of the rock and its overburden in this general locality.

ON GALOP ISLAND

Location	.On North side of 3,000 feet bel posed channel	Galop island, near shore in large bay, about ow upper shore of island (on centre of pro- l).
Done	June 16, 1926, by (Boring No.	y United States Section with "Well" Drill S. 14. Index No. P 144).
Elevation	255.7 255.7 to 247.6 239.0 247.6 to 220.7 220.7	Ground surface Clay Normal water level Hardpan with boulders Rock surface Medium blue limestone
67 O I	At Onlan Talan	

67. OGDEN ISLAND. At Ogden Island a rock sill crosses the north channel at Elev. 202 and a similar rock sill crosses the south channel at Elev. 214. At the lower end of the island the general level of rock appears to range around Elev. 175 while further down below Canada and Clark Island, it is more irregular varying under the river bed, between Elev. 150 and 170. Extensive boring data is available in this locality.

68. The following boring records are herewith given as typical in this locality, the two selected being on the North shore of Ogden Island and alongside the main channel of the river.

OGDEN ISLAND

Location	.On Point North s and about 4,5 .September, 1923, drill (Boring 2 .240.8 to 230.3 230.3 to 221.8 218.0 221.8 to 202.5 202.5 to 198.6 198.6 to 196.6 196.6	ide of Ogden Island, upper side of deep bay 00 feet from lower end of island. by Can. Dept. of R. & C. with "Well" No. 9, Index No. 116). Ground surface Clay Sand and Gravel with Boulders Normal Water Level Sand and gravel Gravel with stones "Hard" and "Soft" rock (limestone) Rock Surface Limestone Bottom of drilled hole
	OGDEN I	SLAND
Location	Near shore, north lower end of July, 1923, by C (Boring No. 3 226.4 215.0 226.4 to 197.9 197.9 to 195.9 195.9 to 191.4 191.4 to 173.7 173.7 to 171.5 171.5 to 164.2 164.2	side of Ogden Island, about 800 feet above island. an. Dept. of R. & C. with "Well" drill 3, Index No. 108). Ground Surface Normal water level Sand and gravel with boulders Boulder, limestone Sand and gravel Sand and gravel Sand and gravel Sand Rock Surface Medium and soft limestone Medium hard limestone Bottom of drilled hole.

45827-5

69. CRYSLER ISLAND. At and in the vicinity of Crysler Island about forty borings have been made, this being an alternative location for a dam and power house. The borings showed marked irregularity in the underlying rock surface and water under pressure was found in several holes. The core borings made after the completion of the Main Report, however, disclose more favourable foundation conditions further down stream.

70. Typical rock borings, above and below Crysler Island are as follows:----

CRYSLER ISLAND

	213.0 to 181.0	Hardpan with boulders
	181.0 to 176.0	Hardpan with small stones
	176.0 to 165.5	Clay hardpan (Boulder at 168.0)
	165.5 to 159.0	Sand gravel and a little clay
	159.0 to 155.7	Quicksand
	155.7 to 142.0	Hardpan
	142.0 to 140.2	Sand and fine gravel
	140.2	Rock surface
North State of the	140.2 to 139.2	Slate rock
	139.2 to 135.9	Limestone rock
	135.9	Bottom of drilled hole.
	100.0	

CRYSLER ISLAND

levation		Water surface
	192.5	River bed
	192.5 to 183.1	Loose sand and gravel
	183.1 to 174.8	Sand and loose gravel
	174.8 to 167.5	Fine sand and coarse grave
	167.5 to 158.7	Sand
	158.7	Rock surface
		Limestone
	141.6	Bottom of cored hole.

71. LONG SAULT RAPIDS. The river bed forming the head of Long Sault rapids consists of a limestone sill or ridge with its crest at about Elev. 180, which it is to be observed is higher than the rock at Chrysler island, twelve miles upstream. At the proposed dam site, opposite the head of Barnhart island, the rock drops off to elevations ranging between 150 and 160. The exploration of this site, by methods hereinbefore described in detail, shows that the rock has ample bearing power for a dam structure.

72. The overburden in the banks and in the islands in the locality of this upper Barnhart Island Dam site, is of the usual boulder clay formation. The midstream shoal at this point is hard blue clay, with a paving of cobbles and boulders.

73. The proposed dam and power house site at the foot of Barnhart island was explored for foundation conditions, both in the river itself, on the mainland and on Barnhart island. Within the river, six cored borings were sunk to depths of from 10 to 30 feet into the rock. The rock, at the general elevation of from 107 to 111, was limestone and drilling records indicate it to be impervious. The overburden in the river, about 30 feet in thickness, is clay, sand,

66

E

gravel, and boulders, generally hard and dry but with some water bearing seams. On the United States mainland, on the powerhouse site, the rock ranges from Elev. 104 to 109 and on the Canadian power house site on Barnhart island, from about Elev. 110 to 125.

74. Considering the United States mainland, both above and below Hawkins point, much attention was paid to investigation of the overburden because upon its impermeability will depend the security of this portion of the development if water is raised by a main dam across the river at the foot of Barnhart island. Various borings were put down along the river shore which indicate that the rock is lower around Hawkins point than further down at the power house and dam site.

75. A study was also made of the character of this area comprising a stretch of about three miles in length and especially of that lying under the oval contour 200 extending above and below Hawkins point. Particular attention was paid to the water bearing strata as disclosed by the numerous wells on the farms in the locality. The top portions of knolls here, around Elev. 220 and 225, have the same predominating caps and shallow layers of boulders as elsewhere along the river, the boulders being embedded in a clay or hard-pan crust which holds rain and surface water in small ponds or swamps. There are sand and gravel strata below these, alternating with layers of hardpan and boulders. The water bearing strata hereabouts lie between Elev. 165, just about the surface of the main clay or hardpan beds. Most of the water strata down river from Hawkins point are found about Elev. 165 and those above Hawkins point at Elev. 175 to 185. It is considered that for construction purposes, this long contour can be made reasonably impervious for the head that may be imposed, care being taken to secure tight connections to the main hardpan stratum at about Elev. 185.

76. On Barnhart island a similar situation would be created and in like manner special attention was paid to investigating both rock and overburden. The most critical portion of Barnhart island in this respect is the lower third, as it is here that the island will be called upon, under any method of power development, to act as an earth dam having a dyke on its crest to hold water above its present ground surface. Such necessity raises the question of the impervious character of the material overlying the rock.

77. Barnhart island is characteristic of all the St. Lawrence islands in this Section. Clay is mixed with sand, gravel, and boulders but in quite irregularly formed strata and at different levels.

78. Selecting 13 typical borings in the lower third of the island with special reference to the materials overlying the rock, the following several features emerge: In only one locality does water occur at an elevation above the river; this appears to come from ponds and surface sources. There is nothing in the borings or surface indications to cause a suspicion that river water finds its way in significant quantity from the higher to the lower reaches by means of underground channels either in or beneath the island. The higher levels carry boulders with coarse gravel and sand and some clay which occurs in pockets. Intermediate levels carry sand and gravel with some strata of clay; these are sometimes compacted into hardpan. The lower levels, next to rock, invariably are of sand and fine gravel interspersed with layers of coarse materials and sometimes found tightly compacted. The same conditions prevail on Sheek island where similar investigations were carried out.

79. Considering the lower portion of Barnhart island where it will be called upon to sustain water at a high level, the borings indicate that the materials overlying the rock will be satisfactory for the foundation of the earth dykes, provided they are properly prepared.

80. Borings along the navigation canal route between Robinson Bay and Grass river were made to supplement those made in 1900 by the Deep Waterways Board. Those at the Robinson Bay lock site showed continuous hardpan to rock which is at Elev. 122. Seven borings cored into rock were made at the Grass River Lock site; the overburden is soft blue marine clay, in general extending to rock which is at about Elev. 104.

81. In order to convey some idea of the characteristics of these several critical localities at and about Long Sault rapids, five typical borings in addition to the two shafts already described, have been selected and their records are as follows:—

BARNHART ISLAND AND LONG SAULT RAPIDS

I

Done	May 8, 192 drill (Be	bring No. 17. Index No. 48).
Elevation	196.5	Ground surface
	196.5 to 194	5 Sand and gravel with boulders
	187.5 to 178	5.5 Sand and gravel
and Eler, 165 and these	162.0 178 5 to 119	5 Sand and gravel with clay
	119.5	Rock surface
	116.5	Bottom of drilled hole.

TT

Location	Centre of lower p	ortion of Barnhart Island 2,500 feet up from and in the forebay of "Two Stage" Power
	House site.	
study as some soft of the	March 20, 1922, b	ov Canadian Dept. of R. & C. with "Well"
Done	drill (Boring	No. 4, Index No. 41).
Elevation	210.0	Ground surface
Elevanon	210.0 to 182.5	Sand and gravel with boulders
	182.5 to 170.0	Gravel with clay
	170.0 to 159.0	Sand and gravel
	159.0 to 154.0	White sand and coarse gravel
i shundat shuttare. I	154.0 to 152.0	"Layer of limestone" (Boulder?)
	152.0 to 151.6	Sand and gravel
	151.6 to 146.3	Clay hardpan
	146.3 to 145.9	Sand and gravel
	145.9	Rock surface
		"Hard" limestone
	133.9	Bottom of drilled hole
	III	this appears to come inche panels al
Location	Midstream, Main end of Barn	n Channel, southeast of and opposite lower hart Island, on "Single Stage" Dam and
	Power House	isted States Section with core drill (Boring
Done	May, 1920, by U	dor No P 53)
	NO. R. I, III	Weter surface
Elevation	109.0	Piver bod
	141.1 141.1 to 191.1	Sand and gravel
	141.1 to 101.1	Sand and gravel with clay
	100 5 to 110 8	Sand and gravel with clay and water
	122.5 to 112.0	Sand and gravel with water
	112.8 10 110.7	Back surface
	110.7	Limestone
	80 5	Bottom of cored hole.
	00.0	Doutour or oprov

Location.

Done... Elevation

IV	
 On United States Hawkins Point of	mainland, near shore in bay 3,500 feet below "Single Stage" Power House site.
 April 6, 1925, by 1 No. P. 5. Index M	United States Section, with core drill. (Boring
 	Ground surface
 159.0	Water level
186.0 to 105.8 quired blastin casing.	Sand and clay, with boulders. Material re- ag at some places down to Elev. 137.0 to drive
105.8	Rock surface
105.8 to 100.8 100.8 to 80.8 80.8	Blue limestone "shattered to some extent" Blue limestone, "hard and solid" Bottom of cored hole.
v	entire rapids to Montre thidger entire
On Const Line	Repairson Bay Lock Site about 3 000 feet

Location	le, near noomson bay hock one about o,ooo reet
below Rol	binson Bay.
Done May 14, 1926,	by United States Section with core drill. (Boring
No. P. 6.	Index No. P. 64).
Elevation	Ground surface
190.8 to 182.8	8 Soft clay
163.0	Normal water level in Robinson Bay
182.8 to 122.4	Hardpan with boulders
122.4	Rock surface
	Blue limestone
104.0	Water lost
97.4	Bottom of cored hole.

82. LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION. In lake St. Francis some deposits of sand were found near its head, but, in general, the material to be removed in the channels consists of soft mud overlying sand and gravel. The land area southeast of the lake consists of layers of peat overlying clay.

83. SOULANGES SECTION. The material overlying the rock surface throughout this section is boulder clay in the ridges and marine clay in the flat portions. The marine clay appears to have been deposited after the boulder clay; in some cases both materials were found in the same boring.

84. The overburden at the upper end of this section is not very deep and in many cases the rock is close to the ground surface. This is especially so in the Coteau rapids, while at the upper end of Grande île there is much rock outcrop, and most of the wells on this island are quite shallow. The overburden on Grande île is boulder and marine clay and no sand or gravel was encoun-tered in any of the borings except at the east end of the island.

85. Between Cascades point and Cascades island, and on the latter, the solid rock surface is exposed but it falls off rapidly toward the Ottawa arm of lake St. Louis.

86. In Coteau rapids, crystalline limestone is exposed and is of a specially hard gritty nature. In Cedars rapids, dolomite is exposed and in Cascades rapids, Potsdam sandstone.

87. On the south side of the river, along the line of the Hungry bay-Melocheville canal location as proposed in the report of 1921, the overburden is marine clay overlying gravel and sand, except along the St. Louis river, where rock outcrops and boulder clay ridges rise through the surface of the marine clay. The high ground between the St. Louis and St. Lawrence is heavily capped with boulder beds. At Melocheville, solid sandstone rises to the surface and has been quarried in some places.

69

88. Along the north shore of the river from Coteau to Cascades, the overburden is all marine clay although some sand and gravel is found in borings made near Coteau Landing. On île Juillet and île aux Vaches, clay, sand, and gravel overlie the rock. Some sand and gravel were also found in the borings put down in the river above île Juillet.

89. Boulders and boulder pavements on the river bed and the islands are frequent throughout the whole section. When the river bed was exposed during the construction of the Cedar Rapids Power works, the bed of the head canal was found to be covered with boulders.

90. In general the rock surface in and on the shores of the river above Coteau rapids is about elevation 126. Similarly, it is at about elevation 100 at the upper end of Cedars rapids and from elevation 80 to 85 at the top of Cascades rapids.

91. Four typical borings in this section have been selected as indicating the character of rock and overburden. Their records are as follows:-

COTEAU DU LAC

Location	 Near shore, north side of river above Coteau du Lac, opposite Prisoner's island (at mile 145 and on Coteau du Lac lock site). May 13, 1925, by Canadian Section with "Well" drill. (Boring No. 11, Index No. 29)
Elevation	150.2Ground surface150.2 to 146.7Clay143.0Normal water level146.7 to 138.7Sand and gravel138.7 to 131.2Sand and gravel with clay131.2 to 129.4Sand and clay129.4Rock surface
	Limestone ("Fairly hard") 111.9 Bottom of drilled hole.
	ILE JUILLET
	in some caset institute only appressed to be a been deposite
Location	In river, 1000 feet upstream from Ile Juillet. (On line of proposed dam).
Done	. October 30, 1925, by Canadian Section with core drill (Boring No. 4, Index No. 50).
Elevation	.126.4Water surface118.4River bed118.4 to 105.0Sand and coarse gravel105.0 to 101.0Sand and gravel with clay101.0Rock surfaceLimestoneLimestone84.3Bottom of cored hole
	HEAD OF CEDARS BAPIDS
	hard guitty nature. Is codars raniIII dolomita is a

115.1 115.1 to 103.9 Sand and coarse gravel with clay Sand and coarse gravel Rock surface Limestone Bottom of cored hole. 103.9 to 100.8 100.8

90.1

CHAMBERRY GULLY

IV

Location	In Chamberry	Gully, on canal	location	below Chamberry
	Gully lock (at mile 155)	DOG	11 (W -11 2 - Juill
Done	April 27, 1921, by	y Canadian Dept.	R. & C.	with " well " drill.
	(Boring No.	"S", Index No.	19)	
Elevation	98.0	Ground surface		
	98.0 to 78.5	Clay		
	78.5 to 62.8	Gravel		
	62.8	Rock surface		
		Hard sandstone		
	210	Dottom of drille	ad hole	

92. LACHINE SECTION. The material overlying the rock in this section is mostly of clay with small amounts of sand and gravel, usually near the rock and in comparatively thin layers. From above Lachine to the mouth of the Montreal Aqueduct and from the foot of Lachine rapids to Montreal, the river is strewn and paved with boulders. In the borings, however, especially along the shores, very few boulders were encountered.

93. The surface of the rock is exposed in many places throughout this section both on the shores and on the islands, and in the form of shoals and ridges in the river and rapids. On the north shore between Lachine and Verdun, the rock surface is above the bed of the river but it drops off east of Verdun and from there to Montreal is generally below the bed of the river.

94. The rock found in the borings in the eastern end of lake St. Louis was shale or soft limestone. There is a large outcrop of Chazy limestone along the shore at Caughnawaga, while east of this point it is Trenton limestone, also exposed. Further east this is replaced by Utica shale. In the Lachine rapids there are frequent igneous dykes or intrusions through the shale, running across the river northwesterly; these outcrop on Heron island and on both main shores. The shale disintegrates very rapidly on exposure but the igneous rock weathers well.

95. The general surface of the rock opposite Lachine is at about Elev. 50 and this approximate level holds until near the head of Lachine Rapids. The general level at the foot of Lachine rapids is between Elev. 18 and 24 which holds along the north shore to Verdun. The rock along the proposed canal route then rises following the shore until near Victoria bridge where it is about Elev. 30. Thence it rapidly falls to about Elev. minus 6 in the upper end of Montreal harbour.

96. Three typical borings have been selected for this section, the records of which are as follows:-

LACHINE RAPIDS

end of Ile au Diable (near dam site). Done
Done
Elevation
57.0 Normal water level 59.2 to 54.2 Earth and stones 54.2 Rock surface
59.2 to 54.2 Earth and stones 54.2 Rock surface
54.2 Rock surface
54.2 to 52.2 Slate rock
52.2 to 47.3 Shale and slate
47.3 to 46.1 Slate rock
46.1 to 40.2 Shale and slate
40.2 to 38.2 Hard slate
38.2 to 33.2 Shale
33.2 to 16.2 Slate
16.2 to -3.8 Black shale and clay
-3.8 to -5.3 Slate
-5.3 to -20.0 Black shale and clay
-20.0 to -25.5 Limestone
25.5 Bottom of drilled hole.

LACHINE RAPIDS

Location Island, 1	north side	e of river about 2,000 feet west of present
Lach	ine powe	er house and 1,300 feet from river (near
guar	d gate sit	e)
Done	10, 1925,	by Canadian Section with "Well" drill.
(Ind	ex No. 60)).
Elevation		Ground surface
66.9 to	63.9	Hard sand
63.9 to	59.9	Sand and clay
59.0		Normal water level (head canal)
59.9 to	56.9	Clay
56.9 to	54.2	Gravel and stone
54.2 to	50.0	Slate gravel
50.0		Rock surface
50.0 to	39.5	Slate rock
39.5		Seam of sand and water $(\frac{1}{2}$ -inch)
39.5 to	34.9	Rock, very hard
34.9 to	31.7	Rock (softer)
angle allacoogen approach 31.7	d odt a	Bottom of drilled hole.

AT VERDUN

Location	At shore, north	side of Nun's Island opposite Verdun pump
	house (on ca	anal location, mile 180)
Done	.February 19, 192	4, by Canadian Section, with "Well" drill.
	(Index No.	10).
Elevation.	40.7	Water surface (winter)
sum stitute the Abril 10 Dit	33.3	Normal water level (summer)
	32.9	River bed
	32.9 to 23.5	Sand and gravel
	23.5	Rock surface, shale
	23.5 to 18.3	Shale
	18.3	Rock surface, slate
	18.3 to -6.8	Slate
How months were there were	-6.8	Seam of sand
	-6.8 to -11.4	Slate
	-11.4	Bottom of drilled hole.

97. Information concerning the geology of the St. Lawrence river, between Prescott and Lachine, is contained in a "Report on Structural Materials" in this section, published by the Canadian Department of Mines, 1922. References to other geological reports are given on pages 12 and 13 of that publication.

Adopted by Board, June 2, 1927.

APPENDIX B

LAKE LEVELS AND OUTFLOWS

1. This appendix sets forth the data and computations on which the conclusions relating to the Great Lakes in Part II of the Report are based.

DESCRIPTION

	oquare mines
Lake Superior (including St. Marys river above St. Marys falls)	$31,820 \\ 22,400$
Lake Michigan	23,010
Lake St Clair (including St. Clair river)	460
Lake Frie (including Detroit river)	9,940
Lake Ontario (including Niagara river and St. Lawrence river to	
Galop rapids)	7,540
in it appired from all sources the deficiency heiner 61.3	95 160
[loto]	00.100

3. The Great Lakes form an enormous reservoir system which equalizes the flow of the St. Lawrence river. In this system lakes Michigan and Huron are a single unit, since they are joined by the broad and wide straits of Mackinac and have always substantially the same level. Lake St. Clair can be included, without material error, in the reservoir capacity of lake Erie.

4. The flow of water that would be furnished by drawing down the several lakes by one foot, or conversely the flow required to increase the depth on the lakes by one foot, is as follows:—

Cfs	. for One Month
Lale Superior Lakes Michigan-Huron Lake Erie Lake Ontario	337,100 481,200 110,200 80,000
	1,008,500

5. A draw down of one foot on the lake system as a whole would provide the entire average flow delivered to the St. Lawrence for more than four months. It is of interest to note that the entire flow of the Mississippi river past New Orleans at flood time would raise the Great Lakes at the rate of but little more than one foot per month.

6. SUPPLY. The water supply of the Great Lakes is furnished by the inflow of the many relatively small rivers of their drainage basins, increased by the rainfall on the lakes themselves, and decreased by the evaporation from the lake surfaces. The total area of the drainage basin of the lakes is approximately 300,000 square miles, of which nearly one-third is occupied by the lakes themselves. Computations show that the average supply received from the land areas about equals that received as rainfall on the lakes, but that roughly 40 per cent of this total gross supply is lost by evaporation. (Table 45, pp. 367-368, Report on Diversion of Water from the Great Lakes, 1919.)

7. The net supply to any lake, from month to month, can be determined from the inflow from the lake above, the outflow from the lake, and the change

in level during the month. The annual supply to the entire lake basin, from 1860 to 1925, as determined by such computations (par. 72 to 89), has varied from a maximum of 342,000 cfs. per annum in 1873 to a minimum of 145,700 cfs. per annum in 1895, with an average for the entire period of 242,000 cfs.

8. The seasonal variation in supply swings between much wider limits. It is highest in the spring months of April to June, and lowest in the fall months, October to December. The average net supply of the lake basin by months from 1861 to 1925 has been as follows:—

Spring and Summer	Cfs	Fall and Winter	Cfs.
March April May June July	367,800 533,900 538,900 445,300 304,900	August September October November December January	$\begin{array}{c} 178,600\\ 89,200\\ 41,300\\ 19,700\\ 61,400\\ 110,400\\ 208,500 \end{array}$
Average	438,200	February Average	101,300

9. During the high year of 1873 the supply for the month of April was at the rate of 825,500 cfs. During the low year of 1895, the lake system as a whole lost during the two months of October and November more water from evaporation than it received from all sources, the deficiency being 51,500 cfs. per month and 35,500 cfs. per month respectively.

10. The monthly supplies to the individual lakes, from 1860 to 1925, are given in tables 1 to 7, appendix B.

11. OUTFLOW OF THE LAKE BASIN. The average yearly outflow from the Great Lakes basin, including the outflow through the canal of the Chicago Sanitary District, has ranged from 285,400 cfs. in 1861 to 205,500 cfs. in 1895. The monthly outflow has ranged from an average of 318,000 cfs. in May, 1870, to an average of 174,200 in February, 1872. This minimum was due to ice retardation. The minimum discharge with open-river conditions was in November, 1895, and amounted to an average of 194,000 cfs. for the month. The average total outflow from 1861 to 1925 has been 246,100 cfs. The apparent discrepancy between the mean supply given in par. 7 and the mean outflow is reconciled by the relative lake levels at the beginning and end of the period.

12. The recorded maximum and minimum monthly outflows occurred prior to the opening of the Chicago Drainage canal, and the figures given represent, therefore, the limits of variation of discharge into the St. Lawrence.

13. The total outflows through the Chicago Sanitary District canal are given in the following tabulation, extracted from the Report on the Illinois River published in House Document 4, 69th Congress, 1st Session:—

Year Discharge, Cfs. Year Discharge 1900 2,990 1913 7,83 1901 4,046 1914 7,83 1902 4,302 1915 7,73 1903 4,971 1916 8,22 1904 4,793 1917 8,73	Mean
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ge, 015.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00
1904 1018 888	26
	326
$1905 \dots 1919 \dots 8,5^{\circ}$	595
1906 5,116 1920 8,3	346
$1907 \dots 3,110 1021 \dots 8.3$	355
$1908 \dots 6405 $ $1022 \dots 8.8$	358
$1909 \dots 0, 932 \dots 0, 933 \dots 0, $	348
$1910 \dots 0,833 1923 \dots 94$	465
$1911 \dots 0.890 $ $1924 \dots 0.890 $ 89	277
1912 6,938 1925 6,2	

	Average Feet	Feet
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie	${ \begin{array}{c} 1\frac{1}{4}\\ 1\frac{1}{4}\\ 1\frac{1}{2}\\ 2 \end{array} }$	2.67 2.58 2.99 4.17

15. EXTREME RANGES OF MONTHLY MEAN LAKE LEVELS. Extreme high and low waters are reached at the end of periods of excessive or deficient supply extending over several years. The mean highest and lowest average monthly levels of the Great Lakes between 1860 and 1925, in feet above mean sea level, are shown in the following tabulation:—

and a Marchine Henry	Mean elevation	Maximun	n	N	linimum	1	Range
Superior at Marquette Michigan at Milwaukee. Huron at Harbor Beach. Erie at Cleveland Ontario at Oswego	$\begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 24 \\ 581 \cdot 02 \\ 581 \cdot 02 \\ 572 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	604.08 (Sept., 583.57 (June, 583.66 (July, 574.52 (June, 248.95 (May,	1869) 1886) 1876) 1876) 1870)	$\begin{array}{c} 600 \cdot 54 \\ 577 \cdot 47 \\ 577 \cdot 61 \\ 570 \cdot 39 \\ 243 \cdot 41 \end{array}$	(April, (Dec., (Dec., (Dec., (Nov.,	1911) 1925) 1925) 1925) 1925) 1895)	$3.54 \\ 6.10 \\ 6.05 \\ 4.13 \\ 5.45$

16. TEMPORARY OSCILLATIONS OF LAKE SURFACES. Superimposed on the rise and fall of the level surfaces of the lakes shown by the monthly mean levels, there are occasional oscillations due to wind and barometric pressure, by which the water is raised temporarily by several feet in a part of the lake, and depressed by an equivalent amount in another. Lake Erie particularly is subject to such disturbances. Its fluctuations reach their maximum at Buffalo, due to the configuration of the shore line at the east end of the lake. During a westerly gale the water has risen 8 feet above its monthly mean level at Buffalo; and the water at Buffalo has been known to fall 4 feet below its monthly mean level. While these extremes are uncommon, fluctuations of one or two feet, lasting for a few hours, are not uncommon, and more or less rythmic fluctuations of several inches, known as seiches, are nearly always occurring on all the lakes except Ontario.

17. LEVELS PRIOR TO 1860. The systematic recording of the levels of the lakes was not begun until 1860. The gauge records prior to that date are generally not continuous, and the datum to which some are referred is not certain. The lakes reached an unusually high level in 1838, and this high water level was for years used as a reference plane for lake levels. The high water level of 1838 on lake Erie is established at 575.11, which is 0.6 feet above the highest monthly mean level since 1860; on lake Michigan, the high water of 1838 is 584.69, which is 1.1 feet above the maximum monthly mean level since 1860. The high water of 1838 on lakes Superior and Ontario was established by inference rather than by records, but has been carried as 605.32 for lake Superior, and 248.98 for lake Ontario. For Superior, this high water datum plane is 1.2 feet above the highest monthly mean level for May, 1870, was practically at the 1838 high-water plane. The old records further indicate that in 1819 the lakes may have been at substantially the low levels of 1925.

18. EARTH TILT. The records of the several water-level gauges on the Great Lakes show a gradual steady rise of the earth surface on the northerly shores of the lakes relative to that on the southerly shores. This movement of the earth's surface is in the same direction as that which occurred in past ages, as shown by the levels of old beaches. The axis of the present tilting as a whole is

approximately 20 degrees north of west, and the rate of tilting is in the vicinity of one-half foot per hundred miles per hundred years, with indications of a somewhat greater rate in the northern areas.

The effect of this local tilting on the water levels and depths of water at any locality on any lake varies with the distance of this locality from an axis drawn through the controlling sill of the outlet to the lake.

The maximum effect of this movement of the earth's surface on Great Lakes levels should be felt in the lower St. Marys river, which is some 200 miles from an axis drawn through the outlet of lake Huron. If the tilting of the earth continues at the present rate it is to be expected that the depths in the channels and in the lower entrances to the locks in that river may be reduced by one foot in a hundred years. It is to be expected that the channels and lock structures will be deepened to meet the growing demands of commerce long before any substantial effect is felt from this slow movement of the earth's surface.

Detailed discussions of the subject are contained in an article entitled "Tilt of the Earth in the Great Lakes Region" by Mr. Sherman Moore, Assistant Engineer, United States Lake Survey, published in the Military Engineer, May-June, 1922, pages 153 et seq.; and in a paper "Recent Earth Movements in the Great Lakes Region" by Dr. G. K. Gilbert, printed in Part II of the Report of the United States Geological Survey, 1896, pages 595 to 647.

DIVERSIONS AND OUTLET ENLARGEMENTS AFFECTING LAKE LEVELS

19. DIVERSIONS AND REGULATING WORKS, ST. MARYS RIVER. The outlet of lake Superior is the St. Marys River, the natural control section of which is the rock sill at the head of the 17 to 20-foot incline at St. Marys falls. Diversions of water into power canals, which draw water from above the falls and discharge it below the falls, was begun in 1895 and subsequently increased until at the present time nearly the entire flow of the river at low stages is drawn through the canals.

20. The existing diversions are as follows:-

A—In the United States—

(1) By the Michigan Northern Power Company under leases granted under authority of section 12, River and Harbour Act of March 3, 1909.
By lease dated May 28, 1914, and expiring June 30, 1944, 25,000 cfs. primary water and 5,000 cfs. secondary water.
By lease dated September 10, 1918, and expiring June 30, 1944, 3,000 cfs. additional secondary water.

By lease dated beptember 10, 1010, and exprining other covers, even and an exprime secondary water.
(2) By the Edison Sault Electric Company as a part of lease of power works, dated June 25, 1912, and expiring June 30, 1942, issued under authority of section 11 of River and Harbour Act of March 3, 1909; sufficient water to operate said works with additions, not exceeding an aggregate total capacity of 5,335 horsepower at 14-foot head over and above the power required by the United States for its own use.
(3) By the navigational canals and locks operated by the United States.

B-In Canada-

(1) By the Great Lakes Power Company, under grant from the Department of Lands and Forests, Province of Ontario, by virtue of Orders in Council of June 20, 1914, September 4, 1914, and March 11, 1919, covering the right to use 20.000 cfs.

(2) By the Canadian Government for the operation of the navigation canal and lock.

21 The mean flows during 1925 were as follows:-

Denne Jimmion Michigan Northern Power Co	29,983
Power diversion, Edison Sault Electric Co	1,411
Navigation canals. United States	796
Power diversion, Great Lakes Power Co.	19,344
Navigation canal. Canada	106
River	4,846
su fame head by hearing of date of the poststand dense date	

Total 56,486

22. Obviously these power canals have greatly enlarged the natural discharge The natural capacity had previously been somewhat capacity of the river. reduced by the construction of the piers and embankments of a railroad bridge at the head of the rapids. As the diversion for power increased, its effect on the levels of lake Superior was first compensated for by contracting the river. There was a limit however to which such contractions could be carried. The flow through the natural outlet had ranged from about 50,000 cfs. at low stages to 130,000 cfs. at high stages, and this variation in discharge must be preserved to hold lake Superior within its natural range of stages. If the channel were contracted, say to one-half, in order to permit the diversion of 25,000 cfs. without diminishing the low stages of the lake, the discharge capacity at high stages would be not far from one-half of 130,000 cfs. plus the diversion of 25,000 cfs.; a total of but 90,000 cfs. The high levels of the lake would therefore be increased.

23. To overcome this situation, the power companies were required to install gates by which the low-water discharge over the falls could be curtailed without curtailing the total high-water discharge. The gates now extend completely across the river. With the control gates and the power canals, the discharge from lake Superior can be varied at will from no discharge up to approximately 100,000 cfs. at low lake stages, and from no discharge up to 130,000 cfs. at high lake stages. The contractions made in the river reduce its capacity at high stages to approximately the same extent that the power canals have increased this capacity, so that the gross discharge capacity is now substantially the same at high stages as originally.

24. The control gates are operated under a Board of Control in accordance with conditions laid down by the International Joint Commission. Application having been made by the Michigan Northern Power Company and the Algoma Steel Corporation, respectively, for approval of the obstruction, divers-ion, and use of the waters of the St. Marys river, the Commission, in parallel Orders and Opinions dated May 26 and May 27, 1914, after reciting that the equal division of the water between the United States and Canada was conceded upon the hearing by their duly appointed representatives, granted the applications, subject to conditions, some of the more important provisions of which are as follows:-

All compensating works heretofore built and all such works built under this order of approval and all power canals, including their head-gates and by-passes, shall be so operated approval and all power canals, including their head-gates and by-passes, shall be so operated as to maintain the level of lake Superior as nearly as may be between levels 602.1 and 603.6 above said mean tide at New York, and in such manner as not to interfere with navigation. The operation of all the said works, canals, head-gates and by-passes for the above purposes shall be under the direct control of the board hereinafter authorized, which board shall be known as "The Board of Control." The officer of the Corps of Engineers charged with the improvement of the falls of the St. Mary's river on the American side and an officer appointed by the Canadian Govern-ment shall form said board whose duty it shall be to formulate rules under which the com-pensating works and power canals and their head-gates and by-passes shall be operated so as to secure as nearly as may be the regulations of lake Superior as set forth herein. It

pensating works and power canals and their head-gates and by-passes shall be operated so as to secure as nearly as may be the regulations of lake Superior as set forth herein. It shall be the further duty of said board to see any rules or regulations now or hereafter made by proper authority for the control of said works are duly obeyed. To guard against unduly high stages of water in lake Superior the rules formulated by said board, when tested by the physical conditions which existed during any year of recorded high water in lake Superior, when the monthly mean elevation of the lake exceeded 603.6 above said mean tide at New York shall give no monthly mean level of the lake greater than the maximum monthly mean actually experienced in said year. To guard against unduly high stages of water in the lower St. Mary's river, the excess discharge at any time over and above that which would have occurred at a like stage of Lake Superior prior to 1887, shall be restricted so that the elevation of the water surface immediately below the locks shall not be greater than 584.5 above said mean tide. At all times said board shall determine the amount of water available for power pur-poses. Said board will cause the amount of water so used to be reduced whenever in its

opinion such reductions are necessary in order to prevent unduly low stages of water in lake Superior, and will fix the amounts of such reductions; Provided, that whenever the monthly mean level of the lake is less than 602.1 above said mean tide of New York, the total discharge permitted shall be no greater than that which it would have been at the prevailing stage and under the discharge conditions which obtained prior to 1887; provided further, before any flow of primary water on either side of the river is reduced the use of all secondary water shall be discontinued. "Primary water" as used herein shall be understood to mean the amount of water which is continuously available for use for power purposes. "Secondary water" shall be

which is continuously available for use for power purposes. "Secondary water" shall be understood to mean an amount of water, over and above that designated as primary water, is intermittently available for use for power purposes.

25. The operation of the regulating works has affected the levels of lake Superior, and also the levels of the lower lakes, since the controlled discharge out of the lake is at times greater than the natural discharge and at times less. To evaluate effect of this variation, it is necessary to know what the natural discharge would have been. Since the outflow of the St. Marys river had been modified by various works prior to its first discharge measurements, in 1896, its original discharge can be inferred only. For this reason the regulated discharges were compared with the discharge that would have taken place under conditions existing in 1902, prior to the completion and operation of the control gates, when the discharge relation was well established. On this basis of comparison, it is found that during the first period of operation of the regulation works, until 1917, an excess of water was discharged, with the consequence that the levels of lake Superior were lowered, and those of the lower lakes raised. From 1917 to 1922 water was generally held back in lake Superior, with the consequence that its levels were raised, and those of the lower lakes made lower than would otherwise have occurred. From 1923 to date the release has been again above normal, with the consequence that, by January of 1926, lakes Michigan-Huron were 3 inches, lake Erie $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and lake Ontario 1 inch above what they would have been without the regulation of lake Superior.

26. The results are set forth in the following tabulation, and graphically on plate 1, appendix B.

	Lake	Superio	r	Lake M	lichHu	ron	La	ke Erie	Ruff	Lake	e Ontari	0
Changes due to regulation	Amount	Dat	e	Amount	Dat	е	Amount	Dat	te	Amount	Dat	e
Maximum Stage with regulation Maximum Stage	603.81	Sept.,	1916	581 92	June,	1918	573.85	July,	1917	247.95	June,	1919
without Regula- tion	604.18	Oct.,	1916	581.80	July,	1918	573.79	June,	1919	247.84	July,	1919
Minimum Stage with Regulation. Minimum Stage	600.74	Mar.,	1925	577.61	Dec.,	1925	570.39	Dec.,	1925	244.22	Jan.,	1925
without Regula- tion	600.51	April,	1924	577.41	Dec.,	1925	570.29	Dec.,	1925	244.26	Nov.,	1925
Maximum Increase in Stage (ft.) Maximum	0.85	Dec.,	1922	0.37	July,	1917	0.25	Sept.,	1917	0.24	Jan.,	1918
Decrease in Stage (ft.)	0.81	July Aug.	1917	0.38	Nov.,	1922	0.26	Dec.,	1922	0.25	April,	1923
Maximum Increase in Discharge (Sec. ft.) Maximum De-	25,000	Aug.,	1920	6,390	June	1917	5,420	Sept.,	1917	4,970	Jan.,	1918
crease in Dis- charge (Sec. ft.)	18,000	Dec., Aug., July,	1918 1919 1921	6,530	Oct., Nov. }	1922	2 5,630	Dec. Jan.,	1922 1923	<pre> 5,220 5,220 </pre>	April.,	1923

TABLE 8—EFFECT OF PRESENT REGULATION OF LAKE SUPERIOR, \$1914-1925\$

OUTLET ENLARGEMENTS AND DIVERSIONS LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON

27. DESCRIPTION. The outlet of lakes Michigan-Huron is through the St. Clair river, lake St. Clair, and the Detroit river, into lake Erie. The total fall from lake Huron to lake Erie averages about 8.5 feet, of which 5.5 feet takes place in the St. Clair river and 3.0 feet in the Detroit river.

28. The St. Clair river is approximately 40 miles in length. At the entrance from lake Huron, the river is contracted in a deep and narrow channel known as the Port Huron rapids through which the mean velocity reaches to from 5 to 6 feet per second. The fall through this section is somewhat less than 1 foot in a distance of two miles. The river then flows for 25 miles with a mean depth of about 30 feet, a mean velocity of about $2\frac{1}{4}$ feet per second, and with a slope of 0.15 feet to the mile. It then divides and enters lake St. Clair through several delta channels, the one improved for navigation being 13 miles in length. The fall through the delta section of the river is about one foot. The bed and banks of the St. Clair river are generally sand and gravel. It has no controlling rock sill.

29. The Detroit river is about 31 miles in length. Through the upper 13 miles the river is a deep slow flowing stream. The lower part of the river is wide, split by islands, and is crossed by a wide sill of ledge rock.

30. Both the St. Clair and the Detroit rivers are subject to ice gorging in winter, which reduces the flow by varying amounts, not unfrequently to one half of the summer flow for the same stage and fall.

31. DISCHARGE FORMULA, ST. CLAIR-DETROIT RIVERS. The discharge from lake Huron, during the ice free months, with the present regimen of the rivers, is given by the following formula, derived from recent studies made by the United States Lake Survey of all discharge measurements.

(1) Q=87.98 [(HB-554.25)+0.8 (Cl-554.25)]^{1.8} (HB-Cl)^{0.5}

Where Q=discharge in cubic feet per second,

HB=elevation Lake Huron (Harbor Beach gage).

Cl=elevation lake Erie (Cleveland gage).

32. EFFECT OF A DIVERSION FROM LAKE MICHIGAN. A diversion from lake Michigan or Huron will eventually lower the levels of these lakes sufficiently to reduce the discharge capacity of the St. Clair-Detroit rivers by the amount of the diversion. The effect of such a diversion, if the diversion is small in comparison with the total flow of the rivers, can be derived directly from the discharge equation and is—

(2) $\triangle H=D$ (Q/2F+Q/R) $+ \triangle h$ (R-1.6F)/(R+2F)

 $\triangle H$ =effect of diversion on lake Huron,

D is the amount of the diversion.

F=fall, HB-Cl.

 $R{=}.556(HB{-}554.25) + .444(C1{-}554.25)$

 $\triangle h$ =effect of diversion on lake Erie as determined by regimen of Niagara river. (Par. 59).

33. From equation (2) it is apparent that the effect of a given diversion from lake Michigan on the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron depends on the elevation of these lakes and of lake Erie. Three representative levels are as follows:—

	Lakes Michigan-Huron	Lake Erie
low levels.	578.0	570,25
Mean levels	581.0	572.5 573.8
High levels.	002.0	010.0

34. The computed effect of the authorized diversion of 8,500 cfs. from lake Michigan by the Chicago Sanitary District (par. 59-62 of Report) is then as follows:—

 At low levels.
 0.56 foot

 At mean levels.
 0.49 foot

 At high levels.
 0.45 foot

It will be noted that the influence of the lake elevations on the effect of the diversion is not great. The precise effects computed would be realized only if the lakes remained constantly at the respective elevations and in an ice free condition for several years. The levels taken as low lake levels have not extended over a sufficiently long period of time to exercise their full influence on the effect of the diversion. The greatest refinement regarded as justifiable is that the effect of a diversion of 8,500 cfs. from lake Michigan is to lower lakes Michigan and Huron by 0.5 foot, or 6 inches.

35. The actual effect of the present diversion of the Chicago Sanitary District on the levels of lakes Michigan-Huron is subject to the uncertainty as to extent to which this effect is modified by the winter ice gorging of the river. When the outflow is diminished by ice gorging, a given lowering of the levels of lake Huron probably diminishes the discharge capacity of the river by a less amount than under ice free conditions. The lowering of the levels of lake Michigan and Huron required to reduce the average annual discharge capacity of the river by the amount of a given diversion should therefore be somewhat greater than the amount computed for continuous ice free conditions. A reasonable procedure is to take the value of Q in formula (2) par. 32, as the average annual flow, as determined by the best evidence as to winter retardation. On this basis, the computed effect of the total reported diversion, during each of the past five years, if continued indefinately at the mean lake levels of those years, would be as follows:—

864 255 (10) - 1118 - (01) • • 6 . humas	Amount	Estimate average	Average	Computed effect		
Year	diversion	from Lake Huron	Huron	Erie	diversion (feet)	
1921 1922	8,355 8,858 8,348 9,465 9,77	175,900 175,500 169,600 163,900 152,800	580.03 579.89 579.28 579.02 578.14	$572 \cdot 30$ $572 \cdot 00$ $571 \cdot 41$ $571 \cdot 68$ $570 \cdot 87$	$0.54 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.54 \\ 0.62 \\ 0.56$	
1925 Average	0,211				0.566	

The estimated present effect of the actual diversion is therefor 0.56 feet.

36. These results are greater than those found in earlier studies, first because they are based on lower lake levels, and second because recent low-water discharge measurements have afforded better data on the relation between the discharge of the St. Clair-Detroit rivers, their stages, and fall.

37. BLACK RIVER DIVERSION. There is a minor diversion of water from lake Huron through a small canal into the Black river, which discharges into the St. Clair river at Port Huron. The diversion is for flushing sewage out of the river. It was authorized by the United States by a permit issued by the Secretary of War, May 14, 1901. A current-meter measurement made in 1926 showed a discharge of 150 cfs., and the capacity of the canal is insufficient to carry a materially greater amount. The effect of this diversion on the levels of lakes Huron and Michigan is inappreciable.

38. EFFECT OF DIVERSIONS FROM LAKE ERIE ON LEVELS OF MICHIGAN-HURON. The back-water effect of the diversions from lake Erie on the levels of lakes Huron and Michigan is given by the formula:—

 $\triangle H = \triangle h (R-1.6F) / (R+2F)$

where $\triangle H$ is the effect on lake Huron-Michigan,

 \triangle h is the effect on lake Erie.

R and F are as indicated in par. 32.

Within the ranges of levels normally occurring, the effect on lakes Huron-Michigan varies generally between 22 per cent and 27 per cent of the effect on lake Erie. At the average levels obtaining during the last 5 years, the percentage is 25.6. The effect of the authorized diversions through the Welland Canal (par. 52) on the levels of lakes Michigan-Huron is therefore 0.025 foot, or approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ inch. The effect of all present diversions from lake Erie (par. 53) is approximately 0.05 foot, which may be increased to 0.07 foot after the new Welland ship canal is opened.

39. CHANGES IN DISCHARGE CAPACITY OF ST. CLAIR RIVER. The bed of the St. Clair river is not inherently stable, and an unchanging regimen of the river cannot be taken for granted. Systematic discharge measurements of the river were not begun until 1899. Changes prior to 1899 can only be inferred.

40. As explained hereafter (par. 77 to 79) the derivations of the discharges from lake Huron made for the purpose of determining the supply factors during these early years, disclosed an apparent increase between 1890 and 1900 in the discharge capacity of the St. Clair river relative to the Detroit river. Since the discharge capacity of the Detroit river cannot well have decreased during this period, it must be assumed that the discharge capacity of the St. Clair increased. This increase in discharge capacity is represented by the two equations:—

- (3) Prior to 1890; Q=100 [(H-552.84)+0.6(h-552.84)]^{1.8}(H-h)^{0.5}
- (4) 1895 to 1900; Q=100 [(H-552.12)+0.6(h-552.12)]^{1.8}(H-h)^{0.5}
 - Where H is the elevation of Lake Huron (Harbour Beach gage); h is the elevation of Lake St. Clair (St. Clair Flats gage).

It is found that, at representative elevations in the vicinity of $575 \cdot 75$ on Lake St. Clair and $581 \cdot 0$ on Lake Huron, the second of these equations will give the same values of Q as the first, if the value of H is decreased by from 0.3 to 0.4 feet. The two equations represent therefore an increase in discharge capacity equivalent to between 0.3 and 0.4 feet of stage on Lake Huron during the period.

41. The deduction just made is open to the doubt as to stability of the St. Clair gage during the period, since precise level lines on the delta of the St. Clair run subsequently to 1900 show progressive subsidence of bench marks in the locality. A reasonable assumption as to the rate of settlement prior to 1900 is in itself sufficient to explain the apparent increase in the discharge capacity of the St. Clair River above inferred. On the other hand, if an increase in the discharge capacity of the Detroit River occurred during the period, the increase in the discharge capacity of the St. Clair would be greater than was deduced in the preceding paragraph.

42. The changes in the discharge capacity subsequent to 1900 are discussed at some length in the body of the report where they are found to be equivalent to a decrease of 0.3 feet in the stages of Lake Huron. The changes 45827-6

in terms of changes in stage on Lake Huron are derived from the changes in the constants of the discharge formula given in paragraph 77, in the same manner as indicated in paragraph 40.

The computations of the Canadian Section, based on data largely supplied by the United States Lake Survey, indicate 0.61 feet of lowering of stage of Lake Huron due to channel enlargement between the years 1899 and 1925. The computations of the Canadian Section show that 0.29 feet of this change in stage can be explained by channel enlargement in the Port Huron rapids, opposite Point Edward.

DIVERSIONS, LAKE ERIE

43. DESCRIPTION.—The outlet of lake Erie is the Niagara river. A broad sill of ledge rock extends across the entrance to the river from the lake. Below the rapids, formed by this sill, there is a reach of quietly flowing river, which terminates in the rapids just above Niagara Falls. Diversions upstream from the latter rapids have some effect on the levels of Lake Erie.

44. The diversion of the Chicago Sanitary District reduces the supply of Lake Erie by exactly the amount of this diversion, and lowers the lake levels correspondingly. Other diversions affecting the levels of Lake Erie are made through:

The Welland Canal,

The Black Rock Canal.

45. The following diversions for power purposes have been authorized on the Welland Canal by the Department of Railways and Canals of the Dominion of Canada:—

Hamilton Cataract	Power, Light	t ai	nd	Trac	etio	n C	0.,	lease	es	total	lliną	g	1,010	cfs
Corporation of St Provincial Paper	Mills, Ltd.	• • •	• • •	• • • •								::	$\frac{50}{760}$	"
	Total												1.820	"

46. The actual total flow from lake Erie into the present Welland Canal, for both power and navigation purposes, as determined by random discharge measurements made by the Department of Railways and Canals in 1922, 1923, and 1924, is approximately 3,400 cfs. during the navigation season and 2,500 cfs. during the remainder of the year, an average throughout the year of 3,100 cfs.

47. The new Welland Ship canal for deep-draught vessels is so designed that a flow of 6,000 cfs. can be drawn from lake Erie without interfering with its use by shipping. The Chief Engineer, Department of Railways and Canals, authorizes the statement that the diversion through the new Welland Ship Canal, including both the water required for lockage and that for power purposes, will not exceed 5,000 cfs.

48. The Black Rock canal is a navigation canal alongside the upper part of the Niagara river. It is operated by the United States Government to carry navigation past the rapids at the head of the river to the industries on the river below them, and to the entrance of the present New York State Barge canal at Tonawanda. The diversion from Lake Erie through this canal is approximately 1,000 cfs., much of which finds its way into the Niagara river through the river wall of the canal. The remainder is discharged into the Niagara river at the lock at the toot of the canal.

49. The New York State Barge canal diverts a flow estimated at 1,500 cfs. from the Niagara river at Tonawanda, the water being eventually discharged into lake Ontario. Of this total a flow of 275 cubic feet per second is classified as for power purposes. The effect of this diversion on the levels of lake Erie is negligible.

50. Power companies in the United States and Canada divert considerable quantities of water from the river upstream from the rapids at the heads of the Falls; under the treaty of 1909. These diversions have been compensated for, at least to a considerable degree, by intake structures and the deposit of dredged material. The remaining effect on the levels of Lake Erie is negligible. (See page 381, Report on Diversion of Water from the Great Lakes and Niagara The remaining effect on the levels of Lake Erie is negligible. (See River, 1921.)

51. EFFECT OF DIVERSIONS. The discharge formula for the Niagara River

is:

$Q = 3904 (H - 558.37)^{1.5}$

Where H is the elevation of Lake Erie on the Buffalo gage.

From this formula it is easily shown that the rate of increase in the discharge capacity of the Niagara river per foot rise of Lake Erie, commonly called the increment for the Niagara river, is as follows:-

20,190 cfs

52. The authorized diversions have the following effect on the levels of Lake Erie:-

	Amount	Effect in feet at						
ha reports the Gri State in the restore	of diversion	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Low level} \\ (\text{elev.} \\ 570 \cdot 25) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean level} \\ (\text{elev.} \\ 572 \cdot 5) \end{array}$	High level (elev. 573.8)				
Chicago Sanitary District Power leases on Welland Canal	$8,500 \\ 2,050$	$0.42 \\ 0.10$	0·39 0·09	0·37 0·09				
Total		0.52	0.48	0.46				

53. The actual present diversions have the following effects on Lake Erie:-

o Sanitary Distric	t (8,660	cfs)			 	 .41
d Canal (3,100). Rock Canal.			• • • •	• •• ••	 	 .15
	Total.					.60

The increased diversion required for the operation of the new Welland Ship canal is expected to bring the total to 0.68 foot.

EFFECT OF DIVERSIONS LAKE ONTARIO

54. DESCRIPTION.-The outlet to lake Ontario is the St. Lawrence river, the control section of which is the limestone ledge forming the sill of the Galop rapids. The Galop canal, for 14-foot navigation, lies along the river bank at these rapids.

 $45827 - 6\frac{1}{2}$

Chicago Wellan Black

55. The levels of lake Ontario have been affected by the diversion of the Chicago Sanitary District, by diversions for power and navigation through the Galop canal, and by a contraction of the Galop rapids known as the Gut Dam. The diversions authorized by license from the Galop canal amount to 988 cfs.

56. EFFECT OF DIVERSIONS.—The formula developed by the United States Lake Survey for the flow into the St. Lawrence river is as follows:—

 $Q=3428(H-229.13)^{1.5}$

Where H is the elevation of lake Ontario (Oswego gage). The increment for the St. Lawrence has the following values:— Cfs.

At lake elevation 244.5 (low level)	. 20,160
At lake elevation 246.0 (mean level)	21,120
At lake elevation 247.5 (high level)	. 22,040

The computed back-water effect of the small diversion at the Galop is 75 per cent of the effect if made directly from lake Ontario.

57. The effect of authorized diversions on the levels of lake Ontario is therefore as follows:---

with participation of the second second second second		Effect in feet at					
A MARK I	Amount of diversion	Low level (elev. 244.5)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean level} \\ (\text{elev.} \\ 246 \cdot 0) \end{array}$	High level (elev. 247.5)			
Chicago Sanitary District	8,500 988	$0\cdot42\ 0\cdot04$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 40\\ 0\cdot 03\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 39\\ 0\cdot 03\end{array}$			
Total		0.46	0.43	0.42			

58. As explained in the body of the report the Gut Dam in the Galop rapids has raised the levels of lake Ontario by somewhat more than 0.4 feet.

SUMMARY

	Amount	Effect, in feet, on levels of Lakes					
Cause	of diversion, cubic feet per second	Michigan and Huron	Erie	Ontario			
Authorized diversions— Chicago Sanitary District Power diversions, Welland Canal	$8,500 \\ 2,050$	$-0.5 \\ -0.025$	$\begin{array}{c} -0\cdot 4\\ -0\cdot 1\end{array}$	$-0.4 \\ 0$			
All present diversions and outlet changes— Chicago Sanitary District		-0.5 -0.04 -0.01	$-0.4 \\ -0.15 \\ -0.05$	$-0.4 \\ 0 \\ 0$			
Gravel dredging Other changes Gut Dam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.3	·····	+0.5			
Total		-1.15	*-0.6	+0.1			

*Upon the opening of the new Welland Ship Canal the lowering of the level of Lake Erie will be increased to 0.7 foot.

IMPROVEMENT OF LAKE LEVELS AND OUTFLOWS

60. COMPENSATING WORKS .- As explained in the body of the report, the levels of the lakes can be raised by fixed contractions in their outlet rivers. Such works will raise the high levels substantially as much as the low levels. If the high levels of the lake are not to be increased, the works must therefore be only sufficient to correct the effect of existing diversions and outlet enlarge-They are therefore termed compensating works. After the lake levels ments. have adjusted themselves to the new regimen of the outlet, the outflow from the lake will be substantially the same after as before compensating works have been built.

61. REGULATING WORKS .- Regulating works are essentially dams with sluice gates constructed in the outlets to the lakes, so as to control the outflows and hence the lake levels.

62. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS .- Regulating works are already in operation in the St. Mary's river at the outlet to lake Superior. The regulation of lake Ontario is an inherent part of the plans for the improvement of the St. Lawrence proposed in the report. The present investigation is therefore limited to determining-

- (a) The benefits and cost of a comprehensive system of lake regulation with works at the outlets of lake Michigan-Huron and of lake Erie.
- (b) The benefits and cost of compensating works at these outlets.(c) A suitable program for the regulation of lake Ontario alone.

63. PRIOR PROPOSALS .- In 1900, the Board on Deep Waterways, in presenting plans and estimates for securing deep draft navigation from the Great Lakes to New York harbour (House Dec. 149, 56th Congress, 2d Session) included regulating works at the head of the Niagara river which were designed to hold lake Erie to a substantially uniform level at elevation 574.7. This proposal was reviewed by the International Waterways Commission, a joint board of Canadian and American engineers, who submitted a report in 1910 (Sessional Paper No. 19a, 3 George V, p. 775 et seq; and H. Doc. 779, 61st Cong. 2d Sess.), after an elaborate study extending over several years. This report pointed out that, on account of the irregularity of supply to the lake, it was impossible to hold lake Erie to a fixed level; but that it would be held by regulating works between the limits of 572.0 and 574.5; thereby raising the low water levels by 1.4 feet without increasing the high water levels. Such regulation would, however, have increased the fluctuations in lake Ontario and reduced the extreme recorded water level of that lake by 4 inches, with consequent reduction in the extreme low open-river discharge of the St. Lawrence. The Board recommended that the regulation of lake Erie be not undertaken, but in a supplementary report recommended the construction of compensating works in the Niagara river about a mile and a half above the rapids at the head of the falls, so designed as to raise the low levels of lake Erie by 0.45 foot, and the high levels by 0.38 foot.

64. In a comprehensive report on the Diversion of Water from the Great Lakes and the Niagara River, made by Col. J. G. Warren in 1919 in accordance with a resolution of the Congress of the United States, it was recommended that compensating works consisting of submerged rock sills be placed in the Niagara and St. Clair rivers to correct the results of existing diversion. In the review of this report by the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbours, preference was expressed for regulation works in lieu of compensating works at the outlet of lake Erie.

65. Finally, an Engineering Board of Review engaged by the Sanitary District of Chicago has presented a scheme for the regulation of the Lakes as a whole. The works proposed include a dam with gates at the foot of the Grass Island Pool in the Niagara River, for the regulation of the outflow from Lake Erie; and a dam with gates and locks in the St. Clair River, for the regulation of the outflow from Lakes Michigan-Huron. By the operation of these works, together with the operation of existing works at the outlet of Lake Superior, and of works in the St. Lawrence built in connection with the improvement of that river for navigation and power, it was proposed to hold the levels of the lakes normally between the limits shown in the subjoined tabulation. The actual maximum and minimum levels occurring since 1860 are placed in a parallel column for comparison.

Lakes	Normal regu- lated range proposed by Engineers for Sanitary District	Actual range of stage since 1860
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie	$\begin{array}{c} 602 - 604.5 \\ 581 - 583.5 \\ 573 - 574.5 \\ 246 - 248.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 600.5 {} 604.1 \\ 577.5 {} 583.7 \\ 570.4 {} 574.5 \\ 243.4 {} 248.95 \end{array}$

66. The report recognizes that the lakes could not be held within the limits stated during periods of extreme rainfall. At such times they would rise above the limits fixed; but it was computed that no period of high rainfall that has occurred subsequent to 1860 would raise the levels above the high-water datum of 1838. It apparently was not recognized that with the lakes normally held at such high levels, the rainfall which produced the high water of 1838 would raise the lakes above the level it then had. The report indicates, however, that the regulated levels to be finally chosen should be based on further investigation of the damages that might be caused thereby. The discharge of the Niagara river was to be kept normally between 180,000 and 200,000 cfs. with discharges of 250,000 cfs. at times of high rainfall. The monthly mean discharge of the Niagara river proper has varied between the limits of 162,000 cfs. and 253,000 cfs.

67. COMPARISON OF BENEFITS FROM REGULATION AND COMPENSATION. It will be noted that prior proposals for the construction of compensating works have been limited to correcting the effects of existing diversions, so that the high levels of the lake would not be raised above the levels that would occur without these diversions. Obviously, riparian interests on the Great Lakes would be injured to exactly the same extent by high levels created by regulating works, as by the same high levels created by compensating works. The benefits to be derived from regulating works in comparison with compensating works must be evaluated therefore by considering the reduction in the fluctuation of lake levels, together with the improvement of outflows, that can be secured through the operation of these works, since the reduction in fluctuation measures the amount by which the low levels of the lake can be raised without increasing the high levels.

68. POSSIBILITIES OF REGULATION INDICATED BY MASS CURVE. On Plate 2, Appendix B, is shown a mass curve of the supply to the Great Lakes, from 1860 to 1925, under the supposition that a diversion of \$,500 cfs. were made from the lake basin during the entire period. From this diagram it can be seen that provided there were no limitation on the maximum discharge on the St. Lawrence or of the interlake rivers the following results could be obtained by a complete system of regulation:—

With a fluctuation of 5.75 feet on all the lakes a uniform discharge of 233,000 cfs. could be maintained.

With a fluctuation of 4.0 feet on all the lakes a minimum discharge of 230,000 cfs. could be maintained.

With a fluctuation of 3.0 feet on all the lakes, a minimum discharge of 220,000 cfs. could be maintained.

With a fluctuation of 2.3 feet a minimum discharge of 210,000 cubic feet per second could be maintained.

With a fluctuation of 2.0 feet a minimum discharge of 200,000 cubic feet per second could be maintained.

69. The actual fluctuations of the several lakes during the period is given in paragraph 15. But it has been shown that lakes Michigan and Huron were lowered by diversions and outlet enlargements of 1.15 feet during the period between the recorded high and recorded low waters; and Lake Erie by 0.6 feet during this period. Correcting the fluctuations by these amounts, and weighting the fluctuations of the individual lakes by their areas, it is found that the weighted average fluctuation of all the lakes, exclusive of the increased fluctuations to progressive diversions and enlargements, is 4.3 feet. The apparent possibilities of regulation, except as limited by the discharge capacities of the several outlets is therefore as follows:

The low water levels could be raised by $2 \cdot 3$ feet and a minimum discharge of 200,000 cfs. maintained.

The low water levels could be raised by 2.0 feet and a minimum discharge of 210,000 cfs. maintained.

The low water levels could be raised by 1.3 feet and a minimum discharge of 220,000 cfs. maintained.

A minimum discharge of 230,000 cfs. could be maintained without raising the present high levels or lowering the present low levels.

70. The results given in the preceding paragraph are impossible of attainment on account of the limitations of outlet discharge. Thus, to maintain a discharge of 220,000 cfs with a fluctuation of 3 feet in the lake levels it would be necessary throughout the years 1920 and 1921 to limit the discharge to that figure. In the early months of these years the lakes would have been within 1.2 feet of their maximum levels. But if the lakes had been allowed to rise to within 1.2 feet of their maximum levels during the early months of 1912 or of 1913, then a subsequent average yearly discharge of 300,000 cfs down the St. Lawrence would not have kept the levels within the maximum. Having regard to winter limitations of discharge capacity, an average yearly discharge of 300,000 down the St. Lawrence is regarded as excessive rather than practicable. It would have been impossible to foretell in the spring of 1920 that a period of 6 years of deficient supply would occur, or prior to 1912 that a period of two years of excess supply would occur, and without this foreknowledge the apparent results derivable from regulation could not be achieved with the limited discharge capacity of the St. Lawrence. Physical limitations on the discharge capacity of the Niagara, St. Clair-Detroit and St. Marys rivers similarly curtail the results indicated by a study of a general mass curve.

71. DETAILED STUDIES OF LAKE REGULATION. To determine the true possibilities of lake regulation, it is necessary to work out in detail the results that

would be secured by the best programs of regulating the discharges of the lakes, had such programs been in effect in the past. The data required for that purpose include—

- (a) The supplies to each lake from 1860 to 1925.
- (b) The permissible high water levels of each lake.
- (c) The maximum and minimum outflows of each outlet river physically practicable or permissible.

SUPPLIES TO THE LAKES

72. GENERAL ASPECTS. The total net supply to a lake for any month is the outflow corrected for the gain or loss of storage in the lake. The local supply is the total supply less the inflow from the lake above.

73. On account of the oscillation of the lake surfaces, the gage records on any day do not give the true lake level for that day. For purposes of determining the monthly gain or loss of storage in a lake, the elevation of the lake at the first of each month is taken as the mean of the monthly mean levels of the given and preceding months.

74. The reliability of the determinations of total and local net supply depends upon the reliability of the computations of the monthly discharge. Systematic discharge measurements of the outflow from the various lakes were not begun before the late 90's. The earlier discharges must be based on an estimate of prior changes in the gage-discharge relation due to changes in the discharge capacity of the river.

75. LAKE SUPERIOR. The discharges of the St. Mary's river and the monthly supplies to lake Superior from 1860 to 1907 were computed by the International Waterways Commission from measurements made between 1896 and 1902, and by a detailed analysis of the prior changes in the outlet capacity of the river (par. 25 to 58, and tables 19 and 24, of Appendix to Report of Jan. 8, 1910). These were reviewed and extended to 1909 by Messrs. Noble and Woodward, Consulting Engineers, in an unpublished report dated June 29, 1912, to the Michigan Lake Superior Power Company, which was used as a basis for the present regulation of lake Superior. Slight modifications were made in the prior determinations on account of later data regarding the capacity of the side channels at the control section of the river. The determinations of Messrs Noble and Woodward are used in the present report and are extended to 1925, inclusive, from the records of discharge through the power canals, navigation canals, and in the river, which are maintained by the United States Engineer Office at Detroit in connection with the operation of the navigation works at St. Mary's Falls, and the supervision, on the part of the United States, over the control works. The supply to lake Superior is given in table 1 of this appendix, and the discharge of the St. Mary's river in table 9.

76. LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON. The discharge out of lake Huron through the St. Clair river, lake St. Clair, and the Detroit river depends upon the elevations of both lake Huron and lake Erie. The discharge measurements, which commenced in 1899, show that changes in the discharge capacity, have occurred subsequent to 1899, and gage records prior to that date indicate some instability in the regimen of the outlet. (See par. 39 to 42.)

77. The United States Lake Survey has made an extended study of the present and past discharges from lake Huron. This study is not yet completed, but has progressed sufficiently to warrant the modification of prior determinations.

The discharge formula for the St. Clair river while ice free, as developed from this study, is:---

(1) Q=100 [(H-B)+0.6(h-B)]^{1.8}(H-h)^{0.5}

Where	Q==discharge in cubic feet per second,
	H=elevation of Lake Huron (Harbour Beach gage).
	h=elevation Lake St. Clair (St. Clair Flats gage).
	B=is a constant.

The values of B as derived from the discharge measurements are as follows:--

To July, 1900	552.12
August, 1900, to December, 1908	552.38
January, 1909, to December, 1909	552.32
January, 1910, to December, 1911	551.96
January, 1923, to December, 1925	551.58

No meter measurements were taken between 1911 and 1923.

78. The corresponding relation between the flow and the elevations of Lake St. Clair (St. Clair Flats gage) and Lake Erie (Cleveland gage) is

(2) Q=597.6 $[0.5(h-555.60)+0.5(C-555.60)]^{1.8}(h-c)^{0.5}$ where h is elevation on St. Clair Flats gage and

C is elevation on Cleveland gage.

This relation is not applicable to the period between 1907 and 1912, when the regimen of the Detroit river was modified by the cofferdams of the Livingstone channel.

79. The formula for the flow prior to 1899 (ice-free months) is based on the assumption that there was no change in the regimen of the Detroit river. The values of the constant B in equation (1) corresponding to the flows derived from equation (2) are as follows:—

1860	to	1890	 	 	 	 	 	 • •	 	 	552.84
1891	to	1894	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	552.48
1895	to	1899	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	552.12

Equation (1) was used to determine the flow during the ice-free months except during the period 1912 to 1922, in which equation (2) was used.

80. For the period prior to the establishment of the Harbour Beach gage, in 1875, the Milwaukee gage was substituted therefor. The early elevations of the St. Clair Flats gage are the computed elevations published in the Annual Report of the Chief of Engineers for 1904.

81. To determine the discharge during the winter months, similar formulas were derived for each pair of consecutive gages for which records are available, the discharge for the winter months computed from every such pair, and the minimum discharge so determined was taken as the winter discharge on the assumption that in at least one of the reaches approximate open-water regimen would exist. For the period subsequent to 1900, such records are available through reaches with minimum ice retardation, and the determinations are regarded as fair. Prior to 1899, the records of only three gages are available, lake Huron, lake St. Clair, and lake Erie, so that when, as was often if not generally the case, the flow of both the St. Clair and the Detroit rivers was retarded by ice, the computed flows for the winter months are much in excess of those that actually occurred.

82. The warning must therefore be given that the winter discharge under natural conditions prior to 1899, shown in table 10, are too large, that the supplies to lakes Michigan-Huron during the winter months in tables 2 and 3 prior to 1899 are too large; and that the supplies to lake Erie during the winter months in tables 4 and 5 are too small by the same amounts. A comparison

of the average local supplies to lake Erie for January, February and March for the period from 1860 to 1900, with the average for the same months during the period from 1901 to 1925, shown in table 5, indicates clearly the extent of the errors introduced by the lack of data on which to base the effect of ice retardation during the earlier period.

83. The errors introduced by the lack of data on winter retardation in the St. Clair-Detroit rivers affect only the distribution of the supply between lake Erie and lakes Michigan-Huron. The total supply to the three lakes is not affected. In the computations hereinafter described of the effect of programs for regulation, it is assumed that the winter discharge through the regulating works would be retarded in the same percentage as is the unregulated discharge during the month. The error introduced in the computations of regulated outflow and lake levels is therefore reduced to the difference between the true and the apparent retardation applied to the difference between the regulated and natural flow, and is not regarded as of sufficient consequence to alter the conclusions.

84. For purposes of computing storage, the elevation of lakes Michigan and Huron was taken as the mean of the Milwaukee and Harbour Beach gages since the establishment of the latter in 1875, and the Milwaukee gage previously.

85. LAKE ERIE. Successive meterings have shown no change in the discharge capacity of the Niagara river, and the outflow from lake Erie was derived from the formula:-

 $Q=3904 (H-558.37)^{1.5}$

in which H is the elevation of lake Erie, as shown by the Cleveland gage records from 1860 to 1886, inclusive, and by the Buffalo gage records from 1887 to 1925.

To the discharge so computed the following was added as the estimated flow through the diversions via the Welland canal and the head of the Niagara river:-

From	1860	to	1880	 	•••	• •	•••		• • •	•••	·	ν.	ч.	•••	•••	•••	1,000	cfs	
110111	1881	to	1905	 					•••	•••	••	••			••	••	2,000		
	1906	to	1910	 		• •		• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	3,400	"	
	1911	to	1915	 							• •		• •	• •	• •	••	4,500	"	
	1916	to	1925	 													5,500		

86. LAKE ONTARIO. The outflow and supplies, tables 6, 7, and 12, to lake Ontario were based on a study made by Mr. D. W. McLachlan, Department of Railways and Canals, Chairman of the Canadian Section of the Board.

87. The storage in lake Ontario has been derived from records of the Oswego gage. The discharge values were obtained from gage readings at the various locks along the St. Lawrence canals, especially Locks No. 21, 23, 24, and 25. A deduction of 6 per cent has been made for ice retardation in all values derived for January, February, and March except those obtained from records of gage readings at Lock No. 27. For the latter an extra deduction of 6,000 cfs was made for these months.

88. The discharge ratings for the various lock gages were revised in March, 1926, and are based on measurements of the United States Lake Survey made in 1908, 1911, 1913 and 1914, and of the Canadian Department of Railways and Canals in 1923, 1924, and 1925

89. CORRECTION FOR CHICAGO DIVERSION. The supplies are those which would have occurred had a diversion of 8,500 cfs. from lake Michigan taken place throughout the period. To this end the total supplies to lakes Michigan-Huron, Erie, and Ontario were diminished by 8,500 cfs less the actual diversions given in par. 13.

PERMISSIBLE HIGH LEVELS OF LAKES

90. An extended investigation to determine how high the various lakes might be raised without unwarranted damage to the industries, cities, and lands along their shores was made, at the request of the Board, by the District Engineers in charge of harbour works on the lakes in Canada and the United States. A tabulation of the probable damages reported is given in tables 13 to 15. A summary of the conclusions reached is given in the following paragraphs. In this summary it is convenient to use the term "flood level" to indicate the level at which material damage begins.

91. LAKE SUPERIOR. On lake Superior the flood level was fixed at elevation 603.6 by the International Joint Commission in 1914, after hearing representatives of cities, towns, and industries on that lake; and the rules for the regulation of the lake provide that its level shall be permitted to rise above that limit only under such conditions of extraordinary rainfall as would cause such a rise without regulation.

92. In September, 1916, lake Superior had a mean stage of 603.88. At this time, basements of a number of warehouses and manufacturing establishments along the water front in Duluth were flooded and trouble was experienced in the sewer system of Superior, Wis. In reporting on this situation the United States District Engineer at Duluth states:—

I am quite confident that had the monthly mean level of Lake Superior reached its maximum of 603.6 during the time that it has been under complete regulation there would have been a strong movement from many of the interests about Lake Superior to have that maximum lowered, for there is no doubt that many properties would be seriously affected not so much by that actual mean height, but the heights to which it would rise temporarily under certain wind and barometric conditions.

93. From plate 3 it will be seen that lake Superior will reach 603.6 about once in twenty years. This curve is based upon the records of the years 1901 to 1925 inclusive, but the result for this lake is the same if the entire period is used.

94. LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON. Some damage would result on lakes Michigan-Huron at as low an elevation as 580.5. If the lakes rose above 581.0 there would be damage to docks, basements, and sewage systems in Green Bay, Wis., Alpena, Michigan, and Sarnia, Ont. The power output of the hydro-electric plant at Sault Ste. Marie would be reduced. Damage might result in Chicago and vicinity, as many of the sewers are now overloaded and flood basements during heavy rains, which flooding would be increased by any raising of the lake levels above their outlets. The ground-water levels in the city would also be raised, making construction more difficult. At lake levels above 581.6, damage would result to jetties of the Chicago district, and from sliding of high banks. Damage would result to docks in Sarnia and Port Huron, and the operation of docks and elevators of the Canadian National Railway at Port Edward and Goderich would be interfered with. Lake levels above elevation 582 would interfere with operations of plants at Port Huron and of most of the structures between the French and St. Mary's rivers, also with wharves at Alpena, Muskegon, and Green bay. Lake levels above elevation 583 would flood basements in downtown Milwaukee and Manitowoc, and would cause unwarranted damage at Alpena, Holland, and Muskegon, Mich., and Racine, Wis. Levels above elevation 583.5 would flood docks at Alpena and Mackinac Island and would interfere with operations of the municipal lighting plant at the latter place. Levels above 583.7 would flood docks in Port Huron.

95. In view of the foregoing information, the flood level of these lakes should not be placed above 582.2, and under the regulated condition the lakes should not exceed this level in height, frequency, or duration to a greater extent than in the past. From plate 3 it will be seen that on the basis of the records of the last 25 years, a stage of 582.2 would probably be reached once in twenty years and has the same probability as the elevation selected for lake Superior.

96. LAKE ERIF. Some damage would result on lake Erie at elevations below 573, principally through erosion on the lake shores. Above 573, the dock of the Detroit and Cleveland Navigation Co. in Detroit and the operation of the power plant of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. would be inconvenienced. Lake levels above 573.5 would damage some docks in Detroit, Rondeau, and Port Stanley. Levels at 574.0 or slightly above would interfere with the operations of the Maple Leaf Milling Co. at Port Colborne, Ont., the Pittsburgh and Conneaut Dock Co. at Conneaut, Ohio, the Solvay Process Co. and the Michigan Central Railroad at Detroit, the unloading plants of the Erie Railroad at Cleveland, and the elevators of the Washburn-Crosby Co. at Buffalo. They would also damage the works of the Ohio Public Service Co. at Lorain, Ohio, interfere with the drainage works of the Bethlehem Steel Co. at Lackawanna, and flood the turn-table pit of the Canadian National Railways at Dover, and some of the docks of the Detroit Sulphite and Paper Co. at Lake levels above 574.5 would interfere with operations of the Detroit. Candler Dredge and Dock Co. at Detroit. Lake levels above 575 would interfere with unloading operations of the Pennsylvania Railroad at Buffalo, Erie, Sandusky, Ashtabula, and Cleveland. of the Buffalo Creek Railroad at Buffalo, interfere with the operations of the National Tube Co. at Lorain, Ohio, and the Commercial Milling Co. at Detroit, flood some docks of the Standard Oil Co. and Candler Dredge and Dock Co. at Detroit, and damage the property of the Hammermill Paper Company at Erie.

97. From a consideration of the above information, elevation 573.9 was selected as the flood level, which should not be exceeded more frequently than in the past. This elevation has the same frequency probability, as shown by the records of the past 25 years, as the levels selected for the other lakes.

98. LAKE ONTARIO. On lake Ontario, damage below elevation 247 is uncertain but probably small. Above elevation 247, drainage of cellars in the lower part of Kingston would be affected and the dock and canning factory of the Port Millford Packing Co. at Port Millford would be flooded. Lake levels above elevation 247.5 would flood wharves, coal sheds, warehouses, etc. in Kingston, Brockville, Prescott, Port Milford, Bath, South Bay, Ogdensburg, and Charlotte. They would affect the LaSalle Causeway at Kingston and the Kingston dry dock. At lake levels above 248, damage would result as follows: Docks, storehouses, and factories in addition to those before mentioned would be flooded or interfered with in Kingston, Wellington, Port Millford, Clayton, Cape Vincent, Sacketts Harbour, Oswego, Fairhaven, Little Sodus Bay, Sodus Point, and Charlotte and on the lower Niagara river. Such levels would render less efficient or damage the breakwaters and other aids to navigation at Sacketts harbour, Little Sodus bay, Great Sodus bay, Oswego, Charlotte, and Olcott. In addition to this, damage would probably be done to a number of other docks, roads, bridges, an electric railway, and several beaches. Above 248.5, damage would be done to additional docks, coal sheds, and factories in Kingston, Rednersville, Wellington, Massagana, Northport, and Forester Lt. Lake levels above elevation 249 would seriously damage a number of other important interests in Gananoque, Kingston, Green Island, Prescott, and Ogdensburg.

99. After considering the foregoing information, elevation 248.1 was selected as the flood level for lake Ontario, which should not be exceeded by the regulated levels to a greater extent than was the case in the past. The data on flooding damage is more nearly complete on lake Ontario than on the other lakes and indicates that a great deal more damage would result than is shown by the data for the other lakes. Plate 3 shows that, for this elevation also, the probable frequency, indicated by the last 25 years of records, was once in 20 years.

100. SUMMARY. The flood levels on the several lakes may be taken, therefore, as follows:--

Labo Superior	603.6
Lake Superior	582.2
Lake Erie	573.9
Lake Ontario	248.1

101. It will be observed that the damages, as a rule, are not due to the dead level of the lake itself, but to the temporary fluctuations above that level caused by winds and barometric pressure, to the flooding of sewers by heavy rains which may happen to occur when the high lake levels have reduced their outlet capacity, and to the raising of flood heights of streams entering the lake. The riparian interests affected are not so directly concerned with the maximum height to which the monthly mean elevations of the lakes are raised as with the frequency with which the lakes reach the levels which expose them to serious hazard of damage. As long as the frequency with which the lake levels rise above the flood levels is not increased by the construction of compensating works, or by the operation of regulating works, no damage can be considered to result from their construction or operation.

102. A study of the nature of the damage done by increasing the frequency of high lake levels shows that it is so widespread and diverse that compensation to the industries and individuals affected is out of the question. Communities have adjusted themselves to the lake levels that have actually existed, and cities and towns have built their sewage systems accordingly. The damages would not be met by merely paying for the flowage of such lands as might be actually flooded by the rise of lakes. It must be emphasized, moreover, that the inquiries did not bring to light all of the damages that would result from high water, for the reason that many of the citizens concerned and many of the responsible executives do not believe that a proposal to raise the high waters of the lakes will be seriously considered.

MAXIMUM DISCHARGE CAPACITY OF OUTLETS

103. LAKE SUPERIOR. The discharge capacity of the St. Mary's river, the outlet of lake Superior, has already been enlarged by the power canals at the falls to such an extent that little benefit would be secured by further enlargement.

104. LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON. The St. Clair river is nearly 40 miles in length, with a small and fairly evenly distributed slope, except at the Port Huron rapids at the head of the river. While at first glance there seems to be an opportunity to provide a considerable increase in discharge capacity by the enlargement of this contracted section detailed computations show that a by-pass canal if built with a depth of 35 feet and width of 700 feet, entailing the excavation of 7,800,000 cubic yards would increase the discharge capacity of the river by only 8,000 cfs., i.e., by about 4 per cent of its present capacity.

It may seem paradoxical that the one lake outlet that has been enlarged in recent years by the action of nature and man should be the least susceptible to further material enlargement. It will be noted, however, that the total enlargement accounted for to date effects an increase of only about 5 per cent to 8 per cent in the discharge capacity at high stages, and that this is the cumulative effect of actions taking place over a period of 35 years. As has been previously pointed out, the discharge capacity of the river is much curtailed in winter, but this is not the season when large discharges are desirable from the standpoint of regulation.

105. LAKE ERIE. A large increase of the discharge capacity of the Niagara river, at the outlet of lake Erie, can be secured, although at large cost, by the excavation through the rock sill at its head. The program for complete regulation hereinafter considered is based on an enlargement of the discharge capacity by 40,000 cfs.

106. ST. LAWRENCE RIVER. The discharge capacity of the St. Lawrence river is limited by seasonal conditions. For the purpose of testing a program for complete regulation, the limitations were taken as shown on plate 4. The reasons for these limitations are as follows:—

(1) The discharge at any time must not exceed the amount that can be passed through the enlarged channels without creating excessive currents for navigation and without requiring a head that would seriously reduce the head available for power. This limitation restricts discharge from lake Ontario to amounts varying from 223,000 cfs. with the lake at elevation 244.0 to 330,000 cfs. with the lake a little below elevation 248.

(2) The discharge must not create such stages in the St. Lawrence river as will cause serious damage to riparian property. The areas where such damage would occur are the lands bordering lake St. Francis and lake St. Louis. After the outlets of these lakes have been enlarged as a part of power development in the rapids below them, a maximum discharge of 330,000 cfs. should be possible.

(3) During the period in which the Ottawa river is in flood, in May and June, the maximum discharge should be limited to 300,000 cfs. in order to prevent excessive levels in lake St. Louis.

(4) The ice jams during the spring breakup, usually occurring in April, cause the highest rise of the water at Montreal. The higher the discharge of the St. Lawrence at such time, the higher the water is likely to rise. Large sums have been expended to prevent the flooding of the lower lying portions of the city at such times. The regulated discharge of the St. Lawrence has therefore been limited in April to an amount not exceeding the present discharge at the same stage.

(5) During the winter months of January, February, and March, the discharge capacity of the river will be reduced to an amount materially below that possible during open-river months. The successful operation of power plants on the river requires the creation and preservation of an ice cover where ever it can be secured at reasonable expense. Since the formation of an ice cover depends upon currents of sufficiently low velocities, the proper winter operation of the power plants requires that the discharge be restricted.

107. The further studies, made before adopting a definite program for the regulation of lake Ontario alone, has indicated some desirable modifications of these limitations, but these modifications are insufficient to alter materially the results to be obtained from a comprehensive system of regulation of the Great Lakes.

MINIMUM PERMISSIBLE DISCHARGE THROUGH OUTLETS

108. The minimum discharges adopted in testing the programs for the complete regulation of the lakes were as follows:—

St. Lawrence river Niagara (including Welland canal)	Minimum Regulated Discharge 200,000 176,000	Minimum Natural Monthly Mean Discharge with Same Diversions (Summer) 185,000 167,000
St. Clair river (except when the natural dis- charge was less) St. Mary's river	$150,000\ 50,000$	151,000 49,000

109. The minimum discharges for the St. Lawrence and the Niagara were set with a view to affording a reliable flow for power purposes. It is necessary to maintain an ample flow through the St. Clair and Detroit rivers to prevent the reversal of the current of the latter when storms raise its outlet into lake Erie, since such a reversal of flow would bring sewage-contaminated water to the water-supply intakes of the city of Detroit. Preliminary computations indicated that a minimum flow of 150,000 cfs. could be provided without substantial injury to the levels obtained by regulation. As later explained, an analysis of the results obtained indicates that some slight improvement in lake levels could be secured by fixing this minimum at 140,000 cfs. The minimum flow of 50,000 cfs. in the St. Mary's river is designed to maintain the full navigable depths in that river and to afford water for the existing power plants.

110. Low WATER DISCHARGE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN MONTREAL HARBOUR LEVELS. The further study made before adopting a definite program for the regulation of lake Ontario alone, in connection with the improvement of the St. Lawrence, shows that a fixed minimum of 200,000 cfs. is insufficient to maintain the ordinary low levels of Montreal harbour during the summer and fall months. The actual monthly mean flow down the St. Lawrence has fallen below 200,000 cfs. but once during the navigation seasons of the past 65 years. This was in November and December, 1895, when the flow was 194,000 cfs. Even had a diversion of 8,500 cfs. occurred continuously during the past 65 years, the unregulated monthly mean flow down the St. Lawrence during the navigation season would not have fallen below 200,000 cfs. except during October, November and December, 1895, with a minimum flow of 185,000 in November and December of that year. Past records show that, for at least 70 per cent of the time, unregulated outflows down the St. Lawrence in September, October and November exceeding the following amounts are to be anticipated.

 September
 237,000 cfs.

 October
 228,000 "

 November
 222,000 "

It is shown in paragraph 210 of the main report that a diminution of the flow past Montreal reduces the water levels in the harbour at the rate of one foot for each 23,000 cubic feet per second. The adoption of a minimum flow of 200,000 might therefore be expected to reduce the ordinary low water levels in the harbour by about a foot during the fall months.

PROGRAM OF REGULATION TO SECURE MAXIMUM BENEFITS TO LAKE LEVELS

111. To determine the benefit to be anticipated from a complete system of regulation of the Great Lakes, a program was drawn up which was designed to secure such result, while maintaining the minimum outflows set forth in the preceding paragraphs. The lake levels and outflows that would have resulted

from its application from 1894 to 1925 were determined, the suitability of the system being tested by applying it also to the high and fluctuating discharges recorded between 1869 and 1876.

112. The computations were based on the supply of water to the various lakes that would have occurred had 8,500 cfs. been diverted continuously by the Chicago Sanitary District.

113. SYSTEM ADOPTED. The program was designed to hold the lakes at the maximum safe levels whenever the water supply permitted. The "maximum safe stage" of each lake for each month of the year was determined from a study of their seasonal fluctuations in levels, as being the stage which, on the basis of levels reached during these months during the last twenty-five years, would be reached once in 8 years, as shown on table herewith.

Month	Superior	Michigan- Huron	Erie	Ontario	
January 1 February 1. March 1 April 1. May 1 June 1 July 1 August 1 September 1 October 1 November 1 December 1	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 21\\ 602\cdot 21\\ 602\cdot 42\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 603\cdot 00\\ 603\cdot 18\\ 603\cdot 30\\ 603\cdot 30\\ 603\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 92\\ 602\cdot 69\\ 602\cdot 42\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 95\\ 581\cdot 33\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 42\\ 581\cdot 16\\ 580\cdot 90\\ 580\cdot 73\\ 580\cdot 63\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 57 \\ 573 \cdot 25 \\ 573 \cdot 53 \\ 573 \cdot 53 \\ 573 \cdot 37 \\ 573 \cdot 15 \\ 572 \cdot 66 \\ 572 \cdot 53 \\ 572 \cdot 26 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 21 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 19\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 247\cdot 37\\ 247\cdot 67\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 63\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 08\end{array}$	

TABLE 16.- "MAXIMUM SAFE STAGES" FOR REGULATION

When the lakes were below these stages at the beginning of a month, the outflow to the St. Lawrence was so reduced that the expected supply to the lakes during the month would bring them to the maximum safe levels at the end of the month, if this result could be accomplished without reducing the outflow below the established minimum of 200,000 cfs.; if not, the outflow was set at this minimum. Whenever the levels of the lakes were above their maximum safe stages, the outflow was increased as necessary, up to the maximum discharge capacity, to bring them back to maximum safe stages. In either case the discharge between the lakes was regulated, within the maximum and minimum limits, to secure at low levels the best equalization of the channel depths at the present improvement planes, and at high levels the distribution of excess water which would minimize the hazard of flood damage.

114. During high stages, therefore, the lakes were kept as nearly as possible at equal stages from the standpoint of flooding, and in times of low water at equal stages from the standpoint of navigable depth. Between high and low water a transition zone is necessary. The upper limit of this zone was taken at the highest safe stage, and the lower limit at that stage giving equal navigable depths and a total storage in all the lakes of one million second feet months less than the highest safe stage.

115. The discharge capacity of the various channels and the allowable minimum flows limited the regulation so that very rarely was it possible to secure the condition of highest safe stage in all the lakes at the same time, and only occasionally could the same relative stage in all five lakes be secured. When the ideal condition could not be secured, the nearest approximation to it was obtained. If, for example, the capacity of the St. Clair river was inadequate
to discharge sufficient water to bring all the lakes to the same relative level, the maximum discharge possible was allowed in the St. Clair river; the Niagara river was regulated to give the same relative stages in lakes Erie and Ontario, and the St. Marys river was regulated to give the same relative stages in lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron. Because of the danger of flooding due to run off from the local drainage area, no lake was permitted to rise above its highest safe stage if it could be prevented without raising some lower lake to a relatively higher stage. For example, more than 200,000 cfs. minimum was frequently discharged from lake Ontario during the very low period of the last few years because, although the upper lakes were much below their highest safe stages, lake Ontario, with the minimum allowable flow coming in from lake Erie, and the probable local inflow, would exceed the highest safe stage and therefore be in danger of being flooded by a heavy local inflow unless more than the minimum flow was drawn out.

116. Because of the rapidity with which the relative levels of the lakes changed with respect to each other, and because to do so would have adversely affected navigable depths, no attempt was made to draw any of the lakes below their highest safe stage in order to have space available for water from lakes higher up which were above their highest safe stage, but could not be immediately equalized with the lower lakes because of the discharge limitations of the interlake channels. For example, if lakes Michigan-Huron and Superior were too high, but could not be equalized with lakes Erie and Ontario on account of the limited capacity of the St. Clair river, lakes Erie and Ontario were not drawn down on account of the excess supply in the Michigan-Huron and Superior, but were kept as nearly as possible at their highest safe stage.

117. DETAILS OF COMPUTATIONS. The effect of applying this system was computed by monthly periods on the form sheet shown herewith. It was assumed that at the first of each month the elevation of each lake could be determined from gauge readings. The probable local inflows for each lake were estimated from diagrams (plate 5) constructed from past records to give the probable inflow for the month as indicated by the local inflow to that lake during the past month. It was found by a study of the past supplies (one of which is shown on plate 6) that a month of large runoff was likely to be followed by another month of high runoff, and a month of low runoff by another of low runoff, and that from the diagrams much better results could be secured than by assuming that average conditions would probably occur in any given month. The outflows of the various lakes were computed which would give at the end of the month the best distribution of the storage if the probable inflow occurred, and the gates were set to give this outflow from the lakes during that month. With the known stage and storage at the beginning of the month, and these outflows, the storage and stage were computed which would have resulted at the end of the month, with the inflows which actually occurred in that month. The steps in detail are as follows:—

118. In line (1) was entered the elevation of each lake at the first of the month, and on line (2) the corresponding storage in each lake and the total storage above an assumed datum (two feet below the present improvement plane of the lake). Units of storage equivalent to the flow of a thousand second feet for a month were used, and flows were expressed in units of a thousand second feet. In line (3) were entered the probable net local inflow into each lake for the month and the probable total inflow as determined from the inflow diagrams. Line (4) is the sum of lines (2) and (3) and represents the probable storage at the end of the month if there were no outflow. In line (5) is recorded the $\frac{45827-7}{(Continued on page 99)}$

.

TYPICAL COMPUTATION FOR REGULATION WITH COMPLETE CONTROL OF ST. CLAIR RIVER-JANUARY, 1870

blive stages in isla <u>et</u> firste oud Ontario, e give sto, same celutive stages in jokes	Superior	Michigan- Huron	Erie	Ontario	Total
 Elevations of lakes at first of month	$ \begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 93 \\ 1,129 \\ -3 \\ 1,126 \\ $	581·30 1,777 68 1,845	572-79 442 66 508	246-60 326 75 401	3,674 206 3,880 3,062 818 211 200
 (9) Outflow selected	$1,115 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 124 \\ 50$	$1,781 \\ 64 \\ 75 \\ 222 \\ 150$	$\begin{array}{r} 435\\73\\148\\260\\176\end{array}$	338 63 211 211 200	211 3,669
(16) Gross SUPERIOR-MICHIGAN-HURON SYSTEM (17) Total storage plus inflow	1,126	1,845			2,971 150 2,821
(19) Total storage, end of month (20) Storage in system at danger stage (21) Desired distribution of storage (22) Outflow—Net	1,027 39 39 39	1,734 111 150	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2, 821 2, 408 282
SUPERIOR SYSTEM (24) Total storage plus inflow. (25) Trial outflow. (26) Total storage, end of month. (27) Storage in system at danger stage. (28) Desired distribution of storage. (29) Outflow - Net.	1,126 1,076 50				1,126 50 1,076 950 1,076
(30) Gross MICHIGAN-HURON-ERIE SYSTEM (31) Total storage plus inflow (32) Trial outflow	50 50	1,845	508		2,403 176 2,227 1 826
(34) Storage in system at danger stage	50	$1,791 \\ 54 \\ 104$	436 72 176		1,020
 (38) Total storage plus inflow. (39) Trial outflow. (40) Total storage, end of month (41) Storage in system at danger stage. (42) Desired distribution of storage	50	1,845 1,745 100 150			1,895 150 1,745 1,458 1,745
ERIE-ONTARIO SYSTEM (45) Total storage plus inflow		150	508 474	401 	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,059\\211\\848\\654\\848 \end{smallmatrix} }$
 (50) Outflow—Net	$1,129 \\ 9 \\ 1,138 \\ 50 \\ 1,088$	$150 \\ 1,777 \\ 118 \\ 1,895 \\ 100 \\ 1,795$	$ \begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 184 \\ 442 \\ 65 \\ 507 \\ 34 \\ 473 \end{array} $	$27 \\ 211 \\ 326 \\ 62 \\ 388 \\ 27 \\ 361$	3,674 254 3,928 211 3,717
 (57) Stage, end of month—Approximate. (58) Discharge, end of month—Approximate. (59) Discharge, first of month. (60) Mean discharge. (61) Storage correction. (62) Storage, end of month—Corrected. 	602 · 82	2 581.34	473 473 573 · 07	$\begin{array}{r} 247.02\\ 215\\ 211\\ 213\\ 2\\ 359\\ 247.01\end{array}$	3,715
(64) Outflow used—Gross	50	150	1 184	213	

total storage in the lake system if all lakes were filled to the highest safe stage for that month. Line (6), the difference between lines (4) and (5), is the outflow which would be necessary from the lake system to have just sufficient storage in the system at the end of the month to bring all the lakes to their highest safe stage. In line (7) is entered the maximum flow of the St. Lawrence river for the elevation of lake Ontario at the beginning of the month, as indicated by plate 4, and in line (8) the minimum flow for the scheme of regulation under consideration. A comparison of the figures in lines (6) and (7) shows that it is not possible to draw all the lakes down to their highest safe stage in this month, and therefore the nearest possible result to this will be obtained or the outflow selected, line (9), will be the maximum possible, as entered in line (7). If the figure in line (6) had been less than 200, the minimum flow of 200 would have been used in line (9), and if between the maximum and minimum, the outflow in line (6) would be used in line (9).

119. In the last column of line (10) is entered the total storage remaining in the system at the end of the month if the outflow selected (211 thousand second feet) were withdrawn. This is distributed between the lakes according to diagrams as plate 7, one for each month, which show the storage in each lake which, for any given total storage, will bring all of the Lakes to the same relative stage. From plate 7, with 3669 as the total storage, is found the storage in each of the lakes shown in the other columns of line (10). The values of the storage corresponding to the critical points on the storage distribution curves are given in Table 17. All curves go through the origin of co-ordinates as plate 7. The values of net outflow from each of the lakes, line (11), which will bring about the desired distribution of storage, are the difference between the value in lines (4) and (10), and line (12) gives the gross outflow, or the summation of net outflows. In lines (13) and (14) are entered the maximum outflow possible in the interlake channels with the enlargements and control works and with the stages of the various Lakes the first of the month. In case of ice retardation in the St. Clair River, the same per cent of reduction was applied to the maximum unobstructed discharge with the enlargements as occurred in the natural river. In line (14) are entered the minimum allowable flows, for the system of regulation under consideration.

120. By comparing the values in lines (12), (13), and (14), it will be seen that to secure the desired distribution of storage, a flow less than the minimum allowable would be necessary out of lakes Superior, Michigan-Huron, and Erie, and lines (15) and (16) cannot be used in this case. It is necessary therefore to secure as nearly as possible the desired distribution with the limitations of outflow. The difference between the desired and allowable flows is greatest in the Michigan-Huron outflow, and it therefore is probably a controlling relation. Lines (17) to (23) treat lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron as a separate system, in the same manner as the whole lake system was treated in lines (14) to (14), using the appropriate scale of ordinates on the right side of the storage distribution diagram (plate 7). The values in line (17) are the individual storages of the lakes of the Superior-Michigan-Huron system from line (4), and the inflow from lakes above (in this case zero), the sum of them being entered in the last column. The value in line (20) has no significance in this case, but has in cases where the storage in the system is near that required to fill all the lakes to their highest safe storage. The values in line (23) show that to bring Michigan-Huron and Superior to the same relative stage at the end of the

45827-71

month would require a flow less than the minimum allowable out of Lake Superior. Lake Superior is therefore treated as a separate system in lines (24) to (30), and a trial computation made in lines (31) to (37) shows that if lakes Michigan-Huron and Erie are brought to the same relative elevation with the minimum outflow necessary from Lake Superior, the outflow from Lake Michigan would be below the allowable limit. Lakes Michigan-Huron are therefore treated as a separate system in lines (39) to (44), using the minimum allowable outflow, and lines (45) to (51) show that with this outflow lakes Erie and Ontario can be brought to the same relative stage within the limitations of outflow from lake Erie. To obtain the nearest possible result to the highest safe stage in all the Lakes with the probable inflows for the month and with the flow limitations of the interlake channels and the St. Lawrence river, it is therefore necessary to take the minimum allowable flow out of Lakes Superior and Michigan-Huron and 184,000 second feet from Lake Erie, and the maximum possible out of lake Ontario. The regulating gates would therefore be held during the month to give flows of 50,000 second feet from Superior, 150,000 second feet from Michigan-Huron, 184,000 second feet from Erie and 211,000 second feet from Ontario. With these outflows, and the local inflows entered in line (53) which actually occurred during the month, the storage in each lake and the stage at the end of the month is computed on lines (56) and (57). A correction is made in lines (58) to (62) on account of the increase which is possible in the outflow of lake Ontario due to the increase in stage in that lake during the month. In lines (62) to (64) are entered the storage and stage at the end of the month and the gross outflow from all the lakes.

121. The example given above represents one of the more difficult cases and involves much more computation than the average. Large-scale diagrams were used to show the storage distribution relations for the various months, of which plate 7 illustrates the principle. The numerical work contains a very complete series of checks which reduce the probability of error to a minimum.

122. RESULTS SECURED. The lake levels and outflows resulting from this system of regulations are given in tables 9-12 and are shown graphically on plates 8 and 9. The results are best summarized, however, on plates 10 and 11, which give the relative length of time at which the levels during the navigation season, and the discharges throughout the year, would be realized.

123. EFFECTS ON LAKE LEVELS. In evaluating the beneficial effects of regulation on lake levels, it is misleading to deal with the absolute minimum levels reached. Present bulk-cargo lake commerce, with its short voyages and highly organized management, is benefitted by a rise in the mean levels of the lakes to almost as great a degree as by a rise in the minimum levels; and even commerce entering the lakes from the sea, as a consequence of the improvement of the St. Lawrence, will not be vitally concerned with low levels which rarely occur. The basis of comparison adopted is therefore the level below which, on the basis of past experience a lake will not fall during more than 2 per cent of the time.

124. The following tabulation gives, on this basis, the range of levels of the various lakes, during the navigation season, which would be secured by the program of regulation described, during the period from 1894 to 1925, as compared with the range, on the same basis, that the lake levels would have had

during the same period with the outlets in their present condition and with the present diversions (and a total diversion of 5,000 cfs. through the Welland Canal):---

b portability the bases of		Regulated		Unregulated						
Lake -	Highest	Low	Range	Highest	Low	Range				
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie Ontario	$603 \cdot 7$ 582 \cdot 5 574 \cdot 3 248 \cdot 6	$601 \cdot 3 \\ 580 \cdot 1 \\ 571 \cdot 5 \\ 245 \cdot 8$	feet 2 · 4 2 · 4 2 · 8 2 · 8 2 · 8	$ \begin{array}{r} 603 \cdot 8 \\ 581 \cdot 8 \\ 573 \cdot 8 \\ 248 \cdot 4 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 0 \\ 578 \cdot 3 \\ 570 \cdot 5 \\ 244 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	feet 2.8 3.5 3.3 4.2				

125. Since the levels of the lakes can be raised equally well by compensating works to the maximums attained by this system of regulation, without increasing the present range between maximum and low stages, the advantage of regulation, from the standpoint of navigation, lies in the reduction in the range of stage. This is as follows:—

Superior	· ; . ·	•			• •				•	•	•	•		• •				•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	••	•	•	0.4	feet
Michigan	-Hu	ror	1;	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•		• •		• •	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	$1.1 \\ 0.5$	"
Ontario.												-	•		٠.		•									•				1.4	"

126. Taking the whole period from 1860 to 1925, on the assumption that the maximum stages under regulation would occur in 1870 or 1876, the total fluctuation of stage in the regulated and unregulated condition is:—

lend tram the Point Edward cangedights at the head of the	Total flu	ictuation
Lake	Regulated	Unregulated, with present diversions and outlets
Superior Michigan-Huron. Erie Ontario. Weighted average	$3 \cdot 41 \\ 3 \cdot 52 \\ 3 \cdot 29 \\ 3 \cdot 83 \\ 3 \cdot 47$	$3 \cdot 54 \\ 4 \cdot 92 \\ 3 \cdot 53 \\ 5 \cdot 54 \\ 4 \cdot 35$

127. In paragraph 68 it was shown that a study of the mass curve of supply indicated that a minimum outflow of 200,000 cfs. could be maintained with a fluctuation of 2.0 feet on the lakes. The difference between this figure and the average fluctuation of 3.47 resulting from the detailed program of regulation, is due to the limitations imposed by the discharge capacities of the outlet.

128. EFFECT ON OUTFLOW. An examination of plate 11 shows that the result of applying the program would have been to hold the outflow down the St. Lawrence to the minimum of 200,000 cfs. for nearly half the time in order to build up lake levels. The unregulated flow falls below 200,000 cfs. for a very small percentage of the time, but exceeds that figure most of the time. A detailed analysis of the effects of the regulated flows on the low water levels of Montreal harbour during the period 1913 to 1924 confirms the general analysis given in par. 110 that the program would lower the ordinary low water levels by approximately one foot. It is apparent, moreover, that the results secured would be unfavorable rather than beneficial from a power standpoint. A similar condition would be created in the Niagara river by the scheme studied.

DESIGN AND COST OF REGULATING WORKS

129. The design of regulating works that will satisfactorily meet ice conditions in the Niagara river, and will accomodate the great volume of shipping in the St. Clair river, offers many complications. The designs forming the basis of the estimates of the cost herein presented are intended to afford only a reliable indication of the minimum cost, which might be increased materially by elaborations deemed necessary to meet the unusual requirements.

130. WORKS IN ST. CLAIR RIVER. Because of the delay which locks would cause to the heavy traffic on the St. Clair and Detroit rivers, it is desirable to control the flow in these rivers by some means in which they are not required. The studies and estimates of cost indicate that sufficient control may be obtained by channel contractions to secure substantially as good results in lake control at about the same cost as would be possible with locks and dams. The method of restricting the outflow in the St. Clair river was to select a location where the river was divided into two or more channels by islands, placing control gates across all but one channel, thus allowing the navigation to pass unobstructed through this channel. By closing the gates the entire flow could be forced through the one channel, which would restrict the flow. Where natural divisions in the river were absent or insufficient, they were artifically constructed by longitudinal dikes.

131. POINT EDWARD BY-PASS. To provide additional discharge capacity in the St. Clair river, a by-pass channel was provided around the Port Huron rapids at the town of Point Edward, where the St. Clair river leaves Lake Huron. The channel would extend from the Point Edward range lights at the head of the St. Clair river to Sarnia bay, and along the west side of this bay entering the St. Clair river at Bay point. It would have a length of about 8,000 feet, a bottom width of 700 feet, and a depth of 35 feet. Investigation showed that a greater increase in size would not secure sufficient increase in St. Clair river flow to justify the additional cost. The control works would consist of concrete floor, piers, and abutments with Stoney sluice gates. As it would be necessary to provide railroad and highway access to the docks to the west of the canal, the control works would be combined with a railroad and a highway bridge. With all the gates open, this by-pass would increase the flow in the St. Clair river by about 8,000 cfs. The total cost of the canal and control works is estimated at \$2,770,000.

132. STAG ISLAND CONTRACTION. The first contraction works would be located at Stag Island, near the town of Marysville, about 8 miles below Lake The length of Stag Island is insufficient to give the desired reduction in Huron. flow, and dividing dikes would be extended from the upstream end of the island to opposite the town of South Park, and downstream from the lower end of the island to Oakland Dock, about 2,400 feet below the mouth of Pine river, near the town of St. Clair, the total length of river thus divided being about 46,000 feet, or slightly more than 8 miles. The control gates would be located across the channel east of Stag island, and were similar to those on the Point Edward Canal. Navigation would pass through the west channel, which would have a minimum width of 1,080 feet. To prevent the enlargement of this channel by the higher velocities which would result from closing the regulation gates, rock sills 10 feet wide and 3 feet average thickness could be placed on the bottom extending across the river at 100-foot intervals. The Stag island control works as thus outlined, with no deepening of the present channel would increase the stage necessary in Lakes Michigan-Huron for a discharge of 180,000 cfs. by 1.54 feet and would cost about \$10,120,000.

133. WOODTICK ISLAND CONTRACTION. The second control would be at Woodtick Island, near Marine City, about 22 miles below lake Huron. At this point the flow to the east of the island is so small that closing it off would cause little effect, and a dividing dike would be built in the west channel extending the entire length of the control works, from a point opposite the center of Marine City to a point opposite the plant of the Michigan Sault Manufacturing Company, a distance of about 11,000 feet. Two control gate structures would be necessary, one extending from the dike to Woodtick Island and the other across the channel east of this island. These would be similar in construction to those designed for the Point Edward Canal. The channel to the west of the dividing dike would be protected against enlargement in the same manner as proposed for Stag island. The minimum width of the navigation channel is 1,040 feet. The cost of this control is estimated at about \$3,730,000 and the effect in the lake would be about 0.51 foot.

134. CONTRACTION AT DELTA. Near the town of Algonac, the St. Clair river divides into a number of mouths which pass through a delta into lake St. Clair. Where the river divides into two channels, control works would be built across one branch by which more water could be forced through the other (the south channel), thus increasing its slope and reducing the total discharge of the river. Since this channel is somewhat narrow, but must carry all the through navigation of the St. Clair river, and because of the easily eroded character of the soil, the amount of water forced through this branch would be limited to that which would produce a mean velocity of 3 feet per second. To prevent enlargement, sills of loose rock, averaging 10 feet wide and 3 feet thick, would be placed across the channel at 200-foot intervals. This mouth contains a bad bend, which would be cut off by a channel of 600 feet bottom width. The estimated cost of the works is \$6,150,000 and their effect on the level of Lakes Michigan-Huron is 1.25 feet.

135. Since all the other mouths are cut off from Lake St. Clair by bars which have formed at their outlets into this lake, the control works across their upper end would cut off the access of boats to them. A 200-foot channel would therefore be excavated through one of these bars to let navigation pass up through one of these mouths and from it into the others which are cut off.

136. SUMMARY, ST. CLAIR RIVER. In summary, the contraction works designed for the control of the St. Clair river for complete regulation, and their effectiveness in feet of fall, are as follows:—

Location Stag Island	Estimated cost \$10,120,000 3,730,000 6,150,000	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Increased} \\ \mathrm{head} \\ 1.54 \\ .51 \\ 1.25 \end{array}$
Total	\$20,000,000	3.30

The works could be operated to reduce the outflow from lake Huron by roughly 30 per cent when so desired.

137. The total length of the contracted channels in this scheme of control, counting the delta channel as 7 miles in length, is 18 miles, and the success of the scheme depends on preventing an enlargement of their sections with the increased current velocities created by the contractions. The estimates provide for what is regarded as ample protection of the bed against scour below a depth of 30 feet, but there is no precedent for determining the extent to which this protection would have to be carried.

138. ALTERNATIVE PLAN OF DAM WITH LOCKS. An alternative is to construct control gates with locks at a suitable point in the river. Since a minimum flow of approximately 140,000 cfs. must be maintained, the gates need not entirely close the river, and a navigable pass could be left through which the lighter shipping could pass downstream. It is not believed that the lake cargo freighters (which are normally carrying their full loads downstream) could use such a pass, and the locks should be sufficient to pass all vessels of that class. In 1925, the total number of steam-vessel passages through the St. Marys falls canals, exclusive of tugs, yachts, etc., was 18,718. The number of vessel passages through the Detroit river during the same year was 18,146, exclusive of sand carriers and passenger steamers, tugs, yachts, etc. The lock capacity provided in any works in the St. Clair river should be at least equal to that which has been found necessary at the St. Marys falls canals, which is a capacity to pass six lake freighters simultaneously. Three double-length locks would therefore be required. The cost of the locks, approaches, dam and pass is estimated at not less than \$30,000,000.

139. The average time required in 1925 for passage through the United States canal, including one lock and $1\frac{3}{4}$ miles of canal, was 1 hour and 9 minutes. The average time, up and down bound, to pass through the canal is 17 minutes. The average time of lockage only, including delays, is therefore 52 minutes. The average freight carried per vessel passage was 4,370 tons, and the average rate per ton-mile was 1.08 mills. Assuming that a delay of 52 minutes is equivalent to 9 miles of travel, the average cost per vessel passage, light and loaded, in terms of revenue producing capacity of the vessel, becomes \$42, and for 18,146 vessel passages \$762,000 per year. The economic loss would increase with increasing traffic on the waterway. This economic loss would justify heavy maintenance costs on an open-channel scheme. Despite the uncertainty of the latter, it has been considered advisable to present it as a basis for regulation works.

140. WORKS IN NIAGARA RIVER. The works designed for controlling the outflow of lake Erie were located at the upper end of the Niagara river at Buffalo. A longitudinal dike would be built in the river, extending from Bird island, opposite the Buffalo Water Works pumping station, down the river to Ferry street, a distance of about 7,000 feet. It would be roughly parallel to the present dike along the west side of the Black Rock canal and would be on the average about 700 feet farther out in the river. It would reduce the minimum width of the river from approximately 1,600 to 1,000 feet. At the upper end of this dike, Stoney gate control works would be located by means of which the flow through the channel inside the dike could be shut off, thus reducing the flow out of lake Erie. To increase the outflow, 4,300,000 cubic yards of rock from the controlled channel and from Limekiln reef opposite its upper end would be excavated. The maximum hold-back capacity of this control on lake Erie as compared with present conditions would be 2.50 feet, and the increase in discharge which is possible as a result of the excavation is 40,000 efs. The cost was estimated at \$13,650,000.

141. Much of the excavation could be done more economically by using the longitudinal dike as a cofferdam. However, it would not be possible to entirely close off the entire area at once, as this would raise Lake Erie toc high; but another cofferdam could be built first between the location of the longitudinal dike and the bank, and a channel excavated behind this. This channel could then be opened, the longitudinal dike built, and the rock between the first cofferdam and the longitudinal dike excavated.

142. SUMMARY. In summary, the estimated cost of the works required for the program of complete regulation is as follows:---

Niagara river	 	 	 		 	\$13,600,000
St. Clair river control works	 	 	 		 	20,000,000
Point Edward by-pass	 	 	 	• •	 ••	2,800,000
Total	 	 	 		 	\$36,400,000

143. It is of interest to note that the estimated cost of the works proposed by the Engineering Board of Review for the Chicago Sanitary District, as given by Mr. John R. Freeman in an appendix to that report, is as follows:—

Works in Works in	n Niagara n St. Clair	river river	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	·:-	· · ·	··· ···	::	::	
	Total.	gi bale		1										\$33,486,000

These estimates do not include, however, certain protective works in the Niagara river, nor the enlargement of its discharge capacity required for effecting its regulation in the lower range of levels now found necessary, and the provision made for navigation in the St. Clair river may be criticized as inadequate.

144. COMPARATIVE COST OF COMPENSATING WORKS WITH DREDGING. In comparison, the cost of securing the same increase in the navigable depths of the channels and harbours of the lakes affected, by compensating works supplemented by dredging, is hereinafter shown to be as follows:—

Cost of compensati Additional cost of	dredging 1	lake cha	nnels.		· · · ·			::	::	$ 3,400,000 \\ 5,000,000 $	
Additional cost of	dredging h	arbours		•• •	••••••	••	••	• •	•••	5,000,000	
Total								-	Total S	\$13,400,000	

145. CONCLUSIONS. In view of this showing, the construction of regulating works as a means for improving navigation is regarded as economically unjustifiable. It therefore has been considered unnecessary to give to the designs on which the above estimates are based the searching study that would be required if their construction was to be recommended.

146. IMPROVEMENTS POSSIBLE IN THE PROGRAM FOR COMPLETE REGULATION. -In view of the disappointing results attained by the program for regulation that was tested, a study was made of the possibility of a program that would yield better results. It is found that the "maximum safe stages" chosen on Michigan-Huron and Erie in the preceding study were somewhat too conservaative, and that the levels on Michigan-Huron could have been raised 0.3 foot, and on Erie, 0.4 foot, without raising the regulated high-water levels above the natural high-water levels. This would not change the range in stage, except in so far as the increased discharge capacity due to the higher levels might offer the means for a reduction. Analysis of the critical periods shows that the effect on the range would be triffing. A reduction in the capacity artificially provided in the Niagara would become permissible, but further works in the St. Clair river would be required to secure the increased stages, the saving on the one hand and the cost on the other about balancing each other. The minimum discharge of 150,000 cfs. set for the St. Clair River (except when in winter the natural discharge is less) is at a few short critical periods a little more than is necessary to afford the minimum discharge set for the Niagara; but a reduction in flow during these short periods would effect but a trifling improvement in the

low levels of Michigan and Huron. By reducing the minimum set for the discharge of the St. Mary's River the range of stage on lake Superior could be decreased at the cost of increasing the range on Michigan-Huron, but no material advantage would result therefrom.

147. An entirely independent program of regulation, based on fixed rulecurves for determining the regulated monthly outflows, was tested over a portion of the period and found to give substantially the same results as those secured by the more elaborate program that has been described.

148. Finally, it may be noted that the results secured are not out of line with those predicted from the scheme of regulation advanced in the report of the Engineering Board of Review for the Sanitary District of Chicago. Putting the upper limit of the normal range of levels suggested in that report at the flood levels found in paragraph 100 of this report, the normal regulated low-water levels of the lakes under the two schemes become as follows:—

Lakes	Regulation pro- posed by Engineering Board of Review for Chicago Sanitary District	Complete pro- gram of regu- lation studied in this report (corrected as in par. 144)
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie	$601.1 \\ 579.7 \\ 572.4$	$601.0 \\ 580.4 \\ 571.8$

The higher level secured in the one case on lake Erie is at the expense of a lower level on lakes Michigan-Huron. The comparison is not satisfactory, since it compares "normal" stages, which may not mean the same thing in the two cases; but this Board has not the detailed tabulation of the levels under the program of regulation had in view by the engineers for the Sanitary District on which to base a more exact comparison. While the program indicated by them afforded a higher minimum flow to the Niagara River, it did not take into consideration the limitations that must be imposed on the discharge through the St. Lawrence.

149. The program for regulation was based, as is usual, on maintaining a fixed discharge each month, determined by the lake levels at the beginning of the month. It has been thought that materially better results could be secured if the discharge were varied during the month in accordance with the lake levels that actually developed during the month. An examination of a few critical periods indicates, however, that the improvement would be slight. The difference between the actual and expected supplies may indeed result, in rare cases, in lake levels at the end of a month differing as much as six inches from those predicted at the beginning of the month. The extreme high levels are, however, the result of a period of high supply lasting for several months, during all of which the program for regulation would provide the maximum allowable discharge. The best that could be done by adjusting the discharge to the levels during a month would be the starting of the maximum discharge say two weeks earlier. Since high discharges are put in effect as the lake levels approach the maximum safe stages, the gain by starting the full maximum permissible discharge two weeks earlier would be very small. Similarly, extreme low levels occur at the ends of long periods of low supply, during which the regulated discharge is held down each month to the permissible minimum in any event. The actual experience with the regulation of lake Superior confirms the conclusion that no material improvement could be realized by the refinement of changing the discharge during a month.

150. REGULATION WITH PARTIAL CONTROL OF THE ST. CLAIR RIVER.—In view of the great cost of works in the St. Clair river, required to effect the degree of control over its flow, necessary to the complete program of regulation hereinbefore described, and the uncertainties as to the cost of preventing the enlargement of the many miles of contracted channel in the St. Clair river contemplated by the design, with consequent loss of effectiveness, a program of regulation was worked out which could be put in effect with less extensive works.

151. The works in the St. Clair river, contemplated in the modified scheme, are control structures at Stag island (8 miles below the head of the river) and at Woodtick Island (22 miles below the head of the river). The works at Stag island are similar to those proposed at this site for complete control (par 130), but the longitudinal dike extends only to the ends of the natural bar extending up and down stream from the island, giving a total length of 17,000 feet of contracted channel. Their estimated cost is \$2,560,000 and their effectiveness, with the gates closed, is measured by 0.50 foot of head on lake Huron. The works at Woodtick island are identical with those proposed for complete control (par. 131). Their estimated cost is \$3,730,000 and their effectiveness is measured by 0.51 foot on lake Huron. The total cost of the works is therefore \$6,290,000 and their total effectiveness is 1.01 feet on lake Huron. The closinng of the gates at both works would reduce the discharge capacity of the St. Clair-Detroit rivers by roughly 10 per cent.

152. The contemplated works for the Niagara River are similar to those considered for regulation with complete control of the St. Clair river (see paragraph 140), but with less outlet enlargement. The enlargement proposed requires the excavation of 2,100,000 cubic yards and gives an increase in discharge capacity of 25,000 cfs, as compared with 4,300,000 cubic yards and 40,000 cfs in the complete control scheme. The cost is estimated at \$8,575,000. These works if continuously closed would raise lake Erie 1.25 feet.

153. The program of regulation is based on the same limitations as to minimum flows and flood levels as governed that for complete regulation. The operation of the gates was, however, based on set rule curves, instead of budgeting the water between the lakes. These rules were in the form of diagrams as shown on plates 12 to 15. Plate 12, the diagram for lake Superior outflow, gives the number of gates in the control works at St. Mary falls which should be opened during each month for the various stages in the lake on the first of the month, and curves showing the discharge which such gate openings would produce. Plate 13 gives the rule by which the gates of the Stag and Woodtick Island controls in the St. Clair river for the various stages in lakes Huron and Erie, with all the gates of these two controls both open and closed. The same per cent of retardation from ice was assumed for the controlled flow as existed for the natural flow. Plates 14 and 15 give the discharge to be allowed during the month for the various stages on the first of the month in the Niagara and St. Lawrence, respectively.

154. This system of regulation materially changes the outflow of lake Superior, reducing the flow in the early part of the year and substantially increasing it in the fall. This causes the lakes to rise more rapidly in the early part of the year, and thus produces greater depths in the lake; and the increased flow in the fall reaches lakes Michigan-Huron at a time when their levels are beginning to drop, and thus tends to keep them up. Looking from a different angle, it may be said that the heavy inflows into lake Superior take place later

in the year than in the other lakes. By reducing the outflow during the first part of the year lake Superior is made to rise more nearly synchronously with the other lakes, and by discharging larger quantities in the latter part of the year, the falling levels are also more nearly synchronized. This tends to keep the depths of water in the navigation channels of all the lakes more nearly the same.

155. The application of the rule curves of the St. Clair river, is such that over long periods of years the gates remain closed, except for an adjustment period immediately after completing the work. Two of these long periods are 1863 to 1874, inclusive, and 1889 to 1903, inclusive, 12 and 15 years, respectively.

156. RESULTS SECURED BY REGULATION WITH PARTIAL CONTROL OF THE ST. CLAIR RIVER. The lake levels and discharges secured by this less complete control are shown in tables 9 to 12, and are shown graphically on plates 16 to 19. The results are summarized in the duration curves shown on plates 10 and 11.

157. Eliminating as before the low levels occurring less than 2 per cent of the time, the range in level during the navigation seasons in the period between 1894 and 1925 would have been as follows, had the system been in effect during that period:—

Lakes	High	Low	Range
Superior	603 · 4	600.6	2.8
Alchigan-Huron	582.3	579.2	3.1
Grie	574.5	571.3	3.2
Intario	248.0	244.6	3.4

158. These ranges compare as follows with those heretofore found (par. 124) for complete regulation and for the unregulated flow through the present outlets:—

ate 12, the diagram for lake Superior outflow, gives	Ran	nge in stage, 1	894-1925
Lakes	With complete regulation	With partial control of the St. Clair River	Unregu- lated, with present outlets
Superior Michigan-Huron Erie Ontario.	$2 \cdot 4$ $2 \cdot 4$ $2 \cdot 8$ $2 \cdot 9$	$2 \cdot 8$ $3 \cdot 1$ $3 \cdot 2$ $3 \cdot 4$	$2.8 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.3 \\ 4.2$

159. As compared with the results secured by compensating works, the system of regulation with the works proposed for the partial control of the flow of the St. Clair river would afford, therefore, but 0.4 foot gain in the low levels on lakes Michigan-Huron and 0.1 foot on Erie, if the high levels were raised to the same elevation in the two cases.

160. As in the case of complete regulation this system would increase somewhat the minimum discharge of the Niagara and St. Lawrence rivers, but would prolong the period during which low discharges occur. The irregularity introduced in the flow of these rivers would not be nearly as far reaching as in the

case of the complete system of regulation. The effect on the ordinary levels of Montreal harbour, as tested from 1914 to 1924 would be a reduction of a few tenths only in the harbour levels. A slight modification of the rule curves would remedy this effect.

161. WORKS IN ST. CLAIR RIVER ONLY. The Board has considered a suggestion made by Mr. M. G. Barnes, Chief Engineer, Division of Waterways State of Illinois, that works similar to those just discussed be constructed in the St. Clair river only, for the purpose of raising the low water stages of lakes Michigan-Huron, without raising the high-water levels correspondingly. The control over the flow in the St. Clair river secured from the works suggested would not be far different from that secured from those proposed for the modified program of regulation pust considered. If these works were operated as proposed in that program to hold back water when it could be spared from lake Erie, the gain in the levels of Michigan-Huron could not exceed that found from the modified program described, amounting to a few tenths only in excess of the gain that can be provided by compensating works. It must be recollected that to raise lakes Michigan-Huron one inch in a month, it would be necessary to hold back a flow of 40,000 cfs. during that period, and that this would lower the level of lake Erie by $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches.

162. The present natural retardation of the flow of the St. Clair and Detroit rivers by ice gorging in winter serves to raise the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron; and, since it occurs just prior to spring rise in Erie, does not reduce the minimum navigation levels on the latter. Since the amount of winter retardation varies from year to year, the thought has occurred to engineers who have given lake levels long study, that it would be useful to provide artificial works to insure this retardation when nature fails to effect it. A study of the discharges of the St. Clair river under the program for complete regulation, shown on plate 8. discloses that this is substantially the effect brought about by that program. But to bring it about it is necessary to construct very elaborate and expensive works on the St. Clair. The results that can be secured from less comprehensive works, which will at the same time afford free channels of the capacity required for navigation, are indicated by results predicted under the modified program of regulation hereinbefore discussed, and would amount to a gain in the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron exceeding by only a few tenths of a foot the gain that can be provided by fixed compensating works.

163. COMBINED REGULATION OF LAKES ERIE AND ONTARIO. An attempt was made to devise a program for the regulation of Lake Erie that could be put in effect in conjunction with the required regulation of lake Ontario to the mutual advantage of the levels and outflows of the two lakes. It was found, however, that the program arrived at after considerable study increased the maximum range of stage on lake Erie, and the fluctuations in its discharge, while at the same time the regulation of lake Ontario that could be secured with the altered flow from lake Erie was not as beneficial as that which could be secured with the natural flow. The reason for this seeming anomoly is not difficult to dis-The present natural discharge from lake Erie to lake Ontario increases cover. gradually as lake Erie rises, and decreases gradually as it falls. Extreme fluctuations in Erie are therefore checked, while at the same time lake Ontario is not subjected to violent changes in inflow. It is not difficult to work up a program that would improve the present situation during a given sequence of unusually high or low supplies to the two lakes; but if such extremes happen to occur in a different sequence, the program is apt to aggravate rather than to improve the situation. To devise a program that will best meet all extremes that have occurred is no small task; and it is well to recollect that such a program might not meet the combination of extreme conditions that may occur in the future. In any event, the only possible way by which the present fluctuations in the levels of lake Erie can be reduced is by intensifying the fluctuations in discharge, and such course cannot serve otherwise than to render the regulation of Ontario more difficult in the long run and to decrease the benefits derivable from the regulation of that lake.

164. REGULATION OF LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON AND ERIE FOR THE BENEFIT OF POWER DEVELOPMENT. The schemes for regulation of these lakes heretofore considered have been directed primarily to reducing the range of fluctuation of lake levels, in order to raise the low levels for the benefit of navigation without raising the high levels to the detriment of the cities and towns on the lake shores. It has been seen that the results attainable are small in relation to the cost of the works necessary to produce them. While it is true that the systems proposed effect at the same time a small increase in the absolute minimum flow available in the power reaches of the Niagara and the St. Lawrence, yet the systems greatly prolong the period during which low discharges occur.

165. A study of the levels and outflows resulting from the program of complete regulation, shown on plates 8 and 9, indicates that during the period of 32 years covered by the diagrams, a minimum flow of about 215,000 or 220,000 cfs. could have been maintained into the St. Lawrence (except during such times in winter as such a draft might be inadvisable due to ice conditions), and a minimum flow of 186,000 cfs. maintained out of lake Erie (Niagara River and Welland Canal combined) without causing a greater fluctation in the levels of the Lakes than actually occurred in their unregulated condition. Under such a program the benefit to navigation on the Great Lakes, as compared with the benefits to be secured from compensating works, would have been nil. The advantages, and disadvantages, to power on the St. Lawrence would have been roughly as follows:—

(1) For about one-third of the time, during which the natural flows ranged from 186,000 to say 217,000 cfs. the flow would have been increased to 217,000 cfs.

(2) For another third of the time, during which the natural flows ranged from 217,000 to 240,000 cfs., the flow would have been decreased to 217,000 cfs.

(3) For the last third of the time the flows would have been in excess of the capacity of the power plants in either case.

166. Had the St. Lawrence river been fully developed for power, the output that might be classed as strictly primary would have been increased by about 15 per cent, but the total kilowatt hours that could have been delivered from hydro-electric plants with installed capacity to utilize the natural mean flow of the river would not have been increased materially if at all.

167. The redistribution in flow would be of doubtful benefit to Montreal harbour. Taking the critical month of October, it is found that a flow of 217,000 cfs. would raise the extreme low harbour levels occurring 10 per cent of the time, but would depress the levels occurring the remaining 90 per cent of the time.

168. That any program of regulation of the Great Lakes must prolong the periods of lower outflow is not generally appreciated or even suspected; but is an inevitable consequence of the restricted discharge capacity of the outlets. An ordinary storage reservoir has a spillway capacity sufficient to discharge all

of the water that reaches it in floods, so that water can be stored at pleasure. The outlets of the Great Lakes, both separately and as a whole, are insufficient to discharge the water which reaches the Lakes during periods extending over several months in each year, and enlargements possible with the expenditure of millions of dollars will increase the capacity but by a relatively small degree. To maintain lake stages within their present limits of fluctuation it is necessary, therefore, to spread an increased discharge over a range of stage so wide that it infringes on the beneficial storage resulting from the present outlet regime. The storage of water by regulation must be limited to periods when all or most of the natural outflow has some present or prospective beneficial use. But the water so stored can be put to beneficial use only if the subsequent supply is below normal. If the subsequent supply is above normal the stored water must be discharged at an accelerated rate, and has no beneficial use.

169. PROGRAM DIRECTED TO RAISING LAKE ONTARIO LEVELS. Power on the International Section of the St. Lawrence might also be benefited by a different program of regulation directed toward reducing the fluctuations of lake Ontario so that it could be held continuously at high levels. The head on the upper power plants could therefore be increased and better conditions realized for maintaining the winter flow without creating current velocities incompatible with the maintenance of an ice cover. Such a program would, in effect, eliminate lake Ontario from the reservoir system of the Lakes, but inasmuch as its area is but about 8 per cent of the total lake area, its loss would not curtail seriously such beneficial effects of regulation as may at some future time be regarded as worth their cost. Preliminary computations indicate that a program of regulation based on these lines is practicable.

170. REGULATION OF LAKE ERIE FOR NIAGARA POWER. The regulation of lake Erie for the primary purpose of restributing the daily flow of the Niagara to the best interest of the scenic beauty at the Falls and power resources of the river has been suggested, but this phase of lake regulation is outside of the purview of the present Board. It is enough to say that there are a number of difficulties to be met in effecting such regulation, and the construction of works for the purpose cannot be regarded as probable in the near future.

171. REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE. The regulation of lake Ontario alone, in connection with the improvement of the St. Lawrence for navigation and power, forms the subject of a separate study, at the end of this appendix.

COMPENSATING WORKS

172. COMPENSATING WORKS ON NIAGARA RIVER. It has been shown (paragraph 59) that the present diversions from lake Erie have lowered its level by 0.6foot, and that it may be lowered by a total of 0.7 foot after the new Welland Ship Canal is in operation. The compensating works herein proposed are designed to raise the low-water levels by 0.7 foot and the high levels a slightly less amount. The plans for the compensating works are designed to meet the winter ice conditions, and to fit in with works for regulating the outflow, should the latter be undertaken at some future time.

173. During the winter an ice sheet forms over the eastern end of lake Erie, up to the shoal water at the head of the Niagara river, but from these shoals to the Falls the river runs open. Winter storms telescope the ice sheet against the shores and shoals, building it up into thick masses, and occasionally

large areas of lake ice are broken up and driven into the river. The volume of ice set in motion at such times may be judged from the fact that in December, 1924, when the run of ice created by one storm jammed at the outlet of the Niagara into lake Ontario, it filled in two days the lower portion of the river to a depth of twenty feet or more for a distance of 7 miles, and backed up the water level at the upper end of the reach some 20 feet above the summer level. If a jam should form in the portion of the river above the falls during a heavy run of ice, it would cut off the water supply to existing power installations, and might so curtail the outflow from lake Erie as to cause a rise in lake levels that cause widespread flood damage. The Board regards it as essential that any compensating works now constructed in the Niagara river, and any regulating works that may be undertaken in the future, be so located and designed that the danger of an ice jam in the upper river will not be incurred.

174. Some of the plans heretofore proposed for compensating and regulating works in the Niagara River have placed these works just above the rapids at the head of the Falls. Since the level of the river at this point must be raised from 4 to 5 feet to produce the desired rise of 0.7 foot in the levels of lake Erie, the attempt to control the levels of lake Erie by works at this site would necessarily result in the slackening of the current through the pool by about 25 per cent and consequently increase the risk of an ice sheet catching across the river, with the consequent formation of an ice jam. The future development of such works into regulating works would entail a still greater slacking of the current, and further increase the hazard. Aside from the question of flowage of the low land bordering the Grass Island Pool, the works at its foot for the control of lake Erie are not regarded as advisable.

175. The construction of submerged rock sills in the narrow and swift portion of the river between Fort Erie and Squaw Island, as proposed in the Warren Report, would accomplish the desired compensation without interfering with the free passage of ice. Such works would, however, greatly increase the cost of a controlled enlargement of the discharge capacity of the river, should the installation of regulating works ever become advisable.

176. WORKS IN NIAGARA RIVER PROPOSED BY PRESENT BOARD. The site selected for the compensating works now proposed is therefore just above the contracted section at the head of the river. The construction proposed is shown on the drawing accompanying the main report. It consists of a longitudinal dike, 2,400 feet long, with a riprap weir 1,670 feet long connecting the upper end to the Canadian shore. The crest of this weir is to be but slightly below the river surface at low stages, thus securing at such stages an effective contraction by the longitudinal dike; but at high stages a considerable flow will pass over the weir, reducing the effectiveness of the contraction. The high levels of the lake will be raised by an amount somewhat less than the low levels. Four submerged rock sills are to be placed across the relatively deep hole in the main river channel opposite the dike, with crests at the ruling depth of this part of the river, which is 13 feet below the Lake Survey standard low-water datum. These sills are to have a stop width of 15 feet and side slope of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical. The works proposed will not interfere with the light-draught navigation which occasionally passes through this section. Ordinary commercial navigation will not be affected, since it passes through the Black Rock canal. There is no risk of loss of effectiveness from the scouring of the contracted channel, since the river bed at the site is generally ledge rock. The structures will not interfere with the free passage of ice, nor produce any slacking of the current in the main river channel which would tend to cause ice jams.

177. ESTIMATED COST OF PROPOSED WORKS. The estimated cost of the proposed works is as follows:---

Longitudinal dike: Cribwork, 22,360 cu. yds. at \$8.00 Concrete cap, 5,450 cu. yds. at \$12.00 Weir: Rock fill (up to 10-ton stone), 36,000 cu. yds. at \$6.50 Submerged sills (up to 10-ton stone), 17,450 cu. yds. at \$6.50	\$178,880 65,400 234,000 113,425
Engineering and contingencies, approximately 20 per cent	\$591,705 108,295
Total	\$700,000

178. EFFECT ON OSCILLATIONS AT BUFFALO. At various times in the past, objection has been made to the construction of compensating or regulation works in the upper part of the Niagara under the theory that this portion of the river now acts as a safety valve to check an extreme rise of lake Erie at Buffalo when westerly storms pile up the water at the eastern end of the lake. Computations show that the relief afforded by the increasing discharge of the Niagara river at such times must be quite small, and since the discharge will increase a little more rapidly with the compensating works than at present, these works will raise the extreme storm levels by an amount a trifle less than that by which they raise the normal levels. The storm levels will therefore be no higher than they would have been had no diversions been made from lakes Michigan and Erie. Even if the compensating works are eventually developed into regulating works, with a free passage substantially as wide as the present restricted section of the river, the effect on increasing the storm fluctuations of level at Buffalo would be negligible, if the gates were not opened to meet the storm rise; but by opening the gates, the present situation might be somewhat improved.

179. ADAPTABILITY TO CHANGING CONDITIONS. The degree of compensation afforded by the works herein proposed can be controlled, within limits, by the elevation of the crest of the weir. The computed crest elevation required to provide the desired rise of 0.70 foot in the levels of lake Erie is approximately elevation 570, but discharge determinations made as the work proceeds will permit adjustment of the elevation of the last portion built.

180. Should the diversions affecting lake Erie be reduced in the future to an extent such that these works would raise unduly the high lake levels, a reduction in the amount of compensation afforded can be secured by removing a portion of the weir. Should the construction of regulating works become desirable, sluice gates can be substituted for the weir to form a part of the control structure.

181. CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. The construction of any control works entails a reduction in the outflow from the lake while it is filling. If the construction is spread over two years, the reduction in outflow should not exceed 3,000 to 4,000 cfs. at any time; and such a reduction, if not made at the culmination of a low-water period, will have no noticeable effect on the flow and levels of the Niagara and the St. Lawrence.

182. COMPENSATING WORKS IN THE ST. CLAIR RIVER. As previously shown (paragraph 59), the present diversions and changes in the outlet capacity of the St. Clair river have lowered the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron by approximately 1.15 feet, and future extensions of the diversions may slightly increase this figure. The lowering has been in progress for many years, and 45827-8

has been in part discounted in constructions on the shores of the lake. The Board regards it as safe, however, to raise the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron by one foot.

183. The compensating works proposed in lake Erie will raise the water levels of lake St. Clair by nearly 0.4 foot, and, with the present river channels, would raise the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron by a little less than 0.2 foot. The compensating works proposed in the St. Clair river will, however, reduce this backwater effect on lakes Michigan-Huron to about 0.15 foot. In order to raise the levels of these lakes by one foot, it is necessary, therefore, to increase the fall of the St. Clair river by 0.85 foot.

184. WORKS PROPOSED ON ST. CLAIR RIVER. Compensating works in the St. Clair river must be designed with full regard to the great volume of commerce that passes through the waterway. To this end, and to permit of the future deepening of the navigation channels to the maximum extent now foreseen, the works recommended are a series of submerged rock sills, at the general locations shown on plate 20, with crests 30 feet below the low-water stage of the river. Eight of these sills are placed in the deep section of the river just below the gorge at its head, and are intended to compensate for the enlargement caused by gravel dredging in that locality, and to stabilize conditions in this controlling section of the river. A total of 23 more sills are distributed along the river from Port Huron-Sarnia to Marine City, at localities where the depth is in excess of 30 feet. The estimated quantity of rock required for the entire construction is 1,156,000 cubic yards. Since suitable rock for their construction is produced on a large scale for fluxing purposes, and is an article of the commerce of the waterway, it can be secured and placed at moderate prices. The estimated cost of the works is \$2,700,000.

185. It is recognized that the number of sills required to produce the desired results cannot be foretold with assurance, for data on the effect of such deeply submerged weirs is meager. A study of all available data, including the actual effect of the wrecks of the two schooners sunk near the head of the river in 1900, indicates that the desired results possible may be secured with a fewer number of sills. It is not considered that conclusive data can be secured by experiments with small-scale models, or by further observations on dams in other streams when deeply submerged by floods, for existing data indicates that the effect of such weirs depends on the local conditions of flow. The construction of the sills should be prosecuted consecutively, their effectiveness determined by discharge observations as the work proceeds, such changes made in the location of the sills subsequently constructed as is dictated by the results of these observations, and the work stopped when the desired results are secured.

186. CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. The filling of lakes Michigan and Huron by one foot will require a reduction in the outflow from these lakes by an amount averaging 8,000 cfs. for a period of five years. Since the full effect of the last weirs constructed will not be realized for some years after their completion, no violent reduction in outflow will occur if the work is spread over four years time. To avoid accentuating the effect on existing diversions on the lakes below and on the St. Lawrence, the construction of the compensating works should be suspended during extreme low-water periods.

187. ALTERNATIVE PLANS. The compensating works herein proposed run contrary to the controlled enlargement of the river that will be required should the regulation of its outflow be undertaken at some future time. For this reason the Board has given full consideration to a plan for effecting a part of the com-

pensation by closing one of the channels at Stag island by a dike that could be removed at relatively small cost if regulation works were undertaken. There is, however, a strong likelihood that the concentration of the flow in one channel at Stag island would result in the enlargement of that channel by scour, with consequent loss of effectiveness of the contraction originally secured; and the extent and cost of works required to prevent such enlargement can not be predicted with certainty. At the present time, north-bound traffic follows one of the channels at Stag island and south-bound traffic the other, eliminating any risk of collision at the particular locality. While it is true that the use of a single channel by both up and down commerce is not hazardous in any ordinary sense of the term, yet the volume of traffic is so great that the unnecessary introduction of any additional risk whatever is inadmissable. The desired amount of compensation of levels can be secured at substantially the same probable cost without discontinuing the present local separation of traffic, and it is clearly inadvisable to subject important present commerce to disadvantageous conditions on the slight chance that some money may be saved in the future by such a course.

188. EFFECT OF ICE GORGING. The ice conditions on the St. Clair river are the opposite to those in the upper Niagara river. As has been pointed out, the upper Niagara river always runs open, so that no ice gorging occurs. It is essential that compensating or regulating works preserve this condition, in order that the serious consequences of an ice jam may be prevented. The St. Clair river always closes in winter, with a consequent throttling of the winter flow. The effect of the diminished outflow is a part of the normal regimen of the lakes, to which all interests have adjusted themselves. Since, after an ice cover has once formed, any increase in current velocities tends to aggravate the ice accumulations, it is to be anticipated that the compensating works, which will cause local increases in current velocities, may increase the retardation of the discharge in winter. This effect will tend to increase the effectiveness of the works, and if found at all marked, can be allowed for by omitting some of the sills included in the estimate.

189. COMPENSATION FOR ENLARGED NAVIGATION CHANNELS. The deepening of the navigation channels in the St. Clair and Detroit rivers will tend to increase their outlet capacities and consequently to draw down the levels of lakes Michigan and Huron. To counteract this effect it will be necessary to supplement the compensating works heretofore proposed, in a degree depending upon the dimensions of the channel provided for navigation. The situation does not arise on any other of the lakes, for at no other outlet does an open deep-draft navigation channel pass through the portion of the outlet that controls the level of the lake.

190. The enlargement of the discharge capacity of the St. Clair river consequent to any channel enlargement that now can be foreseen is much less than is commonly supposed. The contracted section at the head of the river, which has a major influence on the discharge capacity, affords a navigable channel exceeding 40 feet in depth. The remainder of the river has navigable depths generally exceeding 30 feet, so that dredging will be required at isolated shoal reaches only. The excavated material can be disposed of most economically by placing it in the portions of the river that are larger than need be, so that a considerable amount of compensation will be effected automatically. The slopes of the river are generally so slight, and the enlargements required for navigation at the various shoal sections are so small in proportion to the present section of 45827-84

the fiver, that a convincing determination of the amount by which these slopes would be reduced on account of the dredging is scarcely attainable. A study shows, however, that an entirely uncompensated enlargement of the river to afford a navigable channel 30 feet deep with ample width for navigation could not lower the levels of lakes Michigan-Huron by more than 0.2 foot, and a channel 25 feet deep by more than 0.1 foot. After considering the compensation that can be effected by the dredged material itself, it is considered that the addition of 4 additional sills at a cost of \$400,000 will fully compensate for the enlargement of the St. Clair river required to produce a navigation channel 30 feet deep; a total of 3 additional sills at a cost of \$300,000 for that caused by a channel 27 feet deep; and 2 additional sills at a cost of \$200,000 for a channel 25 feet deep. The cost of compensating work becomes relatively more expensive as the amount of compensation of level increases. If the only compensation undertaken were for the increase in the present outlet capacity due to an enlargement for navigation, the cost would be but about a quarter of the above figure.

191. On the Detroit river, it will be practicable to so place the material excavated in the enlargement of the channel for navigation as to prevent any sensible increase in the discharge capacity of the river, and any consequent effect on lake levels. This course was pursued in the excavation of the Livingstone Channel, which is the most recent and the major enlargement of the river for navigation, and subsequent discharge measurements indicate that the desired result was accomplished. Most of the material to be excavated in this river is rock, so that the spoil will be suitable for the construction of contraction works, and there are sufficient sites at which such contractions can be made without creating conditions detrimental to navigation. The cost of so placing the excavated material is included in the costs of the channels hereinafter presented.

EFFECT OF CONTROL OF LAKE LEVELS ON COST OF INTERLAKE CHANNELS

192. The cost of improving the main navigation channels between and through the lakes, so as to provide the depths required in conjunction with the improvement of the St. Lawrence, obviously depends upon the levels at which the lakes are held. It is not possible to raise the lake levels sufficiently to eliminate channel dredging for this purpose; all that can be accomplished is to reduce the amount of excavation required. Furthermore, the cost of channel dredging will not be reduced in full proportion to the reduction of the yardage of material excavated, as the unit costs of dredging increase as the depth of cut decreases beyond a certain point.

193. The lake levels determined upon as datum planes for navigation channels with various systems of control are shown in the tabulation below. Those for channels secured by excavation only are the levels which would have been available during the navigation season for at least 99 per cent of the time during the past 66 years, had the present diversions and the prospective diversion through the Welland canal been running continuously during that period, and had the outlets to the lakes been in their present condition. In other words they are the monthly mean levels which past experience shows will be exceeded except during one month in a hundred and through the entire navigation seasons of eleven years out of twelve. They are based on the construction of the such relatively minor compensating works in the St. Clair river as

are necessary to preserve the present levels of lakes Michigan and Huron when that river is enlarged for navigation. The datum levels with the proposed compensating works are obtained by adding the amounts by which these works will raise the low levels of the lakes (paragraph 172 and 182). The datum levels with regulating works are obtained by again adding the reduction in the range of stage anticipated from the operation of such works (paragraph 125 and 159), it being assumed that the regulating works would be operated for the benefit of navigation under the program described and to keep the high levels of the lakes from exceeding the levels reached by the compensating works.

DATUM PLANES

Superior	Michigan and Huron	St. Clair	Erie
$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 0 \\ 601 \cdot 0 \\ 601 \cdot 4 \\ 601 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$578 \cdot 0 \\ 579 \cdot 0 \\ 580 \cdot 1 \\ 579 \cdot 4$	573.4573.75574.00573.8	$570 \cdot 25$ $571 \cdot 0$ $571 \cdot 5$ $571 \cdot 1$
601·6	579·6	573.8	570·8
	Superior 601 • 0 601 • 0 601 • 4 601 • 0 601 • 6 601 • 6	Superior Michigan and Huron 601.0 578.0 601.0 579.0 601.4 580.1 601.0 579.4 601.6 579.6 601.0 580.0	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

It will be noted that the proposed datum planes for channels without control works are generally lower than the datum planes now adopted by the two countries. The latter were fixed prior to the recent low-water period.

194. The cost of securing channels of 25, 27, and 30 feet depths, respectively, from deep water in lake Superior to deep water in lake Erie, at the lake levels indicated in the preceding paragraph, are shown in the following tabulations. These costs are based on the deepening of existing channels, with such enlargements and rectification as experience with these channels has proved necessary. The estimates for channels 27 and 30 feet deep include the cost of a new lock in the St. Marys river, with chamber 80 feet in width and 1,350 feet in length, and with 30 feet depth over the sills at the datum plane indicated. The Davis and Fourth locks, already built, will pass vessels of 23-foot draft, for which the channels 25 feet in depth are designed.

COST OF CHANNELS FROM LAKE ERIE TO LAKE SUPERIOR

May, in which not to seminate high writer returns the Otherse finds all crocks outflow during the early summer	Cost of excavation and lock	Cost of control works	Total cost
TWENTY-FIVE FEET DEEP— 1. Without control works	\$45,900,000 41,100,000 39,800,000 36,800,000		
TWENTY-SEVEN FEET DEEP- 1. Without control works. 2. With compensating works. 3. With partial regulations. 4. With complete regulations.	66,500,000 61,400,000 60,000,000 56,900,000	100,000 3,700,000 14,900,000 36,400,000	66,600,000 65,100,000 74,900,000 93,300,000
THIRTY FEET DEEP 1. Without control works	88,100,000 82,400,000 80,900,000 77,400,000	$\begin{array}{c} 100,000\\ 3,800,000\\ 14,900,000\\ 36,400,000 \end{array}$	88,200,000 86,200,000 95,800,000 113,800,000

195. It will be seen that the cost of compensating works will be more than counterbalanced by the saving they effect in providing the main interlake channels. Their construction will effect also a saving in the cost of such enlargement of the harbours on the lakes as is undertaken in conjunction with the provision of deeper main channels. The amount of such enlargement that will be regarded as justifiable can only be roughly forecast, but general figures indicate that the raising of the lake levels by compensating works may save \$5,000,000 in the cost of harbour works likely to be undertaken by the two countries.

REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ONLY

196. NECESSITY FOR PROGRAM OF REGULATION. All plans for the improvement of the International Rapids Section for the benefit of deep draft navigation and power include a major enlargement of the present control section at the Galop rapids, and the control of the outflow through the wheels of the power plants and the sluice gates of the dams. A program for the regulation of the outflow is therefore requisite.

197. A number of studies have been made by several engineers on the regulation of lake Ontario in connection with the development of power on the St. Lawrence and these studies have been considered by the Board. An examination of the duration curves of outflow through the application of the several programs to past supplies to lake Ontario shows that the benefit to power operation obtained by any of them is not great. The minimum flow is increased only by decreasing the outflow available for a major proportion of the time.

198. ENDS SECURED BY PROPOSED PROGRAM. The program herein presented by the Board is drawn up to secure the following results:—

- (a) To keep the fluctuations of the levels of lake Ontario within the levels that it has had in the past.
- (b) To maintain, without impairment, the low water levels of Montreal harbour.
- (c) To maintain low flows during the winter period December 15 to March 31, in order that the difficulties of winter power operation may not be aggravated.
- (d) To maintain flows during the first half of April no greater than would naturally occur, in order to avoid the danger of aggravating the spring rise during the breakup of the ice below Montreal.
- (e) To avoid any material increase in the amount and duration of the high discharges during May, in order not to aggravate high water heights in lake St. Louis during the Ottawa floods.
- (f) To hold back the natural excess outflow during the early summer months, in order to raise the ordinary levels of lake Ontario.
- (g) To secure the maximum dependable flow throughout the year for power operation.

199. SPECIFIC PROGRAM PROPOSED. The rule curves on which the program is based are shown on plate 21. The regulated outflow for any monthly or half monthly period is to be determined by applying to the rule curve for the month, the level of lake Ontario at the beginning of the period, as established by several gages, the discharge so found to be modified by a correction based on the mean level of lake Huron during the previous month. The controlling sluice gates are then to be so set as to maintain during the period the required discharge out of the lake, through the turbines and sluices.

200. LAKE HURON CORRECTION. The correction based on lake Huron levels is for the purpose of applying the forecast that these levels furnish on the supply to lake Ontario. The base levels of lake Huron are as taken as follows:—

18 April May June	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \text{ to } 1888 \\ 581.46 \\ 581.78 \\ 582.04 \\ 592.10 \end{array}$	After 1889 580.66 580.98 581.24	1860 t August	o 1888 2.13 1.95 1.73	After 1889 581.33 580.15 580.93 580.72
July	582.18	581.38	November 58	1.52	580.72

When the monthly mean level of lake Huron is above its base level for the month the regulated discharge from lake Ontario for the following month, as determined from the rule curves, is increased at the rate of 10,000 cfs. per foot of excess of lake Huron level; when the monthly mean level of lake Huron is below its base level, the regulated discharge from lake Ontario is decreased at the same rate. The correction is not applied, however, to increase the discharge during the first half of April, nor to increase the discharge during any month above 310,000 cfs. No lake Huron correction is made in the winter months, December to March inclusive, since such correction might unduly increase the flow during these months.

201. Thus, in June 1876, the mean level of lake Huron was 583.22 or 1.18 feet above the base for that month. The lake Huron correction for July, 1876 would have been 12,000 cfs. The regulated stage of lake Ontario at the end of June would have been 248.22. The discharge for July, from the diagram, would be 307,000 cfs. The correction would bring the regulated discharge to 319,000 cfs. The regulated discharge is therefore taken at the maximum of 310,000 cfs. The computations of the effect of the program of regulation are illustrated in detail in table 18, which shows the derivation of the regulated levels and outflows for the years 1860 to 1862, inclusive.

TABLE No. 18.—TYPICAL COMPUTATION, PROPOSED REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ONLY

Year	Month	Supply to Ontario (a)	Dis- charge (b)	L. Huron correc- tion (b)	Corrected discharge (b)	Storage for month (a)	Storage (ft.)	Level at end month
1860	June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	264 236 245 254 267 248	268 258 251 247 263 300 216	$ \begin{array}{c} +11 \\ +11 \\ +11 \\ +10 \\ +9 \\ \end{array} $	279 269 262 257 272 300 216	-15 -33 -17 -3 -5 -26 +16	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.19 \\ -0.41 \\ -0.21 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.06 \\ -0.32 \\ \pm 0.20 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 247 \cdot 28 \\ 7 \cdot 09 \\ 6 \cdot 68 \\ 6 \cdot 47 \\ 6 \cdot 43 \\ 6 \cdot 37 \\ 6 \cdot 05 \\ 6 \cdot 25 \end{array}$
1861	Jan. Feb. Mar. April May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	229 257 270 323 350 282 265 273 295 276 259	210 210 223 242 268 293 291 310 310 288 289 288 304 310 218	+ 9 + 9 + 12 + 13 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 15	210 213 242 268 300 310 310 301 303 303 310 218	+10 +19 +34 +28 +28 +10 +50 -3 -38 -36 -30 -38 -34 +20	$\begin{array}{c} +0.20\\ +0.24\\ +0.42\\ +0.35\\ +0.35\\ +0.12\\ +0.62\\ -0.04\\ -0.35\\ -0.48\\ -0.38\\ -0.10\\ -0.42\\ -0.32\\ +0.25\end{array}$	$6 \cdot 49 \\ 6 \cdot 91 \\ 7 \cdot 26 \\ 7 \cdot 61 \\ 7 \cdot 73 \\ 8 \cdot 35 \\ 8 \cdot 31 \\ 7 \cdot 96 \\ 7 \cdot 51 \\ 7 \cdot 13 \\ 7 \cdot 03 \\ 6 \cdot 61 \\ 6 \cdot 29 \\ 6 \cdot 54 \\ \end{array}$
1862	Jan. Feb. Mar. April May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	214 242 293 355 331 297 287 249 233 235 244 257	212 212 224 240 272 310 310 310 292 283 256 247 239 217	$\begin{array}{c} +11\\ +11\\ +11\\ +11\\ +10\\ +9\\ +9\\ +9\\ +9\\ +14\\ \end{array}$	212 224 240 272 310 310 310 310 310 292 265 261 239 217	$\begin{array}{c} +20\\ +2\\ 18\\ +53\\ +42\\ +22\\ +21\\ -13\\ -23\\ -52\\ -59\\ -30\\ -17\\ +9\\ +20\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.02\\ +0.02\\ +0.62\\ +0.68\\ +0.26\\ -0.16\\ -0.29\\ -0.65\\ -0.74\\ -0.38\\ -0.21\\ -0.11\\ +0.25\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.54\\ 6.78\\ 7.44\\ 8.50\\ 8.24\\ 8.50\\ 7.40\\ 6.66\\ 6.28\\ 6.07\\ 6.18\\ 6.43\\ \end{array}$

(a) In thousands of cubic feet per second. (b) In thousands of cubic feet per second per month.

202. RESULTS SECURED. The program was tested by applying it to the conditions that would have obtained from 1860 to 1925 had a diversion of 8,500 cfs. from the lake basin been continuous during the period. The resulting levels and outflows, month by month, together with the natural levels and outflows, are shown in table 19. The duration curves of outflows, and of lake levels are shown on plates 10, 11, and 22.

203. An examination of the results from the proposed program shows:-

al downed, to increase the dis-	ilma	Wit	hout :	regulati	on		LT DIT		
crease the discharge during any president is man in the white which extraction might pading	di	With actual version	s	cc d of	With ontinuou iversion 8,500 cf	s 1 s.	R	egulated	
Maximum level Lake Ontario at end of any month. Minimum level, Lake Ontario, at end of any month. Level of Lake Ontario exceeded 90 per cent of time during navigation seasons. Number of months in 65 years in which stage of Lake Ontario exceeded 248-1 (at end of month). Maximum monthly mean outflow. Minimum monthly mean outflow. Outflow exceeded— 90 per cent of time. 70 per cent of time.	248.79 243.42 245.0 26 318,000 174,000	(May, (Nov., cfs. cfs.	1870) 1895)	248.37 243.00 	(May, (Nov.,) cfs.) cfs.	1870) 1895)	248.95 243.58 245.6 20 310,000 182,000 203,000 212,000	(May, (Nov.,) cfs.) cfs.	1870) 1895)

204. The program would maintain the flow during the summer and fall months sufficiently to preserve completely the low water levels of Montreal harbour resulting from the unregulated flow. The regulated flows during the first half of April would not exceed, in amount or frequency, the unregulated outflow. The maximum regulated flow for May would not exceed that which has occurred in nature.

205. The regulation of lake Ontario, in such manner as to injure no interest, and at the same time to effect some improvement of lake levels and outflow, is therefore wholly practicable.

206. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The program for the complete regulation of the lakes, described in this report, was conceived and worked up by Mr. E. W. Lane, temporarily employed as Assistant Engineer, and placed in charge of the investigations relative to regulation. The program for the regulation with partial control of the St. Clair river, and the studies looking to raising the levels of lake Ontario, were conceived and worked up by Mr. F. G. Ray, Senior Engineer, U.S. Lake Survey, assisted by Mr. Sherman Moore, Associate Engineer. Mr. Ray's intimate knowledge of the behavior of the Great Lakes, gained by his long service in the United States Lake Survey, was drawn on throughout.

207. The program for the regulation of lake Ontario was formulated by Mr. D. W. McLachlan, Chairman of the Canadian Section of the Board. Minor modifications of the program were worked out by Lt.-Col. G. B. Pillsbury, that it might rigidly meet all of the requirements set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

TABLE 1-LOCAL SUPPLY TO LAKE SUPERIOR

In Thousand Second Feet

		D	Man	A	Man	Tuno	Tult	Aug	Sent	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
1945 - A. A.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April .	may	June -		Aug.					
	Jan. 16 12 -7 29 -10 34 -11 19 -45 4 9 -41 16 7 3 26 17 -10 81 26 17 -10 81 76 28 15 -18 -18 -15 -18 -15 -18 -15 -12 -12 -12	Feb. 40 9 40 9 48 23 44 40 22 28 24 24 25 -53 -13 7 26 18 69 81 31 - 2 -45 107 368 38 10 18 23 25 32 127 -5 - 58 -0 -18 41 42 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	May 168 235 210 90 129 236 159 200 142 159 200 142 253 212 127 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 159 200 142 158 116 189 209 107 171 142 299 203 112 91 142 142 142 168 203 112 91 142 142 142 142 142 142 142 14	June 129 162 90 122 222 174 262 112 156 89 123 192 192 192 192 192 192 192 192	$\begin{array}{c} July \\ 108 \\ 133 \\ 122 \\ 211 \\ 101 \\ 171 \\ 185 \\ 141 \\ 118 \\ 126 \\ 178 \\ 1238 \\ 126 \\ 112 \\ 168 \\ 178 \\ 179 \\ 133 \\ 115 \\ 108 \\ 171 \\ 130 \\ 104 \\ 151 \\ 811 \\ 149 \\ 154 \\ 150 \\ 161 \\ 101 \\ 99 \\ 138 \\ 121 \\ 129 \end{array}$	Aug. 112 91 151 205 111 122 97 97 102 328 123 119 157 145 133 155 121 84 38 76 88 135 121 92 126 114 145 135 121 92 126 119 157 145 135 121 92 126 119 157 145 135 121 92 126 119 157 145 135 121 92 126 119 157 145 135 121 92 126 119 157 145 135 121 92 126 127 145 135 121 92 126 127 145 135 121 92 126 121 127 145 135 121 92 126 121 92 126 121 127 145 135 121 92 126 104 69 76 88 126 104 104 104 105 126 114 126 104 104 105 126 126 126 127 126 127 126 126 127 126 126 127 126 126 127 126 126 127 126 126 127 126 104 104 126 126 126 126 127 126 126 126 126 127 126 126 127 126 104 167 127 126 126 126 126 127 126 104 167 127 126 126 126 126 126 126 126 126	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sept.} \\ 109 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 113 \\ 72 \\ 68 \\ 71 \\ 53 \\ 115 \\ 113 \\ 186 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 114 \\ 101 \\ 129 \\ 123 \\ 466 \\ 67 \\ 85 \\ 191 \\ 71 \\ 466 \\ 190 \\ 50 \\ 99 \\ 477 \\ 73 \\ 82 \\ 100 \\ 79 \\ 68 \\ 56 \\ 78 \\ 124 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct.} \\ 85\\ 58\\ -23\\ -23\\ -27\\ -37\\ -5\\ -23\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -37\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -27\\ -2$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Dec.} \\ 1 \\ -30 \\ 11 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ -20 \\ 63 \\ 5 \\ -22 \\ -58 \\ -103 \\ -83 \\ 20 \\ -33 \\ -83 \\ 20 \\ -33 \\ -83 \\ 20 \\ -33 \\ -83 \\ 19 \\ -10 \\ 55 \\ -44 \\ -11 \\ -14 \\ 52 \\ -47 \\ 1 \\ -31 \\ -47 \\ 1 \\ -31 \\ -47 \\ 1 \\ -31 \\ -8 \\ 9 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -8 $	$\begin{array}{r} \hline \textbf{Average} \\ \hline 90.8 \\ 94.7 \\ 88.9 \\ 75.5 \\ 65.8 \\ 95.2 \\ 98.7 \\ 86.1 \\ 88.2 \\ 100.2 \\ 57.8 \\ 85.7 \\ 100.8 \\ 96.0 \\ 92.5 \\ 97.9 \\ 109.3 \\ 73.2 \\ 56.3 \\ 54.7 \\ 100.0 \\ 99.2 \\ 75.5 \\ 71.0 \\ 82.9 \\ 73.6 \\ 71.8 \\ 74.5 \\ 101.3 \\ 65.4 \\ 72.9 \\ 54.9 \\ 60.5 \\ 85.8 \\ 97.8 \\ 74.0 \\ 72.9 \\ 74.0 \\ 72.9 \\ 74.0 \\ 7$
1896 1897		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 12 \\ - 6 \end{bmatrix}$	48 61 27	97 135 103	251 190 188	$ 163 \\ 194 \\ 230 $	$ 103 \\ 161 \\ 172 $	103 122	45 92	4 40	$-40 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ $	$\begin{vmatrix} -59 \\ -21 \end{vmatrix}$	68.0 77.3
1899	-26	35	66	182	282 114	213 126	159 179	149 232	95 197	45 103	37 25	$ -8 \\ -36$	102.4 86.0
Average-	-15	00.0	20.7	125 0	177.6	167.0	149.5	119.5	92.5	51.5	6.	2 - 7.5	82.3
1860-1900	. 1.2	20.0	09.1	100	141	170	100	81	62	71	11	-41	64.9
1901	$\begin{array}{c} -29\\ -28\\ -35\\ -6\\ -24\\ -6\\ -24\\ -6\\ -24\\ -26\\ -26\\ -24\\ -26\\ -26\\ -24\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28\\ -28$	$\begin{array}{c} -17\\ -2\\ -4\\ 22\\ -7\\ -15\\ 19\\ -2\\ -26\\ -24\\ -5\\ -9\\ -21\\ -11\\ -11\\ -17\\ -27\\ -44\\ -13\\ -27\\ -38\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -8\\ -$	$ \begin{array}{c} 24\\ 42\\ 74\\ 41\\ 87\\ 27\\ 46\\ 25\\ 25\\ 42\\ -9\\ 40\\ 70\\ 4\\ 5\\ 58\\ 63\\ 18\\ 43\\ 113\\ 40\\ 48\\ 16\\ -1\\ 32\\ 2\\ 38, 6\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 109\\ 125\\ 179\\ 112\\ 152\\ 121\\ 99\\ 115\\ 100\\ 102\\ 78\\ 135\\ 135\\ 158\\ 117\\ 88\\ 214\\ 98\\ 81\\ 115\\ 138\\ 85\\ 151\\ 153\\ 85\\ 74\\ 79\\ 0\\ 119, 1\\ 10, 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 141\\ 169\\ 215\\ 176\\ 149\\ 186\\ 174\\ 225\\ 167\\ 108\\ 175\\ 184\\ 187\\ 172\\ 264\\ 145\\ 166\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126$	$\begin{array}{c} 179\\ 163\\ 178\\ 150\\ 165\\ 15\\ 183\\ 221\\ 164\\ 91\\ 194\\ 140\\ 167\\ 150\\ 182\\ 210\\ 182\\ 210\\ 182\\ 210\\ 138\\ 155\\ 112\\ 170\\ 135\\ 105\\ 83\\ 131\\ 3\\ 154 \end{array}$	188 116 131 115 159 113 148 146 150 84 195 118 140 121 143 100 111 171 110 115 117 108 97 126-	81 84 103 123 96 167 75 118 86 155 97 103 140 88 108 45 72 79 78 133 59 9 101	$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 63\\ 106\\ 141\\ 129\\ 73\\ 131\\ 29\\ 63\\ 65\\ 77\\ 76\\ 133\\ 75\\ 140\\ 108\\ 72\\ 33\\ 32\\ 21\\ 54\\ 43\\ 4\end{array}$	71 55 66 108 8 8 40 -8 57 26 37 34 60 29 143 60 33 72 45 -12 38 -123 -122 38 26 172 -122 -1	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 36\\ -23\\ -2\\ 25\\ 17\\ -19\\ -23\\ -19\\ 13\\ -11\\ -23\\ 70\\ 70\\ 28\\ -13\\ 51\\ -23\\ 4\\ -17\\ -45\\ 5\\ -24\\ -16\\ -25\\ 6\\ 4 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} -41\\ -25\\ -39\\ -38\\ 9\\ 6\\ -48\\ -15\\ 38\\ -15\\ 38\\ -15\\ 38\\ -15\\ -38\\ -29\\ 10\\ -15\\ -38\\ -27\\ -29\\ 10\\ -15\\ -12\\ -52\\ -34\\ -29\\ -53\\ -49\\ -7\\ -19\\ \cdot 7\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19\\ -19$	$\begin{array}{c} 64 \cdot 9 \\ 64 \cdot 9 \\ 79 \cdot 3 \\ 78 \cdot 5 \\ 79 \cdot 3 \\ 78 \cdot 5 \\ 88 \cdot 1 \\ 67 \cdot 9 \\ 79 \cdot 1 \\ 63 \cdot 1 \\ 77 \cdot 2 \\ 41 \cdot 9 \\ 72 \cdot 7 \\ 67 \cdot 5 \\ 82 \cdot 6 \\ 56 \cdot 3 \\ 90 \cdot 9 \\ 102 \cdot 6 \\ 56 \cdot 3 \\ 90 \cdot 9 \\ 102 \cdot 6 \\ 56 \cdot 7 \\ 69 \cdot 6 \\ 49 \cdot 3 \\ 65 \cdot 8 \\ 41 \cdot 4 \\ 48 \cdot 8 \\ 42 \cdot 2 \\ 39 \cdot 9 \\ 38 \cdot 2 \\ 2 \\ 65 \cdot 2 \\ \end{array}$
1901-1925.	19.	0 - 8.	3 38.9	119.1	104.	101	120.	e 110	5 00	1 10	5 5	6 - 12.	0 75.9
1860-1925.	2.	9 13.	2 58.0	129.0	172.	6 162.0	136.	0 112.	0 80.	40.	0	-12.	10-5

TABLE 2-TOTAL SUPPLY TO LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON

In Thousand Second Feet-8,500 Second Feet Deducted for Chicago Diversion

				1									
	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
1860 1861 1862 1863 1865 1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1878 1879. 1880 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893 1894 1895 1898 1899 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 1898 <	$\begin{array}{c} 202\\ 197\\ 121\\ 202\\ 112\\ 1202\\ 112\\ 128\\ 167\\ 204\\ 128\\ 167\\ 204\\ 128\\ 167\\ 204\\ 128\\ 128\\ 123\\ 237\\ 154\\ 146\\ 79\\ 233\\ 130\\ 140\\ 110\\ 254\\ 213\\ 247\\ 140\\ 161\\ 181\\ 107\\ 200\\ 128\\ 182\\ 85\\ 252\\ 172\\ 103\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 196\\ 301\\ 198\\ 211\\ 239\\ 242\\ 326\\ 117\\ 276\\ 303\\ 119\\ 257\\ 244\\ 189\\ 276\\ 119\\ 257\\ 244\\ 189\\ 276\\ 168\\ 119\\ 26\\ 201\\ 226\\ 226\\ 226\\ 226\\ 226\\ 226\\ 226\\ 145\\ 151\\ 152\\ 144\\ 145\\ 151\\ 152\\ 185\\ 224\\ 131\\ 144\\ 214\\ 205\\ 161\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 225\\ 324\\ 321\\ 189\\ 192\\ 287\\ 278\\ 324\\ 349\\ 390\\ 390\\ 182\\ 378\\ 203\\ 272\\ 298\\ 182\\ 378\\ 203\\ 272\\ 298\\ 182\\ 378\\ 203\\ 272\\ 298\\ 182\\ 301\\ 272\\ 294\\ 222\\ 301\\ 236\\ 317\\ 220\\ 270\\ 159\\ 224\\ 249\\ 163\\ 294\\ 269\\ 207\\ 165\\ 278\\ 330\\ 199\\ 177\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 255\\ 331\\ 297\\ 251\\ 236\\ 331\\ 314\\ 226\\ 347\\ 380\\ 336\\ 336\\ 291\\ 452\\ 201\\ 452\\ 201\\ 223\\ 291\\ 223\\ 292\\ 223\\ 292\\ 223\\ 292\\ 223\\ 236\\ 336\\ 336\\ 193\\ 298\\ 299\\ 256\\ 338\\ 341\\ 248\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 262\\ 347\\ 302\\ 269\\ 317\\ 235\\ 290\\ 311\\ 301\\ 376\\ 313\\ 305\\ 330\\ 467\\ 272\\ 375\\ 440\\ 183\\ 325\\ 243\\ 396\\ 311\\ 288\\ 427\\ 292\\ 366\\ 311\\ 288\\ 347\\ 292\\ 366\\ 311\\ 283\\ 359\\ 302\\ 340\\ 225\\ 344\\ 348\\ 225\\ 344\\ 348\\ 225\\ 359\\ 255\\ 381\\ 256\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 262\\ 293\\ 293\\ 163\\ 300\\ 243\\ 408\\ 263\\ 232\\ 279\\ 350\\ 284\\ 423\\ 242\\ 255\\ 231\\ 383\\ 264\\ 298\\ 436\\ 236\\ 301\\ 216\\ 347\\ 286\\ 347\\ 324\\ 185\\ 333\\ 289\\ 279\\ 181\\ 185\\ 333\\ 289\\ 279\\ 181\\ 229\\ 296\\ 236\\ 338\\ 262\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 307\\ 189\\ 162\\ 128\\ 307\\ 260\\ 218\\ 111\\ 340\\ 213\\ 164\\ 187\\ 214\\ 187\\ 214\\ 187\\ 214\\ 187\\ 214\\ 167\\ 255\\ 362\\ 175\\ 288\\ 145\\ 200\\ 265\\ 362\\ 175\\ 288\\ 145\\ 200\\ 265\\ 362\\ 175\\ 288\\ 145\\ 184\\ 206\\ 213\\ 220\\ 185\\ 184\\ 180\\ 186\\ 140\\ 172\\ 229\\ 162\\ 265\\ 288\\ 145\\ 216\\ 157\\ 237\\ 235\\ 260\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 136\\ 209\\ 195\\ 129\\ 86\\ 175\\ 129\\ 86\\ 175\\ 129\\ 86\\ 129\\ 129\\ 129\\ 129\\ 129\\ 235\\ 211\\ 145\\ 223\\ 107\\ 130\\ 142\\ 137\\ 205\\ 186\\ 107\\ 130\\ 142\\ 137\\ 205\\ 186\\ 114\\ 244\\ 136\\ 135\\ 119\\ 164\\ 86\\ 86\\ 110\\ 141\\ 127\\ 84\\ 135\\ 225\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102\\ 119\\ 166\\ 132\\ 23\\ 116\\ 128\\ 50\\ 67\\ 79\\ 145\\ 136\\ 142\\ 134\\ 162\\ 94\\ 137\\ 134\\ 114\\ 162\\ 94\\ 137\\ 134\\ 114\\ 103\\ 88\\ 162\\ 155\\ 144\\ 47\\ 86\\ 88\\ 70\\ 67\\ 48\\ 88\\ 107\\ 47\\ 86\\ 187\\ 187\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 59\\ 140\\ 103\\ 82\\ 53\\ 7\\ 135\\ 5\\ 5\\ 102\\ 77\\ 16\\ 13\\ 86\\ 137\\ 133\\ 108\\ 178\\ 178\\ 133\\ 108\\ 178\\ 157\\ 95\\ 60\\ 278\\ 83\\ 110\\ 62\\ 122\\ 126\\ 122\\ 126\\ 122\\ 126\\ 85\\ 31\\ 100\\ 63\\ 88\\ 66\\ 168\\ 168\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 96\\ 120\\ 79\\ 171\\ 110\\ -30\\ 95\\ -15\\ 96\\ -53\\ 130\\ 79\\ 109\\ 136\\ 174\\ 111\\ 151\\ 99\\ 136\\ 174\\ 111\\ 151\\ 99\\ 136\\ 177\\ 88\\ 162\\ 94\\ 104\\ 71\\ 56\\ 75\\ 60\\ 40\\ 77\\ 52\\ 71\\ 62\\ 51\\ 111\\ 151\\ 115\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 135\\ 122\\ 153\\ 228\\ 87\\ 46\\ 57\\ 83\\ 131\\ 137\\ -1\\ 1\\ 8\\ 174\\ 115\\ 142\\ 80\\ 169\\ 48\\ 196\\ 128\\ 88\\ 142\\ 206\\ 128\\ 88\\ 142\\ 206\\ 182\\ 101\\ 117\\ 80\\ 159\\ 75\\ 173\\ 78\\ 146\\ 47\\ 139\\ 156\\ 100\\ 76\\ 55\\ 61\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 177\cdot 5\\ 234\cdot 2\\ 195\cdot 4\\ 187\cdot 0\\ 144\cdot 8\\ 181\cdot 9\\ 190\cdot 8\\ 173\cdot 2\\ 171\cdot 0\\ 212\cdot 8\\ 210\cdot 2\\ 152\cdot 9\\ 152\cdot 9\\ 155\cdot 0\\ 255\cdot 0\\ 173\cdot 8\\ 219\cdot 1\\ 249\cdot 8\\ 182\cdot 0\\ 173\cdot 8\\ 219\cdot 1\\ 249\cdot 8\\ 182\cdot 0\\ 173\cdot 8\\ 219\cdot 1\\ 249\cdot 8\\ 182\cdot 0\\ 233\cdot 2\\ 195\cdot 2\\ 155\cdot 2\\ 182\cdot 8\\ 185\cdot 3\\ 182\cdot 8\\ 182\cdot 8\\$
1860-1900	$162 \cdot 4$	207.8	251.7	302.8	318.6	$282 \cdot 4$	$213 \cdot 1$	144.5	100.0	9 0 · 3	84.0	$113 \cdot 4$	189.2
1901	$\begin{array}{r} 81\\ 37\\ 96\\ 116\\ 41\\ 200\\ 138\\ 88\\ 113\\ 88\\ 13\\ 88\\ 13\\ 88\\ 109\\ 86\\ 108\\ 153\\ 102\\ 131\\ 100\\ 65\\ 125\\ 57\\ 56\\ 124\\ 60\\ 97.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 134\\ 98\\ 189\\ 183\\ 101\\ 206\\ 147\\ 137\\ 157\\ 143\\ 124\\ 122\\ 139\\ 116\\ 178\\ 179\\ 147\\ 222\\ 159\\ 134\\ 122\\ 158\\ 108\\ 147\\ 153\\ 148\cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\\ 219\\ 273\\ 313\\ 251\\ 226\\ 224\\ 201\\ 209\\ 247\\ 182\\ 196\\ 350\\ 151\\ 132\\ 241\\ 280\\ 304\\ 270\\ 284\\ 280\\ 341\\ 280\\ 341\\ 196\\ 242 \cdot 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 283\\ 279\\ 277\\ 386\\ 348\\ 293\\ 290\\ 373\\ 366\\ 290\\ 278\\ 333\\ 413\\ 252\\ 173\\ 393\\ 352\\ 277\\ 348\\ 352\\ 277\\ 348\\ 349\\ 344\\ 414\\ 414\\ 414\\ 414\\ 328\\ 297\\ 189\\ 317\cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 269\\ 320\\ 247\\ 376\\ 359\\ 297\\ 316\\ 364\\ 378\\ 263\\ 364\\ 378\\ 301\\ 243\\ 414\\ 285\\ 301\\ 243\\ 301\\ 243\\ 373\\ 327\\ 299\\ 257\\ 236\\ 330\\ 293\\ 177\\ 312\cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\\ 324\\ 272\\ 316\\ 344\\ 247\\ 313\\ 304\\ 257\\ 190\\ 234\\ 308\\ 244\\ 278\\ 239\\ 377\\ 271\\ 188\\ 265\\ 163\\ 253\\ 245\\ 163\\ 2557\\ 245\\ 198\\ 269 \cdot 3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 238\\ 271\\ 215\\ 203\\ 232\\ 206\\ 202\\ 188\\ 136\\ 144\\ 220\\ 203\\ 198\\ 257\\ 216\\ 296\\ 172\\ 124\\ 239\\ 101\\ 202\\ 162\\ 245\\ 156\\ 202 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 165\\ 119\\ 194\\ 160\\ 177\\ 122\\ 166\\ 82\\ 122\\ 140\\ 127\\ 240\\ 127\\ 240\\ 129\\ 194\\ 97\\ 148\\ 168\\ 78\\ 163\\ 95\\ 125\\ 119\\ 181\\ 87\\ 138\cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78\\ 55\\ 189\\ 148\\ 97\\ 73\\ 135\\ 6\\ 26\\ 129\\ 118\\ 165\\ 74\\ 108\\ 103\\ 74\\ 75\\ 71\\ 75\\ 89\\ 111\\ 43\\ 101\\ 82\\ 36\\ 90\cdot 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 58\\109\\85\\102\\99\\55\\-20\\34\\80\\111\\129\\83\\47\\72\\174\\103\\104\\57\\81\\2\\-13\\39\\71.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51\\ 90\\ 20\\ 29\\ 75\\ 143\\ 58\\ 12\\ 123\\ 37\\ 136\\ 93\\ 19\\ 85\\ 205\\ 64\\ 168\\ 72\\ 61\\ 92\\ -13\\ 35\\ -20\\ 60\\ 73\cdot 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45\\ 64\\ 67\\ 125\\ 125\\ 121\\ 13\\ 112\\ 30\\ 149\\ 106\\ 90\\ 57\\ 104\\ 149\\ 106\\ 95\\ 104\\ 149\\ 58\\ 130\\ 61\\ 98\\ 95\\ 49\\ 58\\ 20\\ 100\\ 86{\cdot}5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 158\cdot3\\ 165\cdot4\\ 177\cdot0\\ 199\cdot1\\ 184\cdot3\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot7\\ 188\cdot6\\ 145\cdot2\\ 147\cdot3\\ 204\cdot3\\ 183\cdot6\\ 145\cdot2\\ 145\cdot2\\ 157\cdot3\\ 224\cdot0\\ 198\cdot6\\ 190\cdot3\\ 156\cdot5\\ 171\cdot8\\ 153\cdot8\\ 153\cdot8\\ 163\cdot4\\ 154\cdot4\\ 154\cdot4\\ 149\cdot6\\ 120\cdot9\\ 170\cdot8\end{array}$
1860-1925	138.0	185.2	248.2	308 . 2	316.2	277.5	209.0	142.2	96.4	83.2	79.9	103.2	182.3
				N						100 CO 100	and the second second	and the second second	and the second second

TABLE 3-LOCAL SUPPLY TO LAKES MICHIGAN-HURON

In Thousand Second Feet-8,500 Second Feet Deducted for Chicago Diversion

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Inn	Fab	Mar	April	Mov	Tune	July	Ang	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
		Jan.	reb.	Mar.	April	may	June	July	nug.					
	1860	108	108	138	162	160	156	94	28	- 4	-48	- 6	42	78.2
	1861	110	220	246	244	242	184	194	96	10	30	18	30	135.3
	1862	38	120	242	218	204	122	90	92	62 34	-12	86	146	103.2
	1863	38	162	122	166	240	82	44	2	-64	-28	34	16	67.8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1865	64	154	272	278	148	204	202	68	4	-94	-118	-34	95.7
	1866	-20	62	220	254	204	222	162	60	-54	-98	-108	-28	81.8
	1867	48	260	202	148	210	154	16	-48	-28	8	- 2	- 4	84.3
	1869	86	42	120	270	286	318	240	124	-50	-40	-16	38	118.2
	1870	118	196	268	298	220	1/4	74	-100	-232	-76	-58	-76	71.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18/1	72	52	120	230	248	194	92	64	32	-14	-150	-78	71.8
	1873	102	184	304	378	380	260	114	74	34	32	30	80	164.3 83.2
	1874	130	166	128	94	190	192	140	120	54	28	10	56	125.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1876	152	192	220	326	344	314	184	76	-28	- 6	28	-16	148.8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1877	64	88	100	148	98	152	112	38	42	82	84 30	-28	90.8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1878	64	92 62	140	168	180	164	94	56	42	22	82	136	96.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1880	124	108	154	240	326	296	162	52	-22	-28	10	44	$122 \cdot 2$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1881	158	236	142	218	266	178	110	48	128	174	- 2	34	110.3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1882	48	140	150	290	354	354	276	90	0	26	80	66	158.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1884	36	132	234	262	220	162	96	34	80	78	8	126	122.3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1885	178	152	166	271	286	135	196	147	59	40	-13	27	117.9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1887	178	267	155	174	212	166	94	8	-37	-47	-26	44	99.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1888	71	156	208	274	283	190	107	52	$ -10 \\ 7$	17	-18	80	111.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1889	89	81	92	126	224 272	265	120	50	14	9	-39	2	106.6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1891	48	90	191	237	154	115	85	47	-25	-60	7	110	83.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1892	138	97	112	202	282	261	162	89	12	-17 - 1	-10 - 4	10 81	123.6
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1893	121	130	245	277	265	191	96	- 4	-21	- 4	$-2\hat{5}$	-34	103.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1895	. 10	58	138	174	151	97	52	22	-44	-63	-33	58	51.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1896	. 131	73	98	198	248 280	210	138	34	-43	-24	-16	24	112.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1898	106	185	271	256	186	159	80	0	1	5	-25	- 4	101.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1899	. 58	138	133	267	299	249	141	39	-38	-29	-33	-34 - 24	99.2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1900	. 24	80	105	110	100	104	110	100	00	1.0	20		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1860-1900	. 87.8	137.4	183.2	232.5	237.8	195.5	121.0	50.3	5 6.	$0 - 2 \cdot 3$	- 4.4	32.7	106.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1901	. 1	59	180	213	193	169	151	75	- 9	-20	-27	-26	79.9
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1902	30	36	162	218	254	254	197	43	-22	34	15	-6 -7	96.3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1903	. 31	128	213	315	.300	234	118	73	60	11	-59	-25	119.1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1905	-37	30	184	274	279	261	144	89	8	-33	-14	41	102.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1906	. 118	129	152	217	215	161	117	34	-15 42	12	-30	39	100.8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1907	13	68	136	311	296	225	134	- 7	-80	-102	-68	- 2	77.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1909	. 46	97	156	312	319	189	115	40	-57	-46	50	33	104.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1910	. 12	77	185	230	201	121	85	65	56	49	74	92	110.8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1911	27	68	143	279	355	245	157	173	97	60	67	41	142.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1913	. 47	78	292	351	218	175	132	47	- 1	5	17	17	114.8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1914	. 15	48	86	188	173	167	125	116	27	- 3	8	31	85.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1915	83	110	172	319	356	278	117	- 8	-43	55	89	30	129.8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1917	. 11	59	194	262	281	306	209	72	0	13	-10 104	- 1	116.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1918	. 71	164	245	219	208	135	70	26	20	49	16	5	102.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1920	8	78	228	294	183	188	158	63	8	- 2	3	43	104.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1921	. 72	68	228	291	188	117	47	41	55	26	44	50	102.3
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1922	. 15	114	199	277	280	212	109	66	49	- 4	-14	8	103.2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1923	74	97	143	245	240	195	198	131	33	-63	-71	-30	99.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1925	. 8	102	144	135	122	145	103	30	-26	-27	- 3	41	64.5
$1860-1925 67\cdot 1 117\cdot 9 182\cdot 9 241\cdot 2 241\cdot 0 197\cdot 2 124\cdot 6 55\cdot 7 9\cdot 8 = 2\cdot 3 = 2\cdot 3 27\cdot 6 105\cdot 0 105\cdot$	Average- 1901-1925	33.	1 85.	9 182.4	1 255.	5 246.	3 199.	9 130.	4 64.	3 16	0 - 2	5 1.	1 19.1	1 102.6
	1860-1925.	. 67.	1 117.	9 182.9	9 241.	2 241.	0 197.	2 124.	6 55.	7 9	·8 - 2·	$3 - 2 \cdot$	3 27.0	6 105.0

TABLE 4-TOTAL SUPPLY TO LAKE ERIE

In Thousand Second Feet-8,500 Second Feet Deducted for Chicago Diversion

	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	
replayed.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
1860	$\begin{array}{c} 175\\ 173\\ 203\\ 261\\ 182\\ 135\\ 165\\ 145\\ 177\\ 235\\ 170\\ 165\\ 145\\ 177\\ 235\\ 170\\ 165\\ 145\\ 175\\ 237\\ 158\\ 219\\ 171\\ 230\\ 167\\ 237\\ 158\\ 219\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 174\\ 186\\ 214\\ 201\\ 198\\ 248\\ 190\\ 174\\ 161\\ 205\\ 155\\ 175\\ 194\\ 201\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 211 \\ 206 \\ 206 \\ 242 \\ 215 \\ 160 \\ 195 \\ 181 \\ 203 \\ 213 \\ 199 \\ 154 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 177 \\ 219 \\ 177 \\ 219 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 227 \\ 178 \\ 227 \\ 178 \\ 227 \\ 178 \\ 227 \\ 178 \\ 221 \\ 177 \\ 218 \\ 224 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246 \\ 246 \\ 244 \\ 246$	Mar. 278 287 275 239 240 242 238 257 234 233 253 179 290 208 244 225 209 208 244 220 222 246 223 259 200 208 244 220 222 246 223 233 253 179 239 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 240	April 285 310 301 246 276 273 247 253 271 245 270 254 213 311 230 237 282 244 266 234 266 234 266 282 290 239 257 229 266 282 229 266 282 244 244 244	May 250 267 257 261 244 253 261 244 254 254 254 254 255 229 247 230 247 232 247 230 247 230 247 230 247 230 247 230 255 280 255 280 255 280 255 280 255 280 255 280 255 280 290 244 229 229 244 237 237 236 244 229 229 244 237 237 237 236 244 229 244 229 229 247 237 237 236 244 229 244 237 236 244 254 255 257 237 237 237 237 237 237 237 237 237 23	June 220 229 241 218 237 256 227 218 216 227 218 230 237 256 230 227 218 219 245 232 228 231 245 231 245 236 237 226 230 242 230 248 236 202 269 214 224 218 196	July 209 224 222 217 189 204 203 188 236 229 207 193 218 212 201 212 2001 224 232 2247 213 232 220 2020 222 247 213 232 2201 242 210 184 185 211 184 183 173 195 181	Aug. 200 228 197 200 187 200 191 176 163 199 193 178 190 185 202 201 185 202 201 175 188 176 190 185 202 201 201 201 202 201 175 188 176 190 187 202 201 193 178 190 193 178 202 201 193 178 190 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 193 178 202 201 201 193 178 202 201 201 176 176 185 202 201 190 187 202 201 177 176 185 202 201 177 177 176 178 202 201 177 202 201 177 178 185 202 201 177 202 201 177 202 201 177 178 178 202 201 177 202 201 177 202 201 1775 188 176 176 176 176 176 176 177 177 202 201 1775 178 178 202 203 1775 178 176 176 176 176 176 176 177 202 201 1775 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 1	Sept. 184 209 188 170 178 139 204 160 176 188 163 166 168 159 172 195 172 195 172 195 177 217 195 179 159 169 148 155 169 148 155 169 148 155 169 148 155 169 148 155 169 148 155 159 159 159 159 159 159 159	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oet.}\\ 192\\ 213\\ 179\\ 161\\ 173\\ 164\\ 194\\ 155\\ 157\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175$	Nov. 197 213 194 173 194 173 194 173 194 165 190 46 166 190 183 157 148 207 154 197 208 207 154 197 208 205 201 172 172 202 162 193 170 218 187 193 199 191 199 163 160 178 177 197 197 208 205 201 172 172 208 205 201 172 172 193 170 193 197 197 198 205 201 172 172 193 170 165 197 165 197 208 205 201 172 172 193 170 165 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197	Dec. 186 208 237 179 168 188 153 153 153 153 154 245 160 207 174 214 189 230 149 240 165 199 186 223 199 233 184 193 159 215 166 185 175 188 193	Average 215-6 230-6 225-0 211-0 206-4 195-8 207-3 195-7 213-9 215-7 213-9 215-7 214-6 207-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-8 201-2 218-3 228-7 212-1 217-7 206-5 201-2 219-8 187-2 219-8 187-2 219-7 201-1 194-6 172-0 181-9 197-0 196-9
1900 Average—	198	219	226	221	215	197	194	180	163	160	174	180	187.3
1860-1900	189.7	201.7	235.2	254.0	244.8	226.6	206.9	189.5	174.8	169.9	181.5	190.9	205 • 5
1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1915. 1916. 1917. 1918. 1919. 1922. 1922. 1923. 1924. 1925. Average- 1901-1925.	$\begin{array}{c} 157\\ 152\\ 189\\ 174\\ 166\\ 206\\ 221\\ 212\\ 172\\ 172\\ 172\\ 177\\ 178\\ 238\\ 187\\ 154\\ 216\\ 126\\ 199\\ 167\\ 168\\ 205\\ 156\\ 184\cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 144\\ 158\\ 223\\ 222\\ 156\\ 178\\ 175\\ 211\\ 207\\ 204\\ 177\\ 176\\ 228\\ 161\\ 198\\ 217\\ 186\\ 216\\ 226\\ 147\\ 209\\ 175\\ 165\\ 179\\ 187\\ 189\cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 185\\ 219\\ 272\\ 284\\ 207\\ 200\\ 216\\ 226\\ 239\\ 208\\ 243\\ 209\\ 209\\ 191\\ 222\\ 206\\ 238\\ 255\\ 222\\ 248\\ 247\\ 215\\ 208\\ 221\\ 208\\ 221\\ 208\\ 221\\ 232\cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 198\\ 236\\ 260\\ 289\\ 261\\ 234\\ 246\\ 271\\ 261\\ 248\\ 229\\ 278\\ 324\\ 280\\ 197\\ 265\\ 289\\ 195\\ 287\\ 268\\ 276\\ 234\\ 245\\ 204\\ 253\cdot 8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201\\ 223\\ 214\\ 245\\ 266\\ 228\\ 246\\ 242\\ 277\\ 215\\ 230\\ 230\\ 230\\ 262\\ 211\\ 262\\ 272\\ 220\\ 274\\ 249\\ 231\\ 244\\ 223\\ 233\\ 175\\ 236\cdot 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 221\\ 244\\ 210\\ 233\\ 250\\ 219\\ 245\\ 218\\ 227\\ 199\\ 187\\ 208\\ 214\\ 213\\ 213\\ 247\\ 282\\ 232\\ 222\\ 228\\ 222\\ 228\\ 222\\ 228\\ 210\\ 223\\ 173\\ 221\cdot 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194\\ 243\\ 200\\ 204\\ 217\\ 210\\ 213\\ 205\\ 199\\ 186\\ 179\\ 198\\ 199\\ 190\\ 227\\ 200\\ 2244\\ 214\\ 198\\ 222\\ 189\\ 196\\ 180\\ 203\\ 176\\ 203 \cdot 4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 177\\188\\187\\188\\194\\190\\189\\186\\177\\178\\176\\206\\179\\183\\213\\167\\202\\204\\188\\196\\172\\185\\167\\178\\167\\185.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 164\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 182\\ 177\\ 196\\ 167\\ 146\\ 177\\ 180\\ 189\\ 165\\ 176\\ 182\\ 158\\ 186\\ 182\\ 158\\ 186\\ 182\\ 158\\ 163\\ 163\\ 163\\ 163\\ 161\\ 173\\ 149\\ 174.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 153\\ 182\\ 158\\ 169\\ 170\\ 191\\ 194\\ 148\\ 157\\ 167\\ 165\\ 172\\ 179\\ 150\\ 161\\ 170\\ 207\\ 189\\ 180\\ 177\\ 175\\ 149\\ 152\\ 146\\ 147\\ 168, 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 174\\ 171\\ 150\\ 162\\ 178\\ 216\\ 189\\ 150\\ 170\\ 161\\ 189\\ 173\\ 192\\ 163\\ 164\\ 179\\ 206\\ 205\\ 179\\ 193\\ 191\\ 151\\ 179\\ 193\\ 191\\ 151\\ 179\\ 141\\ 167\\ 175, 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 176\\ 172\\ 171\\ 165\\ 203\\ 236\\ 218\\ 184\\ 179\\ 165\\ 198\\ 220\\ 196\\ 168\\ 202\\ 192\\ 156\\ 202\\ 192\\ 156\\ 154\\ 210\\ 187\\ 173\\ 206\\ 162\\ 133\\ 185, 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 178\cdot7\\ 197\cdot1\\ 201\cdot0\\ 209\cdot5\\ 204\cdot2\\ 207\cdot1\\ 212\cdot3\\ 205\cdot1\\ 201\cdot3\\ 194\cdot8\\ 189\cdot4\\ 205\cdot3\\ 221\cdot4\\ 194\cdot3\\ 194\cdot8\\ 210\cdot0\\ 223\cdot3\\ 206\cdot0\\ 213\cdot6\\ 201\cdot2\\ 203\cdot0\\ 195\cdot8\\ 188\cdot3\\ 191\cdot3\\ 171\cdot3\\ 200\cdot8\\ \end{array}$
1860-1925	187.6	196.9	234.0	253.9	241.7	224.7	205.6	188.0	174.5	169.3	179.3	188.7	200.8
				1				1			1.5		200-1

TABLE 5-LOCAL SUPPLY TO LAKE ERIE

In Thousand Second Feet

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
1860	$\begin{array}{c c} Jan. \\ \hline \\ -31 \\ -23 \\ 59 \\ -14 \\ -22 \\ -19 \\ -29 \\ -28 \\ -12 \\ -30 \\ -30 \\ -14 \\ -33 \\ -31 \\ -35 \\ -26 \\ -30 \\ -14 \\ -44 \\ -44 \\ -14 \\ -6 \\ -44 \\ -14 \\ -6 \\ -14 \\ -14 \\ -15 \\ -26 \\ -30 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -26 \\ -30 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -26 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -26 \\ -11 \\ -15 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -21 \\ -28 \\ -21 \\ -28 \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Feb.} \\ \hline \\ 66 \\ 31 \\ 46 \\ 42 \\ 17 \\ 14 \\ 25 \\ 11 \\ 17 \\ 42 \\ 34 \\ -20 \\ 10 \\ 91 \\ -17 \\ 84 \\ -20 \\ 10 \\ 91 \\ -17 \\ 84 \\ -10 \\ 72 \\ 41 \\ 35 \\ 17 \\ 56 \\ 25 \\ 70 \\ 41 \\ 35 \\ 56 \\ 25 \\ 70 \\ 41 \\ 35 \\ 50 \\ 20 \\ 46 \\ -11 \\ 27 \\ 49 \\ 24 \\ 40 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24$	Mar	$\begin{array}{c} \text{April} \\ 94 \\ 106 \\ 97 \\ 48 \\ 90 \\ 84 \\ 73 \\ 66 \\ 91 \\ 75 \\ 76 \\ 50 \\ 40 \\ 137 \\ 35 \\ 48 \\ 76 \\ 64 \\ 48 \\ 54 \\ 72 \\ 56 \\ 44 \\ 63 \\ 86 \\ 90 \\ 38 \\ 62 \\ 58 \\ 90 \\ 38 \\ 109 \\ 62 \\ 36 \\ 60 \\ 78 \\ 72 \\ 69 \\ 51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm May} \\ \\ 45 \\ 57 \\ 45 \\ 57 \\ 45 \\ 34 \\ 66 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 31 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 26 \\ 57 \\ 72 \\ 83 \\ 41 \\ 56 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 40 \\ 70 \\ 28 \\ 37 \\ 85 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 71 \\ 93 \\ 57 \\ 28 \\ 60 \\ 54 \\ 45 \\ 37 \\ 40 \\ 57 \\ 70 \\ 57 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 57 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 7$	$ \begin{array}{c c} June & - \\ & 2 \\ 12 \\ 27 \\ 9 \\ 17 \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 54 \\ 19 \\ 22 \\ 34 \\ 28 \\ 15 \\ 17 \\ 26 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 75 \\ 13 \\ 38 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 18 \\ 54 \\ 49 \\ 13 \\ 92 \\ 24 \\ 41 \\ 18 \\ 25 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} July \\ -23 \\ 2 \\ 10 \\ 13 \\ -4 \\ 6 \\ 23 \\ -5 \\ 8 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ -6 \\ 5 \\ 48 \\ 26 \\ -5 \\ 8 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ -6 \\ 5 \\ 49 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 23 \\ 32 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ -13 \\ -19 \\ 14 \\ 11 \\ -10 \\ -5 \\ 277 \\ -10 \\ 21 \\ -21 \\ -10 \\ 21 \\ -21 \\ -5 \\ -27 \\ -10 \\ 21 \\ -10 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ -5 \\ -13 \\ -16 \\ -5 \\ -27 \\ -10 \\ -21 \\ -$	Aug. -28 2 -16 -1 -13 2 4 -19 -17 4 10 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -15 -25 -212 -26 -224 -255 -212 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -226 -224 -255 -212 -226 -226 -226 -212 -264 -255 -212 -214 -88 -275 -154 -15 -212 -264 -255 -212 -214 -154 -154 -154 -255 -212 -264 -275 -212 -214 -164 -376	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sept.} \\ -43 \\ -12 \\ -27 \\ -30 \\ -8 \\ 19 \\ -34 \\ -27 \\ -30 \\ -38 \\ -20 \\ -30 \\ -38 \\ -20 \\ -30 \\ -38 \\ -20 \\ -30 \\ -38 \\ -21 \\ -39 \\ -38 \\ -24 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -32 \\ -31 \\ -24 \\ -24 \\ -25 \\ -29 \\ -31 \\ -28 \\ -31 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -38 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -2$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Oct.} \\ -17 \\ -6 \\ -36 \\ -42 \\ -9 \\ -29 \\ 13 \\ -39 \\ -21 \\ -32 \\ -28 \\ -34 \\ -19 \\ -29 \\ -51 \\ -46 \\ -29 \\ -30 \\ -25 \\ -30 \\ -25 \\ -30 \\ -22 \\ -30 \\ -21 \\ -37 \\ -37 \\ -30 \\ -28 \\ -33 \\ -24 \\ -37 \\ -38 \\ -31 \\ -38 \\ -33 \\ -28 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -28 \\ -33 $	Nov. $\begin{array}{c} -16\\ -2\\ -15\\ -22\\ 12\\ -20\\ 8\\ -38\\ -13\\ -12\\ -31\\ -31\\ -31\\ -31\\ -31\\ -34\\ -34\\ -34\\ -11\\ -22\\ -6\\ -17\\ -14\\ -34\\ -11\\ -22\\ -6\\ -17\\ 0\\ -14\\ -219 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Dec.} \\ -13 \\ -2 \\ 32 \\ -23 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 17 \\ -16 \\ 0 \\ 55 \\ 2 \\ -31 \\ -9 \\ 52 \\ -34 \\ 15 \\ -31 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 45 \\ -32 \\ 39 \\ -29 \\ -13 \\ -19 \\ 10 \\ -11 \\ 4 \\ 10 \\ 56 \\ 6 \\ 21 \\ -12 \\ 38 \\ -16 \\ 24 \\ 11 \\ 14 \\ 19 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{array}$	Average 10.3 20.1 19.0 10.4 17.3 12.8 29.2 7.6 17.3 33.7 23.5 1.5 2.2 25.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 11.3 14.1 17.7 16.3 8.4 10.7 1.6 9.8 7.3 15.8 26.2 23.7 6 6 6 1.5 2.2 2.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 20.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 20.7 20.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 20.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 20.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 20.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 10.4 1.7 22.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 21.7 10.4 1.7 1.5 2.2 20.0 9.5 18.6 12.8 23.7 10.4 1.7 1.7 1.5 2.2 2.7 10.4 1.7 1.5 2.8 2.7 10.4 1.7 1.5 2.8 2.7 1.5 1.5 2.8 2.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5
Average-		32.1	57.4	69.4	53.7	27.3	4.	$3 - 12 \cdot ($	-25.3	8 -27.0	-13.	7 5.0	14.9
1860-1900 1901	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \cdot 1 \\ 42 \\ 41 \\ 108 \\ 95 \\ 58 \\ 42 \\ 52 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 71 \\ 55 \\ 49 \\ 100 \\ 255 \\ 94 \\ 41 \\ 71 \\ 73 \\ 48 \\ 29 \\ 84 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 84 \\ 29 \\ 84 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56$	$\begin{array}{c} 57 \cdot 4 \\ 59 \\ 47 \\ 112^{*} \\ 140 \\ 61 \\ 40 \\ 52 \\ 161 \\ 82 \\ 59 \\ 38 \\ 99 \\ 138 \\ 58 \\ 45 \\ 100 \\ 70 \\ 69 \\ 73 \\ 67 \\ 86 \\ 81 \\ 50 \\ 71 \\ 71 \\ 4 \\ 76 \\ 8 \end{array}$	69.4 77 63 84 112 70 48 60 88 82 65 58 110 146 107 31 116 104 100 81 86 100 64 100 64 100 688 84 444 444 444 100 884 84 444 444 444 88	$53 \cdot 7$ 31 40 32 54 71 26 49 46 92 53 36 47 43 82 40 81 71 20 78 63 51 60 40 40 41 60 40 51 51 60 40 51 51 60 40 51 51 60 40 51 51 60 40 51 51 60 40 51 51 60 51 51 60 51 51 60 51 51 60 51 51 60 51	$27 \cdot 3$ 23 58 26 35 49 17 45 16 35 9 4 225 32 329 55 81 24 24 24 24 24 237 19 28 236 10 36 10 32 33 34 34 46 10 31	$\begin{array}{c} -6 \\ 57 \\ 12 \\ 2 \\ 13 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ -2 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ -4 \\ 111 \\ 1 \\ 45 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -6 \\ 6 \\ 24 \\ 111 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -24 \\ -1 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -17 \\ -14 \\ -9 \\ -5 \\ -20 \\ -33 \\ -10 \\ -33 \\ -11 \\ -46 \\ -111 \\ -17 \\ -16 \\ -11 \\ -17 \\ -46 \\ -8 \\ -0 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -0 \\ -8 \\ -8 \\ -8$	$\begin{array}{c} -25 \\ -32 \\ -8 \\ -12 \\ -21 \\ -22 \\ -21 \\ -22 \\ -21 \\ -32 \\ -44 \\ -9 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -30 \\ -16 \\ 1 \\ -40 \\ -21 \\ -12 \\ -14 \\ -26 \\ -226 \\ -22 \\ -11 \\ -10 \\ 2 \\ -18 \end{array}$	8 - 27 - 40 -40 3 - 34 -31 -30 -1 -4 -43 -277 -17 -10 -18 -137 -18 -252 4 -133 -132 -137 -279 -299 -9 -5 -199 -5 -199 -5 -199 -5 -199 -199 -199 -19 -190 -190	$\begin{array}{c} -13 \\ -18 \\ -10 \\ -39 \\ -36 \\ -19 \\ 26 \\ -4 \\ -37 \\ -11 \\ -21 \\ -11 \\ -21 \\ -12 \\ -14 \\ -20 \\ -12 \\ -12 \\ -14 \\ 10 \\ -30 \\ 15 \\ -30 \\ 15 \\ -30 \\ 15 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -12 \\ -12 \\ -14 \\ -11 \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} 7 & 5.0 \\ 23 & 16 \\ -18 & 13 \\ 7 & 30 \\ 10 & 14 \\ 30 & 29 \\ 13 \\ 30 & 29 \\ 13 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 39 \\ 7 & 15 \\ -22 \\ 21 & 4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.9\\ 11.3\\ 27.7\\ 31.0\\ 29.8\\ 27.3\\ 22.4\\ 29.3\\ 31.0\\ 28.3\\ 21.7\\ 22.0\\ 32.4\\ 40.6\\ 22.0\\ 30.5\\ 35.8\\ 36.4\\ 21.7\\ 24.5\\ 36.4\\ 21.7\\ 24.5\\ 26.1\\ 27.1\\ 20.3\\ 18.7\\ 27.4\\ 17.5\\ 8\end{array}$
1901-1925.	45.	2 63.	4 76.8	81.9	51.	31.3	9	0 10	e 00	1 04	9 10	.0 0	7 10.2
1860-1925.	22.	6 43.	9 64.7	74.	1 52.8	28.8	8 6	0 -10.	0 -23	1-24.	2 -12	8.	19.0

TABLE 6-TOTAL SUPPLY TO LAKE ONTARIO

In Thousand Second Feet-8,500 Second Feet Deducted for Chicago Diversion

		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
		Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866 1867 1868 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1877 1878 1880 1881 1882 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 1889 1889	Jan. 229 214 246 198 260 189 219 193 207 272 213 166 184 266 185 226 211 234 163 250 194 231 204 231 265 243 187 231 265 243 187 207 214 207 207 207 207 207 207 207 207	Feb. 257 242 239 217 227 193 255 220 151 193 269 164 265 211 249 216 238 212 253 196 265 211 249 216 255 300 190 193 255 196 265 253 196 265 253 196 265 253 196 265 211 225 255 211 225 226 231 227 227 227 227 227 227 227 227 227 22	Mar. 270 293 268 243 224 238 217 282 266 194 275 268 235 268 235 244 252 275 268 235 244 252 293 224 235 243 259 243 270 238 269 238 269 243 244 275 269 275 275 269 275 269 275 275 269 275 275 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 244 255 268 235 235 244 255 268 235 235 244 275 275 275 275 275 275 275 275	April 323 355 320 285 265 285 285 285 287 299 357 302 238 287 295 265 265 263 281 281 291 311 292 263 291 310 272 263 292 284 248	May 350 331 312 288 250 283 300 283 300 283 302 284 284 284 284 284 284 268 259 269 269 269 269 269 269 269 269 269 26	June 307 297 283 292 275 296 295 280 295 280 295 280 295 279 261 248 262 277 254 263 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 267 275 254 275 254 275 275 275 275 280 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295 295	July 282 287 249 252 244 298 240 295 275 242 230 242 263 242 280 248 248 259 240 248 248 259 241 263 241 263 241 264 253 251 249 244 252 252 252 244 242 252 252 244 245 255 25	Aug. 265 249 243 235 216 254 230 275 246 220 230 232 228 243 222 250 219 214 208 249 249 249 249 231 230 232 232 232 232 232 232 232	Sept. 273 233 244 244 244 244 244 247 212 264 217 212 264 215 206 217 210 214 234 207 240 209 202 223 233 249 243 244 234 244 237 206 217 210 217 210 212 206 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 217 210 207 240 209 223 233 233 249 243 244 237 211 209 223 233 249 243 240 209 223 223 223 223 223 223 223 22	Oct. 295 235 234 246 223 246 252 231 205 210 217 208 211 241 208 239 200 212 217 208 231 208 239 200 217 208 231 241 208 239 200 217 209 233 219 217 209 233 217 209 217 209 200 217 209 200 217 209 200 217 209 200 217 209 200 217 200 219 233 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 253 230 221 219 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205	Nov. 276 244 249 263 222 244 181 228 254 198 200 200 200 230 230 230 230 234 226 209 242 221 234 222 235 232 235 235 235 235 235	Dec. 259 257 244 284 221 250 182 237 295 238 199 187 272 201 229 227 240 291 232 200 250 215 247 288 243 211 239 247 284 295 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	Average 282.2 269.8 261.0 262.2 243.5 245.8 245.8 249.3 235.3 263.2 267.2 267.2 267.2 267.2 267.2 267.3 223.4 223.4 223.4 223.4 223.4 223.5 229.5 229.5 255.2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1898 1899 1900 Average—	$ \begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 230 \\ 181 \\ 211 \\ 173 \\ 217 \\ 195 \\ 214 \\ \end{array} $	181 189 207 164 208 191 235 195 216	240 235 190 223 230 248 234 227	$ \begin{array}{r} 243 \\ 316 \\ 252 \\ 242 \\ 262 \\ 264 \\ 259 \\ 265 \\ 268 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 250\\ 322\\ 276\\ 220\\ 227\\ 256\\ 249\\ 253\\ 246 \end{array}$	272 273 202 215 245 233 243 236	236 224 189 207 233 211 213 227	233 222 197 175 194 213 199 187 206	218 201 172 179 174 192 180 194	203 202 203 158 181 179 205 185 195	208 193 176 190 203 216 198 218	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 232\\ 194\\ 201\\ 191\\ 217\\ 218\\ 215\\ 225\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220\cdot 3\\ 236\cdot 0\\ 223\cdot 8\\ 189\cdot 2\\ 207\cdot 3\\ 214\cdot 8\\ 223\cdot 5\\ 213\cdot 6\\ 222\cdot 7\end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1861-1900	$214 \cdot 6$	220.6	$250 \cdot 2$	289.5	$282 \cdot 2$	$270 \cdot 2$	$250 \cdot 2$	$228 \cdot 1$	$218 \cdot 3$	215.7	223.6	$232 \cdot 5$	241.3
1861-1925 210.6 216.6 247.8 286.6 277.0 265.4 246.6 225.4 215.3 212.8 218.4 2226.0 237.4	1901	$\begin{array}{c} 191\\ 191\\ 217\\ 183\\ 181\\ 2337\\ 244\\ 192\\ 190\\ 201\\ 190\\ 201\\ 256\\ 211\\ 204\\ 229\\ 192\\ 192\\ 192\\ 192\\ 183\\ 214\\ 163\\ 204\cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 184\\ 193\\ 237\\ 229\\ 181\\ 208\\ 216\\ 241\\ 215\\ 219\\ 196\\ 193\\ 204\\ 214\\ 201\\ 233\\ 218\\ 219\\ 201\\ 231\\ 219\\ 201\\ 197\\ 196\\ 215\\ 210\cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 234\\ 248\\ 273\\ 283\\ 221\\ 220\\ 236\\ 244\\ 244\\ 218\\ 246\\ 276\\ 237\\ 208\\ 240\\ 253\\ 274\\ 240\\ 253\\ 274\\ 219\\ 259\\ 234\\ 216\\ 249\\ 244 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 294\\ 253\\ 286\\ 258\\ 329\\ 276\\ 258\\ 277\\ 320\\ 298\\ 262\\ 248\\ 304\\ 322\\ 300\\ 217\\ 311\\ 296\\ 279\\ 281\\ 282\\ 261\\ 273\\ 243\\ 281 \cdot 8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 256\\ 241\\ 257\\ 302\\ 258\\ 249\\ 270\\ 313\\ 304\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 224\\ 254\\ 320\\ 274\\ 254\\ 329\\ 231\\ 262\\ 275\\ 221\\ 255\\ 275\\ 221\\ 268\cdot 7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 238\\ 258\\ 256\\ 252\\ 284\\ 276\\ 252\\ 286\\ 264\\ 242\\ 228\\ 274\\ 242\\ 228\\ 274\\ 242\\ 242\\ 245\\ 219\\ 307\\ 295\\ 248\\ 297\\ 295\\ 234\\ 239\\ 267\\ 234\\ 239\\ 267\\ 243\\ 247\\ 208\\ 257\cdot 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 268\\ 253\\ 269\\ 242\\ 259\\ 242\\ 2259\\ 242\\ 228\\ 228\\ 2211\\ 231\\ 249\\ 225\\ 234\\ 257\\ 233\\ 234\\ 250\\ 220\\ 251\\ 236\\ 220\\ 251\\ 231\\ 199\\ 240\cdot 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 234\\ 232\\ 246\\ 210\\ 232\\ 229\\ 219\\ 219\\ 217\\ 195\\ 227\\ 2217\\ 221\\ 220\\ 239\\ 217\\ 223\\ 231\\ 247\\ 223\\ 231\\ 222\\ 201\\ 215\\ 195\\ 216\\ 190\\ 221\cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 196\\ 212\\ 233\\ 231\\ 235\\ 200\\ 230\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 200\\ 226\\ 211\\ 211\\ 211\\ 211\\ 211\\ 230\\ 225\\ 215\\ 201\\ 187\\ 208\\ 184\\ 210\cdot 4 \end{array}$	$185 \\ 209 \\ 207 \\ 218 \\ 219 \\ 220 \\ 238 \\ 197 \\ 198 \\ 202 \\ 194 \\ 231 \\ 215 \\ 196 \\ 204 \\ 199 \\ 243 \\ 229 \\ 216 \\ 217 \\ 199 \\ 216 \\ 217 \\ 197 \\ 198 \\ 181 \\ 197 \\ 191 \\ 208 \cdot 0$	$197\\ 204\\ 200\\ 197\\ 217\\ 238\\ 199\\ 196\\ 199\\ 210\\ 239\\ 226\\ 196\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 242\\ 235\\ 215\\ 242\\ 235\\ 199\\ 184\\ 214\\ 215\\ 184\\ 214\\ 210\cdot 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 215\\ 191\\ 196\\ 234\\ 255\\ 259\\ 197\\ 205\\ 199\\ 222\\ 260\\ 220\\ 220\\ 220\\ 238\\ 204\\ 220\\ 238\\ 204\\ 239\\ 210\\ 184\\ 179\\ 207\\ 224\\ 179\\ 207\\ 215\cdot 6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 218 \cdot 0 \\ 227 \cdot 2 \\ 236 \cdot 8 \\ 246 \cdot 8 \\ 234 \cdot 4 \\ 232 \cdot 0 \\ 245 \cdot 6 \\ 245 \cdot 5 \\ 231 \cdot 7 \\ 222 \cdot 7 \\ 211 \cdot 8 \\ 243 \cdot 8 \\ 249 \cdot 9 \\ 224 \cdot 9 \\$
	1861-1925	210.6	216.6	247.8	286.6	277.0	265.4	246.6	225.4	215.3	212.8	218.4	2226.0	237.4

TABLE 7-LOCAL SUPPLY TO LAKE ONTARIO

In Thousand Second Feet

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Average
1861	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ -8\\ 24\\ 7\\ 70\\ 6\\ 20\\ 15\\ 24\\ 62\\ 13\\ -14\\ 156\\ -11\\ 56\\ -11\\ 56\\ -11\\ 56\\ -11\\ 56\\ -11\\ 30\\ 39\\ 39\\ -17\\ 25\\ 51\\ 19\\ -4\\ 33\\ -10\\ 30\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 25\\ 12\\ 20\\ 50\\ 7\\ 65\\ 12\\ 22\\ 41\\ 27\\ -22\\ 0\\ 54\\ 10\\ 36\\ 18\\ 36\\ 28\\ 9\\ -4\\ 52\\ -10\\ 47\\ 87\\ -4\\ 14\\ 150\\ 56\\ 10\\ 13\\ 19\\ -7\\ 35\\ 51\\ 51\\ 31\\ 31\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 75\\ 40\\ 59\\ 59\\ 33\\ 101\\ 56\\ 24\\ 71\\ 64\\ 22\\ 101\\ 56\\ 52\\ 66\\ 57\\ 56\\ 66\\ 57\\ 56\\ 66\\ 57\\ 56\\ 66\\ 57\\ 56\\ 40\\ 62\\ 50\\ 30\\ 74\\ 28\\ 77\\ 56\\ 41\\ 37\\ 52\\ 20\\ 57\\ 46\\ 55\\ 37\\ 38\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 94\\ 117\\ 99\\ 113\\ 84\\ 62\\ 131\\ 86\\ 100\\ 132\\ 89\\ 60\\ 143\\ 47\\ 84\\ 104\\ 75\\ 59\\ 74\\ 53\\ 56\\ 50\\ 73\\ 80\\ 85\\ 99\\ 81\\ 65\\ 72\\ 78\\ 85\\ 99\\ 81\\ 65\\ 72\\ 78\\ 85\\ 99\\ 81\\ 65\\ 72\\ 78\\ 87\\ 67\\ 54\\ 73\\ 73\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 110\\ 85\\ 76\\ 107\\ 75\\ 92\\ 91\\ 65\\ 56\\ 66\\ 48\\ 58\\ 78\\ 47\\ 49\\ 57\\ 53\\ 43\\ 57\\ 96\\ 50\\ 90\\ 65\\ 75\\ 41\\ 65\\ 87\\ 52\\ 47\\ 110\\ 71\\ 38\\ 40\\ 51\\ 41\\ 57\\ 43\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64\\ 52\\ 51\\ 66\\ 62\\ 81\\ 69\\ 60\\ 76\\ 49\\ 41\\ 52\\ 43\\ 56\\ 440\\ 34\\ 451\\ 39\\ 58\\ 9\\ 35\\ 60\\ 34\\ 37\\ 39\\ 36\\ 39\\ 31\\ 62\\ 50\\ 58\\ 89\\ 31\\ 62\\ 50\\ 58\\ 89\\ 31\\ 62\\ 50\\ 58\\ 80\\ 33\\ 640\\ 34\\ 40\\ 34\\ 62\\ 50\\ 58\\ 80\\ 38\\ 26\\ 40\\ 34\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80$	$\begin{array}{c} 47\\ 42\\ 20\\ 32\\ 33\\ 29\\ 23\\ 68\\ 46\\ 23\\ 33\\ 39\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 29\\ 20\\ 21\\ 26\\ 59\\ 34\\ 40\\ 18\\ 16\\ 27\\ 44\\ 22\\ 23\\ 46\\ 18\\ 13\\ 7\\ 16\\ 28\\ 6\\ 13\\ 24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27\\14\\15\\22\\8\\44\\16\\23\\51\\12\\25\\14\\13\\17\\5\\57\\12\\-1\\-\\8\\15\\24\\19\\17\\0\\1\\9\\13\\20\\17\\-\\-\\2\\10\\5\\-\\8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 6\\ 28\\ 35\\ 9\\ 7\\ 12\\ 10\\ 48\\ 11\\ 5\\ 16\\ 9\\ 2\\ 6\\ -1\\ -9\\ 19\\ 9\\ 9\\ -3\\ -4\\ 12\\ 17\\ 21\\ -1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 24\\ -9\\ 20\\ 3\\ -8\\ -7\\ -22\\ -8\\ -1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68\\ 16\\ 27\\ 45\\ 21\\ 32\\ -5\\ 16\\ 46\\ 10\\ 23\\ 16\\ 10\\ 126\\ 415\\ -10\\ 66\\ 24\\ 15\\ -10\\ 66\\ 14\\ 3\\ 7\\ 3\\ 55\\ 3\\ 8\\ -18\\ 2\\ -7\\ 14\\ 4\\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47\\ 32\\ 51\\ 66\\ 29\\ -5\\ 40\\ 57\\ 17\\ 7\\ 23\\ 43\\ 11\\ 12\\ 25\\ 71\\ 27\\ 27\\ 0\\ 27\\ 19\\ 43\\ 28\\ 7\\ 24\\ 237\\ 4\\ 15\\ 13\\ 1\\ 12\\ 9\\ 16\\ 21\\ 18\\ 26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38\\ 46\\ 45\\ 86\\ 30\\ 40\\ 55\\ 90\\ 34\\ 16\\ 13\\ 70\\ 16\\ 30\\ 11\\ 34\\ 83\\ 41\\ 10\\ 45\\ 133\\ 38\\ 62\\ 30\\ 79\\ 20\\ 812\\ 36\\ 6\\ 30\\ 15\\ 28\\ 25\\ 35\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56\cdot 9\\ 41\cdot 8\\ 39\cdot 9\\ 54\cdot 8\\ 44\cdot 2\\ 42\cdot 7\\ 45\cdot 4\\ 49\cdot 0\\ 58\cdot 4\\ 49\cdot 0\\ 31\cdot 0\\ 22\cdot 9\\ 47\cdot 5\\ 34\cdot 0\\ 27\cdot 3\\ 41\cdot 7\\ 24\cdot 4\\ 42\cdot 6\\ 30\cdot 1\\ 28\cdot 9\\ 25\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 9\\ 36\cdot 0\\ 37\cdot 4\\ 41\cdot 3\\ 30\cdot 3\\ 39\cdot 4\\ 41\cdot 3\\ 30\cdot 3\\ 22\cdot 1\\ 37\cdot 0\\ 44\cdot 3\\ 30\cdot 3\\ 22\cdot 1\\ 37\cdot 0\\ 44\cdot 3\\ 30\cdot 3\\ 22\cdot 4\\ 37\cdot 7\\ 25\cdot 9\\ 25\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 5\\ 21\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 5\\ 21\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 5\\ 21\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 5\\ 21\cdot 8\\ 26\cdot 3\\ 23\cdot 3\\ 28\cdot 6\\ \end{array}$
Average— 1861–1900	. 18.1	27.0	52.9	81.4	66.6	50 . 2	30.7	13.2	9.0	13.3	25.6	34.9	$35 \cdot 2$
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 Average— 1901-1925	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 10\\ 24\\ 48\\ 46\\ 1\\ 13\\ 6\\ 34\\ 41\\ 18\\ 32\\ 14\\ 15\\ 31\\ 9\\ 32\\ 14\\ 11\\ 38\\ 9\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 19\\ 17\\ 19\\ 12\\ 47\\ 32\\ 12\\ 47\\ 3\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	66 75 90 41 31 36 51 53 59 40 68 69 52 22 41 61 70 38 42 60 70 54 41 8 55 55 68 68 59 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	120 70 73 114 86 62 65 97 99 64 102 82 99 64 102 82 99 32 101 86 78 79 65 67 101 73 80 61	79 51 44 77 57 47 54 88 55 44 46 47 34 98 52 52 96 29 64 29 64 64 64 64 64 85 55 52 96 29 52 96 29 52 36 29 52 52 52 52 52 52 53 52 53 52 53 52 53 54 54 55 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 61\\ 40\\ 54\\ 59\\ 44\\ 39\\ 57\\ 42\\ 33\\ 31\\ 66\\ 34\\ 27\\ 25\\ 80\\ 61\\ 39\\ 61\\ 25\\ 19\\ 50\\ 43\\ 40\\ 47\\ 45\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 58\\ 38\\ 38\\ 36\\ 32\\ 22\\ 21\\ 16\\ 23\\ 16\\ 13\\ 32\\ 31\\ 42\\ 20\\ 18\\ 22\\ 20\\ 18\\ 22\\ 20\\ 18\\ 22\\ 18\\ 1\\ 22\\ 18\\ 1\\ 22\\ 18\\ 1\\ 26\\ . \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 10\\ 27\\ 16\\ -1\\ -3\\ 17\\ -15\\ -1\\ 15\\ -12\\ 4\\ 10\\ -6\\ 9\\ -10\\ -2\\ 0\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 6\\ 5\\ -1\\ 0\\ -5\\ -1\\ 0\\ -6\\ -5\\ -1\\ 0\\ -6\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5\\ -5$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\6\\3\\10\\10\\19\\22\\-5\\-1\\5\\6\\28\\10\\-2\\2\\-13\\18\\222\\6\\14\\-2\\4\\8\\6\\.6\\.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16\\ 4\\ 4\\ -6\\ 16\\ 33\\ 24\\ -2\\ 6\\ 6\\ 16\\ 32\\ 17\\ -4\\ 4\\ 1\\ 20\\ 23\\ 3\\ 22\\ 8\\ -15\\ 16\\ -8\\ 36\\ 9\\ 10\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 25\\ -5\\ -3\\ 30\\ 50\\ 48\\ -1\\ 20\\ 10\\ 27\\ 56\\ 12\\ 24\\ 24\\ 4\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ -2\\ 30\\ 6\\ -2\\ 35\\ -7\\ 29\\ 9\\ 18 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36 \cdot 6 \\ 34 \cdot 9 \\ 32 \cdot 7 \\ 39 \cdot 2 \\ 32 \cdot 2 \\ 29 \cdot 9 \\ 30 \cdot 6 \\ 30 \cdot 3 \\ 23 \cdot 3 \\ 43 \cdot 3 \\ 30 \cdot 2 \\ 22 \cdot 3 \\ 33 \cdot 3 \\ 30 \cdot 2 \\ 22 \cdot 2 \\ 23 \cdot 3 \\ 30 \cdot 6 \\ 32 \cdot 8 \\ 26 \cdot 6 \\ 22 \cdot 2 \\ 18 \cdot 5 \\ 26 \cdot 8 \\ 26 \cdot 0 \\ 23 \cdot 4 \\ 31 \cdot 5 \\ 29 \cdot 4 \end{array}$
1861-1925	15.	1 25.	0 53.7	7 81.	1 64.0	48.	2 29.	2 12.	5 7.	6 10.	8 19.	9 28.	6 33.0

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
	Month	ly mean	First of mean	Monthly mean	First of mean	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1860—						
January	$602 \cdot 69$	94			602.80	81
February	$602 \cdot 44$	88			$602 \cdot 61$	80
April	602.42	87			602.48	103
May	602.92	93	•••••	•••••	$602 \cdot 56$ $602 \cdot 72$	120
June	603.09	102			602.87	122
July	603.05	106			602.88	83
August	$603 \cdot 10$	108			602.96	109
September	603.08	106			$602 \cdot 97$	109
November	$603 \cdot 12$	107	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		602.97	109
December	602.60	03	•••••		602.90	100
1861—		00			002.02	105
January	$602 \cdot 40$	87			602.32	78
February	$602 \cdot 15$	81			$602 \cdot 13$	76
March	602.01	78			601.93	51
May	602.05	87	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		$602 \cdot 14$	79
June	603.20	109	•••••		603.02	122
July	603.36	113			603.12	125
August	$603 \cdot 32$	113			$603 \cdot 15$	127
September	$603 \cdot 23$	109			$603 \cdot 25$	112
November	602.02	110			603.20	111
December	602.54	102			602.66	108
1862—	002 01	02			002.00	105
January	$602 \cdot 19$	83			602.27	51
February	$602 \cdot 00$	78			602.09	51
March	602.03	79			602.07	51
May	602.09	79	•••••	•••••	602.20	79
June	602.76	99		•••••	602.84	120
July	602.73	99			602.74	82
August	$602 \cdot 90$	103			602.87	109
September	603.02	104			603.00	109
November	602.95	103			603.00	108
December	602.35	87		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	602.19	105
1863—		0.			002.40	101
January	$602 \cdot 16$	82			602.19	51
February	602.03	79			602.12	76
April	601.00	75 75			601.95	51
May	602.03	81			602 12	51
June	601.95	80			602.23	51
July	$602 \cdot 09$	84			602.34	52
August	602.71	99			602.82	109
September	602.73	98			$603 \cdot 11$	110
November	602.21	94	•••••		603.00	108
December	602.10	82	•••••••••••••		602.10	104
1864—	00-10	02			002.41	101
January	601.81	74			602.15	51
February	601.60	69			601.97	51
April	601.60	70	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		601.95	51
May	601.85	70	••••••	••••••	602.05	51
	001.00 1		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		002.19	52

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland†Canal complete	
Carried Married	Monthly	y mean	First of month	Monthly mean*	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
				100		
1804— Juno	602.00	81			$602 \cdot 43$	52
July.	602.09	84			$602 \cdot 63$	81
August	$602 \cdot 10$	84			602.69	81
September	602.25	86			602-64	100
October	601.99	81			602.35	100
December	601.65	70			602.10	76
1865-	001 00					
January	$601 \cdot 47$	66			601.93	51
February	$601 \cdot 46$	65			601.88	51
March	$601 \cdot 33$	62			602.03	51
April	602.26	87			602.55	121
Juno	602.67	96			602.90	125
July	602.99	105			603.18	127
August	603.07	107			603.31	128
September	$603 \cdot 08$	106			603.30	112
October	602.87	101			602.78	103
November	602.34	88			602.31	99
1966	002.03	00				
January	601.74	72			601.96	51
February	$601 \cdot 53$	67			601.77	50
March	601.53	67			601.08	51
April	601.98	11			602.40	80
May	602.23	80			602.64	82
June	602.71	98			602.90	84
August	602.94	104			603.21	126
September	$602 \cdot 67$	96			603.12	110
October	$602 \cdot 69$	97			602.95	108
November	$602 \cdot 36$	89			602.60	105
December	602.47	90			. 002 00	101
Ionuary	$602 \cdot 20$	83			. 602.48	79
February	$602 \cdot 09$	80			. 602.31	78
March	$601 \cdot 90$	76			. 602.14	79
April	$602 \cdot 12$	80			602.21	52
May	$602 \cdot 12$	83			602.66	83
June	602.05	106			603.17	127
August	602.93	104			. 603.22	126
Sentember	603.01	104			. 603.13	111
October	$602 \cdot 99$	104			. 603.14	110
November	602.56	93			602.48	105
December	602.24	80			. 002.40	101
1868—	602.08	80			. 602.19	51
February	601.49	66			. 601.91	51
March	601.85	74			. 601.83	51
April	$602 \cdot 04$	78			602.18	18
May	602.44	91			602.47	81
June	602.35	89			602.74	82
July	602.57	95			602.85	108
August	602.62	95			. 602.84	108
Deptember			and the second s	the second s		0.0

45827—9

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1868-						
October	$602 \cdot 59$	94			$602 \cdot 84$	108
November	$602 \cdot 75$	98			$602 \cdot 87$	107
December	$602 \cdot 32$	87			602.70	103
1809-	602.10	Q1	602.69	79	609.22	70
February	601.86	75	602.47	80	602.11	76
March	$601 \cdot 41$	64	602.04	60	601.74	50
April	$601 \cdot 99$	77	602.18	101	$601 \cdot 85$	51
May	$602 \cdot 39$	90	$602 \cdot 60$	119	$602 \cdot 41$	80
June	$602 \cdot 40$	90	602.72	52	602.65	81
August	602.92	100	602.59	50	602.86	84
Sentember	604-08	129	604.16	132	603.02	104
October	$603 \cdot 56$	117	604.29	141	604.05	135
November	$603 \cdot 22$	109	603.79	122	$603 \cdot 57$	113
December	$602 \cdot 57$	93	$603 \cdot 25$	50	603.06	107
1870-	600 20	00	200.00		000 27	50
February	602.11	80	602.93	55	602·57	79
March	$602 \cdot 12$	81	602.78	96	602.24	78
April	$602 \cdot 22$	82	602.79	94	$602 \cdot 31$	116
May	$602 \cdot 55$	93	$602 \cdot 98$	72	$602 \cdot 42$	80
June	$602 \cdot 36$	89	$603 \cdot 10$	50	$602 \cdot 53$	80
July	602.55	95	603·22	50	$602 \cdot 55$	52
Sentember	602.55	90	602.66	50 70	602.82	100
October	602.56	94	603.74	112	602.80	107
November	602.38	89	$603 \cdot 52$	125	$602 \cdot 59$	101
December	$601 \cdot 45$	67	$602 \cdot 85$	50	602.00	50
1871-	001 00	E.		The state of the		. Treloald tools
January	601·36 600 76	74	602.41	50	$601 \cdot 54$	49
March	601.18	64	602.10	50	601.22	49
April	601.68	68	602.60	119	601.73	51
May	$602 \cdot 21$	81	602.96	124	$602 \cdot 29$	52
June	$602 \cdot 33$	90	$603 \cdot 15$	127	$602 \cdot 71$	120
July	$602 \cdot 40$	90	$603 \cdot 14$	55	$602 \cdot 71$	81
Sontombor	$602 \cdot 40$ $602 \cdot 56$	93	602 40	89	602.80	107
October	602.49	89	603.30	100	602.81	107
November	$602 \cdot 42$	85	603.13	126	602.69	100
December	$601 \cdot 68$	75	$602 \cdot 69$	59	$602 \cdot 22$	76
1872—	001 17	20		141-00-1		
January	601·47	69	602.17	62	601.75	50
March	601.24	62	601.87	80	601 59	50
April	601.14	61	601.73	50	601.50	50
May	601.79	82	602.05	70	601.81	51
June	$602 \cdot 17$	85	$602 \cdot 57$	50	$602 \cdot 42$	52
July	602.44	95	603.03	125	$602 \cdot 84$	83
August	602.61	102	603.14	126	603.09	111
October	602.67	104	602.19	128	603.24	112
November	602.52	97	603.02	121	602.08	100
December	$602 \cdot 22$	87	602.69	121	602.82	109
1873—					002 02	100
January	$602 \cdot 12$	77	602.40	117	$602 \cdot 56$	79

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
Press, 20 and 12 and 12	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1873						
1873— February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 80 \\ 601 \cdot 82 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 602 \cdot 31 \\ 602 \cdot 61 \\ 602 \cdot 90 \\ 603 \cdot 08 \\ 603 \cdot 14 \\ 603 \cdot 04 \\ 602 \cdot 90 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 73\\74\\74\\87\\90\\100\\106\\108\\105\\100\\94\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 07 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 602 \cdot 00 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \\ 602 \cdot 78 \\ 603 \cdot 12 \\ 603 \cdot 32 \\ 603 \cdot 41 \\ 603 \cdot 31 \\ 603 \cdot 31 \\ 603 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 80\\ 50\\ 50\\ 80\\ 93\\ 129\\ 111\\ 91\\ 92\\ 63\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 35\\ 602\cdot 16\\ 602\cdot 18\\ 602\cdot 41\\ 602\cdot 81\\ 603\cdot 00\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 23\\ 603\cdot 19\\ 603\cdot 05\\ 602\cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	$78 \\ 78 \\ 78 \\ 80 \\ 123 \\ 125 \\ 127 \\ 112 \\ 111 \\ 109 \\ 104$
1874— January February March. April. May. June July. August. September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 14 \\ 602 \cdot 13 \\ 602 \cdot 09 \\ 602 \cdot 19 \\ 602 \cdot 26 \\ 602 \cdot 46 \\ 602 \cdot 84 \\ 602 \cdot 93 \\ 603 \cdot 03 \\ 603 \cdot 09 \\ 602 \cdot 91 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 82\\ 78\\ 75\\ 73\\ 82\\ 92\\ 101\\ 102\\ 102\\ 102\\ 105\\ 99\\ 97\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 83 \\ 602 \cdot 68 \\ 602 \cdot 73 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 58 \\ 602 \cdot 67 \\ 603 \cdot 10 \\ 603 \cdot 29 \\ 603 \cdot 31 \\ 603 \cdot 37 \\ 603 \cdot 25 \\ 602 \cdot 93 \end{array}$	$53 \\ 50 \\ 121 \\ 120 \\ 89 \\ 50 \\ 113 \\ 128 \\ 107 \\ 127 \\ 128 \\ 81$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 39\\ 602\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 85\\ 603\cdot 14\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 21\\ 603\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 85\end{array}$	$78 \\ 77 \\ 77 \\ 78 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ 83 \\ 126 \\ 112 \\ 111 \\ 110 \\ 105 \\$
1875—						
January. February. March April. May June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1976	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 24\\ 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 86\\ 602\cdot 85\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 02\\ 602\cdot 88\\ 602\cdot 68\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 87\\81\\80\\86\\93\\100\\102\\102\\103\\108\\105\\99\\86\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 47\\ 602\cdot 37\\ 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 93\\ 603\cdot 12\\ 603\cdot 42\\ 603\cdot 42\\ 603\cdot 43\\ 603\cdot 26\\ 603\cdot 16\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 118\\ 117\\ 116\\ 50\\ 87\\ 54\\ 50\\ 122\\ 112\\ 74\\ 119\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 51\\ 602\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 34\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 87\\ 602\cdot 97\\ 603\cdot 11\\ 603\cdot 14\\ 602\cdot 98\\ 602\cdot 78\\ \end{array}$	$79 \\ 78 \\ 101 \\ 116 \\ 79 \\ 82 \\ 83 \\ 109 \\ 111 \\ 110 \\ 109 \\ 105$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 48 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 602 \cdot 18 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \\ 603 \cdot 43 \\ 603 \cdot 82 \\ 603 \cdot 83 \\ 603 \cdot 83 \\ 603 \cdot 49 \\ 603 \cdot 33 \\ 603 \cdot 05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 84\\ 78\\ 79\\ 96\\ 109\\ 120\\ 121\\ 122\\ 114\\ 108\\ 96\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 87 \\ 602 \cdot 68 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \\ 602 \cdot 59 \\ 602 \cdot 92 \\ 603 \cdot 48 \\ 603 \cdot 98 \\ 604 \cdot 17 \\ 604 \cdot 11 \\ 604 \cdot 01 \\ 603 \cdot 84 \\ 603 \cdot 67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81 \\ 60 \\ 71 \\ 66 \\ 102 \\ 131 \\ 137 \\ 140 \\ 82 \\ 87 \\ 88 \\ 103 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 52\\ 602\cdot 34\\ 602\cdot 20\\ 602\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 603\cdot 11\\ 603\cdot 57\\ 603\cdot 78\\ 603\cdot 78\\ 603\cdot 74\\ 603\cdot 48\\ 603\cdot 24\\ 603\cdot 02\\ \end{array}$	$79 \\ 78 \\ 78 \\ 82 \\ 128 \\ 133 \\ 134 \\ 132 \\ 113 \\ 111 \\ 108$
1877— January. February. March. April. May. June.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 69 \\ 602 \cdot 45 \\ 602 \cdot 19 \\ 602 \cdot 11 \\ 602 \cdot 10 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \end{array}$	90 91 88 85 85 90	603.34		$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 67 \\ 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 16 \\ 602 \cdot 03 \\ 602 \cdot 08 \\ 602 \cdot 29 \end{array}$	80 78 77 51 51 51 52

45827-91

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly mean		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
Stree Meaning			First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
	(~)					
1877— July August September October Nov Dec	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 70 \\ 602 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \\ 602 \cdot 39 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \end{array}$	$98 \\ 100 \\ 95 \\ 96 \\ 90 \\ 87$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 69 \\ 602 \cdot 96 \\ 602 \cdot 89 \\ 602 \cdot 77 \\ 602 \cdot 64 \\ 602 \cdot 46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 108 \\ 107 \\ 105 \\ 101 \\ 102 \end{array}$
1878—	002 01			And Street Line	000.00	70
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 20 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \\ 601 \cdot 55 \\ 601 \cdot 52 \\ 601 \cdot 79 \\ 602 \cdot 07 \\ 602 \cdot 14 \\ 602 \cdot 62 \\ 601 \cdot 85 \end{array}$	82 76 73 73 79 85 88 87 80			$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 33 \\ 602 \cdot 34 \\ 602 \cdot 23 \\ 602 \cdot 28 \\ 602 \cdot 28 \\ 602 \cdot 64 \\ 602 \cdot 82 \\ 602 \cdot 82 \\ 602 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	787777528182105104
September	601.92	83			$602 \cdot 49$	102
November	601.72	81			$602 \cdot 37$	100
December	$601 \cdot 40$	76			602.05	51
1879— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1880—	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 49 \\ 601 \cdot 46 \\ 601 \cdot 76 \\ 601 \cdot 37 \\ 601 \cdot 01 \\ 601 \cdot 24 \\ 601 \cdot 48 \\ 601 \cdot 60 \\ 601 \cdot 49 \\ 601 \cdot 58 \\ 601 \cdot 50 \\ 601 \cdot 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\ 57\\ 52\\ 55\\ 63\\ 67\\ 73\\ 74\\ 72\\ 73\\ 69\\ 60\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 01 \\ 602 \cdot 09 \\ 602 \cdot 23 \\ 602 \cdot 11 \\ 601 \cdot 75 \\ 601 \cdot 72 \\ 602 \cdot 00 \\ 602 \cdot 25 \\ 602 \cdot 25 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \\ 601 \cdot 97 \end{array}$	51 51 77 50 51 51 51 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 76 50 50 50 50 50 50 51 50 51 51 50 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 52
January	600.99	55			601.74	50
February March April May. June July August. September October. November December.	$\begin{array}{c} 600\cdot 98\\ 600\cdot 89\\ 600\cdot 92\\ 601\cdot 52\\ 602\cdot 30\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 44\\ 602\cdot 39\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 07\end{array}$	52 52 70 87 93 90 93 88 88 89 82			$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 63\\ 601\cdot 61\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 68\\ 603\cdot 15\\ 603\cdot 12\\ 603\cdot 01\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 602\cdot 83\\ 602\cdot 61\\ \end{array}$	50 50 51 83 126 125 109 108 106 103
January	601.81	75			602.29	51
February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	73 73 71 81 86 90 89 93			$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 19 \\ 602 \cdot 06 \\ 602 \cdot 04 \\ 602 \cdot 20 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \\ 602 \cdot 92 \\ 602 \cdot 93 \\ 603 \cdot 01 \end{array}$	$77 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 82 \\ 106 \\ 109 \\ 110$
October	602.95	104			603.24	113
November December	$602 \cdot 88 \\ 602 \cdot 60$	101 94			$ \begin{array}{c c} 603 \cdot 35 \\ 603 \cdot 14 \end{array} $	112 109
TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system, 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Welland com	regulation assuming diversion o and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		
Pirat Monthly	Monthl	y mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d) Discharge (e)		Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	
1000				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1882— January. February. March. April. May. June. June. July.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 25\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 601\cdot 89\\ 601\cdot 81\\ 601\cdot 97\\ 601\cdot 97\\ 602\cdot 44\\ 602\cdot 56\end{array}$	82 77 74 73 82 84 93 95	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot78\\ 602\cdot49\\ 602\cdot28\\ 602\cdot18\\ 602\cdot20\\ 602\cdot38\\ 602\cdot38\\ 602\cdot71\\ 603\cdot03\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 81\\ 79\\ 78\\ 51\\ 52\\ 82\\ 110\\ \end{array} $	
September October	$602 \cdot 60$ $602 \cdot 43$ $602 \cdot 41$	93 91 90			$ \begin{array}{r} 603 \cdot 07 \\ 602 \cdot 95 \\ 602 \cdot 81 \end{array} $	109 108 106	
December	$602 \cdot 41$ $602 \cdot 22$	84			$602 \cdot 65$	104	
1883— January	601.99	74			602·38	78	
February March April	$ \begin{array}{r} 601 \cdot 70 \\ 601 \cdot 70 \\ 601 \cdot 95 \end{array} $	72 72 73		·····	602.11 601.94 602.13	51 78	
May June July	$ \begin{array}{r} 601 \cdot 96 \\ 602 \cdot 06 \\ 602 \cdot 31 \end{array} $	73 82 86			$602 \cdot 24$ $602 \cdot 36$ $602 \cdot 62$	52 52 81	
August September	$602 \cdot 33$ $602 \cdot 29$ $602 \cdot 09$	96 88 84			$ \begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 77 \\ 602 \cdot 73 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \end{array} $	106 105 103	
November December	$601 \cdot 94 \\ 601 \cdot 83$	82 76			$602 \cdot 33 \\ 602 \cdot 14$	100 51	
1884— January February	$601 \cdot 80$ $601 \cdot 63$ $601 \cdot 54$	74 69 67			$602 \cdot 14 \\ 602 \cdot 10 \\ 601 \cdot 94$	51 76 51	
March April. May	$601 \cdot 32$ $601 \cdot 32$ $601 \cdot 54$ $001 \cdot 54$	63 72			$601 \cdot 84$ $601 \cdot 87$ $602 \cdot 15$	51 51 51	
June July August	$601 \cdot 74$ $601 \cdot 88$ $601 \cdot 89$	79 80			$602 \cdot 38$ $602 \cdot 54$ $602 \cdot 54$	52 80	
September October November	$602 \cdot 16$ $602 \cdot 52$ $602 \cdot 42$ $602 \cdot 42$	82 84 86			602.08 602.92 602.98 602.75	100 109 108 105	
December	002-21	00			002 10		
January February	$ \begin{array}{r} 601 \cdot 98 \\ 601 \cdot 80 \\ 601 \cdot 72 \end{array} $	76 74 70			$ \begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 46 \\ 602 \cdot 25 \\ 602 \cdot 09 \end{array} $	79 77 51	
April	$601 \cdot 67$ $602 \cdot 00$ $602 \cdot 28$	67 80 88			$ \begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 09 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \end{array} $	51 52 82	
June. July. August. Sentember	$ \begin{array}{c} 602.28 \\ 602.52 \\ 602.64 \\ 602.57 \end{array} $	92 97 91		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$602 \cdot 93 \\ 603 \cdot 02 \\ 603 \cdot 01$	124 109 108	
October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 25 \\ 601 \cdot 92 \end{array}$	87 86 79		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$ \begin{array}{r} 602 \cdot 84 \\ 602 \cdot 62 \\ 602 \cdot 33 \end{array} $	106 103 99	
1886— January February	$601 \cdot 72 \\ 601 \cdot 59$	71 67			$602 \cdot 00 \\ 601 \cdot 90$	51 50	
March April May	$\begin{array}{c c} 601 \cdot 53 \\ 601 \cdot 62 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \end{array}$	67 67 78			$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51 51 51	

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system, 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Wellan comple	regulation assuming diversion o and new d Canal ete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		
tid well, brids	Month	ly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	
1886-							
June	602.01	81	1	hand the second second	602.39	52	
July	602.08	85			602.58	52	
August	601.99	88			$602 \cdot 67$	81	
September	$601 \cdot 97$	85			$602 \cdot 64$	105	
October	$602 \cdot 07$	86			$602 \cdot 61$	104	
November	$601 \cdot 92$	84			$602 \cdot 54$	102	
December	601.78	74			$602 \cdot 33$	100	
January	601.47	60			609 02	E1	
February	601.40	66			601.05	51	
March	601.80	65			602.14	78	
April	601.97	62			602.35	115	
May	601.76	71			602.16	51	
June	$601 \cdot 92$	81			$602 \cdot 20$	52	
July	$602 \cdot 20$	90			$602 \cdot 50$	52	
August	$602 \cdot 28$	87			602.79	106	
September	$602 \cdot 14$	84			602.71	105	
November	602.07	88			$602 \cdot 54$	102	
December	601.61	82			602.34	100	
1888—	001.01	10		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	602.05	51	
January	601.50	69			601.96	51	
February	$601 \cdot 51$	61			601.96	51	
March	$601 \cdot 44$	62			601.95	51	
April	$601 \cdot 44$	62			601.96	51	
May	$601 \cdot 91$	76			$602 \cdot 22$	52	
June	$602 \cdot 69$	96			$602 \cdot 92$	125	
July	$602 \cdot 88$	99			$603 \cdot 31$	129	
August	$603 \cdot 02$	99			$603 \cdot 39$	129	
Oatobor	602.97	97	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		603.35	127	
November	602.74	97		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	602 02	110	
December	602.39	78			602.74	108	
1889—	001 00				002-14	101	
January	$602 \cdot 07$	72			602.33	78	
February	$601 \cdot 85$	66			$602 \cdot 04$	51	
March	$601 \cdot 68$	67			601.88	51	
April	$601 \cdot 69$	67			$601 \cdot 85$	51	
June	602.04	78			602.07	51	
July	$602 \cdot 10$	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	602.39	52	
Anoust	602.54	87		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	602·63	82	
September	602.67	87		•••••	602.04	108	
October	$602 \cdot 51$	84			602.86	108	
November	$620 \cdot 20$	78			602.56	102	
December	601.90	70			$602 \cdot 18$	51	
1890-		1000	1				
January	601.76	71			$602 \cdot 02$	51	
Moreh	601.00	60	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		601.95	50	
April	601.39	50		•••••	601.78	50	
May	601.57	69		•••••	601.57	50	
June.	602.02	80			602.17	51	
July	$602 \cdot 32$	87			602.62	81	
August	$602 \cdot 47$	85			602.87	108	
September	$602 \cdot 60$	83			$602 \cdot 94$	108	
October	$602 \cdot 57$	82	••••••		$602 \cdot 92$	107	

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occurring given ir	onditions in past as record	Complete system, 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Welland com	regulation assuming diversion o and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		
Statute Manufactor	Monthl	y mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	
1000							
November December	${}^{602\cdot 36}_{602\cdot 00}$	79 73			${602 \cdot 72 \atop 602 \cdot 36}$	$\begin{array}{c} 105\\ 100 \end{array}$	
January	601.64	59			601.92 601.70	50	
February	601.51	50			601.63	50	
April	601.43	62			601.62	50	
May	601.63	71			601.73	50	
June	601.68	70			$601 \cdot 92$	51	
July	$601 \cdot 88$	72			$602 \cdot 10$	51	
August	$601 \cdot 86$	72			602.25	70	
September	601.01	(1 79			602-21	77	
November	601.91	70			602.18	76	
December	601.42	63			601.91	50	
1892—							
January	$601 \cdot 42$	62			601.76	50	
February	601.14	55			601.47	49	
March	601.02	54			601.41	50	
May	601.35	65			$601 \cdot 59$	50	
June	601.73	72			601.79	51	
July	601.76	75			602.06	51	
August	601.88	75			602.20	18	
September	601.93	70			602.24	77	
November	601.66	68			602.10	51	
December	601.38	63			601.92	50	
1893—			Frank Indel	1200	001 00		
January	$601 \cdot 10$	52			601.68	50	
February	601.01	49			601.47	49	
March	601.16	49			601.55	50	
May	601.66	65			601.86	51	
June	$602 \cdot 18$	75			$602 \cdot 41$	52	
July	$602 \cdot 48$	78			602.88	83	
August	602.54	80			602.05	109	
September	602.45	76			602.80	106	
November	602.26	75			$602 \cdot 61$	103	
December	602.03	65			602.33	100	
1894—				-	000 00	F1	
January	601.85	61	602.69	70	601.88	50	
February	601·07 601.76	09 57	602.43	110	601.84	51	
March	601.91	64	602.36	111	601.98	51	
May	602.69	83	602.70	120	$602 \cdot 48$	81	
June	$602 \cdot 91$	88	603.08	126	602.99	125	
July	602.97	90	603.12	56	603.02	125	
August	603.10	90	603.32	96	602.02	109	
September	603.02	88	603.25	78	602.89	105	
November	602.99	87	603.27	128	602.82	106	
December	602.80	81	603.03	124	$602 \cdot 64$	103	
1895—		1000			000 00	A STATE OF STATE	
January	602.50	75	602.60	92	602.33	51	

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system, 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Welland com	regulation assuming diversion o and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
and the second s	Month	ly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1895— March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 11 \\ 602 \cdot 01 \\ 602 \cdot 38 \\ 602 \cdot 70 \\ 602 \cdot 90 \\ 602 \cdot 95 \\ 603 \cdot 09 \\ 603 \cdot 14 \\ 602 \cdot 85 \\ 602 \cdot 52 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 69\\ 68\\ 76\\ 84\\ 88\\ 92\\ 94\\ 84\\ 81\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 06 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \\ 602 \cdot 16 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \\ 602 \cdot 73 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \\ 602 \cdot 15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 60\\ 50\\ 50\\ 119\\ 121\\ 121\\ 121\\ 121\\ 120\\ 119\\ 79\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 92 \\ 601 \cdot 84 \\ 602 \cdot 02 \\ 602 \cdot 45 \\ 602 \cdot 79 \\ 602 \cdot 94 \\ 602 \cdot 98 \\ 603 \cdot 02 \\ 602 \cdot 85 \\ 602 \cdot 48 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 82 \\ 109 \\ 109 \\ 109 \\ 105 \\ 101$
1896— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 32 \\ 602 \cdot 12 \\ 601 \cdot 92 \\ 602 \cdot 01 \\ 602 \cdot 01 \\ 603 \cdot 04 \\ 603 \cdot 10 \\ 603 \cdot 12 \\ 602 \cdot 95 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 70 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \end{array}$	$71 \\ 71 \\ 67 \\ 69 \\ 80 \\ 88 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 89 \\ 82 \\ 83 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80$	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 88 \\ 601 \cdot 75 \\ 601 \cdot 60 \\ 601 \cdot 59 \\ 602 \cdot 03 \\ 602 \cdot 44 \\ 602 \cdot 58 \\ 602 \cdot 60 \\ 602 \cdot 58 \\ 602 \cdot 43 \\ 602 \cdot 43 \\ 602 \cdot 45 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 112 \\ 117 \\ 95 \\ 74 \\ 56 \\ 50 \\ 70 $	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 01\\ 601\cdot 86\\ 601\cdot 86\\ 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 88\\ 602\cdot 99\\ 602\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 81\\ 602\cdot 51\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 22\end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 123 \\ 123 \\ 109 \\ 105 \\ 102 \\ 100 \\ 77$
1897— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 & 609 \\ 602 & .16 \\ 602 & .08 \\ 602 & .11 \\ 602 & .45 \\ 603 & .08 \\ 603 & .20 \\ 603 & .14 \\ 602 & .94 \\ 602 & .64 \\ 602 & .21 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 75\\ 69\\ 70\\ 71\\ 79\\ 86\\ 91\\ 93\\ 90\\ 87\\ 86\\ 76\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 33 \\ 602 \cdot 12 \\ 601 \cdot 93 \\ 601 \cdot 94 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 95 \\ 603 \cdot 07 \\ 603 \cdot 13 \\ 603 \cdot 07 \\ 602 \cdot 84 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 97\\ 60\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 86\\ 119\\ 80\\ 70\\ 78\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 08 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \\ 601 \cdot 84 \\ 601 \cdot 88 \\ 602 \cdot 12 \\ 602 \cdot 54 \\ 602 \cdot 86 \\ 603 \cdot 10 \\ 603 \cdot 08 \\ 602 \cdot 89 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \\ 602 \cdot 16 \end{array}$	$51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 81 \\ 83 \\ 110 \\ 109 \\ 110 \\ 102 \\ 76$
1898— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December. 1899—	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 83\\ 601\cdot 65\\ 601\cdot 46\\ 601\cdot 46\\ 601\cdot 70\\ 602\cdot 18\\ 602\cdot 59\\ 602\cdot 72\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 76\\ 602\cdot 56\\ 602\cdot 33\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\ 62\\ 59\\ 62\\ 69\\ 77\\ 82\\ 84\\ 85\\ 83\\ 81\\ 80\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 25 \\ 602 \cdot 04 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 601 \cdot 80 \\ 601 \cdot 93 \\ 602 \cdot 35 \\ 602 \cdot 35 \\ 603 \cdot 03 \\ 603 \cdot 03 \\ 603 \cdot 10 \\ 603 \cdot 08 \\ 602 \cdot 99 \\ 602 86 \end{array}$	56 50 50 50 123 101 95 72 50 72 50 72	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 76 \\ 601 \cdot 53 \\ 601 \cdot 36 \\ 601 \cdot 30 \\ 601 \cdot 45 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 602 \cdot 38 \\ 602 \cdot 74 \\ 602 \cdot 80 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 106 \\ 106 \\ 105 \\ 102 \\ 77$
January. February. March April. May June. July.	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 96 \\ 601 \cdot 76 \\ 601 \cdot 79 \\ 601 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 47 \\ 602 \cdot 96 \\ 603 \cdot 19 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 69\\ 67\\ 66\\ 64\\ 82\\ 89\\ 94 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 59\\ 602\cdot 31\\ 602\cdot 27\\ 602\cdot 18\\ 602\cdot 47\\ 602\cdot 95\\ 603\cdot 21\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68\\ 50\\ 91\\ 92\\ 118\\ 124\\ 124\\ 124\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 98\\ 601\cdot 75\\ 601\cdot 70\\ 601\cdot 75\\ 602\cdot 12\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 603\cdot 07\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 123 \\ 126 \\ \end{array} $

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system, a 8,500 cfs. at Chicago Welland comp	regulation assuming diversion o and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
APRIL 10 Mart 1	Monthly	7 mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1899— August. September. October. November. December. 1900— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September.	$\begin{array}{c} 603\cdot 35\\ 603\cdot 51\\ 603\cdot 51\\ 603\cdot 21\\ 603\cdot 21\\ 603\cdot 00\\ \hline \\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 23\\ 602\cdot 30\\ 602\cdot 30\\ 602\cdot 30\\ 602\cdot 58\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 46\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 96\\ 100\\ 95\\ 92\\ 89\\ 79\\ 76\\ 72\\ 72\\ 72\\ 72\\ 78\\ 82\\ 86\\ 94\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 603\cdot 31\\ 603\cdot 33\\ 603\cdot 41\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 03\\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 38\\ 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 03\\ 602\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 54\\ 602\cdot 54\\ 602\cdot 54\\ 602\cdot 54\\ 602\cdot 43\\ 602\cdot 42\\ 602\cdot $	80 131 129 80 125 70 85 58 50 50 50 50 110 131 121	$\begin{array}{c} 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 24\\ 603\cdot 19\\ 603\cdot 00\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 602\cdot 18\\ 601\cdot 96\\ 601\cdot 87\\ 601\cdot 96\\ 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 75\\ 602\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 75\\ 603\cdot 12\\ 602\cdot 26\end{array}$	$127 \\ 1112 \\ 110 \\ 108 \\ 105 \\ 78 \\ 76 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ 108 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 113 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 113 \\ 112 \\ 113 $
October November December 1901— January	$ \begin{array}{r} 603 \cdot 54 \\ 603 \cdot 51 \\ 603 \cdot 13 \\ 602 \cdot 78 \end{array} $	95 95 85 80	$ \begin{array}{c} 603 \cdot 49 \\ 603 \cdot 40 \\ 603 \cdot 09 \\ 602 \cdot 77 \\ 602 \cdot 45 \\ \end{array} $	131 130 75 77	$ \begin{array}{r} 603.36\\ 603.34\\ 603.08\\ 602.65\\ 602.33\\ \end{array} $	113 112 108 80 78
February March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot48\\ 602\cdot28\\ 602\cdot22\\ 602\cdot51\\ 602\cdot61\\ 603\cdot09\\ 603\cdot22\\ 603\cdot04\\ 603\cdot02\\ 603\cdot04\\ 603\cdot07\\ 603\cdot00\\ 602\cdot68\end{array}$	75 68 70 76 79 87 90 87 78 78 78 78 78	$\begin{array}{c} 602.435\\ 602.18\\ 602.11\\ 602.24\\ 602.56\\ 602.94\\ 603.13\\ 603.00\\ 603.03\\ 603.00\\ 603.03\\ 603.10\\ 602.75\\ \end{array}$	52 50 50 50 124 126 50 126 62	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot05\\ 602\cdot05\\ 601\cdot97\\ 602\cdot14\\ 602\cdot41\\ 602\cdot77\\ 603\cdot09\\ 603\cdot01\\ 602\cdot87\\ 602\cdot77\\ 602\cdot49\\ \end{array}$	51 51 52 52 83 110 108 107 105 101
1902— January February March. April May. June July. August. September. October November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} & 602\cdot 32 \\ & 602\cdot 11 \\ & 601\cdot 97 \\ & 602\cdot 02 \\ & 602\cdot 34 \\ & 602\cdot 64 \\ & 602\cdot 88 \\ & 602\cdot 88 \\ & 602\cdot 83 \\ & 602\cdot 81 \\ & 602\cdot 81 \\ & 602\cdot 58 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67 \\ 62 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 66 \\ 70 \\ 74 \\ 76 \\ 77 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 70 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 601\cdot 98\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 96\\ 603\cdot 07\\ 603\cdot 07\\ 603\cdot 02\\ 602\cdot 97\\ \end{array}$	$50\\61\\57\\50\\63\\60\\50\\50\\59\\74\\50\\115$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 07 \\ 601 \cdot 83 \\ 601 \cdot 67 \\ 601 \cdot 65 \\ 601 \cdot 65 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 54 \\ 602 \cdot 73 \\ 602 \cdot 67 \\ 602 \cdot 54 \\ 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \end{array}$	$51 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ 106 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 76$
1903— January. February. March. April. May. June. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 24\\ 601\cdot 98\\ 601\cdot 88\\ 602\cdot 07\\ 602\cdot 56\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 14\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 25\\ 603\cdot 40\\ 603\cdot 18\\ 602\cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	65 61 60 62 70 78 80 81 80 81 80 81 80 81 81 74	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 56\\ 602\cdot 30\\ 602\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 602\cdot 48\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 11\\ 603\cdot 26\\ 603\cdot 42\\ 603\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 90\end{array}$	575050841181227450548712959	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 90\\ 601\cdot 65\\ 601\cdot 49\\ 601\cdot 56\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 42\\ 602\cdot 79\\ 602\cdot 92\\ 602\cdot 92\\ 602\cdot 91\\ 602\cdot 92\\ 602\cdot 91\\ 602\cdot 79\\ 602\cdot 42\\ \end{array}$	$51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 82 \\ 108 \\ 108 \\ 108 \\ 104 \\ 100$

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occurring given i	conditions ; in past as n record	Complete system; 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Wellan com	regulation assuming diversion to and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete		
dinerit herei af	Month	ly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b) Discharge (c)		Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	
1904— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1905—	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 23\\ 602\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 47\\ 602\cdot 77\\ 602\cdot 86\\ 602\cdot 95\\ 603\cdot 08\\ 603\cdot 26\\ 603\cdot 19\\ 602\cdot 74 \end{array}$	72 71 67 71 76 82 85 85 87 88 91 88 88 82	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 61 \\ 602 \cdot 41 \\ 602 \cdot 24 \\ 602 \cdot 15 \\ 602 \cdot 33 \\ 602 \cdot 70 \\ 602 \cdot 99 \\ 603 \cdot 17 \\ 603 \cdot 40 \\ 603 \cdot 48 \\ 603 \cdot 65 \\ 603 \cdot 25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 64\\78\\81\\50\\50\\50\\50\\50\\115\\50\\133\\128\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 00\\ 601\cdot 83\\ 601\cdot 75\\ 601\cdot 72\\ 601\cdot 89\\ 602\cdot 27\\ 602\cdot 55\\ 602\cdot 74\\ 602\cdot 79\\ 602\cdot 89\\ 602\cdot 89\\ 602\cdot 89\\ 602\cdot 57\end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 106 \\ 107 \\ 108 \\ 106 \\ 102$	
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 47\\ 602\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 04\\ 602\cdot 25\\ 602\cdot 49\\ 602\cdot 67\\ 602\cdot 97\\ 603\cdot 10\\ 603\cdot 32\\ 603\cdot 33\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 96\end{array}$	78 71 67 74 80 83 88 88 88 89 94 89 89 84	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot77\\ 602\cdot54\\ 602\cdot23\\ 602\cdot23\\ 602\cdot27\\ 602\cdot35\\ 602\cdot62\\ 603\cdot28\\ 603\cdot28\\ 603\cdot37\\ 603\cdot42\\ 603\cdot23\\ 603\cdot23\\ 602\cdot94 \end{array}$	$53 \\ 100 \\ 74 \\ 124 \\ 55 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 130 \\ 124 \\ 90$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 15\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 601\cdot 76\\ 601\cdot 87\\ 602\cdot 16\\ 602\cdot 46\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 603\cdot 01\\ 603\cdot 13\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 603\cdot 05\\ 602\cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ 82 \\ 110 \\ 111 \\ 110 \\ 108 \\ 105$	
January. February. March. April. May. June. July August. September. October. November. December. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot72\\ 602\cdot43\\ 602\cdot22\\ 602\cdot15\\ 602\cdot48\\ 602\cdot78\\ 602\cdot93\\ 602\cdot93\\ 602\cdot93\\ 602\cdot95\\ 602\cdot84\\ 602\cdot66\\ 602\cdot45 \end{array}$	82 77 74 76 82 86 89 88 88 88 87 85 79	$\begin{array}{c} 662\cdot 10\\ 602\cdot 48\\ 602\cdot 29\\ 602\cdot 21\\ 602\cdot 43\\ 602\cdot 76\\ 602\cdot 87\\ 603\cdot 02\\ 603\cdot 15\\ 603\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 98\\ 602\cdot 90\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 76\\ 122\\ 64\\ 50\\ 76\\ 83\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 52\\ 602\cdot 27\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 13\\ 602\cdot 53\\ 602\cdot 75\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 52\\ 602\cdot 52\\ 602\cdot 27\end{array}$	$79 \\ 77 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 81 \\ 82 \\ 107 \\ 106 \\ 105 \\ 102 \\ 77 \\$	
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1908-	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 06 \\ 601 \cdot 94 \\ 601 \cdot 94 \\ 602 \cdot 10 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \\ 602 \cdot 70 \\ 602 \cdot 93 \\ 603 \cdot 17 \\ 603 \cdot 15 \\ 602 \cdot 88 \\ 602 \cdot 53 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74\\71\\66\\71\\73\\79\\85\\89\\93\\89\\88\\88\\82\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 62 \\ 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \\ 602 \cdot 34 \\ 602 \cdot 71 \\ 603 \cdot 03 \\ 603 \cdot 26 \\ 603 \cdot 50 \\ 603 \cdot 50 \\ 603 \cdot 20 \\ 603 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	$59 \\ 91 \\ 108 \\ 57 \\ 50 \\ 75 \\ 69 \\ 94 \\ 131 \\ 130 \\ 50 \\ 64$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 06\\ 601\cdot 94\\ 601\cdot 84\\ 601\cdot 83\\ 601\cdot 96\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 71\\ 602\cdot 91\\ 603\cdot 09\\ 603\cdot 14\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 602\cdot 56\end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 82 \\ 109 \\ 110 \\ 110 \\ 106 \\ 101$	
January. February. March. April. May.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 10 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 601 \cdot 72 \\ 601 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 03 \end{array}$	75 69 65 62 68	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 66 \\ 602 \cdot 43 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 602 \cdot 12 \\ 602 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	50 51 77 50 50	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 12 \\ 601 \cdot 86 \\ 601 \cdot 72 \\ 601 \cdot 65 \\ 601 \cdot 83 \end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 $	

.

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	A ctual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system; a 8,500 cfs. at Chicago Welland comp	regulation assuming diversion o and new l Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
Tinternalis Biality	Monthly	7 mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1908— June July August. September October. November December 1909— January. February March	$\begin{array}{c} 602.57\\ 602.85\\ 602.92\\ 602.77\\ 602.59\\ 602.23\\ 601.99\\ 601.69\\ 601.46\\ 601.35\end{array}$	79 88 89 86 82 80 75 67 60 53	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot82\\ 603\cdot12\\ 603\cdot19\\ 603\cdot23\\ 603\cdot11\\ 602\cdot87\\ 602\cdot56\\ 602\cdot56\\ 602\cdot27\\ 602\cdot02\\ 601\cdot87\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 122 \\ 127 \\ 59 \\ 67 \\ 79 \\ 77 \\ 83 \\ 61 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 84\\ 603\cdot 03\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 602\cdot 70\\ 602\cdot 37\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 601\cdot 81\\ 601\cdot 60\\ 601\cdot 44\\ \end{array}$	$52 \\ 83 \\ 109 \\ 107 \\ 104 \\ 100 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 5$
March. April. MayJune July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 35 \\ 601 \cdot 29 \\ 601 \cdot 62 \\ 602 \cdot 18 \\ 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 29 \\ 602 \cdot 26 \\ 602 \cdot 26 \end{array}$	54 59 68 73 82 83 80 73 79	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 81 \\ 601 \cdot 81 \\ 602 \cdot 30 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 93 \\ 603 \cdot 07 \\ 602 \cdot 98 \\ 602 \cdot 83 \\ 602 \cdot 75 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 66 \\ 98 \\ 103 \\ 99 \\ 79 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 37\\ 601\cdot 51\\ 601\cdot 86\\ 602\cdot 19\\ 602\cdot 49\\ 602\cdot 60\\ 602\cdot 48\\ 602\cdot 35\\ 602\cdot 24\\ \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 80 \\ 104 \\ 102 \\ 101 \\ 78 $
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 01 \\ 601 \cdot 74 \\ 601 \cdot 51 \\ 601 \cdot 62 \\ 601 \cdot 90 \\ 601 \cdot 88 \\ 601 \cdot 97 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \\ 601 \cdot 97 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \\ 601 \cdot 68 \\ 601 \cdot 41 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71 \\ 66 \\ 62 \\ 60 \\ 62 \\ 69 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 74 \\ 73 \\ 74 \\ 69 \\ 62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 35\\ 602\cdot 03\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 602\cdot 15\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 55\\ 602\cdot 66\\ 602\cdot 70\\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 43\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77\\ 84\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 13\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 601\cdot 68\\ 601\cdot 83\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 602\cdot 12\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 602\cdot 25\\ 602\cdot 21\\ 602\cdot 06\\ 601\cdot 85\\ \end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 78 \\ 78 \\ 78 \\ 77 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ $
1911— January February March. April June June July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot07\\ 600\cdot89\\ 600\cdot66\\ 600\cdot54\\ 600\cdot82\\ 601\cdot27\\ 601\cdot62\\ 602\cdot09\\ 602\cdot18\\ 602\cdot18\\ 602\cdot03\\ 601\cdot90\\ \end{array}$	$55 \\ 51 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 54 \\ 56 \\ 59 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 61 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 61 \\ 6$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot15\\ 601\cdot87\\ 601\cdot65\\ 601\cdot48\\ 601\cdot57\\ 601\cdot93\\ 602\cdot36\\ 602\cdot74\\ 602\cdot85\\ 602\cdot73\\ 602\cdot63\\ 602\cdot53\\ \end{array}$	$59 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 65 \\ 121 \\ 123 \\ 64 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 58\\ 601\cdot 34\\ 601\cdot 13\\ 600\cdot 96\\ 601\cdot 04\\ 601\cdot 42\\ 601\cdot 83\\ 602\cdot 27\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 48\\ 602\cdot 29\\ 602\cdot 10\\ \end{array}$	$50\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 79\\ 80\\ 102\\ 78\\ . 51$
1912— January February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 76\\ 601\cdot 53\\ 601\cdot 43\\ 601\cdot 45\\ 601\cdot 90\\ 602\cdot 20\\ 602\cdot 35\\ 602\cdot 53\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 60\\ 602\cdot 60\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{bmatrix} 56 \\ 54 \\ 53 \\ 54 \\ 59 \\ 63 \\ 67 \\ 68 \\ 69 \end{bmatrix} $	$ \begin{bmatrix} 602 \cdot 41 \\ 602 \cdot 23 \\ 602 \cdot 00 \\ 601 \cdot 98 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 87 \\ 603 \cdot 07 \\ 603 \cdot 26 \\ 603 \cdot 35 \end{bmatrix} $	50 78 50 50 50 58 50 50 50 50 53	$ \begin{bmatrix} 601 \cdot 99 \\ 601 \cdot 82 \\ 601 \cdot 66 \\ 601 \cdot 63 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 602 \cdot 53 \\ 602 \cdot 73 \\ 602 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 68 \end{bmatrix} $	$51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 106 \\ 106 \\ 104$

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occurring given in	onditions in past as n record	Complete system; 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Wellan com	regulation assuming diversion to and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
Binnetto Manager	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1912— November December 1913—	$602 \cdot 44 \\ 602 \cdot 20$	69 65	$603 \cdot 22 \\ 603 \cdot 11$	60 53	$602 \cdot 47 \\ 602 \cdot 17$	101 76
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1914—	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 89\\ 601\cdot 57\\ 601\cdot 51\\ 601\cdot 64\\ 602\cdot 07\\ 602\cdot 36\\ 602\cdot 64\\ 602\cdot 78\\ 602\cdot 83\\ 602\cdot 83\\ 603\cdot 02\\ 602\cdot 88\\ 602\cdot 70\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 61\\ 58\\ 62\\ 67\\ 71\\ 73\\ 75\\ 78\\ 76\\ 73\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 89 \\ 602 \cdot 52 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \\ 602 \cdot 65 \\ 603 \cdot 05 \\ 603 \cdot 36 \\ 603 \cdot 36 \\ 603 \cdot 46 \\ 603 \cdot 56 \\ 603 \cdot 39 \\ 603 \cdot 07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 50 \\ 73 \\ 50 \\ 125 \\ 79 \\ 73 \\ 93 \\ 131 \\ 125 \\ 67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 87\\ 601\cdot 59\\ 601\cdot 42\\ 601\cdot 48\\ 601\cdot 79\\ 602\cdot 20\\ 602\cdot 53\\ 602\cdot 80\\ 602\cdot 80\\ 602\cdot 80\\ 602\cdot 85\\ 602\cdot 75\\ 602\cdot 50\\ \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ 106 \\ 107 \\ 107 \\ 105 \\ 101$
January. February March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December. 1915—	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 40\\ 602\cdot 21\\ 601\cdot 92\\ 601\cdot 84\\ 602\cdot 23\\ 602\cdot 46\\ 602\cdot 68\\ 602\cdot 75\\ 602\cdot 81\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 09\\ \end{array}$	$71\\68\\65\\64\\69\\72\\73\\75\\78\\89\\87\\70$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 87\\ 602\cdot 59\\ 602\cdot 23\\ 602\cdot 08\\ 602\cdot 29\\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 83\\ 603\cdot 03\\ 603\cdot 16\\ 603\cdot 14\\ 603\cdot 07\\ 602\cdot 72\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 82\\ 98\\ 52\\ 50\\ 59\\ 83\\ 50\\ 56\\ 74\\ 58\\ 99\\ 66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 18\\ 602\cdot 00\\ 601\cdot 79\\ 601\cdot 65\\ 601\cdot 84\\ 602\cdot 21\\ 602\cdot 24\\ 602\cdot 70\\ 602\cdot 70\\ 602\cdot 74\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 43\\ 602\cdot 06\end{array}$	$51 \\ 51 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 81 \\ 105 \\ 104 \\ 100 \\ 51$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot82\\ 601,69\\ 601\cdot47\\ 601\cdot32\\ 601\cdot61\\ 601\cdot92\\ 602\cdot25\\ 602\cdot25\\ 602\cdot36\\ 602\cdot40\\ 602\cdot73\\ 602\cdot81\\ 602\cdot69\end{array}$	66 67 66 63 70 72 77 78 76 75 77 77 73	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 41 \\ 602\cdot 26 \\ 602\cdot 03 \\ 601\cdot 90 \\ 602\cdot 01 \\ 602\cdot 36 \\ 602\cdot 65 \\ 602\cdot 94 \\ 602\cdot 87 \\ 603\cdot 15 \\ 603\cdot 19 \\ 603\cdot 03 \end{array}$	50 82 50 50 85 50 124 50 127 127 125	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 81 \\ 601 \cdot 65 \\ 601 \cdot 51 \\ 601 \cdot 37 \\ 601 \cdot 83 \\ 602 \cdot 21 \\ 602 \cdot 50 \\ 602 \cdot 57 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \\ 602 \cdot 78 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \end{array}$	50 50 50 50 51 52 80 105 106 106 106
1916— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1917—	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 60\\ 602 \cdot 41\\ 602 \cdot 15\\ 602 \cdot 34\\ 602 \cdot 96\\ 603 \cdot 43\\ 603 \cdot 60\\ 603 \cdot 60\\ 603 \cdot 81\\ 603 \cdot 64\\ 603 \cdot 45\\ 603 \cdot 13\\ \end{array}$	70 69 69 74 83 99 99 105 117 119 116 110	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 58 \\ 602 \cdot 24 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 603 \cdot 09 \\ 603 \cdot 33 \\ 603 \cdot 56 \\ 603 \cdot 73 \\ 603 \cdot 64 \\ 603 \cdot 43 \\ 603 \cdot 28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93\\100\\50\\75\\121\\126\\71\\83\\134\\133\\73\\54\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602.46\\ 602.30\\ 602.04\\ 602.04\\ 602.53\\ 602.96\\ 603.20\\ 603.25\\ 603.22\\ 603.27\\ 603.27\\ 603.12\\ 602.87\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 79\\77\\51\\52\\125\\126\\128\\112\\111\\109\\106\end{array}$
January February	$\begin{array}{c c} 602 \cdot 75 \\ 602 \cdot 42 \end{array}$	91 88	$\begin{array}{c} 603 \cdot 12 \\ 602 \cdot 67 \end{array}$	126 51	$\begin{array}{c c} 602 \cdot 54 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \end{array}$	79 77

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system; a 8,500 cfs. at Chicago Welland comp	regulation assuming diversion o and new l Canal olete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Weiland Canal complete	
William in Bart	Monthly	7 mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1917— March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December. 1918— January.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 38\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 69\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 67\\ 602\cdot 46\\ 602\cdot 16\\ 602\cdot 16\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 601\cdot 71\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 86\\ 90\\ 92\\ 91\\ 87\\ 76\\ 74\\ 78\\ 74\\ 59\\ 60\\ 58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 55\\ 602\cdot 37\\ 602\cdot 41\\ 602\cdot 68\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 10\\ 603\cdot 21\\ 603\cdot 28\\ 603\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 97\\ 602\cdot 97\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 46\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} &119\\ &88\\ &50\\ &50\\ &50\\ &50\\ &50\\ &50\\ &50\\ &57\\ &72\\ &56\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 02\\ 602\cdot 06\\ 602\cdot 19\\ 602\cdot 17\\ 602\cdot 72\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 73\\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 63\\ 602\cdot 42\\ 602\cdot 09\\ 601\cdot 85\\ 601\cdot 65\end{array}$	51 52 52 81 106 105 103 100 51 50
February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 11\\ 601\cdot 61\\ 601\cdot 46\\ 601\cdot 74\\ 602\cdot 10\\ 602\cdot 26\\ 602\cdot 22\\ 602\cdot 54\\ 602\cdot 55\\ 602\cdot 49\\ 602\cdot 55\\ 602\cdot 43\\ \end{array}$	$58 \\ 59 \\ 58 \\ 59 \\ 65 \\ 58 \\ 61 \\ 67 \\ 69 \\ 64 \\ 55 \\ 100$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 40 \\ 602 \cdot 30 \\ 602 \cdot 20 \\ 602 \cdot 29 \\ 602 \cdot 63 \\ 602 \cdot 94 \\ 603 \cdot 11 \\ 603 \cdot 28 \\ 603 \cdot 27 \\ 603 \cdot 23 \\ 603 \cdot 08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 53\\ 50\\ 82\\ 84\\ 103\\ 67\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 50 \\ 601 \cdot 50 \\ 601 \cdot 41 \\ 601 \cdot 49 \\ 601 \cdot 84 \\ 602 \cdot 14 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \\ 602 \cdot 41 \\ 602 \cdot 32 \\ 602 \cdot 17 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 79 \\ 79 \\ 102 \\ 100 \\ 67$
191 — January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 28\\ 602\cdot 09\\ 601\cdot 90\\ 602\cdot 03\\ 602\cdot 26\\ 602\cdot 26\\ 602\cdot 60\\ 602\cdot 60\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 50\\ 602\cdot 32\\ \end{array}$	$55 \\ 53 \\ 53 \\ 53 \\ 55 \\ 53 \\ 54 \\ 52 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56 \\ 56$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 94 \\ 602 \cdot 65 \\ 602 \cdot 31 \\ 602 \cdot 29 \\ 602 \cdot 47 \\ 602 \cdot 68 \\ 602 \cdot 88 \\ 602 \cdot 96 \\ 602 \cdot 95 \\ 602 \cdot 90 \\ 602 \cdot 89 \\ 602 \cdot 69 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 90\\ 97\\ 50\\ 54\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 60\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 601 \cdot 98 \\ 601 \cdot 82 \\ 601 \cdot 62 \\ 601 \cdot 60 \\ 601 \cdot 79 \\ 602 \cdot 02 \\ 602 \cdot 19 \\ 602 \cdot 27 \\ 602 \cdot 17 \\ 602 \cdot 05 \\ 602 \cdot 03 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \end{array}$	$51 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 78 \\ 77 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51$
1920— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} & 602\cdot07\\ & 601\cdot90\\ & 601\cdot91\\ & 602\cdot25\\ & 602\cdot39\\ & 602\cdot93\\ & 602\cdot93\\ & 602\cdot96\\ & 602\cdot80\\ & 602\cdot68\\ & 602\cdot47\\ & 602\cdot24\\ \end{array}$	57 56 55 74 77 81 59 58 55	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 59\\ 602\cdot 38\\ 602\cdot 20\\ 602\cdot 25\\ 602\cdot 32\\ 602\cdot 60\\ 602\cdot 94\\ 603\cdot 04\\ 603\cdot 12\\ 603\cdot 07\\ 602\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 73\\ \end{array}$	$58\\87\\98\\115\\50\\65\\86\\50\\50\\50\\50\\50\\50$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot77\\ 601\cdot58\\ 601\cdot51\\ 601\cdot70\\ 601\cdot95\\ 602\cdot26\\ 602\cdot60\\ 602\cdot71\\ 602\cdot64\\ 602\cdot42\\ 602\cdot13\\ 601\cdot85\end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 81 \\ 105 \\ 104 \\ 101 \\ 76 \\ 51$
1921— January. February. March. April. May. June. July	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 07^{*} \\ 601 \cdot 75 \\ 601 \cdot 54 \\ 601 \cdot 68 \\ 602 \cdot 11 \\ 602 \cdot 42 \\ 602 \cdot 58 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 53\\54\\52\\53\\48\\46\\54\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 54 \\ 602 \cdot 30 \\ 602 \cdot 03 \\ 602 \cdot 00 \\ 602 \cdot 30 \\ 602 \cdot 66 \\ 602 \cdot 88 \end{array}$	50 50 50 50 50 50 74	$\begin{array}{c c} 601 \cdot 67 \\ 601 \cdot 43 \\ 601 \cdot 17 \\ 601 \cdot 14 \\ 601 \cdot 43 \\ 601 \cdot 80 \\ 602 \cdot 01 \end{array}$	$59 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51$

TABLE 9-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE SUPERIOR-Concluded

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Complete system; 8,500 cfs. at Chicag Wellan com	regulation assuming diversion to and new d Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cfs. diversion at Chicago and new Welland Canal complete	
	Month	ly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)
1921— August	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 76 \\ 602 \cdot 69 \\ 602 \cdot 55 \\ 602 \cdot 52 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 01 \\ \hline \\ 601 \cdot 64 \\ 601 \cdot 45 \\ 601 \cdot 33 \\ 601 \cdot 47 \\ 601 \cdot 96 \\ 602 \cdot 22 \\ 602 \cdot 51 \\ 602 \cdot 65 \\ 602 \cdot 72 \\ 602 \cdot 52 \end{array}$	5456554845424444444444444443444347	$\begin{array}{c} 602\cdot 98\\ 602\cdot 93\\ 602\cdot 82\\ 602\cdot 59\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 602\cdot 33\\ 601\cdot 72\\ 601\cdot 57\\ 601\cdot 55\\ 601\cdot 85\\ 602\cdot 20\\ 602\cdot 45\\ 602\cdot 65\\ 602\cdot 74\\ 602\cdot 65\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 91\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 19 \\ 602 \cdot 26 \\ 602 \cdot 09 \\ 601 \cdot 87 \\ 601 \cdot 59 \\ \hline \\ 600 \cdot 99 \\ 600 \cdot 81 \\ 600 \cdot 81 \\ 600 \cdot 81 \\ 601 \cdot 10 \\ 601 \cdot 46 \\ 601 \cdot 71 \\ 601 \cdot 90 \\ 601 \cdot 99 \\ 601 \cdot 99 \\ 601 \cdot 90 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$51 \\ 77 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 51$
November. December. 1923— Januar February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 602.37\\ 602.10\\ \hline\\ 601.88\\ 601.62\\ 601.47\\ 601.41\\ 601.67\\ 601.97\\ 602.08\\ 602.12\\ 602.09\\ 602.05\\ 601.80\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41\\ 48\\ 48\\ 49\\ 51\\ 55\\ 54\\ 53\\ 53\\ 52\\ 50\\ 49\\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 602 \cdot 46 \\ 602 \cdot 23 \\ \hline 602 \cdot 23 \\ \hline 601 \cdot 75 \\ 601 \cdot 53 \\ 601 \cdot 53 \\ 601 \cdot 67 \\ 601 \cdot 85 \\ 602 \cdot 04 \\ 602 \cdot 13 \\ 602 \cdot 04 \\ 602 \cdot 10 \\ 601 \cdot 94 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 30\\ 601\cdot 72\\ 601\cdot 50\\ \hline \\ 601\cdot 25\\ 601\cdot 01\\ 600\cdot 79\\ 600\cdot 70\\ 600\cdot 80\\ 600\cdot 96\\ 601\cdot 13\\ 601\cdot 33\\ 601\cdot 42\\ 601\cdot 43\\ 601\cdot 40\\ 601\cdot 25\\ \end{array}$	50 50 49 49 49 48 48 48 49 49 49 50 50 50 50 49 49 49
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 58\\ 601\cdot 35\\ 601\cdot 09\\ 601\cdot 04\\ 601\cdot 22\\ 601\cdot 30\\ 601\cdot 41\\ 601\cdot 67\\ 601\cdot 91\\ 601\cdot 91\\ 601\cdot 77\\ 601\cdot 52\\ \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 53 \\ 50 \\ 47 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 50 $	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 72\\ 601\cdot 44\\ 601\cdot 23\\ 601\cdot 09\\ 601\cdot 15\\ 601\cdot 30\\ 601\cdot 57\\ 601\cdot 57\\ 601\cdot 73\\ 601\cdot 93\\ 601\cdot 86\\ 601\cdot 67\end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 02\\ 600\cdot 80\\ 600\cdot 55\\ 600\cdot 41\\ 600\cdot 48\\ 600\cdot 63\\ 600\cdot 73\\ 600\cdot 91\\ 601\cdot 16\\ 601\cdot 28\\ 601\cdot 21\\ 601\cdot 02\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 49 \\ $
1925— January. February. March. April. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 15\\ 601\cdot 00\\ 600\cdot 83\\ 600\cdot 88\\ 600\cdot 97\\ 601\cdot 25\\ 601\cdot 42\\ 601\cdot 52\\ 601\cdot 43\\ 601\cdot 41\\ 601\cdot 14\\ 600\cdot 90 \end{array}$	$52 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 54 \\ 55 \\ 53 \\ 53 \\ 57 \\ 62 \\ 66 \\ 63 \\ 59$	$\begin{array}{c} 601\cdot 36\\ 601\cdot 11\\ 600\cdot 88\\ 600\cdot 88\\ 600\cdot 97\\ 601\cdot 17\\ 601\cdot 40\\ 601\cdot 55\\ 601\cdot 58\\ 601\cdot 55\\ 601\cdot 44\\ 601\cdot 24 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 600\cdot 62,\\ 600\cdot 39,\\ 600\cdot 23,\\ 600\cdot 23,\\ 600\cdot 33,\\ 600\cdot 56,\\ 600\cdot 82,\\ 600\cdot 99,\\ 601\cdot 02,\\ 601\cdot 00,\\ 600\cdot 83,\\ 600\cdot 60,\\ \end{array}$	48 47 47 48 48 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 48 48

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occ in p given i	conditions curring ast as n record	Computed for preser without New Well assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data cor U.S. Lak	l conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from npiled by e Survey	complete regulatio system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s diversion at Chicago and New Welland Cana complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
gidnendd i'r rau glanof	Moi M	nthly ean	Mon	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
(a) 1860— January	(b) 582-51 582-69 582-72 582-85 582-97 583-09 583-13 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 581-92 582-91 582-93 582-94 583-05 582-93 582-93 582-93 582-93 582-93 582-94 583-05 582-93 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-93 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-94 582-92 582-92 582-93 582-93 582-92 582-92 582-93 582-93 582-92 582-92 582-93 582-93 582-93 582-93 582-92 5	$(c) \\ 214 \\ 154 \\ 194 \\ 200 \\ 213 \\ 231 \\ 240 \\ 237 \\ 235 \\ 218 \\ 221 \\ 209 \\ 204 \\ .84 \\ 219 \\ 213 \\ 218 \\ 226 \\ 230 \\ 235 \\ 229 \\ 228 \\ 223 \\ 219 \\ 208 \\ 169 \\ 221 \\ 213 \\ 220 \\ 223 \\ 219 \\ 208 \\ 169 \\ 221 \\ 213 \\ 220 \\ 223 \\ 224 \\ 217 \\ 214 \\ 210 \\ 209 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 211 \\ 207 \\ 211 $	(d) 581.36 581.57 581.57 581.57 581.57 581.59 581.98 581.98 581.98 581.98 581.99 581.59 580.95 580.79 580.68 581.68 581.68 581.68 581.68 581.82 581.55 581.33 581.33 581.33 581.33 581.49 581.74 581.76 581.69 581.75 581.68 581.81 581.33 581.33 581.33 581.33 581.68 5	(e) 206 145 186 191 205 222 232 228 227 209 209 213 199 196 175 211 204 210 200 160 213 204 215 210 200 203 204 212 213 215 215 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 20			(11) $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 49$ $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 49$ $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 74$ $582 \cdot 13$ $582 \cdot 27$ $582 \cdot 16$ $581 \cdot 97$ $582 \cdot 27$ $582 \cdot 16$ $581 \cdot 38$ $581 \cdot 03$ $581 \cdot 26$ $581 \cdot 48$ $582 \cdot 48$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 44$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 44$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 43$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 44$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 43$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 44$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 85$ $582 \cdot 23$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 38$ $581 \cdot 39$ $581 \cdot 39$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 39$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 39$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 39$ $581 \cdot 42$ $581 \cdot 44$ 58	(1) 195 137 175 181 195 210 234 231 228 221 2.7 $.93$ 194 168 198 201 208 236 237 236 237 236 237 236 224 194 155 200 200 204 229 224 194 155 200 200 204 209 232 231 228 224 194 155 200 200 204 209 232 231 228 229 224 219 195 194 187 193 195 196
June. July. August. September October. November December. 1864– January.	582.47 582.42 582.29 582.11 582.02 581.58 581.92 581.69	215 212 210 208 212 203 211 203 211	581.32 581.27 581.14 580.96 580.87 580.43 580.77 580.54	206 204 201 200 203 195 202 196			581.51 581.50 581.37 581.25 581.15 580.95 580.94 581.06	196 196 195 194 193 193 193 193

.

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in p given i	conditions urring ast as n record	Computed for preservithout New Wel assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data con U.S. La	l conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion tt 8,500 c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs diversion at chicago and n New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 cfs diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Sector Sector	Monthly mean		Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1864— February. March. April. May. June. July. July. August. September. October. November. December. January. February. March. April. May. June. July. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 80\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 582\cdot 02\\ 582\cdot 01\\ 581\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 73\\ 581\cdot 46\\ 581\cdot 07\\ 580\cdot 90\\ 580\cdot 77\\ 580\cdot 56\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot 31\\ 581\cdot 31\\ 581\cdot 47\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 581\cdot 84\\ 581\cdot 60\\ 581\cdot 04\\ 580\cdot 73\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 209\\ 195\\ 203\\ 200\\ 201\\ 199\\ 194\\ 191\\ 187\\ 181\\ 165\\ 155\\ 191\\ 198\\ 195\\ 196\\ 206\\ 207\\ 205\\ 202\\ 193\\ 186\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 40\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 36\\ 580\cdot 36\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 86\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 58\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 579\cdot 92\\ 579\cdot 75\\ 579\cdot 62\\ 579\cdot 62\\ 579\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 16\\ 580\cdot 32\\ 580\cdot 16\\ 580\cdot 32\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 580\cdot 69\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 579\cdot 89\\ 579\cdot 58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 198\\ 201\\ 186\\ 195\\ 191\\ 193\\ 190\\ 186\\ 182\\ 179\\ 172\\ 157\\ 146\\ 183\\ 189\\ 187\\ 187\\ 198\\ 198\\ 197\\ 198\\ 197\\ 193\\ 185\\ 177\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 84\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 85\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 15\\ 581\cdot 03\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 70\\ 580\cdot 10\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 11\\ 579\cdot 94\\ 579\cdot 987\\ 580\cdot 30\\ 580\cdot 43\\ 580\cdot 43$	$\begin{array}{c} 190\\ 189\\ 185\\ 186\\ 188\\ 188\\ 188\\ 185\\ 183\\ 176\\ 173\\ 176\\ 177\\ 179\\ 180\\ 182\\ 185\\ 194\\ 197\\ 197\\ 197\\ 197\\ 192\\ 186\\ 179\end{array}$
1866— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December. 1867— January. February. March. April. May. June. June. June. September. October. November. December. September. September. December. November. September. December. December. November. September. September. December. December. September. September. September. December. September. December. September. Sep	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 47\\ 580\cdot 23\\ 580\cdot 23\\ 580\cdot 28\\ 580\cdot 73\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 20\\ 581\cdot 46\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 26\\ 581\cdot 17\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 12\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 12\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 582\cdot 09\\ 582\cdot 02\\ 581\cdot 75\\ 581\cdot 42\\ 580\cdot 96\\ 580\cdot 61\\ 580\cdot 45\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 183\\ 185\\ 189\\ 193\\ 196\\ 193\\ 190\\ 190\\ 190\\ 190\\ 190\\ 180\\ 192\\ 193\\ 176\\ 196\\ 197\\ 199\\ 207\\ 204\\ 203\\ 198\\ 192\\ 183\\ 182\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 32\\ 579\cdot 08\\ 579\cdot 13\\ 579\cdot 58\\ 579\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 37\\ 580\cdot 22\\ 580\cdot 11\\ 580\cdot 02\\ 579\cdot 76\\ 579\cdot 76\\ 579\cdot 74\\ 579\cdot 79\\ 579\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 26\\ 580\cdot 48\\ 580\cdot 79\\ 580\cdot 94\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 60\\ 580\cdot 27\\ 579\cdot 46\\ 579\cdot 30\\ \end{array}$	$171 \\ 171 \\ 173 \\ 174 \\ 177 \\ 180 \\ 185 \\ 187 \\ 185 \\ 187 \\ 185 \\ 187 \\ 185 \\ 187 \\ 189 \\ 190 \\ 199 \\ 190 \\ 199 \\ 195 \\ 195 \\ 189 \\ 189 \\ 184 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 171 $			$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 19\\ 579\cdot 89\\ 579\cdot 76\\ 579\cdot 98\\ 550\cdot 26\\ 580\cdot 75\\ 580\cdot 89\\ 580\cdot 90\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 580\cdot 73\\ 580\cdot 58\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 48\\ 580\cdot 57\\ 580\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 49\\ 581\cdot 58\\ 581\cdot 6\\ 580\cdot 78\\ 580\cdot 39\\ 580\cdot 17\\ \end{array}$	176 170 171 171 175 178 184 185 185 185 185 185 185 181 180 164 186 190 192 197 198 198 198 192 186 179
February	580.41	173	579.26	164			580.17	178

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual c occu in ps given i	onditions rring ast as n record	Computed for preser without New Well assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data con U.S. Lal	conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	ons en al asuming 8,500 c.f.: diversion at f.s. New Welland Cana y complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
These of Monthly	Mor m	nthly ean	Mor m	Monthly mean		Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1868— March. April. May. June. July. September. October. November. December. 1869— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1870— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1870— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. July. August. September. October. November. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December. December. December. December. December. December. December. December. December. September. October. November. December. December. December. September. October. November. December. December. September. October. November. Dec	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot09\\ 580\cdot99\\ 580\cdot99\\ 581\cdot27\\ 581\cdot48\\ 581\cdot51\\ 581\cdot17\\ 580\cdot93\\ 580\cdot63\\ 580\cdot63\\ 580\cdot63\\ 580\cdot25\\ 580\cdot63\\ 580\cdot25\\ 580\cdot32\\ 580\cdot66\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot93\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot93\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot82\\ 581\cdot46\\ 581\cdot12\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot93\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot21\\ 581\cdot51\\ 581\cdot22\\ 582\cdot27\\ 582\cdot27\\ 582\cdot41\\ 582\cdot57\\ 581\cdot42\\ 582\cdot64\\ 582\cdot68\\ 581\cdot42\\ 581\cdot67\\ 581\cdot42\\ 581\cdot42\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 196\\ 189\\ 190\\ 192\\ 194\\ 189\\ 196\\ 186\\ 185\\ 187\\ 180\\ 183\\ 171\\ 167\\ 179\\ 184\\ 193\\ 196\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 198\\ 197\\ 189\\ 197\\ 189\\ 197\\ 189\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204\\ 204$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot94\\ 579\cdot84\\ 580\cdot12\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot36\\ 580\cdot02\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot20\\ 579\cdot10\\ 579\cdot17\\ 578\cdot91\\ 579\cdot28\\ 579\cdot61\\ 580\cdot52\\ 580\cdot14\\ 580\cdot52\\ 580\cdot31\\ 580\cdot52\\ 580\cdot31\\ 580\cdot91\\ 579\cdot91\\ 579\cdot91\\ 580\cdot32\\ 580\cdot31\\ 580\cdot32\\ 580\cdot34\\ 581\cdot32\\ 581\cdot42\\ 581\cdot22\\ 580\cdot34\\ 581\cdot42\\ 581\cdot22\\ 580\cdot34\\ 580\cdot42\\ 580\cdot34\\ 580\cdot44\\ 581\cdot44\\ 581\cdot49\\ 581\cdot53\\ 581\cdot53\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 188\\ 180\\ 182\\ 183\\ 186\\ 180\\ 182\\ 183\\ 186\\ 180\\ 182\\ 183\\ 186\\ 196\\ 170\\ 176\\ 176\\ 184\\ 188\\ 195\\ 196\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 180\\ 170\\ 171\\ 171\\ 178\\ 194\\ 199\\ 202\\ 203\\ 200\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203$	580.75 580.74 580.60 580.63 581.17 581.59 582.00 582.12 582.12 582.18 581.88 581.68 581.47 581.34 581.88 581.47 581.34 581.54 582.52 582.45 582.52 582.37 582.31 582.65 581.64 581.27 581.08 581.23 581.68 581.27 581.08 581.23 581.58 581.25 581.64 581.27 581.08 581.25 581.64 581.27 581.08 581.25 581.64 581.27 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.68 581.25 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 581.59 582.59 581.59 581.59 582.59 582.59 581.59 58	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 29\\ 580\cdot 55\\ 580\cdot 63\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 14\\ 579\cdot 99\\ 579\cdot 84\\ 579\cdot 83\\ 579\cdot 74\\ 579\cdot 77\\ 580\cdot 98\\ 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 95\\ 581\cdot 25\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 33\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 33\\ 581\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 184\\ 183\\ 183\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 182\\ 180\\ 177\\ 176\\ 172\\ 172\\ 158\\ 159\\ 167\\ 172\\ 179\\ 187\\ 192\\ 190\\ 188\\ 186\\ 181\\ 178\\ 166\\ 169\\ 192\\ 190\\ 200\\ 203\\ 205\\ 201\\ 196\\ 191\\ 191\\ 188\\ 197\\ 200\\ 204\\ 206\\ 208\\ 204\\ 194\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185\\ 185$
December 1872— January February	580.48 580.36 580.35 580.13	175 182 183	579.33 579.20 579.20 578.98	166 174 174 174	580.55 580.15 580.10 579.96	180 151 204 183	579.87 579.77 579.62	175 172 171 171

March.... 45827—10

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
and Marine					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1872— April. May. Jule. July. August. September. October. November December 1873— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1874— January. February. March. April. May. July. August. September. October. November. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1875— January. February. March. April. May. July. June. <	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 38\\ 580\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 00\\ 581\cdot 01\\ 580\cdot 94\\ 580\cdot 92\\ 580\cdot 52\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 22\\ 580\cdot 79\\ 581\cdot 35\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 85\\ 581\cdot 79\\ 581\cdot 56\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 88\\ 581\cdot 77\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot 80\\ 582\cdot 11\\ 582\cdot 11\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 77\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 581\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 581\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 12\\ 581\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 92\\ 581\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 92\\ 581\cdot 89\\ 582\cdot 06\\ 581\cdot 99\\ 582\cdot 06\\ 581\cdot 98\\ 581\cdot $	182 187 191 194 192 192 192 192 192 188 187 172 178 179 183 197 211 208 210 206 202 164 136 197 204 200 215 211 202 164 136 197 204 200 215 211 200 203 201 200 198 207 214 216 219	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot23\\ 579\cdot48\\ 579\cdot85\\ 579\cdot86\\ 579\cdot88\\ 579\cdot86\\ 579\cdot79\\ 579\cdot79\\ 579\cdot67\\ 579\cdot38\\ 578\cdot72\\ 578\cdot72\\ 578\cdot76\\ 579\cdot07\\ 579\cdot64\\ 580\cdot20\\ 580\cdot83\\ 580\cdot79\\ 580\cdot83\\ 580\cdot79\\ 580\cdot64\\ 580\cdot41\\ 580\cdot37\\ 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot65\\ 581\cdot02\\ 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot65\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 173\\ 179\\ 182\\ 186\\ 183\\ 184\\ 179\\ 179\\ 163\\ 170\\ 167\\ 171\\ 174\\ 189\\ 202\\ 200\\ 202\\ 200\\ 201\\ 202\\ 200\\ 201\\ 198\\ 193\\ 193\\ 156\\ 127\\ 189\\ 193\\ 195\\ 192\\ 206\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203\\ 203$	$\begin{array}{c} 6, \\ 580.00 \\ 580.21 \\ 580.52 \\ 580.52 \\ 580.71 \\ 580.80 \\ 580.85 \\ 580.77 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.21 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.21 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.11 \\ 580.56 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.70 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.65 \\ 581.43 \\ 581.12 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.65 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.50 \\ 581.85 \\ 581.57 \\ 581.21 \\ 581.50 \\ 580.75 \\ 580.56 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.60 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.71 \\ 581.50 \\ 581.71 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.77 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.77 \\ 581.90 \\ 581.85 \\ 580.56 \\ 580.60 \\ 580.60 \\ 581.61 \\ 581.71 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.77 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.81 \\ 581.81 \\ $	169 174 150 168 176 195 186 169 150 174 192 185 156 150 229 233 231 230 227 183 182 144 150 210 150 229 212 213 210 212 213 210 212 213 210 212 213 210 212 213 210 212 213 210 212 213 214 167 153 208 209 <td>$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 62\\ 579\cdot 84\\ 580\cdot 12\\ 580\cdot 26\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 59\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 59\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 51\\ 579\cdot 96\\ 580\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 64\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} ()\\ 171\\ 175\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 178\\ 178\\ 176\\ 172\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 16$</td>	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 62\\ 579\cdot 84\\ 580\cdot 12\\ 580\cdot 26\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 59\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 59\\ 579\cdot 34\\ 579\cdot 51\\ 579\cdot 96\\ 580\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 64\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} ()\\ 171\\ 175\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 178\\ 176\\ 178\\ 178\\ 178\\ 176\\ 172\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 166\\ 16$
October November December	$581 \cdot 84$ $581 \cdot 63$ $581 \cdot 44$	$216 \\ 215 \\ 201$	580.69 580.48 580.29	207 207 192	$581 \cdot 62$ $581 \cdot 43$ $581 \cdot 14$	229 228 213	581.87 581.74 581.57 581.35	$228 \\ 224 \\ 223 \\ 192$
January February March April	$\begin{array}{c} 581 \cdot 39 \\ 581 \cdot 59 \\ 581 \cdot 92 \\ 582 \cdot 12 \end{array}$	203 204 197 205	$580 \cdot 24$ $580 \cdot 44$ $580 \cdot 77$ $580 \cdot 97$	$ \begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 195 \\ 189 \\ 196 \end{array} $	581.06 581.22 581.28 581.58	208 174 150 163	$581 \cdot 29$ $581 \cdot 37$ $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 72$	198 196 197 199

.

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
First of Strathly					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1876-				1				A State
May June July	$582.74 \\ 583.15 \\ 583.49$	199 226 237	$581 \cdot 59$ $582 \cdot 00$ $582 \cdot 34$	191 217 229	582.04 582.54 582.94	$214 \\ 244 \\ 252 \\ 252$	$582 \cdot 14$ $582 \cdot 65$ $583 \cdot 10$	192 219 251
August	$583 \cdot 42$	238	582.27	229	583.07	259	583.20	203
September	583.37	241	582.22	233	582.57	235	582.86	245
October	582.80	234	581.74	223	582.21	243	582.58	242
December.	582.42	241	581.27	205	581.96	238	582.36	236
1877—				1 1.037		1 00.032		010
January	$582 \cdot 28$	213	581.13	205	581.65		582.00	186
February	582.29	197	581.14	188			581.87	139
March	582.29	148	581.59	140			581.96	165
April	582.56	182	581.41	174			582.02	170
June	582.63	226	581.48	217			581.99	207
July	$582 \cdot 60$	227	581.45	219			581.98	207
August	$582 \cdot 48$	226	581.33	217			581.96	207
September	$582 \cdot 27$	222	581.12	214			581.83	227
October	582.28	218	581.13	209			581.60	224
November	582.16	216	581.01	208			581.52	219
December	582.10	215	580.95	200			001 02	210
18/8-	581.08	209	580-83	201			581.45	194
February	581.91	164	580.76	155			581.35	152
March	582.07	195	580.92	187			581.37	180
April	582.09	205	580.94	196			581.47	197
May	$582 \cdot 39$	214	581.24	206			581.65	201
June	$582 \cdot 53$	220	581.38	211			581.06	203
July	582.54	219	581.39	211			581.87	200
August	582.22	218	580.87	205			581.70	204
September	581.01	214	580.76	208			581.61	222
November	581.78	216	580.63	208			581.52	220
December	$581 \cdot 46$	192	580.31	183			581.33	199
1879—					204	18.135	501.00	170
January	$581 \cdot 15$	185	580.00	177			580.78	168
February	581.16	159	580.01	150			580.70	100
March	581.20	188	580.04	188			580.78	185
April	581.32	194	580.17	186			580.84	186
May	581.39	200	580.24	191			. 580.94	187
July	581.48	200	580.33	192			. 580.99	189
August	$581 \cdot 29$	199	580.14	- 190			. 580.91	188
September	$581 \cdot 17$	200	580.02	192			580.80	187
October	580.95	197	579.80	188			580.40	180
November	580.73	197	579.58	189			580.43	181
December	580.76	194	579.61	180			. 000.45	101
1880-	590.90	109	579.65	184			580.45	186
January	580.71	185	579.56	176			. 580.43	173
Morch	580.75	191	579.60	183			. 580.39	177
April	580.92	189	579.77	180			. 580.45	179
	581.96	196	580.11	188			580.68	186

May..... 45827—101

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in p given i	ctual conditions occurring in past as given in record Computed for present New Wells assumed a Other lowe data com U.S. Laka		l conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion tt 8,500 c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	itions imen tion late sion c.f.s. from by vey			Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		
states and barrents	Monthly mean		Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean		
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)		
1000										
1880— JuneJuly AugustSeptember October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 581 \cdot 77 \\ 581 \cdot 99 \\ 582 \cdot 02 \\ 581 \cdot 72 \\ 581 \cdot 38 \\ 581 \cdot 06 \\ 580 \cdot 89 \end{array}$	205 211 210 210 205 204 192	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 62\\ 580\cdot 84\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 57\\ 580\cdot 57\\ 580\cdot 23\\ 579\cdot 91\\ 579\cdot 74\end{array}$	$196 \\ 203 \\ 201 \\ 202 \\ 196 \\ 196 \\ 181$			581.09581.47581.67581.62581.38581.14580.98	192 200 201 201 198 194 192		
1861— January February March April May June July August. September October. November December 1889-	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot90\\ 581\cdot11\\ 581\cdot40\\ 581\cdot31\\ 581\cdot82\\ 582\cdot02\\ 582\cdot02\\ 582\cdot02\\ 582\cdot02\\ 581\cdot79\\ 582\cdot12\\ 581\cdot95\\ 581\cdot85\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 186\\ "02\\ 196\\ 205\\ 208\\ 209\\ 214\\ 210\\ 209\\ 219\\ 225\\ 210\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 579 \cdot 75 \\ 579 \cdot 96 \\ 580 \cdot 25 \\ 580 \cdot 16 \\ 580 \cdot 67 \\ 580 \cdot 87 \\ 580 \cdot 87 \\ 580 \cdot 87 \\ 580 \cdot 64 \\ 580 \cdot 97 \\ 580 \cdot 80 \\ 580 \cdot 70 \end{array}$	178 193 188 196 200 206 201 201 210 217 201			$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 89\\ 580\cdot 96\\ 581\cdot 18\\ 581\cdot 21\\ 581\cdot 36\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 75\\ 581\cdot 79\\ 581\cdot 69\\ 581\cdot 69\\ 581\cdot 89\\ 581\cdot 89\\ 581\cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	$179 \\ 188 \\ 186 \\ 193 \\ 197 \\ 199 \\ 202 \\ 204 \\ 206 \\ 228 \\ 230 \\ 220 \\ $		
January. February. March April. May. June July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 99\\ 582\cdot 12\\ 582\cdot 22\\ 582\cdot 49\\ 582\cdot 62\\ 582\cdot 81\\ 582\cdot 69\\ 582\cdot 28\\ 582\cdot 07\\ 581\cdot 74\end{array}$	208 195 203 207 206 214 211 213 219 217 213 203	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 48\\ 580\cdot 47\\ 580\cdot 84\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 581\cdot 07\\ 581\cdot 34\\ 581\cdot 47\\ 581\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 13\\ 580\cdot 92\\ 580\cdot 59\end{array}$	200 186 195 198 205 203 204 211 208 205 194			$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 65\\ 581\cdot 50\\ 581\cdot 55\\ 581\cdot 77\\ 581\cdot 93\\ 582\cdot 05\\ 582\cdot 17\\ 582\cdot 28\\ 582\cdot 26\\ 582\cdot 03\\ 581\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 51\\ \end{array}$	201 188 199 201 205 205 210 233 233 233 227 224 219		
January February March. April. May July July September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 48\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 61\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 582\cdot 30\\ 582\cdot 66\\ 583\cdot 26\\ 583\cdot 23\\ 583\cdot 04\\ 582\cdot 82\\ 582\cdot 37\\ 582\cdot 29\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 208\\ 187\\ 206\\ 217\\ 219\\ 223\\ 230\\ 227\\ 223\\ 232\\ 232\\ 221\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 33\\ 580\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 46\\ 580\cdot 67\\ 581\cdot 15\\ 581\cdot 51\\ 582\cdot 11\\ 582\cdot 08\\ 581\cdot 89\\ 581\cdot 67\\ 581\cdot 22\\ 581\cdot 14\\ \end{array}$	196 199 179 209 210 215 221 219 214 224 212			$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 18\\ 581\cdot 21\\ 581\cdot 26\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 582\cdot 03\\ 582\cdot 43\\ 582\cdot 69\\ 582\cdot 61\\ 582\cdot 33\\ 582\cdot 23\\ 582\cdot 12\\ 582\cdot 01\\ \end{array}$	$197 \\ 195 \\ 177 \\ 196 \\ 209 \\ 238 \\ 239 \\ 238 \\ 239 \\ 233 \\ 233 \\ 233 \\ 225 \\$		
January. February. March April May. June	$\begin{array}{c} 582 \cdot 07 \\ 582 \cdot 19 \\ 582 \cdot 44 \\ 582 \cdot 62 \\ 582 \cdot 83 \\ 582 \cdot 99 \end{array}$	$166 \\ 179 \\ 215 \\ 221 \\ 225 \\ 224$	580.92 581.04 581.29 581.47 581.68 581.84	158 170 207 212 217 215		·····	581.79 581.66 581.74 581.92 582.13 582.27	$ \begin{array}{r} 152 \\ 165 \\ 205 \\ 206 \\ 209 \\ 210 \\ \end{array} $		

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occu in pi given i	conditions urring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s diversion at Chicago and New Welland Cana complete	
vidassili is besi	Mon	nthly ean	Mon	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1884— July September October November December 1885— January	582.83 582.69 582.44 582.44 582.08 582.05 582.05	226 226 222 229 224 214 215	$581 \cdot 68 \\ 581 \cdot 54 \\ 581 \cdot 29 \\ 581 \cdot 29 \\ 580 \cdot 93 \\ 580 \cdot 90 \\ 580 \cdot 91$	218 217 214 220 216 205 207			$582 \cdot 28$ $582 \cdot 11$ $581 \cdot 88$ $581 \cdot 79$ $581 \cdot 71$ $581 \cdot 49$ $581 \cdot 56$	232 227 227 226 225 201 206
February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 582 \cdot 29 \\ 582 \cdot 25 \\ 582 \cdot 44 \\ 582 \cdot 80 \\ 583 \cdot 01 \\ 583 \cdot 31 \\ 583 \cdot 31 \\ 583 \cdot 17 \\ 583 \cdot 03 \\ 582 \cdot 73 \\ 582 \cdot 73 \\ 582 \cdot 44 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 223\\ 212\\ 215\\ 228\\ 232\\ 235\\ 237\\ 236\\ 234\\ 229\\ 222\\ \end{array}$	$581 \cdot 14$ $581 \cdot 10$ $581 \cdot 29$ $581 \cdot 65$ $581 \cdot 86$ $581 \cdot 95$ $582 \cdot 16$ $582 \cdot 02$ $581 \cdot 88$ $581 \cdot 58$ $581 \cdot 29$	214 204 206 220 223 227 228 228 228 225 221 213			$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 67\\ 581\cdot 69\\ 581\cdot 72\\ 581\cdot 96\\ 582\cdot 24\\ 582\cdot 41\\ 582\cdot 60\\ 582\cdot 64\\ 582\cdot 49\\ 582\cdot 30\\ 582\cdot 07\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 208\\ 207\\ 205\\ 209\\ 212\\ 238\\ 241\\ 242\\ 237\\ 233\\ 231\\ \end{array}$
1886- January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 582\cdot 67\\ 582\cdot 69\\ 582\cdot 97\\ 583\cdot 24\\ 583\cdot 50\\ 583\cdot 57\\ 583\cdot 38\\ 583\cdot 15\\ 582\cdot 91\\ 582\cdot 81\\ 582\cdot 81\\ 582\cdot 47\\ 582\cdot 14\\ \end{array}$	182 162 202 233 245 241 238 235 233 230 230 218	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 54\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 582\cdot 09\\ 582\cdot 35\\ 582\cdot 42\\ 582\cdot 23\\ 582\cdot 00\\ 581\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 32\\ 580\cdot 99\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 174 \\ 153 \\ 194 \\ 200 \\ 225 \\ 236 \\ 233 \\ 229 \\ 227 \\ 224 \\ 222 \\ 209 \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 582 \cdot 01 \\ 582 \cdot 07 \\ 582 \cdot 40 \\ 582 \cdot 67 \\ 582 \cdot 82 \\ 582 \cdot 74 \\ 582 \cdot 26 \\ 582 \cdot 26 \\ 582 \cdot 21 \\ 581 \cdot 93 \\ 581 \cdot 64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 171 \\ 151 \\ 190 \\ 196 \\ 218 \\ 222 \\ 243 \\ 237 \\ 235 \\ 231 \\ 228 \\ 222 \end{array}$
1887— January February March. April. May. June. July. July. August. September October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 582\cdot06\\ 582\cdot43\\ 582\cdot59\\ 582\cdot54\\ 582\cdot74\\ 582\cdot87\\ 582\cdot81\\ 582\cdot87\\ 582\cdot81\\ 582\cdot67\\ 582\cdot33\\ 581\cdot88\\ 581\cdot55\\ 581\cdot43\end{array}$	217 221 201 216 226 229 225 219 217 211 204	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 92 \\ 581 \cdot 29 \\ 581 \cdot 45 \\ 581 \cdot 40 \\ 581 \cdot 60 \\ 581 \cdot 67 \\ 581 \cdot 53 \\ 581 \cdot 67 \\ 581 \cdot 53 \\ 580 \cdot 74 \\ 580 \cdot 29 \end{array}$	209 212 193 201 208 217 221 216 211 208 203 195			$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 45\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 71\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 582\cdot 02\\ 582\cdot 15\\ 582\cdot 17\\ 582\cdot 05\\ 581\cdot 81\\ 581\cdot 49\\ 581\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 94\\ \end{array}$	202 201 185 193 204 208 210 228 224 198 194 189
1888— January February March. April May. June. July	$581 \cdot 25$ $581 \cdot 20$ $581 \cdot 38$ $581 \cdot 59$ $581 \cdot 97$ $582 \cdot 24$ $582 \cdot 25$	200 200 193 204 201 221 218	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot11\\ 580\cdot06\\ 580\cdot24\\ 580\cdot45\\ 580\cdot83\\ 581\cdot10\\ 581\cdot11\end{array}$	192 191 185 195 193 212 210			$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot75\\ 580\cdot62\\ 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot83\\ 581\cdot11\\ 581\cdot43\\ 581\cdot67\end{array}$	187 185 176 188 185 198 203

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual c occu in pr given 1	conditions nring ast as n record	Computed conditio for present regime without regulation ns New Welland Cana assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f Other lowerings fro data compiled by U.S. Lake Surve		Complete sys assuming diver: Chica New Well: com	regulation tem, 8,500 c.f.s sion at go and and Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Fost of Monthly	Moi m	nthly ean	Mon	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge h)
1888				1				
August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 582\cdot 13\\ 581\cdot 98\\ 581\cdot 73\\ 581\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 10\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220 \\ 216 \\ 211 \\ 208 \\ 201 \end{array}$	580.99 580.84 580.59 580.54 579.96	$211 \\ 208 \\ 202 \\ 200 \\ 192$			581.75 581.71 581.53 581.34 581.08	$203 \\ 204 \\ 220 \\ 216 \\ 189$
1889-	501 00	107	570.04	180	a la la compañía de la	1.01-0	580.91	191
January February March April May	581.08 581.05 581.03 581.04 581.12	197 176 176 180 192	579.94 579.91 579.89 579.90 579.98	189 167 168 171 184			$580 \cdot 51$ $580 \cdot 87$ $580 \cdot 78$ $580 \cdot 74$ $580 \cdot 76$	166 163 169 180
June July August	$581.58 \\ 581.76 \\ 581.52$	203 207 208	580.44 580.62 580.38	194 199 199	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		580.96 581.22 581.27 581.27	189 193 193
September October November December	$581 \cdot 35$ $581 \cdot 10$ $580 \cdot 75$ $580 \cdot 57$	206 201 195 186	$580 \cdot 21$ $579 \cdot 96$ $579 \cdot 61$ $579 \cdot 43$	198 192 187 177			$581 \cdot 17$ $580 \cdot 98$ $580 \cdot 71$ $580 \cdot 50$	193 189 186 177
1890—				100		1 1 2 2 2	F00 49	170
January February March. April May	580.65 580.61 580.59 580.91 581.14	188 185 180 185 187	$579 \cdot 51$ $579 \cdot 47$ $579 \cdot 45$ $579 \cdot 77$ $580 \cdot 00$	180 176 172 176 179 179	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	580.43 580.40 580.30 580.40 580.64	179 174 171 174 174 177
June. July. August.	$581 \cdot 55$ $581 \cdot 62$ $581 \cdot 54$ $581 \cdot 24$	196 202 205 201	580.41 580.48 580.40 580.20	187 194 196 193			580.95 581.18 581.23 581.16	184 190 192 193
October November December	$581 \cdot 54$ $581 \cdot 23$ $580 \cdot 89$ $580 \cdot 54$	198 194 187	$ \begin{array}{r} 580 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 09 \\ 579 \cdot 75 \\ 579 \cdot 40 \end{array} $	189 186 178		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$581 \cdot 02$ $580 \cdot 87$ $580 \cdot 62$	190 186 183
January February March	$580.52 \\ 580.28 \\ 580.47$	$ 181 \\ 185 \\ 163 $	$579 \cdot 38$ $579 \cdot 14$ $579 \cdot 33$	173 176 155			$580 \cdot 45 \\ 580 \cdot 30 \\ 580 \cdot 20$	177 175 159
April May June	580.78 580.88 581.03 580.86	184 186 198 198	579.64 579.74 579.89 579.72	175 178 189 190			$ \begin{array}{r} 580.38 \\ 580.61 \\ 580.68 \\ 580.64 \end{array} $	175 175 183 183
August. September October November	580.79 580.56 580.20 579.80	197 194 188 182	579.65 579.42 579.06 578.66 578.60	188 186 179 174		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 55 \\ 580 \cdot 43 \\ 580 \cdot 17 \\ 579 \cdot 84 \\ 570 \ 66 \end{array}$	181 180 174 172
1892— January	579.74	181	578.00	1/2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	579.65	165
February. March April May. June July. August	580.05 579.95 580.01 580.43 580.88 580.89 580.97	$ \begin{array}{c c} 150\\ 156\\ 177\\ 180\\ 186\\ 192\\ 196\\ \end{array} $	578.98 578.88 579.36 579.81 579.82 579.90	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$\begin{array}{c} 579 \cdot 70 \\ 579 \cdot 70 \\ 579 \cdot 74 \\ 579 \cdot 91 \\ 580 \cdot 25 \\ 580 \cdot 54 \\ 580 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 142\\ 148\\ 169\\ 171\\ 173\\ 178\\ 180 \end{array} $

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete syst assuming divers Chica New Wella com	regulation em, 8,500 c.f.s. ion at go and ind Canal plete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
First of Menthly	Mor m	nthly ean	Mon	Monthly mean		Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1892-								
September	580.77	192	579.70	184			580.60	182
October	580.53	192	579.46	183			580.41	178
November	580.26	190	579.19	182			580.16	176
December	579.99	180	578.92	171			579.87	169
1893—		0.103		A State of the second		12.56		
January	579.98	155	578.97	147			579.65	139
February	580.12	157	579.11	148			579.63	142
March	580.23	180	579.22	172			579.70	172
April	580.69	184	579.68	175			579.96	174
May	580.99	184	579.98	176			580.40	166
June	581.32	199	580.31	190			580.79	183
July	581.34	202	580.33	194			580.96	188
Aug	581.17	201	580.16	192			580.96	189
September	580.85	196	579.84	188			580.81	188
October	580.71	195	579.70	186			580.63	189
November	580.32	192	579.31	184			580.47	183
December	$580 \cdot 25$	186	579.24	177			580.29	179
1894—						150	500.00	170
January	580.26	189	579.31	181	580.73	153	580.30	1/9
February	$580 \cdot 29$	175	579.34	166	580.82	181	580.29	103
March	580.55	188	579.60	180	580.90	210	580.37	175
April	580.70	185	579.75	176	581.15	206	580.57	1/2
May	$581 \cdot 24$	195	580.29	187	581.52	215	580.89	184
June	$581 \cdot 40$	209	580.45	200	581.86	229	581.24	194
July	$581 \cdot 43$	212	580.48	204	582.03	232	581.49	199
August	581.35	208	280.40	199	581.90	232	081.04	199
September	580.92	205	579.97	197	581.60	173	581.34	197
October	580.71	201	579.76	192	581.40	102	500.02	195
November	580.44	200	579.49	192	081·23	222	500.71	190
December	580.09	191	579.14	182	580.97	217	500.11	100
1895—		170	F70 00	170	500 70	019	500.47	100
January	579.91	178	578.99	1/0	580.12	160	590.99	170
February	579.80	1/4	570 05	100	500.44	109	580.12	178
March	579.77	185	570.05	170	590.49	150	580.15	162
April	5/9.9/	172	579.05	100	580.62	150	580.27	168
May	500.10	1/9	570 96	104	580.72	180	580.35	170
June	580.18	195	579.20	104	580.82	100	580.20	178
July	580.07	191	579.10	100	580.77	177	580.20	176
August	579.95	109	579.76	170	580.70	181	580.11	175
September	579.08	101	579.20	176	580.40	183	579.89	171
October	579.51	170	579.17	170	580.35	204	579.62	168
November	579.09	170	578.06	161	579.98	150	579.43	166
December	219.39	110	010.00	101	010.00	100	0.0 10	100
1890— January	570 00	171	578.18	162	579.95	150	579.42	166
January	579.00	147	578.99	128	580.02	170	579.46	137
February	579.10	147	579 99	150	579.00	150	579.49	151
March	579.11	108	579.41	150	570.00	150	579.42	163
April	579.29	108	579 60	169	580.10	150	579.60	162
May	579.57	170	570.01	102	580.52	150	570.00	172
June	579.89	184	579.01	170	580.82	182	580.15	176
July	579.83	184	570 00	170	580.81	150	580.91	175
August	579.76	182	570 70	173	580.75	150	580.18	176
September	519.00	182	. 010.18	1/4	000.10	100	000.10	

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete sys assuming diver Chice New Wel com	e regulation tem, 8,500 c.f.s. sion at ugo and land Canal uplete	Partial regulation system, assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
The second second					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1896— October November December 1897— January February Money	579.61 579.39 579.34 579.33 579.41 579.72	179 181 173 176 169 172	$578 \cdot 73$ $578 \cdot 51$ $578 \cdot 46$ $578 \cdot 48$ $578 \cdot 56$ $578 \cdot 87$	170 173 164 168 160 165 16 16 165 16 1 16 1	$580 \cdot 60 \\ 580 \cdot 37 \\ 580 \cdot 19 \\ 580 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 21 \\ 580 \cdot 25 \\ $	170 171 169 160 187 150	580.08 579.97 579.87 579.85 579.80 570.83	174 174 168 172 169 170 17 1
April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November.	$579 \cdot 72$ $579 \cdot 89$ $580 \cdot 38$ $580 \cdot 65$ $580 \cdot 84$ $580 \cdot 78$ $580 \cdot 53$ $580 \cdot 24$ $579 \cdot 98$ $579 \cdot 76$	$ \begin{array}{c} 175 \\ 175 \\ 183 \\ 196 \\ 199 \\ 200 \\ 196 \\ 192 \\ 190 \\ 182 \\ 190 \\ 182 \\ 190 \\ 182 \\ 190 \\ 182 \\ 190 \\ 182 $	$579 \cdot 04$ $579 \cdot 04$ $579 \cdot 53$ $579 \cdot 80$ $579 \cdot 99$ $579 \cdot 93$ $579 \cdot 68$ $579 \cdot 39$ $579 \cdot 39$ $579 \cdot 13$ $579 \cdot 01$	$ \begin{array}{r} 163 \\ 166 \\ 175 \\ 187 \\ 191 \\ 191 \\ 188 \\ 183 \\ 182 \\ 124 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 23 \\ 580 \cdot 47 \\ 580 \cdot 87 \\ 581 \cdot 24 \\ 581 \cdot 48 \\ 581 \cdot 62 \\ 581 \cdot 49 \\ 581 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 94 \\ 580 \cdot 65 \end{array}$	150 153 183 194 173 163 178 174 150	$579 \cdot 83$ $580 \cdot 03$ $580 \cdot 38$ $580 \cdot 73$ $580 \cdot 95$ $581 \cdot 02$ $580 \cdot 93$ $580 \cdot 68$ $580 \cdot 47$ $580 \cdot 27$	170 166 173 184 189 190 189 189 189 185 182 178
Becember Isos January February March. April. May June July August. September. October. November. December	579.72 579.86 580.18 580.50 580.78 580.91 580.89 580.69 580.34 580.33 579.92 579.58	183 180 156 177 181 182 195 197 196 195 190 189 183	578-51 578-90 579-04 579-36 579-68 579-96 580-07 579-87 579-52 579-51 579-10 578-76	174 172 147 169 172 174 186 189 187 187 187 181 174	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 69\\ 580\cdot 61\\ 580\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 04\\ 581\cdot 25\\ 581\cdot 42\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 44\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 44\\ 581\cdot 30\\ 581\cdot 07\\ 580\cdot 82\end{array}$	150 150 150 210 152 150 185 169 163 182 150 150 150	500-21 580-11 580-08 580-22 580-54 580-95 581-00 580-89 580-72 560-56 580-41 580-20	173 176 151 172 172 175 186 189 188 186 183 180 175
1899— January February March April June June July. August. September October. November December	$579 \cdot 53$ $579 \cdot 61$ $579 \cdot 81$ $580 \cdot 68$ $580 \cdot 52$ $580 \cdot 83$ $581 \cdot 04$ $580 \cdot 96$ $580 \cdot 82$ $580 \cdot 49$ $580 \cdot 31$ $579 \cdot 81$	$177 \\ 177 \\ 113 \\ 164 \\ 192 \\ 199 \\ 205 \\ 203 \\ 201 \\ 195 \\ 193 \\ 184$	$\begin{array}{c} 578\cdot73\\578\cdot81\\579\cdot01\\579\cdot28\\579\cdot72\\580\cdot03\\580\cdot24\\580\cdot16\\580\cdot02\\579\cdot69\\579\cdot51\\579\cdot01\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 169\\ 168\\ 105\\ 155\\ 184\\ 190\\ 197\\ 194\\ 193\\ 186\\ 185\\ 175\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 57\\ 580\cdot 62\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 581\cdot 24\\ 581\cdot 70\\ 582\cdot 00\\ 582\cdot 07\\ 581\cdot 82\\ 581\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 580\cdot 92\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 162\\ 166\\ 134\\ 150\\ 204\\ 227\\ 233\\ 236\\ 232\\ 225\\ 220\\ 210\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 99\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 89\\ 580\cdot 09\\ 580\cdot 42\\ 580\cdot 79\\ 581\cdot 33\\ 581\cdot 26\\ 581\cdot 01\\ 580\cdot 78\\ 580\cdot 55\\ \end{array}$	168 166 90 157 181 188 195 198 196 191 188 186
1900— January February March April May June July August September October	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 66\\ 579\cdot 77\\ 579\cdot 94\\ 580\cdot 07\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 42\\ 580\cdot 53\\ 580\cdot 70\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 137\\ 125\\ 130\\ 176\\ 180\\ 189\\ 194\\ 193\\ 196\\ 197\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 578\cdot 88\\ 578\cdot 99\\ 579\cdot 16\\ 579\cdot 29\\ 579\cdot 53\\ 579\cdot 64\\ 579\cdot 75\\ 579\cdot 92\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 579\cdot 88\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 132\\119\\125\\170\\175\\183\\189\\187\\.191\\191\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 54\\ 580\cdot 59\\ 580\cdot 62\\ 580\cdot 78\\ 580\cdot 95\\ 581\cdot 12\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 42\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 155\\150\\146\\150\\150\\150\\151\\208\\201\\224\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 33\\ 580\cdot 27\\ 580\cdot 35\\ 580\cdot 41\\ 580\cdot 53\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 65\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 86\\ 580\cdot 99\\ 581\cdot 01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & 132 \\ & 118 \\ & 135 \\ & 171 \\ & 175 \\ & 182 \\ & 187 \\ & 190 \\ & 193 \\ & 193 \end{array}$

TABLE 10 .- EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Monthly Mean Monthly mean First of month Monthly mean First of month Monthly mean First of mean Monthly mean First of mean Monthly mean (a) Stage Discharge Stage Stage Discharge Stage Stage <th>Year—Month</th> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly Mean</th> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean</th> <th colspan="2">Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete</th> <th colspan="2">Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete</th>	Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly Mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Stage (a)Stage (b)Discharge (c)Stage (c)Stage (c)Discharge (c)Stage (c)Discharge (c)Stage (c)Discharge (c)Discharge 	Tiest of Monthly					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
	(a)	Stage (b)	Discharg (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1900-							501.00	102
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	$580 \cdot 52$	198	579.74	193	581.37	224	580.88	193
	December	580.19	189	579.41	183	381.22	211	000.00	102
	1901-		100	570.94	156	580.87	176	580.67	155
February 579-92 107 579-92 103 530-68 146 580-58 120 March. 580-49 126 579-78 121 580-87 150 580-81 120 May. 580-92 127 580-26 188 581-27 177 581-27 188 July 581-06 204 580-35 200 581-36 177 581-31 199 August. 581-66 198 579-85 193 581-57 21 581-36 581-17 193 September 580-22 200 580-23 192 580-98 196 580-35 191 December 579-76 115 579-74 172 580-23 192 580-35 111 Pebruary. 579-61 122 578-44 176 580-36 116 F80-55 117 March. 579-94 177 580-28 164 580-84 187 July. 580	January	579.95	100	570.91	102	580.67	120	580.52	102
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	February	579.92	107	570.63	126	580.68	146	580.58	128
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	March	580.34	100	579.78	121	580.87	150	580.81	120
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	April	580.49	120	580.21	170	581.09	157	581.10	189
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	May	580.07	203	580.26	198	581.27	177	581.27	198
	June	581.06	203	580.35	200	581.36	177	581.31	199
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	July	581.11	206	580.40	210	581.57	215	581.39	198
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	August	580.92	200	580.21	196	581.53	182	581.37	198
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ostobor	580.56	198	579.85	193	581.25	156	581.17	193
December579.95158579.24153580.77155580.711541902379.76115579.09111580.53129580.55111Pebruary579.61122578.94117580.32134580.36116March	November	580.23	196	579.52	192	560.98	196	580.95	191
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	December	579.95	158	579.24	153	580.77	155	580.71	154
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1902—		Distant	LOUAL	Fallen Ball		100		111
	January	579.76	115	579.09	111	580.53	129	580.35	111
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	February	579.61	122	578.94	117	580.32	134	580.30	174
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	March	579.84	176	579.17	172	580.28	150	580.28	175
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	579.91	178	579.24	173	589.42	150	580.57	185
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	May	580.30	187	579.63	183	500.00	164	580.84	187
	June	580.50	191	579.83	180	581.20	150	581.08	191
August.580-85194580-161331361-33150581-13191September.580-33184579-66179581-41150581-13191October.580-33184579-66179581-41150581-13191November.580-22185579-55181581-08171580-80187December.579-93176579-26171580-85210580-661761903-January.579-72124579-08121580-65146580-44123February.579-90119570-26115580-52137580-66115March.580-36180579-45160580-61150580-67183April.580-45185579-81182581-16150580-87183June.580-63188579-99184581-45171580-97184July.580-61192580-71188581-77190581-17192September.580-71192580-15190581-33230581-17192September.580-62196579-98192581-33230581-12195October.580-62196579-98135580-70158580-67138January.579-99138579-37127580-61149580-57127March.	July	580.83	190	580.10	180	581.53	150	581.21	191
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	580.85	194	570.91	109	581.41	150	581.13	191
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	September	580.48	189	570.66	170	581.17	150	580.91	187
November 580.22 165 579.93 176 579.93 171 580.85 210 580.66 176 $1903-$ January 579.72 124 579.96 121 580.65 146 580.44 123 $February579.90119579.26115580.52137580.36115March$	October	580.33	104	570.55	181	581.08	171	580.80	187
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	570 02	176	579.26	171	580.85	210	580.66	176
	December	519.95	110	010 20			17 (0)		L. downline
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1903— Tonuoru	579.72	124	579.08	121	580.65	146	580.44	123
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	February	579.90	119	579.26	115	580.52	137	580.36	115
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	March	580.09	163	579.45	160	580.61	150	580.47	163
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	580.36	180	579.72	176	580.88	150	580.69	178
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	May	580.45	185	579.81	182	581.16	150	580.87	183
	June	580.63	188	579.99	184	581.45	171	580.97	184
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	July	580.81	191	580.17	188	581.77	190	501 17	191
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	580.71	192	580.07	188	581.80	198	591.92	105
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	September	580.79	193	580.15	190	581.73	200	581.98	196
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	October	580.62	196	579.98	192	501.00	221	581.10	193
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	580.26	192	579.02	109	580.08	185	580.79	158
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	December	579.94	159	579.50	100	000.00	100	000.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1904-		120	570.38	135	580.70	158	580.66	138
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	January	579.99	100	570.37	127	580.61	149	580.57	127
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	February	580.96	147	579.65	144	580.70	150	580.63	147
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	March	580.79	181	580.11	177	581.11	150	580.96	185
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	581.00	194	580.48	191	581.56	150	581.33	193
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	May	581.47	202	580.86	198	581.98	233	581.67	198
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Julie	581.48	205	580.87	202	582.08	234	581.85	202
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	581.38	206	580.77	202	581.94	233	581.79	203
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sentember	581.31	203	580.70	200	581.71	229	581.74	205
November 580-88 201 580-27 198 581-42 226 581-45 219 December 580-54 187 579-93 183 581-10 214 581-10 184	October	581.18	204	580.57	200	581.60	150	581.67	223
December 580.54 187 579.93 183 581.10 214 581.10 184	November	580.88	201	580.27	198	581.42	226	581.45	219
	December	580.54	187	579.93	183	581.10	214	1 581.10	184

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

						a state of the second s		
Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly Mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
(a)					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
	Stage (b)	Discharg (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1905								
1905— January February March April May June July August September October November December 1906—	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 39\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 83\\ 581\cdot 09\\ 581\cdot 48\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 59\\ 581\cdot 05\\ 581\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 78\\ 580\cdot 63\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 97\\ 103\\ 150\\ 196\\ 208\\ 208\\ 208\\ 208\\ 205\\ 201\\ 195\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot 81\\ 579\cdot 73\\ 579\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 25\\ 580\cdot 51\\ 580\cdot 90\\ 581\cdot 04\\ 581\cdot 01\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 47\\ 580\cdot 20\\ 580\cdot 05\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93\\ 98\\ 146\\ 191\\ 195\\ 201\\ 204\\ 203\\ 204\\ 200\\ 197\\ 190\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 72\\ 580\cdot 90\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 58\\ 581\cdot 58\\ 581\cdot 74\\ 581\cdot 74\\ 581\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 26\\ 581\cdot 01\\ \end{array}$	$110 \\ 114 \\ 168 \\ 217 \\ 179 \\ 173 \\ 231 \\ 230 \\ 227 \\ 222 \\ 218 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 581\cdot 14\\ 581\cdot 68\\ 581\cdot 74\\ 581\cdot 74\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 581\cdot 06\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93\\ 96\\ 147\\ 191\\ 194\\ 196\\ 200\\ 202\\ 204\\ 219\\ 195\\ 192\\ \end{array}$
January. February. March April. May. Jure. July. Aurust. September. October. November. December. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 61\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 09\\ 581\cdot 35\\ 581\cdot 47\\ 581\cdot 48\\ 581\cdot 45\\ 581\cdot 10\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 75\\ 580\cdot 70\\ \end{array}$	$184 \\ 141 \\ 164 \\ 191 \\ 206 \\ 207 \\ 208 \\ 206 \\ 202 \\ 197 \\ 194 \\ 170 \\ 170 \\ 184 \\ 170 \\ 184 \\ 170 \\ 184 \\ 170 \\ 184 \\ 170 \\ 184 $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot03\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot51\\ 580\cdot77\\ 580\cdot89\\ 580\cdot90\\ 580\cdot87\\ 580\cdot87\\ 580\cdot52\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot17\\ 580\cdot12\\ \end{array}$	$180 \\ 136 \\ 160 \\ 186 \\ 202 \\ 202 \\ 204 \\ 201 \\ 198 \\ 192 \\ 190 \\ 165$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 83\\ 580\cdot 89\\ 580\cdot 93\\ 580\cdot 98\\ 581\cdot 13\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 47\\ 581\cdot 23\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 581\cdot 06\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 163\\ 156\\ 176\\ 196\\ 172\\ 190\\ 155\\ 159\\ 166\\ 164\\ 150\\ 161\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 97 \\ 581 \cdot 01 \\ 581 \cdot 13 \\ 581 \cdot 24 \\ 581 \cdot 55 \\ 581 \cdot 64 \\ 581 \cdot 64 \\ 581 \cdot 52 \\ 581 \cdot 29 \\ 581 \cdot 29 \\ 581 \cdot 13 \\ 581 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	$181 \\ 136 \\ 158 \\ 187 \\ 199 \\ 202 \\ 201 \\ 200 \\ 195 \\ 193 \\ 167 \\$
January. February. March April. May. June. July. August September. October. November. December. December. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 64\\ 580\cdot 68\\ 580\cdot 74\\ 581\cdot 00\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 52\\ 581\cdot 44\\ 581\cdot 44\\ 581\cdot 42\\ 581\cdot 17\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 580\cdot 65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 142\\ 127\\ 167\\ 190\\ 200\\ 204\\ 209\\ 206\\ 206\\ 206\\ 202\\ 196\\ 189\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot05\\ 580\cdot09\\ 580\cdot15\\ 580\cdot41\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot93\\ 580\cdot93\\ 580\cdot93\\ 580\cdot83\\ 580\cdot85\\ 580\cdot83\\ 580\cdot58\\ 580\cdot58\\ 580\cdot17\\ 580\cdot06\end{array}$	$139 \\ 123 \\ 164 \\ 186 \\ 197 \\ 200 \\ 206 \\ 202 \\ 203 \\ 198 \\ 193 \\ 185$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 92\\ 580\cdot 86\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 10\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 86\\ 581\cdot 87\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 63\\ 581\cdot 08\\ \end{array}$	$150 \\ 140 \\ 178 \\ 195 \\ 151 \\ 166 \\ 173 \\ 232 \\ 229 \\ 227 \\ 150 \\ 214$	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot03\\ 580\cdot99\\ 580\cdot99\\ 581\cdot09\\ 581\cdot26\\ 581\cdot48\\ 581\cdot66\\ 581\cdot68\\ 581\cdot68\\ 581\cdot65\\ 581\cdot55\\ 581\cdot25\\ 581\cdot25\\ 581\cdot21\\ 581\cdot01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 139\\ 122\\ 162\\ 184\\ 194\\ 197\\ 200\\ 201\\ 203\\ 218\\ 194\\ 190\\ \end{array}$
January February March April. May June. July August September October November December 1909— Lexerry	$580 \cdot 48$ $580 \cdot 57$ $580 \cdot 64$ $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 64$ $581 \cdot 28$ $581 \cdot 28$ $580 \cdot 92$ $580 \cdot 27$ $580 \cdot 13$	122 114 108 186 198 205 209 206 201 194 189 187	$579 \cdot 90$ $579 \cdot 99$ $580 \cdot 06$ $580 \cdot 36$ $580 \cdot 92$ $581 \cdot 25$ $581 \cdot 14$ $580 \cdot 70$ $580 \cdot 34$ $579 \cdot 69$ $579 \cdot 55$	120 111 106 183 196 202 207 203 199 191 187 184	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 86\\ 580\cdot 69\\ 580\cdot 68\\ 580\cdot 86\\ 581\cdot 32\\ 581\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 97\\ 581\cdot 60\\ 581\cdot 24\\ 580\cdot 87\\ 580\cdot 57\\ \end{array}$	$139 \\ 126 \\ 119 \\ 150 \\ 181 \\ 226 \\ 232 \\ 233 \\ 156 \\ 154 \\ 170 \\ 197 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 91 \\ 580 \cdot 80 \\ 580 \cdot 81 \\ 580 \cdot 99 \\ 581 \cdot 36 \\ 581 \cdot 69 \\ 581 \cdot 85 \\ 581 \cdot 88 \\ 581 \cdot 67 \\ 581 \cdot 31 \\ 580 \cdot 91 \\ 580 \cdot 59 \end{array}$	$119\\108\\103\\182\\194\\199\\205\\204\\201\\194\\189\\184$
January	579.88	162	579.31	160	580.30	170	580.31	159

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occu in pa given in	onditions urring 1st as 1 record	Computed for presen without New Well assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data con U.S. Lal	l conditions at regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
first of Monthly	Monthly Mean		Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1909— February March April May June July September October November December 1910— January February March April May June July August. September October November December December	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot02\\ 580\cdot10\\ 580\cdot36\\ 580\cdot88\\ 581\cdot05\\ 581\cdot07\\ 580\cdot80\\ 580\cdot32\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot17\\ 579\cdot95\\ 579\cdot94\\ 580\cdot01\\ 580\cdot37\\ 580\cdot50\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot49\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot29\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot29\\ 580\cdot10\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot78\\ 579\cdot46\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 110\\ 146\\ 182\\ 187\\ 195\\ 196\\ 194\\ 192\\ 187\\ 183\\ 172\\ 126\\ 135\\ 181\\ 185\\ 189\\ 192\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 189\\ 187\\ 186\\ 183\\ 153\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 579\cdot 45\\ 579\cdot 53\\ 579\cdot 79\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 51\\ 580\cdot 85\\ 580\cdot 23\\ 579\cdot 75\\ 579\cdot 64\\ 579\cdot 60\\ 579\cdot 50\\ 579\cdot 50\\ 579\cdot 92\\ 580\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 12\\ 580\cdot 04\\ 580\cdot 12\\ 580\cdot 04\\ 579\cdot 88\\ 579\cdot 84\\ 579\cdot 84\\ 579\cdot 63\\ 579\cdot 33\\ 579\cdot 01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 107\\ 144\\ 179\\ 185\\ 192\\ 194\\ 191\\ 190\\ 184\\ 181\\ 169\\ 125\\ 133\\ 180\\ 183\\ 183\\ 183\\ 188\\ 183\\ 188\\ 188\\ 188$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 17\\ 580\cdot 22\\ 580\cdot 33\\ 580\cdot 78\\ 581\cdot 23\\ 581\cdot 42\\ 581\cdot 45\\ 581\cdot 36\\ 581\cdot 07\\ 580\cdot 80\\ 580\cdot 77\\ 580\cdot 62\\ 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 52\\ 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 52\\ 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 52\\ 580\cdot 77\\ 581\cdot 15\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 98\\ 580\cdot 71\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 71\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 71\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 41\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 121\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 153\\ 179\\ 183\\ 158\\ 196\\ 143\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150$	$\begin{array}{r} 580\cdot 18\\ 580\cdot 25\\ 580\cdot 25\\ 580\cdot 39\\ 580\cdot 76\\ 581\cdot 17\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 581\cdot 23\\ 581\cdot 08\\ 580\cdot 79\\ 580\cdot 52\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 19\\ 580\cdot 33\\ 580\cdot 54\\ 580\cdot 56\\ 580\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 103\\ 143\\ 143\\ 180\\ 185\\ 189\\ 191\\ 192\\ 191\\ 192\\ 191\\ 185\\ 184\\ 180\\ 118\\ 125\\ 172\\ 176\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 181\\ 182\\ 175\\ 145\\ \end{array}$
1911— January February March April May. June. July. Aug. September. October. November. December. 1912— January. February March April May. June July. September. July. August. September. October. November. July. June	579-20 579-40 579-23 579-50 579-77 580-05 579-85 579-85 579-75 579-65 579-75 579-65 579-75 579-48 579-29 579-29 579-35 579-55 580-46 580-53 580-63 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-41 580-42 580-40 580-42 580-40 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-50 580-40 580-60	$\begin{array}{c} 126\\ 124\\ 124\\ 171\\ 173\\ 180\\ 185\\ 185\\ 184\\ 183\\ 178\\ 177\\ 176\\ 170\\ 126\\ 129\\ 145\\ 170\\ 126\\ 129\\ 145\\ 188\\ 188\\ 188\\ 188\\ 190\\ 194\\ 192\\ 194\\ 193\\ 175\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 578\cdot 85\\ 579\cdot 05\\ 579\cdot 05\\ 579\cdot 05\\ 579\cdot 52\\ 579\cdot 70\\ 579\cdot 54\\ 579\cdot 30\\ 580\cdot 30\\ 480\cdot 38\\ 580\cdot 30\\ 480\cdot 38\\ 580\cdot 09\\ 579\cdot 85\\ 579\cdot 69\\ 579\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 125\\ 122\\ 122\\ 170\\ 171\\ 179\\ 183\\ 183\\ 183\\ 181\\ 177\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175\\ 175\\ 168\\ 125\\ 127\\ 144\\ 168\\ 183\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188\\ 193\\ 190\\ 193\\ 191\\ 175\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot12\\ 580\cdot03\\ 579\cdot98\\ 580\cdot05\\ 580\cdot33\\ 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot82\\ 580\cdot74\\ 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot55\\ 580\cdot40\\ 580\cdot49\\ 580\cdot48\\ 580\cdot85\\ 581\cdot38\\ 581\cdot62\\ 581\cdot81\\ 581\cdot64\\ 581\cdot40\\ 581\cdot20\\ 580\cdot95\\ 580\cdot95\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 146\\ 141\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 160\\ 160\\ 160\\ 160\\ 160\\ 160\\ 160\\ 16$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot59\\ 579\cdot53\\ 579\cdot54\\ 579\cdot59\\ 579\cdot81\\ 580\cdot11\\ 580\cdot14\\ 580\cdot08\\ 580\cdot00\\ 579\cdot95\\ 579\cdot91\\ 579\cdot87\\ 579\cdot76\\ 579\cdot88\\ 579\cdot76\\ 579\cdot88\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot99\\ 581\cdot14\\ 581\cdot09\\ 581\cdot14\\ 581\cdot09\\ 580\cdot94\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 113\\ 116\\ 164\\ 168\\ 172\\ 174\\ 177\\ 174\\ 175\\ 172\\ 173\\ 167\\ 120\\ 124\\ 139\\ 169\\ 175\\ 183\\ 188\\ 188\\ 191\\ 194\\ 194\\ 194\\ 191\\ 176\\ \end{array}$

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in pa given i	conditions irring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete a Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from M data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
widenedit in territ	Monthly Mean		Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharg (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1013								
1913— February March April May June July. August. September October November December	$579 \cdot 84$ $580 \cdot 13$ $580 \cdot 82$ $581 \cdot 13$ $581 \cdot 26$ $581 \cdot 26$ $581 \cdot 26$ $580 \cdot 98$ $580 \cdot 75$ $580 \cdot 44$ $580 \cdot 30$	$129 \\ 158 \\ 179 \\ 187 \\ 190 \\ 198 \\ 200 \\ 195 \\ 191 \\ 190 \\ 184$	$579 \cdot 52$ $579 \cdot 81$ $580 \cdot 50$ $580 \cdot 81$ $580 \cdot 94$ $580 \cdot 94$ $580 \cdot 94$ $580 \cdot 66$ $580 \cdot 43$ $580 \cdot 12$ $579 \cdot 98$	128 158 178 189 198 199 195 190 190 183	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 89\\ 580\cdot 84\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 582\cdot 07\\ 582\cdot 20\\ 582\cdot 08\\ 581\cdot 92\\ 581\cdot 61\\ 581\cdot 41\\ 581\cdot 24\end{array}$	$150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 234 \\ 236 \\ 236 \\ 234 \\ 228 \\ 224 \\ 218 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot78\\ 580\cdot76\\ 581\cdot16\\ 581\cdot61\\ 581\cdot78\\ 581\cdot63\\ 581\cdot81\\ 581\cdot71\\ 581\cdot51\\ 581\cdot29\\ 581\cdot14\end{array}$	$127 \\ 159 \\ 183 \\ 193 \\ 197 \\ 201 \\ 202 \\ 202 \\ 202 \\ 219 \\ 194 \\ 192 \\$
1914—						210	001 11	102
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1915—	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 07 \\ 580 \cdot 04 \\ 579 \cdot 99 \\ 580 \cdot 15 \\ 580 \cdot 36 \\ 580 \cdot 64 \\ 580 \cdot 79 \\ 580 \cdot 69 \\ 580 \cdot 49 \\ 580 \cdot 37 \\ 579 \cdot 82 \\ 579 \cdot 53 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 146 \\ 137 \\ 151 \\ 174 \\ 180 \\ 182 \\ 189 \\ 190 \\ 192 \\ 188 \\ 183 \\ 162 \\ \end{array} $	$579 \cdot 77$ $579 \cdot 74$ $579 \cdot 69$ $579 \cdot 85$ $580 \cdot 06$ $580 \cdot 34$ $580 \cdot 49$ $580 \cdot 39$ $580 \cdot 07$ $580 \cdot 07$ $579 \cdot 52$ $579 \cdot 23$	$146 \\ 136 \\ 151 \\ 173 \\ 180 \\ 181 \\ 189 \\ 189 \\ 192 \\ 187 \\ 183 \\ 161 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 96\\ 580 \cdot 82\\ 580 \cdot 78\\ 580 \cdot 73\\ 580 \cdot 91\\ 581 \cdot 21\\ 581 \cdot 50\\ 581 \cdot 56\\ 581 \cdot 43\\ 581 \cdot 30\\ 581 \cdot 00\\ 580 \cdot 70\\ \end{array}$	$171 \\ 158 \\ 162 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 171 \\ 162 \\ 168 \\ 178 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 171 \\ 162 \\ 168 \\ 178 \\ 150 $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 99\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 580\cdot 73\\ 580\cdot 70\\ 580\cdot 81\\ 581\cdot 03\\ 581\cdot 18\\ 581\cdot 16\\ 581\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 93\\ 580\cdot 67\\ 580\cdot 35\\ \end{array}$	150 137 151 183 184 185 190 191 192 189 185 161
January February March April June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot45\\ 579\cdot67\\ 579\cdot60\\ 579\cdot56\\ 579\cdot73\\ 579\cdot89\\ 579\cdot98\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot01\\ 579\cdot81\\ 579\cdot46\\ 579\cdot41\\ 579\cdot41\end{array}$	$117 \\ 134 \\ 146 \\ 167 \\ 171 \\ 175 \\ 182 \\ 184 \\ 181 \\ 180 \\ 176 \\ 164$	$579 \cdot 17$ $579 \cdot 39$ $579 \cdot 32$ $579 \cdot 28$ $579 \cdot 45$ $579 \cdot 61$ $579 \cdot 70$ $579 \cdot 93$ $579 \cdot 73$ $579 \cdot 73$ $579 \cdot 53$ $579 \cdot 13$	$117 \\ 133 \\ 146 \\ 166 \\ 171 \\ 174 \\ 182 \\ 183 \\ 181 \\ 179 \\ 176 \\ 163 \\ 163 \\ 177 \\ 163 \\ 100 $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 40\\ 580\cdot 48\\ 580\cdot 43\\ 580\cdot 45\\ 580\cdot 59\\ 580\cdot 80\\ 580\cdot 97\\ 581\cdot 15\\ 581\cdot 01\\ 580\cdot 95\\ 580\cdot 85\\ \end{array}$	$138\\150\\150\\150\\150\\150\\150\\150\\150\\150\\157\\186\\202$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 10\\ 580\cdot 06\\ 580\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 05\\ 580\cdot 04\\ 580\cdot 15\\ 580\cdot 24\\ 580\cdot 37\\ 580\cdot 31\\ 580\cdot 32\\ 580\cdot 16\\ 580\cdot 04\\ \end{array}$	$113 \\ 132 \\ 142 \\ 163 \\ 174 \\ 176 \\ 177 \\ 180 \\ 177 \\ 180 \\ 177 \\ 163 \\ 163$
1910	$\begin{array}{c} 579 \cdot 16 \\ 579 \cdot 30 \\ 579 \cdot 46 \\ 579 \cdot 95 \\ 580 \cdot 49 \\ 581 \cdot 10 \\ 581 \cdot 31 \\ 581 \cdot 06 \\ 580 \cdot 67 \\ 580 \cdot 50 \\ 580 \cdot 55 \\ 580 \cdot 56 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 153\\ 124\\ 122\\ 150\\ 184\\ 193\\ 197\\ 201\\ 198\\ 196\\ 193\\ 186\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 578 \cdot 90 \\ 579 \cdot 04 \\ 579 \cdot 20 \\ 579 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 23 \\ 580 \cdot 84 \\ 581 \cdot 05 \\ 580 \cdot 80 \\ 580 \cdot 41 \\ 580 \cdot 24 \\ 580 \cdot 39 \\ 580 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	$153 \\ 123 \\ 122 \\ 149 \\ 184 \\ 192 \\ 197 \\ 200 \\ 198 \\ 195 \\ 193 \\ 185$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot72\\ 580\cdot93\\ 581\cdot06\\ 581\cdot56\\ 582\cdot11\\ 582\cdot47\\ 582\cdot47\\ 582\cdot41\\ 582\cdot07\\ 581\cdot75\\ 581\cdot68\\ 581\cdot55\\ \end{array}$	$150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 156 \\ 200 \\ 234 \\ 215 \\ 243 \\ 237 \\ 231 \\ 231 \\ 218$	$\begin{array}{c} 579 \cdot 98 \\ 580 \cdot 00 \\ 580 \cdot 13 \\ 580 \cdot 35 \\ 580 \cdot 80 \\ 581 \cdot 42 \\ 581 \cdot 85 \\ 581 \cdot 94 \\ 581 \cdot 76 \\ 581 \cdot 45 \\ 581 \cdot 38 \\ 581 \cdot 34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 152 \\ 120 \\ 122 \\ 150 \\ 188 \\ 196 \\ 204 \\ 204 \\ 204 \\ 223 \\ 199 \\ 220 \\ 186 \end{array}$
January February	$580.44 \\ 580.36$	144 145	$580 \cdot 22 \\ 580 \cdot 14$	$\begin{array}{c}145\\145\end{array}$	$581 \cdot 26 \\ 581 \cdot 20$	165 166	581 · 23 581 · 13	$\begin{array}{c} 142 \\ 143 \end{array}$

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occur in pa given in	onditions rring st as record	Computed for presen without 1 New Well assumed Chicago other low data con U.S. Lake	conditions t regimen regulation and Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from apiled by e Survey	Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Section Manthiy	Mon Me	thly ean	Mor	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharg (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1917 March April May June July July September October November December 1918 January February	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 49 \\ 580 \cdot 85 \\ 581 \cdot 18 \\ 581 \cdot 63 \\ 581 \cdot 97 \\ 581 \cdot 91 \\ 581 \cdot 71 \\ 581 \cdot 39 \\ 581 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 77 \\ 580 \cdot 82 \\ 580 \cdot 84 \\ \end{array}$	191 185 193 201 212 206 203 195 150 125 143	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 27 \\ 580 \cdot 63 \\ 580 \cdot 96 \\ 581 \cdot 41 \\ 581 \cdot 75 \\ 581 \cdot 69 \\ 581 \cdot 49 \\ 581 \cdot 17 \\ 580 \cdot 98 \\ 580 \cdot 55 \\ 580 \cdot 60 \\ 580 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	$192 \\ 185 \\ 194 \\ 201 \\ 212 \\ 207 \\ 203 \\ 196 \\ 150 \\ 126 \\ 143 \\ 143 \\ 192 $	581.09 581.28 581.55 581.83 582.09 582.20 581.97 581.57 581.97 581.27 581.00 580.85 580.77	$\begin{array}{c} 220\\ 222\\ 190\\ 230\\ 208\\ 239\\ 234\\ 228\\ 184\\ 168\\ 136\\ 156\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 10\\ 581\cdot 22\\ 581\cdot 48\\ 581\cdot 78\\ 582\cdot 10\\ 582\cdot 29\\ 582\cdot 19\\ 582\cdot 19\\ 581\cdot 93\\ 581\cdot 71\\ 581\cdot 44\\ 581\cdot 23\\ 581\cdot 24\\ \end{array}$	$195 \\ 184 \\ 196 \\ 199 \\ 206 \\ 230 \\ 229 \\ 223 \\ 220 \\ 149 \\ 122 \\ 140$
March. April May. June. July. August. September October. November December.	$581 \cdot 13$ $581 \cdot 50$ $581 \cdot 75$ $581 \cdot 98$ $581 \cdot 97$ $581 \cdot 87$ $581 \cdot 49$ $581 \cdot 20$ $581 \cdot 07$ $581 \cdot 13$	$ \begin{array}{c} 168\\ 131\\ 199\\ 208\\ 212\\ 212\\ 210\\ 202\\ 200\\ 196\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 91\\ 581\cdot 28\\ 581\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 53\\ 581\cdot 75\\ 581\cdot 65\\ 581\cdot 27\\ 580\cdot 98\\ 580\cdot 85\\ 580\cdot 91\\ \end{array}$	169 131 200 208 213 212 211 202 201 196	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 92 \\ 581 \cdot 15 \\ 581 \cdot 41 \\ 581 \cdot 63 \\ 581 \cdot 70 \\ 581 \cdot 75 \\ 581 \cdot 62 \\ 581 \cdot 43 \\ 581 \cdot 25 \\ 581 \cdot 23 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 181 \\ 139 \\ 210 \\ 218 \\ 155 \\ 158 \\ 174 \\ 201 \\ 222 \\ 227 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 582\cdot 20\\ 582\cdot 29\\ 582\cdot 15\\ 581\cdot 94\\ 581\cdot 64\\ 581\cdot 47\\ 581\cdot 44\\ \end{array}$	$166 \\ 125 \\ 197 \\ 213 \\ 225 \\ 229 \\ 227 \\ 220 \\ 220 \\ 197 \\$
January January February March April May June July July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot77\\ 580\cdot71\\ 580\cdot81\\ 581\cdot44\\ 581\cdot46\\ 581\cdot60\\ 581\cdot37\\ 581\cdot12\\ 580\cdot77\\ 581\cdot60\\ 581\cdot37\\ 581\cdot62\\ 580\cdot68\\ 580\cdot42\\ 580\cdot08\\ \end{array}$	$189 \\ 178 \\ 181 \\ 187 \\ 195 \\ 198 \\ 203 \\ 199 \\ 197 \\ 193 \\ 189 \\ 154$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 56\\ 580\cdot 50\\ 580\cdot 60\\ 580\cdot 93\\ 581\cdot 25\\ 581\cdot 25\\ 581\cdot 39\\ 581\cdot 16\\ 580\cdot 91\\ 580\cdot 56\\ 580\cdot 47\\ 580\cdot 47\\ 580\cdot 21\\ 579\cdot 87\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 190 \\ 178 \\ 182 \\ 187 \\ 196 \\ 198 \\ 204 \\ 199 \\ 198 \\ 193 \\ 193 \\ 190 \\ 154 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 581 \cdot 05 \\ 580 \cdot 91 \\ 581 \cdot 06 \\ 581 \cdot 16 \\ 581 \cdot 48 \\ 581 \cdot 68 \\ 581 \cdot 68 \\ 581 \cdot 68 \\ 581 \cdot 68 \\ 581 \cdot 49 \\ 581 \cdot 33 \\ 581 \cdot 17 \\ 580 \cdot 85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 163\\ 181\\ 205\\ 194\\ 150\\ 218\\ 152\\ 150\\ 173\\ 221\\ 173\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 581\cdot 35\\ 581\cdot 18\\ 581\cdot 12\\ 581\cdot 33\\ 581\cdot 66\\ 581\cdot 89\\ 581\cdot 86\\ 581\cdot 70\\ 581\cdot 50\\ 581\cdot 29\\ 581\cdot 09\\ 581\cdot 09\\ 580\cdot 84\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 181\\ 172\\ 177\\ 182\\ 191\\ 200\\ 201\\ 199\\ 198\\ 195\\ 192\\ 148\\ \end{array}$
1920— January February March April May June July. August September October Nov December	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot00\\ 580\cdot04\\ 580\cdot19\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot82\\ 580\cdot93\\ 581\cdot08\\ 581\cdot11\\ 580\cdot93\\ 580\cdot59\\ 580\cdot32\\ 580\cdot10\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 108 \\ 119 \\ 155 \\ 189 \\ 186 \\ 192 \\ 200 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 201 \\ 190 \\ 182 \\ 184 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 579\cdot79\\ 579\cdot83\\ 579\cdot98\\ 580\cdot66\\ 580\cdot61\\ 580\cdot72\\ 580\cdot87\\ 580\cdot90\\ 580\cdot72\\ 580\cdot38\\ 580\cdot11\\ 579\cdot89\end{array}$	108 118 155 188 186 191 200 200 200 201 189 182 183	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot 62\\ 580\cdot 51\\ 580\cdot 58\\ 580\cdot 92\\ 581\cdot 31\\ 581\cdot 40\\ 581\cdot 62\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 57\\ 581\cdot 37\\ 581\cdot 11\\ 580\cdot 91\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 118\\ 131\\ 170\\ 217\\ 194\\ 150\\ 188\\ 188\\ 188\\ 184\\ 158\\ 172\\ 152\\ 163\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot65\\ 580\cdot57\\ 580\cdot59\\ 580\cdot86\\ 581\cdot17\\ 581\cdot26\\ 581\cdot35\\ 581\cdot39\\ 581\cdot39\\ 581\cdot22\\ 581\cdot22\\ 581\cdot02\\ 580\cdot79\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 99\\113\\152\\194\\195\\194\\196\\198\\197\\194\\190\\180\\\end{array}$
1921— January February March	579.91 579.87 579.96	181 126 162	$\begin{array}{c c} 579 \cdot 71 \\ 579 \cdot 67 \\ 579 \cdot 76 \end{array}$	181 125 162	580.76 580.64 580.53	177 144 166	580.61580.44580.43	178 119 160

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual occ in p given	conditions urring ast as in record	Compute for prese without New We assumed Chicago assumed Other low data co U.S. Lak	d conditions nt regimen regulation lland Canal d complete o diversion at 8,500 c.f.s. verings from mpiled by ce Survey	Complete sys assuming diver Chic New Wel com	Complete regulation system; ssuming 8,500 c.f.s. a diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal N complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
(a)	Mo M	nthly lean	Mo	nthly nean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)	
(a) 1921— April	580.44 580.42 580.62 580.62 580.8 580.8 580.9 579.69 579.50 580.62 580.62 580.62 580.62 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 580.64 579.59 579.60 579.79.14 579.90 579.90 579.90 579.90 579.90 579.91 579.90 579.90 579.90 579.91 579.92 579.94 579.93 579.94 579.99 579.91 579.92 578.75 578.75 578.99 579.99 578.75 57	(c)	(4) $580 \cdot 24$ $580 \cdot 42$ $580 \cdot 42$ $580 \cdot 42$ $580 \cdot 42$ $579 \cdot 98$ $579 \cdot 83$ $579 \cdot 67$ $579 \cdot 49$ $579 \cdot 31$ $579 \cdot 10$ $579 \cdot 07$ $579 \cdot 31$ $579 \cdot 63$ $580 \cdot 54$ $579 \cdot 83$ $579 \cdot 40$ $578 \cdot 64$ $578 \cdot 85$ $579 \cdot 60$ $578 \cdot 61$ $578 \cdot 61$ $578 \cdot 61$ $578 \cdot 85$	$(e) \\ 182 \\ 180 \\ 185 \\ 191 \\ 188 \\ 189 \\ 184 \\ 175 \\ 169 \\ 128 \\ 126 \\ 166 \\ 176 \\ 184 \\ 190 \\ 197 \\ 196 \\ .94 \\ .86 \\ 181 \\ 181 \\ 141 \\ 115 \\ 165 \\ 166 \\ 183 \\ 187 \\ 186 \\ 181 \\ 181 \\ 141 \\ 115 \\ 165 \\ 166 \\ 160 \\ 148 \\ 121 \\ 147 \\ 161 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 180 $	$\begin{array}{c} (1) \\ 580\cdot78 \\ 581\cdot19 \\ 581\cdot37 \\ 581\cdot32 \\ 581\cdot35 \\ 581\cdot31 \\ 581\cdot35 \\ 581\cdot31 \\ 580\cdot68 \\ 580\cdot77 \\ 580\cdot68 \\ 580\cdot41 \\ 580\cdot44 \\ 580\cdot85 \\ 581\cdot37 \\ 581\cdot63 \\ 581\cdot63 \\ 581\cdot73 \\ 581\cdot63 \\ 581\cdot73 \\ 581\cdot63 \\ 581\cdot73 \\ 581\cdot63 \\ 580\cdot41 \\ 580\cdot80 \\ 580\cdot41 \\ 580\cdot15 \\ 580\cdot33 \\ 580\cdot65 \\ 580\cdot37 \\ 580\cdot13 \\ 580\cdot14 \\ 580\cdot23 \\ 580\cdot53 \\$	$(g) \\ 165 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 161 \\ 180 \\ 228 \\ 159 \\ 166 \\ 170 \\ 135 \\ 166 \\ 170 \\ 150 $	(11) $580 \cdot 68$ $581 \cdot 01$ $581 \cdot 13$ $580 \cdot 60$ $580 \cdot 71$ $580 \cdot 60$ $580 \cdot 38$ $580 \cdot 21$ $580 \cdot 07$ $579 \cdot 94$ $580 \cdot 00$ $580 \cdot 39$ $580 \cdot 89$ $581 \cdot 22$ $581 \cdot 36$ $581 \cdot 27$ $580 \cdot 59$ $580 \cdot 59$ $579 \cdot 74$ $579 \cdot 74$ $580 \cdot 65$ $580 \cdot 57$ $579 \cdot 55$ $579 \cdot 55$ $579 \cdot 55$ $579 \cdot 56$ $579 \cdot 67$	(1) 181 186 187 188 185 185 185 186 197 126 164 174 186 192 195 188 182 176 140 112 164 165 183 184 183 179 174 169 145 116 143 159	
June July August. September October November December 1925– January. February. March	579.45 579.53 579.67 579.55 579.30 578.78 578.47 578.30 578.25 578.33	$ \begin{array}{r} 176 \\ 177 \\ 181 \\ 182 \\ 174 \\ 169 \\ 146 \\ 127 \\ 132 \\ 149 \\ 140 \\ $	$579 \cdot 31$ $579 \cdot 39$ $579 \cdot 39$ $579 \cdot 53$ $579 \cdot 41$ $579 \cdot 16$ $578 \cdot 64$ $578 \cdot 33$ $578 \cdot 26$ $578 \cdot 21$ $578 \cdot 29$	177 179 182 184 175 171 147 128 132 150	$\begin{array}{c} 580 \cdot 33 \\ 580 \cdot 82 \\ 581 \cdot 03 \\ 581 \cdot 23 \\ 581 \cdot 28 \\ 581 \cdot 17 \\ 580 \cdot 82 \\ 580 \cdot 45 \\ 580 \cdot 45 \\ 580 \cdot 09 \\ 589 \cdot 99 \\ 580 \cdot 02 \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 150 \\ $	$579 \cdot 94 \\ 580 \cdot 20 \\ 580 \cdot 34 \\ 580 \cdot 50 \\ 580 \cdot 51 \\ 580 \cdot 30 \\ 589 \cdot 91 \\ 579 \cdot 51 \\ 579 \cdot 25 \\ 579 \cdot 11 \\ 579 \cdot 13 $	$170 \\ 171 \\ 177 \\ 179 \\ 181 \\ 175 \\ 170 \\ 144 \\ 126 \\ 131 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 170 \\ 141 \\ 150 \\ 170 \\ 170 \\ 171 \\ 170 $	

TABLE 10.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE MICHIGAN-HURON-Concluded

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual o occ in p given i	conditions urring ast as in record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey				ion Partial regulatio system; s. assuming 8,500 c. diversion at Chicago and nal New Welland Ca complete		
West of Knarbly,	Mo	nthly nean	Mo m	nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Montnly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)	
1925— MayJune. July. August. September. October. November. December	$578 \cdot 45$ $578 \cdot 50$ $578 \cdot 59$ $578 \cdot 52$ $578 \cdot 32$ $577 \cdot 92$ $577 \cdot 68$ $577 \cdot 47$	$\begin{array}{r} 163\\163\\164\\164\\158\\156\\151\\155\end{array}$	$578 \cdot 41$ $578 \cdot 46$ $578 \cdot 55$ $578 \cdot 48$ $578 \cdot 28$ $577 \cdot 88$ $577 \cdot 64$ $577 \cdot 43$	$164 \\ 163 \\ 165 \\ 161 \\ 159 \\ 156 \\ 152 \\ 155$	$\begin{array}{c} 580\cdot17\\ 580\cdot21\\ 580\cdot30\\ 580\cdot30\\ 580\cdot30\\ 580\cdot16\\ 579\cdot91\\ 579\cdot64\\ 579\cdot42\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 150 \\ $	$579 \cdot 27$ $579 \cdot 29$ $579 \cdot 35$ $579 \cdot 34$ $579 \cdot 17$ $578 \cdot 89$ $578 \cdot 61$ $578 \cdot 40$	$162 \\ 162 \\ 163 \\ 161 \\ 158 \\ 153 \\ 151 \\ 138$	

TABLE 11-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
(a)	Mon Mo	Monthly I Mean		nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
	Stage (b)	Discharg (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1860— January February March. March. July. July. August. September. October November December	$573 \cdot 26$ $572 \cdot 90$ $573 \cdot 30$ $574 \cdot 00$ $574 \cdot 21$ $574 \cdot 18$ $573 \cdot 92$ $573 \cdot 76$ $573 \cdot 42$ $573 \cdot 12$ $573 \cdot 03$ $572 \cdot 87$	$\begin{array}{c} 224\\ 216\\ 225\\ 241\\ 246\\ 245\\ 239\\ 236\\ 228\\ 221\\ 219\\ 216\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 48\\ 573\cdot 39\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 30\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 572\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 60\\ 572\cdot 30\\ 572\cdot 21\\ 572\cdot 05\\ \end{array}$	217 208 218 233 239 237 232 228 228 221 213 212 208			$572 \cdot 50$ $572 \cdot 20$ $573 \cdot 55$ $573 \cdot 54$ $573 \cdot 29$ $573 \cdot 29$ $573 \cdot 29$ $573 \cdot 20$ $572 \cdot 72$ $572 \cdot 37$ $572 \cdot 35$ $572 \cdot 31$	$197 \\ 184 \\ 190 \\ 219 \\ 235 \\ 237 \\ 240 \\ 231 \\ 222 \\ 205 \\ 203 \\ 226 \\ 226 \\ 203 \\ 226 \\ 205 \\ 203 \\ 226 \\ 205 \\ 203 \\ 226 \\ 205 \\ 203 \\ 226 \\ 205 $
1861— January February March	$572.61 \\ 572.33 \\ 572.77$	210 204 213	$571 \cdot 79$ $571 \cdot 51$ $572 \cdot 01$	203 196 206			571.88 571.82 572.02	176 176 177

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual occ in p given	conditions urring ast as in record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from N data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Cana complete	
Canno Human	Mo	nthly lean	Mo	nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (h)	Discharge (i)
1861— April May. June July. August. September October. November December	$573 \cdot 81$ $574 \cdot 24$ $574 \cdot 31$ $574 \cdot 06$ $574 \cdot 10$ $573 \cdot 92$ $573 \cdot 69$ $573 \cdot 67$ $573 \cdot 44$	$\begin{array}{r} 237\\ 247\\ 248\\ 243\\ 244\\ 239\\ 234\\ 234\\ 228\\ \end{array}$	$572 \cdot 99 \\ 573 \cdot 42 \\ 573 \cdot 49 \\ 573 \cdot 24 \\ 573 \cdot 28 \\ 573 \cdot 10 \\ 572 \cdot 87 \\ 572 \cdot 85 \\ 572 \cdot 62$	229 240 240 236 236 232 226 227 220			$572 \cdot 90$ $573 \cdot 70$ $573 \cdot 84$ $573 \cdot 60$ $573 \cdot 49$ $573 \cdot 29$ $573 \cdot 11$ $573 \cdot 00$ $572 \cdot 77$	$\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 241\\ 245\\ 248\\ 259\\ 242\\ 234\\ 248\\ 248\\ 245\\ \end{array}$
January. February. March. April. May. July. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1862	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot 43\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 573\cdot 28\\ 574\cdot 18\\ 574\cdot 42\\ 574\cdot 42\\ 574\cdot 39\\ 574\cdot 01\\ 573\cdot 70\\ 573\cdot 32\\ 572\cdot 98\\ 573\cdot 01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 228\\ 222\\ 225\\ 245\\ 251\\ 251\\ 250\\ 241\\ 234\\ 226\\ 218\\ 219\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 32\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 60\\ 573\cdot 60\\ 573\cdot 57\\ 573\cdot 19\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 572\cdot 16\\ 572\cdot 19\end{array}$	221 214 218 237 244 243 243 243 243 243 243 227 218 211 211			$572 \cdot 54$ $572 \cdot 54$ $573 \cdot 54$ $573 \cdot 77$ $573 \cdot 81$ $573 \cdot 71$ $573 \cdot 60$ $573 \cdot 15$ $572 \cdot 86$ $572 \cdot 53$ $572 \cdot 43$	$198 \\ 198 \\ 221 \\ 243 \\ 244 \\ 252 \\ 262 \\ 235 \\ 227 \\ 218 \\ 237$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1864	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot 46\\ 573\cdot 75\\ 573\cdot 69\\ 573\cdot 81\\ 573\cdot 99\\ 573\cdot 85\\ 573\cdot 73\\ 573\cdot 65\\ 573\cdot 26\\ 573\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 41\\ 572\cdot 38\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 229\\ 235\\ 234\\ 237\\ 241\\ 238\\ 235\\ 233\\ 224\\ 214\\ 205\\ 205\\ 205\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 64\\ 572\cdot 93\\ 572\cdot 87\\ 572\cdot 99\\ 573\cdot 17\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 572\cdot 91\\ 572\cdot 83\\ 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 00\\ 571\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot 56\end{array}$	222 227 229 234 238 225 217 206 198 197			$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 56\\ 573\cdot 07\\ 573\cdot 18\\ 573\cdot 17\\ 573\cdot 31\\ 573\cdot 29\\ 573\cdot 07\\ 572\cdot 83\\ 572\cdot 53\\ 572\cdot 53\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 73\end{array}$	$199\\219\\225\\224\\229\\228\\233\\226\\217\\178\\176\\176$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. B65-	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 09 \\ 572 \cdot 24 \\ 572 \cdot 45 \\ 572 \cdot 95 \\ 573 \cdot 65 \\ 573 \cdot 60 \\ 573 \cdot 34 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 572 \cdot 85 \\ 572 \cdot 54 \\ 572 \cdot 37 \\ 572 \cdot 44 \end{array}$	$198 \\ 202 \\ 206 \\ 217 \\ 233 \\ 222 \\ 220 \\ 215 \\ 208 \\ 205 \\ 206 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 27 \\ 571 \cdot 42 \\ 571 \cdot 63 \\ 572 \cdot 13 \\ 572 \cdot 83 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 03 \\ 571 \cdot 72 \\ 571 \cdot 55 \\ 571 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	$191 \\ 194 \\ 199 \\ 209 \\ 226 \\ 224 \\ 219 \\ 212 \\ 208 \\ 200 \\ 198 \\ 198 \\ 198 \\$			$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 68\\ 571\cdot 96\\ 572\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 572\cdot 64\\ 572\cdot 27\\ 572\cdot 05\\ 571\cdot 97\\ 572\cdot 06\end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 192 \\ 222 \\ 231 \\ 235 \\ 220 \\ 198 \\ 178 \\ 176 \\ 195 \\ 195 \\ 176 \\ 195 \\ 195 \\ 100 $
January. February. March April	$572 \cdot 01$ $571 \cdot 43$ $571 \cdot 75$ $572 \cdot 47$	197 184 191 207	$571 \cdot 19$ $570 \cdot 61$ $570 \cdot 93$ $571 \cdot 65$	190 176 184 199			$571 \cdot 90$ $571 \cdot 55$ $571 \cdot 32$ $571 \cdot 86$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176$

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual c occu in pa given i	onditions nring ast as n record	Computed for preser without New Well assumed Chicago of assumed a Other low data con U.S. Lal	l conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion t8,500c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	Complete syst assuming { Chica New Well com	regulation em; 3,500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Cana complete		
Anticold No best	Monthly Mean		Mor m	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)	
1865— May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1866— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1867— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1868— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot05\\ 573\cdot03\\ 573\cdot03\\ 572\cdot99\\ 572\cdot91\\ 572\cdot57\\ 572\cdot57\\ 572\cdot19\\ 572\cdot05\\ 571\cdot62\\ 572\cdot01\\ 572\cdot01\\ 572\cdot59\\ 572\cdot81\\ 573\cdot07\\ 572\cdot80\\ 572\cdot81\\ 572\cdot93\\ 572\cdot87\\ 572\cdot86\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot62\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot64\\ 571\cdot63\\ 572\cdot64\\ 571\cdot63\\ 572\cdot64\\ 571\cdot63\\ 572\cdot75\\ 572\cdot48\\ 572\cdot68\\ 572\cdot68\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 220\\ 219\\ 218\\ 216\\ 216\\ 209\\ 201\\ 198\\ 192\\ 198\\ 192\\ 198\\ 197\\ 209\\ 214\\ 220\\ 222\\ 217\\ 216\\ 210\\ 210\\ 200\\ 213\\ 224\\ 231\\ 227\\ 220\\ 200\\ 213\\ 224\\ 231\\ 227\\ 220\\ 211\\ 204\\ 193\\ 188\\ 184\\ 176\\ 188\\ 206\\ 216\\ 225\\ 224\\ 213\\ 188\\ 184\\ 176\\ 188\\ 206\\ 216\\ 225\\ 224\\ 213\\ 207\\ 197\\ 194\\ 189\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 572\cdot23\\ 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot09\\ 572\cdot05\\ 571\cdot75\\ 571\cdot37\\ 571\cdot23\\ 570\cdot96\\ 570\cdot80\\ 571\cdot99\\ 572\cdot25\\ 572\cdot26\\ 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot05\\ 572\cdot04\\ 571\cdot81\\ 571\cdot52\\ 572\cdot04\\ 571\cdot81\\ 571\cdot52\\ 572\cdot04\\ 571\cdot81\\ 571\cdot52\\ 572\cdot04\\ 571\cdot80\\ 571\cdot60\\ 571\cdot22\\ 570\cdot81\\ 571\cdot66\\ 571\cdot21\\ 571\cdot06\\ 571\cdot21\\ 571\cdot06\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 213\\ 211\\ 211\\ 208\\ 209\\ 201\\ 194\\ 190\\ 185\\ 180\\ 190\\ 201\\ 201\\ 201\\ 201\\ 201\\ 202\\ 215\\ 209\\ 209\\ 209\\ 209\\ 209\\ 209\\ 202\\ 202$			$\begin{array}{c} 572.65\\ 572.99\\ 572.89\\ 572.62\\ 572.43\\ 572.62\\ 572.43\\ 572.62\\ 572.43\\ 572.62\\ 572.63\\ 572.84\\ 572.39\\ 571.81\\ 572.39\\ 572.84\\ 572.84\\ 572.86\\ 572.31\\ 572.22\\ 573.14\\ 572.86\\ 572.51\\ 572.40\\ 572.51\\ 572.40\\ 572.07\\ 571.90\\ 572.03\\ 572.54\\ 573.02\\ 573.43\\ 572.57\\ 457.52\\ 571.52\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 202\\ 216\\ 228\\ 219\\ 210\\ 194\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 210\\ 210\\ 217\\ 235\\ 227\\ 226\\ 207\\ 200\\ 214\\ 179\\ 176\\ 207\\ 200\\ 214\\ 179\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$	
1869— January February March April	571.65 571.58 572.06 572.36	189 187 198 204	570.83 570.76 571.24 571.54	182 179 191 196 209	$572 \cdot 20$ $572 \cdot 06$ $572 \cdot 43$ $572 \cdot 67$ $572 \cdot 56$	180 198 199 194 176	571.54 571.50 571.75 572.35 572.81	176 176 176 190 210	

May..... 45827—11

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in p given i	conditions urring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete sys assuming diver Chice New Wel com	regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at ago and land Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
First of Monthly optimity man	Mo: M	nthly lean	Mom	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
(a) June	$\begin{array}{c} (b) \\ \hline \\ 573 \cdot 30 \\ 573 \cdot 58 \\ 573 \cdot 48 \\ 573 \cdot 21 \\ 572 \cdot 76 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \\ 572 \cdot 89 \\ 573 \cdot 54 \\ 573 \cdot 54 \\ 573 \cdot 75 \\ 573 \cdot 72 \\ 573 \cdot 76 \\ 573 \cdot 72 \\ 573 \cdot 76 \\ 573 \cdot 71 \\ 573 \cdot 76 \\ 573 \cdot 76 \\ 573 \cdot 78 \\ 572 \cdot 28 \\ 572 \cdot 10 \\ 571 \cdot 58 \\ 571 \cdot 58 \\ 571 \cdot 45 \\ 571 \cdot$	(c) (c) 225 232 229 223 213 203 211 216 221 216 221 216 225 235 235 235 235 235 235 229 220 214 211 206 226 226 226 226 226 229 229 22	$\begin{array}{c} (4) \\ \hline \\ 572 \cdot 48 \\ 572 \cdot 76 \\ 572 \cdot 66 \\ 572 \cdot 39 \\ 571 \cdot 94 \\ 571 \cdot 83 \\ 571 \cdot 83 \\ 571 \cdot 83 \\ 572 \cdot 90 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 571 \cdot 84 \\ 571 \cdot 63 \\ 571 \cdot 28 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 31 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 31 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 570 \cdot 63 \\ 571 \cdot 44 \\ 570 \cdot 63 \\ 571 \cdot 43 \\ 571 \cdot 43 \\ 571 \cdot 43 \\ 571 \cdot 41 \\ 571 \cdot 43 \\ 571 \cdot 17 \\ \end{array}$	(e) 217 225 221 216 205 196 203 209 213 209 223 228 227 229 227 229 227 229 227 207 203 203 203 209 213 209 223 228 227 229 227 229 227 229 227 229 227 229 227 229 227 229 229	$\begin{array}{c} 573 \cdot 55 \\ 573 \cdot 67 \\ 573 \cdot 63 \\ 573 \cdot 63 \\ 573 \cdot 63 \\ 573 \cdot 63 \\ 573 \cdot 23 \\ 572 \cdot 73 \\ 572 \cdot 73 \\ 572 \cdot 77 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 573 \cdot 05 \\ 574 \cdot 13 \\ 574 \cdot 20 \\ 574 \cdot 13 \\ 573 \cdot 54 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 02 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 02 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 $	(g)	$\begin{array}{c} (i) \\ \hline \\ 573 \cdot 22 \\ 573 \cdot 42 \\ 573 \cdot 33 \\ 572 \cdot 87 \\ 572 \cdot 33 \\ 571 \cdot 89 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 572 \cdot 94 \\ 572 \cdot 94 \\ 573 \cdot 41 \\ 573 \cdot 46 \\ 573 \cdot 37 \\ 573 \cdot 294 \\ 573 \cdot 41 \\ 573 \cdot 46 \\ 572 \cdot 99 \\ 572 \cdot 99 \\ 572 \cdot 56 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 571 \cdot 56 \\ 571 \cdot 56 \\ 571 \cdot 56 \\ 571 \cdot 04 \\ 571 \cdot 04 \\ 571 \cdot 35 \\ 571 \cdot 04 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 21 \\ 10 \\ 571 \cdot 04 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 21 \\ 10 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 21 \\ 10 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 21 \\ 10 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 226\\ 243\\ 245\\ 227\\ 202\\ 176\\ 186\\ 193\\ 210\\ 208\\ 214\\ 232\\ 233\\ 242\\ 233\\ 242\\ 233\\ 242\\ 233\\ 242\\ 233\\ 200\\ 217\\ 188\\ 200\\ 217\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 1$
November December 1873— January. February. March. April. June	$571 \cdot 32$ $571 \cdot 49$ $571 \cdot 26$ $571 \cdot 16$ $571 \cdot 17$ $571 \cdot 24$ $572 \cdot 52$ $573 \cdot 19$ $573 \cdot 27$	193 186 181 179 179 180 208 223 225	$570 \cdot 67$ $570 \cdot 34$ $570 \cdot 34$ $570 \cdot 35$ $570 \cdot 42$ $571 \cdot 70$ $572 \cdot 37$ $572 \cdot 45$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 60 \\ 571 \cdot 90 \\ 571 \cdot 53 \\ 571 \cdot 27 \\ 571 \cdot 10 \\ 571 \cdot 43 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 573 \cdot 25 \\ 573 \cdot 15 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$571 \cdot 63$ $571 \cdot 64$ $571 \cdot 41$ $571 \cdot 23$ $571 \cdot 09$ $571 \cdot 07$ $571 \cdot 74$ $572 \cdot 95$ $573 \cdot 31$	$ \begin{array}{r} 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 215 \\ 999 $

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occu in pa given i	onditions rring st as n record	Computed for preser without New Well assumed Chicago assumeda Other low data cor U.S. Lal	l conditions nt regimen regulation land Canal complete diversion t 8,500c.f.s. erings from npiled by ke Survey	Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
widewold ; by and	Mon Mo	thly ean	Mor m	nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
(a) 1873— July September October November December 1874— January February March. April June July July September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 5\\ 573 \cdot 25\\ 573 \cdot 19\\ 572 \cdot 79\\ 572 \cdot 29\\ 572 \cdot 29\\ 572 \cdot 66\\ 573 \cdot 05\\ 573 \cdot 10\\ 573 \cdot 13\\ 573 \cdot 30\\ 573 \cdot 30\\ 573 \cdot 39\\ 573 \cdot 46\\ 573 \cdot 49\\ 573 \cdot 33\\ 572 \cdot 87\\ 572 \cdot 43\\ 572 \cdot 43\\ 572 \cdot 01\\ 571 \cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	224 223 214 207 203 211 220 221 225 227 229 230 226 216 206 206 197 192	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot43\\572\cdot37\\571\cdot97\\571\cdot67\\571\cdot47\\571\cdot84\\572\cdot23\\572\cdot28\\572\cdot31\\572\cdot48\\572\cdot57\\572\cdot64\\572\cdot67\\572\cdot67\\572\cdot67\\572\cdot51\\572\cdot05\\571\cdot61\\571\cdot19\\570\cdot98\\\end{array}$	217 215 207 199 196 203 213 213 213 213 214 217 220 221 223 218 209 198 190 184	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot 30\\ 573\cdot 50\\ 573\cdot 11\\ 572\cdot 77\\ 572\cdot 55\\ 572\cdot 49\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 573\cdot 39\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 573\cdot 46\\ 573\cdot 52\\ 573\cdot 57\\ 573\cdot 47\\ 573\cdot 05\\ 572\cdot 67\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 572\cdot 25\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 225\\ 262\\ 237\\ 225\\ 244\\ 232\\ 198\\ 202\\ 218\\ 204\\ 180\\ 184\\ 256\\ 256\\ 223\\ 200\\ 201\\ 183\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 93\\ 572\cdot 55\\ 572\cdot 51\\ 572\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 91\\ 572\cdot 91\\ 572\cdot 91\\ 572\cdot 99\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 573\cdot 21\\ 573\cdot 21\\ 573\cdot 16\\ 572\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 571\cdot 92\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 48\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 237\\ 229\\ 217\\ 185\\ 176\\ 218\\ 197\\ 213\\ 213\\ 213\\ 216\\ 221\\ 226\\ 235\\ 229\\ 216\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ \end{array}$
1875 January February March. April June June July. August. September October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 57\\ 571\cdot 40\\ 571\cdot 54\\ 571\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 41\\ 572\cdot 84\\ 572\cdot 97\\ 572\cdot 96\\ 572\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 33\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 40\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 187\\ 184\\ 187\\ 195\\ 205\\ 215\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 214\\ 204\\ 200\\ 205\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot75\\ 570\cdot58\\ 570\cdot72\\ 571\cdot12\\ 571\cdot59\\ 572\cdot02\\ 572\cdot15\\ 572\cdot14\\ 572\cdot00\\ 571\cdot51\\ 571\cdot36\\ 571\cdot58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 176 \\ 180 \\ 187 \\ 198 \\ 207 \\ 211 \\ 210 \\ 207 \\ 196 \\ 193 \\ 197 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 20\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 571\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 65\\ 573\cdot 37\\ 573\cdot 45\\ 573\cdot 35\\ 573\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 65\\ 572\cdot 45\\ 572\cdot 45\\ 572\cdot 45\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 198\\ 201\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 191\\ 224\\ 248\\ 205\\ 219\\ 252\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 11\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 36\\ 571\cdot 36\\ 571\cdot 97\\ 572\cdot 60\\ 572\cdot 92\\ 572\cdot 77\\ 572\cdot 53\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 36\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 200 \\ 228 \\ 225 \\ 217 \\ 197 \\ 179 \\ 232 \end{array}$
1876— January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 36\\ 572\cdot 92\\ 573\cdot 57\\ 574\cdot 09\\ 574\cdot 41\\ 574\cdot 52\\ 574\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 94\\ 573\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 49\\ 573\cdot 15\end{array}$	204 217 232 243 251 253 251 244 244 240 228 230 222	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot54\\ 572\cdot10\\ 572\cdot75\\ 573\cdot27\\ 573\cdot59\\ 573\cdot70\\ 573\cdot59\\ 573\cdot29\\ 573\cdot12\\ 572\cdot59\\ 572\cdot59\\ 572\cdot67\\ 572\cdot33\end{array}$	$197 \\ 209 \\ 225 \\ 235 \\ 244 \\ 245 \\ 244 \\ 236 \\ 220 \\ 220 \\ 223 \\ 214$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 24\\ 572\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 573\cdot 40\\ 573\cdot 62\\ 574\cdot 04\\ 573\cdot 56\\ 573\cdot 68\\ 573\cdot 68\\ 573\cdot 12\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 60\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\\ 176\\ 209\\ 232\\ 245\\ 272\\ 268\\ 258\\ 268\\ 268\\ 265\\ 243\\ 266\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 12\\ 572\cdot 51\\ 573\cdot 22\\ 573\cdot 87\\ 574\cdot 20\\ 574\cdot 30\\ 574\cdot 17\\ 573\cdot 91\\ 573\cdot 58\\ 573\cdot 12\\ 572\cdot 93\\ 572\cdot 72\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 180\\ 197\\ 226\\ 246\\ 259\\ 266\\ 269\\ 261\\ 234\\ 247\\ 243\\ \end{array} $
1877— January February March April May. June Julv	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 75 \\ 572 \cdot 59 \\ 572 \cdot 36 \\ 572 \cdot 79 \\ 573 \cdot 04 \\ 573 \cdot 12 \\ 573 \cdot 36 \end{array}$	213 209 204 214 219 221 226	$\begin{array}{c c} 571 \cdot 93 \\ 571 \cdot 77 \\ 571 \cdot 54 \\ 571 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \\ 572 \cdot 54 \end{array}$	206 201 197 206 212 213 219	572.06		$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 34 \\ 572 \cdot 24 \\ 572 \cdot 16 \\ 572 \cdot 41 \\ 572 \cdot 85 \\ 572 \cdot 99 \\ 573 \cdot 02 \end{array}$	190 186 183 192 211 217 231

July..... 45827-11¹/₂

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual d occi in p given i	conditions urring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled U.S. Lake Survey		Complete sys assuming i diver Chici New Well com	regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at ago and and Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 cs. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
	Mo	nthly ean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1877— August September October November December 1878—	$573 \cdot 22 573 \cdot 14 572 \cdot 74 572 \cdot 66 572 \cdot 74 $	223 222 213 211 213	572.40572.32571.92571.84571.92	$215 \\ 215 \\ 205 \\ 204 \\ 205$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$572 \cdot 83 \\ 572 \cdot 50 \\ 572 \cdot 35 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 44$	$226 \\ 216 \\ 203 \\ 197 \\ 237$
January. February. March. April. May. July. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot82\\ 572\cdot96\\ 573\cdot09\\ 573\cdot51\\ 573\cdot77\\ 573\cdot77\\ 573\cdot77\\ 573\cdot53\\ 573\cdot40\\ 573\cdot05\\ 572\cdot85\\ 572\cdot93\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 214\\ 218\\ 220\\ 236\\ 236\\ 236\\ 236\\ 231\\ 227\\ 220\\ 215\\ 217\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 27 \\ 572 \cdot 69 \\ 572 \cdot 95 \\ 572 \cdot 95 \\ 572 \cdot 95 \\ 571 \cdot 71 \\ 572 \cdot 58 \\ 572 \cdot 23 \\ 572 \cdot 23 \\ 572 \cdot 03 \\ 572 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	207 210 213 222 229 228 229 223 220 212 208 209			$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 35\\ 572\cdot 54\\ 572\cdot 74\\ 573\cdot 02\\ 573\cdot 39\\ 573\cdot 45\\ 573\cdot 31\\ 573\cdot 02\\ 572\cdot 68\\ 572\cdot 38\\ 572\cdot 38\\ 572\cdot 28\\ 572\cdot 28\\ 572\cdot 40\\ \end{array}$	$190 \\ 198 \\ 206 \\ 218 \\ 230 \\ 233 \\ 240 \\ 231 \\ 221 \\ 206 \\ 199 \\ 235$
January. February. March. March. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1880—	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 37 \\ 572 \cdot 40 \\ 572 \cdot 76 \\ 572 \cdot 91 \\ 573 \cdot 00 \\ 573 \cdot 03 \\ 572 \cdot 81 \\ 572 \cdot 48 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 571 \cdot 78 \\ 572 \cdot 04 \end{array}$	208 205 213 216 218 219 214 207 202 192 197	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 69\\ 571\cdot 55\\ 571\cdot 58\\ 571\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 09\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 21\\ 571\cdot 99\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 43\\ 570\cdot 96\\ 571\cdot 22\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201 \\ 197 \\ 198 \\ 205 \\ 209 \\ 210 \\ 212 \\ 206 \\ 200 \\ 194 \\ 185 \\ 189 \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 04 \\ 571 \cdot 93 \\ 572 \cdot 02 \\ 572 \cdot 37 \\ 572 \cdot 74 \\ 572 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 571 \cdot 93 \\ 571 \cdot 72 \\ 571 \cdot 63 \end{array}$	$177 \\ 176 \\ 177 \\ 191 \\ 206 \\ 212 \\ 227 \\ 218 \\ 187 \\ 176 $
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. B81—	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 54\\ 572\cdot 58\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 573\cdot 15\\ 573\cdot 26\\ 573\cdot 35\\ 573\cdot 11\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 36\\ 572\cdot 02\\ \end{array}$	208 209 212 216 222 224 226 221 216 206 204 197	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot72\\ 571\cdot76\\ 571\cdot90\\ 572\cdot06\\ 572\cdot33\\ 572\cdot44\\ 572\cdot53\\ 572\cdot29\\ 572\cdot06\\ 571\cdot62\\ 571\cdot62\\ 571\cdot54\\ 571\cdot20\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201\\ 201\\ 205\\ 208\\ 215\\ 216\\ 219\\ 213\\ 209\\ 198\\ 197\\ 189 \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 60\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 74\\ 572\cdot 96\\ 573\cdot 11\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 572\cdot 07\\ 571\cdot 91\\ 571\cdot 91\\ \end{array}$	$183 \\ 200 \\ 201 \\ 206 \\ 215 \\ 221 \\ 233 \\ 227 \\ 216 \\ 181 \\ 176 \\ 177 \\$
January February March April. May June. July	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 572\cdot 74\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 573\cdot 38\\ 573\cdot 38\\ 573\cdot 33\end{array}$	188 190 197 213 222 227 226	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot79\\ 570\cdot90\\ 571\cdot22\\ 571\cdot92\\ 572\cdot32\\ 572\cdot32\\ 572\cdot56\\ 572\cdot51\\ \end{array}$	182 183 191 206 216 220 220			571.73 571.61 571.85 572.47 573.09 573.34 573.32	176 176 176 195 220 230 240

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occu in pa given in	onditions rring st as n record	Computed for presen without n New Well assumed Chicago assumed at Other lowe data co U.S. Lak	outed conditions resent regimen out regulation Welland Canal med complete cago diversion ned at 8,500 c.f.s. rlowerings from at a compiled Lake Survey			Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		
The of Monthly	Mor Mo	athly ean	Mon me	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)	
I881— August September October November December 1882— January February	573.01572.66572.61572.43572.64573.11573.11	219 211 210 206 210 221 221	$572 \cdot 19$ $571 \cdot 84$ $571 \cdot 79$ $571 \cdot 61$ $571 \cdot 82$ $572 \cdot 30$ $572 \cdot 30$	212 205 203 200 203 215 214	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$572 \cdot 90 572 \cdot 44 572 \cdot 21 572 \cdot 33 572 \cdot 43 572 \cdot 63 572 \cdot 98 $	228 211 214 202 237 202 216	
March April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 573 \cdot 56 \\ 573 \cdot 78 \\ 573 \cdot 98 \\ 574 \cdot 13 \\ 574 \cdot 06 \\ 573 \cdot 92 \\ 573 \cdot 65 \\ 573 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 37 \end{array}$	231 236 241 244 243 239 233 223 216 205	$572 \cdot 75$ $572 \cdot 97$ $573 \cdot 17$ $573 \cdot 25$ $573 \cdot 11$ $572 \cdot 84$ $572 \cdot 39$ $572 \cdot 07$ $571 \cdot 56$	225 229 235 237 237 232 227 216 210 198			$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot18\\ 573\cdot53\\ 573\cdot70\\ 573\cdot87\\ 573\cdot81\\ 573\cdot58\\ 573\cdot58\\ 573\cdot34\\ 572\cdot99\\ 572\cdot64\\ 572\cdot22\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 225\\ 235\\ 241\\ 246\\ 255\\ 262\\ 245\\ 230\\ 226\\ 214\\ \end{array}$	
1883— January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot28\\572\cdot49\\572\cdot68\\573\cdot26\\573\cdot26\\573\cdot96\\574\cdot16\\574\cdot10\\573\cdot79\\573\cdot47\\573\cdot09\\573\cdot47\\573\cdot09\\573\cdot12\end{array}$	202 207 211 214 224 240 245 244 236 229 229 220 221	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 48\\ 571\cdot 69\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 00\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 573\cdot 16\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 30\\ 572\cdot 99\\ 572\cdot 67\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 32\\ \end{array}$	196 200 205 207 218 233 239 237 230 222 214 214			$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 99\\ 572\cdot 22\\ 572\cdot 51\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 573\cdot 02\\ 573\cdot 53\\ 573\cdot 93\\ 574\cdot 01\\ 573\cdot 65\\ 573\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 84\\ 572\cdot 45\\ \end{array}$	$176 \\ 185 \\ 198 \\ 205 \\ 218 \\ 237 \\ 259 \\ 271 \\ 264 \\ 237 \\ 242 \\ 237 \\$	
1884— January February March. April. June. July August. September October November December	$572 \cdot 79$ $573 \cdot 05$ $573 \cdot 24$ $573 \cdot 79$ $574 \cdot 06$ $574 \cdot 14$ $573 \cdot 32$ $573 \cdot 33$ $573 \cdot 30$ $572 \cdot 52$ $572 \cdot 45$	$\begin{array}{c} 214\\ 220\\ 224\\ 236\\ 243\\ 244\\ 239\\ 236\\ 226\\ 218\\ 208\\ 206\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot00\\ 572\cdot26\\ 572\cdot45\\ 573\cdot00\\ 573\cdot27\\ 573\cdot35\\ 573\cdot13\\ 572\cdot97\\ 572\cdot54\\ 572\cdot21\\ 571\cdot73\\ 571\cdot66\end{array}$	208 213 218 229 237 233 229 220 211 202 199			$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot33\\ 572\cdot71\\ 573\cdot17\\ 573\cdot55\\ 573\cdot65\\ 573\cdot50\\ 573\cdot30\\ 572\cdot95\\ 572\cdot95\\ 572\cdot58\\ 572\cdot15\\ 572\cdot06\\ \end{array}$	$185 \\ 190 \\ 205 \\ 225 \\ 237 \\ 239 \\ 246 \\ 243 \\ 229 \\ 218 \\ 188 \\ 195$	
January. January. February. March. April. May. June. June. July. August.	$572 \cdot 27$ $572 \cdot 06$ $571 \cdot 92$ $572 \cdot 74$ $573 \cdot 47$ $573 \cdot 98$ $573 \cdot 94$ $573 \cdot 95$	$\begin{array}{c c} 202 \\ 198 \\ 195 \\ 213 \\ 229 \\ 241 \\ 240 \\ 240 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 49 \\ 571 \cdot 28 \\ 571 \cdot 14 \\ 571 \cdot 96 \\ 572 \cdot 69 \\ 573 \cdot 20 \\ 573 \cdot 16 \\ 573 \cdot 17 \end{array}$	196 191 189 206 223 234 234 234 234			571.92 571.86 571.77 572.24 573.18 573.66 573.72 573.61	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in p given i	conditions nring ast as n record	Computed cond for present regis without reguls New Welland C assumed comp Chicago diver assumed at 8,500 Other lowerings data compiled U.S. Lake Su		Complete sys assuming diver Chica New Well com	regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
datamat i ka tani	Mor M	nthly ean	Mon	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1885— September October November December 1886— January	$573 \cdot 80$ $573 \cdot 70$ $573 \cdot 58$ $573 \cdot 53$ $573 \cdot 55$	237 234 232 231 231	$573 \cdot 02 572 \cdot 92 572 \cdot 80 572 \cdot 75 572 \cdot 78$	231 227 226 224 225			$573 \cdot 37$ $573 \cdot 18$ $573 \cdot 19$ $572 \cdot 95$ $572 \cdot 86$	248 225 253 248 212
February March April May June July August September October November December	$572 \cdot 82$ $572 \cdot 63$ $573 \cdot 51$ $573 \cdot 81$ $573 \cdot 81$ $573 \cdot 89$ $573 \cdot 68$ $573 \cdot 44$ $573 \cdot 21$ $572 \cdot 80$ $572 \cdot 85$	214 210 230 237 239 239 239 234 228 223 214 215	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 05 \\ 571 \cdot 86 \\ 572 \cdot 74 \\ 573 \cdot 04 \\ 573 \cdot 12 \\ 572 \cdot 91 \\ 572 \cdot 67 \\ 572 \cdot 44 \\ 572 \cdot 03 \\ 572 \cdot 08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 204\\ 223\\ 231\\ 232\\ 233\\ 227\\ 222\\ 216\\ 208\\ 208\\ \end{array}$			$572 \cdot 59$ $572 \cdot 14$ $572 \cdot 65$ $573 \cdot 40$ $573 \cdot 37$ $573 \cdot 23$ $572 \cdot 97$ $572 \cdot 71$ $572 \cdot 38$ $572 \cdot 25$	200 181 202 232 234 242 238 230 222 206 218
1887— January February March. April June. July. July. August. September October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 62\\ 573\cdot 04\\ 573\cdot 85\\ 573\cdot 87\\ 574\cdot 05\\ 574\cdot 08\\ 573\cdot 84\\ 573\cdot 84\\ 573\cdot 52\\ 573\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 70\\ 572\cdot 43\\ 572\cdot 45\end{array}$	210 219 236 235 240 243 239 230 223 224 212 216	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 28\\ 573\cdot 09\\ 573\cdot 11\\ 573\cdot 29\\ 573\cdot 32\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 76\\ 572\cdot 53\\ 571\cdot 67\\ 571\cdot 69\end{array}$	204 212 230 228 234 236 233 223 217 217 217 206 209			$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 17 \\ 572 \cdot 38 \\ 573 \cdot 06 \\ 573 \cdot 50 \\ 573 \cdot 46 \\ 573 \cdot 51 \\ 573 \cdot 33 \\ 572 \cdot 84 \\ 572 \cdot 63 \\ 572 \cdot 63 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \\ 571 \cdot 92 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \end{array}$	$183 \\ 192 \\ 219 \\ 234 \\ 233 \\ 235 \\ 241 \\ 226 \\ 200 \\ 176 \\ 186 \\ 186$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 27 \\ 572 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 73 \\ 572 \cdot 73 \\ 572 \cdot 98 \\ 573 \cdot 11 \\ 573 \cdot 26 \\ 573 \cdot 16 \\ 572 \cdot 72 \\ 572 \cdot 72 \\ 572 \cdot 35 \\ 572 \cdot 41 \\ 572 \cdot 29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 198\\ 199\\ 214\\ 217\\ 221\\ 226\\ 223\\ 216\\ 212\\ 208\\ 215\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 52\\ 571\cdot 25\\ 571\cdot 35\\ 571\cdot 98\\ 572\cdot 23\\ 572\cdot 36\\ 572\cdot 51\\ 572\cdot 41\\ 571\cdot 97\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 54\end{array}$	205 191 193 207 211 214 220 216 210 205 202 208			$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 04 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 44 \\ 572 \cdot 93 \\ 573 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 83 \\ 572 \cdot 38 \\ 571 \cdot 95 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 40 \end{array}$	$177 \\ 177 \\ 176 \\ 193 \\ 214 \\ 217 \\ 230 \\ 226 \\ 206 \\ 176 \\ 193 \\ 235 \\$
January February March. April May June. June. July. August. September	$572 \cdot 31$ $572 \cdot 15$ $571 \cdot 99$ $572 \cdot 34$ $572 \cdot 52$ $572 \cdot 95$ $573 \cdot 15$ $572 \cdot 84$ $572 \cdot 45$	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 206\\ 198\\ 206\\ 209\\ 220\\ 221\\ 219\\ 210\\ \end{array}$	$571 \cdot 57$ $571 \cdot 41$ $571 \cdot 25$ $571 \cdot 60$ $571 \cdot 78$ $572 \cdot 21$ $572 \cdot 41$ $572 \cdot 10$ $571 \cdot 71$	$\begin{array}{c} 205 \\ 199 \\ 192 \\ 199 \\ 203 \\ 213 \\ 215 \\ 212 \\ 204 \end{array}$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$572 \cdot 05$ $572 \cdot 22$ $572 \cdot 16$ $572 \cdot 28$ $572 \cdot 63$ $572 \cdot 91$ $573 \cdot 15$ $572 \cdot 84$ $572 \cdot 29$	$178 \\ 185 \\ 183 \\ 188 \\ 202 \\ 214 \\ 235 \\ 226 \\ 199$

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occur in pa given in	onditions rring st as n record	Computed for presen without New Well assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data con U.S. Lak	conditions at regimen regulation and Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from apiled by ce Survey	Complete syst assuming & divers Chica New Well com	regulation em; 5500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal plete	Partial r syst assuming divers Chica New Well com	egulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal plete
tine of Streets	Mon Mo	thly ean	Mor	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1889— October November December	572.03 571.76 572.02	199 199 206	571.29 571.02 571.28	192 193 199			571.87 571.64 571.76	176 176 176
1890— January February March	572.38 572.67 572.79	219 215 220 226	571.65 571.94 572.06 572.55	213 208 214 219	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$572 \cdot 29$ $572 \cdot 82$ $572 \cdot 97$ $573 \cdot 25$	188 210 216 227
May June July August	573.62 573.99 573.61 573.17	234 242 235 225	$572 \cdot 89$ $573 \cdot 26$ $572 \cdot 88$ $572 \cdot 44$	228 235 229 218			573.56 573.78 573.65 573.01	237 244 251 231
September October November December	$572 \cdot 98$ $572 \cdot 79$ $572 \cdot 76$ $572 \cdot 53$	217 216 221 215	572.25 572.06 5/2.03 571.80	211 209 215 208			572.25 5.2.28 572.28	195 198 222
January February March April	$572 \cdot 31$ $572 \cdot 29$ $572 \cdot 75$ $572 \cdot 62$	209 206 210 212	571.59 571.57 572.03 571.90	203 199 204 205			$\begin{array}{c c} 571.96\\ 572.12\\ 572.48\\ 572.75\\ 572.75\\ 572.58\\ 572.5$	176 180 195 206
May June July August	$572 \cdot 44$ $572 \cdot 58$ $572 \cdot 48$ $572 \cdot 21$ $572 \cdot 21$	207 207 211 205 201	$571 \cdot 72$ $571 \cdot 86$ $571 \cdot 76$ $571 \cdot 49$ $571 \cdot 31$	201 200 205 198			$572 \cdot 58$ $572 \cdot 53$ $572 \cdot 51$ $572 \cdot 13$ $571 \cdot 95$	198 217 186 176
September October November December	571.65 571.21 571.28	193 191 192	570.93 570.49 570.56	186 185 185			$571 \cdot 76$ $571 \cdot 39$ $571 \cdot 23$	176 176 176
January February March. April. May. June.	$571 \cdot 31$ $571 \cdot 10$ $571 \cdot 14$ $571 \cdot 70$ $572 \cdot 50$ $573 \cdot 26$	190 176 180 198 207 225	$\begin{array}{c} 570 \cdot 60 \\ 570 \cdot 39 \\ 570 \cdot 43 \\ 570 \cdot 99 \\ 571 \cdot 79 \\ 572 \cdot 55 \end{array}$	184 169 174 191 201 218	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 35 \\ 571 \cdot 30 \\ 571 \cdot 16 \\ 571 \cdot 44 \\ 572 \cdot 24 \\ 573 \cdot 13 \\ 573 \cdot 13 \end{array}$	176 176 176 176 186 222 945
July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 573 \cdot 38 \\ 573 \cdot 03 \\ 572 \cdot 71 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 571 \cdot 82 \\ 571 \cdot 55 \end{array}$	230 222 216 208 200 200	572.67572.32572.00571.44571.11570.84	224 215 210 201 194 193			$\begin{array}{c} 573 \cdot 49 \\ 573 \cdot 09 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 571 \cdot 96 \\ 571 \cdot 69 \\ 571 \cdot 47 \end{array}$	243 233 217 176 176 176
1893— January February March April June July August September October	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 17\\ 571\cdot 25\\ 571\cdot 47\\ 572\cdot 20\\ 573\cdot 04\\ 573\cdot 23\\ 572\cdot 95\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 23\\ 571\cdot 88\end{array}$	183 182 188 203 219 226 224 210 205 203	$\begin{array}{c} 570 \cdot 47 \\ 570 \cdot 55 \\ 570 \cdot 77 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 572 \cdot 34 \\ 572 \cdot 53 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 571 \cdot 91 \\ 571 \cdot 53 \\ 571 \cdot 18 \end{array}$	177 175 182 196 213 219 218 203 199 196	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 37\\ 571\cdot 16\\ 571\cdot 23\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 572\cdot 71\\ 573\cdot 21\\ 573\cdot 21\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 572\cdot 51\\ 571\cdot 98\\ 571\cdot 81\end{array}$	176 176 176 205 225 232 217 176 176

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
girlines is not	Monthly Mean		Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1802				The second s				
November December	$571 \cdot 48 \\ 571 \cdot 56$	202 202	$570.78 \\ 570.86$	196 195	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$571 \cdot 60 \\ 571 \cdot 60$	$\begin{array}{c} 176\\176\end{array}$
January	571.84	202	571.14	196	572.20	200	571.07	176
February	571.72	193	571.02	186	572.00	170	572.20	185
March	571.75	196	571.05	190	572.16	205	579.13	100
Anril	572.15	200	571.45	103	572.51	180	579.37	101
May	572.54	211	571.84	205	573.30	237	572.74	206
June	572.85	220	$572 \cdot 15$	213	573.62	263	573.05	219
July	572.73	216	572.03	210	573.62	258	573.02	231
August	572.36	206	571.66	199	$573 \cdot 20$	210	572.52	217
September	$572 \cdot 19$	202	571.49	196	573.16	176	572.10	184
October	571.87	202	$571 \cdot 17$	195	572.88	176	571.96	176
November	571.63	198	570.93	192	$572 \cdot 50$	232	571.85	176
December	571.66	195	570.86	188	$572 \cdot 25$	224	$571 \cdot 82$	176
1895-				- IRAT		121.52		Darmont
January	$571 \cdot 23$	192	570.53	186	572.05	212	571.75	176
February	571.00	177	570.30	170	$571 \cdot 91$	178	571.65	176
March	571.01	176	570.31	170	$571 \cdot 72$	182	$571 \cdot 58$	176
April	571.40	180	570.50	173	571.82	176	571.68	176
June June	571 57	100	570.97	181	571.92	176	571.87	176
July	571.46	190	570.76	100	571 07	170	572.05	177
August	571.28	190	570.68	104	579.00	170	572.05	177
September	571.98	186	570.58	180	571.02	170	571 95	170
October	570.80	182	570.10	175	571.66	176	571.64	170
November	570.70	171	570.00	165	571.42	217	571.90	170
December	570.86	176	570.16	169	571.30	176	571.20	176
1896—			0.0 10	100	011 00	110	011 20	110
January	570.96	180	$570 \cdot 27$	174	$571 \cdot 27$	176	571.32	176
February	570.88	178	$570 \cdot 19$	171	571.15	176	571.33	176
March	570.83	171	$570 \cdot 14$	165	$571 \cdot 43$	176	$571 \cdot 21$. 176
April	$571 \cdot 28$	181	570.59	174	$571 \cdot 54$	176	571.32	176
May	571.66	192	570.97	186	$571 \cdot 85$	176	571.73	176
June	571.93	192	571.24	185	572.15	. 176	$572 \cdot 15$	181
July	579 09	190	571 22	190	572.09	176	$572 \cdot 23$	194
Sentember	571.70	102	571.01	194	579.92	170	572.23	194
October	571.46	186	570.77	170	571.89	176	572.18	191
November	571.09	186	570.40	180	571.56	176	571.71	170
December	571.12	182	570.43	175	571.40	176	571.56	170
1897—			0.0 10	110	0.1 10	110	011.00	170
January	571.09	190	570.40	184	571.43	176	571.59	176
February	$571 \cdot 29$	180	570.60	173	571.52	218	571.78	176
March	571.66	191	570.97	185	571.68	176	572.12	180
April	$572 \cdot 21$	203	$571 \cdot 52$	196	572.07	176	572.66	203
May	$572 \cdot 54$	212	$571 \cdot 85$	206	$572 \cdot 55$	176	573.02	217
June	572.64	212	571.95	205	572.83	185	573.10	221
July	572.63	211	571.94	205	573.05	183	572.96	230
August	572.47	208	571.78	201	573.17	176	$572 \cdot 60$	219
September	572.19	201	571.50	195	573.02	176	572.19	191
Nerrore Nerrore	571.70	191	571.01	184	572.58	187	571.83	176
November	571 54	192	570.85	186	572.21	182	571.61	176
December	0/1.04	194	570.85	187 1	572.10	176	571.61	176
TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
and the state	Mor Mo	nthly ean	Monthly mean		First of Monthly month mean		First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1898— January February March. April May. June July August. September October November December 1899— January February March. April May. June July August. September October November December May. July August September October November	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 59\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 572\cdot 05\\ 572\cdot 63\\ 572\cdot 78\\ 572\cdot 78\\ 572\cdot 78\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 571\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot 81\\ 571\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot 83\\ 572\cdot 13\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 572\cdot 21\\ 571\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 192\\ 189\\ 198\\ 211\\ 214\\ 214\\ 210\\ 209\\ 200\\ 197\\ 199\\ 200\\ 198\\ 188\\ 193\\ 197\\ 203\\ 208\\ 206\\ 198\\ 194\\ 185\\ 186\\ 196\\ 196\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 91\\ 571\cdot 11\\ 571\cdot 37\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 572\cdot 10\\ 572\cdot 13\\ 571\cdot 91\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 33\\ 571\cdot 13\\ 571\cdot 13\\ 571\cdot 13\\ 571\cdot 13\\ 571\cdot 14\\ 570\cdot 84\\ 570\cdot 78\\ 571\cdot 15\\ 571\cdot 45\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 78\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 78\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 186\\ 182\\ 192\\ 204\\ 208\\ 207\\ 204\\ 202\\ 194\\ 190\\ 193\\ 193\\ 193\\ 193\\ 193\\ 193\\ 193\\ 192\\ 181\\ 187\\ 190\\ 197\\ 201\\ 200\\ 197\\ 201\\ 200\\ 191\\ 188\\ 178\\ 180\\ 189\\ 189\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot00\\ 571\cdot98\\ 572\cdot33\\ 572\cdot61\\ 573\cdot17\\ 573\cdot29\\ 573\cdot15\\ 573\cdot16\\ 572\cdot95\\ 572\cdot95\\ 572\cdot56\\ 572\cdot22\\ 572\cdot15\\ 572\cdot06\\ 572\cdot22\\ 572\cdot15\\ 572\cdot06\\ 572\cdot22\\ 573\cdot30\\ 572\cdot63\\ 572\cdot72\\ 572\cdot72\\ 572\cdot71\\ 572\cdot17\\ 572\cdot17\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 179\\ 177\\ 188\\ 218\\ 185\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot75\\ 572\cdot00\\ 572\cdot78\\ 573\cdot07\\ 572\cdot78\\ 573\cdot07\\ 572\cdot84\\ 572\cdot05\\ 571\cdot88\\ 571\cdot85\\ 571\cdot85\\ 571\cdot83\\ 571\cdot83\\ 571\cdot99\\ 572\cdot07\\ 572\cdot18\\ 572\cdot57\\ 572\cdot79\\ 571\cdot70\\ 571\cdot60\\ 571\cdot60\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 176\\ 176\\ 188\\ 208\\ 220\\ 220\\ 226\\ 207\\ 177\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 17$
December 1900	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 34\\ 571\cdot 36\\ 571\cdot 57\\ 571\cdot 92\\ 572\cdot 23\\ 572\cdot 34\\ 572\cdot 34\\ 572\cdot 34\\ 571\cdot 99\\ 571\cdot 75\\ 571\cdot 49\\ 571\cdot 45\\ 571\cdot 49\\ 571\cdot 45\\ 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 72\\ 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 33\\ 571\cdot 16\\ 571\cdot 19\\ 571\cdot 19\end{array}$	189 189 188 192 200 204 205 206 203 198 190 193 192 183 175 171 176 179 190 194 186 183 183	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 69\\ 570\cdot 90\\ 571\cdot 25\\ 571\cdot 56\\ 571\cdot 72\\ 571\cdot 64\\ 571\cdot 64\\ 571\cdot 62\\ 571\cdot 64\\ 571\cdot 62\\ 570\cdot 72\\ 570\cdot 72\\ 570\cdot 72\\ 570\cdot 72\\ 570\cdot 66\\ 570\cdot 67\\ 571\cdot 09\\ 571\cdot 28\\ 571\cdot 108\\ 571\cdot 108\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 570\cdot 56\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 570\cdot 56\\ 570$	186 184 189 196 201 203 199 195 186 190 188 181 172 169 173 177 187 189 183 181 180	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 572 \cdot 82 \\ 573 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 75 \\ 572 \cdot 86 \\ 572 \cdot 75 \\ 572 \cdot 80 \\ 572 \cdot 58 \\ 572 \cdot 80 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 27 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 05 \\ 571 \cdot 92 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 13 \\ 572 \cdot 59 \\ 572 \cdot 70 \\ 572 \cdot 91 \\ 572 \cdot 91 \\ 572 \cdot 91 \\ 572 \cdot 23 \\ 572 $	$\begin{array}{c} 191\\ 216\\ 226\\ 231\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 222\\ 233\\ 221\\ 194\\ 176\\ 182\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 59\\ 571\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 02\\ 572\cdot 49\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 68\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 571\cdot 93\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 20\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 571\cdot 62\\ 571\cdot 60\\ 571\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 176\\ 176\\ 177\\ 195\\ 205\\ 208\\ 221\\ 207\\ 188\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s, Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Active 16 1 22 1-1					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1002		-						
February March April June July September October November	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 63\\ 570\cdot 94\\ 571\cdot 49\\ 571\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 12\\ 572\cdot 74\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 38\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 02\end{array}$	$171 \\ 174 \\ 186 \\ 191 \\ 198 \\ 212 \\ 210 \\ 203 \\ 205 \\ 200$	$\begin{array}{c} 570 \cdot 05 \\ 570 \cdot 36 \\ 570 \cdot 91 \\ 571 \cdot 28 \\ 571 \cdot 54 \\ 572 \cdot 16 \\ 572 \cdot 14 \\ 571 \cdot 80 \\ 571 \cdot 71 \\ 571 \cdot 44 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 168\\172\\183\\189\\195\\210\\207\\201\\202\\198\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 19 \\ 571 \cdot 96 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 573 \cdot 02 \\ 573 \cdot 31 \\ 572 \cdot 94 \\ 572 \cdot 63 \\ 572 \cdot 43 \end{array}$	$ 199 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 202 } $	$571 \cdot 49$ $571 \cdot 30$ $571 \cdot 71$ $572 \cdot 26$ $572 \cdot 59$ $572 \cdot 99$ $573 \cdot 14$ $572 \cdot 70$ $572 \cdot 33$ $572 \cdot 21$	176 176 176 176 200 230 235 221 202 192 192
December	571.82	192	571.24	189	572.04	207	572.05	194
1903-	F71 70	100	F71 10	105	FT0 10	170		and a result of
January. February. March. April. June. July. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1904—	$571 \cdot 72$ $571 \cdot 70$ $572 \cdot 28$ $573 \cdot 05$ $573 \cdot 05$ $572 \cdot 28$ $572 \cdot 28$ $573 \cdot 05$ $572 \cdot 98$ $572 \cdot 76$ $572 \cdot 25$ $571 \cdot 77$ $571 \cdot 43$	$196 \\ 190 \\ 200 \\ 215 \\ 215 \\ 217 \\ 218 \\ 210 \\ 208 \\ 204 \\ 197 \\ 197$	$571 \cdot 18$ $571 \cdot 16$ $571 \cdot 74$ $572 \cdot 51$ $572 \cdot 55$ $572 \cdot 51$ $572 \cdot 44$ $572 \cdot 22$ $572 \cdot 05$ $571 \cdot 71$ $571 \cdot 23$ $570 \cdot 89$	$195 \\ 188 \\ 199 \\ 213 \\ 214 \\ 215 \\ 217 \\ 208 \\ 207 \\ 202 \\ 196 \\ 195 $	$572 \cdot 10$ $572 \cdot 44$ $572 \cdot 76$ $573 \cdot 13$ $573 \cdot 41$ $573 \cdot 41$ $573 \cdot 39$ $573 \cdot 45$ $573 \cdot 20$ $572 \cdot 87$ $572 \cdot 42$ $572 \cdot 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 176\\ 209\\ 217\\ 208\\ 204\\ 176\\ 195\\ 225\\ 254\\ 244\\ 219\\ 176\\ 176\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 89\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 572\cdot 39\\ 573\cdot 15\\ 573\cdot 48\\ 573\cdot 29\\ 573\cdot 11\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 48\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 571\cdot 91\\ 571\cdot 70\\ \end{array}$	$176 \\ 177 \\ 192 \\ 222 \\ 234 \\ 228 \\ 234 \\ 225 \\ 214 \\ 190 \\ 176 $
January February March April. May June July. August. September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 32\\ 571\cdot 42\\ 572\cdot 01\\ 573\cdot 13\\ 573\cdot 33\\ 573\cdot 52\\ 573\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 10\\ 572\cdot 84\\ 572\cdot 49\\ 572\cdot 12\\ 571\cdot 77\end{array}$	$176\\182\\193\\216\\224\\230\\228\\221\\215\\210\\203\\199$	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 83\\ 570\cdot 93\\ 571\cdot 52\\ 572\cdot 64\\ 572\cdot 84\\ 572\cdot 92\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 35\\ 572\cdot 00\\ 571\cdot 63\\ 571\cdot 28\end{array}$	$175 \\ 180 \\ 192 \\ 214 \\ 223 \\ 228 \\ 227 \\ 219 \\ 214 \\ 208 \\ 202 \\ 197 \\ 197 \\ 100 $	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 33\\ 572\cdot 28\\ 572\cdot 54\\ 573\cdot 31\\ 573\cdot 68\\ 573\cdot 53\\ 573\cdot 53\\ 573\cdot 74\\ 573\cdot 34\\ 573\cdot 13\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 37\\ 572\cdot 10\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 202\\ 216\\ 206\\ 220\\ 224\\ 245\\ 280\\ 243\\ 235\\ 176\\ 221\\ 197\\ \end{array}$	$571 \cdot 69$ $571 \cdot 70$ $572 \cdot 09$ $573 \cdot 09$ $573 \cdot 79$ $573 \cdot 68$ $573 \cdot 22$ $572 \cdot 76$ $572 \cdot 18$ $572 \cdot 10$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 179 \\ 220 \\ 242 \\ 243 \\ 252 \\ 238 \\ 245 \\ 190 \\ 190 \\ 200$
1905		101		100				
January. February. March. April. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1906.	$571 \cdot 52$ $571 \cdot 31$ $571 \cdot 18$ $571 \cdot 83$ $572 \cdot 46$ $572 \cdot 98$ $573 \cdot 06$ $572 \cdot 87$ $572 \cdot 63$ $572 \cdot 31$ $571 \cdot 93$ $571 \cdot 91$	$191 \\ 180 \\ 182 \\ 192 \\ 205 \\ 218 \\ 225 \\ 220 \\ 215 \\ 211 \\ 203 \\ 206 \\ $	571.07 570.86 570.73 571.38 572.01 572.53 572.42 572.42 572.18 571.86 571.48 571.48	189 177 180 203 215 223 217 213 208 201 203	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 08 \\ 572 \cdot 12 \\ 571 \cdot 58 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 572 \cdot 86 \\ 573 \cdot 53 \\ 573 \cdot 54 \\ 573 \cdot 30 \\ 573 \cdot 12 \\ 572 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 46 \\ 572 \cdot 24 \end{array}$	$179 \\ 232 \\ 185 \\ 189 \\ 176 \\ 220 \\ 271 \\ 241 \\ 240 \\ 239 \\ 227 \\ 221$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 79 \\ 571 \cdot 73 \\ 571 \cdot 50 \\ 571 \cdot 80 \\ 572 \cdot 56 \\ 573 \cdot 16 \\ 573 \cdot 34 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 572 \cdot 69 \\ 572 \cdot 31 \\ 572 \cdot 29 \\ 572 \cdot 07 \end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 199 \\ 224 \\ 241 \\ 233 \\ 221 \\ 201 \\ 199 \\ 197 \\$
January	571.94 571.93	205 195	571.51	204	572.33	200	572.14	181
rebruary	011.00	1 100	. 011-00	1 193	. 012.22	180	572.36	191

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
California (Menerality	Mon	thly	Monthly		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b) (c)		Stage Discharge (d) (e)		Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1906— March May June July August. September October November Descember	$571 \cdot 71$ $572 \cdot 13$ $572 \cdot 40$ $572 \cdot 60$ $572 \cdot 64$ $572 \cdot 63$ $572 \cdot 235$ $572 \cdot 21$ $572 \cdot 17$ $572 \cdot 42$	$ \begin{array}{r} 190\\ 198\\ 202\\ 207\\ 209\\ 208\\ 202\\ 202\\ 202\\ 204\\ 206 \end{array} $	$571 \cdot 28 \\ 571 \cdot 70 \\ 571 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 17 \\ 572 \cdot 21 \\ 572 \cdot 20 \\ 571 \cdot 92 \\ 571 \cdot 78 \\ 571 \cdot 74 \\ 571 \cdot 74 \\ 571 \cdot 99 \\$	189 196 201 205 208 206 201 200 203 203 204	$572 \cdot 42$ $572 \cdot 36$ $572 \cdot 85$ $573 \cdot 05$ $573 \cdot 33$ $573 \cdot 20$ $572 \cdot 95$ $572 \cdot 64$ $572 \cdot 53$ $572 \cdot 40$	$\begin{array}{c} 222\\ 189\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 188\\ 241\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot21\\ 572\cdot25\\ 572\cdot69\\ 572\cdot87\\ 572\cdot89\\ 572\cdot69\\ 572\cdot69\\ 572\cdot39\\ 572\cdot12\\ 572\cdot12\\ 572\cdot19\\ 572\cdot42\end{array}$	185 186 204 212 228 221 206 186 191 237
January. January. February March. April June. July. August. September October. November.	572.76 572.46 572.24 572.71 572.88 573.27 573.31 573.03 572.77 572.69 572.41 572.96	218 207 201 215 222 226 219 214 214 214 212 210	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 34\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 571\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 572\cdot 85\\ 572\cdot 85\\ 572\cdot 85\\ 572\cdot 85\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 35\\ 572\cdot 27\\ 571\cdot 99\\ 571\cdot 84\\ \end{array}$	218 206 201 207 215 221 226 218 214 213 212 209	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot33\\572\cdot60\\572\cdot37\\572\cdot50\\573\cdot14\\573\cdot35\\573\cdot62\\573\cdot38\\573\cdot12\\573\cdot32\\573\cdot02\\572\cdot67\\572\cdot17\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 208\\ 217\\ 215\\ 185\\ 176\\ 208\\ 247\\ 234\\ 260\\ 203\\ 234\\ 234\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 70\\ 572\cdot 43\\ 572\cdot 62\\ 573\cdot 00\\ 573\cdot 23\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 38\\ 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 193\\ 200\\ 193\\ 201\\ 217\\ 226\\ 242\\ 232\\ 219\\ 206\\ 211\\ 216\end{array}$
December	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 57\\ 572\cdot 57\\ 572\cdot 66\\ 573\cdot 27\\ 573\cdot 51\\ 573\cdot 51\\ 573\cdot 32\\ 573\cdot 32\\ 573\cdot 14\\ 572\cdot 68\\ 572\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 42\\ \end{array}$	126 204 208 222 228 228 228 228 224 220 211 200 200 196	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot17\\ 571\cdot79\\ 572\cdot26\\ 572\cdot87\\ 573\cdot11\\ 573\cdot11\\ 572\cdot92\\ 572\cdot74\\ 572\cdot28\\ 571\cdot91\\ 571\cdot31\\ 571\cdot02\end{array}$	217 204 209 222 229 228 225 220 212 200 201 196	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot30\\ 572\cdot71\\ 572\cdot71\\ 573\cdot43\\ 573\cdot43\\ 573\cdot35\\ 573\cdot48\\ 573\cdot44\\ 573\cdot44\\ 573\cdot44\\ 572\cdot67\\ 572\cdot09\\ 570\cdot70\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 185\\ 226\\ 201\\ 273\\ 199\\ 228\\ 238\\ 246\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 52\\ 572\cdot 58\\ 573\cdot 19\\ 573\cdot 62\\ 573\cdot 62\\ 573\cdot 40\\ 573\cdot 63\\ 573\cdot 63\\ 573\cdot 63\\ 572\cdot 60\\ 572\cdot 12\\ 571\cdot 80\\ 571\cdot 57\\ \end{array}$	$188\\198\\200\\220\\238\\241\\232\\219\\185\\176\\176\\176$
1909— January February March. April. June. July August. September October November. December	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 48\\ 571\cdot 46\\ 571\cdot 78\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 90\\ 573\cdot 90\\ 573\cdot 90\\ 573\cdot 90\\ 572\cdot 80\\ 572\cdot 36\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 71\cdot 61\\ 571\cdot 39\end{array}$	186 187 191 197 215 220 220 221 203 198 189 198	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 14\\ 571\cdot 12\\ 571\cdot 44\\ 571\cdot 74\\ 572\cdot 56\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 66\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 572\cdot 02\\ 571\cdot 42\\ 571\cdot 27\\ 571\cdot 05\end{array}$	187 187 192 197 216 220 221 211 211 204 4 198 190 198	$\begin{array}{c} 501\cdot 89\\ 571\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 13\\ 572\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 77\\ 573\cdot 36\\ 573\cdot 27\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 75\\ 572\cdot 75\\ 572\cdot 73\\ 572\cdot 78\\ 572\cdot 75\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 571\cdot 79\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 176\\ 218\\ 176\\ 176\\ 195\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 63\\ 571\cdot 74\\ 571\cdot 93\\ 572\cdot 35\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 573\cdot 56\\ 573\cdot 42\\ 572\cdot 97\\ 572\cdot 47\\ 572\cdot 47\\ 572\cdot 16\\ 571\cdot 87\\ 571\cdot 83\end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 185 \\ 218 \\ 237 \\ 243 \\ 230 \\ 213 \\ 189 \\ 176 \\ 180 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 180 \\ 176 $
1910— January February March	571·25 571·16 571·66	183 176 187	570.97 570.88 571.38	185 177 189	572 · 17 572 · 20 572 · 25	187 216 183	571.95 571.87 572.01	176 176 177

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed for prese without New Wel assumed Chicago assumed a Other low data con U.S. La	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Widowski ha half	Mo m	Monthly mean				Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)	
1910— April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1911— January. Polence	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 08 \\ 572 \cdot 57 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 02 \\ 572 \cdot 02 \\ 571 \cdot 88 \\ 571 \cdot 46 \\ 571 \cdot 34 \\ 571 \cdot 04 \\ 571 $	196 209 208 205 199 193 195 190 186 180	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot80\\ 572\cdot29\\ 572\cdot33\\ 572\cdot12\\ 571\cdot94\\ 571\cdot74\\ 571\cdot60\\ 571\cdot18\\ 571\cdot06\\ 570\cdot79\\ 570\cdot79\\ 570\cdot09\\ 570\cdot09\\ 570\cdot09\\ 570\cdot04\\ \end{array}$	197 211 209 207 200 195 196 192 187 183	$\begin{array}{c} 572.48\\ 572.83\\ 572.07\\ 572.93\\ 572.42\\ 572.35\\ 572.03\\ 572.00\\ 571.67\\ 571.67\\ 571.67\\ 571.67\end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 $	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 90 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 572 \cdot 78 \\ 572 \cdot 35 \\ 572 \cdot 05 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 83 \\ 571 \cdot 62 \\ 571 \cdot 47 \end{array}$	197 213 220 225 204 179 176 176 176	
April. March. April. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. [912_	571.09 571.08 571.61 571.88 571.94 571.75 571.61 571.52 571.53 571.13 571.42	$173 \\ 176 \\ 182 \\ 192 \\ 193 \\ 186 \\ 181 \\ 184 \\ 192 \\ 188 $	570.83 571.36 571.69 571.50 571.27 571.28 571.28 570.88 571.17	$175 \\ 179 \\ 184 \\ 195 \\ 195 \\ 196 \\ 188 \\ 184 \\ 186 \\ 195 \\ 190 \\ 190 \\ 190 \\ 190 \\ 100 $	$571 \cdot 82$ $571 \cdot 80$ $571 \cdot 90$ $572 \cdot 19$ $572 \cdot 28$ $572 \cdot 08$ $572 \cdot 00$ $572 \cdot 13$ $572 \cdot 00$ $572 \cdot 13$ $572 \cdot 13$ $571 \cdot 87$ $571 \cdot 87$ $571 \cdot 95$	$195 \\ 179 \\ 176 $	$571 \cdot 37$ $571 \cdot 30$ $571 \cdot 53$ $572 \cdot 26$ $572 \cdot 18$ $572 \cdot 00$ $571 \cdot 93$ $571 \cdot 93$ $571 \cdot 93$ $571 \cdot 80$ $571 \cdot 89$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 187 \\ 190 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 187 $	
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1913—	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 28 \\ 571 \cdot 08 \\ 571 \cdot 23 \\ 572 \cdot 28 \\ 572 \cdot 59 \\ 572 \cdot 56 \\ 572 \cdot 56 \\ 572 \cdot 49 \\ 572 \cdot 50 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 571 \cdot 92 \\ 571 \cdot 55 \end{array}$	$186 \\ 174 \\ 174 \\ 198 \\ 206 \\ 207 \\ 205 \\ 206 \\ 206 \\ 206 \\ 201 \\ 204 \\ 201$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot06\\ 570\cdot86\\ 571\cdot01\\ 572\cdot06\\ 572\cdot37\\ 572\cdot34\\ 572\cdot34\\ 572\cdot27\\ 572\cdot28\\ 571\cdot93\\ 571\cdot93\\ 571\cdot70\\ 571\cdot33\\ \end{array}$	$189 \\ 176 \\ 177 \\ 200 \\ 209 \\ 209 \\ 208 \\ 208 \\ 209 \\ 203 \\ 207 \\ 203 \\ 207 \\ 203 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 99 \\ 572 \cdot 05 \\ 572 \cdot 05 \\ 572 \cdot 70 \\ 573 \cdot 29 \\ 573 \cdot 44 \\ 573 \cdot 44 \\ 573 \cdot 44 \\ 573 \cdot 38 \\ 572 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 54 \\ 572 \cdot 35 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 189 \\ 199 \\ 178 \\ 216 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 199 \\ 273 \\ 256 \\ 223 \\ 226 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 98 \\ 571 \cdot 91 \\ 571 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 45 \\ 573 \cdot 19 \\ 573 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 66 \\ 572 \cdot 55 \\ 572 \cdot 29 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 571 \cdot 98 \end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 194 \\ 222 \\ 230 \\ 220 \\ 217 \\ 205 \\ 177 \\ 186 \\$	
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December.	$572 \cdot 23$ $572 \cdot 41$ $572 \cdot 45$ $574 \cdot 03$ $573 \cdot 98$ $573 \cdot 57$ $573 \cdot 24$ $572 \cdot 27$ $572 \cdot 243$ $572 \cdot 27$ $572 \cdot 14$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 210\\ 204\\ 234\\ 235\\ 233\\ 230\\ 219\\ 206\\ 202\\ 205\\ 203\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 04 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 26 \\ 573 \cdot 84 \\ 573 \cdot 79 \\ 573 \cdot 67 \\ 573 \cdot 05 \\ 572 \cdot 56 \\ 572 \cdot 24 \\ 572 \cdot 08 \\ 571 \cdot 95 \end{array}$	208 213 208 237 239 236 234 222 210 205 209 206	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 58\\ 572\cdot 89\\ 573\cdot 25\\ 573\cdot 72\\ 573\cdot 72\\ 573\cdot 50\\ 573\cdot 50\\ 573\cdot 55\\ 573\cdot 37\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 87\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 41\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 195\\ 211\\ 235\\ 239\\ 276\\ 231\\ 279\\ 246\\ 229\\ 234\\ 256\\ 250\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 30\\ 572\cdot 91\\ 572\cdot 97\\ 573\cdot 71\\ 574\cdot 47\\ 574\cdot 20\\ 573\cdot 87\\ 573\cdot 34\\ 572\cdot 73\\ 572\cdot 73\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 36\\ 572\cdot 27\end{array}$	$188 \\ 214 \\ 215 \\ 241 \\ 264 \\ 256 \\ 257 \\ 246 \\ 222 \\ 197 \\ 204 \\ 220$	
January February March April.	572.05 571.71 571.48 572.18	197 191 181 197	$571 \cdot 89$ $571 \cdot 55$ $571 \cdot 32$ $572 \cdot 02$	201 194 185 200	$572 \cdot 27$ $572 \cdot 17$ $572 \cdot 05$ $572 \cdot 45$	$\begin{array}{c} 212 \\ 196 \\ 176 \\ 176 \end{array}$	$572 \cdot 10$ $572 \cdot 11$ $571 \cdot 93$ $572 \cdot 24$	180 180 176 186	

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual co occur in pa given ir	onditions tring st as record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		
videochi la seit	Mon	thly ean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean	
• (a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)	
1914— MayJuneJuly. AugustSeptember OctoberNovember.	$572 \cdot 90$ $573 \cdot 03$ $572 \cdot 82$ $572 \cdot 56$ $572 \cdot 32$ $572 \cdot 06$ $571 \cdot 47$ $571 \cdot 41$	212 215 211 206 201 194 195 185	$572 \cdot 74$ $572 \cdot 87$ $572 \cdot 66$ $572 \cdot 40$ $572 \cdot 16$ $571 \cdot 90$ $571 \cdot 31$ $571 \cdot 25$	216 218 215 209 205 197 199 188	$573 \cdot 18$ $573 \cdot 47$ $573 \cdot 27$ $573 \cdot 08$ $572 \cdot 96$ $572 \cdot 66$ $572 \cdot 28$ $572 \cdot 10$	$201 \\ 201 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 178 \\ 178 \\ 178$	$\begin{array}{c} 573\cdot 17\\ 573\cdot 54\\ 573\cdot 35\\ 572\cdot 87\\ 572\cdot 46\\ 572\cdot 10\\ 571\cdot 80\\ 571\cdot 68\end{array}$	$225 \\ 237 \\ 241 \\ 227 \\ 213 \\ 184 \\ 176 \\ 186 \\ 176 $	
January. January. February. March April. June. July. August. September. October. November.	$571 \cdot 11$ $571 \cdot 36$ $571 \cdot 41$ $571 \cdot 45$ $571 \cdot 68$ $571 \cdot 68$ $571 \cdot 85$ $572 \cdot 04$ $572 \cdot 20$ $572 \cdot 20$ $571 \cdot 95$ $571 \cdot 45$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571 \cdot 571$ $571 \cdot 571 \cdot 5$	176 177 181 178 185 185 196 201 199 197 195 187	570.98 571.23 571.28 571.32 571.55 571.72 571.91 572.18 572.07 571.84 571.32 571.91 572.18 572.07 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 571.32 572.07 571.32 571.32 572.07 571.32 572.07 571.32 572.07 571.32 572.07 571.32 572.07 571.32 571.32 572.07 571.32	$ 180 \\ 180 \\ 185 \\ 181 \\ 189 \\ 192 \\ 200 \\ 204 \\ 203 \\ 200 \\ 199 \\ 190 190 $	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 90\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 572\cdot 06\\ 572\cdot 20\\ 572\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 37\\ 572\cdot 48\\ 572\cdot 68\\ 572\cdot 68\\ 572\cdot 67\\ 572\cdot 48\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 572\cdot 05\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194\\ 203\\ 180\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 80 \\ 571 \cdot 91 \\ 572 \cdot 07 \\ 572 \cdot 38 \\ 572 \cdot 55 \\ 572 \cdot 58 \\ 572 \cdot 47 \\ 572 \cdot 16 \\ 571 \cdot 76 \\ 571 \cdot 65 \end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 179 \\ 192 \\ 217 \\ 218 \\ 213 \\ 201 \\ 176 $	
Jotember January February March. April. June July August. September October November December	571-66 571-69 571-87 572-45 572-86 573-28 573-22 572-82 572-82 572-82 572-29 571-89 571-67 571-52	198 198 191 204 214 220 212 205 199 194	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 56\\ 571 \cdot 89\\ 571 \cdot 77\\ 572 \cdot 35\\ 572 \cdot 76\\ 573 \cdot 18\\ 573 \cdot 12\\ 572 \cdot 72\\ 572 \cdot 19\\ 571 \cdot 79\\ 571 \cdot 57\\ 571 \cdot 42\\ \end{array}$	203 202 196 208 219 225 225 216 210 203 199 197	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 64\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 573\cdot 49\\ 573\cdot 51\\ 574\cdot 10\\ 573\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 86\\ 572\cdot 25\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 221\\ 232\\ 235\\ 243\\ 268\\ 255\\ 240\\ 230\\ 203\\ 203\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 89\\ 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 58\\ 572\cdot 79\\ 573\cdot 29\\ 573\cdot 65\\ 573\cdot 64\\ 573\cdot 23\\ 572\cdot 60\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 25\\ 572\cdot 28\end{array}$	$176 \\ 194 \\ 200 \\ 208 \\ 228 \\ 239 \\ 249 \\ 238 \\ 219 \\ 197 \\ 179 \\ 222$	
January. January. February. March April May. June July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot60\\ 571\cdot35\\ 571\cdot58\\ 572\cdot60\\ 573\cdot00\\ 573\cdot53\\ 573\cdot85\\ 573\cdot57\\ 573\cdot27\\ 573\cdot27\\ 572\cdot84\\ 572\cdot98\\ 572\cdot56\end{array}$	190 181 187 204 215 227 236 229 220 219 210 216 212	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 52\\ 571\cdot 27\\ 571\cdot 50\\ 572\cdot 52\\ 572\cdot 92\\ 573\cdot 45\\ 573\cdot 77\\ 573\cdot 49\\ 573\cdot 19\\ 572\cdot 76\\ 572\cdot 90\\ 572\cdot 90\\ 572\cdot 48\end{array}$	196 186 193 209 221 232 242 234 224 224 224 224 224 224 222 217	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 20 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 10 \\ 572 \cdot 70 \\ 573 \cdot 57 \\ 573 \cdot 86 \\ 573 \cdot 39 \\ 573 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 86 \\ 572 \cdot 86 \\ 572 \cdot 75 \\ 572 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	204 220 223 229 269 277 283 258 255 243 244 244 228	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot02\\ 572\cdot10\\ 572\cdot11\\ 572\cdot88\\ 573\cdot56\\ 573\cdot59\\ 574\cdot17\\ 573\cdot90\\ 573\cdot53\\ 573\cdot15\\ 573\cdot07\\ 572\cdot86\end{array}$	$177 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 211 \\ 237 \\ 246 \\ 266 \\ 258 \\ 247 \\ 235 \\ 251 \\ 246$	
1918— January February March. April. May	$571 \cdot 89 \\ 571 \cdot 65 \\ 572 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 26 \\ 572 \cdot 17$	196 187 198 195 198	$571 \cdot 81 \\ 571 \cdot 57 \\ 572 \cdot 17 \\ 572 \cdot 18 \\ 572 \cdot 09$	202 192 204 200 204	$571 \cdot 82 \\ 571 \cdot 60 \\ 571 \cdot 88 \\ 572 \cdot 35 \\ 572 \cdot 58$	188 198 198 176 176	572.03571.79572.08572.59572.51	$ \begin{array}{r} 177 \\ 176 \\ 179 \\ 200 \\ 197 \end{array} $	

10

•

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
status H lo brit	Mo	nthly ean	Mo m	nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Disch (h)
June. July. July. August. September October. November December	$572 \cdot 54$ $572 \cdot 59$ $572 \cdot 55$ $572 \cdot 47$ $572 \cdot 30$ $572 \cdot 13$ $572 \cdot 19$	204 207 205 207 202 206 198	$572 \cdot 46$ $572 \cdot 51$ $572 \cdot 47$ $572 \cdot 39$ $572 \cdot 22$ $572 \cdot 05$ $572 \cdot 11$	209 213 210 213 207 212 203	573.06 573.65 573.35 573.11 572.90 572.53 572.44	$ \begin{array}{r} 177 \\ 190 \\ 176 \\ 185 \\ 231 \\ 238 \\ 253 \\ 253 \\ \end{array} $	$572 \cdot 68 \\ 572 \cdot 97 \\ 573 \cdot 01 \\ 572 \cdot 80 \\ 572 \cdot 69 \\ 572 \cdot 65 \\ 572 \cdot 65 \\ 572 \cdot 60$	204 230 231 225 221 227 240
1919— January February March. April June. June. July. July. August. September October. November Docember	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot18\\ 572\cdot29\\ 573\cdot05\\ 573\cdot05\\ 573\cdot68\\ 573\cdot77\\ 573\cdot44\\ 573\cdot14\\ 572\cdot75\\ 572\cdot47\\ 572\cdot47\\ 572\cdot22\\ 571\cdot87\end{array}$	208 201 203 215 228 230 225 221 213 205 206 198	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 11 \\ 572 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 98 \\ 573 \cdot 61 \\ 573 \cdot 70 \\ 573 \cdot 37 \\ 573 \cdot 07 \\ 572 \cdot 68 \\ 572 \cdot 40 \\ 572 \cdot 15 \\ 571 \cdot 80 \end{array}$	214 206 209 220 234 235 231 226 219 210 212 203	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 27 \\ 572 \cdot 45 \\ 572 \cdot 52 \\ 572 \cdot 57 \\ 573 \cdot 43 \\ 573 \cdot 62 \\ 573 \cdot 37 \\ 573 \cdot 29 \\ 572 \cdot 97 \\ 572 \cdot 61 \\ 572 \cdot 47 \\ 572 \cdot 18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 203\\ 227\\ 232\\ 251\\ 199\\ 223\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 241\\ 187\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 29 \\ 572 \cdot 46 \\ 573 \cdot 68 \\ 573 \cdot 62 \\ 573 \cdot 88 \\ 573 \cdot 67 \\ 573 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 70 \\ 572 \cdot 34 \\ 572 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 14 \\ 572 \cdot 08 \end{array}$	$188 \\ 195 \\ 202 \\ 220 \\ 239 \\ 246 \\ 251 \\ 235 \\ 221 \\ 203 \\ 186 \\ 198 \\$
1920— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 30\\ 570\cdot 78\\ 570\cdot 85\\ 571\cdot 62\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 48\\ 572\cdot 62\\ 572\cdot 61\\ 572\cdot 38\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 571\cdot 93\\ 571\cdot 93\\ 571\cdot 93\\ \end{array}$	$180 \\ 167 \\ 170 \\ 183 \\ 197 \\ 203 \\ 208 \\ 204 \\ 201 \\ 198 \\ 196 \\ 204$	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 23 \\ 570 \cdot 71 \\ 570 \cdot 78 \\ 571 \cdot 55 \\ 572 \cdot 22 \\ 572 \cdot 51 \\ 572 \cdot 55 \\ 572 \cdot 54 \\ 572 \cdot 31 \\ 572 \cdot 01 \\ 571 \cdot 86 \\ 571 \cdot 86 \end{array}$	185 171 175 187 202 207 213 208 206 202 201 201 208	$\begin{array}{c} 572 \cdot 05 \\ 571 \cdot 61 \\ 571 \cdot 94 \\ 572 \cdot 75 \\ 573 \cdot 49 \\ 573 \cdot 29 \\ 573 \cdot 29 \\ 573 \cdot 12 \\ 572 \cdot 72 \\ 572 \cdot 72 \\ 572 \cdot 57 \\ 572 \cdot 47 \end{array}$	$185 \\ 183 \\ 178 \\ 208 \\ 210 \\ 199 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 241$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 62\\ 571\cdot 00\\ 570\cdot 70\\ 571\cdot 10\\ 571\cdot 98\\ 572\cdot 72\\ 572\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 50\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 08\\ 572\cdot 07\\ 572\cdot 22\end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 205 \\ 229 \\ 227 \\ 216 \\ 183 \\ 183 \\ 214$
1921— January. February. March. April. July. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 94\\ 571\cdot 88\\ 572\cdot 11\\ 572\cdot 79\\ 573\cdot 08\\ 573\cdot 00\\ 572\cdot 85\\ 572\cdot 47\\ 572\cdot 16\\ 571\cdot 74\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 74\end{array}$	$197 \\ 192 \\ 194 \\ 208 \\ 214 \\ 213 \\ 212 \\ 204 \\ 200 \\ 192 \\ 186 \\ 199$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 88\\ 571\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 05\\ 572\cdot 73\\ 573\cdot 02\\ 572\cdot 94\\ 572\cdot 79\\ 572\cdot 41\\ 572\cdot 10\\ 571\cdot 68\\ 571\cdot 73\\ 571\cdot 68\end{array}$	202 186 199 212 219 217 217 208 205 196 191 203	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot00\\ 571\cdot94\\ 572\cdot60\\ 572\cdot60\\ 573\cdot12\\ 573\cdot29\\ 573\cdot22\\ 572\cdot97\\ 572\cdot59\\ 572\cdot59\\ 572\cdot39\\ 572\cdot41\\ 572\cdot27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201\\ 187\\ 236\\ 176\\ 185\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 188\\ 183\\ 214 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 572\cdot 16\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 37\\ 572\cdot 88\\ 573\cdot 35\\ 573\cdot 41\\ 573\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 67\\ 572\cdot 18\\ 571\cdot 90\\ 571\cdot 87\\ 572\cdot 02\\ \end{array}$	183 188 191 212 230 232 235 221 190 176 176 176
January February March April May June	$571 \cdot 50$ $571 \cdot 17$ $571 \cdot 39$ $572 \cdot 32$ $572 \cdot 74$ $572 \cdot 87$	189 177 177 204 208 212	$571 \cdot 45$ $571 \cdot 12$ $571 \cdot 34$ $572 \cdot 27$ $572 \cdot 69$ $572 \cdot 82$	195 182 183 209 214 217	$\begin{array}{c} 571 \cdot 84 \\ 572 \cdot 05 \\ 572 \cdot 00 \\ 572 \cdot 50 \\ 573 \cdot 35 \\ 573 \cdot 47 \end{array}$	$211 \\ 202 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 260 \\ 245$	$571 \cdot 96$ $571 \cdot 83$ $571 \cdot 76$ $572 \cdot 37$ $573 \cdot 20$ $573 \cdot 38$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 189 \\ 225 \\ 231$

174

-

TABLE 11.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ERIE-Concluded

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occur in pas given in	nditions ring t as record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
area - Area	Mont	hly an	Mon	ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1922— July. September October. November December 1923— Jonerry	$572 \cdot 74$ $572 \cdot 50$ $572 \cdot 32$ $571 \cdot 88$ $571 \cdot 42$ $571 \cdot 11$ $571 \cdot 16$	209 203 197 193 188 180 177	$572 \cdot 69 \\ 572 \cdot 45 \\ 572 \cdot 27 \\ 571 \cdot 83 \\ 571 \cdot 37 \\ 571 \cdot 06 \\ 571 \cdot 12$	$215 \\ 208 \\ 203 \\ 198 \\ 194 \\ 185 \\ 182$	573.47 573.33 573.26 572.83 572.35 571.08 571.79	176 176 250 176 176 183 200	$573 \cdot 25 \\ 572 \cdot 82 \\ 572 \cdot 43 \\ 572 \cdot 03 \\ 571 \cdot 77 \\ 571 \cdot 54 \\ 571 \cdot 47 $	239 226 211 178 176 176 176
February March. April May June July. August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 83\\ 571\cdot 00\\ 571\cdot 49\\ 571\cdot 82\\ 572\cdot 00\\ 571\cdot 99\\ 571\cdot 69\\ 571\cdot 51\\ 571\cdot 23\\ 570\cdot 96\\ 571\cdot 25\\ \end{array}$	170 174 182 189 195 192 188 182 177 174 183	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot79\\ 570\cdot96\\ 571\cdot45\\ 571\cdot96\\ 571\cdot96\\ 571\cdot95\\ 571\cdot95\\ 571\cdot65\\ 571\cdot65\\ 571\cdot19\\ 571\cdot19\\ 570\cdot92\\ 571\cdot21\\ \end{array}$	174 179 186 194 199 197 192 187 181 179 187	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot77\\ 571\cdot73\\ 571\cdot95\\ 572\cdot32\\ 572\cdot46\\ 572\cdot42\\ 572\cdot55\\ 572\cdot35\\ 572\cdot22\\ 572\cdot22\\ 571\cdot96\\ 571\cdot87\end{array}$	$188 \\ 177 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 178 \\ 178 \\ 178 \\ 180 $	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 38\\ 571\cdot 23\\ 571\cdot 55\\ 572\cdot 06\\ 572\cdot 42\\ 572\cdot 53\\ 572\cdot 16\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 571\cdot 79\\ 571\cdot 55\\ 571\cdot 55\\ 571\cdot 55\\ \end{array}$	$176 \\ 176 \\ 178 \\ 193 \\ 217 \\ 189 \\ 176 $
1924— January February March. April. May. June. July August. September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 27\\ 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 22\\ 571\cdot 77\\ 572\cdot 16\\ 572\cdot 30\\ 572\cdot 44\\ 572\cdot 15\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 571\cdot 95\\ 571\cdot 70\\ 571\cdot 06\\ 570\cdot 78\\ \end{array}$	$194 \\ 176 \\ 175 \\ 186 \\ 197 \\ 200 \\ 204 \\ 198 \\ 192 \\ 187 \\ 185 \\ 180 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 26\\ 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 21\\ 571\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 15\\ 572\cdot 29\\ 572\cdot 43\\ 572\cdot 14\\ 571\cdot 69\\ 571\cdot 69\\ 571\cdot 05\\ 570\cdot 77\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201 \\ 182 \\ 192 \\ 204 \\ 206 \\ 211 \\ 204 \\ 199 \\ 193 \\ 192 \\ 186 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot95\\ 572\cdot25\\ 572\cdot19\\ 572\cdot41\\ 572\cdot93\\ 573\cdot22\\ 573\cdot40\\ 573\cdot37\\ 573\cdot11\\ 572\cdot78\\ 572\cdot29\\ 571\cdot78\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 176 \\ 206 \\ 187 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 176 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 81\\ 572\cdot 04\\ 571\cdot 98\\ 572\cdot 24\\ 572\cdot 76\\ 573\cdot 03\\ 573\cdot 00\\ 572\cdot 71\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 00\\ 571\cdot 71\\ 571\cdot 38\\ \end{array}$	176 177 176 186 207 218 231 222 197 176 176 176
1925— January February March. April. June. June. July. August. September. October. November December.	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 62\\ 570\cdot 50\\ 570\cdot 92\\ 571\cdot 32\\ 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 18\\ 571\cdot 12\\ 571\cdot 08\\ 570\cdot 94\\ 570\cdot 60\\ 570\cdot 45\\ 570\cdot 39\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 164\\ 162\\ 170\\ 175\\ 178\\ 177\\ 176\\ 172\\ 170\\ 169\\ 171\\ 172\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 570\cdot 61\\ 570\cdot 49\\ 570\cdot 91\\ 571\cdot 31\\ 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 17\\ 571\cdot 11\\ 571\cdot 07\\ 570\cdot 93\\ 570\cdot 59\\ 570\cdot 44\\ 570\cdot 38\end{array}$	170 167 176 180 184 182 182 177 176 174 177	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 67\\ 571\cdot 45\\ 571\cdot 69\\ 572\cdot 07\\ 572\cdot 26\\ 572\cdot 12\\ 571\cdot 97\\ 571\cdot 82\\ 571\cdot 65\\ 571\cdot 33\\ 571\cdot 01\\ 570\cdot 91\\ \end{array}$	202 180 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176	$\begin{array}{c} 571\cdot 30\\ 571\cdot 11\\ 571\cdot 17\\ 571\cdot 57\\ 571\cdot 81\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 76\\ 571\cdot 66\\ 571\cdot 58\\ 571\cdot 62\\ 571\cdot 58\\ 571\cdot 32\\ 571\cdot 02\\ 570\cdot 91\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\ 176\\$

.4

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATIONS-LAKE ONTARIO

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Se.ond Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
time of , Monthly	Mo n	nthly lean	Mo m	nthly lean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
(b)1860-Jānuary.February.March.April.May.June.July.August.September.October.November.December.1861-January.February.March.April.May.June.July.August.September.1862-January.February.March.April.May.June.July.August.September.October.November.December.1862-January.February.March.April.May.June.July.August.September.October.November.December1863-January.February.March.April.May.June.January.February.March.April.May.June.January.February.March.April.May.June.January.February.March.April.May.June.June.January.February.March.April.May.June.Ja	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot58\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot72\\ 247\cdot57\\ 247\cdot82\\ 247\cdot61\\ 246\cdot67\\ 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot44\\ 248\cdot56\\ 247\cdot01\\ 247\cdot23\\ 248\cdot18\\ 248\cdot54\\ 248\cdot32\\ 248\cdot18\\ 248\cdot32\\ 248\cdot61\\ 247\cdot61\\ 246\cdot69\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot72\\ 248\cdot26\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 246\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot73\\ 248\cdot62\\$	283 285 285 285 285 267 273 267 273 269 244 243 251 285 305 309 302 293 294 292 297 297 259 248 247 296 318 310 301 290 279 270 264 247 245 283 299 201	$\begin{array}{c} (4) \\ 246.80 \\ 246.94 \\ 247.00 \\ 247.03 \\ 247.27 \\ 247.79 \\ 248.05 \\ 247.51 \\ 247.69 \\ 246.89 \\ 246.98 \\ 246.95 \\ 246.65 \\ 246.65 \\ 246.78 \\ 247.25 \\ 247.47 \\ 248.46 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.61 \\ 248.84 \\ 248.92 \\ 247.87 \\ 247.87 \\ 247.87 \\ 247.85 \\ 246.95 \\ 246.95 \\ 246.95 \\ 246.84 \\ 247.00 \\ 247.00 \\ 247.00 \\ 247.01 \\ 247.68 \\ 248.31 \\ 247.89 \\ 248.31 \\$	274 277 274 269 258 264 260 235 234 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 243 244 286 284 286 284 288 286 239 239 238 284 288 250 239 238 287 310 303 301 293 281 270 261 256 256 238 234 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256 256			$\begin{array}{c} (1) \\ 247 \cdot 20 \\ 247 \cdot 67 \\ 247 \cdot 67 \\ 247 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 53 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 246 \cdot 85 \\ 247 \cdot 96 \\ 248 \cdot 25 \\ 247 \cdot 85 \\ 247 \cdot 83 \\ 246 \cdot 88 \\ 246 \cdot 49 \\ 248 \cdot 15 \\ 247 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 70 \\ 246 \cdot 62 \\ 247 \cdot 28 \\ \end{array}$	(II) 266 297 296 271 273 279 202 204 229 245 262 286 327 312 314 310 303 209 209 235 259 290 291 327 321 305 286 274 280 205 205 206 276
June July August September October November December 1864— January	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 18\\ 247\cdot 77\\ 247\cdot 31\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 33\end{array}$	301 293 285 276 266 264 261 223	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 46\\ 248\cdot 06\\ 247\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 96\\ 246\cdot 78\\ 246\cdot 79\\ 246\cdot 53\end{array}$	292 284 277 267 257 256 253 214		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	247.64247.66247.12246.58246.27245.45245.48244.85	278 297 284 270 271 225 271

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occu in pa given i	onditions rring ast as n record	Computed'conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial r sys assuming diver. Chica New Well com	regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and land Canal plete
winter the second	Monthly mean		Monthly mean		First of Monthly month mean		First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
(a) 1864— February March. April. July. July. July. August. September. December. December. 1865— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. December. November. December. September. December. September. December. September. October. November. January. February. Karch. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. September. September. July. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. November. December. November. December. November. November. December. November. September. October. November. December. November. December. November. December. November. December. November. November. November. November. November. November. December. November.	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{(b)} \\ \hline \\ 246\cdot17\\ 246\cdot26\\ 246\cdot83\\ 247\cdot82\\ 248\cdot12\\ 247\cdot80\\ 247\cdot34\\ 246\cdot58\\ 247\cdot34\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot55\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot62\\ 247\cdot62\\ 247\cdot62\\ 247\cdot62\\ 247\cdot51\\ 246\cdot90\\ 246\cdot29\\ 246\cdot65\\ 245\cdot46\\ 245\cdot47\\ 245\cdot48\\ 245\cdot96\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot52\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot28\\ 24$	(c) 228 242 270 291 301 292 283 273 266 268 272 245 225 244 288 283 273 260 251 246 244 205 200 214 251 260 214 251 260 272 2744 205 200 214 250 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 265 272	$\begin{array}{c} (4) \\ \hline \\ 246\cdot 37 \\ 246\cdot 46 \\ 247\cdot 06 \\ 248\cdot 09 \\ 248\cdot 09 \\ 248\cdot 09 \\ 248\cdot 07 \\ 247\cdot 59 \\ 247\cdot 59 \\ 247\cdot 63 \\ 247\cdot 32 \\ 247\cdot 47 \\ 246\cdot 87 \\ 247\cdot 32 \\ 247\cdot 47 \\ 247\cdot 63 \\ 246\cdot 61 \\ 245\cdot 61 \\ 245\cdot 61 \\ 245\cdot 61 \\ 245\cdot 61 \\ 246\cdot 21 \\ 246\cdot 26 \\ 246\cdot 21 \\ 246\cdot 61 \\$	(e) 220 233 261 281 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293		(g)	$\begin{array}{c} (i) \\ \hline \\ 244\cdot 68 \\ 245\cdot 65 \\ 245\cdot 15 \\ 246\cdot 27 \\ 247\cdot 35 \\ 247\cdot 31 \\ 246\cdot 67 \\ 247\cdot 31 \\ 246\cdot 67 \\ 245\cdot 64 \\ 245\cdot 63 \\ 245\cdot 66 \\ 245\cdot 66 \\ 245\cdot 66 \\ 245\cdot 63 \\ 245\cdot 15 \\ 247\cdot 15 \\ 247\cdot 04 \\ 245\cdot 54 \\ 245\cdot 59 \\ 245\cdot 59 \\ 247\cdot 71 \\ 246\cdot 59 \\ 247\cdot 71 \\ 247\cdot 71 \\ 246\cdot 59 \\ 245\cdot 54 \\$	(h) 196 198 215 243 270 298 294 274 265 242 279 206 209 236 247 251 254 270 280 259 246 230 227 198 196 199 210 210 266 314 299 293 271 274
1867— January. February. March. April. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1868—	$\begin{array}{c} 245.95\\ 245.92\\ 246.62\\ 247.52\\ 248.21\\ 248.48\\ 248.11\\ 247.48\\ 246.98\\ 246.33\\ 245.59\\ 244.83\end{array}$	238 238 246 283 300 307 298 285 272 256 249 234	$\begin{array}{c} 246.14\\ 246.10\\ 246.84\\ 247.78\\ 248.49\\ 248.78\\ 248.36\\ 247.74\\ 247.22\\ 246.53\\ 245.74\\ 245.12\\ \end{array}$	230 229 237 274 292 299 290 290 277 263 248 248 241 225			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot37\\ 245\cdot34\\ 245\cdot34\\ 245\cdot81\\ 246\cdot46\\ 247\cdot36\\ 248\cdot00\\ 248\cdot20\\ 247\cdot54\\ 246\cdot77\\ 246\cdot06\\ 244\cdot99\\ 244\cdot66\end{array}$	202 203 227 258 279 286 325 306 279 258 198 195
January February	$244.51 \\ 244.61$	210 184	$244.58 \\ 244.69$	202 176		l	$244 \cdot 42$ 244 \cdot 39	194 194

45827-12

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
diamoid de seu	Mo m	nthly lean	Mor m	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1868— March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1869— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1870— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. December. 1870— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. December. 1871— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. December. September. December. November. December. January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. September. October. November. December. September. October. November. December. September. October. November. December. September. October. November. December. September. December. September. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 54\\ 246\cdot 54\\ 246\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 37\\ 245\cdot 22\\ 245\cdot 34\\ 245\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 29\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 97\\ 247\cdot 29\\ 247\cdot 29\\ 247\cdot 29\\ 247\cdot 26\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 248\cdot 35\\ 248\cdot 63\\ 248\cdot 85\\ 248\cdot 63\\ 248\cdot 63\\ 248\cdot 63\\ 248\cdot 63\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 210\\ 246\\ 251\\ 267\\ 264\\ 258\\ 252\\ 243\\ 237\\ 244\\ 217\\ 197\\ 196\\ 259\\ 276\\ 282\\ 288\\ 288\\ 284\\ 280\\ 272\\ 267\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258\\ 258$	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 97\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 631\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 631\\ 245\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 33\\ 245\cdot 45\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 61\\ 248\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 90\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 248\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 90\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 248\cdot 64\\ 249\cdot 27\\ 248\cdot 90\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 247\cdot 30\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 247\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 92\\ 245$	$\begin{array}{c} 201\\ 237\\ 243\\ 268\\ 256\\ 249\\ 244\\ 235\\ 228\\ 235\\ 228\\ 235\\ 208\\ 188\\ 251\\ 268\\ 273\\ 270\\ 280\\ 280\\ 280\\ 280\\ 275\\ 271\\ 264\\ 259\\ 249\\ 249\\ 244\\ 295\\ 301\\ 305\\ 301\\ 305\\ 301\\ 288\\ 274\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 219\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 251\\ 222\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 234\\ 268\\ 256\\ 257\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 228\\ 22$	245.98 245.98 245.97 246.13 246.27 247.42 247.80 247.72 247.89 248.09 247.72 247.33 246.82 246.44 246.60 247.01 247.67 248.57 248.57 248.57 248.57 248.57 248.57 248.25 246.30 247.42 247.47 246.57 246.92 246.93 247.58 247.59 245.59 24	206 206 208 200 238 300 330 330 330 3310 297 296 213 215 252 291 300 300 330 330 324 215 255 291 300 300 330 325 317 307 293 287 208 208 209 212 208 208 213 213 215 252 291 300 208 209 212 208 209 213 213 213 215 252 291 209 200 208 209 213 213 213 215 252 291 209 209 209 209 209 200 236 200 237 297 296 207 297 297 297 297 297 297 297 297 291 300 300 300 300 300 300 204 213 215 252 297 297 291 300 300 300 300 300 300 224 213 225 225 225 227 291 200 200 200 200 201 200 200 200 200 20	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 64\\ 247\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 04\\ 246\cdot 40\\ 247\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 04\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 39\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 06\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 07\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 77\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 77\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 248\cdot 06\\ 248\cdot 38\\ 247\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 12\\ 245\cdot 33\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 245\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 196\\ 201\\ 222\\ 231\\ 266\\ 280\\ 272\\ 238\\ 201\\ 258\\ 201\\ 258\\ 201\\ 258\\ 201\\ 258\\ 201\\ 258\\ 201\\ 258\\ 286\\ 278\\ 286\\ 278\\ 206\\ 210\\ 241\\ 277\\ 300\\ 296\\ 323\\ 316\\ 289\\ 278\\ 261\\ 217\\ 201\\ 202\\ 233\\ 249\\ 257\\ 262\\ 274\\ 261\\ 242\\ 242\\ 249\\ 198\\ 261\\ 242\\ 249\\ 198\\ 261\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 24$
1872— January February March	$244.73 \\ 244.51 \\ 244.35$	190 174 189	$244.81 \\ 244.58 \\ 244.42$	182 166 180	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	200 200 200	244.86244.43243.95	196 192 191

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Year-Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record Monthly mean		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey Monthly mean		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
	dates II 20 mil					First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1070								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18/2-	944.84	999	944.03	214	245.17	200	244.04	193
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mor	244.06	934	245.06	225	245.65	200	244.58	197
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	May	244.90	201	245.00	020	246.01	200	245.02	200
	June	245.29	241	240.41	202	240.01	200	945.37	202
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	July	245.35	242	240.48	234	240.30	200	245.56	206
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	$245 \cdot 19$	237	245.30	239	240.49	200	245.00	200
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	September	244.90	232	244.99	224	246.50	200	243.00	219
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	October	244.74	227	244.82	218	246.40	200	245.20	205
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	244.69	228	244.77	220	246.38	234	245.19	200
	December	244.35	211	244.42	202	245.96	200	245.18	220
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1873—		The second		100	015 00	000	044 70	100
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	January	$244 \cdot 26$	192	244.32	183	245.80	200	244.72	190
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	February	$244 \cdot 37$	194	244.44	185	245.65	200	244.01	195
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	March	$244 \cdot 50$	200	244.57	192	245.51	200	244.62	199
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	$246 \cdot 40$	254	246.61	246	246.55	264	245.59	231
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	May	246.96	273	247.20	264	247.25	300	246.69	257
	June	246.91	275	247.10	267	247.16	236	246.99	261
August.246.58266246.80258247.58313247.15286September.245.73249245.89241246.60251246.62271October.245.73249245.89241246.30251246.62257November.245.79251245.77237246.33292245.402591874January.246.37238246.66231246.43212245.77207February.246.74239246.66231246.99216246.34213March.247.20279247.44252247.48254247.32272April.247.20279247.44255247.31200247.22274June.247.22282247.46277247.45215247.15265July.247.22282247.46277247.46271208247.36281June.247.22282247.46274248.00321247.36281July.247.22282247.46274246.00321247.36281July.246.97276247.21268246.64272266.64272October.245.03236245.13227246.60226245.96251November.245.03236245.13227246.60226245.96251Novembe	July	$246 \cdot 87$	273	247.10	265	247.57	257	$247 \cdot 13$	269
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	August	246.58	266	$246 \cdot 80$	258	247.58	313	247.15	286
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	September	$246 \cdot 15$	260	246.35	251	247.21	290	246.62	271
November. $245 \cdot 62$ 246 $245 \cdot 77$ 237 $226 \cdot 39$ 291 $245 \cdot 35$ 215 December. $245 \cdot 79$ 251 $245 \cdot 96$ 243 $246 \cdot 33$ 292 $245 \cdot 40$ 259 January. $246 \cdot 74$ 238 $246 \cdot 68$ 229 $246 \cdot 45$ 212 $245 \cdot 77$ 207 February. $246 \cdot 74$ 239 $246 \cdot 96$ 231 $246 \cdot 99$ 216 $246 \cdot 34$ 213 March. $247 \cdot 29$ 261 $247 \cdot 54$ 252 $247 \cdot 48$ 254 $247 \cdot 32$ 272 May. $247 \cdot 17$ 273 $247 \cdot 44$ 270 $247 \cdot 72$ 284 $247 \cdot 32$ 272 May. $247 \cdot 17$ 273 $247 \cdot 44$ 270 $247 \cdot 72$ 284 $247 \cdot 32$ 272 May. $247 \cdot 17$ 273 $247 \cdot 44$ 270 $247 \cdot 72$ 284 $247 \cdot 32$ 272 June. $247 \cdot 28$ 284 $247 \cdot 53$ 275 $247 \cdot 68$ 215 $247 \cdot 32$ 281 Juy. $247 \cdot 22$ 282 $247 \cdot 46$ 274 $248 \cdot 00$ 321 $247 \cdot 36$ 281 August. $246 \cdot 34$ 262 $246 \cdot 54$ 254 $247 \cdot 05$ 262 $246 \cdot 64$ 272 October. $245 \cdot 93$ 235 $246 \cdot 11$ 246 $246 \cdot 26$ $245 \cdot 96$ 251 November. $245 \cdot 40$ 245 $245 \cdot 53$ 237 $246 \cdot 65$ 200 $244 \cdot 91$ 196 Februar	October	245.73	249	245.89	241	246.50	251	246.05	257
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	$245 \cdot 62$	246	245.77	237	246.39	291	$245 \cdot 35$	215
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	December	245.79	251	245.96	243	246.33	292	245.40	259
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1874—				50.02		010	045 55	007
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	January	246.37	238	246.58	229	$246 \cdot 45$	212	245.77	207
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	February	246.74	239	246.96	231	246.99	216	246.34	213
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	March	$247 \cdot 29$	261	247.54	252	247.48	254	247.03	247
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	$247 \cdot 20$	279	247.44	270	247.72	284	247.32	272
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	May.	$247 \cdot 17$	273	247.41	265	247.31	200	$247 \cdot 20$	274
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	June	$247 \cdot 28$	284	247.53	275	247.68	215	247.15	265
August. 246.97 276 247.21 268 247.65 317 247.28 223 September. 246.34 262 246.54 254 247.65 317 247.28 227 October. 245.93 255 246.11 246 246.60 226 245.96 251 November. 245.40 245 245.53 237 246.39 240 245.14 199 December. 245.03 236 245.13 227 246.05 205 244.99 198 $1875-$ January. 244.72 203 245.00 195 245.96 200 244.91 196 January. 244.72 203 245.00 195 245.96 200 244.91 196 March. 244.438 177 244.453 188 245.92 200 244.52 194 March. 244.65 197 244.73 188 245.91 200 244.55 194 March. 244.65 197 244.73 188 245.92 200 244.55 194 April. 245.41 238 245.54 230 246.39 200 244.55 198 June. 245.70 250 245.86 242 246.70 201 245.33 205 June. 245.77 253 246.05 244 247.75 230 246.17 220 July. 245.75 250 245.92 242 <td>July</td> <td>247.22</td> <td>282</td> <td>247.46</td> <td>274</td> <td>248.00</td> <td>321</td> <td>247.36</td> <td>281</td>	July	247.22	282	247.46	274	248.00	321	247.36	281
September.240.34262246.54254247.05262246.64272October.245.93255246.11246246.60226245.96251November.245.40245245.53237246.39205244.99198December.245.03236245.13227246.05205244.991981875-January.244.72203245.00195245.96200244.52194March.244.65197244.73188245.92200244.52194March.245.41238245.54230246.39200244.55198May.245.70250245.86242246.70201245.33205June.245.87253246.05244247.55204245.69200June.245.75250245.92204245.69206205244.12June.245.75253246.05244247.55204245.69206July.245.75250245.92242247.65285246.17220August.245.75245.92244.91293246.41261201October.245.75242245.70234247.10293246.41261October.245.27238245.39229246.60235245.94294November.245.102	August	246.97	276	247.21	268	247.65	317	247.28	293
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sentember	246.34	262	246.54	254	247.05	262	246.64	272
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	October	245.93	255	246.11	246	246.60	226	245.96	251
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	November	245.40	245	245.53	237	246.39	240	245.14	199
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	December	245.03	236	245.13	227	246.05	205	244.99	198
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1875-				1 1 1 1 1 1	-	I DO DO		in mark
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	January	244.72	203	245.00	195	245.96	200	244.91	196
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	February.	244.38	177	244.45	168	245.92	200	244.52	194
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	March	244.65	197	244.73	188	245.71	200	244.12	194
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	April	245.41	238	245.54	230	246.39	200	244.55	198
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	May	245.70	250	245.86	242	246.70	201	245.33	205
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	June	245.87	253	246.05	244	247.55	204	$245 \cdot 69$	206
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	July	245.93	255	246.11	246	247.75	230	246.17	220
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	245.75	250	245.92	242	247.65	285	246.65	261
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sentember	245.55	242	245.70	234	247.10	293	246.41	261
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	October	245.27	238	245.39	229	246.60	235	245.94	294
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	November	245.10	222	245.20	224	246.04	264	245.47	226
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	December	241.00	200	244.90	220	246.05	281	245.09	211
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	December	244.90	229	211.00	220	210 00	-01		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18/0-	945 90	917	245.41	200	246.05	210	245.73	206
March	January	240.29	211	246.10	217	246.85	214	246.10	209
March	Monch	240.57	240	246.73	232	247.11	249	246.64	239
	April	247.50	286	247.76	278	247.45	287	247.29	278

45827—121

-

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE 'ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual conditions occurring in past as given in record		Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
ament drame.	Mor m	ean	Mon	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1876— MayJune. July. August. September October. November December	$\begin{array}{r} 248 \cdot 07 \\ 248 \cdot 29 \\ 248 \cdot 36 \\ 247 \cdot 91 \\ 247 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 97 \\ 246 \cdot 61 \\ 246 \cdot 40 \end{array}$	$298 \\ 304 \\ 305 \\ 294 \\ 280 \\ 277 \\ 266 \\ -265$	$\begin{array}{r} 248 \cdot 35 \\ 248 \cdot 58 \\ 248 \cdot 65 \\ 248 \cdot 18 \\ 247 \cdot 55 \\ 247 \cdot 21 \\ 246 \cdot 83 \\ 246 \cdot 61 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 290\\ 295\\ 296\\ 286\\ 272\\ 269\\ 257\\ 256\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 248\cdot05\\ 248\cdot34\\ 248\cdot75\\ 248\cdot39\\ 246\cdot60\\ 247\cdot08\\ 246\cdot81\\ 246\cdot32\\ \end{array}$	300 300 327 318 306 295 287	$\begin{array}{r} 248 \cdot 20 \\ 248 \cdot 63 \\ 248 \cdot 87 \\ 248 \cdot 51 \\ 247 \cdot 81 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 33 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \end{array}$	301 301 330 330 330 304 291 282
1877— January February March. April June. July July September. October. November December. 1879	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 246\cdot 46\\ 246\cdot 54\\ 246\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 37\\ 245\cdot 25\\ 245\cdot 38\end{array}$	226 224 230 262 266 265 266 259 249 238 237 240	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot09\\ 245\cdot78\\ 245\cdot95\\ 246\cdot67\\ 246\cdot67\\ 246\cdot63\\ 246\cdot66\\ 246\cdot40\\ 245\cdot94\\ 245\cdot94\\ 245\cdot50\\ 245\cdot37\\ 245\cdot51\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 216\\ 222\\ 253\\ 257\\ 256\\ 257\\ 251\\ 241\\ 229\\ 228\\ 232\\ \end{array}$	246-20		$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot17\\ 245\cdot12\\ 245\cdot59\\ 246\cdot12\\ 246\cdot36\\ 246\cdot36\\ 246\cdot36\\ 246\cdot36\\ 245\cdot33\\ 245\cdot44\end{array}$	203 199 202 225 238 230 247 272 258 235 213 265
January February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 64\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 96\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 247\cdot 00\\ \end{array}$	223 220 238 267 275 274 272 272 272 272 269 261 260 276	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 84\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 86\\ 247\cdot 22\\ 247\cdot 20\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 247\cdot 11\\ 246\cdot 81\\ 246\cdot 53\\ 246\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 24\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 214\\ 212\\ 230\\ 258\\ 266\\ 266\\ 264\\ 264\\ 260\\ 253\\ 251\\ 267\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 51\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 96\\ 246\cdot 37\\ 246\cdot 72\\ 246\cdot 99\\ 247\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 81\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 94\end{array}$	201 205 230 249 258 261 266 287 281 274 257 293
1879- January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot80\\ 246\cdot46\\ 246\cdot33\\ 246\cdot70\\ 246\cdot81\\ 246\cdot81\\ 246\cdot67\\ 246\cdot31\\ 245\cdot91\\ 245\cdot91\\ 245\cdot47\\ 245\cdot10\\ 245\cdot11\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 243\\ 245\\ 234\\ 267\\ 272\\ 272\\ 268\\ 259\\ 252\\ 242\\ 234\\ 230\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot03\\ 246\cdot67\\ 246\cdot53\\ 246\cdot92\\ 247\cdot04\\ 247\cdot04\\ 246\cdot89\\ 246\cdot51\\ 246\cdot69\\ 245\cdot61\\ 245\cdot61\\ 245\cdot20\\ 245\cdot21\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 234\\ 236\\ 226\\ 259\\ 264\\ 263\\ 260\\ 250\\ 244\\ 233\\ 225\\ 221\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 26\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 84\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 13\\ 246\cdot 13\\ 246\cdot 44\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 01\\ 245\cdot 04\end{array}$	207 206 227 228 238 234 250 270 257 226 198 204
January February March. April May	$\begin{array}{r} 245\cdot 30 \\ 245\cdot 63 \\ 245\cdot 95 \\ 246\cdot 15 \\ 246\cdot 33 \end{array}$	222 222 232 257 262	$\begin{smallmatrix} 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot78\\ 246\cdot14\\ 246\cdot35\\ 246\cdot53 \end{smallmatrix}$	214 213 223 249 254			$\begin{array}{c c} 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 80 \\ 245 \cdot 97 \\ 246 \cdot 26 \end{array}$	201 203 227 236 243

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occur in pa given ir	onditions rring st as record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete			
Plant of Manufally	Mon	thly ean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First.of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1880— June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1881— January. February. March. April. May. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1882— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1883— January. February. Masch. April. May. June. January. February. March. April. May. June. January. February. Ma	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 53\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 74\\ 245\cdot 36\\ 245\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 39\\ 245\cdot 39\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 73\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 73\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 39\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 247\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 266\\ 265\\ 255\\ 258\\ 239\\ 241\\ 229\\ 186\\ 195\\ 218\\ 248\\ 248\\ 252\\ 257\\ 259\\ 252\\ 242\\ 235\\ 235\\ 235\\ 236\\ 229\\ 231\\ 247\\ 269\\ 273\\ 286\\ 285\\ 278\\ 267\\ 255\\ 246\\ 211\\ 192\\ 213\\ 268\\ 245\\ 246\\ 211\\ 192\\ 223\\ 268\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 285\\ 265\\ 246\\ 211\\ 192\\ 223\\ 268\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 284\\ 28$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot74\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot28\\ 245\cdot91\\ 245\cdot48\\ 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot19\\ 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot19\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot30\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot30\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot30\\ 245\cdot31\\ 245\cdot89\\ 246\cdot09\\ 246\cdot73\\ 245\cdot48\\ 247\cdot26\\ 247\cdot81\\ 247\cdot26\\ 247\cdot81\\ 247\cdot04\\ 247\cdot26\\ 247\cdot43\\ 247\cdot04\\ 247\cdot26\\ 247\cdot79\\ 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot48\\ 245\cdot75\\ 245\cdot75\\ 245\cdot75\\ 246\cdot35\\ 246\cdot35\\ 246\cdot72\\ 245\cdot75\\ 246\cdot35\\ 246\cdot72\\ 245\cdot48\\ 33\\ 248\cdot11\\ 247\cdot62\\ 247\cdot17\\ 246\cdot91\\ 247\cdot17\\ 246\cdot146+91\\ 247\cdot17\\ 246\cdot146+91\\ 247\cdot17\\ 246\cdot146+91\\ 247\cdot17\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 257\\ 257\\ 257\\ 246\\ 249\\ 231\\ 232\\ 221\\ 177\\ 186\\ 209\\ 239\\ 244\\ 249\\ 251\\ 243\\ 233\\ 226\\ 228\\ 221\\ 222\\ 238\\ 261\\ 264\\ 277\\ 277\\ 269\\ 258\\ 247\\ 237\\ 238\\ 204\\ 245\\ 247\\ 237\\ 238\\ 203\\ 184\\ 204\\ 245\\ 260\\ 275\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 275\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 255\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 255\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 255\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 257\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 255\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 260\\ 255\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 275\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 275\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 275\\ 284\\ 281\\ 270\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285\\ 285$			$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 97\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 98\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 22\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 5\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 245\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 246\cdot 86\\ 245\cdot 8$	$\begin{array}{c} 241\\ 261\\ 272\\ 255\\ 249\\ 208\\ 232\\ 232\\ 194\\ 193\\ 196\\ 200\\ 214\\ 217\\ 251\\ 274\\ 253\\ 234\\ 216\\ 277\\ 205\\ 209\\ 238\\ 266\\ 274\\ 274\\ 274\\ 308\\ 306\\ 306\\ 306\\ 296\\ 282\\ 245\\ 262\\ 198\\ 196\\ 197\\ 204\\ 227\\ 258\\ 302\\ 329\\ 314\\ 311\\ 284\\ 282\\ \end{array}$
December 1884— January February March. April. May. June	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 91\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 248\cdot 16\\ 248\cdot 19\\ 248\cdot 09\\ \end{array}$	263 226 234 248 294 298 293	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	254 218 225 240 285 290 285			245.87 245.71 245.78 246.23 246.80 247.31 247.43	282 204 206 233 264 277 272

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in p given i	conditions nrring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete a Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from N data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
shiften (Monthly)	Mor m	nthly ean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1884— July September October November December 1885— January	$\begin{array}{r} 247 \cdot 99 \\ 247 \cdot 64 \\ 247 \cdot 22 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 15 \\ 246 \cdot 15 \end{array}$	293 287 275 264 256 252 228	$\begin{array}{r} 248 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 90 \\ 247 \cdot 46 \\ 247 \cdot 03 \\ 246 \cdot 50 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \end{array}$	285 279 267 256 248 243 219			$\begin{array}{r} 247\cdot 45\\ 247\cdot 38\\ 247\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 28\end{array}$	286 298 290 278 250 226 200
February March April. May. June. July August. September. October. November December 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 88 \\ 245 \cdot 59 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \\ 247 \cdot 44 \\ 247 \cdot 58 \\ 247 \cdot 44 \\ 247 \cdot 20 \\ 247 \cdot 02 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \\ 247 \cdot 24 \end{array}$	212 209 241 272 281 283 276 273 268 269 276	$\begin{array}{c} 246.06\\ 245.74\\ 246.47\\ 247.31\\ 247.69\\ 247.84\\ 247.69\\ 247.44\\ 247.26\\ 247.31\\ 247.48\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 200\\ 233\\ 263\\ 273\\ 274\\ 268\\ 265\\ 269\\ 260\\ 267\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 14\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 245\cdot 08\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 77\\ 246\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 21\\ \end{array}$	198 198 208 230 258 286 306 302 305 286 298
January. February. March. April. May. July. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 67\\ 247\cdot 81\\ 248\cdot 43\\ 248\cdot 65\\ 248\cdot 41\\ 248\cdot 04\\ 247\cdot 59\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 246\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 44\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 256 \\ 256 \\ 259 \\ 298 \\ 304 \\ 300 \\ 293 \\ 284 \\ 277 \\ 268 \\ 266 \\ 261 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 86\\ 247\cdot 93\\ 248\cdot 08\\ 248\cdot 72\\ 248\cdot 95\\ 248\cdot 70\\ 248\cdot 32\\ 247\cdot 85\\ 247\cdot 85\\ 247\cdot 48\\ 247\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 72\\ 246\cdot 65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\\ 247\\ 251\\ 290\\ 296\\ 291\\ 284\\ 276\\ 268\\ 260\\ 257\\ 253\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot37\\ 246\cdot87\\ 247\cdot27\\ 247\cdot27\\ 247\cdot67\\ 247\cdot67\\ 247\cdot61\\ 247\cdot18\\ 246\cdot77\\ 246\cdot42\\ 245\cdot86\\ 245\cdot48 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 215\\ 249\\ 279\\ 289\\ 281\\ 294\\ 287\\ 279\\ 282\\ 265\\ 271\\ \end{array}$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 247\cdot 43\\ 247\cdot 64\\ 248\cdot 20\\ 248\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 02\\ 245\cdot 75\\ \end{array}$	233 258 264 288 296 296 289 277 265 258 246 242	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 36\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 247\cdot 68\\ 247\cdot 87\\ 248\cdot 48\\ 248\cdot 48\\ 248\cdot 48\\ 248\cdot 45\\ 247\cdot 63\\ 247\cdot 63\\ 246\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 245\cdot 92\\ \end{array}$	224 249 256 280 288 288 288 281 268 256 256 250 238 233			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot27\\ 245\cdot52\\ 246\cdot43\\ 246\cdot92\\ 247\cdot52\\ 247\cdot83\\ 247\cdot70\\ 247\cdot18\\ 246\cdot61\\ 245\cdot89\\ 245\cdot36\\ 244\cdot94 \end{array}$	202 206 236 268 285 282 298 287 261 246 217 198
January February March. April. May. June. July.	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 45\\ 245\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 246\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 246\cdot 34\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 214 \\ 194 \\ 208 \\ 253 \\ 256 \\ 258 \\ 258 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 59 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 68 \\ 246 \cdot 37 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ 246 \cdot 48 \\ 246 \cdot 54 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 205 \\ 186 \\ 200 \\ 245 \\ 248 \\ 250 \\ 250 \end{array}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 81 \\ 244 \cdot 37 \\ 244 \cdot 12 \\ 244 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 85 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \end{array}$	195 193 193 197 202 207 234

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual co occur in pa given ir	onditions rring st as a record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete a Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
VIALON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Mon	thly	Mon	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1000						T		- (ma)
August September October November December.	$246 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 85 \\ 245 \cdot 49 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 41$	$257 \\ 249 \\ 239 \\ 239 \\ 240$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 44 \\ 246\cdot 03 \\ 245\cdot 63 \\ 245\cdot 54 \\ 245\cdot 54 \end{array}$	248 240 231 231 231			$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot39\\ 245\cdot74\\ 245\cdot08\\ 245\cdot30\end{array}$	$266 \\ 259 \\ 235 \\ 200 \\ 244$
1889—					1 1 1 1 2 2 3	1 1 4 4 4	045 57	002
January. February March. April. June. July. July. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 246 \cdot 17 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 57 \\ 246 \cdot 57 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 226\\ 212\\ 220\\ 256\\ 258\\ 267\\ 270\\ 265\\ 253\\ 239\\ 234\\ 245\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 77 \\ 245 \cdot 94 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 37 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 85 \\ 247 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 26 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 29 \\ 245 \cdot 88 \end{array}$	217 204 212 248 249 259 262 256 244 230 226 226 237			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 57\\ 245\cdot 57\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 92\\ 246\cdot 35\\ 246\cdot 95\\ 247\cdot 19\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 02\\ 245\cdot 25\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 203\\ 202\\ 215\\ 222\\ 232\\ 239\\ 260\\ 288\\ 266\\ 232\\ 199\\ 237\\ \end{array}$
1890—			010.10	000			045.49	204
January February March. April May. June July. August. September October November December 1901	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 07\\ 246\cdot 97\\ 247\cdot 52\\ 428\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 99\\ 247\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 97\\ 246\cdot 64\\ 246\cdot 71\\ 246\cdot 51\\ \end{array}$	239 239 252 276 285 295 280 273 264 265 259	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 46\\ 246\cdot 82\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 247\cdot 78\\ 248\cdot 44\\ 248\cdot 27\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 86\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 72\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 230\\ 231\\ 243\\ 268\\ 276\\ 286\\ 276\\ 286\\ 271\\ 265\\ 255\\ 255\\ 256\\ 250\\ \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 93 \\ 247 \cdot 35 \\ 247 \cdot 35 \\ 247 \cdot 92 \\ 248 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 58 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 208\\ 238\\ 266\\ 278\\ 328\\ 308\\ 279\\ 274\\ 255\\ 274\\ \end{array}$
January. February March. April. May. June. July. August. September October. November December 1892– January	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 19\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 99\\ 247\cdot 47\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 11\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 04\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot $	232 233 247 283 279 268 266 255 245 230 222 221 202 201	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 247\cdot 23\\ 247\cdot 73\\ 247\cdot 73\\ 247\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 77\\ 246\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 84\\ 245\cdot 14\\ 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot $	224 224 239 274 270 260 257 247 236 221 213 212 213 212			$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 05\\ 245\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 14\\ 244\cdot 60\\ 244\cdot 60\\ 244\cdot 60\\ \end{array}$	199 201 213 246 257 244 234 254 230 198 195 195 195
February March April May June July	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 61 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 81 \\ 246 \cdot 33 \\ 246 \cdot 25 \end{array}$	187 190 231 234 247 260 255	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 55 \\ 244 \cdot 69 \\ 245 \cdot 31 \\ 245 \cdot 37 \\ 245 \cdot 98 \\ 246 \cdot 53 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 178 \\ 182 \\ 222 \\ 225 \\ 238 \\ 252 \\ 246 \\ \end{array} $			$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 50 \\ 244 \cdot 39 \\ 244 \cdot 61 \\ 245 \cdot 07 \\ 245 \cdot 47 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \\ 247 \cdot 17 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual occi in p given i	conditions urring ast as in record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete a Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from 1 data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
to make a strength	Mo m	nthly lean	Moi m	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1892— September October November December	$246 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 20$	$254 \\ 242 \\ 236 \\ 232$	$246 \cdot 23$ $245 \cdot 75$ $245 \cdot 44$ $245 \cdot 31$	$246 \\ 234 \\ 227 \\ 224$			246.75 246.10 245.09 244.99	278 260 199 198
1893— January February March April May	$244 \cdot 87$ $244 \cdot 77$ $245 \cdot 25$ $245 \cdot 99$ $247 \cdot 12$	201 183 199 249	$244 \cdot 96$ $244 \cdot 86$ $245 \cdot 37$ $246 \cdot 18$ $247 \cdot 27$	$192 \\ 174 \\ 190 \\ 240 \\ 266$			$244 \cdot 87 \\ 244 \cdot 57 \\ 244 \cdot 50 \\ 244 \cdot 96 \\ 05$	196 194 196 208
June. July August. September. October.	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 13 \\ 247 \cdot 37 \\ 247 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 57 \\ 246 \cdot 31 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \end{array}$	275 282 277 262 259 247	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 57 \\ 247 \cdot 62 \\ 247 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 79 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \end{array}$	$200 \\ 274 \\ 268 \\ 254 \\ 250 \\ 239$	••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	246.05 247.04 247.20 246.91 246.41 245.60	$236 \\ 262 \\ 273 \\ 274 \\ 262 \\ 227$
November December 1894—	$245 \cdot 37 \\ 245 \cdot 23$	238 233	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 34\end{array}$	230 225	•••••••••		$245.00 \\ 244.89$	198 198
January February March April May June July August September October November December 1895— January	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 56 \\ 245 \cdot 75 \\ 246 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 03 \\ 245 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 26 \\ 244 \cdot 95 \\ 244 \cdot 57 \end{array}$	218 197 230 251 256 269 263 250 240 234 229 220	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 71 \\ 245 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 24 \\ 246 \cdot 29 \\ 246 \cdot 47 \\ 247 \cdot 03 \\ 246 \cdot 82 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 05 \\ 244 \cdot 61 \\ \end{array}$	209 188 222 243 248 260 255 241 232 225 220 211	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 99 \\ 246 \cdot 28 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ 246 \cdot 98 \\ 247 \cdot 96 \\ 248 \cdot 08 \\ 247 \cdot 32 \\ 247 \cdot 00 \\ 246 \cdot 75 \\ 246 \cdot 34 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \end{array}$	208 209 219 200 231 300 233 200 218 265 227	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 06 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 88 \\ 246 \cdot 47 \\ 246 \cdot 99 \\ 246 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \\ 244 \cdot 77 \end{array}$	$199 \\ 200 \\ 202 \\ 220 \\ 235 \\ 262 \\ 266 \\ 245 \\ 213 \\ 198 \\ 196$
February February March April June June July August September October November December 1806-	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 50\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 33\\ 244\cdot 87\\ 245\cdot 00\\ 244\cdot 89\\ 244\cdot 59\\ 244\cdot 59\\ 244\cdot 35\\ 244\cdot 00\\ 243\cdot 67\\ 243\cdot 41\\ 243\cdot 43\\ \end{array}$	$196 \\ 178 \\ 181 \\ 224 \\ 229 \\ 226 \\ 220 \\ 217 \\ 208 \\ 200 \\ 194 \\ 194 \\ 194$	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 57\\ 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot 39\\ 244\cdot 96\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 244\cdot 98\\ 244\cdot 77\\ 244\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 05\\ 243\cdot 70\\ 243\cdot 43\\ 243\cdot 43\\ 243\cdot 45\\ \end{array}$	$187 \\ 170 \\ 172 \\ 215 \\ 220 \\ 218 \\ 211 \\ 108 \\ 200 \\ 192 \\ 185 $	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot94\\ 246\cdot09\\ 245\cdot72\\ 245\cdot73\\ 246\cdot27\\ 246\cdot47\\ 246\cdot39\\ 246\cdot18\\ 245\cdot81\\ 245\cdot81\\ 245\cdot48\\ 245\cdot30\end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 60\\ 244\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 09\\ 244\cdot 71\\ 244\cdot 93\\ 244\cdot 73\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 12\\ 243\cdot 73\\ 244\cdot 73\\ 243\cdot 72\end{array}$	$194 \\ 192 \\ 191 \\ 194 \\ 197 \\ 199 \\ 196 \\ 195 \\ 193 \\ 190 \\ 189 \\ 190 \\ 189 \\ 190 \\$
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September.	$\begin{array}{c} 243\cdot 80\\ 244\cdot 27\\ 244\cdot 49\\ 245\cdot 41\\ 245\cdot 43\\ 245\cdot 34\\ 245\cdot 34\\ 245\cdot 08\\ 244\cdot 94\\ 244\cdot 46\end{array}$	187 188 185 233 237 237 233 228 216	$\begin{array}{c} 243\cdot 84\\ 244\cdot 33\\ 244\cdot 56\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 56\\ 245\cdot 46\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 53\end{array}$	$179 \\ 180 \\ 177 \\ 225 \\ 229 \\ 229 \\ 224 \\ 219 \\ 207 $	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 37\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 08\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 11\\ 247\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 72\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 243\cdot 93\\ 244\cdot 19\\ 244\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 70\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 49\end{array}$	$191 \\ 193 \\ 196 \\ 201 \\ 222 \\ 203 \\ 204 \\ 216 \\ 231$

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual co occur in pa given ir	onditions rring st as 1 record	Computed for presen without r New Well assumed Chicago assumed at Other lowe data com U.S. Lak	conditions t regimen regulation and Canal complete diversion t8,500 c.f.s. erings from upiled by te Survey	Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Cana complete	
First of Monthly	Mon	thly ean	Mon	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge h)
1896— October November December	$244 \cdot 23 \\ 243 \cdot 97 \\ 243 \cdot 99$	209 209 204	$244 \cdot 29 \\ 244 \cdot 02 \\ 244 \cdot 04$	200 200 195	$246 \cdot 31 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \\ 245 \cdot 85$	200 200 200	$245 \cdot 13 \\ 244 \cdot 87 \\ 244 \cdot 72$	198 197 196
1897— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 243\cdot 87\\ 243\cdot 83\\ 244\cdot 30\\ 244\cdot 96\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 244\cdot 47\\ 244\cdot 47\\ 244\cdot 47\\ 244\cdot 47\end{array}$	187 182 193 229 239 245 242 242 242 228 215 211	$\begin{array}{c} 243\cdot91\\ 243\cdot87\\ 244\cdot36\\ 245\cdot06\\ 245\cdot54\\ 245\cdot77\\ 245\cdot77\\ 245\cdot75\\ 245\cdot75\\ 245\cdot19\\ 244\cdot54\\ 244\cdot54\\ 244\cdot54\end{array}$	178 173 184 220 231 236 233 233 220 207 203 207	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 75 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 73 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 89 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \\ 247 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 98 \\ 246 \cdot 31 \\ 246 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 211 209 200 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 66\\ 244\cdot 31\\ 244\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 84\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 245\cdot 26\\ 244\cdot 82\\ 244\cdot 76\end{array}$	$194 \\ 193 \\ 194 \\ 200 \\ 218 \\ 222 \\ 246 \\ 270 \\ 256 \\ 214 \\ 197 \\ 197$
1898— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	244.64 245.08 245.48 245.92 246.07 246.13 245.85 245.51 245.09 244.84 244.88 244.90	201 210 223 244 249 250 244 237 228 221 221 221	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot71\\ 245\cdot18\\ 245\cdot62\\ 246\cdot09\\ 246\cdot26\\ 246\cdot31\\ 246\cdot03\\ 245\cdot65\\ 245\cdot19\\ 244\cdot93\\ 244\cdot97\\ 244\cdot99\end{array}$	193 201 214 235 241 241 236 229 220 213 213 215	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot00\\ 246\cdot21\\ 246\cdot30\\ 246\cdot41\\ 247\cdot10\\ 247\cdot41\\ 247\cdot42\\ 247\cdot22\\ 246\cdot86\\ 246\cdot51\\ 246\cdot40\\ 246\cdot08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 208\\ 234\\ 223\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200$	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot85\\ 244\cdot96\\ 245\cdot31\\ 245\cdot80\\ 246\cdot18\\ 246\cdot18\\ 246\cdot59\\ 246\cdot48\\ 245\cdot66\\ 245\cdot15\\ 245\cdot04\\ 245\cdot02\\ \end{array}$	$198 \\ 199 \\ 204 \\ 232 \\ 240 \\ 234 \\ 251 \\ 251 \\ 232 \\ 199 \\ 198 \\ 202$
1899— January February March. April. May June. July. August. September October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 98\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 69\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 246\cdot 07\\ 245\cdot 92\\ 245\cdot 46\\ 244\cdot 95\\ 244\cdot 55\\ 244\cdot 55\\ 244\cdot 55\\ 244\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 36\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205\\ 198\\ 210\\ 241\\ 247\\ 251\\ 246\\ 234\\ 224\\ 215\\ 213\\ 215\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot08\\ 244\cdot97\\ 245\cdot24\\ 245\cdot24\\ 245\cdot85\\ 246\cdot12\\ 246\cdot26\\ 246\cdot10\\ 245\cdot60\\ 245\cdot60\\ 245\cdot05\\ 244\cdot63\\ 244\cdot59\\ 244\cdot43\end{array}$	196 189 201 232 238 243 228 226 216 207 205 207	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	200 200 200 210 251 273 259 221 255 290 247	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot01\\ 244\cdot76\\ 244\cdot72\\ 245\cdot14\\ 245\cdot92\\ 246\cdot34\\ 246\cdot53\\ 245\cdot79\\ 245\cdot04\\ 245\cdot79\\ 245\cdot04\\ 244\cdot82\\ 244\cdot82\\ 244\cdot78\\ \end{array}$	$198 \\ 197 \\ 198 \\ 210 \\ 232 \\ 228 \\ 244 \\ 254 \\ 228 \\ 198 \\ 197 \\ 197 \\ 197 \\$
1900— January February March April. May. June July. August. September	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 63\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 12\end{array}$	199 199 204 242 248 249 247 240 232	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 71 \\ 244 \cdot 97 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 97 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 246 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \\ 245 \cdot 68 \\ 245 \cdot 22 \end{array}$	193 194 198 236 242 243 242 234 234 227	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	200 207 242 253 227 200 200 228 209	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 83 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 244 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 90 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 43 \\ 246 \cdot 59 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	198 199 210 231 217 233 258 242

TABLE 12 .- EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual c occu in pa given i	conditions prring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete sys assuming a diver Chica New Well co	regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal mplete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Transit in hold	Mor m	nthly ean	Mot	nthly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1900— October November December	$244.72 \\ 244.55 \\ 244.84$	223 219 226	$244.80 \\ 244.63 \\ 224.93$	218 213 220	$246.60 \\ 246.38 \\ 246.26$	$247 \\ 268 \\ 281$	$245 \cdot 37$ $245 \cdot 01$ $245 \cdot 05$	212 198 206
January February March April. May. June.	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 68 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \\ 244 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 63 \\ 245 \cdot 91 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205 \\ 200 \\ 198 \\ 237 \\ 246 \\ 249 \\ 244 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 78 \\ 244 \cdot 72 \\ 244 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 02 \end{array}$	$200 \\ 196 \\ 193 \\ 233 \\ 242 \\ 245 \\ 220 \\ 200 $	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 38 \\ 247 \cdot 58 \\ 247 \cdot 58 \\ 247 \cdot 01 \end{array}$	206 200 200 200 268 210	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 13 \\ 244 \cdot 98 \\ 244 \cdot 83 \\ 245 \cdot 36 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \\ 246 \cdot 25 \end{array}$	199 198 199 219 245 234
July. August. September October November December 1902—	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 74 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 244 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 28 \\ 244 \cdot 36 \end{array}$	$244 \\ 237 \\ 231 \\ 223 \\ 213 \\ 216$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 92 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \\ 245 \cdot 22 \\ 244 \cdot 83 \\ 244 \cdot 36 \\ 244 \cdot 45 \end{array}$	239 233 227 219 208 212	$\begin{array}{c} 247.91 \\ 247.50 \\ 247.10 \\ 246.57 \\ 246.20 \\ 246.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 209\\ 226\\ 200\\ 207\\ 200\\ 212 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 240.35 \\ 246.21 \\ 245.84 \\ 245.28 \\ 244.92 \\ 244.85 \end{array}$	229 238 230 206 198 198
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August.	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 42 \\ 244 \cdot 30 \\ 244 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 47 \\ 245 \cdot 55 \\ 245 \cdot 97 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 197 \\ 177 \\ 208 \\ 237 \\ 240 \\ 242 \\ 250 \\ 251 \\ 251 \\ 251 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 54 \\ 244 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 75 \\ 246 \cdot 21 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 346 $	193 172 204 232 235 238 245 247 247	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 247\cdot 09\\ 247\cdot 57\\ 247\cdot 81\\ 247\cdot $	200 200 255 200 200 200 212 297	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 02 \\ 244 \cdot 85 \\ 244 \cdot 88 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 98 \\ 246 \cdot 43 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \end{array}$	198 198 200 220 230 210 243 287
September October November December	245.66 245.42 245.05 244.89	$244 \\ 237 \\ 230 \\ 225$	$ \begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \end{array} $	239 233 226 221	$\begin{array}{c} 246.80 \\ 246.51 \\ 246.22 \\ 246.00 \end{array}$	209 206 223 219	$\begin{array}{c} 246.84 \\ 246.20 \\ 245.46 \\ 245.08 \end{array}$	267 226 210
1903— January February March. April. May June. July August. September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot92\\ 245\cdot16\\ 245\cdot75\\ 246\cdot44\\ 246\cdot56\\ 246\cdot44\\ 246\cdot59\\ 246\cdot35\\ 246\cdot07\\ 245\cdot72\\ 245\cdot36\\ 245\cdot11\\ \end{array}$	209 207 225 258 261 257 260 256 251 240 227 220	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot08\\ 245\cdot34\\ 245\cdot91\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot62\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot55\\ 246\cdot52\\ 245\cdot93\\ 245\cdot93\\ 245\cdot53\\ 245\cdot12\\ 244\cdot82\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205\\ 204\\ 222\\ 254\\ 257\\ 253\\ 248\\ 236\\ 224\\ 216\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 32\\ 247\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 43\\ 247\cdot 67\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 246\cdot 07\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 210\\ 246\\ 282\\ 235\\ 200\\ 227\\ 304\\ 303\\ 292\\ 234\\ 200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 17\\ 245\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 78\\ 247\cdot 01\\ 247\cdot 09\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 244\cdot 93\\ \end{array}$	200 201 212 246 260 262 266 274 274 271 209 197
January February March. April. May. June. July. August. September October	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot72\\ 245\cdot00\\ 245\cdot63\\ 247\cdot00\\ 247\cdot61\\ 247\cdot87\\ 247\cdot89\\ 247\cdot64\\ 247\cdot25\\ 246\cdot87\\ \end{array}$	192 196 207 255 270 277 279 275 266 257	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	188 192 203 251 266 273 275 271 262 253	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot72\\ 245\cdot83\\ 246\cdot50\\ 247\cdot25\\ 247\cdot90\\ 247\cdot91\\ 247\cdot91\\ 247\cdot77\\ 247\cdot17\\ 246\cdot60\\ \end{array}$	200 207 245 286 300 300 330 317 296 217	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 61 \\ 244 \cdot 47 \\ 244 \cdot 80 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 246 \cdot 87 \\ 247 \cdot 56 \\ 247 \cdot 56 \\ 247 \cdot 65 \\ 247 \cdot 65 \\ 247 \cdot 04 \\ 246 \cdot 64 \end{array}$	195 196 201 235 263 275 306 312 293 296

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual co occur in pa given in	onditions cring st as record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
Salarak (Spinster	Mon	thly ean	Mon	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1904— November December	$246 \cdot 36 \\ 245 \cdot 81$	245 223	$246.05 \\ 245.50$	$\begin{array}{c} 241 \\ 219 \end{array}$	$246 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 83$	246 200	$245 \cdot 44 \\ 244 \cdot 95$	223 198
1905— January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot79\\ 245\cdot49\\ 245\cdot29\\ 246\cdot13\\ 246\cdot25\\ 246\cdot59\\ 246\cdot98\\ 246\cdot90\\ 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot45\\ 246\cdot45\\ 246\cdot6.07\\ 245\cdot88\end{array}$	198 205 199 242 244 251 260 259 256 250 243 237	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot50\\ 245\cdot20\\ 245\cdot00\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot96\\ 246\cdot30\\ 246\cdot69\\ 246\cdot61\\ 246\cdot46\\ 246\cdot16\\ 245\cdot78\\ 245\cdot59\end{array}$	194 201 195 238 240 247 256 255 252 246 239 233	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot76\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot79\\ 246\cdot12\\ 247\cdot07\\ 247\cdot47\\ 247\cdot95\\ 247\cdot78\\ 247\cdot78\\ 247\cdot14\\ 246\cdot64\\ 246\cdot15\\ 246\cdot10\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 241 330 320 301 288 247 255	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 94 \\ 244 \cdot 57 \\ 244 \cdot 57 \\ 244 \cdot 63 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \\ 246 \cdot 89 \\ 247 \cdot 47 \\ 246 \cdot 89 \\ 246 \cdot 36 \\ 245 \cdot 52 \\ 245 \cdot 31 \end{array}$	$197 \\ 194 \\ 194 \\ 199 \\ 210 \\ 257 \\ 292 \\ 285 \\ 278 \\ 231 \\ 246$
1906— January February. March April. May. June. July. August. September October. November. December	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot13\\ 246\cdot10\\ 245\cdot91\\ 246\cdot25\\ 246\cdot36\\ 246\cdot41\\ 246\cdot58\\ 246\cdot27\\ 245\cdot81\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot71\end{array}$	229 221 218 243 247 249 252 245 236 233 231 229	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot}86\\ 245{\cdot}83\\ 245{\cdot}63\\ 245{\cdot}98\\ 246{\cdot}09\\ 246{\cdot}14\\ 246{\cdot}31\\ 246{\cdot}00\\ 245{\cdot}54\\ 245{\cdot}25\\ 245{\cdot}32\\ 245{\cdot}32\\ 245{\cdot}44 \end{array}$	225 217 214 238 243 245 247 240 232 229 229 227 225	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot07\\ 246\cdot31\\ 246\cdot13\\ 246\cdot42\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot08\\ 247\cdot65\\ 247\cdot65\\ 246\cdot83\\ 246\cdot49\\ 246\cdot42\\ 246\cdot10\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\ 208\\ 227\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 206\\ 243\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 246\\ 282\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot07\\ 245\cdot20\\ 245\cdot25\\ 245\cdot44\\ 245\cdot85\\ 246\cdot14\\ 246\cdot62\\ 246\cdot85\\ 246\cdot26\\ 245\cdot64\\ 245\cdot64\\ 245\cdot34\\ 245\cdot47\\ \end{array}$	199 200 201 217 227 218 242 270 257 229 214 270
1907— January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 86\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 90\\ 246\cdot 50\\ 246\cdot 50\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 33\end{array}$	212 218 224 256 262 263 265 260 251 249 247 247	246.10 246.23 246.23 246.62 246.85 246.88 246.88 246.66 246.26 246.26 246.09	209 215 220 253 259 260 261 257 247 246 243 243	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 40\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 74\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 6\\ 246\cdot 78\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 94\end{array}$	208 210 238 200 200 216 229 315 271 299 277 267	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot}68\\ 245{\cdot}79\\ 245{\cdot}81\\ 245{\cdot}84\\ 246{\cdot}24\\ 246{\cdot}61\\ 246{\cdot}89\\ 247{\cdot}02\\ 246{\cdot}57\\ 246{\cdot}16\\ 245{\cdot}71\\ 245{\cdot}52\\ \end{array}$	204 205 227 234 242 242 257 279 269 265 250 274
1908— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot99\\ 247\cdot39\\ 248\cdot02\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot62\\ 248\cdot64\\ 247\cdot95\\ 247\cdot14\\ 246\cdot44\\ 245\cdot92\\ 245\cdot51\end{array}$	221 218 223 281 292 294 289 279 264 249 239 230	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}52\\ 246{\cdot}78\\ 247{\cdot}18\\ 247{\cdot}18\\ 247{\cdot}81\\ 248{\cdot}25\\ 248{\cdot}41\\ 248{\cdot}13\\ 247{\cdot}74\\ 246{\cdot}93\\ 246{\cdot}23\\ 245{\cdot}71\\ 245{\cdot}30\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 217\\ 214\\ 220\\ 277\\ 289\\ 291\\ 286\\ 276\\ 260\\ 245\\ 236\\ 227\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot12\\ 246\cdot18\\ 246\cdot80\\ 246\cdot93\\ 247\cdot80\\ 247\cdot63\\ 247\cdot63\\ 247\cdot62\\ 246\cdot91\\ 246\cdot40\\ 246\cdot08\\ 245\cdot73\end{array}$	207 210 242 285 300 297 279 303 201 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 40\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 17\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 245\cdot 81\\ 245\cdot 05\\ 244\cdot 76\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 202\\ 204\\ 228\\ 248\\ 268\\ 279\\ 309\\ 301\\ 274\\ 241\\ 198\\ 195\\ \end{array}$

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual occur in p given i	conditions urring ast as in record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from I data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete sys assuming diver Chica New Well com	e regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and land Canal plete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Ohicago and New Welland Canal complete	
	Mo m	nthly lean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1909— January February March. April July August. September October November December 1910— January February March. April May June	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot17\\ 245\cdot28\\ 245\cdot70\\ 246\cdot18\\ 247\cdot16\\ 247\cdot30\\ 247\cdot16\\ 246\cdot82\\ 246\cdot28\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot35\\ 245\cdot21\\ 244\cdot94\\ 245\cdot03\\ 245\cdot03\\ 245\cdot03\\ 245\cdot07\\ 246\cdot42\\ 246\cdot46\\ 246\cdot29\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 203\\ 197\\ 211\\ 243\\ 262\\ 267\\ 264\\ 257\\ 245\\ 237\\ 225\\ 224\\ 198\\ 188\\ 188\\ 214\\ 238\\ 249\\ 250\\ 247\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 244\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 64\\ 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 17\\ 245\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 79\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 246\cdot 27\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 246\cdot 14\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 201\\ 194\\ 208\\ 240\\ 259\\ 264\\ 262\\ 254\\ 242\\ 235\\ 222\\ 221\\ 195\\ 186\\ 212\\ 235\\ 246\\ 247\\ 244\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 247\cdot 52\\ 247\cdot 71\\ 247\cdot 40\\ 247\cdot 38\\ 247\cdot 00\\ 247\cdot 38\\ 247\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 90\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 247\cdot 51\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 247 262 200 212 207 203 200 200 200 200 206 238 204 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 51\\ 244\cdot 36\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 84\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 246\cdot 81\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 247\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 245\cdot 16\\ 244\cdot 93\\ 244\cdot 93\\ 244\cdot 93\\ 244\cdot 93\\ 245\cdot 38\\ 245\cdot 38\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 246\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 67\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194\\ 194\\ 196\\ 203\\ 230\\ 253\\ 269\\ 282\\ 266\\ 243\\ 199\\ 108\\ 197\\ 198\\ 200\\ 216\\ 232\\ 230\\ 246\\ \end{array}$
August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 05 \\ 245 \cdot 70 \\ 245 \cdot 38 \\ 245 \cdot 15 \\ 244 \cdot 98 \end{array}$	243 232 227 221 216	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 90 \\ 245 \cdot 55 \\ 245 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 244 \cdot 74 \end{array}$	241 230 224 218 214	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 48 \\ 247 \cdot 19 \\ 246 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 25 \\ 246 \cdot 02 \end{array}$	219 232 205 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 67 \\ 246 \cdot 17 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 244 \cdot 99 \\ 244 \cdot 80 \end{array}$	262 248 213 198 196
January February March. April July August. September October November December 1912-	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 77 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 44 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 19 \\ 244 \cdot 88 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \\ 244 \cdot 50 \\ 244 \cdot 63 \end{array}$	194 191 197 225 232 232 233 232 224 215 212 213 214	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 64\\ 244\cdot 73\\ 244\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 47\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 41\\ 245\cdot 06\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 244\cdot 49\\ 244\cdot 37\\ 244\cdot 50\end{array}$	192 188 194 223 230 231 230 221 213 210 210 211	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 07 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 67 \\ 244 \cdot 52 \\ 244 \cdot 51 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 69 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 05 \\ 244 \cdot 85 \\ 244 \cdot 79 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 195\\ 194\\ 196\\ 198\\ 202\\ 203\\ 204\\ 214\\ 203\\ 198\\ 197\\ 198\\ \end{array}$
January February March April. May June July August. September October November December 1913— Lawary	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 76 \\ 244 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 247 \cdot 34 \\ 247 \cdot 01 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 68 \\ 246 \cdot 17 \\ 246 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ \end{array}$	192 181 189 237 254 269 259 253 248 244 244 244 244	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 244 \cdot 99 \\ 246 \cdot 21 \\ 246 \cdot 21 \\ 247 \cdot 23 \\ 246 \cdot 90 \\ 246 \cdot 55 \\ 246 \cdot 55 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \\ 245 \cdot 97 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \\ \end{array}$	191 180 187 235 253 267 258 252 246 243 243 241 242 290	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot00\\ 246\cdot08\\ 246\cdot68\\ 247\cdot33\\ 247\cdot67\\ 247\cdot75\\ 247\cdot75\\ 247\cdot75\\ 247\cdot16\\ 246\cdot90\\ 246\cdot68\\ 246\cdot26\\ \end{array}$	200 206 200 243 274 234 231 233 309 301 291 286	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot00\\ 244\cdot87\\ 244\cdot79\\ 245\cdot35\\ 246\cdot32\\ 247\cdot13\\ 247\cdot43\\ 247\cdot05\\ 246\cdot53\\ 246\cdot53\\ 246\cdot10\\ 245\cdot76\\ 245\cdot18\\ \end{array}$	$198 \\ 198 \\ 199 \\ 219 \\ 245 \\ 264 \\ 284 \\ 281 \\ 266 \\ 260 \\ 255 \\ 226 \\ 226 \\ 200 $

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual co occur in pa given ir	onditions rring st as 1 record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
anne Charles	· Mon me	thly ean	Mon	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1913— February March. April. May. June. July. August. September October. November December.	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot71\\ 247\cdot86\\ 247\cdot97\\ 248\cdot02\\ 247\cdot83\\ 247\cdot31\\ 246\cdot74\\ 246\cdot29\\ 246\cdot06\\ 245\cdot91\end{array}$	239 234 273 278 281 278 266 253 244 243 240	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 247\cdot 77\\ 247\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 74\\ 247\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 97\\ 245\cdot 82\end{array}$	238 232 271 277 278 277 265 252 242 241 238	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 247\cdot 67\\ 248\cdot 06\\ 248\cdot 33\\ 247\cdot 92\\ 247\cdot 48\\ 246\cdot 97\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 40\\ 246\cdot 20\\ \end{array}$	$212 \\ 250 \\ 289 \\ 300 \\ 300 \\ 328 \\ 284 \\ 264 \\ 256 \\ 290 \\ 284$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot79\\ 246\cdot27\\ 246\cdot90\\ 247\cdot57\\ 247\cdot87\\ 247\cdot95\\ 247\cdot47\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot05\\ 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot41\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206\\ 234\\ 269\\ 286\\ 283\\ 311\\ 302\\ 277\\ 257\\ 222\\ 262\\ \end{array}$
1914 January February March. April. May June. July August. September. October. November. December	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot}60\\ 245{\cdot}87\\ 245{\cdot}87\\ 245{\cdot}67\\ 246{\cdot}95\\ 246{\cdot}95\\ 246{\cdot}91\\ 246{\cdot}33\\ 246{\cdot}09\\ 245{\cdot}59\\ 245{\cdot}25\\ 244{\cdot}83\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 214\\ 202\\ 203\\ 250\\ 257\\ 257\\ 253\\ 246\\ 241\\ 230\\ 227\\ 216\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 244\cdot 76\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 213\\ 201\\ 202\\ 248\\ 256\\ 255\\ 251\\ 244\\ 240\\ 229\\ 226\\ 215\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 55 \\ 247 \cdot 47 \\ 247 \cdot 21 \\ 247 \cdot 59 \\ 247 \cdot 45 \\ 247 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 85 \end{array}$	200 206 200 269 200 200 200 206 222 276 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot04\\ 244\cdot92\\ 244\cdot81\\ 245\cdot18\\ 246\cdot08\\ 246\cdot52\\ 246\cdot85\\ 246\cdot85\\ 246\cdot84\\ 246\cdot42\\ 245\cdot91\\ 245\cdot09\\ 244\cdot77\\ \end{array}$	$198 \\ 198 \\ 213 \\ 237 \\ 238 \\ 254 \\ 270 \\ 261 \\ 248 \\ 198 \\ 196 \\$
1915— January February March. April. May. June. July. August. September October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 244.70\\ 244.99\\ 245.27\\ 245.04\\ 245.15\\ 245.13\\ 245.43\\ 245.43\\ 245.43\\ 245.44\\ 244.94\\ 244.78\\ \end{array}$	199 191 208 222 220 220 222 228 229 225 219 219 213	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 94 \\ 245 \cdot 22 \\ 244 \cdot 99 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 245 \cdot 07 \\ 245 \cdot 08 \\ 245 \cdot 38 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 12 \\ 244 \cdot 89 \\ 244 \cdot 73 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 198\\ 190\\ 207\\ 221\\ 221\\ 219\\ 221\\ 227\\ 228\\ 224\\ 228\\ 224\\ 212\\ 228\\ 224\\ 212\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot}64\\ 245{\cdot}85\\ 246{\cdot}15\\ 246{\cdot}15\\ 246{\cdot}11\\ 246{\cdot}20\\ 246{\cdot}32\\ 246{\cdot}34\\ 246{\cdot}33\\ 246{\cdot}43\\ 246{\cdot}55\\ 246{\cdot}44\\ 246{\cdot}15\\ 245{\cdot}96\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 206\\ 211\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200\\ 200$	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 57\\ 244\cdot 63\\ 244\cdot 78\\ 244\cdot 80\\ 244\cdot 92\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 25\\ 245\cdot 95\\ 245\cdot 95\\ 245\cdot 36\\ 244\cdot 91\end{array}$	$195 \\ 196 \\ 197 \\ 198 \\ 200 \\ 203 \\ 222 \\ 252 \\ 250 \\ 216 \\ 198 \\$
1916— January February March. April. May. June. July. August. September October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 05 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 40 \\ 247 \cdot 13 \\ 247 \cdot 83 \\ 247 \cdot 83 \\ 247 \cdot 83 \\ 247 \cdot 36 \\ 246 \cdot 69 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \end{array}$	205 204 201 246 276 276 278 267 254 241 231 231	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot02\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot43\\ 246\cdot37\\ 247\cdot10\\ 247\cdot80\\ 247\cdot90\\ 247\cdot33\\ 246\cdot66\\ 246\cdot03\\ 245\cdot62\\ 245\cdot34\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204\\ 203\\ 200\\ 245\\ 262\\ 275\\ 277\\ 266\\ 253\\ 240\\ 230\\ 223\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}21\\ 246{\cdot}50\\ 246{\cdot}79\\ 247{\cdot}13\\ 247{\cdot}80\\ 248{\cdot}31\\ 248{\cdot}60\\ 248{\cdot}21\\ 247{\cdot}36\\ 246{\cdot}60\\ 246{\cdot}28\\ 246{\cdot}35\\ \end{array}$	209 211 245 284 300 300 330 323 289 242 258 264	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 94\\ 244 \cdot 95\\ 245 \cdot 08\\ 245 \cdot 58\\ 246 \cdot 58\\ 247 \cdot 49\\ 248 \cdot 05\\ 247 \cdot 60\\ 246 \cdot 70\\ 245 \cdot 85\\ 245 \cdot 85\\ 245 \cdot 11\\ 244 \cdot 88\end{array}$	$198 \\ 198 \\ 201 \\ 230 \\ 253 \\ 274 \\ 309 \\ 275 \\ 243 \\ 198 \\ 199 \\ 199$
1917— January February March.	$245 \cdot 26$ 245 $\cdot 08$ 245 $\cdot 17$	204 205 207	$\begin{array}{c c} 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 07 \\ 245 \cdot 16 \end{array}$	203 205 207	$\begin{array}{c c} 246 \cdot 01 \\ 246 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \end{array}$	200 207 240	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	199 198 200

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Continued

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year—Month	Actual o occu in pr given i	conditions urring ast as n record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete a Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from 1 data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete sys assuming diver Chics New Well com	e regulation tem; 8,500 c.f.s. sion at go and and Canal aplete	Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
didanti la me	Mon	nthly ean	Monthly mean		First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
(a) 1917— April May June July July May December December 1918— January February March April May July August September December December December 1919— January February May Arril May May July August September December November December May January February March April May July March April May June May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May July March April May June	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 46\\ 247\cdot 35\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 43\\ 247\cdot 27\\ 247\cdot 95\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 243\\ 246\\ 258\\ 269\\ 269\\ 258\\ 254\\ 251\\ 246\\ 217\\ 212\\ 228\\ 259\\ 261\\ 260\\ 258\\ 249\\ 244\\ 238\\ 240\\ 236\\ 226\\ 222\\ 226\\ 222\\ 226\\ 252\\ 269\\ 279\\ \end{array}$	246-23 246-50 246-97 247-34 246-92 246-67 246-68 246-68 246-68 246-68 246-68 245-99 246-68 247-18 247-14 247-02 246-86 247-18 247-10 246-21 246-01 246-01 246-01 246-01 246-01 246-01 246-02 246-02 246-02 246-02 246-02 246-44	242 245 257 268 268 258 250 245 245 216 211 227 258 260 259 257 248 243 237 235 243 237 235 225 221 225 225 221 225 258 278	$\begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	280 300 300 330 323 288 271 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 203 200 213 219 230 274 287 284 287 284 209 235 240 300 300	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 40\\ 246\cdot 35\\ 246\cdot 35\\ 246\cdot 89\\ 247\cdot 52\\ 247\cdot 75\\ 247\cdot 75\\ 247\cdot 75\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 89\\ 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 41\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 247\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 221\\ 246\\ 257\\ 289\\ 317\\ 299\\ 291\\ 286\\ 279\\ 201\\ 201\\ 201\\ 201\\ 204\\ 236\\ 248\\ 233\\ 239\\ 262\\ 262\\ 262\\ 262\\ 262\\ 266\\ 268\\ 279\\ 203\\ 218\\ 218\\ 238\\ 254\\ 277\\ \end{array}$
June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 95 \\ 247 \cdot 75 \\ 247 \cdot 33 \\ 246 \cdot 86 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 11 \\ 245 \cdot 74 \end{array}$	$279 \\ 275 \\ 267 \\ 256 \\ 246 \\ 241 \\ 236$	$\begin{array}{c} 247.96\\ 247.76\\ 247.34\\ 246.87\\ 246.36\\ 246.12\\ 245.75\end{array}$	218 274 266 255 246 240 235	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 20 \\ 247 \cdot 90 \\ 247 \cdot 60 \\ 247 \cdot 03 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 36 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	200 225 207 200 273 200	$\begin{array}{r} 247\cdot 62\\ 248\cdot 00\\ 247\cdot 43\\ 246\cdot 67\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 245\cdot 45\\ 245\cdot 00\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 277\\ 314\\ 300\\ 274\\ 249\\ 225\\ 198\\ \end{array} $
1920— January. February. March. April. May. June. July. July. September. October. November. December	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot31\\ 245\cdot01\\ 245\cdot05\\ 245\cdot55\\ 245\cdot56\\ 245\cdot56\\ 245\cdot62\\ 245\cdot62\\ 245\cdot62\\ 245\cdot47\\ 245\cdot29\\ 245\cdot23\\ 245\cdot23\\ 245\cdot40\end{array}$	201 192 197 232 231 230 234 231 229 226 220 227	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot32\\ 245\cdot02\\ 245\cdot06\\ 245\cdot66\\ 245\cdot61\\ 245\cdot57\\ 245\cdot71\\ 245\cdot63\\ 245\cdot48\\ 245\cdot30\\ 245\cdot24\\ 245\cdot24\\ 245\cdot41\\ \end{array}$	201 192 197 232 231 230 234 231 229 226 220 227	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot81\\ 245\cdot45\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot61\\ 246\cdot50\\ 246\cdot58\\ 246\cdot99\\ 247\cdot10\\ 247\cdot10\\ 246\cdot68\\ 246\cdot32\\ 246\cdot08\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 235 219 217 218 282	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 97 \\ 244 \cdot 47 \\ 244 \cdot 35 \\ 244 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 59 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 24 \end{array}$	$196 \\ 194 \\ 194 \\ 198 \\ 200 \\ 202 \\ 238 \\ 236 \\ 250 \\ 246 \\ 208 \\ 234$
1921— January. February. March. April. May. June. July	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 46\\ 245\cdot 79\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 37\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 47\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 246\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 38\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 96\\ 246\cdot 11\\ 246\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 247\cdot 40\\ 247\cdot 32\end{array}$	206 202 237 233 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 36\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 38\\ 245\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 46\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 77\end{array}$	201 202 207 237 250 250 250

.

.

TABLE 12.-EFFECT OF REGULATION-LAKE ONTARIO-Concluded

Stages in Feet Above Mean Sea Level

Discharges in Thousand Second Feet

Year-Month	Actual co occur in pa given in	onditions rring st as record	Computed conditions for present regimen without regulation New Welland Canal assumed complete Chicago diversion assumed at 8,500 c.f.s. Other lowerings from I data compiled by U.S. Lake Survey		Complete regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete		Partial regulation system; assuming 8,500 c.f.s. diversion at Chicago and New Welland Canal complete	
	Mon	thly ean	Mon me	thly ean	First of month	Monthly mean	First of month	Monthly mean
(a)	Stage (b)	Discharge (c)	Stage (d)	Discharge (e)	Stage (f)	Discharge (g)	Stage (i)	Discharge (h)
1921— August September October December 1922— January February March. April	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 43 \\ 245 \cdot 11 \\ 244 \cdot 85 \\ 244 \cdot 83 \\ 244 \cdot 73 \\ 244 \cdot 70 \\ 245 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	238 228 221 210 215 197 188 202 244	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 94 \\ 245 \cdot 44 \\ 245 \cdot 12 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 244 \cdot 84 \\ 244 \cdot 74 \\ 244 \cdot 71 \\ 245 \cdot 09 \\ 246 \cdot 07 \end{array}$	238 228 221 210 251 197 188 202 244	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot08\\ 246\cdot68\\ 246\cdot27\\ 246\cdot10\\ 246\cdot00\\ 245\cdot88\\ 245\cdot97\\ 246\cdot18\\ 246\cdot77\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 230 230 200 200 200 235	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 64\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 244\cdot 92\\ 244\cdot 76\\ 244\cdot 76\\ 244\cdot 46\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 245\cdot 06\end{array}$	260 242 206 197 197 195 194 197 210
May June. July August. September October. November December December	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 03\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 64\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 250 \\ 253 \\ 258 \\ 250 \\ 239 \\ 233 \\ 220 \\ 210 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 04\\ 254\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 16\\ 244\cdot 65\end{array}$	250 253 258 250 239 233 220 210	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 57 \\ 247 \cdot 74 \\ 247 \cdot 61 \\ 246 \cdot 87 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 82 \end{array}$	300 282 221 243 270 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 246.06\\ 246.72\\ 247.13\\ 247.19\\ 246.50\\ 245.80\\ 245.04\\ 244.59\\ \end{array}$	236 248 269 288 265 240 197 194
January. February. March. April. May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 50\\ 244\cdot 47\\ 244\cdot 74\\ 245\cdot 33\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 93\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 41\\ 245\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 65\\ 244\cdot 34\\ 244\cdot 47\end{array}$	190 188 199 227 230 236 232 226 217 208 203 203	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 54\\ 244\cdot 48\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 245\cdot 34\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 66\\ 244\cdot 35\\ 244\cdot 48\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 190 \\ 188 \\ 199 \\ 227 \\ 230 \\ 236 \\ 232 \\ 226 \\ 217 \\ 208 \\ 203 \\ 206 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot60}\\ 245{\cdot67}\\ 246{\cdot67}\\ 246{\cdot63}\\ 246{\cdot65}\\ 247{\cdot08}\\ 247{\cdot22}\\ 247{\cdot21}\\ 246{\cdot92}\\ 246{\cdot62}\\ 246{\cdot62}\\ 246{\cdot25}\\ 246{\cdot13}\\ \end{array}$	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 34\\ 244\cdot 12\\ 244\cdot 16\\ 244\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 25\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 246\cdot 11\\ 246\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 64\\ 245\cdot 69\\ 244\cdot 69\\ 244\cdot 80\\ 244\cdot 74\end{array}$	$193 \\ 192 \\ 194 \\ 198 \\ 201 \\ 206 \\ 217 \\ 242 \\ 220 \\ 198 \\ 197 \\ 197$
1924— January February March April June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot77\\ 244\cdot85\\ 244\cdot88\\ 245\cdot36\\ 246\cdot10\\ 246\cdot21\\ 246\cdot04\\ 245\cdot65\\ 245\cdot45\\ 245\cdot45\\ 244\cdot95\\ 244\cdot98\\ 244\cdot58\end{array}$	198 192 196 224 239 242 242 239 230 225 218 208	$\begin{array}{c} 244{\cdot}81\\ 244{\cdot}89\\ 244{\cdot}92\\ 245{\cdot}40\\ 246{\cdot}11\\ 246{\cdot}31\\ 246{\cdot}25\\ 246{\cdot}08\\ 245{\cdot}69\\ 245{\cdot}69\\ 244{\cdot}99\\ 244{\cdot}92\\ 244{\cdot}62\end{array}$	198 192 196 224 239 242 242 239 230 230 225 218 208	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 30\\ 246\cdot 07\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 48\\ 247\cdot 19\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 52\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 67\\ 246\cdot 40\\ 245\cdot 90\end{array}$	208 200 200 204 229 200 223 219 200 209 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot92\\ 244\cdot81\\ 244\cdot71\\ 244\cdot87\\ 245\cdot69\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot79\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot73\\ 246\cdot79\\ 246\cdot38\\ 245\cdot71\\ 245\cdot03\\ 244\cdot67\\ \end{array}$	198 197 201 223 230 249 267 258 234 197 194
1925— January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot 22\\ 244\cdot 41\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 65\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 21\\ 244\cdot 90\\ 244\cdot 52\\ 244\cdot 31\\ 244\cdot 55\\ \end{array}$	169 176 201 226 228 225 220 215 207 201 205 208	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 26 \\ 244 \cdot 45 \\ 245 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 69 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 244 \cdot 94 \\ 244 \cdot 60 \\ 244 \cdot 35 \\ 244 \cdot 35 \\ 244 \cdot 59 \end{array}$	169 176 201 226 228 225 220 215 207 201 205 208	$\begin{array}{c} 245.51\\ 245.50\\ 245.88\\ 246.48\\ 246.71\\ 246.88\\ 247.16\\ 247.08\\ 246.92\\ 246.92\\ 246.67\\ 246.28\\ 246.39\end{array}$	200 200 219 200 200 200 200 200 200 207 217 203 258	$\begin{array}{c} 244.35\\ 244.13\\ 244.50\\ 245.15\\ 245.55\\ 245.53\\ 245.53\\ 245.77\\ 245.64\\ 245.38\\ 245.10\\ 244.92\\ 245.09\end{array}$	$193 \\ 194 \\ 198 \\ 204 \\ 204 \\ 204 \\ 209 \\ 207 \\ 198 \\ 198 \\ 211$

TABLE 13.—REGULATION OF THE GREAT LAKES—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKES MICHIGAN AND HURON

Stage		Authority
580·6	This would flood some land along Main and Grand Calumet Rivers.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Chicago, Ill. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
581.0	basements, flood docks of Standard Oil Co. and cause	Office, Milwaukee, Wis.
	unwarranted damage at Green Bay. Above this would: Seriously affect sewerage systems of Chicago and vicinity, cause excessive flooding of base- ments during hard rains, raise ground water level in low parts of city, reduce widths of bathing beaches, reduce clearance under bridges to the point where excessive number of openings would be necessary and thereby	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Chicago, Ill.
	cause additional delay and confusion in street traffic. Above this would affect operation of Great Lakes Power Co. Ltd., of Sault Ste. Marie, through loss of head, unless present head was maintained by raising Lake Superior	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Sault Ste. Marie, Mich.
	Above this might affect sewerage system of Naval Training	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
581·2	U.S. Weather Bureau at Alpena state that this would cause unwarranted damage to riparian interests in that locality.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
301.0	Engineer District and endanger riparian interests during	Office, Chicago, Ill.
	storms by causing additional shaing along high banks. Above this would interfere with operations at docks and elevators of Canadian National Ry.	Vice-President, Canadian Nat'l Rys., Montreal, Que.
	Above this would interiere with operations at docks and elevators of Goderich Elev. & Transit Co., Goderich.	Public Works, London, Ont.
582.0	Above this would seriously affect sewerage system of Meno- minee.	Office, Wilwaukee, Wis.
	Above this would necessitate raising draw bridges of Michigan Central Railroad at Michigan City and Calumet.	Office, Milwaukee, Wis.
	Above this would interfere with operations at majority of structures from French River to St. Marys River. They are constructed to render greatest efficiency with mean	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Sault Ste. Marie, Mich.
	U.S. States Weather Bureau at Alpena states that this would interfere with operations of navigation and commercial interfere is that leastly	District Engineer, Engineer U.S. Office, Detroit, Mich.
	At Alpena, this would interfere with operations at wharves of Huron Contracting Co. and cause unwarranted damage to riparian interests	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich.
$582 \cdot 1$	At Muskegon, this would interfere with operations at wharves of Standard Oil Co.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
599.6	of Standard Oil Co.	Office, Detroit, Mich. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
502.0	This would affect coverage system and flood some basements	Office, Chicago, Ill. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
989.0	in Manitowoc.	Office, Milwaukee, Wis.
	This would cause unwarranted damage at Holland	Office, Milwaukee, Wis. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
	This would cause any damage due to floading of besoments	Office, Milwaukee, Wis.
	in downtown section of Milwaukee. U.S. Weather Bureau at Alpena states that this would flood	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
$583 \cdot 1$	docks and do unwarranted damage in that locality. At Muskegon this would flood docks and do unwarranted	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
583.5	damage to Standard Oll Co. This would flood docks and cause unwarranted damage to	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
583.6	Huron Contracting Co., at Alpena. At Mackinac Island, this would: Flood docks and interfere with operations of Municipal	Office, Detroit, Mich. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich.
583.7	Light and Power Co. Cause unwarranted damage to riparian interests. Above this, would flood docks and cause unwarranted damage	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer
	Co.	

TABLE 13.—REGULATION OF THE GREAT LAKES—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKES MICHIGAN AND HURON—Concluded

Stage		Authority
585.0	At Bay City, this would flood docks and do unwarranted damage and interfere with operations at wharves of Standard Oil Co.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich.
585.6	At Rogers, this would interfere with operations at wharves of Michigan Limestone and Chem. Co. Above this would flood considerable lands on lake shore north of Chicago.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich. District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Chicago, Ill.
586.6	At Rogers, this would flood docks and cause unwarranted damage to Michigan Limestone and Chem. Co.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich.
587.6	At Rogers, this would cause unwarranted damage to riparian interests.	District Engineer, U.S. Engineer Office, Detroit, Mich.

TABLE 14.—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ERIE

Stage	The second se	Authority
571.6	Below this would affect operation of Erie Lighting Co's Plant.	Superintendent, Power Stations, Erie Lighting Co., Erie, Pa.
571.1	Below this would affect operation of Cleveland Elec. Ill.	Assistant to President Cleveland Elec. Ill. Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
572.3	Above this might inconvenience car ferry, Toronto, Hamilton and Buffalo Co., Port Maitland,	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Pub. Works, London, Ont.
572.8	National Tube Co., Lorain, believe levels above this would cause unwarranted erosion of south shore of lake.	Manager, National Tube Co., Lorain, Ohio.
$572 \cdot 9$	Much increase above this would affect waste water drainage	Chief Engineer, So. Buffalo Ry. Co., Lackawanna, N.Y.
$573 \cdot 1$	Above this would seriously interfere with operation of power plants. Cleveland Elec. Ill. Co.	Asst. to President, Cleveland Elec. Ill. Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
573.5	Above this may damage property, Lake Erie Coal Co., Rondeau and Port Stanley.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Pub. Works, London, Ont.
574.0	Above this would: Interfere with operations, Maple Leaf Milling Co., Port	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Pub. Works, London, Ont.
	Delay loading and unloading of steamers and would flood pit of power-house Pittsburgh and Conneaut Dock Co., Conneaut	General Superintendent Pitts- burgh and Conneaut Dock Co., Conneaut, Ohio.
	Damage works, Ohio Public Service Co., Lorain, Ohio	Division Manager, Ohio Public Service Co., Lorain, Ohio.
574.0	Affect drainage system, Bethlehem Steel Co., Lackawanna	Chief Engineer, Bethelem Steel Co., Lackawanna, N.Y.
574.2	Above this would interfere with operations of unloading plants, Erie R.R., Cleveland. Above this would flood turn-table pit, Can. Nat. Ry., Port	Vice-President, Erie R.R. Co., New York, N.Y. Chief Engineer, Central Region,
	Dover.	Ont.
574.3	Above this would halt operation of elevators, Washburn- Crosby Co., Buffalo.	General Superintendent, Wash- burn-Crosby Co., Buffalo, N V
$574 \cdot 4$	Above this might damage Larman Coal Co., Port Colborne	District Engineer, Can. Dept Pub. Works, London, Ont.
575.0	Above this would: Interfere with unloading operations, Penn. R.R., Sandusky.	Superintendent, Toledo Division, Pennsylvania R.R., Toledo, Obio
	Interfere with unloading operations, Penn. R.R. at Buffalo, Erie, Sandusky, Ashtabula and Cleveland, Ohio. Interfere with operations, National Tube Co., Lorain	Assistant Chief Engineer, Penn- sylvania R.R., Pittsburgh, Pa. Manager, National Tube Co., Loran, Ohio.
	Above this would cause unwarranted damage to property of Hammerill Paper Co.	Assistant Secretary, Hammer- mill Paper Co., Erie Pa.
12002	10	

45827-13

193

.

TABLE 14.—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ERIE

Stage	ndininftuA				Auth	ority	Stage
575.0	Interfere with unloa	ding operations, Buffalo, Creek I	Ry., E	Buffalo	Creek	R.R.,	Buffalo,
$575 \cdot 5$	This would flood doc	ks, coal storage, etc., Erie Lighting	Co. S	Superint Erie L	endent,	Power Co. Ei	Stations,
575.5	Above this would: Stop operation of Colborne.	Canadian Government Elevator, I	PortS	Superint Can.	endent, Dept.	Gov't I Railwa	Elevator, ays and
576.0	This would probably Bldg. Co., Lorair	flood docks and yard, American S	Ship C	General can Sl Ohio.	Superin Superin hip Bld	tendent g. Co.,	e, Ont. , Ameri- , Lorain,
576.8	This would flood doo	eks, East Side Iron Elev. Co., Toled	lo I	District Office.	Enginee Detroit	r, U.S. Mich.	Engineer
577.0	This would: Interfere with opera Flood docks and ya	tions, East Side Iron Elev. Co., Tole rd, Canadian National Ry. Port Dov	edo. I ver. C	District Office, Chief En Can. I	Enginee Detroit gineer, National	r, U.S. , Mich. Centra Rys.,	Engineer l Region, Toronto,
	Flood docks, U.S.	Engineer Office, Toledo	I	District	Enginee	r, U.S.	Engineer
577.3	This would flood doc	k, National Milling Co., Toledo	I	District	Enginee	r, U.S.	Engineer
577.5	Considered by Penn would be safe for	sylvania R.R. as highest level wh Toledo Division.	hich S	Pennsy	endent, ' vlvania	Foledo R.R.,	Division, Toledo,
578.0	This would flood bui Paper Co., Erie. Above this would Erie B. B. Buffa	ldings and halt operations Hammer flood yard Ganson St. Freighthou	mill A	Assistan mill P Vice-Pre	t Secret aper Co sident,	tary, I ., Érie, Erie R	Hammer- Pa. L.R. Co.,
578.8	This would flood doch	cs, Red Star Navigation Co., Clevela	and. I	District	Enginee Detroit	r, U.S.	Engineer
579.0	Above this would f	lood docks and property, Erie R.	.R., V	Vice-Pre	sident,	Erie R	.R. Co.,
580.0	Above this would: Cause some dama Buffalo Creek Ry Flood docks, Lehig	age to property and overflow tra v., Buffalo. h Valley R.R., Buffalo	acks E	Buffalo N.Y. Superint	Creek endent,	R.R., Lehigi	Buffalo, Valley
580.8	This would flood doc	ks, B. & O. R.R., Toledo	I	Office,	Enginee Detroit	r, U.S.	Engineer

TABLE 15—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ONTARIO

Stage	and the state of the state of the state of the state of the	Authority
246 · 0 247 · 0	Above this, it is believed that numerous small private docks and boat houses on Lake Ontario and the St. Lawrence River above Galop Island would be flooded and damaged. This would flood wharf and coal shed, A. Collier, Port Milford Above this, probably some docks and buildings at Clayton, Capa Vincent Sackett's Hather Organ.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
	Sodus Point, Charlotte, Olcott, Youngstown and Lewis- ton would be flooded and operations interfered with at others. Above this would affect Central Island Park, Toronto. This would flood dock and canning factory, Port, Milford Packing Co., Port Milford. This would seriously affect drainage of cellars in lower section of Vignator	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont. City Engineer, Kingston.

TABLE 15—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ONTARIO—Continued

Stage	Avthority	Authority
247.5	This would flood— Wharf and two coal sheds, Jas. Soward, Kingston; wharf and 2 coal sheds, Ault & Reynolds, Brockville; L. H. Dept., Can. Dept. of Marine, Prescott; wharf and ware- house, A. Collier, Port Milford; and wharf and siding, C.P.R. Co., Kingston; wharf, Mrs. Cooper at Bath:	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
	Farmers' wharf, South Bay. Above this would damage plant of Lake Ontario Sand Co., S Charlotte.	Secretary, Lake Ontario Sand Co., Charlotte, Rochester, N.Y.
	Above this would affect LaSalle Causeway, Kingston, King- ston Dry dock, and Belleville wharf. This would flood piers of Geo. Hall Corp. Shipyard, Ogdens-	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont. Secretary, Geo. Hall Corp., Ordensburg, N.Y.
248.0	Above this, probably some docks and buildings at Ogdens- burg, Morristown and Alexandria Bay would be flooded and operations interfered with at other docks.	
	This would flood— Wharf, A. Anglin & Co., Kingston; wharf, Canadian Govt., Wellington; wharf and storehouse, A. Collier, Port Milford; wharf, storehouse and evaporator, factory, D. Wattham, Waupoos; cribwork and waterworks dock, City of Kingston; wharf and freight and coal sheds, J.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
	Swift & Co., Kingston. Above this would probably flood majority of docks and seriously interfere with operations at N.Y. Central wharves at Clayton, Cape Vincent and Sacketts Harbour. Above this breakwater at Sackett's Harbour probably could	
	not be used for mooring vessels. Above this would seriously interfere with operations at N.Y. Stage Barge Canal Terminals and 1,000,000 bush. elevator	wharf, Can Cerra warehoune, Plans wharf, Ji, Weddie
	and at coal docks of N.Y.O. & W.R.K. and D.D. & W.R. R., at Oswego, N.Y.; coal dock of L.V.R. at Fairhaven Little Sodus B; coal dock of Penn. RR. at Sodus Pt.; coal docks of N.Y.C.RR. at Charlotte; and docks of Niargar Nav. Co. on Niargara Biyer	
	Above this, the lake would probably break through the low narrow strips of sand which have been built up between the shoreward ends of the breakwaters and the higher ground, to protect the entrances to Little Sodus and Great Sodus B.; and through the strip which separates Sterling Creek	
	This sould necessitate reconstructing government piers and breakwaters at Oswego, Little Sodus Bay, Sodus Bay, Charlotte and Olcott to retain their effectiveness. Above this, Sand Point, in Sodus Bay, with numerous sum- mer cottages of probably low value, would probably be	Antipartic and a second
	flooded. Above this would probably flood state road on strip of land which separates Irondequoit Bay from the lake. Above this would probably necessitate raising fixed steel bridges, about 100 ft. in length, which carry N.Y.C.RR.	The second secon
	and the state highway across entrance to Irondequoit Bay. Above this would probably damage numerous summer cot- tages and private docks in Irondequoit Bay.	Andre calipation
	Above this would probably flood the greater parts of Summer- ville, Windsor Beach and Ontario Beach, with numerous summer cottages, at Charlotte.	And A second sec
	miles long), constructed on low strip of land across en- trances to numerous small bays, between Charlotte and Manitou Beach.	1 hader alldaff. Fr. hader alldaff. and arres f. In. maller hader
	Above this would probably nood part of beach with summer cottages at Olcott.	Inada internation
248.5	This would flood:— Dock, Hosiery Mill, Kingston; Ferry dock, Kingston; Dock, Kingston Yacht Club, Can. Gov't. wharf, Redner- ville; entrance piers, Can. Govt., Wellington; dock and 2 coal sheds. Frontenac Str. and Coal Co., Kingston;	District Engr., Can. Dept. Pub Works, Ottawa, Ont.

TABLE 15—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ONTARIO—Continued

Stage		Authority
249.0	Above this, would probably flood majority of docks at Ogdensburg and Morristown, and seriously interfere with operations at docks of N.Y. Central R. Terminal, at docks and 500,000 bush. elevator at Rutland R.R Ter- minal, and at docks of Standard Oil Co., Geo. Hall Corp., Algonquin Paper Corp., and Pulp Terminal, at Ogdens- burg.	And a set of the set o
topol program	This would flood Central Island Park, Toronto. This would flood— Town dock, Gananoque; wharf and coal shed, R. Crawford, Kingston: Cribworks, C.P.RR.Co., Kingston; wharf,	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
ill Gray	Dr. Williams, Geen Island; wharf and cattle barns, J. P. Wiser & Sons, Prescott. This, at Oswego, would probably stop operations at N.Y. Stage Barge Canal Terminals and at N.Y.O. & W.RR. and O.L. & W.RR. coal docks; flood some foundations along lower part of Oswego River and large portions of Diamond Match Co.'s plant and yard and Standard Oil Co.'s. Lumber yard and mill; and reduce power head from 17 ft. to 14 ft., of mills on east bank of Oswego River	
240.5	below Bridge St. It also would reduce power head of 12,000 H.P. plant, under construction by General Develop- ment Co., from 15 ft. to about 12 ft. This would flood dock L. H. Service, Bockport: 2 docks.	District Engineer, Can. Dept.
245.5	J. Smart Mfg. Co., Brockville.	Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
250.0	Water Works Pier, Corp. of Brockville; L. H. Dept. wharf, Can. Govt. Depart. Marine, Prescott; wharf and warehouse, Plum, Prescott; wharf, Buckley, Prescott; wharf, R. Weddell & Co., Trenton; Public wharf, Can. Govt. Trenton; Public Coal Dock, Can. Govt., Tren- ton; Anderson Dock, Belleville; wharf, Way & Gulliver, Picton; wharf and freight shed, Adolphns Town, wharf, Emerald; wharf, Stella; wharf, coal and freight shed, Robinson, Bath; Portsmouth, Brewery wharf; wharf, Portsmouth; wharves, Ty. siding and elevator, Montreal Transp. Co., Kingston; waterworks dock, Town of Gan- anoque; dock and freight shed, Gananoque; wharf, Can. Govt. Public Works Dept. Mallorytown; wharf, Laing Co., Brockville.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
230.0	2 wharves and 2 coal sheds, B. Power & Co., Trenton; dock, C.P.RR., Trenton; Allen's dock Belleville, coal wharf, Stevens, Napanee; Lights wharf, Napanee; wharf, Rankin, Collins Bay; Breakwater, Can. Govt., Portsmouth, Entrance to Can. Govt. drydock, with 2 travelling cranes, Kingston; Cribwork, Kingston; wharf and grain elevator, J. Richardson & Son, KingMton.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont
250.5	 This would flood— Wharf and coal storage bldgs. Trenton Cooperage Mills, Ltd.; public wharf, track and store house, Can. Govt. Belleville; wharf and coal sheds, G.T.R.R., Belleville; Public wharves along side LaSalle Highway, Can. Govt., Dept. Public Works, Kingston wharves and boat house, Royal Militia College, Kingston; Public wharf, Can. Govt., Burnt Is.; wharf, coal and freight sheds, Taylor and Green Co., Gananoque, docks, Ry. sidings and freight shed, Thousand Island Ry. Co., Gananoque: Public wharf, Can. Govt., P.W. Dept., Gananoque: Public wharf, Can. Govt., P.W. Dept., Brockville wharf, siding, storehouse and derrick, C.P.RR. Co. Brockville; wharves, coal shed and shed, Buckley Prescott; wharf, tracks and freight shed, C.P.RR.Co. 	District Engineer Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
251.0	Above this would probably flood parts of railroad terminals at Ogdensburg and flood or stop operations at principa docks at Ogdensburg, Morristown, Alexandria Bay Clayton, Cape Vincent and Sackett's Harbour.	Butland Bailroad Co. Ordens

TABLE 15—PARTIAL LIST OF DAMAGES WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM HIGH WATER IN LAKE ONTARIO—Concluded

Stage	and and a set of the second se	Authority
251.0	Above this would flood inner wharves, Toronto.	in the first parameter
	Railroad between Ogdensburg and Morristown. This would flood LaSalle Causeway, Kingston and Belleville wharf.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont
252.5	This would flood— Private cribwood, Kingston, wharf, railway siding and oil pipe line, C.P. Railroad, Brockville.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
253.0	This would probably flood all docks and all railroad terminals at Ordensburg.	and the second se
	This would flood entire yard of Rutland Railroad, Ogdens- burg.	Rutland Railroad Co., Ogdens- burg, N.Y.
253.5	This would flood— Cribwork, Penitentiary and Gumis Taunery, Portsmouth, whaf and sheds, Can. Cement Co., Point Aune.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.
254.5	This would flood— Breakwater, Can. Govt., Portsmouth; Dock, Eastern Milk Products Co., Gananoque; wharf and grain elevator, Prescott Elevator Co., Prescott.	District Engineer, Can. Dept. Public Works, Ottawa, Ont.

TABLE 17.-REGULATION OF THE GREAT LAKES

STORAGE AT DETERMINING POINTS ON STORAGE DISTRIBUTION CURVES, FOR REGLUATION WITH COMPLETE CONTROL OF ST. CLAIR RIVER

Statistics of the second	Upper Limit—Regulation for Equal Navigable Depth				Highest Safe Stage Lower Limit—Regulation for Equal Flood Probability				A High Point on Curves Regulation for Equal Flood Probability						
													Month	Lake Su- perior	Lake Mich- igan— Huron
Jan Feb March May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	$\begin{array}{r} 689\\ 672\\ 746\\ 877\\ 987\\ 1,041\\ 1,048\\ 1,017\\ 960\\ 880\\ 796\\ 736\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 985\\ 960\\ 1,066\\ 1,252\\ 1,409\\ 1,486\\ 1,497\\ 1,453\\ 1,372\\ 1,258\\ 1,138\\ 1,051\end{array}$	225 218 243 226 322 340 342 332 313 287 260 240	164 160 177 208 234 247 248 242 228 209 189 175	2,063 2,010 2,232 2,623 2,952 3,114 3,135 3,044 2,873 2,634 2,383 2,002	$\begin{array}{r} 950\\880\\950\\1,071\\1,146\\1,208\\1,248\\1,248\\1,197\\1,120\\1,041\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,458\\ 1,462\\ 1,611\\ 1,795\\ 2,030\\ 2,012\\ 1,940\\ 1,839\\ 1,713\\ 1,589\\ 1,506\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 368\\ 375\\ 416\\ 491\\ 519\\ 522\\ 505\\ 480\\ 448\\ 412\\ 382\\ 375\\ \end{array}$	286 295 325 389 413 416 406 372 332 306 286 278	3,062 3,012 3,232 3,625 3,948 4,114 4,131 4,040 3,867 3,628 3,377 3,200	$\begin{array}{c} 1,388\\ 1,255\\ 1,255\\ 1,242\\ 1,357\\ 1,290\\ 1,365\\ 1,562\\ 1,562\\ 1,562\\ 1,502\\ 1,570\\ 1,510\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,325\\ 2,329\\ 2,523\\ 2,443\\ 2,398\\ 2,380\\ 2,612\\ 2,501\\ 2,407\\ 2,597\\ 2,448\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 542\\ 556\\ 641\\ 641\\ 656\\ 587\\ 562\\ 590\\ 557\\ 524\\ 542\\ 540\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 428\\ 443\\ 508\\ 509\\ 543\\ 480\\ 466\\ 483\\ 440\\ 406\\ 424\\ 423\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,683\\ 4,583\\ 4,927\\ 4,835\\ 5,175\\ 4,755\\ 4,773\\ 5,060\\ 4,839\\ 5,133\\ 4,921 \end{array}$

Note.—Datums used in computing above storages were Superior 599.6, Michigan-Huron 577.6, Erie 568.8 and Ontario 242.5. All storages in thousand second foot months.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE

Assimily	Unregula actual di (from r	ted with versions ecords)	Unregula continuous of 8,50	ted with diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		
Year and Month	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)	
1860— June July: August September October November December	283 285 283 277 267 267 273 269	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 71\\ 246\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 58\end{array}$	$274 \\ 277 \\ 274 \\ 269 \\ 258 \\ 264 \\ 260$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 64\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 29\\ 246\cdot 32\\ 246\cdot 16\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 279\\ 269\\ 262\\ 257\\ 272\\ 300^{1}\\ 216^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 43\\ 246\cdot 37\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 246\cdot 25\end{array}$	
1861— January February. March. April.	$244 \\ 243 \\ 251 \\ 285$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 50 \\ 246\cdot 78 \\ 247\cdot 12 \\ 247\cdot 70 \end{array}$	$235 \\ 234 \\ 243 \\ 277$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\!\cdot\!08 \\ 246\!\cdot\!36 \\ 246\!\cdot\!70 \\ 247\!\cdot\!28 \end{array}$	$210 \\ 223 \\ 242 \\ 268^{1} \\ 302^{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 49\\ 246\cdot 91\\ 247\cdot 26\\ 247\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 73\end{array}$	
May June July. August. September. October November. December.	305 310 309 293 294 292 297	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 36\\ 248\cdot 43\\ 248\cdot 20\\ 247\cdot 84\\ 247\cdot 70\\ 247\cdot 82\\ 247\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 36\end{array}$	297 301 301 294 284 286 284 288	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 94\\ 248\cdot 01\\ 247\cdot 48\\ 247\cdot 42\\ 247\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 28\\ 247\cdot 40\\ 247\cdot 30\\ 246\cdot 94\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 300\\ 310\\ 301\\ 303\\ 303\\ 303\\ 310\\ 310^1\\ 218^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 35\\ 248\cdot 31\\ 247\cdot 96\\ 247\cdot 51\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 29\\ 246\cdot 54\end{array}$	
1862— January February March. April	$259 \\ 248 \\ 247 \\ 296$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 90 \\ 246\cdot 94 \\ 247\cdot 63 \\ 248\cdot 48 \end{array}$	250 239 238 287	$\begin{array}{r} 246{\cdot}48\\ 246{\cdot}52\\ 247{\cdot}21\\ 248{\cdot}06\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 212 \\ 224 \\ 240 \\ 272^{1} \\ 2102 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 56 \\ 246\cdot 78 \\ 247\cdot 44 \\ 247\cdot 96 \\ 247\cdot 96 \\ 248 \\ 247\cdot 96 \\ 248 \\$	
May. June. July. August September. October. November. December.	318 312 310 301 290 279 270 264	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot75\\ 248\cdot67\\ 248\cdot49\\ 247\cdot94\\ 247\cdot34\\ 246\cdot90\\ 246\cdot68\\ 246\cdot70\\ \end{array}$	310 303 301 293 281 270 261 256	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 33\\ 248\cdot 25\\ 248\cdot 07\\ 247\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 246\cdot 48\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 28\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 310^{2} \\ 310 \\ 310 \\ 301 \\ 292 \\ 265 \\ 261 \\ 2391 \\ 217^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 243 \cdot 24 \\ 248 \cdot 50 \\ 248 \cdot 34 \\ 248 \cdot 05 \\ 247 \cdot 40 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 28 \\ 246 \cdot 07 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 246 \cdot 43 \end{array}$	
1863— January February March. April	247 242 246 283	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 80 \\ 246\cdot 87 \\ 247\cdot 27 \\ 247\cdot 83 \end{array}$	238 234 236 275	$246 \cdot 38 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \\ 246 \cdot 85 \\ 247 \cdot 41$	$212 \\ 227 \\ 244 \\ 269^{1} \\ 303^{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 85 \\ 247 \cdot 00 \\ 247 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 62 \\ 247 \cdot 72 \end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} & 299\\ & 301\\ & 293\\ & 285\\ & 276\\ & 266\\ & 264\\ & 261\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248{\cdot}10\\ 247{\cdot}98\\ 247{\cdot}54\\ 247{\cdot}12\\ 246{\cdot}84\\ 246{\cdot}65\\ 246{\cdot}56\\ 246{\cdot}45\\ \end{array}$	291 292 284 277 267 257 256 253	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 68\\ 247\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 246\cdot 03\\ \end{array}$	299 307 284 263 264 260 257 294 ¹ 209 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247.88\\ 247.88\\ 247.58\\ 247.14\\ 246.89\\ 246.63\\ 246.33\\ 246.23\\ 245.92\\ 246.14\\ \end{array}$	
1864— January February March. April	223 228 242 270	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 25 \\ 246 \cdot 22 \\ 246 \cdot 54 \\ 247 \cdot 32 \end{array}$	$214 \\ 220 \\ 233 \\ 261$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 83 \\ 245 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 209\\ 217\\ 217\\ 241\\ 241^{1}\\ 271^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 00 \\ 246\cdot 00 \\ 246\cdot 52 \\ 247\cdot 03 \\ 247\cdot 35 \end{array}$	

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Regulated with	Unregula actual di (from r	ted with versions ecords)	Unregula continuous of 8,50	ted with diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)	
1864— JuneJuly August. September. October November. December.	$301 \\ 292 \\ 283 \\ 273 \\ 266 \\ 268 \\ 272$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 96\\ 247\cdot 57\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 86\end{array}$	$293 \\ 284 \\ 275 \\ 274 \\ 257 \\ 260 \\ 263$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 246\cdot 18\\ 246\cdot 44\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 310\\ 291\\ 266\\ 263\\ 259\\ 273\\ 302^1\\ 218^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247.83\\ 247.34\\ 246.95\\ 246.71\\ 246.55\\ 246.43\\ 246.32\\ 246.73\\ \end{array}$	
1865— January February March. April	$245 \\ 225 \\ 242 \\ 284$	$247.16 \\ 247.30 \\ 247.42 \\ 247.54$	236 216 234 275	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 74 \\ 246 \cdot 88 \\ 247 \cdot 00 \\ 247 \cdot 12 \end{array}$	$214 \\ 233 \\ 247 \\ 263^{1} \\ 276^{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 247\cdot 31 \\ 247\cdot 23 \\ 247\cdot 18 \\ 247\cdot 32 \\ 247\cdot 32 \\ 247\cdot 37 \end{array}$	
May June July August. September. October. November. December.	288 288 283 273 260 251 246 244	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 64\\ 247\cdot 58\\ 247\cdot 20\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 245\cdot 74\\ 245\cdot 56\end{array}$	280 280 275 264 252 242 238 236	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 22\\ 247\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 245\cdot 76\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 14\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 276^2 \\ 273 \\ 282 \\ 270 \\ 259 \\ 249 \\ 240 \\ 235 \\ 207^1 \\ 210^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 47\\ 247\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 01\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 246\cdot 02\\ \end{array}$	
1866— January February March April	205 200 214 251	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 46 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \end{array}$	197 191 205 243	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \end{array}$	208 211 207 2031	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 56 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 260\\ 272\\ 274\\ 270\\ 265\\ 265\\ 265\\ 265\\ 272\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot97\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot79\\ 246\cdot70\\ 246\cdot70\\ 246\cdot58\\ 246\cdot40\\ 246\cdot24\\ 246\cdot08\\ \end{array}$	252 263 266 262 257 254 256 263	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 55 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 246 \cdot 37 \\ 246 \cdot 28 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 245 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 82 \\ 245 \cdot 66 \end{array}$	210 ⁵ 221 241 269 277 288 280 275 283 ¹ 215 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 240\cdot40\\ 246\cdot82\\ 247\cdot51\\ 247\cdot58\\ 247\cdot58\\ 247\cdot58\\ 247\cdot07\\ 246\cdot57\\ 246\cdot57\\ 246\cdot18\\ 245\cdot98\\ 246\cdot20\\ \end{array}$	
1867— January February March. April	238 238 246 283	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 94 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \\ 247 \cdot 86 \end{array}$	230 229 237 274	$245 \cdot 52$ $245 \cdot 85$ $246 \cdot 65$ $247 \cdot 44$	$ \begin{array}{c} 210 \\ 220 \\ 240 \\ 274^{1} \\ 208^{2} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 31 \\ 246\cdot 75 \\ 247\cdot 51 \\ 247\cdot 91 \\ 248\cdot 10 \end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	. 300 . 307 . 298 . 285 . 272 . 256 . 249 . 234	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 34 \\ 248 \cdot 30 \\ 247 \cdot 80 \\ 247 \cdot 23 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 21 \\ 244 \cdot 67 \end{array}$	292 299 290 263 248 241 225	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot92\\ 247\cdot88\\ 247\cdot88\\ 246\cdot81\\ 246\cdot84\\ 245\cdot54\\ 244\cdot29\\ 244\cdot79\\ 244\cdot25\end{array}$	310 310 305 268 265 245 228 201 ¹ 200 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 248.35\\ 248.35\\ 248.16\\ 247.47\\ 247.01\\ 246.41\\ 245.75\\ 245.16\\ 245.04\\ 244.93\end{array}$	
1868— January February March. April	210 184 210 210 246	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 56 \\ 244 \cdot 74 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 82 \end{array}$	202 176 201 237	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 14 \\ 244 \cdot 32 \\ 244 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \end{array}$	199 200 200 205 ¹ 210 ²	$\begin{array}{c c} 244 \cdot 85 \\ 244 \cdot 73 \\ 245 \cdot 21 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \end{array}$	
May	251	246.33	243	245.91	211	247.10	

¹ First half of month. ⁹ Second half of month.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Manufacted with drawing of 6,000 c.C.s.	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregula continuous of 8,50	ated with s diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)	
1868— June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$267 \\ 264 \\ 258 \\ 252 \\ 243 \\ 237 \\ 244$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 48\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 246\cdot 04\\ 245\cdot 64\\ 245\cdot 64\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 30\end{array}$	$268 \\ 256 \\ 249 \\ 244 \\ 235 \\ 228 \\ 235 \\ 235$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 06\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 22\\ 244\cdot 86\\ 244\cdot 86\\ 244\cdot 88\\ 244\cdot 88\end{array}$	$256 \\ 268 \\ 252 \\ 246 \\ 234 \\ 226 \\ 220^1 \\ 217^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 40 \\ 247 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \\ 246 \cdot 02 \\ 246 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 24 \end{array}$	
1869— January. February. March. April.	$217 \\ 197 \\ 196 \\ 259$	$245 \cdot 28$ $245 \cdot 45$ $245 \cdot 82$ $246 \cdot 42$	208 188 188 251	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	210 219 217 2071 2342	$246 \cdot 20$ $245 \cdot 99$ $245 \cdot 99$ $246 \cdot 57$ $246 \cdot 07$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	276 282 288 288 284 280 272 267	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 86 \\ 247 \cdot 13 \\ 247 \cdot 32 \\ 247 \cdot 27 \\ 247 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 88 \\ 246 \cdot 76 \\ 247 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 268\\ 273\\ 280\\ 280\\ 275\\ 271\\ 264\\ 259 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 44 \\ 246 \cdot 71 \\ 246 \cdot 90 \\ 246 \cdot 84 \\ 246 \cdot 70 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 34 \\ 246 \cdot 64 \end{array}$	$234 \\ 244 \\ 282 \\ 284 \\ 287 \\ 305 \\ 298 \\ 294 \\ 296^1 \\ 218^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 37 \\ 247 \cdot 71 \\ 247 \cdot 87 \\ 248 \cdot 01 \\ 247 \cdot 86 \\ 247 \cdot 35 \\ 246 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 75 \end{array}$	
1870— January. February. March. April.	$258 \\ 258 \\ 253 \\ 304$	$247 \cdot 34 \\ 247 \cdot 41 \\ 247 \cdot 88 \\ 248 \cdot 65$	$249 \\ 249 \\ 244 \\ 295$	$246 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 99 \\ 247 \cdot 46 \\ 248 \cdot 23$	214 235 255 2861	$\begin{array}{r} 247 \cdot 47 \\ 247 \cdot 72 \\ 248 \cdot 06 \\ 248 \cdot 51 \end{array}$	
May. June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 318\\ 313\\ 309\\ 296\\ 282\\ 276\\ 265\\ 260\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 79 \\ 248 \cdot 47 \\ 248 \cdot 14 \\ 247 \cdot 62 \\ 247 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 26 \\ 246 \cdot 10 \end{array}$	$310 \\ 305 \\ 301 \\ 288 \\ 274 \\ 268 \\ 256 \\ 251$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 37\\ 248\cdot 05\\ 247\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 20\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 84\\ 245\cdot 68\end{array}$	310^2 310 310 310 300 290 269 251 208^1 2172	$\begin{array}{c} 248.81\\ 248.95\\ 248.56\\ 248.12\\ 247.44\\ 246.74\\ 246.26\\ 245.92\\ 246.11\\ 246.26\end{array}$	
1871— January. February. March. April	231 227 243 270	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 98 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \\ 246 \cdot 40 \\ 246 \cdot 91 \end{array}$	222 219 234 261	$245 \cdot 56$ $245 \cdot 58$ $245 \cdot 98$ $246 \cdot 49$	$210 \\ 220 \\ 226 \\ 2531 \\ 275 $	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 77 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	278 275 265 257 249 235 227	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 245\cdot 87\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 06\\ 244\cdot 82\end{array}$	269 270 266 257 249 241 227 218	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 67 \\ 246 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 26 \\ 245 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 45 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 244 \cdot 64 \\ 244 \cdot 40 \end{array}$	277 ² 275 281 269 257 247 237 225 201 ¹ 201 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot22\\ 247\cdot33\\ 247\cdot08\\ 246\cdot74\\ 246\cdot35\\ 245\cdot95\\ 245\cdot55\\ 245\cdot55\\ 245\cdot21\\ 245\cdot20\\ 245\cdot19\end{array}$	
18/2— January. February. March. April.	190 174 189 222	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 62 \\ 244 \cdot 43 \\ 244 \cdot 60 \\ 244 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 166 \\ 180 \\ 214 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 20 \\ 244 \cdot 01 \\ 244 \cdot 18 \\ 244 \cdot 48 \end{array}$	201 200 190 193 ¹	244.75244.14244.19244.47244.47	
May	234	245.12	225	244.70	1942	$244 \cdot 75$ $245 \cdot 33$	

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

 TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO

 ALONE—Continued

Remined with	Unregula actual di (from r	ted with versions ecords)	Unregula continuous of 8,50	ted with diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)	
1872— JuneJuly August. September. October November December	241 242 237 232 267 228 211	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 27 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 244 \cdot 82 \\ 244 \cdot 72 \\ 244 \cdot 52 \\ 244 \cdot 33 \end{array}$	232 234 239 224 218 220 202	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 90 \\ 244 \cdot 85 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \\ 244 \cdot 40 \\ 244 \cdot 30 \\ 244 \cdot 10 \\ 243 \cdot 91 \end{array}$	$198 \\ 219 \\ 229 \\ 230 \\ 223 \\ 220 \\ 202^1 \\ 202^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot95\\ 246\cdot09\\ 245\cdot98\\ 245\cdot68\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot32\\ 245\cdot22\\ 245\cdot22\\ 245\cdot12 \end{array}$	
1873— January February March. April	$192 \\ 194 \\ 200 \\ 254$	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 44 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 73 \end{array}$	183 185 192 246	$\begin{array}{r} 243 \cdot 92 \\ 244 \cdot 02 \\ 245 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 31 \end{array}$	$201 \\ 201 \\ 200 \\ 204^1 \\ 241^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 91 \\ 244 \cdot 81 \\ 245 \cdot 75 \\ 246 \cdot 64 \\ 247 \cdot 29 \end{array}$	
May June July August. September. October. November. December.	$273 \\ 275 \\ 273 \\ 266 \\ 260 \\ 249 \\ 246 \\ 251$	$\begin{array}{c} 246.96\\ 246.90\\ 246.74\\ 246.39\\ 245.96\\ 245.66\\ 245.66\\ 245.70\\ 246.07\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 264\\ 267\\ 265\\ 258\\ 251\\ 241\\ 237\\ 243\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 54\\ 246\cdot 48\\ 246\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 97\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 65\end{array}$	$241^{2} \\ 265 \\ 278 \\ 268 \\ 258 \\ 253 \\ 242 \\ 237 \\ 223^{1} \\ 218^{2} \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 50\\ 247\cdot 30\\ 247\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 75\\ 246\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 03\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 67\end{array}$	
1874— January February March April	238 239 261 279	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 55\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 247\cdot 18\end{array}$	229 231 252 270	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 13 \\ 246\cdot 60 \\ 246\cdot 82 \\ 246\cdot 76 \end{array}$	$213 \\ 233 \\ 256 \\ 284^1 \\ 310^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 247 \cdot 36 \\ 247 \cdot 81 \\ 247 \cdot 99 \\ 247 \cdot 87 \\ 247 \cdot 59 \end{array}$	
May. June July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 273\\ 284\\ 282\\ 276\\ 262\\ 255\\ 245\\ 236\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 22\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 247\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 244\cdot 88\end{array}$	265 275 274 268 254 246 237 227	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 80\\ 246\cdot 82\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 24\\ 244\cdot 78\\ 244\cdot 78\\ 244\cdot 46\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 288\\ 288\\ 273\\ 274\\ 264\\ 259\\ 243\\ 229\\ 203^{1}\\ 203^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 34\\ 247\cdot 39\\ 247\cdot 25\\ 246\cdot 85\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 44\\ 245\cdot 43\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 42\end{array}$	
1875— January February March. April	203 177 197 238	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 56 \\ 244 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \end{array}$	195 168 188 230	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 14 \\ 244 \cdot 09 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 16 \end{array}$	$203 \\ 202 \\ 198 \\ 204^{1} \\ 204^{2}$	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 00 \\ 244 \cdot 52 \\ 244 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 35 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	250 253 255 250 242 238 233 229	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot79\\ 245\cdot88\\ 245\cdot83\\ 245\cdot66\\ 245\cdot41\\ 245\cdot18\\ 244\cdot99\\ 245\cdot10\\ \end{array}$	242 244 246 242 234 229 224 220	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot37\\ 245\cdot46\\ 245\cdot41\\ 245\cdot24\\ 244\cdot99\\ 244\cdot76\\ 244\cdot57\\ 244\cdot68\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205\\ 233\\ 248\\ 247\\ 244\\ 237\\ 230\\ 203^1\\ 204^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 69\\ 246\cdot 61\\ 246\cdot 39\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 67\\ 245\cdot 41\\ 245\cdot 57\\ 245\cdot 72\end{array}$	
1876— January February March April	217 226 240 286	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 64 \\ 246 \cdot 24 \\ 247 \cdot 01 \\ 247 \cdot 79 \end{array}$	209 217 232 278	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 82 \\ 246 \cdot 59 \\ 247 \cdot 37 \end{array}$	206 220 242 273 ¹ 310 ²	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 86 \\ 247 \cdot 50 \\ 247 \cdot 92 \\ 248 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	
May	298	248.19	290	247.77	310	248.26	

¹ First half of month.

² Second half of month

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

dire betalendi In attate in Atta W. S.	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1876— June July. August September October November December	$304 \\ 305 \\ 294 \\ 280 \\ 277 \\ 266 \\ 265$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 34\\ 248\cdot 14\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 13\\ 246\cdot 78\\ 246\cdot 51\\ 246\cdot 16\end{array}$	295 296 286 272 269 257 256	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 92\\ 247\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 18\\ 246\cdot 71\\ 246\cdot 36\\ 246\cdot 09\\ 245\cdot 74\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 310\\ 310\\ 297\\ 287\\ 263\\ 257\\ 213^{1}\\ 216^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 22 \\ 247 \cdot 84 \\ 247 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 50 \\ 246 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 246 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 13 \end{array}$
1877— January February March April	226 224 230 262	$245.76 \\ 245.70 \\ 246.12 \\ 246.50$	217 216 222 253	$\begin{array}{r} 245\cdot 34 \\ 245\cdot 28 \\ 245\cdot 70 \\ 246\cdot 08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 209 \\ 212 \\ 212 \\ 2341 \\ 2502 \end{array}$	$245 \cdot 83$ $245 \cdot 82$ $246 \cdot 36$ $246 \cdot 66$ $246 \cdot 81$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	266 265 259 249 238 237 240	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 48 \\ 246 \cdot 45 \\ 246 \cdot 34 \\ 245 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 56 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 43 \end{array}$	257 256 257 251 241 229 229 228 232	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot06\\ 246\cdot03\\ 245\cdot92\\ 245\cdot56\\ 245\cdot14\\ 244\cdot88\\ 244\cdot90\\ 245\cdot01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 205\\ 255\\ 256\\ 257\\ 255\\ 247\\ 234\\ 228\\ 204^{1}\\ 206^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 82 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 70 \\ 245 \cdot 91 \end{array}$
1878— January February March. April	223 220 238 267	$245 \cdot 58 \\ 246 \cdot 04 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 81$	$214 \\ 212 \\ 230 \\ 258$	$\begin{array}{r} 245\cdot 16\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 39\end{array}$	207 218 235 2611 2762	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot15\\ 246\cdot54\\ 246\cdot95\\ 247\cdot07\\ 247\cdot07\\ 247\cdot07\end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$275 \\ 274 \\ 272 \\ 272 \\ 269 \\ 261 \\ 260 \\ 276$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 98 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 89 \\ 246 \cdot 72 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 62 \\ 246 \cdot 92 \end{array}$	$266 \\ 264 \\ 264 \\ 260 \\ 253 \\ 251 \\ 267$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 53\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 30\\ 246\cdot 04\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 246\cdot 50\\ \end{array}$	264 264 275 268 261 263 256 263 3091 220 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 69\\ 247\cdot 29\\ 247\cdot 14\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 246\cdot 89\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 48\\ 246\cdot 93\end{array}$
1879— January February. March April	243 245 234 267	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 63 \\ 246\cdot 38 \\ 246\cdot 50 \\ 246\cdot 76 \end{array}$	$234 \\ 236 \\ 226 \\ 259$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 21 \\ 245\cdot 96 \\ 246\cdot 08 \\ 246\cdot 34 \end{array}$	$216 \\ 227 \\ 240 \\ 248^1 \\ 258^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 246.87\\ 246.73\\ 246.67\\ 246.87\\ 247.01\end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	272 268 259 252 242 234 230	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot85\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot50\\ 246\cdot11\\ 245\cdot68\\ 245\cdot26\\ 245\cdot26\\ 245\cdot08\\ 245\cdot21\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 264\\ 263\\ 260\\ 250\\ 244\\ 233\\ 225\\ 221\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 40\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 08\\ 245\cdot 69\\ 245\cdot 26\\ 244\cdot 84\\ 244\cdot 66\\ 244\cdot 79\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 253\\ 261\\ 259\\ 249\\ 241\\ 233\\ 223\\ 205^{1}\\ 206^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 21\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 74\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 93\end{array}$
January February March. April	222 222 232 257	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 46 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 03 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ \end{array}$	214 213 223 249	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 35 \\ 245 \cdot 61 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 07 \end{array}$	$207 \\ 220 \\ 233 \\ 244^1 \\ 249^2 \\ 240$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 27 \\ 246 \cdot 49 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 74 \\ 246 \cdot 82 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \end{array}$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Regulation of the second secon	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1880— June July August. September. October. November. December.	266 265 255 258 239 241 229	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 90\\ 245\cdot 52\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 244\cdot 92\end{array}$	257 257 246 249 231 232 221	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 10\\ 244\cdot 87\\ 244\cdot 76\\ 244\cdot 50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 259 \\ 266 \\ 255 \\ 245 \\ 235 \\ 227 \\ 205^1 \\ 205^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 29\\ 246\cdot 95\\ 246\cdot 44\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 70\\ 245\cdot 65\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 61\end{array}$
1881— January February March. April	186 195 218 248	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 74 \\ 245 \cdot 06 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	177 186 209 239	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 32 \\ 244 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \end{array}$	$205 \\ 202 \\ 204 \\ 212^1 \\ 226^2$	$245 \cdot 09$ $245 \cdot 21$ $245 \cdot 81$ $246 \cdot 13$ $246 \cdot 35$
May June July August. September October. November. December	$252 \\ 257 \\ 259 \\ 252 \\ 242 \\ 235 \\ 235 \\ 236$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 18\\ 245\cdot 46\end{array}$	244 249 251 243 233 226 226 228	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 244\cdot 70\\ 244\cdot 26\\ 244\cdot 87\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 244\cdot 76\\ 245\cdot 04\\ \end{array}$	222 250 257 250 239 229 230 204 ¹ 207 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 83 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 75 \\ 246 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 246 \cdot 14 \end{array}$
1882— January February March April.	229 231 247 269	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 82 \\ 246 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 92 \end{array}$	221 222 238 261	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 246 \cdot 24 \\ 246 \cdot 50 \end{array}$	209 225 244 2711 2022	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 65 \\ 247 \cdot 00 \\ 247 \cdot 39 \\ 247 \cdot 45 \\ 247 \cdot 37 \end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 273\\ 286\\ 225\\ 278\\ 267\\ 255\\ 245\\ 245\\ 246\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247{\cdot}28\\ 247{\cdot}52\\ 247{\cdot}36\\ 247{\cdot}00\\ 246{\cdot}56\\ 246{\cdot}09\\ 245{\cdot}74\\ 245{\cdot}46\end{array}$	264 277 269 258 247 237 238	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 86\\ 247\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 94\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 245\cdot 67\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 04\\ \end{array}$	292 ² 279 287 285 270 271 251 239 204 ¹ 205 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 55\\ 247\cdot 67\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 247\cdot 03\\ 246\cdot 43\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 67\end{array}$
1883— January February March April	211 192 213 253	$245 \cdot 35 \\ 245 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 88 \\ 246 \cdot 46$	203 184 204 245	$244 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 08 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 04$	$\begin{array}{c} 205 \\ 207 \\ 205 \\ 206^1 \\ 225^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 53 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 29 \\ 246 \cdot 70 \end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.		$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 14\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 14\\ 246\cdot 80\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 53\end{array}$	260 275 284 281 270 260 257 254	$\begin{array}{c} 246.72\\ 247.34\\ 247.51\\ 247.18\\ 246.72\\ 246.38\\ 246.20\\ 246.11\\ \end{array}$	236 284 299 288 287 273 269 294 ¹ 211 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 68\\ 248\cdot 19\\ 248\cdot 18\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 08\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 94\\ 246\cdot 16\end{array}$
1884— January February March. April	··· 226 ··· 234 ··· 248 ··· 294	246.70 247.22 247.86 248.18	218 225 3240 3285	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 28 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 247 \cdot 44 \\ 247 \cdot 76 \\ 247 \cdot 76 \end{array}$	209 222 244 275 ³ 310 ³ 2 309	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}44\\ 247{\cdot}00\\ 247{\cdot}59\\ 247{\cdot}81\\ 247{\cdot}81\\ 247{\cdot}81\\ 247{\cdot}52\end{array}$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Year and Month		Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
(a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)	
1884— JuneJuly August September October November December	$293 \\ 293 \\ 287 \\ 275 \\ 264 \\ 256 \\ 252$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 98\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 44\\ 247\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 14\\ \end{array}$	285 285 279 267 256 248 243	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 56\\ 247\cdot 34\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 13\\ 245\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 72\end{array}$	$293 \\ 278 \\ 268 \\ 270 \\ 254 \\ 247 \\ 206^1 \\ 208^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 26\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 03\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 246\cdot 09\end{array}$	
1885— January. February. March. April.	$228 \\ 212 \\ 209 \\ 241$	$246.00 \\ 245.73 \\ 245.93 \\ 246.67$	$219 \\ 204 \\ 200 \\ 233$	$245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 31 \\ 245 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 25$	$209 \\ 218 \\ 208 \\ 210^1 \\ 239^2$	246.08 245.63 245.73 246.24 246.56	
May June July August September October November December	272 281 283 276 273 268 269 276	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 26\\ 247\cdot 51\\ 247\cdot 50\\ 247\cdot 32\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 247\cdot 04\\ 247\cdot 04\\ 247\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 42\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 263\\ 273\\ 274\\ 268\\ 265\\ 259\\ 260\\ 267\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}84\\ 247{\cdot}09\\ 247{\cdot}08\\ 246{\cdot}90\\ 246{\cdot}70\\ 246{\cdot}62\\ 246{\cdot}74\\ 247{\cdot}00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 242\\ 242\\ 286\\ 287\\ 275\\ 280\\ 269\\ 279\\ 299^{1}\\ 218^{2}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246.82\\ 247.42\\ 247.51\\ 247.33\\ 247.05\\ 246.66\\ 246.46\\ 246.35\\ 246.27\\ 246.71\\ \end{array}$	
1886— January. February. March. April.	$256 \\ 256 \\ 259 \\ 298$	$247 \cdot 64 \\ 247 \cdot 74 \\ 248 \cdot 12 \\ 248 \cdot 54$	$247 \\ 247 \\ 251 \\ 290$	$247 \cdot 22 \\ 247 \cdot 32 \\ 247 \cdot 70 \\ 248 \cdot 12$	214 233 253 284^{1} 210^{2}	$247 \cdot 35$ $247 \cdot 63$ $247 \cdot 98$ $248 \cdot 23$ $248 \cdot 23$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 304\\ 300\\ 293\\ 284\\ 277\\ 268\\ 266\\ 261\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 54 \\ 248 \cdot 24 \\ 247 \cdot 82 \\ 247 \cdot 42 \\ 247 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 73 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 296 \\ 291 \\ 284 \\ 276 \\ 268 \\ 260 \\ 257 \\ 253 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 12\\ 247\cdot 82\\ 247\cdot 40\\ 247\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 68\\ 246\cdot 31\\ 246\cdot 04\\ 245\cdot 88\end{array}$	310 310 310 294 270 264 259 251 209^{1} 217^{2}	$\begin{array}{r} 248.31\\ 248.13\\ 247.59\\ 247.05\\ 246.73\\ 246.47\\ 246.11\\ 245.92\\ 246.13\\ 246.09\end{array}$	
1887— January. February. March. April.	$233 \\ 258 \\ 264 \\ 288$	$246 \cdot 54 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \\ 247 \cdot 54 \\ 247 \cdot 92$	$224 \\ 249 \\ 256 \\ 280$	$246.12 \\ 246.76 \\ 247.12 \\ 247.50$	$217 \\ 210 \\ 225 \\ 254 \\ 285^1 \\ 210^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 246.29\\ 246.70\\ 247.64\\ 248.03\\ 248.18\\ 248.18\\ 248.18\end{array}$	
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$296 \\ 296 \\ 289 \\ 277 \\ 265 \\ 258 \\ 246 \\ 242$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 18\\ 248\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 247\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 60\end{array}$	288 288 281 268 256 250 238 233	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 76 \\ 247 \cdot 60 \\ 247 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 60 \\ 246 \cdot 14 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \end{array}$	310^{2} 310 310 291 269 255 243 237 204^{1} 205^{2}	$\begin{array}{r} 248\cdot 18\\ 248\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 72\\ 247\cdot 20\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 13\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 59\\ 245\cdot 63\end{array}$	
1888— January. February. March April.	214 194 208 253	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 37 \\ 245\cdot 42 \\ 245\cdot 86 \\ 246\cdot 20 \end{array}$	205 186 200 245	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 245 \cdot 44 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \end{array}$	$205 \\ 205 \\ 204 \\ 208^1 \\ 219^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 61 \\ 246 \cdot 01 \\ 246 \cdot 33 \end{array}$	

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.
TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

liter hestelsen with differences of 8, 200 c.f.s.	Unregulat actual di (from re	ed with versions ecords)	Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1888— June July August September. October. November. December.	$258 \\ 258 \\ 257 \\ 249 \\ 239 \\ 239 \\ 239 \\ 240$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 31 \\ 246\cdot 29 \\ 246\cdot 04 \\ 245\cdot 67 \\ 245\cdot 46 \\ 245\cdot 42 \\ 245\cdot 52 \end{array}$	250 250 248 240 231 231 231	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 87 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \end{array}$	$246 \\ 256 \\ 250 \\ 247 \\ 236 \\ 228 \\ 206^1 \\ 207^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot82\\ 246\cdot72\\ 246\cdot42\\ 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot71\\ 245\cdot70\\ 245\cdot90\\ 245\cdot90\\ 246\cdot10\\ \end{array}$
1889— January February March. April	226 212 220 256	$245 \cdot 69 \\ 245 \cdot 84 \\ 246 \cdot 05 \\ 246 \cdot 24$	$217 \\ 204 \\ 212 \\ 248$	$245 \cdot 27 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 63 \\ 245 \cdot 82$	$\begin{array}{r} 209 \\ 221 \\ 227 \\ 232^{1} \\ 249^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 38 \\ 246\cdot 32 \\ 246\cdot 33 \\ 246\cdot 53 \\ 246\cdot 53 \\ 246\cdot 62 \end{array}$
May June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 258\\ 267\\ 270\\ 265\\ 253\\ 239\\ 234\\ 245\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}48\\ 246{\cdot}72\\ 246{\cdot}70\\ 246{\cdot}29\\ 245{\cdot}79\\ 245{\cdot}37\\ 245{\cdot}46\\ 246{\cdot}00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 249 \\ 259 \\ 262 \\ 256 \\ 244 \\ 230 \\ 226 \\ 237 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot06\\ 246\cdot30\\ 246\cdot28\\ 245\cdot87\\ 245\cdot37\\ 245\cdot37\\ 244\cdot95\\ 245\cdot04\\ 245\cdot58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 239\\ 256\\ 271\\ 263\\ 253\\ 239\\ 226\\ 204^{1}\\ 216^{2}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot98\\ 247\cdot26\\ 247\cdot12\\ 246\cdot62\\ 246\cdot01\\ 245\cdot47\\ 245\cdot56\\ 246\cdot04\\ 246\cdot04\\ 246\cdot44\\ \end{array}$
1890— January February March April	239 239 252 276	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 76 \\ 247 \cdot 05 \\ 247 \cdot 35 \end{array}$	$230 \\ 231 \\ 243 \\ 268$	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 00 \\ 246 \cdot 34 \\ 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 93 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 212 \\ 230 \\ 251 \\ 276^1 \\ 301^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 247\cdot09\\ 247\cdot44\\ 247\cdot63\\ 247\cdot73\\ 247\cdot68\end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	285 295 225 280 273 264 265 259	$\begin{array}{c} 247{\cdot}84\\ 248{\cdot}08\\ 247{\cdot}66\\ 247{\cdot}14\\ 246{\cdot}80\\ 246{\cdot}68\\ 246{\cdot}62\\ 246{\cdot}35\\ \end{array}$	276 286 271 265 255 255 256 250	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 42\\ 247\cdot 66\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 72\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 93\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 290\\ 306\\ 299\\ 269\\ 264\\ 255\\ 270\\ 295^{11}\\ 207^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 99\\ 247\cdot 99\\ 247\cdot 41\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 25\\ 245\cdot 84\\ 245\cdot 98\end{array}$
1891— January February March April	232 233 247 283	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot 32 \\ 246\cdot 72 \\ 247\cdot 23 \\ 247\cdot 36 \end{array}$	- 224 224 239 274	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 90 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 81 \\ 246 \cdot 94 \end{array}$	208 218 237 264 ¹ 276 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 14 \\ 246\cdot 62 \\ 247\cdot 14 \\ 247\cdot 26 \\ 247\cdot 31 \end{array}$
May June July August September October November December		$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot04\\ 246\cdot69\\ 246\cdot33\\ 245\cdot90\\ 245\cdot36\\ 244\cdot74\\ 244\cdot42\\ 244\cdot42\\ 244\cdot46\end{array}$	270 260 257 247 236 221 213 212	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 62 \\ 246 \cdot 27 \\ 245 \cdot 91 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 92 \\ 244 \cdot 32 \\ 244 \cdot 00 \\ 2 \end{array}$	271 259 247 241 234 222 213 197 198	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot09\\ 246\cdot75\\ 246\cdot51\\ 245\cdot64\\ 245\cdot64\\ 245\cdot62\\ 244\cdot71\\ 4\\ 244\cdot82\\ 244\cdot92\\ \end{array}$
1892— January February March April	202 187 190 231	$244 \cdot 50$ $244 \cdot 54$ $244 \cdot 90$ $245 \cdot 22$	$ \begin{array}{c} 193 \\ 178 \\ 182 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 221 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 08 \\ 244 \cdot 12 \\ 244 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 80 \end{array}$	199 201 199 199 200	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 90 \\ 244 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 80 \\ 1 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 2 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 2 \\ 046 \cdot 64 \end{array}$
May	234	245.53	3 225	5 245.11	199	240.04

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Insectational Insection of No release 0, 100 ccl.e.	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records) Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		ated with s diversion 00 c.f.s.	Regula divers 8,500	ted with sion of) c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1892— June July. August. September. October. November. December.	$247 \\ 260 \\ 255 \\ 254 \\ 242 \\ 236 \\ 232$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 28\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 46\\ 245\cdot 26\\ 245\cdot 04\end{array}$	$238 \\ 252 \\ 246 \\ 234 \\ 227 \\ 224$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 244 \cdot 84 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	$211 \\ 250 \\ 256 \\ 257 \\ 244 \\ 231 \\ 206^1 \\ 206^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 92\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 91\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 245\cdot 96\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\\ 245\cdot 71\end{array}$
1893— January February March April	201 183 199 249	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 82 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 246 \cdot 57 \end{array}$	$192 \\ 174 \\ 190 \\ 240$	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 40 \\ 244 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 15 \end{array}$	$206 \\ 204 \\ 203 \\ 202^1 \\ 225^2$	$245 \cdot 32$ $245 \cdot 13$ $245 \cdot 59$ $246 \cdot 30$ $246 \cdot 88$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December.	275 282 277 262 259 247 238 238 233	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 26 \\ 247 \cdot 24 \\ 246 \cdot 84 \\ 246 \cdot 44 \\ 246 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \end{array}$	266 274 268 254 250 239 230 225	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 84 \\ 246 \cdot 82 \\ 246 \cdot 42 \\ 246 \cdot 02 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 16 \\ 244 \cdot 68 \\ 244 \cdot 97 \end{array}$	223^{2} 244 297 277 257 248 238 228 204^{1} 206^{2}	$\begin{array}{c} 240.86\\ 247.86\\ 247.55\\ 247.04\\ 246.60\\ 246.22\\ 245.77\\ 245.52\\ 245.70\\ 245.86\end{array}$
January. February. March. April.	218 197 230 251	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 65 \\ 245 \cdot 89 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \end{array}$	209 188 222 • 243	$245 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 47 \\ 245 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 76$	$207 \\ 218 \\ 217 \\ 227^{1} \\ 0242$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 15\\ 246\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 38\end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. December.	256 269 263 250 240 234 229 220	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 54 \\ 246 \cdot 70 \\ 246 \cdot 31 \\ 245 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 38 \\ 245 \cdot 10 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 244 \cdot 54 \end{array}$	248 260 255 241 232 225 220 211	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 96 \\ 244 \cdot 68 \\ 244 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 12 \end{array}$	234^{2} 228 259 269 250 235 225 219 200^{1} 200^{2}	$\begin{array}{c} 240\cdot 49\\ 247\cdot 09\\ 247\cdot 27\\ 246\cdot 71\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 245\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 99\\ 244\cdot 99\\ 244\cdot 95\end{array}$
January February March April	$196 \\ 178 \\ 181 \\ 224$	$244 \cdot 46 \\ 244 \cdot 38 \\ 244 \cdot 60 \\ 244 \cdot 94$	187 170 172 215	$244 \cdot 04 \\ 243 \cdot 96 \\ 244 \cdot 18 \\ 244 \cdot 52$	$199 \\ 199 \\ 193 \\ 198^1 \\ 108^2$	244.73 244.29 244.25 244.53 244.81
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	229 226 220 217 208 200 194 194	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 94 \\ 244 \cdot 74 \\ 244 \cdot 46 \\ 244 \cdot 17 \\ 243 \cdot 83 \\ 243 \cdot 54 \\ 243 \cdot 54 \\ 243 \cdot 42 \\ 243 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	220 218 211 208 200 192 185 185	$\begin{array}{c} 244\cdot52\\ 244\cdot32\\ 244\cdot04\\ 243\cdot75\\ 243\cdot75\\ 243\cdot41\\ 243\cdot12\\ 243\cdot00\\ 243\cdot20\\ \end{array}$	190^{-} 198 196 195 205 203 202 191 188^{1} 189 ²	$\begin{array}{r} 244.81\\ 245.09\\ 245.17\\ 245.09\\ 244.71\\ 244.32\\ 243.77\\ 243.58\\ 243.66\\ 243.74\end{array}$
1896— January. February. March. April. May.	187 188 185 233 237	$244 \cdot 03 \\ 244 \cdot 38 \\ 244 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 245 \cdot 39$	179 180 177 225 229	243.61243.96244.53245.00244.97	$ 182 189 194 191^1 192^2 101 $	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 10 \\ 244 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 70 \\ 245 \cdot 14 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 246 \cdot 02 \end{array}$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

 TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO

 ALONE—Continued

driv Istalazafi bo colevela a.Lo 680,8	Unregulat actual di (from re	ted with versions ecords)	Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1896— JuneJuly August. September. October November. December.	237 233 228 216 209 209 209 204	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 01 \\ 244 \cdot 70 \\ 244 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 10 \\ 243 \cdot 97 \\ 243 \cdot 92 \end{array}$	229 224 219 207 200 200 195	$244 \cdot 80 \\ 244 \cdot 59 \\ 244 \cdot 28 \\ 243 \cdot 92 \\ 243 \cdot 68 \\ 243 \cdot 55 \\ 243 \cdot 50 $	$204 \\ 218 \\ 219 \\ 216 \\ 208 \\ 205 \\ 198^1 \\ 197^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 03\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 26\\ 244\cdot 92\\ 244\cdot 73\\ 244\cdot 68\\ 244\cdot 68\\ 244\cdot 64\end{array}$
1897— January February March. April	187 182 193 229	$\begin{array}{r} 243\cdot 85\\ 244\cdot 07\\ 244\cdot 64\\ 245\cdot 18\end{array}$	178 173 184 220	$\begin{array}{r} 243 \cdot 43 \\ 243 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 22 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \end{array}$	$197 \\ 194 \\ 193 \\ 194^1 \\ 195^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 34 \\ 244 \cdot 30 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 64 \end{array}$
May June July August. September. October. November December	239 245 242 242 228 215 211 215	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 50\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 244\cdot 78\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 56\end{array}$	231 236 233 220 207 203 207	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 08 \\ 245 \cdot 19 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 244 \cdot 93 \\ 244 \cdot 36 \\ 244 \cdot 02 \\ 244 \cdot 02 \\ 244 \cdot 14 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 193 \\ 198 \\ 225 \\ 240 \\ 235 \\ 217 \\ 211 \\ 198^1 \\ 199^2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 36 \\ 246 \cdot 61 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \\ 244 \cdot 94 \\ 244 \cdot 94 \\ 244 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 07 \end{array}$
1898— January February March April	201 210 223 244	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 70 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	193 201 214 235	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 44 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \end{array}$	200 204 209 219 ¹ 231 ²	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 67 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 41 \\ 246 \cdot 59 \end{array}$
May June July August. September. October. November. December.	249 250 244 237 228 221 221 221 224	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 99\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 30\\ 244\cdot 96\\ 244\cdot 86\\ 244\cdot 90\\ 244\cdot 90\\ 244\cdot 94\end{array}$	241 241 236 229 220 213 213 213 215	$\begin{array}{c} 245{\cdot}68\\ 245{\cdot}57\\ 245{\cdot}26\\ 244{\cdot}88\\ 244{\cdot}84\\ 244{\cdot}44\\ 244{\cdot}44\\ 244{\cdot}48\\ 244{\cdot}52\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 231\\ 244\\ 243\\ 233\\ 226\\ 216\\ 214\\ 202^1\\ 203^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 81 \\ 246 \cdot 67 \\ 246 \cdot 67 \\ 245 \cdot 85 \\ 245 \cdot 43 \\ 245 \cdot 29 \\ 245 \cdot 31 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 51 \end{array}$
1899— January February March. April	205 198 210 241	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 93 \\ 245 \cdot 00 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 82 \end{array}$	196 189 201 232	$244 \cdot 51$ $244 \cdot 58$ $244 \cdot 99$ $245 \cdot 40$	204 205 204 2011 211 ²	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 06 \\ 246 \cdot 40 \end{array}$
May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 00\\ 246\cdot 00\\ 245\cdot 69\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 244\cdot 48\\ 244\cdot 39\\ 244\cdot 50\end{array}$	238 243 238 226 216 207 207 207	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 27 \\ 244 \cdot 78 \\ 244 \cdot 33 \\ 244 \cdot 06 \\ 243 \cdot 97 \\ 244 \cdot 08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 216\\ 244\\ 250\\ 239\\ 226\\ 216\\ 212\\ 196^1\\ 197^2\\ \end{array}$	246.86 246.85 246.39 245.74 245.16 244.77 244.59 4 244.71 2 244.82
1900— January February March April		$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	193 194 198 236	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 65 \end{array}$	198 202 203 200 200 208	245.02 245.20 245.50 1 245.92 2 246.30
May	248	245.95	5 242	2 245.6	7 212	1 246.72

.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Tomotomot with direction of direction of the	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1900— June July. August. September. October. November. December.	249 247 240 232 223 219 226	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 68 \\ 245 \cdot 33 \\ 244 \cdot 92 \\ 244 \cdot 64 \\ 244 \cdot 70 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \end{array}$	243 242 234 227 218 213 220	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 40\\ 245\cdot 05\\ 244\cdot 64\\ 244\cdot 36\\ 244\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 42\\ 244\cdot 48\end{array}$	$236 \\ 240 \\ 238 \\ 232 \\ 224 \\ 218 \\ 202^1 \\ 204^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 72 \\ 246 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 245 \cdot 68 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 47 \\ 245 \cdot 59 \end{array}$
1901— January February March April	205 200 198 237	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 65 \\ 244 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 01 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \end{array}$	200 196 193 233	$244 \cdot 43 \\ 244 \cdot 28 \\ 244 \cdot 79 \\ 245 \cdot 55$	205 205 203 203^{1}	$245 \cdot 41$ $245 \cdot 15$ $245 \cdot 54$ $246 \cdot 12$ $246 \cdot 0$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	246 249 244 237 231 223 213 213 216	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 58 \\ 245 \cdot 26 \\ 244 \cdot 88 \\ 244 \cdot 46 \\ 244 \cdot 32 \\ 244 \cdot 39 \end{array}$	242 245 239 233 227 219 208 212	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 73 \\ 245 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 36 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 244 \cdot 66 \\ 244 \cdot 24 \\ 244 \cdot 10 \\ 244 \cdot 17 \end{array}$	218^{-} 233 249 247 238 223 221 216 198^{1} 199^{2}	$\begin{array}{r} 246.80\\ 246.89\\ 246.75\\ 246.37\\ 245.98\\ 244.52\\ 244.07\\ 244.93\\ 244.95\\ 245.06\end{array}$
1902— January February March. April	197 177 208 237	$244 \cdot 36 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \\ 245 \cdot 18 \\ 244 \cdot 44$	$193 \\ 172 \\ 204 \\ 232$	$244 \cdot 15 \\ 244 \cdot 41 \\ 244 \cdot 97 \\ 245 \cdot 23$	200 201 200 199 ¹	$244 \cdot 95$ $244 \cdot 85$ $245 \cdot 45$ $245 \cdot 79$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1903—	240 242 250 251 244 237 230 225	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 51 \\ 245 \cdot 76 \\ 246 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 88 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 244 \cdot 97 \\ 244 \cdot 97 \\ 244 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	235 238 245 247 239 233 226 221	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 55 \\ 245 \cdot 83 \\ 245 \cdot 67 \\ 245 \cdot 33 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \\ 244 \cdot 76 \\ 244 \cdot 69 \end{array}$	$204^{2} \\ 204 \\ 230 \\ 247 \\ 255 \\ 238 \\ 228 \\ 206^{1} \\ 206^{2} $	$\begin{array}{c} 246.09\\ 246.55\\ 246.90\\ 247.16\\ 246.91\\ 246.37\\ 2466.01\\ 245.71\\ 245.76\\ 245.81\end{array}$
January. February. March April	209 207 225 258	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 50 \end{array}$	$205 \\ 204 \\ 222 \\ 254$	$244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 32$	$206 \\ 215 \\ 224 \\ 252^1 \\ 2002$	$245 \cdot 95$ $246 \cdot 23$ $246 \cdot 84$ $247 \cdot 05$
May June. July. August. September. October. November. December. 1904—	$261 \\ 257 \\ 260 \\ 256 \\ 251 \\ 240 \\ 227 \\ 220$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 50\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 47\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 245\cdot 90\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 245\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 24\\ 244\cdot 92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 257\\ 254\\ 257\\ 253\\ 248\\ 236\\ 224\\ 216\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 32\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 29\\ 246\cdot 03\\ 245\cdot 72\\ 245\cdot 36\\ 245\cdot 06\\ 244\cdot 76\end{array}$	202^{2} 260 256 257 253 251 236 205^{1} 204^{2}	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 20\\ 247\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 16\\ 247\cdot 11\\ 246\cdot 85\\ 246\cdot 63\\ 246\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 54\\ 265\cdot 46\end{array}$
January. February. March. April.	192 196 207 255	$244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 247 \cdot 30$	188 192 203 251	$244 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 12 \\ 246 \cdot 12 \\ 247 \cdot 10$	$204 \\ 203 \\ 207 \\ 235^{1} \\ 263^{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 52 \\ 246 \cdot 47 \\ 247 \cdot 06 \\ 247 \cdot 47 \end{array}$

¹First half of month. ² Second half of month.

 TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO

 ALONE—Continued

Residented with a restricted to a restricted to	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1904— May June July August. September. October November. December	$270 \\ 277 \\ 279 \\ 275 \\ 266 \\ 257 \\ 245 \\ 223$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 74\\ 247\cdot 88\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 08\\ 245\cdot 80\end{array}$	266 273 275 271 262 253 241 219	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 68\\ 247\cdot 68\\ 247\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 86\\ 246\cdot 42\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 60\end{array}$	$278 \\ 293 \\ 269 \\ 273 \\ 256 \\ 242 \\ 205^1 \\ 204^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot77\\ 247\cdot66\\ 247\cdot44\\ 247\cdot14\\ 246\cdot62\\ 246\cdot14\\ 245\cdot58\\ 245\cdot58\\ 245\cdot53\\ 245\cdot48\end{array}$
1905— January February March. April	$ \begin{array}{r} 198 \\ 205 \\ 199 \\ 242 \end{array} $	$245 \cdot 64 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 71 \\ 246 \cdot 19$	194 201 195 238	$\begin{array}{r} 245{\cdot}44\\ 245{\cdot}19\\ 245{\cdot}51\\ 245{\cdot}99\end{array}$	$204 \\ 203 \\ 201 \\ 203^1 \\ 206^2$	$245 \cdot 19$ $244 \cdot 91$ $245 \cdot 16$ $245 \cdot 61$ $246 \cdot 05$
May June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 244\\ 251\\ 260\\ 259\\ 256\\ 250\\ 243\\ 237\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}42\\ 246{\cdot}78\\ 246{\cdot}94\\ 246{\cdot}94\\ 246{\cdot}82\\ 246{\cdot}60\\ 246{\cdot}26\\ 245{\cdot}98\\ 246{\cdot}00\\ \end{array}$	$240 \\ 247 \\ 256 \\ 255 \\ 252 \\ 246 \\ 239 \\ 233$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 22\\ 246\cdot 58\\ 246\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 246\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 06\\ 245\cdot 78\\ 245\cdot 80\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206^2 \\ 210 \\ 242 \\ 263 \\ 262 \\ 264 \\ 255 \\ 242 \\ 206^1 \\ 216^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 65 \\ 247 \cdot 07 \\ 247 \cdot 15 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 59 \\ 246 \cdot 59 \\ 246 \cdot 14 \\ 245 \cdot 83 \\ 246 \cdot 01 \\ 246 \cdot 12 \end{array}$
1906— January February March. April	229 221 218 243	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 11 \\ 246\cdot 00 \\ 246\cdot 08 \\ 246\cdot 32 \end{array}$	225 217 214 238	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 246 \cdot 10 \end{array}$	209 222 225 2251 2382	$\begin{array}{r} 246\cdot42\\ 246\cdot24\\ 246\cdot18\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot50\end{array}$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 247\\ 249\\ 252\\ 245\\ 236\\ 233\\ 231\\ 229\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}40\\ 246{\cdot}49\\ 246{\cdot}42\\ 246{\cdot}04\\ 245{\cdot}64\\ 245{\cdot}53\\ 245{\cdot}66\\ 246{\cdot}04 \end{array}$	243 245 247 240 232 229 227 225	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 18\\ 246\cdot 27\\ 246\cdot 20\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 44\\ 245\cdot 82\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 232\\ 246\\ 253\\ 249\\ 239\\ 227\\ 224\\ 206^1\\ 216^2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot71\\ 246\cdot79\\ 246\cdot65\\ 246\cdot16\\ 245\cdot67\\ 245\cdot58\\ 245\cdot58\\ 245\cdot74\\ 246\cdot04\\ 246\cdot29\end{array}$
1907— January February March. April	212 218 224 256	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 40 \\ 246 \cdot 46 \\ 246 \cdot 66 \\ 246 \cdot 96 \end{array}$	209 215 220 253	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 23 \\ 246 \cdot 29 \\ 246 \cdot 49 \\ 246 \cdot 79 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 210 \\ 224 \\ 235 \\ 242^1 \\ 255^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 53 \\ 246 \cdot 54 \\ 246 \cdot 76 \\ 246 \cdot 90 \end{array}$
May June July August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 10\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 247\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 70\\ 246\cdot 40\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 53\end{array}$	259 260 261 257 247 246 243 243	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 93 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 85 \\ 246 \cdot 53 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 16 \\ 246 \cdot 36 \end{array}$	251 262 264 259 252 247 248 267 216 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 14\\ 247\cdot 14\\ 246\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 37\\ 246\cdot 26\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 246\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 37\end{array}$
1908— January February. March. April	221 218 223 281	246.86 247.19 247.71 248.24	217 214 220 277	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot70\\ 247\cdot03\\ 247\cdot55\\ 248\cdot08\end{array}$	211 226 243 269 296	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 246\cdot78 \\ 246\cdot97 \\ 247\cdot32 \\ 247\cdot64 \\ 247\cdot64 \\ 247\cdot79 \end{array}$

¹ First half of month. 45827—14

² Second half of month.

TABLE19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Registered with	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1908— MayJuneJulyJulyJuly August. SeptemberOctober NovemberDecember December	292 294 289 279 264 249 239 230	$\begin{array}{c} 248 \cdot 54 \\ 248 \cdot 48 \\ 248 \cdot 48 \\ 247 \cdot 55 \\ 246 \cdot 79 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 245 \cdot 72 \\ 245 \cdot 34 \end{array}$	289 291 286 276 260 245 236 227	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 38\\ 248\cdot 32\\ 247\cdot 39\\ 247\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 63\\ 246\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 56\\ 245\cdot 18\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 296\\ 309\\ 287\\ 269\\ 261\\ 238\\ 225\\ 202^1\\ 202^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot00\\ 247\cdot71\\ 247\cdot36\\ 246\cdot86\\ 246\cdot10\\ 245\cdot59\\ 245\cdot27\\ 245\cdot25\\ 245\cdot25\\ 245\cdot23\end{array}$
January. February. March. April.	$203 \\ 197 \\ 211 \\ 243$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 49 \\ 245 \cdot 94 \\ 246 \cdot 67 \end{array}$	$201 \\ 194 \\ 208 \\ 240$	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 35 \\ 245 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 53 \end{array}$	$202 \\ 203 \\ 204 \\ 206^1 \\ 206^2$	$245 \cdot 11$ $245 \cdot 26$ $245 \cdot 76$ $246 \cdot 34$ $246 \cdot 34$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$262 \\ 267 \\ 264 \\ 257 \\ 245 \\ 237 \\ 225 \\ 224$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 23\\ 247\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 99\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 06\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 08\\ 245\cdot 08\end{array}$	$259 \\ 264 \\ 262 \\ 254 \\ 242 \\ 235 \\ 222 \\ 221$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot09\\ 247\cdot09\\ 246\cdot85\\ 246\cdot41\\ 245\cdot92\\ 245\cdot46\\ 245\cdot14\\ 244\cdot94 \end{array}$	229 ² 237 283 269 255 245 233 221 202 ¹ 202 ¹	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 76\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 247\cdot 36\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 34\end{array}$
1910— January. February. March. April.	198 188 214 238	$244 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 246 \cdot 20$	$195 \\ 186 \\ 212 \\ 235$	$244 \cdot 85 \\ 245 \cdot 26 \\ 245 \cdot 73 \\ 246 \cdot 07$	$203 \\ 203 \\ 205 \\ 209^1$	$245 \cdot 14$ $245 \cdot 34$ $245 \cdot 89$ $246 \cdot 21$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	249 250 247 243 232 227 221 216	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 44 \\ 246 \cdot 37 \\ 246 \cdot 17 \\ 245 \cdot 88 \\ 245 \cdot 54 \\ 245 \cdot 26 \\ 245 \cdot 02 \\ 244 \cdot 83 \end{array}$	$246 \\ 247 \\ 244 \\ 241 \\ 230 \\ 224 \\ 218 \\ 214$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 31 \\ 246 \cdot 24 \\ 246 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 75 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 13 \\ 244 \cdot 89 \\ 244 \cdot 70 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 222^2\\ 221\\ 250\\ 249\\ 238\\ 234\\ 226\\ 220\\ 203^1\\ 203^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 46\\ 247\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 91\\ 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 39\\ 246\cdot 00\\ 245\cdot 70\\ 245\cdot 70\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 42\\ 245\cdot 40\end{array}$
1911— January. February. March. April.	194 191 197 225	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 81 \\ 244 \cdot 91 \\ 245 \cdot 20 \\ 245 \cdot 52 \end{array}$	$192 \\ 188 \\ 194 \\ 223$	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 70 \\ 244 \cdot 80 \\ 245 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \end{array}$	$203 \\ 204 \\ 203 \\ 194^1 \\ 1900$	$245 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 14 \\ 245 \cdot 33 \\ 245 \cdot 67 \\ 245 \cdot 67 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $
May June July. August. September October. November December 1012	232 233 232 224 215 212 213 214	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 36\\ 245\cdot 03\\ 244\cdot 75\\ 244\cdot 56\\ 244\cdot 56\\ 244\cdot 56\\ 244\cdot 69\end{array}$	230 231 230 221 213 210 210 210 211	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 52 \\ 245 \cdot 49 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 244 \cdot 92 \\ 244 \cdot 64 \\ 244 \cdot 45 \\ 244 \cdot 45 \\ 244 \cdot 58 \end{array}$	$198^{2} \\ 196 \\ 224 \\ 231 \\ 226 \\ 219 \\ 209 \\ 208 \\ 203^{1} \\ 204^{2} \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot98\\ 246\cdot52\\ 246\cdot57\\ 246\cdot32\\ 245\cdot93\\ 245\cdot57\\ 245\cdot57\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot40\\ 245\cdot52\\ 245\cdot63\end{array}$
January February March April	192 181 189 237	$244 \cdot 81 \\ 244 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 71 \\ 246 \cdot 57$	191 180 187 235	$244 \cdot 74 \\ 244 \cdot 91 \\ 245 \cdot 64 \\ 246 \cdot 50$	$205 \\ 207 \\ 205 \\ 202^{1} \\ 221^{2}$	$245 \cdot 58$ $245 \cdot 40$ $245 \cdot 91$ $246 \cdot 55$ $247 \cdot 00$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

 TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO

 ALONE—Continued

diring Exteriory-W Dominant th a.b. 900.8	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregula continuous of 8,50	ted with diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1912— May June. July August. September. October. November. December.	$254 \\ 269 \\ 259 \\ 253 \\ 248 \\ 244 \\ 242 \\ 244 $	$\begin{array}{r} 247\cdot 08\\ 247\cdot 17\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 27\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 09\\ 246\cdot 31\end{array}$	$253 \\ 267 \\ 258 \\ 252 \\ 246 \\ 243 \\ 241 \\ 242$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 01\\ 247\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 246\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 24\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 242\\ 276\\ 272\\ 249\\ 249\\ 245\\ 252\\ 292^1\\ 216^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 65\\ 247\cdot 63\\ 247\cdot 12\\ 246\cdot 84\\ 246\cdot 55\\ 246\cdot 57\\ 246\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 01\\ 246\cdot 29\end{array}$
1913— January February March. April	232 239 234 273	$246 \cdot 63 \\ 246 \cdot 73 \\ 247 \cdot 28 \\ 247 \cdot 91$	230 238 232 271	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 66\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 247\cdot 84\end{array}$	210 227 244 267^{1} 295^{2}	246.87246.98247.38247.73247.91
May June July August. September. October. November. December.	$278 \\ 281 \\ 278 \\ 266 \\ 253 \\ 244 \\ 243 \\ 240 \\ 240 \\ 240 \\ 240 \\ 278 \\ 240 \\ 240 \\ 241 \\ 240 $	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot00\\ 247\cdot92\\ 247\cdot57\\ 247\cdot02\\ 246\cdot51\\ 246\cdot51\\ 246\cdot17\\ 245\cdot98\\ 245\cdot75\\ \end{array}$	277 279 277 265 252 242 241 238	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 93\\ 247\cdot 85\\ 247\cdot 50\\ 246\cdot 95\\ 246\cdot 95\\ 246\cdot 44\\ 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 91\\ 245\cdot 68\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 295^2\\ 300\\ 291\\ 274\\ 259\\ 250\\ 237\\ 231\\ 207^1\\ 212^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot71\\ 247\cdot49\\ 277\cdot18\\ 246\cdot70\\ 246\cdot21\\ 245\cdot93\\ 245\cdot93\\ 245\cdot87\\ 245\cdot95\\ 246\cdot00\\ \end{array}$
1914— January February March. April	214 202 203 250	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 73 \\ 245 \cdot 77 \\ 246 \cdot 21 \\ 246 \cdot 85 \end{array}$	213 201 202 248	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 66 \\ 245 \cdot 70 \\ 246 \cdot 14 \\ 246 \cdot 78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 208 \\ 217 \\ 214 \\ 220^1 \\ 243^2 \end{array}$	$246.04 \\ 245.88 \\ 246.17 \\ 246.67 \\ 247.02$
May. June July August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 257\\ 253\\ 246\\ 241\\ 230\\ 227\\ 216\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot93\\ 246\cdot81\\ 246\cdot52\\ 246\cdot21\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot42\\ 245\cdot04\\ 244\cdot76\\ \end{array}$	256 255 251 244 240 229 226 215	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 86\\ 246\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 45\\ 246\cdot 14\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 35\\ 244\cdot 96\\ 244\cdot 69\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 249\\ 256\\ 253\\ 243\\ 237\\ 231\\ 201\\ 202^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 18\\ 247\cdot 04\\ 246\cdot 73\\ 246\cdot 44\\ 246\cdot 12\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 37\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 23\end{array}$
1915— January February March. April	199 191 208 222	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 84 \\ 245 \cdot 13 \\ 245 \cdot 15 \\ 245 \cdot 09 \end{array}$	198 190 207 221	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 80 \\ 245 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 11 \\ 245 \cdot 05 \end{array}$	$202 \\ 204 \\ 205 \\ 196^1 \\ 198^2$	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 37 \\ 245 \cdot 41 \\ 245 \cdot 53 \\ 145 \cdot 64 \end{array}$
May. June. July August. September. October. November. December.	222 221 222 228 229 225 219 213	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot13\\ 245\cdot12\\ 245\cdot28\\ 245\cdot28\\ 245\cdot44\\ 245\cdot31\\ 245\cdot05\\ 244\cdot86\\ 244\cdot91\end{array}$	221 219 221 227 228 224 218 212	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 08 \\ 245 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 40 \\ 245 \cdot 27 \\ 245 \cdot 01 \\ 244 \cdot 82 \\ 244 \cdot 87 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 194 \\ 203 \\ 217 \\ 226 \\ 236 \\ 231 \\ 224 \\ 206^1 \\ 206^2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot02\\ 246\cdot22\\ 246\cdot23\\ 246\cdot38\\ 246\cdot58\\ 246\cdot36\\ 246\cdot02\\ 245\cdot76\\ 245\cdot76\\ 245\cdot82\\ 245\cdot88\end{array}$
1916— January February March April	205 204 201 246	$\begin{array}{c c} 245 \cdot 23 \\ 245 \cdot 43 \\ 245 \cdot 93 \\ 246 \cdot 76 \end{array}$	204 203 200 245	$\begin{array}{c c} 245 \cdot 19 \\ 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 89 \\ 246 \cdot 72 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207 \\ 219 \\ 222 \\ 225^{1} \\ 250^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 16\\ 246\cdot 38\\ 246\cdot 92\\ 247\cdot 30\end{array}$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month. $45827-14\frac{1}{2}$

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

ditive balance kominentib adar mark	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregula continuous of 8,50	ated with diversion 0 c.f.s.	Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a) .	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1916— May June July August September October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 262\\ 276\\ 278\\ 267\\ 254\\ 241\\ 231\\ 224\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 49\\ 247\cdot 89\\ 247\cdot 64\\ 247\cdot 64\\ 247\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 37\\ 245\cdot 85\\ 245\cdot 51\\ 245\cdot 51\\ 245\cdot 31\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 262 \\ 275 \\ 277 \\ 266 \\ 253 \\ 240 \\ 230 \\ 223 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 45\\ 247\cdot 85\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 98\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 245\cdot 61\\ 245\cdot 47\\ 245\cdot 27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 262\\ 300\\ 298\\ 268\\ 259\\ 238\\ 226\\ 204^{1}\\ 204^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248\cdot 02\\ 248\cdot 11\\ 247\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 96\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 75\\ 245\cdot 46\\ 245\cdot 48\\ 245\cdot 50\end{array}$
1917— January. February. March. April	204 205 207 243	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 17 \\ 245\cdot 12 \\ 245\cdot 70 \\ 246\cdot 38 \end{array}$	203 205 207 242	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 13 \\ 245\cdot 08 \\ 245\cdot 66 \\ 246\cdot 34 \end{array}$	204 205 204 2131 2022	$245 \cdot 35$ $245 \cdot 30$ $245 \cdot 91$ $246 \cdot 43$ $946 \cdot 81$
May June July August. September. October. November December	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \\ 258 \\ 269 \\ 269 \\ 258 \\ 258 \\ 254 \\ 251 \\ 246 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 75 \\ 247 \cdot 22 \\ 247 \cdot 40 \\ 247 \cdot 14 \\ 246 \cdot 80 \\ 246 \cdot 68 \\ 246 \cdot 57 \\ 246 \cdot 26 \end{array}$	$245 \\ 257 \\ 268 \\ 268 \\ 258 \\ 253 \\ 250 \\ 245$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 71 \\ 247 \cdot 18 \\ 247 \cdot 36 \\ 247 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 76 \\ 246 \cdot 64 \\ 246 \cdot 53 \\ 246 \cdot 22 \end{array}$	245 245 264 280 276 281 257 266 2591 207 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 240\cdot81\\ 247\cdot17\\ 247\cdot56\\ 247\cdot60\\ 247\cdot24\\ 246\cdot60\\ 246\cdot42\\ 246\cdot42\\ 246\cdot12\\ 245\cdot87\\ 245\cdot95\end{array}$
1918— January February March. April.	217 212 228 259	$246 \cdot 03 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \\ 246 \cdot 89 \\ 247 \cdot 15$	216 211 227 258	$245 \cdot 98 \\ 245 \cdot 25 \\ 245 \cdot 84 \\ 247 \cdot 10$	$208 \\ 212 \\ 220 \\ 253^1$	$245 \cdot 83$ $246 \cdot 09$ $246 \cdot 77$ $246 \cdot 93$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$261 \\ 260 \\ 258 \\ 249 \\ 244 \\ 238 \\ 240 \\ 236$	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 07 \\ 246 \cdot 93 \\ 246 \cdot 64 \\ 246 \cdot 32 \\ 246 \cdot 10 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \\ 245 \cdot 95 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \\ \end{array}$	$260 \\ 259 \\ 257 \\ 248 \\ 243 \\ 237 \\ 239 \\ 235$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 02\\ 246\cdot 88\\ 246\cdot 59\\ 246\cdot 27\\ 246\cdot 05\\ 245\cdot 95\\ 245\cdot 95\\ 245\cdot 94\end{array}$	267^{2} 262 258 259 249 242 238 233 207^{1} 216^{2}	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot01\\ 246\cdot91\\ 246\cdot91\\ 246\cdot79\\ 246\cdot48\\ 246\cdot16\\ 245\cdot95\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot86\\ 246\cdot06\\ 246\cdot20\\ \end{array}$
1919— January February March. April	226 222 226 252	$\begin{array}{r} 246{\cdot}00\\ 245{\cdot}96\\ 246{\cdot}22\\ 246{\cdot}85\end{array}$	225 221 225 251	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 92 \\ 246 \cdot 18 \\ 246 \cdot 81 \end{array}$	210 222 228 244^{1}	$246 \cdot 40$ $246 \cdot 35$ $246 \cdot 57$ $246 \cdot 92$ $246 \cdot 92$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	$\begin{array}{c} 269\\ 279\\ 275\\ 267\\ 256\\ 246\\ 241\\ 236\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 61\\ 247\cdot 85\\ 247\cdot 54\\ 247\cdot 10\\ 246\cdot 60\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 245\cdot 92\\ 245\cdot 53\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 268\\ 278\\ 274\\ 266\\ 255\\ 246\\ 240\\ 235\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 57\\ 247\cdot 81\\ 247\cdot 50\\ 247\cdot 06\\ 246\cdot 56\\ 246\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 88\\ 245\cdot 49\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 202^{2}\\ 266\\ 306\\ 290\\ 263\\ 260\\ 241\\ 234\\ 207^{1}\\ 206^{2}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot17\\ 247\cdot96\\ 247\cdot85\\ 247\cdot85\\ 246\cdot95\\ 246\cdot95\\ 246\cdot08\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot84\\ 245\cdot81\end{array}$
1920— January. February. March. April.	201 192 197 232	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 16 \\ 245\cdot 03 \\ 245\cdot 30 \\ 245\cdot 57 \end{array}$	201 192 197 232	$245 \cdot 16 \\ 245 \cdot 03 \\ 245 \cdot 30 \\ 245 \cdot 57$	206 205 203 2001 2032	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 39 \\ 245 \cdot 09 \\ 245 \cdot 29 \\ 245 \cdot 63 \\ 245 \cdot 63 \\ 245 \cdot 05 \end{array}$

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO ALONE—Continued

Atter Polekand	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1920— May. JuneJuly. August. September. October. November. December.	231 230 234 231 229 226 220 227	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 63\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 38\\ 245\cdot 26\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 32\\ 245\cdot 47\end{array}$	231 230 234 231 229 226 220 227	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot58\\ 245\cdot63\\ 245\cdot66\\ 245\cdot55\\ 245\cdot38\\ 245\cdot26\\ 245\cdot26\\ 245\cdot32\\ 245\cdot47\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206\\ 224\\ 233\\ 238\\ 236\\ 230\\ 226\\ 206^1\\ 215^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 26 \\ 246 \cdot 38 \\ 246 \cdot 42 \\ 246 \cdot 22 \\ 245 \cdot 96 \\ 245 \cdot 80 \\ 245 \cdot 79 \\ 245 \cdot 79 \\ 245 \cdot 99 \\ 246 \cdot 14 \end{array}$
1921— January. February. March. April.	215 210 222 247	$245 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 246 \cdot 09 \\ 246 \cdot 53$	$215 \\ 210 \\ 222 \\ 247$	$245 \cdot 50 \\ 245 \cdot 62 \\ 246 \cdot 09 \\ 246 \cdot 53$	$209 \\ 220 \\ 224 \\ 242^{1} \\ 254^{2}$	$246 \cdot 24$ $246 \cdot 23$ $246 \cdot 67$ $246 \cdot 92$ $247 \cdot 10$
May. June. July. August. September. October. November. December.	253 252 247 238 228 221 210 215	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}65\\ 246{\cdot}49\\ 246{\cdot}15\\ 245{\cdot}68\\ 245{\cdot}27\\ 244{\cdot}98\\ 244{\cdot}84\\ 244{\cdot}84\\ 244{\cdot}78\end{array}$	253 252 247 238 228 221 210 215	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 49\\ 246\cdot 15\\ 245\cdot 68\\ 245\cdot 27\\ 244\cdot 98\\ 244\cdot 84\\ 244\cdot 78\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 234\\ 254\\ 259\\ 249\\ 236\\ 228\\ 218\\ 212\\ 202^{1}\\ 203^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247 \cdot 20 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 95 \\ 246 \cdot 15 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 48 \\ 245 \cdot 32 \\ 245 \cdot 37 \\ 245 \cdot 42 \end{array}$
1922— January February March April	197 188 202 244	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 72 \\ 244 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	197 188 202 244	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 72 \\ 244 \cdot 89 \\ 245 \cdot 57 \\ 246 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	$203 \\ 204 \\ 204 \\ 2031 \\ 2302$	$\begin{array}{r} 245 \cdot 28 \\ 245 \cdot 24 \\ 245 \cdot 89 \\ 246 \cdot 51 \\ 246 \cdot 96 \end{array}$
May. June. July. August September. October. November. December.	250 253 258 250 239 233 220 210	$\begin{array}{c} 246{\cdot}65\\ 246{\cdot}83\\ 246{\cdot}74\\ 246{\cdot}30\\ 245{\cdot}82\\ 245{\cdot}38\\ 244{\cdot}89\\ 244{\cdot}57\end{array}$	250 253 258 250 239 233 220 210	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 65\\ 246\cdot 83\\ 246\cdot 74\\ 246\cdot 30\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 82\\ 245\cdot 38\\ 244\cdot 89\\ 244\cdot 57\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 230\\ 244\\ 266\\ 265\\ 253\\ 244\\ 232\\ 220\\ 202^{1}\\ 201^{2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 38\\ 247\cdot 39\\ 247\cdot 21\\ 246\cdot 73\\ 246\cdot 73\\ 246\cdot 19\\ 245\cdot 77\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 17\\ 245\cdot 07\\ \end{array}$
1923— January February March. April	190 188 199 227	$244 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 47$	190 188 199 227	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 48 \\ 244 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 04 \\ 245 \cdot 47 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200 \\ 201 \\ 200 \\ 189^1 \\ 191^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 244 \cdot 86 \\ 244 \cdot 81 \\ 245 \cdot 11 \\ 245 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 00 \end{array}$
May. June July. August September. October November. December.	230 236 232 226 217 208 203 203	$\begin{array}{c} 245\cdot78\\ 245\cdot86\\ 245\cdot60\\ 245\cdot22\\ 244\cdot84\\ 244\cdot50\\ 244\cdot40\\ 244\cdot62\end{array}$	230 236 232 226 217 208 203 203	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 78 \\ 245 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 60 \\ 245 \cdot 22 \\ 244 \cdot 84 \\ 244 \cdot 50 \\ 244 \cdot 40 \\ 244 \cdot 62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194\\ 231\\ 242\\ 229\\ 222\\ 211\\ 205\\ 201^{1}\\ 202^{2}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 76\\ 246\cdot 91\\ 246\cdot 52\\ 246\cdot 10\\ 245\cdot 66\\ 245\cdot 28\\ 245\cdot 16\\ 245\cdot 31\\ 245\cdot 45\end{array}$
1924— January February March April	198 192 196 224	$\begin{array}{c} 244 \cdot 81 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 12 \\ 245 \cdot 73 \end{array}$	198 192 196 224	$\begin{array}{c c} 244 \cdot 81 \\ 244 \cdot 86 \\ 245 \cdot 12 \\ 245 \cdot 73 \end{array}$	203 208 206 189 ¹ 202 ²	$\begin{array}{c} 245 \cdot 59 \\ 245 \cdot 44 \\ 245 \cdot 56 \\ 246 \cdot 08 \\ 246 \cdot 52 \end{array}$

¹First half of month. ²Second half of month.

 TABLE 19.—EFFECT OF PROPOSED PROGRAM FOR REGULATION OF LAKE ONTARIO

 ALONE—Concluded

dnive Badalangedi bo moleneviti and o 000 at	Unregulated with actual diversions (from records)		Unregulated with continuous diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.		Regulated with diversion of 8,500 c.f.s.	
Year and Month (a)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (b)	Elevation Ontario (Oswego) end of month (c)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (d)	Elevation Ontario end of month (e)	Discharge 1,000's of c.f.s. (f)	Elevation Ontario end of period (g)
1924— May June July August September October November December 1925— January February March. April May June July September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 239\\ 242\\ 242\\ 242\\ 239\\ 225\\ 218\\ 208\\ 169\\ 176\\ 201\\ 226\\ 228\\ 225\\ 220\\ 215\\ 207\\ 201\\ 205\\ 208\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 18\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 20\\ 244\cdot 77\\ 244\cdot 40\\ \\ 244\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 62\\ \\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 244\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 44\\ 244\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 43\\ 244\cdot 42\\ \end{array}$	239 242 242 239 230 225 218 208 169 176 201 226 228 225 220 215 207 201 205 208	$\begin{array}{c} 246\cdot 18\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 246\cdot 24\\ 245\cdot 83\\ 245\cdot 55\\ 245\cdot 50\\ 244\cdot 77\\ 244\cdot 40\\ 244\cdot 32\\ 244\cdot 80\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 53\\ 245\cdot 62\\ 245\cdot $	$\begin{array}{c} 210\\ 253\\ 248\\ 238\\ 237\\ 229\\ 217\\ 2051\\ 203^2\\ 200\\ 202\\ 200\\ 202\\ 186^1\\ 194^2\\ 191\\ 214\\ 215\\ 211\\ 208\\ 201\\ 197\\ 207^1\\ 207^2\\ 207^$	$\begin{array}{c} 247\cdot 33\\ 247\cdot 25\\ 247\cdot 05\\ 246\cdot 77\\ 246\cdot 01\\ 245\cdot 60\\ 245\cdot 44\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 29\\ 245\cdot 58\\ 245\cdot 93\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 23\\ 246\cdot 33\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot 34\\ 246\cdot $

¹ First half of month. ² Second half of month.

TABLE 20.-DETAILS OF COST OF WORKS FOR REGULATION WITH COMPLETE CONTROL OF ST. CLAIR RIVER

Control	Structure	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Cost
Pt. Edward Bypass	Canal	Excavation Property damage Railroad changes	7,800,000	cu. yd.	\$ cts. 0 20	\$ 1,560,000 150,000 50,000 650,000
	regulating terms					2,410,000
		Engineering and contingen- cies	15-%			360,000
					1	2,770,000
Stag Island	Regulating works	Concrete Riprap. Piles. Cofferdam and pumping Gates and superstructure Concreting machine we	$21,500 \\ 5,050 \\ 72,000 \\ 2,500 \\ 2,045,000$	eu.yd. lin ft. lbs.	$\begin{array}{cccc} 15 & 00 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 0 & 85 \\ 240 & 00 \\ 0 & 08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 322,500\\ 15,150\\ 61,200\\ 600,000\\ 163,600\\ 30,000\end{array}$
	Longitudinal dike	Rock (low dike) High dike	$\begin{array}{r} 134,000 \\ 17,200 \\ 12,200 \end{array}$	eu. yd. lin. ft.	$\begin{array}{c}2 50\\175 00\\210 00\end{array}$	335,000 3,010,000 2,562,000 1,700,000
	Channel protection	Riprap	850,000	cu. yd.	2 00	9 700 450
		Engineering and contingen-	15-107			1,320,550
		cies	10-70			10.120.000
Woodtick Island	Regulating works	Concrete Riprap. Gates and superstructure.	25,500 6,000 1,175,000	cu.yd. lbs.	$15 & 00 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 0 & 08 \\ \end{array}$	382,500 18,000 94,000 40,000
	Longitudinal dike	Piling. Cofferdam and pumping. Dredging. High dike.	73,000 3,000 150,000 12,300 183,000	lin. ft. eu. yd. lin. ft.	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 85 \\ 210 00 \\ 0 50 \\ 128 00 \\ 2 00 \end{array} $	62,050 630,000 75,000 1,574,400 366,000
	Channel protection	. ruprap	. 100,000	cu. yu.	-	3,241,950
		Engineering and contingen	15+%			488,050
						3,730,000
St. Clair Delta Control	Regulating works Channel straightening	Materials. Excavation Property damage. Excavation	4,400,000	eu. yd. "	0 25 0 25	593,000 1,100,000 80,000 2,800,000 81,000
	Connection with middl and north channels Channel protection	Land damagee Excavation Riprap	. 1,020,000		0 25 2 00	255.000 440,000
						5,349,000
		Engineering and contingen	n- 15-%			801,000
		Patrick				6,150,000
Niagara River	. Longitudinal dike	Crib dike	4,00 4,00	lin. ft.	160 00 160 00	640,000 640,000
	Channel enlargement Waterworks intake	Pumping. Dry rock excavation Wet rock eacavation Relocation	3,450,00	o cu.yd.	1 75 4 00	$ \begin{array}{c} 100,000\\ 6,038,000\\ 3,400,000\\ 60,000 \end{array} $
	Regulating works					990,00
		Engineering and continge	n-	4	4	1 782 00
		cies	15+9	0		13,650,00
Total Cost for Cor	n-					36,420,00
Detroit R	iver—Not usedCo Co Lo Co	ntrol works west of Grosse ntrol works east of Fightin ngitudinal dike on bar near ntrol works, Grosse Isle to	Isle g Island upper end o longitudina	f Grosse Is l dike	\$ de	839,000 1,070,000 1,020,000 766,000
	Er	gineering and contingencies	15+%		\$	3,695,000 555,000
					\$	4,250,000

Transcold market and the factor

AL GENERAL AND SHARE AN AND THE DOLL DESCRIPTION OF A DAMAGE AND A DAMAGE OF A

transfer and the second of the second s

100.000 S

4

and the second designment of a second s



.

Sa

-

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

217

APPENDIX B-PLATE I



218

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

~









222

St. Lawrence Waterway Project





224

Lawrence Waterway Project

St.

																																														APP	ENDIX E	- PLAT	TE 9	-
-			0.01	Tu		100	-		Tu	200	100		0.01	10	2	100		201	100	- 1	0.06	10	77	1908	Tie	09	1910	T	911	191	2	913	1914	. 19	915	191	6 1	917	1918	191	9 19	20	1921	19:	22	1923	1924	1925	5	-
575	189	+ 1	895		396	189	17	898	R	599	1900		901	190	02	190		904	190	51	906	194	-	1900			1510	+	-		-			-		T	+	-			+	T						-	575	
	\vdash	+	-	+		-	+	+	+			+		-		-	+	+		+	-			-	+			1																			++-		- 574	
- 574							-		T									M				1						-	-			A		-	-	A	++	A	K	A		A		17		-	th		-	Level
3 573	TA.						1	A		A	m.		4		A	A		A	II	+	A	+6	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	M		A	A	+	-	M	\mathbf{H}		tA		6	11	1	11					IN	1	11	-	TA		- 5/3	Sea
9400	H	Ĥ	-	+	1	H	1	P	Vf	++	1	Y	AL	1	N	T	V			47	1	*/	14		12		24	t	m	11	74	1	VIN	V	AV	11	14		M	¥1	V	4	ψ	VI	W	A	FAI	A	-572	bove
10 572	1A	t	1		11	11	1	A		1		ľ		ľ,	1	1		1	V	T.	\square	JV.	~	V	W	1	i	14	A		V	-	N	A.	AL	r	10		N		N			V	1	\uparrow	11	1A	1	feet a
E 57	V	A	-	Y	A	1/	1	1	V	1	/	A	A	++		1	V	-	N	-	-	-	1.0		Y	+	4	+	47	V		+		Y							.U			1				VI	I.	i i
Stafe	H	-	A	t	1	1		-	+			-	f	V												-								-	-			-			-	-		+	-			++	- 570	Stag
574				Ť																	-	-			- I		DIE	+	-			-	++	-	-		-		-		-		1	+					1	
÷	-	+	-	+	-			-	-			-	-	-		-	+	-		-	-	-	19		T			+	-															-						E
. Se 30	0	+		+					1					1				-													π	nR		-	-			A				-	-	+			++	++	-	d Sec
puesr 25										f			_				3	H	-	H	-	-	th			-		+	-		-	北	5+	-	-	15		夶	1	21	. 0	-	In		T			11	250	ousan
Thoi	H	R		0	-	n		n	+	h	17	74		-	-	2	4	1		4	1	by	1	hult	h	1/1	Ind			Л	-	11	-10	-	-	1/	1	7	10	~V	N.	or ·	1r	p.		LA	h	+	200	in Th
20	° HU	H	-		h	1	2	1	in		11	韦	n	1	n	FT	T		14		K_	17		9	T	U,	28	7	K	KILI			TAT	-	4	1	-		PLA			JU L	I "In	P	-LILA	K	44	15	-	arse
ischa		1		Y	/	-						-	· .	-	-		+	+	+	-	-	-	-		+	-		-		+						-						-	-	-					- 150	Disch
0	-	+	-	+	-	-	-					-		1.		100		100/	10	OF	100	6 10	207	100	8	009	19	0	1911	19	12	1913	191	4	1915	19	16	1917	191	3 19	19 1	920	192	1 19	922	192	3 1924	. 192	25	-
24	- 189	4	189	5	1896	18	97	189	8	1899	190	00	1901	15	902	190	3	1904	19	05	190			150				-	1311	-	-			-	-	-			-				- 1	+	T	-			249	
	9	_			-	-	-		+			-		+	-		-	-	+-			-	-		-	-			-			٨				1	1			1		1			-				248	s rel
7 24	18			+	-	+			-	-					n			D		1	4		A	N		à	-			1	4	A	-	+	-	11	1	A		-	+	•		-	A		A	+		co Le
16 Se.					-		h	A		11	T	I	TY		A	ŕ	1	IA	++	1	1		A	11		1A	1	H	+	+	11	1	th	it	-	1	1	11	1		1	A	10		小	1		1	724	ove S
abo		++	-	-	1	+/	1	1	4	H	1	1	+	H	1	ir	1			N	1.	V	19	Ø	1		Víc	1	1X	J!	11		VI	11	1	VI	3	11	VI	W/	V	1	VII	¥	11	1/1	WA	VI	- 24	et at
Lee 2	6 T	++	4	H	+	¥/	1	1	+	A	TA		Jil	Y	1	11	14	1	V	1	V	T		1	Y		1	1		11			V	Y	0	1	11		V	-	1	fr	++	11	$\left \right $	Y/		Yn		in Fe
380	5	1	~	V	T	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	11	1	1	1		V			~	-	-	+	<i>i</i>	Y	1	\mathcal{H}	1	-			1	A	4		V						41	T	11	V	VI	1.	Stafe
あ	-	-	4		1	+	1	-	+		1	V	V	14	-		+		-											-												-		+	+	++			24	4
2	44			1		4																		LA	KE	ON	TAF	10	-	+	-		-			+	-			+				+	+		-			
	L			1							-			-	-	-	-	-	+	-		+	-		+	-	+			+	-														-				35	50 +
£ 3	50		-	-	-	-	-		-		+			+	-	-		-		-										-		-				-	-	-		-			-	+	+	+		+	-	Sec. 1
S pu											1				-		a	_1		R		-	A		1	-	+			+	f		R				ft		կ	n			-		R				30	sand oo
nousar	°°		-							0		5		-		11		ft	\parallel	+		1	-	41		1	+				IF	In	U A	Π			Ar	1 A	J.C	TI.	TI			-	11			-	2	Thou 20
F 2	50			-		-	+			T	47	1			0#	戊	K	ť	în i	1 th	11		1	11	1	T	VIP'	À	1		TU-	11	1		~	1	1	IF-	Un	34		T	UA	1	fH	NA	1	33 6	n	șe in
arte	I	7	0	5	Th		h	1	N	11		0-	, 14	m,	174	Y		Į.	1	1	PL	Ш٢	И	4	Ц	/Ir	-ff	IL.	11	-	-	1			<u> da</u>	-	1	1	fu		11510	4	24	-	1	V	a ye	V	2	schar
Disch	V		J	7	1	V		ľ l	-	~	-	-	-	Y		-			-					-	-		-			ľ														-	-	-		-	-	50
-	50		-			-	+		-		-			-																								1.1								TE				
	-								-					-																									P	ST. L	AW	ON	OFT	L V	GR	EAT	LAK	ES		-
		Heavy	y lines	es indi	icate	Regula Past 3	stage:	or D	lisch.	arges,	correc	ted	for p	resent	t cor	dition	5																					1	NITH	COM	PLET	TE C	ONTR	OL	OF	ST. C	LAIR P	IVER		
		Diver	sion a	at C at N	hicago lew V	assu (ellank	med d Car	as 85 nal as	Q0 c	ubic fe ed as	et per 5000	cubi	c feet	per :	secon	ł																								1	Com	ES	ERI	E 8	d disc	NTA	RIO			
To accompany report of Joint Board of Engineers. dated Nov. 16,1926																																						1	accon	pany 1	report	of Jo	int Bo	ard of	Eng	ineers.	dated N	Nov. 16,1	926	

Contraction of the local division of the loc

The state

45827-15

St. Lawrence Waterway Project





45827-151





APPENDIX B - PLATE 13



APPENDIX B-PLATE 14

230

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

APPENDIX B - PLATEIS



Manufactures into a comme

St. Lawrence Waterway Project



232

Lawrence Waterway



Contraction of the local division of the loc

The second secon

Lawrence Waterway Project

233

-



234

Lawrence Waterway Project



Manufacture of the little

.....



.

APPENDIX B-PLATE 21 Regulated Discharge of the St. Lawrence River for the Period in Thousands of Second Feet. 290 300 310 320 280 260 270 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 160 170 249 Level. 249 Sea First Walt-August r Bas Mean 00 248 248 Elev. fro March above prorit R) Second Half-April Carrection in 1000 c.f.s. Add if above base. Subtract if below base. Lake Huron Correction Hatr. Feet Octob 247 5 teet 247 \$ N.Y. November Oswego ; Mora First Half-December 246 ot MS 246 Period of 260 270 280 290 300 245 \$ 245 ing Beginni St. Lawrence Waterway PROPOSED REGULATION at OF Mail Stage LAKE ONTARIO ALONE Stage 544 244 RULE CURVES Te accompany Report of Joint Board of Engineers dated November 16. 1926 Appandix B-Ptate 21 243 160

240

230

220

250

Level.

Mean

above

E

N.Y.

ego,

at 100 Per

of

Beginni

at

180

170

190

200

210

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

APPENDIX B - PLATE 22



APPENDIX C

DETAILED PLANS AND ESTIMATES FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE ST. LAWRENCE

UNIT COSTS

1. The extended study made by the Board of the probable cost of the works has led to the adoption of unit costs in the several sections as follows:—

2. THOUSAND ISLANDS SECTION. The material to be excavated is principally granite rock, and practically all excavation is subaqueous. The basic unit cost is taken as \$10 per cubic yard for excavation with a cutting face of at least 4 feet, suitably increased to cover the proportional amount of excavation having a less cutting face, and further increased to cover the cost of transporting the plant to and from the work.

3. INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION. The material overlying rock in this section is generally a mixture of clay, sand, gravel, hardpan, and boulders. The swifter portions of the river, where most of the dredging is to be done, is generally paved with boulders.

4. MATERIAL FOR CONCRETE. Crushed rock can be obtained from quarries on the American shore between Gouverneur and Potsdam, with a rail haul of from 30 to 50 miles; from quarries north of Cornwall, with a short rail haul, or by water from the Thousand Islands region, with about 100 miles haul. It is doubtful whether the rock obtained from excavation will be suitable for concrete, since the borings show that it contains shale.

5. Sand can be obtained from extensive deposits north of Prescott. The river bed above Ogdensburg, and sand and gravel pits which may be developed in the vicinity of the work, offer possibilities of alternative sources.

6. UNIT PRICES. Considering the nature of the material to be excavated, and the sources of the material for concrete, the following basic unit prices are used:—

	Per Cubic 1a
Excavation. earth, dry	\$ 65
Dredging other than rock	. 90
Book dry	5 175
Deck, ut f	. 4 25
The state of the state states Section	. 75
Embankments by Canadian Section	1 60
Covenete mass in locks etc	. 10 00
Concrete, mass, in locas, etc	. 12 00
Concrete, mass, in dams	. 15 00

The basic prices for excavation and fill are departed from when the special conditions, such as the disposal of excavated material, indicate that different prices should be adopted.

7. LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION. On account of the nature of the material to be excavated in this section, and the disposal areas available, the unit price taken for soft mud overlying sand and gravel is 55 cents per cubic yard.

8. SOULANGES AND LACHINE SECTIONS. In the Soulanges Section the bulk of the material to be removed is marine clay. This material can be easily excavated by hydraulic dredges where conditions permit the use of such plant. The unit

prices adopted for the excavation of marine clay in this section varies from 35 to 55 cents per cubic yard, depending upon the conditions of disposal. The unit prices adopted for the removal of boulder clay is 65 cents per cubic yard. The unit price for excavation of rock, dry, is taken at \$1.60 per cubic yard.

9. In the Lachine Section the overburden is largely boulder clay, and the price adopted for earth is 65 cents per cubic yard, that adopted for the excavation of shale rock is \$1.20 per cubic yard, dry, and \$3.per cubic yard, wet. Other rock is at \$1.60 per cubic yard, and \$4.25, wet.

10. The work proposed in the Soulanges and Lachine Sections involves the excavation of large amounts of solid rock. Much of this rock, when crushed and washed, will be suitable for concrete. Sand can be obtained from deposits near the mouth of the Chateauguay river and in the Lake of Two Mountains.

11. On account of the ease with which rock and sand can be obtained in these sections, the anit price for concrete is taken at \$1 less per cubic yard than in the International Rapids Section.

12. FLOWAGE. In compiling estimates of flowage damage, a detailed field examination was made of all properties affected. Liberal allowances were made in all cases, and due cognizance was taken of severance and other disabilities which owners might suffer by execution of the work proposed. No allowance has been made for water rights, but the values of leases of water-power on Government canals has been included in the estimates under the terms of surrender provided therein.

NAVIGATION STANDARDS

13. CHANNELS. In general, navigation channels are not less than 200 feet bottom width when flanked by two embankments, not less than 300 feet when flanked by one embankment, and not less than 450 feet when both sides of the channel are submerged.

In cases where navigation is carried through restricted stretches of river, a sectional area of 65,000 square feet is provided at mean stage. This is equivalent to a sectional area of about 70,000 square feet at high stages, and a maximum velocity somewhat less than 5 feet per second in such channels. In general, maximum velocities and channels 450 feet wide are used only in short stretches of river where the view is unobstructed and where cross-currents are not encountered. The minimum radius of curvature adopted is 5,000 feet with at least one-quarter mile of tangent between reversals. The alignment is drawn so as to eliminate cross-currents wherever possible.

14. BRIDGES. Bridges are designed to afford a least horizontal clearance of 200 feet at right angles to the channel, except where located at locks, where they span the entire channel. All bridges crossing the channel are drawbridges. In general, the draws are of the vertical lift type. The estimates are based on a lift affording 120 feet clearance, corresponding to the bridges in the New Welland Ship canal, but the clearance can be increased at any time at relatively small cost.

15. LOCKS. As stated in paragraph 113 of the Main Report, the locks conform in dimensions with those of the new Welland Ship canal, and have chambers 859 feet in length between inner quoinposts and 766 feet between breast wall and fender. Their clear width is 80 feet and the depth on the sills 30 feet. The general design of a typical lock is shown on Plate 1, Appendix C.
Power-House Design

15. The design of power-houses, for the large flow and varying heads on the St. Lawrence, was gone into with care. The conditions in general on the river call for power units of larger dimensions than have yet been built, and the Board recognizes the uncertain trend of present practice with regard to draft-tube design.

17. The Board established certain dimensional ratios and stability coefficients conforming to current practice. From tentative designs, a curve of quantities was prepared and is shown on Plate 2. This method of procedure secures a correct comparison between projects and safe estimates generally.

18. The prices used for power-house equipment are derived from curves prepared from many direct quotations coupled with actual prices of equipment recently installed in power stations. (Plates 3-8.)

19. DYKES.—The standard design for dykes adopted by the Board is shown on Plate 9.

Administration and Contingencies

20. To cover the costs of administration, engineering, and contingencies, a percentage of about $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent has been added to all estimates, including the estimated costs of power-house machinery.

21. The foundation conditions at the various dams cannot be definitely known until the sites are unwatered. The estimates are based on founding the structures from 3 to 8 feet below the rock surface indicated by the borings, besides providing a heel trench of ample dimensions. To cover the contingency that, when a site is unwatered, suitable foundations will be found at a somewhat lower elevation than is indicated by the borings, a special allowance of 10 per cent of the quantity of the concrete as computed on the above basis, has been added in case of each dam.

DATUM PLANES

22. The datum plane used in all plans west of Summerstown on lake St. Francis is mean sea level New York Harbour, United States 1903 adjusted levels, and the datum plane used in all plans east of that point is that of the Georgian Bay adjusted levels. The zero of the Georgian Bay adjusted datum is 0.30 foot below the Georgian Bay instrumental datum used in many published water-level records, and is 0.30 foot above United States 1903 adjusted datum at Ogdensburg.

THOUSAND ISLANDS SECTION

(Mile 0 to Mile 67)

23. As explained in the Main Report, the St. Lawrence river between Tibbetts point, at the outlet of lake Ontario, and Chimney point, at the foot of the section, is wide and deep for the greater part of the 67 miles embraced in the section. At numerous places, however, granite reefs endanger navigation. For a length of about 7 miles through the Alexandria bay narrows and for a length of about $3\frac{1}{2}$ miles through the Brockville narrows, the river flows through a rocky gorge with an average velocity of about three feet per second, over a solid rock floor 150 feet below the surface at many points.

24. In this reach there are on the average about 200 hours of fog in the navigation season. Navigation through these two narrow stretches of river will be hazardous for the larger ships if a fog should close in while making the passage, since they cannot anchor on account of poor holding ground. In accordance with standards adopted, the minimum width of channels shown in these stretches is 450 feet. To enlarge the channels to a width of 600 in the Alexandria bay and Brockville narrows would be exceedingly expensive on account of the amount of solid rock requiring removal. To provide separate up and down channels would be less costly.

up and down channels would be less costly. 25. If found to be necessary, a series of landing cribs can be built along the north side of the channel at some of the points where solid rock is excavated. If this were done ships could reverse their engines and moor to these cribs on the downstream voyage should visibility be unexpectedly interfered with. As there is some doubt as to the necessity of these provisions and as landing cribs can be added when required, they are not included in the plans attached to this report.

26. Plans of the portion of the section in which the work is located are shown on plates 10 to 16.

27. The detail estimates of the excavation are as follows:-

CHANNEL 25 FEET DEEP

Excavation, rock, 64,000 cu. yds. at \$12.50 Overdepth, 12,000 cu. yds. at \$12.50 Administration, inspection, and contingencies 12½ per cent	\$ 800,000 150,000 119,000
Total Rounded total	\$1,069,000 1,100,000
CHANNEL 23 FEET DEEP	
Excavation, rock, 41,000 cu. yds. at \$13.25 Overdepth. 7,400 cu. yds. at \$13.25 Administration, inspection, and contingencies 12½ per cent	$543,000 \\ 98,000 \\ 80,000$
Total Saving in cost under channel 25 feet deep	\$ 721,000 348,000
CHANNEL 27 FEET DEEP	
Excavation, rock, 96,000 cu. yds. at $$12.00$ Overdepth, 17,500 cu. yds. at $$12.00$ Administration, inspection, and contingencies $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent	$1,152,000 \\ 210,000 \\ 170,000$
Total Excess cost over channel 25 feet deep	\$1,532,000 463,000
ENLARGEMENT OF CHANNEL FROM 25-FOOT DEPTH TO 30-	FOOT DEPTH
Excavation, rock, 98,500 cu. yds. at \$12.00 Overdepth, 25,750 cu. yds. at \$12.00 Administration, inspection, and contingencies 12½ per cent	$\$1,182,000\ 309,000\ 190,000$
Total	\$1,681,000

INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION

(Mile 67 to Mile 115)

28. DETAILED DESCRIPTION. At Chimney point (mile 67) the first marked contraction of the river occurs. A fall of 0.5 foot takes place in the passage through the chain of islands which here cross the river. Two and one-half miles downstream the river enters the Galop rapids, lying north and south of Galop island. There is a fall of about 8 feet through these rapids. From the foot of the Galop rapids (mile 73) to the head of Ogden island (mile 82),

strong currents are found, particularly at the contracted sections at Sparrowhawk point, point Iroquois, point Rockway, and point Three Points. The fall from the foot of the Galop rapids to the head of the rapide Plat, at Ogden island, is about 12 feet; and through the rapide Plat about 11 feet. From the foot of the rapide Plat, at Morrisburg (mile 85), to Weavers point (mile 92) the river is generally contracted, with strong currents and a fall of approximately 5 feet. It then flows through a fairly broad reach with small slope, to the head of Croil island (mile 95). There are strong currents, and a fall of about 4 feet, through the contracted channel north of Croil island, known as the Farran Point rapids. The river then flows four miles with moderate current and slight slope to the head of the Long Sault rapids (mile 102). The Long Sault rapids, with a fall of 30 feet, are the most formidable in the section. From the foot of these rapids to the town of Cornwall (mile 111) the currents are strong and the fall about 15 feet. The total fall through the section is 92 feet at mean river stages.

29. PRESENT NAVIGATION. Present upbound navigation passes around the rapids through a series of lateral canals; the Galop canal around the Galop rapids and the swift water above the town of Iroquois; the Morrisburg canal around the rapide Plat; the Farran Point canal around the Farran Point rapids; and the Cornwall canal around the Long Sault rapids and the swift water below them. Downbound commercial navigation runs some of the rapids, and some passenger boats run them all.

	Population
Cardinal mile 73	1,241
Iroquois mile 79	916
Morrisburg, mile 85	1,381
Altsville, mile 95	350
Erman Drint mile 07	296

31. Dwellings and farm buildings are located along the river bank throughout the section.

32. The town of Wales, population 250, lying on Hoople creek about a mile from the river bank, is below the maximum level to which the water surface could be raised.

33. The villages of Moulinette and Mille Roches (population 829) are located on Bergen lake, and will be affected if the level of that lake is raised.

34. On the United States side, the only town directly affected is Waddington (mile 83), most of which lies well above the maximum level to which the water can be raised. A small collection of houses known as Louisville Landing (mile 95) is located at so low an elevation as to be affected by any substantial rise in the river levels. In general, however, dwellings and farm buildings on the United States shore affected by the raising of the river levels are far less numerous than those on the Canadian shore.

35. PRESENT POWER DEVELOPMENTS. Water leases have been issued by the Department of Railways and Canals of the Dominion of Canada as follows:—

		010.
	From the Galop Canal— At Cardinal (Canada Starch Co.) At Iroquois	660 329
	From the Morrisburg Canal	1,630
	From the Cornwall Canal— At foot of Bergen Lake (St. Lawrence Power Co.)	1,000
_	At Cornwall	1,001

45827-161

36. On the United States side, a major diversion of water is made by the St. Lawrence River Power Co. through a power canal which leads from the river near the head of Long Sault island (above the Long Sault rapids) to the Grass river at Massena, where the power works are located. The water is discharged through the Grass river into the south channel of the St. Lawrence at Cornwall island. With the enlargement that has been made in the Grass River channel, the effective summer head at the power plant is a little more than 40 feet. The installed capacity at the power plant is reported as 86,000 horse-power.

37. Under an order of the International Joint Commission, dated December 6, 1922, the diversion at Massena is limited to 25,000 cfs. except when, in the opinion of a board of control constituted of two engineers, one appointed by each country, the diversion of a larger quantity will not injuriously affect navigation.

38. The average diversion through the Massena power canal at the present time is about 23,000 cfs. during the summer months and 16,000 cfs. during the winter months, the flow being reduced during the latter period to secure and maintain an ice cover over the power canal, in the interest of operating conditions.

39. PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT. As explained in Appendix E on ice formation, paragraphs 10 and 11, several special features must be met in the improvement of the St. Lawrence river. The river valley is shallow and restricted for many miles below Galop rapids, and water levels above the Galop Control are subjected to uncontrollable oscillation due to changes in barometric pressure and wind. A typical fluctuation of levels which barometric changes set up on the St. Lawrence is shown on plate 25. Property values along the shores of lake Ontario prevent water levels being raised high enough to secure useful ice covers between Chimney point and Morrisburg without extensive enlargements of the channels.

40. East of Cardinal, the general elevation of the land near the river is below the level of lake Ontario. Nearly all the towns and villages in the district are located on this low strip of territory and any substantial raise in the water levels will require readjustments at these towns.

41. In this section solid rock outcrops at several points, but is at a suitable elevation for foundations of dams and power houses at only a few places.

42. For the improvement of the International Rapids section of the St. Lawrence, the Board has closely considered the following general forms of improvement:—

- (1) Full single-stage schemes with the Long Sault reach at maximum level and a free navigation channel at Galop rapids.
- (2) Two stage schemes with an upper dam and power houses at either Ogden island or Crysler island, and with a lower dam and power houses at Barnhart island.
- (3) Partial single-stage schemes with lock and control dam at Galop rapids and the Long Sault reach held at nearly maximum level with a long free spillway.

43. In each of these foregoing forms of improvement, navigation is provided by means of side canals and locks past the dams.

44. FULL SINGLE-STAGE PLAN. As shown in paragraph 144 of the Main Report, the design of full single-stage projects can be varied in several ways. The dam at the foot of the section can be built in different locations, navigation

channels at Galop rapids can follow a number of routes, and channel enlargement between Lotus island and Morrisburg can be made by widening present channels or excavating new ones.

45. A full-stage project with navigation channels north of Galop island and a dam at the foot of Barnhart island has been drawn up by the United States section. It will be referred to as Project No. 1-242. This plan is typical of what can be done with a single-stage development as regards cost and power capacity, but can be modified in a number of particulars. It is shown on plates 17 to 24. The estimated cost is \$235,000,000. Detail estimates will be found in table 1.

46. The chief works in this project are as follows:-

- (a) A free open channel for navigation north of Galop island with control gates in the channels north of Adams island and south of Galop island.
- (b) Such channel enlargement between Lotus island, at the foot of Galop rapids, and Morrisburg as is necessary to give 95,000 square feet sectional area at ordinary operating levels.
- (c) A dam with United States and Canadian power houses at the foot of Barnhart island. These directly control the level of lake Ontario.
- (d) A side canal with two locks for carrying navigation past the dam and power houses.
- (e) Such embankments and drainage works as are required to protect the villages of Iroquois, Morrisburg, Aultsville, Farran point, Dickinson landing, and Wales from the raised levels of the river.

47. At Galop rapids all the river enlargement deemed to be necessary is made in one central channel. The approach channel has a bottom elevation of 215. It is carried through the upper end of Galop island, with a width of 800 feet and bottom elevation 210, and downstream with a width of 600 feet and same depth along the north Galop channel to below Lotus island. The channel at the foot of the rapids south of Lotus island is also enlarged.

48. In the present north and south Galop channels, piers with Stoney sluice gates are placed. These gates are 50 feet in width and the sills conform generally to the natural rock surface which crosses the river at this point. Similar gates extend across a portion of the approach to the central channel, leaving a clear opening of 500 feet with sill at elevation 215.

49. Under the proposed program for the regulation of lake Ontario, it is necessary, in about one year out of six, to discharge water at an excess rate during the first part of December, with lake Ontario at relatively low level, in order that the subsequent outflow during the winter may be restricted without incurring the danger of excessively high levels in the spring. The maximum requirement at such times is a discharge of 310,000 cfs. with lake Ontario at elevation 246.5. Under such conditions the fall at the Galop from Butternut island to the foot of Lotus island is computed by the United States section to be 3.25 feet, and the maximum velocity in the navigation channel, at the pass through the contraction works, at about 6 feet per second.

50. At Sparrowhawk point, Toussaint island, Rockway point, and other places between Lotus island and Morrisburg, river enlargements are shown. These are designed to give 95,000 square feet at river elevations corresponding to water level of 246.5 in lake Ontario and 210,000 cfs. flowing in the river. By the regulation of lake Ontario as submitted in Appendix B, the January discharge will give an average velocity of 2.25 feet per second in this reach.

51. Dykes are shown in front of the villages of Iroquois, Aultsville, Farran point, and Wales, and also in front of the town of Morrisburg. On both shores of the river from Weavers point to the power houses and dam at the foot of

Barnhart island, the line of dykes shown is almost continuous. The crest of the dykes is placed at 254.5 east of Weavers point and somewhat higher west of it. The tops of the dykes are $5\frac{1}{2}$ to 7 feet above the maximum level of lake Ontario.

52. The main dam and the United States and Canadian power houses in this project are at the foot of Barnhart island, just below a very deep narrow gorge in the river at this point. They are in a straight line and founded on rock which varies from elevation 104.0 to 113.0.

53. The elevation of the water surface at this point in summer is 159.7 at mean stage. In winter it rises quite frequently to elevation 180 and levels as high as 190.0 have been recorded on a number of occasions. The sectional area of the river at the site of the dam is about 38,000 square feet at mean stage, but about one mile above it is much less and the high velocities generated by this restriction carry through the part of the river where the dam is shown.

54. The open cofferdam method is intended to be used for all the work at this point, but should it be decided to use the pneumatic process for the dam section, the estimate will not be materially increased.

55. The dam is found on rock which has been found to be about 51 feet below water level at this point. It is 2,975 feet in length. It is provided with 46 Stoney sluice gates, 50 feet in width by 25.5 feet in height, with sills at elevation 223. The piers between the gates are 15 feet in thickness. The discharge capacity of the sluices is sufficient to pass the maximum flow occurring at minimum pool level. The depth of the foundations permits of a design in which the energy of the falling water will be dissipated in the pool at the toe of the dam, without danger to the structure.

56. POWER HOUSES. Two power houses are shown at this dam; they are at either end and in line with the dam. Each is 1,750 feet in length, and is capable of housing 22 main units and 3 auxiliary units. The main units are designed to deliver approximately 54,000 horse-power each at full summer head of 85 feet. At the predicted winter head of 75 feet, their capacity will be about 45,000 horse-power each. Sluices to carry off ice and trash are to be provided at the shore ends of the power houses. Both power houses are located outside of the main river channel. The solid rock surface on which the United States power house is to be built is about 55 feet below the water level of the river in summer and 75 feet below the water level in winter. The Canadian power house will be on rock from 10 to 50 feet higher. 57. A spur from the New York Central Railroad (Ottawa Branch) as

57. A spur from the New York Central Railroad (Ottawa Branch) as diverted will run to the United States power house. A spur from the Canadian National Railway will run to the Canadian power house.

58. An alternative site for a dam and power houses at this locality is presented in the plans submitted in 1926 by the Frontier Power Corporation to the state of New York, and is indicated on plate 22. This site is at Hawkins point, about one-half mile upstream from the site above described. The foundation rock under the shore here lies from 5 to 15 feet lower than at the site downstream, but requires further exploration. The unwatering program proposed at the Hawkins point site is to divert the river through a channel excavated through Hawkins point. The dam as finally constructed will extend across both the diversion channel and the natural channel. Parallel estimates with a dam and power house at this site are given in table 2.

59. As pointed out in the Main Report (par. 144), the dam can be located at the head of Barnhart island and the power houses at the foot of that island if the foundation conditions at either site above described are regarded as unduly difficult. The estimates of cost with this arrangement are given in table 3.

60. The side canal used for carrying navigation past the dam at the foot of Barnhart island crosses over a tongue of land between Robinson bay and the mouth of the Grass river. It has two lift locks, but no guard lock. The lift of the upper or Robinson bay lock is about 42 feet and that of the lower or Grass river lock about 46 feet. The foundation of both these locks is carried to solid rock, which is at elevation 122 at the upper lock and 105 at the lower lock. The upper lock of this side canal is to be equipped with duplicate gates, fender chains, and an emergency wicket dam. An upper entrance pier 1,200 feet long is provided on one side and an entrance embankment 1,500 feet long on the other side.

61. To afford a straight river approach to the lock at Robinson bay a channel 450 feet wide is excavated across the point of land above the entrance. The Robinson Bay lock has its upper gate sills at elevation 207, lower gate sills at elevation 169, main coping at elevation 251.5, and lower coping at elevation 204.

62. The Grass River lock has its upper gate sills at elevation 169, lower gate sills at elevation 122, main coping at elevation 204, and lower coping at elevation 160. The upper and lower gates are in duplicate, and an unwatering gate is provided at each end of the lock outside of the service gates. No emergency dam is provided for this lock, since in the event of the failure of the lock gates the pool would be nearly drained by the time that the dam could be closed.

63. Water to maintain the level of the pool between the locks is to be supplied through an auxiliary culvert in the walls of the upper lock. To prevent rise in the pool, a waste weir is provided adjacent to the lock. Normal regulation of the pool is provided by 8 sluices, with sills at elevation 194, closed by crest gates 15 feet in width. Under these lie 8 submerged sluices, closed by gates 15 feet by 11.5 feet. These are to be operated only if ever necessary to discharge the great volume of water, estimated at around 60,000 cfs., which would result from a failure of the gates of the upper lock. The fall from the intermediate pool to the mouth of the Grass river is divided into two drops at the waste weir, the crest of the lower drop being at elevation 154. The waste weir is designed with pile foundations with a concrete cut-off wall extending to rock under the upper and lower weirs.

64. To prevent currents caused by a flood in the Grass river from interfering with the approach to the lower lock, the mouth of the river is to be straightened by a compensating channel, and separated from the lock approach by a rock dyke.

65. The Ottawa branch of the New York Central railroad is to be diverted from its present crossing of the south Cornwall channel to a crossing immediately below the lower gates of the Grass River lock, where it will cross the canal by a bascule span. It will rejoin the present line by a cantilever span over Pollys Gut.

66. Highway connection with the United States power house, and to the land north of the canal, is to be afforded by a ferry across the intermediate pool, in order to avoid an additional bridge over the canal.

67. The winter operation of power plants with the completed plan is based on the creation of an ice cover extending from Lotus island to the power houses at Barnhart island, but with an open channel, $3\frac{1}{2}$ miles in length from Butternut island to the foot of Lotus island. The area of open water to be expected is about 1.8 square miles. If the accumulation of floating ice to be dealt with is limited to that produced in this open reach, no material gorging can occur. Temperature measurements show that the mean temperature of the water, as

it leaves the present ice sheet above Ogdensburg and Prescott, is slightly above the freezing point, and the amount of ice manufactured in this open reach will be reduced in consequence, since the water must be chilled to the freezing point before the manufacture of ice can begin.

68. To insure the conditions above outlined, the continuity of the ice sheet above Butternut island should be maintained, in order that an ice jam may not form at the foot of the relatively fast water through the Galop channels. At the present time the ice sheet between Ogdensburg and Prescott is broken up by the powerful car ferry which operates between the two towns, in order to assure the lane required for the ferry operation. At the present time, the ice so broken out is carried by the current through the entire section, adding to the great accumulation of ice which forms at the foot of the section. After the river has been improved, and an ice sheet has formed to the foot of the Lotus island, the breaking up of this ice may become a more serious matter. Proper control should therefore be exercised over the ferries to prevent them from making ice conditions worse than they would be if nature were allowed to take its course.

69. Between Chimney point and Butternut island, the ice situation is now variable. During some years an ice sheet forms across the river, in others an open channel leads through the section, either through the north channel or through the main channel on the south of Drummond island. After the improvement of this part of the river has been completed, conditions should be more favourable for the formation of an ice sheet because of the enlargement to be made at Chimney point.

70. It is proposed to deposit some of the waste rock from the excavation of the Chimney Point Channel to form artificial islands in shoal water at the sides of the natural channel opposite Drummond island, in order to assist in holding the ice sheet. Booms may also be employed to form an ice cover in this reach at the start of winter.

71. In executing the works shown in project No. 1-242 at Galop rapids, no large amount of unwatering of river channels is required other than that associated with the dams and control structures,

72. In this plan three wide sweeping curves carry navigation from Chimney point to Galop island. These can be reduced in length, but at large cost, by a cut through the sill which extends between Chimney island and Drummond island.

73. For the improvement of the river between Galop rapids and Morrisburg the full single-stage plan shows a sectional area of 95,000 square feet at elevation 242 at Morrisburg and 243 at Lotus island. Initially, it is intended to make this enlargement only sufficient to give 70,000 square feet at mean stage, and bring about subsequently the full enlargement to 95,000 square feet or such other section as experience indicates to be required. (See para. 138, Main Report.)

74. On the United States shore, the land submerged by the ponding of the river is largely waste land, and the dyking is limited to that necessary to contain the pool. From the United States power house a dyke extends to the hill at Robinson bay. This dyke ranges from 20 to 30 feet in height. The gaps in the line of hills extending from Robinson bay to Massena canal are closed by dykes. Suitable drainage ditches are provided to replace the natural drainage line cut by this line of dykes.

75. A concrete intake, with gates, is to be constructed to by-pass the entrance to the Massena Power canal, and after its completion the present

entrance is to be closed by a dyke. By this means, the existing power plant at Massena can be kept in operation until its load is taken over by the main power house at the foot of Barnhart island.

76. A dyke 20 to 30 feet in height extends from the Massena canal to the hills paralleling the river about two miles to the west. A few small dykes are required at the low points in this line of hills. An embankment is required at the head of Coles creek.

77. On the Canadian side of the river the value of the land justifies a more extended system of dykes. The line of dykes extends from the Canadian power house to the high land on Barnhart island, thence across the head of the channel between Barnhart island and Sheek island, across the head of the latter island and the head of Bergen lake to the Canadian shore. It extends along the line of the low hills which lie close to the river bank from the head of Bergen lake to Farran point. At Farran point, and at Aultsville, the dyke line is along the river front to afford these towns protection, its crest being about 17 feet above their main streets. The dyke line terminates on the high ground back of the river two miles west of Aultsville. The dykes along this line are of moderate height, generally less than 20 feet, and for much of the distance, their bases are above ordinary pool level.

78. To care for the drainage into the river cut off by these dykes, including the flow of Hoople creek, a ditch is to be constructed along the low ground back of the river, emptying into the head of Bergen lake. Concrete drops are required in this ditch at the entrance into the valley of Hoople creek, and at the outlet into Bergen lake. Concrete bridges are provided at all road crossings. Suitable dykes are to be constructed to prevent flood flows in this ditch from backing up into the low lying portions of Aultsville and Farran point, and pumping plants are to be provided to take care of the local drainage of these towns at such times.

79. The bottom width of the drainage ditch increases gradually from 6 feet at its head to 45 feet at its outlet into Hoople creek, and is 80 feet from Hoople creek to Bergen lake. The grade of the bottom of the ditch is at elevation 226.5 at the head, 219 at the head of the drop into Hoople creek, and 200 at the head of the section between Hoople creek and the drop to Bergen lake. The slopes range from about 0.85 to 0.6 foot to the mile, insuring velocities which will not scour.

80. The low lying portion of the town of Morrisburg is to be protected by a dyke along the water front, its crest being 14 feet above the lowest portion of the main street. Sewage from the town is to be collected in an intercepting sewer and pumped into the river. Drainage ditches and a pumping plant are to be provided to care for storm water drainage. Similar provision is made for the protection of the low lying portion of Iroquois.

81. As an alternative to the plan for dyking and draining the low lying portions of these towns, it may be found more desirable to expend the funds assigned to that purpose in moving the buildings to the high land in the immediate vicinity, under a town planning scheme worked out in co-operation with the citizens. The low lying portions of the towns, above the minimum pool levels, could then be filled to form public parks.

82. MASSENA CANAL INTAKE. This intake is to be constructed with eleven sluices, each 16 feet in width, separated by piers 10 feet in thickness. When the pond is raised, the sluices are to be closed successively, and the weir built to crest elevation 220, the discharge during construction and after completion being controlled by gates 16 feet in width and 30 feet in height.

83. LOCK AT HEAD OF BERGEN LAKE. To permit of the completion of the dyke line without interrupting present canal navigation, and to prevent the interruption of such navigation while the pool is being raised, a lock is to be constructed at the head of Bergen lake before the dyke crossing the present navigation route is constructed. This lock is to be 255 feet in length between quoin posts and 45 feet in width in the clear. The upper and lower gate sills are at elevation 184, permitting 14-foot navigation to pass through the lock at the present elevation of Bergen lake. The coping is at elevation 251.5. The gates at the head and foot of the lock are in duplicate. A culvert through the lock walls will provide the water supply to continue the present canal and water leases as long as may be desired.

84. RECONSTRUCTION OF RAILROADS. It is necessary to raise the grade of the Canadian National Railway for a distance of $4\frac{1}{4}$ miles between Morrisburg and Aultsville, and for about one mile east of Iroquois. At the former location a realignment, shown on the drawings, will reduce the height of the embankment and permit the construction of the line while traffic is being carried on the present one. At the latter location, it will be desirable, for the sake of alignment, to raise the grade under traffic.

85. The terminus of the St. Lawrence Railroad, at Norwood, near Waddington, will be submerged by the proposed pool levels. It is planned, therefore, to provide a new terminus, just above the village, where the requisite navigation depth to the terminus will be afforded by the increased levels.

86. ROAD RELOCATION. On the United States shore it will be necessary to raise the present river road at a few points only between the head of the Galop and Waddington. The highway from Waddington to Massena will be reconstructed on a new straight alignment for 5.5 miles east of Waddington, and the river road thence to the head of the Massena canal will be reconstructed in places. Some road construction will be required to replace roads cut by the navigation canal.

87. On the Canadian side the highway along the river will require raising at a few low points above Iroquois. From a point about a mile above Iroquois to the head of Bergen lake, an extensive relocation of the shore highway is required. The estimates provide a concrete road. The easterly part of the relocation is on the dykes to be constructed here, the top width of the dykes, 40 feet, being ample for that purpose.

88. Two-STAGE PLANS. The design of two-stage projects can be varied in several ways. The upper dam and lock can be located at Ogden island near the head of the section where it will virtually act as a valve to control the flow out of lake Ontario even when the surface level above Galop rises and falls, due to changes of barometric pressure at the ends of lake Ontario. The upper dam and lock can also be located at Crysler island, farther downstream, where a higher head would be developed, but where the works would not control flows as effectively as in the upper location.

89. The two-stage project with upper dam and lock at Ogden island is mentioned in paragraph 131 of the Main Report. It will be referred to as Project No. 4-224. It is shown on plates 26 to 33. Detail estimates will be found on table 4. The chief works in this project are as follows:—

- (1) A free, open channel south of Galop island for navigation, along with a diversion through Galop island and enlargement of channels north of that island.
- (2) Channel enlargement between Lotus island and Ogden island to give 95,000 sq. ft. at ordinary operating levels.

- (3) A dam, lock and power house at Ogden island, where a head varying from 17 ft. in summer to 12 ft. in winter is developed.
- (4) Channel enlargement to 95,000 sq. ft. at a few places between Ogden island and Weavers point.
- (5) A dam at the head of Barnhart island with power houses at the foot of that island, where a head varying from 67 feet in summer to 62 feet in winter is developed.
- (6) A side canal with two lift locks and a pair of guard gates for carrying navigation past the dam. This canal is to be on the United States side of the river.
- (7) Such embankments and drainage works as are required to protect the villages of Iroquois and Wales and the sewerage system of the town of Morrisburg.

90. In project No. 4-224, the power plants at Ogden island are designed to operate at about 100 per cent load factor, at least during the winter season. The power plants at the foot of Barnhart island are designed to take advantage of permissible fluctuations in the reach between Ogden island and Barnhart island. Transmission lines of the plants at Ogden island and Barnhart island must be interconnected and variation of load should be carried by the lower plant.

91. In this project the channel enlargement proposed between Lotus island and Ogden island gives the same sectional area as that shown in the full singlestage project No. 1-242, but the works at Galop rapids are somewhat different. The free channel provided for navigation at Galop rapids is located on the south side of Galop island and occupies the whole length of the present south channel.

92. The enlargement provided in this navigation channel does not give all the section required to secure low velocity and flat slope at this point. Further enlargement is required and is provided by a special diversion channel through the head of Galop island. The diversion channel is provided with piers and roller gates which can be closed to control emergency flows. This control structure is not effective enough to check surges completely and it does not cross a large enough part of the outlet channels to permit the lowering of the reach below at the beginning of winter.

93. This channel is to be excavated and control dam completed before cofferdams are placed around the improvements for navigation in the south Galop channel. The water should be gradually allowed to enter the diversion as it is shut out of the channel south of Galop island. When the works south of Galop island are unwatered and all excavation is completed, and when the works at Ogden island are in a condition to hold the level of lake Ontario, cofferdams can be removed and the whole works brought into use.

94. The dam, power house and lock at Ogden island are located on the downstream slope of a wide sill of solid rock which crosses the river at about the middle of that island. The main dam, 1,200 feet long, with 19 gates each 50 feet wide and 26 feet deep, is shown at the foot of a diversion channel which is to be excavated through a low part of Ogden island. The discharge capacity of this dam is to be supplemented by additional gates at the downstream end of a power house in the main channel of the river.

95. The channel south of Ogden island is to be enlarged, and a power house 1,300 feet long is to be built across it, near the mouth of Big Sucker creek just east of Waddington. A power house, 3,600 feet long, is shown in the main channel of the river, north of Ogden island.

96. In this project navigation is carried past the dam at Ogden island by making use of the channel south of Ogden island and by a lock on the shore of Ogden island north and east of the power house shown in that channel.

97. The diversion channel is excavated to a bottom elevation of 205 and a width of 500 feet. It is almost entirely in earth. The channel above the power house south of Ogden island is excavated to a bottom elecation of 210 for a width of 800 feet. Some excavation is also shown north of Ogden island.

for a width of 800 feet. Some excavation is also shown north of Ogden island. 98. The solid rock surface on which these works are located is about elevation 186. It is intended that the diversion channel, with dam and the enlargement of the channel south of Ogden island, should be completed before the diversion of the flow of the river is begun. For diverting the flow of the river from its main channel a partial cofferdam of rock and a pier and gate structure is proposed on the high rock sill at the head of the rapide Plat. The power house in the channel north of Ogden island has been laid out with 54 units of 5,570 horse-power each at a head of 17 feet. The power house in the channel south of Ogden island has been laid out with 19 units of 5,570 horsepower at a head of 17 feet.

99. The estimates of this project provide for a timber-crib weir to be built below the power house north of Ogden island. It is intended temporarily to hold the tail water level up to about elevation 221, thereby preventing the head from exceeding 21 feet before the dam and power houses at Barnhart island raise the water to its regulated level, which will be about 226 under average summer conditions.

100. In project No. 4-224, the level of the reach above the dam at the head of Barnhart island is to be held to about elevation 224. With this elevation, channel enlargement is required only at a few points. These are indicated on plate No. 29.

101. The dam at the head of Barnhart island is 3,900 feet long. It extends from the head of Barnhart island to the foot of Long Sault island and thence to the high lands on the United States mainland. It is equipped at each end with 11 gates, each 50 feet wide and 21 feet deep. The central part of this dam has a spillway section with crest elevation 224.

102. The United States and Canadian power houses are located at the foot of Barnhart island. The overall length of these two power houses is 3,200 feet. They are shown on a straight line which extends from the above lock No. 20 on the Cornwall Canal to the foot of Barnhart island. North of the Canadian power house there is a retaining wall 400 feet long and north of this a spillway 500 feet long is introduced. To the north of this spillway a lock for 14-foot navigation is shown. This lock is designed to enable the Cornwall canal to be used during the construction period and afterwards. The power houses are to be equipped with a total of 38 units, each capable of developing 47,600 horse-power at 67-foot head. In the power houses proper, submerged or penstock gates provide a discharge capacity of 50,000 cfs. under normal operating conditions. At the south end of the power house 5 sluice gates are provided, each 50 feet wide and 10 feet deep.

103. In this project it is intended to enlarge the narrow channels at the head and foot of Bergen lake and also the narrow parts of the channel between Sheek and Barnhart islands. The total minimum section provided will be 75,000 square feet at elevation 224.

104. The dam at the head of Barnhart island is shown near the foot of Long Sault rapids where the solid rock is found at elevation ranging from 145 to 160. The water at this point is quite swift and elaborate arrangements are necessary to divert the flow in order to unwater the site of the dam.

105. As in the Report of 1921, a diversion channel, 250 feet wide with a grade elevation of 167, is to be excavated through Long Sault island. The sides of the channel are to be lined with concrete to protect them from scour. The westerly end of the main dam crosses the South Sault channel below the entrance of the above diversion channel. The lower part of this section of the dam is to be built before water is let into the diversion channel, and 20 gates, 18 feet wide and 30 feet deep, are to be installed in it. The channel above and below this dam is to be enlarged so that 209,000 cfs. can be passed through the dam with water level above the structure at stage 201.

106. In order to make the diversion effective and maintain present navigation, a timber-crib dam with piers 30 feet wide and 60 feet long, is shown in the river below lock 21 at the head of the Cornwall canal. The openings between these piers are 50 feet wide and by closing the openings the water level at the head of the diversion channel may be raised to elevation 206.

107. This will ensure a diversion of 160,000 cfs. through Long Sault island, 40,000 cfs. down the South Sault channel, and 25,000 cfs. down the Massena canal. It is expected that the diversion of this amount of water from the river, toegther with the control over flow that can be exercised at Galop rapids and at Ogden island by works shown there, will enable the part of the dam which lies in the main river to be built by the ordinary cofferdam method.

108. After the dam in the main channel of the river is completed, the openings left in the section at the foot of the South Sault channel can be filled with concrete, and the timber cut down at Lock 21 will be removed.

109. In this plan No. 4-224, navigation is carried past the dam at the head of Barnhart island by means of a side canal with two lift locks and a pair of protecting guard gates. This canal leaves the raised pool opposite Dickinson Landing and crosses over a saddle in Long Sault island and thence across flat country to a junction with the river at the mouth of the Grass river. Its total length is 6.9 miles. In this length of canal there is one reach 1.0 mile long, and another 1.5 long in which a bottom width of 300 feet is provided.

110. The upper lock in the canal has a 24-foot lift and is located about a mile west of Robinson bay. The lower lock has a lift of about 46 feet and is located near where the canal enters the river north of the mouth of the Grass river. The lock walls of both locks are carried to solid rock which is found at elevation 137 at the upper lock site and elevation 104 at the lower. About one mile above the upper lock, a retaining structure with a pair of guard gates is introduced.

111. A cross-current in the South Sault channel will be prevented by depositing waste material at some point in that channel below the head of the Massena canal.

112. Should it be finally decided to build the main dam in the river at the foot of Barnhart island or at the head of the Little Long Sault rapids above Robinson bay, the side canal could be shortened to the extent of about 2.2 miles by leaving the main river just below the foot of Long Sault island. In this location it could still preserve guard-gate features.

113. The navigation works at and below the Grass River lock in this project are practically the same as in Full Single-Stage project No. 1-242.

114. A concrete intake with gates is to be constructed at the head of the Massena Power Canal as in the Full Single-Stage project No. 1-242. See paragraph 75 of this appendix.

115. In project No. 4-224, some disconnected dykes are shown between the high land west of the village of Wales, and the retaining wall at the north end

of the power houses at Barnhart island. Some dykes are also shown between Richards landing and the guard gates of the proposed canal south of Long Sault island. These dykes are not high.

116. This project does not raise the water level of the river above the general elevation of the surrounding country and abrupt slopes that may develop locally, due to ice conditions in the river, will affect power heads rather than the flow of water through the section.

117. The estimated cost of two-stage project No. 4-224 as presented by the Canadian section is \$264,546,000.

118. The head concentrated at Ogden island is small in winter. It can be increased by extensive enlargements of channel between Ogden island and Weavers point. This enlargement is, however, not found to be economical because of the length of restricted channel between these points. The head predicted is vitally dependent upon the ice resistances.

119. Downstream from Ogden island the present slope of the river is 1 foot per mile to Weavers point. Below that point the surface slope is flat and present cross-sectional areas are almost large enough to permit an ice cover to form under natural conditions. Just below the foot of Ogden island at Morrisburg, the lowest points in the solid rock surface fall to about elevation 155 and borings show that this hollow in the rock surface continues downstream to Crysler island. At Crysler island the soft rock of this part of the river is overlain with a layer of sandstone and a narrow sill at about elevation 165 practically crosses the river.

120. At the time the Main Report was signed in November, 1926, this project appeared to be the best two-stage project available. Since that date, additional borings at Crysler island have disclosed more favourable rock foundations than were indicated by the borings made in 1924, and a two-stage project with upper dam and power houses at Crysler island in some major respects appears preferable to the Ogden Island project.

121. CRYSLER ISLAND TWO-STAGE PLAN. A two-stage project with upper dam at Crysler island and lower dam at Barnhart island is mentioned in the Report of 1921. This project is now presented as an alternative two-stage project, which is regarded by the Canadian section as giving greater financial returns than project No. 4-224, although its initial cost is greater. It will be referred to as project No. 5-217. It is shown on plates 34 to 38. Its estimated cost is \$269,355,000. Detail estimates are shown on table 5.

122. The chief works in this project are as follows:-

- (1) A free open channel south of Galop island for navigation.
- (2) Channel enlargement between Lotus island and Morrisburg to give 95,000 square feet at ordinary levels.
- (3) A dam with power house at Crysler island where a head varying from 24 feet in summer to 18.5 feet in winter is developed.
- (4) A dam at the head of Barnhart island with power houses at the foot of that island, where a head varying from 60 feet in summer to 56 feet in winter is developed.
- (5) A short side canal with lock at Crysler island and a side canal with two locks and a pair of guard gates for carrying navigation past the dam at the head of Barnhart island.
- (6) Retaining embankments with pumping and drainage works for preventing the inundation of the village of Iroquois and the town of Morrisburg.

123. The works at Galop rapids for this project are similar to those shown in project No. 4-224, but operate somewhat differently. As in that project

APPENDIX "C" PLATE 34. Railway Divers RY THE CHURCHES MSBURG ose Neck Nea Pt POWER Bradford PE Strawb IROQUOIS Crib Point TILDEN Note - Water Levels shown are for a stage Corresponding to a discharge of 247,000 c.f.s. ST.LAWRENCE WATERWAY GENERAL PLAN INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION 0 CHIMNEY PT. TO WEAVERS PT. SHOWING ALTERNATIVE PROJECT PRESENTED (TWO STAGE DEVELOPMENT - 217) Scale of Feet. 1000 · To accompany report of Joint Board of Engineers dated Nov. 16th 1926



the free channel which navigation is to use is located in the channel south of Galop island and occupies its whole length. A large diversion channel is shown through Galop island and channel enlargement is also shown north of Galop island. The dam in the diversion channel is to be equipped with 50 butterfly gates and a similar dam with 16 gates is to take the place of the present embankment between Galop and Adams island. After the works north of Galop island and the diversion are completed, the channel south of Galop island is to be unwatered and the work in that channel is to be done as in project No. 4-224.

124. The works at Galop rapids are designed to pass 310,000 cfs. with a loss of head of 2.75 feet when lake Ontario stands at elevation 246.5. The velocity in navigation channels under these conditions is expected to be 4.7 feet per second. These works could be used for those shown in project No. 1-242.

125. At Iroquois, dykes and pumping works similar to those shown in other projects are required. For the town of Morrisburg, dykes and a pumping plant are provided as in project No. 1-242, but in addition to these works an egg-shaped sewer, $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet high, is to be built north of the dykes between that town and the reach below the dam at Crysler island, a total distance of about 4 miles.

126. At Crysler island, a dam 2,800 feet long is shown on a long curve, with United States and Canadian power houses each 1,500 feet long at either end. This curve is introduced to develop length and follow the most advantageous rock surface. A lock for 14-foot navigation is shown at the Crysler island end of the curved dam. This lock is designed for use until the pond above the dam is raised to above elevation 229 when 14-foot draft will become available in the new canal. Estimates provide for unwatering the control 1,500 feet of the dam at Crysler island by the pneumatic-caisson process and for the unwatering of 700 feet in shallow water by the cellular steel sheetpile trench method. The remainder of the dam and both power-house sites are to be unwatered by the open cofferdam method.

127. The side canal for carrying deep navigation past the dam is shown on the United States side of the river. It is 1.6 miles long and is provided with swing top log apparatus at the head of the lock, as well as duplicate gates and fender chains. The cost of a similar canal on the Canadian side would be substantially the same.

128. Two-stage plan No. 5-217 shows a dam at the head of Barnhart island with power houses at the foot of that island, as in project No. 4-224. The works at the foot of the section are in general similar to the latter project and are located at the same sites. The unwatering problems are the same and it is intended that they should be met in the same way.

129. The water level to be held above the dam at the foot of the section in project No. 5-217 is 7 feet lower than in project No. 4-224. This lower level reduces the lift of the lock in the side canal west of Robinson bay and lowers the bottom elevation of the side canal above that point. This lowering of the reach level also increases the excavation required at the head and foot of Sheek island for the head race.

130. The operation of the Crysler island project presents some difficulty. These are associated with control of flow through the long restricted channel between Butternut island and the foot of Ogden island, just above Morrisburg, when levels on lake Ontario fluctuate. A rise of 2 feet in 4 hours, which sometimes has occurred opposite Prescott, would cause a large increase in flow in restricted channels while the pond between Ogden island and Crysler island, 6,700 acres in extent, is filling up.

131. The travel of surges would not interfere with the use of channels for navigation, as the increased velocities would still be within the limits of safe practice. If, however, a surge should occur in the brief period when an ice park is making upstream past Ogden island, it might increase velocities beyond 2.35 feet per second. Should the river surface be heavily burdened with ice at this time, a gorge might occur.

132. This contingency is met in the design of works at Galop island, now described with the Crysler island project, by the provision of gates for quickly throttling the flow. A somewhat similar design could be included in the full single-stage project 1-242, at some increase in cost.

133. A series of cribs with boom is provided above point Three Points and a similar boom is provided above Butternut island. These booms would start an ice park at these points. They would be swung by tugs after the close of navigation each year and they would melt free from the ice and swing clear in the spring when released. The use of all of the above agencies should prevent gorging of any magnitude taking place with the channel enlargement shown in the project.

134. Since the power plants at Crysler island can be completed and put in operation about two years before the works at Barnhart island can be completed, the Crysler island development is designed to operate at 34-foot head until the time when the lower level is raised. In this way about 760,000 horsepower could be made available before the lower plant is completed. This project initially furnishes about 300,000 horse-power more than project No. 4-224 in the upper stage. Computations also show that the completed project No. 5-217 will produce about 10,000 horse-power more than the Ogden island project No. 4-224 during winter.

135. PARTIAL SINGLE-STAGE PLAN. A single-stage scheme, with lock and dam control at Galop rapids and a controlled level of the reach above Barnhart island, has been considered. The Report of 1921 presented a somewhat similar project. It showed a control dam and lock at Ogden island combined with a lower pool at about elevation 231. In the designs of this project, provision was made for a future rise of seven feet in the crest of the lower dam, if ice conditions permitted such a rise to be made with safety.

136. In the Report of 1921 no mention is made of channel enlargement being necessary when the raise to higher levels in the Long Sault was to be brought about. Progressive enlargement could be made with the control dam and lock as shown in that project.

137. The present Board finds, as stated previously, that the initial project described in the Report of 1921 should be modified to secure more dependable winter operation and develop more power. The Board also finds the size of channels provided in the project of 1921 to be too small to form an ice cover between the foot of Galop island and the foot of Ogden island and enlargement to greater dimensions is necessary to reduce frazil formation and insure more dependable flows in winter. When this enlargement is made and slopes are flattened, higher levels can be carried in the lower pool. Changes such as these might be progressively made up to the point where the full elevation provided in the project of 1921 would be made practicable. Such a project might be worked out with control dam and lock at Ogden island as shown in the report of 1921, or it might be worked out with similar control works at Galop rapids. Comparative estimates for such a project show a slight advantage for control works at Galop rapids when the lower reach is held above elevation 235.

138. In order to show the operating characteristics of a single-stage project giving about the same results as that visualized in the Report of 1921, a project

with lock and dam at Galop rapids and crest level of 238 at Long Sault dam has been worked out. It will be referred to here as No. 6-238. It is shown on plates No. 39 to 43. Detailed estimates will be found on table No. 6.

139. The channel shown in this project is enlarged to 65,000 square feet in Galop rapids and to 95,000 square feet below. This is the same enlargement as that shown in the Crysler island project and is about the same as that shown in full single-stage scheme No. 1-242.

140. In this scheme (No. 6-238) a control dam is placed at the Galop rapids and the dam at the lower end of the section is shown at the head of Barnhart island, and the channel between Sheek and Barnhart islands is used for the headrace of the power houses at the foot of Barnhart island. This channel is to be enlarged so as to give about 87,000 square feet at elevation 238.

141. The computed head concentrated at the control dam is shown on plates 44 and 45. It would vary from month to month with the level on lake Ontario and the discharge.

142. The estimated cost of this project is stated by the Canadian section to be \$228,610,000.

143. In this scheme nearly, if not all, the gates in the Galop control dam would be open during flood conditions and during the latter part of the icecovered period. During the low-flow periods of late summer and autumn some control gates would be closed.

144. The control of flow out of lake Ontario would be governed in part by the level of the Long Sault reach and in part by the opening and closing of gates at the Galop Rapids dam. With this scheme an ice cover would pack upstream from below Ogden island without gorging of the section so long as the flow out of lake Ontario is held down to about 203,000 cfs. and the water level at the long Sault is held up to about elevation 239.

145. A dam is shown across a diversion channel at Galop island and also across a channel on either side of a lock at Lotus Island. The latter would control the flow south of Galop island. A gated house is shown in both dams, with butterfly valves for the bulk of the openings instead of roller gates. Early in the winter there would be a head of two or three feet at the south Galop control dam and a head of three to four feet would be used up in the slopes and dam of the north Galop channels. The head in the north Galop channel together with ability to quickly close gates in the other channels can be used to prevent excess flows passing the section during surges.

146. The above-described scheme would develop all the head available in the section during the winter period, and also all that available in summer when lake Ontario is near extreme high and extreme low stages. The amount of power not developed by this project is greatest in the open-water period when lake Ontario stands about elevation 246.0. At that time the head lost would be about 3.5 feet.

.147. The control of velocity of flow in restricted channels afforded by this project is better than in other schemes because fewer gates need be opened or closed to increase or decrease the flow in the river, and the distribution of flow in channels can be better controlled. It imposes a guard lock in the path of navigation instead of the navigable pass shown in the full single-stage project.

IMPROVEMENT FOR NAVIGATION ALONE

148. The river is now actually navigated by all traffic through the fourmile reach between Iroquois and the head of the Morrisburg canal, through the ten-mile reach between Morrisburg and the head of Farran Point canal, and through the four-mile reach between Farran Point and the head of the Cornwall 45827-17

Canal. The vessels used in this navigation are, however, heavily powered in proportion to their size. The only parts of this section of the river, above Cornwall island, regarded as safely and conveniently navigable in its present condition by large lake freighters and ocean vessels are the four-mile reach from Weavers Point to the Farran Point rapids, and the four-mile reach from the foot of the latter rapids to the entrance to the Cornwall canal.

149. The entire reach of river from Morrisburg to the head of the Cornwall canal can be rendered safely navigable for deep-craft vessels with a moderate amount of dredging if the water level be raised to elevation 220. At this elevation the flowage damage is not extensive. The plans for improving the river for navigation alone provide, therefore, for raising this reach by a series of dams across the head of the Long Sault rapids.

150. The plans provide a large discharge capacity through the gates of these dams, so that the pool created can be drawn down in winter, with a view to avoiding, ordinarily, the formation of ice jams in the reaches between Morrisburg and the dams, and of holding the rise consequent to such jams to minimum levels. Under no circumstances could the back-water from such rises affect the discharge capacity of the control section at the Galop.

151. Above Ogden island, a lateral canal is a cheaper means for affording navigation than is the ponding of the river, and the ponding offers complications in assuring the winter discharge capacity. The plans for navigation alone provide, therefore, for a lateral canal on the United States shore through the upper part of the section.

152. In detail, the plans provide for the enlargement of the channel at Chimney point on the same lines as is proposed in the two schemes for combined navigation and power development. The dyke at the head of the north channel is to be left in place. The material excavated can be so deposited as to compensate for the effect of the enlargement on the levels of lake Ontario.

153. Navigation enters the upper canal at a guard lock on the United States shore opposite the head of Galop island. The sills are at elevation 211, coping at 253. Service gates are in duplicate, unwatering gates are provided at both ends of the lock outside of the service gates, and an emergency dam is also provided. Adjacent to the lock is a weir with three gates, 20 feet in width, with sills at elevation 230, to provide the supply of water for maintaining the canal levels.

154. The canal follows close to the shore, and is formed partly in cut and partly by retaining embankments at indentations in the shore, to a point opposite Lotus island. It then cuts across the peninsula of which Sparrowhawk point is the projecting tip, thence follows generally the shore to the bay above Point Rockway. It then follows the swale back of that point, cuts across the base of Leishman point, and enters the south channel of the river at Ogden island. Suitable embankments across the entrance to this channel at the head of the island, and on the island itself, permit the water to be raised to the canal level, at elevation 241. The total length of the upper canal is 12.5 miles, of which 1.5 miles is through the pool formed in the south channel at Ogden island.

155. Navigation is carried from the canal to the river pool by a lock at Ogden island, opposite Waddington. The upper gate sills of this lock are at elevation 211, lower gate sills at elevation 190, main coping 246. Service gates are provided in duplicate, with unwatering gates outside of the service gates, but no emergency dam is provided, since in case of accident the canal can be drawn down. A weir extends from the lock to the United States shore. This weir has 20 openings, each 15 feet in width, with sills at elevation 236. Stop logs in these openings provide control of the canal levels.

156. The river channels from the lock to the head of the lower canal, at Long Sault island, are excavated to a minimum width of 450 feet, and to grade 196 at the head of the pool, ranging down to 195 at the foot of the pool.

157. Navigation enters the lower canal through a cut across Long Sault island, with a bottom width of 200 feet at the summit cut; it crosses the South Sault channel, and is carried down to the main canal level through a lock on the United States shore about one mile inland. The upper sills of this lock are at elevation 190, lower sills at elevation 170, main coping at elevation 229. Service gates are in duplicate, with unwatering gates outside of the service gates, and an emergency dam is provided.

158. From this lock navigation passes through a canal, 4.6 miles in length, to a lock near the mouth of the Grass river. The normal operating level of this canal is at elevation 200. Navigation is carried down to the south channel of the river at Cornwall island by a lock similar to that proposed in the combined navigation and power developments, the upper sill being at elevation 170 and coping at elevation 205.

159. An earth dyke and a waterway are constructed at the Grass River lock, as with the combined navigation and power developments, and the same measures are taken to prevent floods from the Grass river from interfering with the approach to the lock.

160. The total length of the lower canal, from the north shore of Long Sault island to the head of the south Cornwall channel, is $7 \cdot 1$ miles.

161. The total length of restricted canal navigation throughout the section is 18 miles.

162. The Ottawa branch of the New York Central railroad is to be diverted to a crossing adjacent to the Grass river lock as in the combined navigation and power development.

163. The estimates show considerably less enlargement of the south Cornwall channel than is proposed in the combined navigation and power project, on account of the steadier flow without power development.

164. The dams creating the navigable pool which extends from Long Sault island to Ogden island are three in number; one across the main river channel, one across the diversion channel proposed to facilitate the unwatering of the main channel, and one across the South Sault.

165. The dam across the main channel is located just above the head of the Long Sault rapids, and is 1,545 feet in length. It is provided with 24 Stoney crest gates, each 50 feet in width, with sills at elevation 195. Construction must be so prosecuted as not to interfere with present navigation through the Cornwall canal, the final closure being made during the winter season. The diversion cut across Long Sault island, to facilitate the unwatering of this dam site, is of the same dimensions as is proposed in connection with the unwatering of a dam for navigation and power at the foot of the rapids. It is to be closed by 20 sluices, each 15 feet in width by 30 feet in height, with sills at elevation 165, which will be submerged after the pool is raised. The dam across the South Sault is 375 feet in length, with 6 crest gates, 50 feet in width, with sills at elevation 195.

166. The plans provide head gates at the entrance to the Massena power canal, as in the combined navigation and power schemes. A few low dykes are required along the United States shore opposite Long Sault island, and a dyke is required on the Canadian shore at the head of the swale that parallels the river eastward from Hoople creek.

167. The estimated cost of this project is \$79,000,000. Detailed estimates are shown in Table 7.

45827-171

LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION

168. This section of the St. Lawrence river covers in general the expansion of lake St. Francis. It may be taken as extending from Colquhoun island opposite St. Regis, mile 115, to deep water at the foot of lake St. Francis, mile 141, a distance of 26 miles. It embraces almost the same stretch of river as Division No. 3 in the Report of 1921.

169. Lake St. Francis is an area of water which lies between very low flat shores. It appears to be formed from a number of delta-like channels with banks submerged about three feet at the westerly end, ten feet in the middle, and sixteen feet at the easterly end of the lake. The present depth in these channels is more than is required for 25 foot navigation in all but a few places, and the natural alignment of these submerged channels is so good that navigation can quite well follow them. The fall in the lake from Colquboun Island to Coteau Landing is about one foot at mean flow.

170. Beyond the immediate shores of the lake the country is low and flat and consists of layers of peat overlying clay. These flats when drained subside and burn so that now we find great areas south-east of the Hungry Bay and St. Barbe dykes at about the level of Lake St. Francis. These areas are dependent for drainage on ditches which lead sometimes to the lake and sometimes away from it. Originally a very low flat peat covered divide separated the drainage area of Lake St. Francis from that of the St. Louis River leading into Lake St. Louis. With dyking, drainage and cultivation of country no divide now exists except the Hungry Bay and St. Barbe dykes. These are the structures which prevent the waters of Lake St. Francis from finding their way to Lake St. Louis via the lowered peat lands and the St. Louis River.

171. As a consequence of these conditions the water level of lake St. Francis cannot be raised above that to which it would go in nature. In fact the dykes as now existing are not high enough to retain the high water levels of 1862-1870-1886 and 1887 should they recur, and some raising of these must be undertaken no matter what action is taken regarding the improvement of the section for deep water navigation.

172. Lake St. Francis has a superficial area of about 90 square miles; it has a cross-sectional area of 460,000 square feet near its foot, 260,000 square feet at McKee's point near its middle and about 160,000 square feet between Colquhoun island and Hamilton island at its head. In the Hungry bay section of the lake St. Francis, the movement of the water is sluggish and it cools down and freezes over before it freezes in other parts of this lake. In the swiftest flowing parts of the lake the average velocity of the water is less than 2.0 feet per second, and as a consequence a week of zero weather can be depended upon to form a smooth ice cover over the whole of the lake without much packing or jamming of the surface.

173. In order to provide a depth of 25 feet for a width of 450 feet, it is necessary to remove projecting points at eight places between St. Regis Island and Butternut Island and also to excavate a channel 2,000 feet long opposite the village of Lancaster. The project plane for this section is 150.5 at the foot of the lake.

174. The work recommended to be done in this section is shown on Plates 46 to 48. Estimated cost of this work is \$980,000, details of which are shown in table No. 8.

175. The general features and alignment of navigation channels shown on the Board's plans in this section are substantially the same as those recommended in the Report of 1921.

SOULANGES SECTION

176. DESCRIPTION.—This section of the St. Lawrence river is 18 miles long and extends from deep water at the foot of lake St. Francis, mile 141, to deep water at the head of lake St. Louis, mile 159. The mean level of the water in lake St. Francis at the head of the section is elevation 151.9, and that in lake St. Louis, at the foot of the section is 68.9. The total drop in the section is 83 feet. This, the river takes in four more or less abrupt chutes, vix, the Coteau, Cedars, Split Rock, and Cascades rapids. Of this fall, 3.0 feet occurs between the Lake St. Francis and Leonard Island, 14.3 feet in the Coteau Rapids, 6.6 feet in the river between the Coteau du Lac Wharf and Isle Aux Vacres, 32 feet in Cedars Rapids, 8.1 feet between the Cedars power plant and Bisson Pt., and 19 feet between Bisson Pt. and Lake St. Louis, in what is called the Split Rock and Cascades Rapids.

177. Upstream navigation through the Cedars and Cascades Rapids is impossible and the only boats that navigate the open river on the downstream journey are the special passenger boats operated for the tourist trade. All freight boats use the present Soulanges Canal which provides for ships of 14 foot draft, and extends from Coteau Landing on Lake St. Francis to Cascades Point on Lake St. Louis. This canal is 100 feet wide on the bottom, 15 feet deep and 14 miles long. It has one guard lock at Coteau Landing and four separate locks near its lower end just above where it enters Lake St. Louis. This canal crosses three small rivers, a short distance north and east of Coteau du Lac. Power for operation of this canal is supplied from a station of its own at the mouth of the River a La Graisse, where a head of about 20 feet between the canal and the river at that point is utilized.

178. In this section of the St. Lawrence river there are four power developments now in operation. Three of these are located at the foot of Cedars rapids and one is located in the city of Valleyfield on a side channel of the St. Lawrence south of Grande isle. The largest of the power plants in the section is the Cedars Rapids plant, owned and operated by the Montreal Light, Heat and Power Consolidated. It has an installed capacity of 197,000 horse-power at a 32 foot head.

179. The next largest plant is the St. Timothee plant of the Canadian Light and Power Co., with a practicable capacity of about 22,000 horse-power. The Canadian Light and Power Company is now understood to be affiliated with the Montreal Light, Heat and Power Consolidated. This plant draws its water supply from an old abandoned navigation canal and operates under about a fifty foot head.

180. The third largest plant in this section is the Provincial Power Plant which is owned by the Montreal Light, Heat and Power Consolidated. It has a practicable capacity of 12,000 horse-power and draws its water supply from the Soulanges Canal. It operates under a head of about 52 feet.

181. The fourth development of importance is at Valleyfield and consists of a group of plants largely owned by the Montreal Cotton Co. This group uses about 10,000 cfs. at a head of about 11 feet. The output may be taken at about 10,000 horse-power, all of which power is used in the adjacent mills and city. The head at this point was originally created by a dam built by the Canadian Federal Government in 1849, for the improvement of navigation in the entrance to the Beauharnois canal. The power works now in existence at Valleyfield have been brought about by a series of plant extensions extending over half a century.

182. The country on either side of the river between Lake St. Francis and Lake St. Louis is generally flat and uniform except where boulder clay ridges rise through the marine clay which generally covers the country. A large area of territory south of St. Timothee and north of the St. Louis river is occupied by these ridges but in a few places passes are left, through which the marine clay plain is continuous.

183. South of the boulder clay outcrops above described, the country slopes to the St. Louis river, but there are no creeks or water courses because the area drained is small.

184. In the Soulanges Section, solid rock outcrops in many places and it does not appear to be far below the bed of the river throughout the section. It forms the bed of the river in the Coteau, Cedars, and Cascades rapids and can be seen at many points in the country north and south of the city of Valleyfield. It is exposed on the south side in the St. Louis river, five miles east of lake St. Francis, and on the sloping hillside at Melocheville. On the north side of the river it is exposed at Coteau du Lac, in Chamberry Gully and all along the river from Cedars to Cascades Point.

185. The chief urban centre in the section is Valleyfield, population 10,000. It is situated on a small outlet of lake St. Francis on the south side of Grande Ile. The ground level of this city is from 5 to 10 feet above the level of lake St. Francis.

186. Other villages to be noted in this section are Coteau du Lac, Cedars and St. Timothee. Coteau du Lac, population 485, is on the north side of the St. Lawrence river about 3 miles below lake St. Francis near the foot of Coteau Rapids where the DeLisle river comes into the St. Lawrence from the north. Its ground level is about the elevation of lake St. Francis. The village of Cedars, population 536, is located on the north side of the river at the head of Cedars Rapids. Its ground level is about ten feet below the elevation of lake St. Francis. The village of St. Timothee, population 450, is located a little below Cedars on the south side of the river. Its ground level is about 25 feet below the level of lake St. Francis.

187. The floor of the river in Coteau rapids is crystalline limestone of a specially hard gritty nature. That in Cedars Rapids is dolomite, and that in the Cascades rapids is Potsdam sandstone.

188. At the present time the river runs open in the winter throughout this whole section, from the foot of lake St. Francis to the head of lake St. Louis. In this distance fourteen square miles of water surface is exposed to the cooling influence of the air, and about 240,000,000 cubic yards of frazil is formed each winter. This is stowed under the ice cover at the head of lake St. Louis and produces a winter rise of from 10 to 15 feet in the water level at the foot of Cascades rapids near Melocheville.

189. Proposed Plan of Improvement. As shown in paragraphs 163 to 169 of the Main Report, the Board finds it practical and economical to combine improvement for navigation in the Soulanges section with improvement for power. It also finds that a combined river and overland canal project, considering interest charges, gives greater economy than any other joint navigation and power project investigated. As stated in paragraph 175 of the Main Report, this project "better provides for the present and future development of the waterway than any scheme for navigation alone, and is therefore the desirable scheme, if arrangements are made whereby power interests bear a fair proportion of the cost of the initial expenditure required." This project is called the Ile aux Vaches Three Stage Project for navigation and power. It is shown on plates 49 to 51. Its estimated cost is \$103,945,000. Detailed estimates are given on tables 9 and 10.

190. This project is similar in form to the "Cascades Point—Coteau Rapids Project" described in the Report of 1921, but its details are changed in some respects. The power features of this project are planned to be developed in three successive stages.

191. The works comprised in the navigation project and in the first stage of the development for power are as follows:—

- (a) A short submarine channel, 450 feet wide and protected by breakwaters, leading from deep water in lake St. Francis to the north shore of the river at Coteau Landing.
- (b) An overland canal, 12,500 feet long and 200 feet wide, extending from the shore of the St. Lawrence river at Coteau Landing to the mouth of the DeLisle river.
- (c) A lock at the east end of this canal with lift of from 1 to 5 feet, depend-upon the stage of the lake, along with an approach channel leading into what will be deep water in a Coteau du Lac-Cedars pool.
- (d) A dam across the St. Lawrence river extending from above Cedars village to Point du Domaine on Grande ile which is virtually the south shore of the river. This dam is to control the level of lake St. Francis and is to be connected with a power house at Ile aux Vaches and Ile Juillet capable of developing 382,000 horse-power at a head of about 22 feet. Embankments are provided to protect the low lands on both sides of the river.
- (e) An enlargement of the river at Coteau Rapids so as to enable the level of lake St. Francis to be extended to a pool below Coteau du Lac with a loss of head of not more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet in periods of low discharge, and not more than 5 feet in periods of extreme flood. This is to be done by means of an enlargement at Round island and a long diversion channel, 240 feet wide on the bottom with grade Elev. 120, separate from the river and extending from above Clarke island to below Broad island, a distance of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles. The flow through this diversion channel can be controlled by means of 13-50 ft. gates, 20 feet deep.
- (f) A side canal from the shore of the river above Cedars to the Ottawa arm of lake St. Louis, north of the outlet of Chamberry gully, along with a submarine channel leading out into lake St. Louis.
- (g) A pair of guard gates in the middle of this canal with two lift locks near its easterly end. One of the locks is located a short distance west of the point where the canal crosses Chamberry gully and the other is located near the shore of lake St. Louis. The locks in this side canal are designed to overcome a total difference in level of 80 feet.
- (h) Such drainage and diversion works as are required to protect the villages of Coteau Junction and Coteau du Lac and the valleys of the Delisle, Rouge and A la Graisse rivers from the raised levels of the river.

192. The works comprised in the second stage of the improvement have to do with power entirely. They are as follows:—

(a) A head race canal from above Cedars village to the Ottawa arm of lake St. Louis with a power plant at the mouth of Chamberry gully capable of developing 500,000 h.p. at a head of 75 feet, with embankments, bridges, extension of syphon culverts and other works required to make available the 500,000 h.p. at that point, less 12,000 h.p. to be put out of commission at the provincial plant near Cedars.

193. The works comprised in the third stage of the development have also to do only with power. They are as follows:—

- (a) A dam across the St. Lawrence river a short distance above the village of Melocheville. This dam to be connected with a power plant on the shore of lake St. Louis north of Cascades island, capable of developing 974,000 h.p. at a head of 53 feet, but will put 212,000 h.p. out of commission at Cedars and St. Timothee.
- (b) A new road on the south side of the river from above the village of St. Timothee to Melocheville, and such other works as are necessary to adjust the community to a raised level of elevation 125 in the reach between Cedars and Melocheville.

194. Economic Considerations. The determination of the best method of improving a river in which power resources are to be developed depends partly upon the physical cost of improvement by various schemes and partly upon the rate at which power resources if made available, can be absorbed.

195. Statistics show that the province of Quebec west of Quebec city has been absorbing power at the rate of 250,000,000 kilowatt hours per year for the past six years. This is exclusive of power used in electric steam boilers which is generally off-peak power. This is equivalent to about 72,000 horse-power peak load growth per year at 50 per cent load factor. Some of the territory included in the above district is not tributary to the St. Lawrence and unless all distributing companies are prepared to exchange power it cannot be expected that all power needs for any period can come from the St. Lawrence.

196. Recently the Water Power Branch of the Canadian Department of the Interior predicted a growth in installed capacity of power plants in the St. Lawrence basin, of 225,000 horse-power per year. According to factors which they have developed, this would mean a growth of about 150,000 horse-power per year in base load plants such as those on the St. Lawrence river. It appears reasonable to take half of this growth as in Quebec and half in Ontario as the amount of power in use in these two provinces is about the same.

197. The annual growth in simultaneous peaks of the power systems now connected in the Montreal, Eastern Townships and Quebec districts, is about 50,000 horse-power and until the cheap power now undeveloped on the Ottawa and on its tributary streams is put to use, it is not likely that the whole province west of Quebec city will draw the additional power it needs from any one source.

198. After all cheap power sites are developed and after all the power on the International section of the St. Lawrence is put to use, the rate of absorption from the Quebec section of the St. Lawrence will probably be much greater. A rate of absorption in Canada of at least 150,000 horse-power per year for this St. Lawrence power fifteen years hence is not unreasonable.

199. In order to give an idea of the overall cost of various projects, rates of absorption of 40,000 horse-power, 75,000 horse-power, and 150,000 horse-power are taken and 5 per cent per year is added to the first cost to cover interest during half the construction period and during half the period required to market power, as derived from the above rates of absorption. The results are shown on tables Nos. 11 to 13 and 29.

200. The Soulanges section offers many opportunities for variation in designs of projects but analysis shows that those projects which can be executed in successive stages bring about greater economy than projects which require all the works connected with them to be constructed at one time.

201. A feature of the Ile aux Vaches Three Stage project which makes it more economical than any other, having in view the interest of both navigation and power, is the fact that through navigation may be secured on an economical general plan with the first stage of the power development without any expenditure for stages two and three, and without interference with the operation of the present Cedars plant.

202. The side canal between Cedars and lake St. Louis is designed so that some excavation made for it may be of use in connection with power development in stage two. This is done by joining the alignments of the power and navigation canals about two miles east of Cedars village.

203. The estimated cost of this complete project including the power works of stages two and three is \$205,052,000. The first stage is estimated to cost \$103,945,000. In the latter amount \$11,821,000 is for a side canal from lake St. Francis to Coteau du Lac; \$19,773,000 is for a side canal between Cedars and lake St. Louis; \$9,212,000 is for the enlargement of Coteau rapids and the reduction of open water at that point; \$38,553,000 is for the dam and power house substructures at Cedars along with embankments and drainage works required to raise the water level of the river to complete the improvement for navigation. \$101,107,000 is for the work in Stages two and three.

204. The Ile aux Vaches Three Stage Project for navigation and power above described, involves the removal of the northerly part of the village of Cedars and the dyking of the village of Coteau du Lac in the first stage of improvement. It also requires the inundation of part of the village of St. Timothee and the removal of the present Cedars plant in the third stage of improvement. It requires the use of extensive dykes along the north shore of the river between Coteau du Lac and Cedars and along both sides of the navigation improvement between Cedars and Cascades Point, as well as the use of some embankments between point du Domaine on Grande ile and the high land northwest of the city of Valleyfield.

205. The project is designed to pass maximum floods without raising the level of Lake St. Francis higher than it would go under natural conditions. It is designed so as not to retard the flow in winter. It is designed so as to secure a complete ice cover from Lake St. Francics to the Coteau Bridge, and also from the foot of Broad Island to the dam to be constructed at ile aux Vaches. It is designed to secure an ice cover in the head race canal between Cedars and Chamberry gully which is part of the second stage of the improvement, and also an almost complete ice cover from ile aux Vaches to the dam at Cascades island when the works described for the third stage are built.

206. The water surface areas which are expected to remain open in winter when the improvement of the section is complete, are confined to: a stretch of river about 11,000 feet long between the present Coteau bridge and Coteau du Lac; a diversion channel varying in width from 270 to 670 feet, and extending from Clarke island to the foot of Broad island; a short stretch of river immediately below the proposed power plants at Ile aux Vaches and below the proposed dam in Cascades rapids.

207. A lock in the side canal between Coteau Landing and Coteau du Lac is included in the plan. If there were no lock at this point, the canal would have to be 700 feet wide to give satisfactory navigation velocities. This wide channel would cost about \$16,000,000. A velocity of $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet per second in a navigation channel is not low enough for an approach to a draw bridge, and if the lock in this canal is done away with, an extra expenditure of about \$5,000,000 would be required for a new bridge in quieter water. A deduction of \$2,600,000 from this \$21,000,000 could be made if no lock is built, making an increased expenditure of \$18,400,000. As the extra power created by the wider channels

and the time saved to initial navigation will probably not justify the extra expenditure involved, open river navigation at this point is not included in the initial project at this time.

208. Much consideration has been given to the relative advantages of single and flight locks at the foot of the overland canal between Cedars Village and the Ottawa Arm of lake St. Louis. Comparative estimates show no material advantage for either type of improvement and the conclusion is that either may be used without loss of efficiency or economy. Single locks are provided for in plans and estimates.

209. A number of locations for the power house on the ile aux Vaches dam are available. Estimates show no material difference in cost of these and the plans filed can be varied in this regard.

210. A difficult feature of the ile aux Vaches project is the improvement of Coteau rapids so as to permit the raising of the Cedars pool to the height desired, without interfering with flood levels on lake St. Francis and without introducing ice jams that would endanger the continuity of the winter flow of the river. The plan of improvement shown at Coteau rapids consists in an enlargement of the river at Round Island and in the excavation of a deep smooth diversion channel, separate and distinct from the river, from above Clarke island to below Broad island. To simplify the bridging of the diversion channel, the line of the Canadian National Railway is relocated in the vicinity of Bellerive. The diversion channel is designed to divert about 52,000 c.f.s. under winter conditions. It will reduce the velocity in the river above Coteau Bridge to 1.86 feet per second with a winter flow of 230,000 c.f.s. and thereby assist in firmly holding the foot of the ice cover at the Coteau Bridge throughout the winter. This will leave the area of exposed water surface at the head of the Coteau du Lac-Cedars pool at about 45,000,000 square feet or 1.6 square miles, and about 13,000,000 cubic yards of frazil may be expected to form. As the cross-sectional area of the river just below Coteau du Lac at elevation 147 is about 145,000 square feet and as the flowing water will only occupy about 65,000 square feet, after the pack is formed, this volume of slush and frazil will create a pack about 4,400 feet long. From many observations in other sections of the St. Lawrence, it is predicted that the loss in head in January and February from this cause will vary from 1 to 2 feet, depending upon the weather.

211. The sectional areas of the overland power canal between Cedars and Chamberry gully, under the second stage, is made of such dimensions that 500,000 horse-power can be developed at Chamberry gully without velocities greater than 2.25 feet per second being set up. This will permit an ice cover to form in this canal.

212. The third stage of the Ile aux Vaches scheme for the development of power at Cascades contemplates the raising of the water surface in the Cedars rapids to a point where the average velocity of the water will be about 2.7 feet per second from the power house at Ile aux Vaches to the village of St. Timothee. This velocity is believed to be low enough to permit an ice pack to work upstream without any large quantity of frazil being carried underneath the ice cover and as a consequence no great rise of water level in the tail-race of the Ile aux Vaches plants is expected in winter.

213. An important feature in the improvement of this section is the existence of the 197,000 horse-power plant now operated by the Montreal Light, Heat and Power Consolidated, at the foot of Cedars rapids. As has been explained this plant now utilizes a head of 32 feet in the middle of a series of rapids having a total fall of 83 feet. This plant has operating difficulties on account of ice conditions.

214. The third stage of the Ile aux Vaches development involves scrapping this plant, but all the excavation now done in the head-race and in the river will be utilized.

215. In an effort to use this plant, a three stage river development with side canals as in the Ile aux Vaches project has been considered. The first step of this improvement would be a plant with a 22 foot head at Ile aux Vaches, the second step a plant with a 32 foot head at Cedars and the third, a plant with a 20 foot head at Cascades island, all utilizing the complete flow of the river.

216. This scheme would treat the river above Ile aux Vaches from a hydraulic point of view in the same way as the Ile aux Vaches scheme, and it would preserve water levels above and below the present Cedars plant. In the designing of this kind of a scheme no difficulty is found in securing a good operating proposition in summer, but in winter a length of $7\frac{1}{2}$ miles between ile aux Vaches and lake St. Louis will run open and would form 120,000,000 cubic yards of frazil and slush ice which must be stored somewhere. The bulk of this may accumulate as it does now, at the head of lake St. Louis, in which case the operating head of the power plant at Cascades would lose about 10 feet and its output would be reduced by about 250,000 horse-power. On the other hand if this ice be accumulated above the Cascades Island power house and dam, as is probable, the old and new power plants at Cedars would have their operating head reduced to one-half that now utilized with a loss of power amounting to about 360,000 horse-power.

217. Such a project cannot economically be improved by dredging on account of the enormous yardage, a large part of which is rock, that has to be removed to make the project workable in winter. This scheme, therefore, is out of the question from an operating and financial point of view.

218. A scheme to improve the river for power, making only partial use of the present Cedars plant, was also considered. It involves the building of a new power plant just north and east of the Cedars plant, to serve present customers while the old plant is being rebuilt to utilize a higher head. This scheme requires power plants at Cedars to operate for a time at one tail water level and afterwards at a tail water level ten feet higher. This is not a desirable feature but it was thought to be a practical solution provided 33 per cent of the flow be diverted from the river at this point and used to develop power directly from a power canal at Chamberry under a head of 78 feet. Analysis of this scheme showed its first and also its overall cost to be more than the Ile aux Vaches three-stage scheme, and as it has no operating advantages over that scheme, it need not be further considered.

219. If there were a large market for power and no vested interests in the river, the best scheme of improvement for both power and navigation would be an all river project with the reaches between Coteau du Lac and Cedars and between St. Timothee and Melocheville used as navigation pools. For such a project the level of the lower reach must be raised to at least elevation 115 in order to escape expensive submarine excavation between Cedars and Melocheville. It is shown on plates 52 and 53. Detailed estimates are given in tables 18 to 20. Its estimated cost is \$194,317,000.

220. This project, with power houses at Cedars and at Cascades, involves the raising of the whole river between Coteau and Cascades about 20 feet at the same time unless power is secured elsewhere to supply Cedars customers while the development is being made. This requires the building of one-third of the Cascades Island plant as a first part of the first-stage of the project, then installing machinery in a new power plant at Cedars as the other

part of the first stage. It involves reconstructing the present Cedars plant as the second stage and completing the Cascades Island development as the third stage of the project. This scheme proposes to take care of the present Cedars customers by transferring load to the first part of the Cascades Island development early in the spring of some selected year and then arranging during the succeeding summer for the cofferdamming of the present Cedars plant and the raising of the upper reach before the advent of the winter ice. The hazards connected with the operating of this scheme during construction would be serious.

221. When interest is considered and a growth of 75,000 horse-power is assumed, this scheme shows about the same overall economy as the Ile aux Vaches project. It would, however, in the first stage of its construction interfere with the present Cedars plant and would require a very large expenditure before any power would be produced.

222. Another project which was considered was a simple two-stage scheme with a 22 foot initial development for power opposite point a Biron, a short distance above Ile aux Vaches. Incorporated with this, was a 54 foot development at Cascades island. This scheme utilized the investment in the Cedars Rapids head-race, but required the removal of the Cedars building itself when the 54 foot plant at Cascades island would go into commission in the second stage of the improvement. It is shown on plates 54 and 55. Its estimated cost is \$203,692,000. Detailed estimates are shown on tables 21 and 22.

223. This method of developing the power in the river would leave the valley of Chamberry gully free to be occupied by navigation works as there would be no power development at Chamberry gully and no overland power canal between Cedars and that point. The first cost of this project is \$1,360,000 less than the Ile aux Vaches three-stage project, but the overall cost on the assumed growth of the power demand, is much greater due to the necessary execution of the work in two stages instead of three.

224. Another scheme which has carefully been considered is the improvement of the river for navigation and power by means of an enlarged side canal between Hungry bay and Melocheville, this work to be coupled with a river development so as to give a 4-stage power development. The first stage of this scheme consists in building a canal between Hungry bay and Melocheville and developing a certain amount of power at a 78 foot head at Melocheville. It is shown on plates 56 and 57. There would be a guard lock at Hungry bay and double flight locks at Melocheville for deep navigation as in the project recommended in the Report of 1921 together with bridges, and channels in Lake St. Francis and Lake St. Louis as in that project. The second stage of this proposition consists in developing 370,000 horse-power at Ile aux Vaches above Cedars as in the Ile aux Vaches scheme. The third stage consists in the development of a certain amount of power at a head of 78 feet at Chamberry gully. The fourth stage develops the same amount of power at Cascades island as is developed in the Ile aux Vaches scheme.

225. In this scheme of development, the capacity of the first and third stages can be varied between wide limits but the size of the second and fourth stages must remain constant as river channels planned will cover with ice only when the flow in them is limited to set amounts.

226. In order to show the effect of improving the river in the above manner, the Hungry bay-Melocheville canal has been laid out with a width of 300 feet, 400 feet and 930 feet, and with capacities of 15,500 cfs., 31,600 cfs., and 66,700 cfs. exclusive of the water required for navigation. The total net cost of

improving the whole section by each of these variations is \$213,509,000, \$223,-533,000 and \$237,778,000 respectively. Detailed estimates with 31,600 cfs. diverted are shown on table 25.

227. In the first case the average velocity of the water in the canal is taken at 2.0 feet per second. In the others it is taken at 2.25 feet per second. Analysis of the above costs shows that the development of power by means of the smallest diversion is more economical than by the larger diversions. All developments with power at Melocheville are more costly than by the recommended project. (See tables 26 to 29.)

IMPROVEMENT OF SOULANGES SECTION FOR NAVIGATION ALONE

228. If an improvement solely for navigation is desired one way it can be secured is by building an overland side canal from deep water in lake St. Francis via Hungry bay and the low flat uniform country north of the St. Louis river to the head of lake St. Louis at Melocheville.

229. An improvement of this kind has been laid out. It has double locks in flight at its lower end where an ideal solid rock foundation is available, and it has a guard lock at its upper end to protect the long 13 mile reach from high water levels on lake St. Francis. The improvement shown is similar to that laid out and recommended in the Report of 1921. It will be referred to as the Hungry Bay-Melocheville project, and is shown on plates 58 and 59.

230. A waterway built along this route does not require the removal of a very large amount of excavation as the ground surface is uniformly below the level of lake St. Francis and yet the retaining embankment will not be high, and no creeks or rivers are crossed at any point in the route. In this project very little solid rock has to be removed. Three combined railway and highway crossings and three crossings for highway traffic are provided in this section. The length of restricted navigation in the canal is about 13 miles, exclusive of locks.

231. The project is designed to have ultimately double flight locks at Melocheville, these together overcome a lift of 80 feet and give a traffic capacity of 40,000,000 tons per year.

232. As the traffic capacity of one set of flight locks is about 16,000,000 tons per year, it is thought the construction of the second set may be delayed for some years and the project is laid out in that way. In order, however, to facilitate the later construction of duplicate locks, estimates and plans provide for the execution of the foundations and the construction of walls to the ordinary level of lake St. Louis.

233. The estimated cost of the Hungry Bay-Melocheville project for navigation alone is \$37,541,000 of which an expenditure of \$3,901,000 can be delayed until the completion of duplicate locks as described above. For detailed estimates see tables Nos. 14 and 15. The above estimate provides for the use of lift bridges of 200 feet clear span.

234. An overland canal of similar design can be built on the north shore of the river. See plates 60 and 61. It would be slightly shorter and crossed by fewer bridges, but it would be more costly as three rivers are crossed and good foundations for locks are deeper. Its cost is estimated at \$40,378,000 as shown in tables Nos. 16 and 17.

235. The length of restricted navigation in the above scheme can be reduced by the construction of a lock and dam system of river improvement. This obviously involves power potentialities and the substructure of a power-house should be incorporated with the dam required in such an improvement. If this be done it would become the first stage of the project recommended.

236. An advantage of this north canal is that it could be combined with a river improvement, subsequently made for power, by constructing two short connections to the river. The length of restricted navigation would thus be reduced. See paragraph 174b of the Main Report. The estimated cost of these two connections is \$1,922,000. See table No. 17.

IMPROVEMENT OF SOULANGES SECTION FOR POWER ALONE

237. Various projects for improving the Soulanges section for power alone were investigated. In general, the same problems presented themselves as in improvement for both navigation and power. It was found that no economical project could be laid down which would not interfere with present 14-foot navigation in the Soulanges canal, and also no project could be laid down which would not interfere, in some stage of its development, with the present Cedars plant. Analysis shows that the best form of improvement is the Ile aux Vaches Three-Stage scheme with 14-foot side canals taking the place of the deep waterway shown in the recommended project. The cost of this project is estimated at \$180,711,000, as shown in tables Nos. 23 and 24.

238. Overland canal projects which carried a diversion for power all the way from the foot of lake St. Francis by the St. Louis and Chateauguay rivers to the St. Lawrence river at the head of La Prairie basin have been considered. Two methods of utilizing a diversion made in this way were investigated. One was by a single-stage scheme and the other by a double-stage scheme, placing one drop at the junction with the Chateauguay river and the other at the head of La Prairie basin. These projects appeared to the best advantage when the diversion made did not exceed 30,000 cubic feet per second, but even then were not economical when compared with improvements of the Soulanges and Lachine sections by means of other projects described.

LACHINE SECTION

239. DESCRIPTION. This section may be taken as extending from deep water at the head of lake St. Louis to the Alexander pier in Montreal harbour, mile 159 to mile 183. It is 24 miles long and covers the same territory as Division No. 1 in the Report of 1921. The section includes the expansion of lake St. Louis, the narrow stretch of river between Caughnawaga and Heron island with Lachine rapids at its foot, the short expansion of La Prairie basin and the swift water between Nuns island and Montreal. The total fall in the section with 242,000 c.f.s. flowing past Lachine is 48 feet. This is distributed as follows: Between Melocheville and the outlet of the Chateauguay river, the fall is three-tenths of one foot. Between the Chateauguay river and Lachine wharf, the fall is 1.1 feet. Between Lachine wharf and the head of Lachine rapids, the fall is 7.8 feet. Between a point half a mile above the head of Ile au Diable and the foot of Heron island, which may be taken as Lachine rapids, the drop is 23.5 feet. Between the foot of Lachine rapids and Victoria bridge the fall is 6.8 feet, and between Victoria bridge and Montreal harbour the fall is 9.0 feet.

240. Upstream navigation through the Lachine rapids is impossible and the only boats that navigate them on the downstream journey are the specially built passenger boats which operate for the tourist trade. All freight boats use the present Lachine canal which provides a 14-foot draft. This canal extends from Lachine to Montreal, a distance of $8\frac{1}{2}$ miles, and has five locks.

241. Several urban centres are located along the river in the Lachine Section. The city of Lachine and the towns of St. Annes, Pointe Claire, Dorval,

Beauharnois and Caughnawaga are located on the shores of lake St. Louis. The city of Verdun and the town of La Prairie are located on the shores of La Prairie basin. The city of Montreal extends along the north shore of the river from La Prairie basin to the wide and spacious river below St. Helen's island. The town of St. Lambert is located on the south shore at the end of Victoria Bridge.

242. The lands on the north side of Lake St. Louis for eight miles above Lachine are low, specially near the lake shore. In this strip of land and at the Chateauguay Basin on the south side a large investment has been made in summer homes which would be inundated should the lake surface be raised materially above its high water levels. Considerable areas east of La Prairie are often inundated when the river is breaking up in April. The low parts of the city of Verdun are dyked to protect them from inundation during the high water levels of the breakup period.

243. The Ottawa River flows into the St. Lawrence through four outlets two of which, Vaudreuil and St. Anne, flow into Lake St. Louis; and two, the Mille Isles and Des Prairies Rivers, join the St. Lawrence at the foot of Montreal Island about fifteen miles below Montreal Harbour. The percentage of flow through each of these channels varies with the stage of lake of Two Mountains.

244. The maximum recorded flood occurred on May 17, 1876, when 195,000 c.f.s. flowed into lake St. Louis from the Ottawa river, and 550,000 c.f.s. flowed out of lake St. Louis to La Prairie basin. In three other years records of 160,000 c.f.s. in the St. Anne and Vaudreuil channels and 500,000 c.f.s. at the outlet of lake St. Louis are recorded. Records show that extreme flood levels on lake St. Louis occur between the 29th of April and the 29th of May.

245. Lake St. Louis is a relatively deep and short lake which overlies a trough in the rock surface. This trough provides a deep straight uniform channel from Melocheville to the mouth of the Chateauguay river. From this point east to the Canadian Pacific Railway bridge below Lachine, the bed is irregular and is obstructed by dykes of igneous rock which penetrate the surface and make navigation dangerous for any kind of craft. Between the Canadian Pacific Railway bridge and the head of the Lachine Rapids, a short stretch of uniform, rock floored river intervenes. From the middle of this section, the city of Montreal draws its water supply by use of a submerged pipe and intake crib. From the head of Lachine Rapids to their foot, the river gradually expands in width and igneous dykes penetrate the surface in many places, especially on the north side. Through the Lachine rapids, downstream navigation is only possible along one central channel and this is flanked by rocky projecting dykes which break up the water into innumerable cascades or abrupt falls.

246. In winter the regimen of the St. Lawrence river between the head of lake St. Louis and Montreal harbour undergoes a great change. With the advent of cold weather the water flowing out of lake Ontario gradually cools as it proceeds to the sea. The rate of this cooling is proportional to the surface area exposed and lake St. Francis, lake St. Louis and lake St. Peter are effective agents in lowering the temperature of the water. The water flowing through the lakes of the Ottawa is cooled in the same way but more rapidly than that of the St. Lawrence. Usually, about the 1st of December the water flowing into Lake St. Louis from the Ottawa will be found to be at about the freezing point and lake of Two Mountains will then be freezing over. About two weeks after lake of Two Mountains is cooled down to 32 degrees Fahrenheit, lake St. Peter, 65 miles below Montreal, reaches the freezing point and, if the weather is cold, an ice bridge immediately forms at that point. At this time, the temperature of the river at Kingston will be found to be about 6 degrees above the freezing point,

and that of the water in lake St. Louis and lake St. Francis some degrees above that of lake St. Peter and below that at Kingston. If cold weather continues, lake St. Louis and lake St. Francis soon reach the freezing point and cover with ice. Usually, about 16 days after an ice cover forms on lake St. Peter, the water at the outlet of lake Ontario, opposite Kingston, is cooled down near to the freezing point and ice forms. Should warm weather intervene shortly after lake St. Peter, lake St. Louis or lake St. Francis freeze over, they may open up again, especially if winter is ushered in by a short period of very cold weather in which an ice cover is formed on these lakes, while lake Ontario is still relatively warm.

247. The ordinary flow out of lake St. Louis varies from 210,000 to 260,000 cfs. in the early part of winter. The maximum cross-sectional area of lake St. Louis, opposite Beauharnois, is about 490,000 square feet at low water. Opposite the foot of ile Perrot and opposite the mouth of the Chauteauguay river, the area is reduced to about 150,000 square feet. As will be observed from the size of the above cross-sections, the velocity of the water moving through the upper ten miles of lake St. Louis is less than 1.7 feet per second and, as may be expected, its surface area west of the mouth of the Chateauguay, 48 square miles, freezes over almost as soon as it is cooled to the freezing point at the beginning of each winter. Between the mouth of the Chateauguay river and the Lachine Wharf, the cross-sectional area of the river is about 116,000 square feet and the average velocity of the moving water in winter is over two feet per second. In this stretch of river no ice cover forms except in the shallow bays near shore. Between Lachine wharf and the head of Lachine Rapids, the sectional area is about 53,000 square feet and velocities are so high that no ice cover forms except on a narrow fringe along the shore.

248. The surface area of water ordinarily exposed in winter between ice cover in lake St. Louis and the head of La Prairie Basin is about 11 square miles and the volume of ice formed by this exposure is usually about 170,000,000 cubic yards. This ice is carried through Lachine rapids and is largely stowed in the form of hanging dams under the ice cover which forms below and in La Prairie basin. More than half of the exposed surface mentioned above is upstream from the entrance to the Lachine canal where the velocity of the water is almost low enough to form an ice cover.

249. At the foot of Lachine rapids, the river spreads out into the shallow La Prairie basin, through which the water moves slowly for about one mile. Below this stretch of quiet water, a number of boulder ridges rise out of the water. These separate the river into three or four more or less distinct channels through which the water moves quite rapidly to the foot of the basin and on past Victoria bridge to Montreal harbour.

250. In the early stage of winter the southerly and northerly parts of La Prairie basin cover with ice, but a central channel near Nuns island remains open until the ice pack which starts in lake St. Peter makes upstream past Montreal, under Victoria bridge, and into the basin. While the pack below Montreal is building upstream, the water level at Montreal gradually rises until the head of the pack passes that point. After that, it falls slightly and remains at a constant level until the breakup period brings down large quantities of frazil and slush and raises the water level again. The maximum January rise in Montreal harbour is ordinarily about 16 feet. With continued cold weather the water level at the head of the La Prairie basin continues to rise slowly as more and more ice is brought to it from above. In general, the highest level recorded is coincident with the last week of cold weather in February or March. Usually at that time the water level is about 11 feet above ordinary summer levels. Under these conditions, the surface slope in the ice gorged section between Lachine rapids and Montreal is about 1.6 feet per mile.

251. In April, warm rains and sun weaken the surface ice which holds the hanging dams in place and a large quantity of surface ice, frazil and slush moves from its wide berth in La Prarie basin to the narrow restricted river below Victoria bridge. This movement increases the length of the gorged section at Montreal. Under these conditions, the total surface drop becomes much greater than in the depth of winter and high water levels, 16 feet above summer stage for similar discharges are frequently found opposite the city of Verdun and in La Prairie basin generally.

252. It is believed that the operation of ice breakers below Montreal in recent years has reduced the height to which such flood levels rise. This is due to the fact that the length of ice cover in the river below the gorged section is reduced before it begins to move and a jam far down the river where it is very narrow is prevented by clearing lake St. Peter of ice at an early date in April. It is clear, however, that the length of gorged section near the City of Montreal is not affected by the ice breakers operations.

253. The St. Lawrence river flows over a floor formed chiefly of solid rock from about a mile above Lachine to below Montreal harbour. Rock surface is exposed above the water level of the river at Lachine and Caughnawaga. It is exposed on both shores throughout the length of Lachine rapids and at many points in La Prairie basin and below Victoria bridge in the harbour of Montreal. Test borings also show the solid rock surface to be close to the river bed on the north and west sides of La Prairie basin. North and east of the river, between Lachine and Verdun, the solid rock surface is above the bed of the river, but between Verdun and Montreal harbour it is below.

254. PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENT. The Board has considered the following plans for the improvement of the Lachine section:-

(1) A side canal with locks for navigation with control of lake St. Louis.

(2) An all river improvement for both navigation and power.

(3) A side canal with lock for navigation without control of lake St. Louis.

255. PLAN RECOMMENDED FOR PROJECT. The plan recommended by this Board is for a side canal with locks for navigation with control of lake St. Louis and is described in paragraphs 183 to 185 of the Main Report. It is shown on plates Nos. 62 to 64. Its estimated cost is \$53,000,000. Detailed estimates are given on tables Nos. 30 and 31.

256. The works comprised in this improvement may be listed as follows:-

- (a) A long submarine channel extending from deep water in lake St. Louis to Lachine; this channel to be 600 feet wide for 4 miles of its length and 300 feet wide for 1.2 miles of its length.
- (b) An overland canal extending from Lachine to a junction with deep water opposite the Alexandria pier in Montreal harbour.
 - This canal flanks the north shore of the river and is about 10 miles long. It is to be equipped with a pair of guard gates and supply weir situated 3.4 miles east of Lachine and with three lift locks. One lock is at Verdun, 5 miles east of Lachine; one is at the foot of Nuns island; and one is at the entrance of Montreal harbour, north of Victoria bridge.
- (c) A dam across the St. Lawrence river at ile au Diable together with dams at the two northern outlets of Lake of Two Mountains and such other works as are required to hold the low water level of lake St. Louis to elevation 71.

257. As currents at the outlet of lake St. Louis cross the submarine channel at a small angle with its axis, the navigation channel is given a width of 600 feet between deep water in lake St. Louis and the end of the present Lachine 45827-18

Canal breakwater. Along the inside of this breakwater the channel has a width of 300 feet. Between Dorval island and the north shore, an enbankment is provided for reduction of cross currents at this point.

258. The overland canal above described runs parallel with the river and near the north shore to a point 7,800 feet east of the present canal embankment at Lachine. It is to be separated from the river for this length by timber cribwork. This makes it possible for the excavation inside this embankment to be done in the dry. A double track vertical lift bridge is provided at the intersection of the Canadian Pacific Railway with the proposed canal at Highlands. The proposed canal leaves the shore of the river 7,800 feet east of Lachine and proceeds for a length of about one half mile in a prism 55 feet deep which is excavated in earth. East of that point it is carried in earth and rock for a length of about three miles through low flat country to the shore of the river opposite the Verdun Asylum, where a lock with a lift of 20 feet is located.

259. Retaining embankments are placed on both sides of the canal for a length of three miles above the lock at Verdun, the south embankment being connected with the north end of the dam at ile au Diable. Syphon culverts are located at the head of the Montreal aqueduct. A subway for highway traffic is provided under the canal and is located between the guard gates and the Verdun lock; it provides for two openings 25 feet wide and 15 feet high.

260. East of the Verdun lock, the canal is carried for a length of $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles in a high level basin formed by the north shore of the river on one side and an embankment on the other. In this reach the prism, 300 feet wide, is in shallow excavation. At the lower end of this basin the Nuns island lock, with a 12-foot lift, is located at the foot of the island near the north shore. Water is to be supplied to this basin by a supply weir at the Verdun lock and is discharged from it by a weir in an embankment north of the lock at Nuns island.

261. Between Nuns island lock and Victoria bridge the canal is formed in deep rock excavation in a basin which is separated from the river by a long embankment high enough to protect the reach from flood levels in the river. At Victoria bridge a weir and culvert are provided for discharging the surplus water of the canal and the local drainage into Montreal harbour. Two lift bridges are provided for the railway and highway traffic at the Montreal end of Victoria bridge.

262. About 1,500 feet below Victoria bridge the Montreal lock, with a maximum lift of 21 feet, carries navigation into Montreal harbour. Retaining walls and the upper entrance piers of the lock hold the reach level.

263. In the project recommended, a dam is located at île au Diable. This structure is of the open wicket type and is introduced to reduce the volume of excavation required in the channel which leads from deep water in lake St. Louis to the lock at Verdun. It will also reduce the velocities at the outlet of lake St. Louis and will also reduce the cost of power development when such development is undertaken. The dam proposed is to consist of large concrete piers, 160 feet centre to centre, with steel truss bridges and drop wickets for lowering in the spring of each year after the flood flows are passed. These wickets are to be opened at the end of each navigation season. The throttling effect of the piers during flood discharge is to be compensated for by means of a small diversion channel which leads from the navigation channel at the north end of the dam. It is designed to raise the low water level of lake St. Louis to elevation 71.0.
264. As this is higher than the extreme low water of Lake of Two Mountains, this rise in level will reflect on the level of that lake, and dams will be required at its two northerly outlets in order to control the distribution of outflow.

265. If flood flows in the future were to be no greater than in the past, the works described above would be all that are required to bring about the improvement. However, other complications enter. The immediate improvement of the International Section and the future improvement of the Lachine Section, place certain restrictions on maximum winter outflows. Then again power values make it desirable that winter flows be made more regular than they are in nature. Moreover, navigation interests demand some regulation of this flow. The scheme of regulation of lake Ontario submitted with the Board's report, endeavours to secure the greatest good to the greatest number of interests possible, but in doing so, it contemplates increasing the flood flows in May to the extent of about 15,000 cfs. in extreme years. The conservation works on the Ottawa, which have been recently built and others which are in progress of construction, will compensate for the proposed increase in flow out of Lake Ontario at these periods.

266. ALTERNATIVE PLANS. Before selecting the side canal project with the control of lake St. Louis above described, the Board carefully considered the practicability of utilizing the river channel for navigation by means of the construction of locks and dams with channel excavation. An apparently practical place for a dam and lock improvement is suggested by the nature of the river bed and the drop in water level at Lachine Rapids. Another place is suggested by the drop in water level below Victoria bridge. A dam and lock at either site might be combined with a dam and lock at the other, or either might be combined with a side canal and a number of locks above or below it. In investigating conditions, it was found that the stretch of river between Lachine wharf and Lachine rapids cannot be made safe for deep draft navigation without an enormous amount of channel enlargement, a large part of which must be secured by the excavation of solid rock.

267. To maintain the standards on which the waterway is designed, maxi₃ mum velocities in the navigable channels must be kept down to 5 feet per second, and a cross-sectional area of 100,000 square feet must here be provided to care for a discharge of 500,000 cfs., which is sometimes reached in the month of May. This requires a net enlargement of at least 35,000 square feet for a length of $5\frac{1}{2}$ miles, or the excavation of about 37,000,000 cubic yards, the greater part of which is solid rock.

268. Obviously, no project involving such an amount of excavation can be justified as an improvement for navigation when the side canal, as described, can be built for one-third of the cost of a river enlargement between Lachine Wharf and the head of Lachine rapids.

269. If the enlargement of the river between Lachine Wharf and Lachine Rapids were carried to the point where an ice cover would be secured, the amount of excavation required would be much increased.

270. Further, conditions in this reach are especially hard to deal with because the natural depth in part of the river is 35 feet while in another part it is only 10 feet. This means a very high velocity in some parts and a very low velocity in others.

271. It is not possible to execute a project for permanently raising the level of La Prairie Basin by means of a dam at Victoria Bridge without securing an ice cover in the river above Lachine Rapids because the 170,000,000 cubic 45827-18

yards of ice must be stowed in La Prairie Basin if the river remains open above it and because a twelve-foot drop across La Prairie Basin must be available to overcome resistances in a gorged condition.

272. Even though the enlargement of the river above Lachine Rapids should be justifiable as a power venture and such enlargement should cut off the movement of ice from above, the building of a dam and lock at Victoria Bridge can not be justified as a navigation proposition, as comparative estimates show that it is cheaper to raise a section along the north shore of the basin than it is to raise the whole of the basin itself. This is due to the great length of dykes and other works which are necessary to protect the town of La Prairie and the low land adjacent from the raised level in the basin, as well as to the length of the dam itself.

273. From a power point of view, it might be suggested that the level of Lake St. Louis could be extended through Lachine Rapids and La Prairie Basin to a dam, power house and lock at Victoria Bridge where the whole head in the section would be concentrated at one point. Such a scheme would involve long and high dykes on either side of La Prairie Basin as well as extensive pumping and drainage works. As the water level in the river below Montreal would still rise a considerable amount due to ice resistance in winter, nothing very material would be gained from the large expenditures required to build the high dam and dykes above mentioned.

274. Preliminary estimates of the cost of the above project and the value of the extra power derived by such a scheme made it evident at once that the levels of lake St. Louis should be extended only to the head of La Prairie basin.

275. A dam at Victoria bridge with a power plant at that point combined with a dam and power house at the foot of Lachine rapids is not a workable proposition as the total head available, especially in winter, is too small to divide. Then again, if a power plant were located at Victoria bridge it would always be in danger of losing a part of its head through a future rise in tailwater level by a dam in the main river below Montreal.

276. The power problems, therefore, centre upon how power plants might be built near the foot of Lachine rapids and how water might be conducted to them with a minimum loss of head. This can be done, so far as summer conditions are concerned, by a moderate enlargement of the cross-sectional area of the river between the foot of lake St. Louis and the head of La Prairie basin, such as is shown by the Lachine Rapids project in the Report of 1921.

277. The Lachine Rapids project, as described in that Report, contemplates the enlargement of the river so that it will give a cross-sectional area of 83,000 square feet when lake St. Louis stands at elevation 71 at the upper entrance of the Lachine canal. Analysis of such enlargement shows that it would care for the maximum flood flows occurring in the St. Lawrence at this point in summer, namely 550,000 cubic feet per second, without raising the level of lake St. Louis above the elevation to which it has gone in nature and still leave a reasonable head for the development of power at the head of La Prairie basin. In winter, however, this relatively small sectional area would make it imperative that open water be continuously maintained between the power plant at the head of La Prairie basin and lake St. Louis in order to insure the quiet passage of expected flows without excessive damage to properties around lake St. Louis.

278. It is thought that this improvement cannot be operated so as always to maintain open water immediately above the power dam and power plant at the head of La Prairie basin, as there is danger of ice accumulating above the piers of the dams and power houses and making upstream so fast that an ice

jam would be formed before anything could be done to release it. If such an ice jam should form, the velocity at its head would be about 3.2 feet per second with a discharge of 265,000 cfs., which records show must be passed under certain winter conditions. As shown in appendix "E," this velocity is too high to insure the maintenance of a free and open channel underneath this ice cover and any filling up or gorging of this free and open channel between the power house shown at the head of La Prairie basin and the outlet of lake St. Louis opposite the entrance to the Lachine canal will cause a great rise in water level in lake St. Louis and damage to the property around its shores.

279. As a consequence of this situation, the Board finds that the Lachine Rapids project, as in the Report of 1921, requires modification. An enlargement of the section of the river from the foot of lake St. Louis to the power plants at the head of La Prairie basin so that it would provide a cross-sectional area of about 115,000 square feet or a velocity of $2\frac{1}{4}$ feet per second under extreme winter flood conditions would, no doubt, provide a safe and workable scheme for the development of this section of the river. This would involve an enlargement of the river to the extent of about 50,000 square feet for a length of about 6 miles, requiring the removal of about 50,000,000 cubic yards, almost all of which is rock. Such a project would be enormously costly and would be justified as a power development, only if no chaper method of improvement were available.

280. POWER DEVELOPMENT. The navigation improvement selected by this board and set forth in paragraphs 183 to 185 of the Main Report can be associated with a subsequent power improvement (paragraph 186, Main Report), which provides for a diversion of a large portion of the flow of the river, through an artificial channel which makes it possible to use the natural capacity of the river in an ice-covered condition from the outlet of lake St. Louis to the head of La Prairie basin. The artificial channel is designed to carry a large amount of water with a small area of exposure. This complete power project is intended to be constructed in two successive stages, the first of which would be completed and put into operation before the second stage is undertaken. In this way the cost of the project, including interest, would be much less than if it had all to be built and completed at one time. It is shown on plates Nos. 65 and 66. Its estimated cost when built subsequent to the improvements described for navigation is \$123,213,000. Detailed estimates are shown on tables Nos. 32 to 34.

281. The works in the first stage of the power project may be summarized as follows:—

- (a) A power house on the south shore east of Paquette island. This power house is to be equipped with 19 units of 22,900 horsepower each and is designed to develop 391,000 horsepower at a $31\frac{1}{2}$ -foot head.
- (b) A canal extending from the foot of lake St. Louis west of the village of Caughnawaga to the power house. The power canal is to be 1,000 feet wide on the bottom and 26 feet deep in the submarine section west of Caughnawaga, and 300 feet wide on the bottom and 40 feet deep in the overland section, east of that point. It is to be protected at the upper end by gates and lined with concrete through the solid rock section between Caughnawaga and the power house forebay.
- (c) A reconstruction of the dam, described in paragraph 263, so that it can retain and hold up the level of lake St. Louis to elevation 71 during winter conditions.

282. The works in the second stage of the power project may be summarized as follows:—

- (a) A power house equipped with 19 units of 25,700 horse-power each, situated at the foot of the Lachine rapids extending into the river north of Paquette island. This power house is designed to develop 422,000 horse-power at 33¹/₃ foot head.
- (b) A dam extending from the north end of this power house to Heron island, thence along the axis of Heron island to its head, thence upstream along the rock outcrops of the river to a junction with the dam previously described in stage No. 1 about 1,500 feet south of its intersection with the north shore of the St. Lawrence river.
- (c) The removal of about 3,500 feet of the south portion of the dam as modified for the first stage, leaving only such part of this section as may be used for bridge piers.

283. Under this system of improvement, the natural river channel having a cross-sectional area of about 70,000 square feet would carry about 145,000 cfs. at a velocity of about $2\frac{1}{4}$ f.s. and the artificial channel having an area of 12,800 sq. ft. would carry 120,000 cfs. at a velocity of about 9.4 feet per second. Both of these channels together would carry about 265,000 cfs. with an overall fall of about $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet. This system of improvement contemplates an ice cover throughout the entire section above the power plants exclusive of the area exposed in the artificial channel. It is a much more economical system of development than a direct enlargement of the river. In order to prevent sudden changes in the level of Montreal harbour, close supervision would be required of opening and closing gates as power is thrown on and off the plants.

284. The estimated first cost of completing the first stage of this project after the control dam for navigation is built is \$81,247,000. When interest during construction and interest during marketing period determined by an annual growth in the use of power of 75,000 horse-power is added, its cost becomes \$100,227,000. The estimated first cost of completing the second stage of this project is \$41,966,000 and when interest during construction and interest during marketing period is added its total ultimate cost becomes \$46,336,000. See table No. 34.

285. It will be noted that the ultimate cost of obtaining power from this section of the river is \$180 per horse-power while the cost of obtaining power from the Soulanges section is \$125 per horse-power. As power developed in the Lachine section is about 18 miles nearer Montreal than power in the Soulanges section, it would probably justify an additional capital expenditure. Estimates indicate, however, that power in the Soulanges section can be developed and delivered to Montreal for less than power in the Lachine section. It is, therefore, but reasonable to expect that power development in the Soulanges section will precede that in the Lachine section, and no immediate development for power in the latter need be provided for in the project adopted to give through navigation, but provision should be made for development in the future in the most economical way.

286. Alternative Plans for Side Canal. Having established that the best form of improvement for this section is by an overland canal with subsequent improvement of the river for power, the reasons for the route adopted by the Board will now be discussed.

287. The Report of 1921 recommends improving the Lachine section by means of an overland canal extending from Lachine to Verdun, together with a series of raised basins, thence to Montreal harbour.

288. From a construction point of view the location of 1921 had many desirable features, but in view of the fact that the city of Verdun is growing fast and towards the west, it is thought to be unwise to build the waterway so far inland, because some day the lands west of the canal in this location would, undoubtedly, be extensively developed and the population therein would demand either tunnels, which will be very costly, or draw bridges which will be impossible to operate without interference with navigation and without annoyance to the public.

289. An overland navigation canal between lake St. Louis and the river below Montreal, if built on the south shore would be much longer than on the north shore. It would have to care for the drainage of a number of streams which flow into La Prairie Basin from the south. If a navigation canal were built on the south side, the power canal would have to be built on the north shore. Estimates show that the cheapest combination is navigation on the north side and power on the south side.

290. An overland project can be built quite well with lake St. Louis allowed to fluctuate as at present and if built in that way will not interfere with the future development of power. It is believed, however, that in the general interests of a future improvement of the river for power a control dam for summer use should be incorporated into the project. This will establish an open water control of the level of lake St. Louis, thereby saving 5 feet of excavation in the long submarine channel leading from deep water in lake St. Louis to Lachine and in the long overland canal extending from Lachine to the first lock at Verdun. This will not effect a saving sufficient to cover completely the cost of building a bridge and dam at the head of ile au Diable but on account of the improvement to navigation brought about by this raise in level of lake St. Louis generally and, on account of the future benefits which such a control would confer on power development, the Board believes that the improvement of the river for navigation should be made in this way.

291. The cost of improving the river for navigation without establishing a control of lake St. Louis is \$50,848,000, as shown in table No. 35. This compares with \$53,000,000, as shown in table No. 30, for the project with control.

292. In the project recommended, the drainage of the St. Pierre river and the outflow from the Montreal Water Works Pumping Station are discharged into the basin between Nuns island and the Montreal lock. From this basin it is to be discharged through two arch culverts under the approach to the Victoria bridge into Montreal harbour. In this way the water level in the basin above Victoria bridge and at the outlet of the St. Pierre river will be kept many feet lower in winter than the level of La Prairie basin opposite. In summer, however, it will be somewhat higher than it has been in nature but it will not be higher than extreme levels of La Prairie basin in May.

293. The estimated cost of this scheme is greater than a number of other projects which the Board has considered but it interferes less with vested interests than any other project that has been developed. According to the Board's standards, its estimated cost is about the same as that of the project recommended in the report of 1921.

294. As mentioned earlier in this report, the City of Montreal draws its domestic water supply from the St. Lawrence river about $1\frac{1}{4}$ miles above the head of Lachine rapids. Ordinarily, an aqueduct of quite small proportions is large enough to carry all the water required by a large city. In this case, however, the aqueduct is a large canal; its cross-sectional area is about 2,500 square feet for a length of about 4 miles and 1,500 square feet for a length of about 1 mile. It was enlarged to its present size with the idea of using it for power as well as for a domestic water supply. The work connected with this power project has been halted for some years. The carrying capacity of the parts of the enlargement which have been completed is about 5,000 cfs. In the plan recommended, provision is made for passing 5,000 cfs. into this canal at its head and also for passing 5,300 cfs. from the basin above Victoria bridge to Montreal harbour. In this way, the recommended project is designed to permit the City of Montreal to complete their aqueduct project as originally planned.

295. The project recommended in the Report of 1921 contemplated permitting the potentialities of the power project to be realized but, in that case, a change in the location of the power-house was required and only the westerly half of the aqueduct could be used for power.

296. A scheme for utilizing 1.6 miles of the prism of the present aqueduct for the navigation canal and developing a large terminal basin for future shipping north of Nuns island was drawn up and carefully considered. West of the C.P.R. bridge at Lachine this project is the same as the recommended project. Eastward 1,500 feet from the C.P.R. bridge the waterway in this scheme turns inland 50 degrees on a curve of one mile radius, then follows along the axis of the present aqueduct for 8,500 feet, then turns 24 degrees toward the river and passes west of the Verdun asylum. At this point, a lock which over-comes a difference in level of 20 feet is placed. Eastward from this lock the waterway proceeds in an artificial basin, as in the plan recommended, about 16 feet above the level of La Prairie basin. The lock is placed, however, at the foot of Nuns Island, but the raised basin is continued to below Victoria bridge where a lock which overcomes a maximum difference of level of 33 feet is placed. The project requires large and expensive drainage works as the outflow of the St. Pierre river and that of the sewers of Verdun have to be carried to Montreal harbour below Victoria bridge. It also involves building a special water supply conduit from a point in the river opposite the old entrance of the Montreal aqueduct to join the present aqueduct east of the point where the waterway leaves it. This artificial basin, as shown, is 3.6 miles long and is flanked by a retaining embankment of earth and rock on one side and the Verdun dyke on the other. It would afford opportunities for the development of the rock facilities in the City of Montreal.

297. The estimated cost of the project is \$1,500,000 less than the project recommended. It would, however, require co-operation from a great many divergent interests; it would require the City of Montreal to abandon the development of power from its enlarged aqueduct, and it might affect living conditions in the City of Verdun by permanently raising the ground water level to an uncomfortable extent. Its alignment is not as good as in the project recommended and the obstructed view at the turn above the upper end of the aqueduct would increase the hazard of collision. For these reasons it is not recommended.

POWER HOUSE INSTALLATIONS

298. The installed capacities of the power houses in the various projects considered are shown on tables 36 to 38.

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued (As proposed by United States Section)

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
1. Dam and power houses at foot of Barnhart			\$ cts.	\$	\$
(a) Dam, except unwatering— Excavation, earth. Excavation, rock, dry Concrete. Foundation contingencies. Gates. Towers, track, and bridge Operating cranes. Stop logs	$\begin{array}{r} 615,000\\ 163,000\\ 1,131,000\\ \hline \\ 46\\ 46\\ 3\\ 6\end{array}$	Cu. yd. " 10% Each " Sets	0 80 2 25 12 00 7,500 00 6,300 00 16,000 00 10,000 00	$\begin{array}{r} 492,000\\ 367,000\\ 13,572,000\\ 1,357,000\\ 345,000\\ 290,000\\ 48,000\\ 60,000\end{array}$	16.531.000
(b) Power-house substructures— United States power house— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Concrete, below draft-tube floor Concrete, above draft-tube floor	1,076,000 56,000 138,600 701,800	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 2 & 25 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \end{array}$	807,000 126,000 1,386,000 10,527,000	
Canadian power house— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Concrete, below draft-tube floor Concrete, above draft-tube floor	$\begin{array}{c} 702,000 \\ 104,000 \\ 21,300 \\ 678,700 \end{array}$	" " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 2 & 25 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \end{array}$	527,000 234,000 213,000 10,180,000	24,000,000
(c) Unwatering dam and power houses— General excavation, earth, dry General excavation, dredging Cofferdams and pumping	614,000 1,450,000	Cu. yd.	0 80 1 25	491,000 1,813,900 10,745,000	13,049,000
(d) Abutments to power houses— United States power house— Excavation, earth Backfill Concrete	295,000 2,900 200,000 96,400	Cu.yd. . "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 12 & 00 \end{array}$	$192,000 \\ 10,000 \\ 80,000 \\ 1,157,000 \end{cases}$	
Canadian power house— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Backfill. Concrete	75,500 2,000 30,000 46,500	 	$\begin{array}{r} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 12 & 00 \end{array}$	49,000 7,000 12,000 558,000	2,065,000
(e) Tail-race excavation— United States powerhouse— Dredging	. 1,549,000	Cu. yd.	1 25	1,936,000	
Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Dredging	630,000 158,000 890,000) " (($\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 25 \end{array}$	473,000 277,000 1,113,000)) - 3,799,000
(f) Rail connections to power houses— Railroad to United States power house— Track	. 0.8	Mile	40,000	32,00	0
Railroad to Canadian power house— Track Bridges	. 1.7		40,000	68,00 139,00	0 239,000
(g) Superstructures and machinery— United States power house— Superstructure, gates, racks, cranes. Generators and turbines Switching Canadian Power house—	136,50 1,663,00	0 c.f.s. 0 H.P.	109 20 3 70	6,000,00 14,906,00 4,303,00	0000
Generators and turbines	136,50 1,663,00	0 c.f.s. 0 H.P.	132 30 3 70	6,000,00 18,059,00 4,303,00	0 0 - 53,571,000
	-		. And) we	per unver 1 m	113,254,000

4

 TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE

 SCHEME (242)—Continued)

	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
2.	Navigation works (channels 25 feet deep)-		THE COLOR	\$ cts.	\$	\$
	(a) Approach channel above Robinson Bay lock — Excavation	1,339,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	871,000	871,000
	(b) Robinson Bay lock (No. 8)— Excavation, open, earth Backfill Concrete Gates Operating machinery. Emergency dam Approach walls—	125,000 274,000 575,000 429,800 6	Cu. yd. " Pairs	0 65 5 00 0 40 10 00	$\begin{array}{r} 81,000\\ 1,370,000\\ 230,000\\ 4,298,000\\ 785,000\\ 310,000\\ 175,000\end{array}$	
	Rockfill Timber cribs. Concrete Piling. Office and dwellings	40,000 72,300 18,470 37,800	Cu.yd. " Lin. ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 00 \\ 8 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 0 & 85 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 80,000\\ 578,000\\ 185,000\\ 32,000\\ 40,000\end{array}$	8, 164, 000
	(c) Canal Prism, Robinson Bay lock to Grass River Lock— Excavation, earth	1,057,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	687,000	687 000
	(d) Grass River Lock (No. 7)— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock. Backfill. Concrete. Gates. Operating machinery. Approach walls— Timber cribs. Piling. Concrete. Office and dwellings.	904,000 13,200 576,000 332,100 6 	Cu. yd. " Pairs Cu. yd. Lin. ft. Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 10 & 00 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 678,000\\ 46,000\\ 230,000\\ 3,321,000\\ 730,000\\ 300,000\\ 330,000\\ 61,000\\ 270,000\\ 40,000\end{array}$	057,000
	(e) Approach channel, Grass River Lock to river— Excavation, earth	364,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	227,000	6,006,000
	(f) Dike at Grass River Lock— Fill, earth. Riprap slope protection	$368,000 \\ 10,300$	Cu.yd.	0 75 3 00	$276,000 \\ 31,000$	227,000
	(g) Waste weir at Grass River lock— Excavation, earth, open Excavation, earth, trench Backfill. Piling. Concrete, mass. Concrete, paving. Gates and operating machinery	$133,400\\16,200\\46,000\\60,000\\25,900\\10,000\\8$	Cu. yd. " Lin. ft. Cu. yd. Sets	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 5 & 00 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 0 & 85 \\ 12 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \\ \end{array}$	87,000 81,000 18,000 51,000 311,000 161,000 48,000	307,000
	(h) Drainage ditch north of Grass River lock— Excavation	3,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	2,000	757,000
	 (i) Diversion dike and flood channel at mouth of Grass River— Dike, rockfill Dredging, earth 	63,000 227,000	Cu.yd.	2 00 0 80	126,000 182,000	2,000
	 (j) Diversion, Ottawa Branch, New York Central Railroad— Relocation of line. Bridge over Grass River. Bascule bridge at lock. Bridge over Pollys Gut. 	4.5	Miles	50,000 00	225,000 180,000 175,000 728,000	308,000

(As proposed by United States Section)

282

1,308,000

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued (As proposed by United States Section)

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
			\$ cts.	\$	\$
 Navigation works, etc.—Con. (k) Dredging for navigation only, south channel, Cornwall Island— Dredging. Dredging over-depth. Removing old bridge. 	533,000 94,000	Cu.yd.	0 80 0 80	426,000 75,000 25,000	595,000
(1) Road relocation	3.2	Miles	30,000 00	96,000	526,000
(m) Ferry across canal				25,000	96,000
and the second s					19,284,000
3. Dikes— (a) Canadian Shore, from 2 miles west of			all market	- and - all	
Stripping Earth fill Rock fill. Riprap slope protection	285,000 3,691,000 25,000 79,000	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 3 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}185,000\\2,768,000\\50,000\\237,000\end{array}$	3,240,000
(b) Head of Bergen Lake to head of Barn- hart Island— Stripping Earth fill Rock fill Riprap slope protection	24,000 976,000 9,800 17,400	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 3 & 00 \end{array}$	$16,000 \\ 732,000 \\ 20,000 \\ 52,000$	820,000
(c) Head of Barnhart Island to Canadiar power house— Stripping Earth fill Riprap slope protection	1 74,000 1,403,000 19,700	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 75 3 00	$48,000 \\ 1,052,000 \\ 59,000$	1,159,000
(d) United States shore, Cole Creek to Massena Canal, exclusive— Stripping Earth fill. Riprap slope protection	101,000 1,130,000 32,600	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 75 3 00	66,000 848,000 98,000	1.012.000
(e) Massena Canal, inclusive to foot o South Sault— Stripping Earth fill. Rock fill. Riprap slope protection	of 66,000 1,121,000 50,600 18,300	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 75 2 00 3 00	43,000 841,000 101,000 55,000	1,012,000
(f) Foot of South Sault to Robinson Ba Lock— Stripping Earth fill Riprap	y 104,000 2,786,000 20,100	Cu.yd.	0 65 0 75 3 00	68,000 2,090,000 60,000	2,218,000
(g) Robinson Bay lock to United State power house— Stripping Earth fill Riprap	91,000 2,203,000 21,100	Cu. yd.	0 63 0 73 3 00	5 $59,00$ $51,652,00$ $63,00$	0 0 0 - 1,774,000
4 Drainage Canadian shore-	GROLET	A STATE		The line of	11,263,000
Above Hoople Creek— Earth excavation Drops. Bridges	1,264,00	0 Cu. yd	. 03	5 442,00 52,00 89,00	
Hoople Creek to Bergen Lake– Earth excavation Drop. Bridges	640,00	0 Cu. yd 1	. 0 3	5 224,00 39,00 51,00	
5. Drainage, United States shore	10 0.3C			. 116,00	00
the proof the second seco	1				- 110,000

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued)

Unit Quantity Unit Sub-totals Item Amount price s \$ \$ cts 6. Protection of Iroquois— Dikes, earth fill. Riprap slope protection..... Ditches, excavation..... Sewers and pumps..... $847,000 \\ 104,000 \\ 31,000 \\ 27,000$ $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$ ${}^{1,129,000}_{34,500}_{48,000}$ Cu.yd. " 1,009,000 7. Protection of Morrisburg— Dikes, earth fill..... Riprap slope protection Ditches, excavation... Culverts... Sewers and pumps... 386,000 44,000 5,000 3,000 ${\begin{array}{r} 515,000\\14,800\\8,000\end{array}}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$ Cu.yd. " 52,000 490,000 8. Storm-water pumps, Aultsville and Farran Point..... 65,000 65,000 9. Fourteen-foot lock at head of Bergen lake- $140,000 \\ 3,000 \\ 174,000 \\ 57,700$ $\begin{array}{c} 91,000\\ 11,000\\ 70,000\\ 587,000\\ 58,000\\ 70,000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \end{array}$ Cu.yd. " Concrete..... Gates..... 10 00 Sets Operating machinery..... 887,000 $599,000 \\ 28,000 \\ 86,000 \\ 972,000 \\ 97,000 \\ 94,000 \\ 83,000 \\ 73,000 \\ 27,000 \\ 73,000 \\ 27,000 \\ 73,000 \\$ 922,000 8,100 96,000 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 12 & 00 \end{array}$ Cu.yd. ••• Concrete..... Foundation contingencies..... 81,000 10% $\begin{array}{c}12&00\\0&25\end{array}$ 7,800 Cu. yd. Cu. ft. Paving...... Gate house..... 332,000 Gates Operating machinery and stop logs..... 37,000 2,069,000 11. Initial channel excavation— (a) At Chimney Point— Dredging..... Dredging, over depth..... Dredging, rock... Dredging, rock, over depth..... Dredging, removal dike.... $313,000 \\ 41,000 \\ 185,000 \\ 38,000 \\ 65,000$ 250,000 33,000 786,000 162,000 08,000 $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 80 \\ 0 & 80 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 1 & 50 \end{array}$ Cu.yd. " " 98,000 1,329,000 $1,685,000 \\71,000 \\70,000$ $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 80 \\ 0 & 80 \\ 1 & 75 \end{array}$ 1,348,00057,000 123,000 Cu. yd. " 4,186,000 639,000 2,721,000 1,118,000 $\begin{array}{c}
 0 & 65 \\
 1 & 75
 \end{array}$ Cu. yd. $1,019,000 \\1,146,000 \\200,000 \\5,558,000 \\120,000 \\1,071,000$ $1,359,000 \\ 655,000$ Cu.yd. $\begin{array}{c}
 0 & 75 \\
 1 & 75
 \end{array}$ 4,446,00096,000 252,000 $\begin{array}{r}
 1 & 25 \\
 1 & 25 \\
 4 & 25
 \end{array}$ Cu. yd. " $1,072,000\ 329,000$ 864,000 576,000 502,000 $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 80 \\ 1 & 75 \end{array}$ Cu. yd. Unwatering..... 18,000 0 90 Dredging... Cu. yd. 16,000 16,439,000 (c) Sparrowhawk Point— Excavation, dry.... Dredging... Dredging, over depth..... $\substack{1,433,000\\742,000\\36,000}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 25 \\ 1 & 25 \end{array}$ Cu. yd. 931,000 928,000 " 45,000

1,904,000

(As proposed by United States Section)

 TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE

 SCHEME (242)—Continued

 (As proposed by United States Section)

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
			\$ cts.	\$	8
11. Initial Channel excavation, etc.—Con. (d) Toussaint Island Cut— Excavation, dry Dredging Dredging, over depth	2,744,000 891,000 46,000	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 25 \\ 1 & 25 \end{array}$	1,784,000 1,114,000 58,000	2,956,000
(e) Iroquois Point-Point Rockway— Excavation, dry Dredging Dredging, over depth	$1,135,000\ 509,000\ 55,000$	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 90 0 90	738,000 458,000 50,000	1,246,000
(f) Point Three Points— Excavation, dry Dredging. Dredging, over depth	$412,000 \\ 931,000 \\ 68,000$	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 90 0 90	268,000 838,000 61,000	1,167,000
(g) Ogden Island— North channel— Excavation, dry Dredging Dredging, over depth	$281,000 \\ 1,006,000 \\ 124,000$	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 90 0 90	183,000 905,000 112,000	
South channel— Dredging Dredging, over depth	487,000 80,000	Cu.yd.	0 90 0 90	418,000) - 1,690,000
12 Enlargement to 95 000 square feet section-			Libarat	In the second	26,731,000
Sparrowhawk Point— Excavation, earth Dredging	2,169,000	Cu.yd.	0 6	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 720,00 \end{array} $	00
Iroquois Point-Point Rockway— Excavation, earth Dredging	. 3,615,000 625,000) " "	0 6 0 9	$5 2,350,00 \\ 563,00$	00
Point Three Points- Excavation Dredging	. 2,123,000 . 373,000) "	0609	5 1,380,00 0 336,00	0
North Channel— Excavation, earth Dredging	2,225,000	0 "	0 6 0 9	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00
South Channel— Excavation, earth Dredging	2,503,00	0 "	0 6 0 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 0 \\ 1,044,00 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 00 \\ 00 \\ - 11,363,000 \end{array} $
13. Enlargements at Cornwall Island— North channel— Earth excavation Dredging.	800,00 583,00 52,00	0 Cu.yd	. 06	55 520,00 50 466,00 50 42,00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
South channel (additional to Item 2 (k)– Earth excavation Dredging Dredging over depth	880,00 3,174,00 237,00	00 " 00 " 00 "	0	65 80 80 80 190,0	
	10			and the second	4,329,000
14. Control dam at Galop— Excavation, rock Concrete Gates Towers and crane tracks	32,40 120,00	00 Cu. yd 00 31 51 Spans 51 "			000 000 000 000
Service tracks. Operating cranes. Stop logs, fixed parts Stop logs, movable parts Cribs.	6,2	4 Each 4 Sets 00 Cu. yo	15,000 1. 8	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 00 & 60, 0\\ \cdot & 24, 0\\ \cdot & 39, 0\\ 00 & 50, 0\\ 1 & 610, 0 \end{array}$	000 000 000 000
Unwatering Removal of Gut Dam	42,0	00 Cu. yo	i. 1	50 63,0	4,218,000

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued)

(As proposed by United States Section)

Item Quantity Unit Unit A	mount	Sub-totals
15. Flowage and damage—	\$	\$
(a) Canadian shore— Chimney Point to Marrisburg—	And Project	moT.(b)
Lands	295,000	
Town property	772,000	
Morrisburg to head of Bergen Lake— Land directly required and in	001,000	
severance	,435,000	
Town property 1	,050,000	
(b) United States shore— Chimney Point to Waddington, in-	A DESIGNATION AND A D	5,574,000
Lands.	188 000	
Improvements	175,000	
Waddington to Massena Canal—	488,000	
Lands. Improvements.	$706,000 \\ 494,000$	
Lands	513,000	
Improvements	335,000	2,897,000
Above Long Sault Island—	Cat Jam	
Lands	402,000	
Long Sault Island	265,000	
Sheek Island	219,000	1 249 000
(d) Power leases	275,000	275 000
	210,000	210,000
16. Railroad relocation—	hand-	9,995,000
Norwood and St. Lawrence Railroad— Track	158 000	
Bridges	50,000	
Canadian National Railway—	30,000	
Track 5.9 Miles 100,000 00 Bridges	590,000 30,000	950.000
atter meneral second gab Carther and Carth	-	000,000
17. Highway relocation— (a) Canadian shore—	in tentan	
Johnstown to Morrisburg- Roads	428,000	
Morrisburg to Bergen Lake-	20,000	
Bridge at Nash Creek	760,000 7,000	1,220,000
(b) United States shore— Chimney Point to Waddington—		
Raising grade	37,000	
ment	432,000	
Bridges 1.5 " 5,000 00	8,000 84,000	561 000
000.862.6 1	1413 L.C.	1,781,000

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued

(As proposed by United States Section)

alabeleda's	Item	(m))	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
18. Clearing	reservoir site		5,800	Acres	\$ cts. 100 00	\$ 580,000	\$ 580,000
Desinconing	Net total	ntingencies		121%	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		209,189,000 26,148,000
Engineering,	autitititistration, and co.			of field	and monthly		235,337,000

SUMMARY			
Item	Net cost	Overhead	Total
 Dam and power houses at foot of Barnhart Island. Navigation works (channels 25 feet deep). Dikes	\$ 113,254,000 19,284,000 11,263,000 897,000 116,000 1,009,000 65,000 887,000 2,069,000 2,069,000 2,069,000 2,069,000 4,329,000 4,329,000 4,329,000 4,329,000 11,363,000 0,995,000 858,000	\$ 14,157,000 2,411,000 1,408,000 1,12,000 15,000 61,000 61,000 61,000 3,341,000 1,420,000 0,541,000 541,000 0,527,000 0,1,249,000 0,122,000 0,233,000 72,000 0,26,148,000 0,26	\$ 127,411,000 21,695,000 12,671,000 1,009,000 1,135,000 551,000 73,000 998,000 2,328,000 30,072,000 12,783,000 4,870,000 4,745,000 11,244,000 965,000 2,004,000 652,000

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued) (As proposed by United States Section)

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
For Other Channel Depths		ingeneting.	\$ cts.	\$	\$
A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally—					а — о — не не
(1) Approach channel above Robinson Bay- Excavation saved	104,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	68,000	1/T
 (2) Canal prism, Robinson Bay lock and Grass River lock— Excavation saved	157,000	"	0 65	102,000	
(d) Approximation saved Excavation saved	9,000		0 65	6,000	bug mati
(4) Dicting the channel, Cornwall Island— Dredging saved Over depth, saved	224,000 40,000	"	0 80 0 80	179,000 32,000	387,00
Engineering administration and contingencies.		1212%			49,00
Total					436,00

TABLE I.—ESTIMATE OF COST INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME (242)—Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
B. Additional cost if channels are made 27 feet deep originally—	008.0		\$ cts.	\$	\$
(1) Approach channel above Robinson Bay—			Inte	a million	
Excavation added (2) Canal prism, Robinson Bay lock to Grass River lock—	108,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	70,000	arim ming.
Excavation added. (3) Approach channel, Grass River lock to river-	160,000	"	0 65	104,000	
Excavation added	10,000	" .	0 65	7,000	
Dredging added Over depth added (5) Control dam at Galop—	$261,000 \\ 37,000$	"	0 80 0 80	209,000 22,000	
Additional gate and pier				38,000	150 000
Engineering administration and contingencies		$12\frac{1}{2}\%$			450,000
Total					506,000
C. Cost of future enlargement from 25-foot depth to 30-foot depth— (1) Exception above Calon Island—	- dave				R. Shares av
Dredging, loose	$78,000 \\ 67,000$	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&80\\&6&45\end{smallmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 60,000 \\ 432,000 \end{array} $	
(2) Revision of control works	38,000		6 45	245,000	
Bay- Dredging	231 000	"	0.75	160 000	
Dredging, over depth (4) Canal prism, Robinson Bay lock to Grass River lock—	46,000	"	0 75	35,000	
Dredging.	393,000	"	0 75	290,000	
(5) Approach channel, Grass River lock to shore—	80,000	"	0 75	60,000	
Dredging	24,000	"	0 75	18,000	
(6) Dredging for navigation only, south channel at Cornwall Island—	5,000		0 75	4,000	
Dredging Dredging, over depth	772,000 340,000	"	0 75 0 75	579,000 255,000	
Engineering, administration and contingencies.		$12\frac{1}{2}\%$			2,197,000 275,000
Total					2,472,000

(As proposed by United States Section)

TABLE 2.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME WITH DAM AT HAWKINS POINT

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
1. Dam and power houses at foot of Barnhart Island— (a) Dam, except unwatering— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock, dry Concrete Foundation contingencies Gates Towers, track, and bridge	$1,435,000\\145,000\\893,800\\33\\33\\33$	Cu. yd. " 10% Spans	\$ cts. 0 65 2 25 12 00 10,000 00 6,800 00	\$ 933,000 326,000 10,726,000 1,072,000 330,000 224,000	\$

TABLE 2.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME WITH DAM AT HAWKINS POINT-Continued

Sub-totals	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
				\$ cts.	\$	\$
1. Dam and Operation	power houses, etc.— <i>Con.</i> ating cranes logs	3 4	Each Sets	$16,000 \ 00 \ 12,500 \ 00$	$48,000 \\ 50,000$	
Tail- I I	race excavation below Dam— Dry earth Dredging, loose	$4,442,000 \\1,330,000$	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&1&25\end{smallmatrix}$	2,887,000 1,663,000	18,279,000
(b) Powe Unit J	er-house substructures— ed States power house— Excavation, earth, dry Excavation, rock, dry Concrete, below draft-tube floor	1,907,000 57,600 346,000 791,800	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 25 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \end{array}$	1,240,000 130,000 3,466,000 10,827,000	
	Joncrete, above draft-tube hoor dian power house— Excavation, earth, dry Excavation, rock, dry Concrete, below draft-tube floor Concrete, above draft-tube floor	1,378,000 57,600 38,000 718,500	" " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 25 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \end{array}$	896,000 130,000 380,000 10,778,000	
(c) Unw	atering dam			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,440,000	27,847,000
(d) Abu Unit	tments to power bases— ed States power house— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Back fill	459,000 3,000 339,000	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \end{array}$	298,000 11,000 136,000	2,110,000
Can	Concrete adian power house— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock	120,100 276,000 2,900 206,000	 	$\begin{array}{c} 12 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \end{array}$	1,441,000 179,000 10,000 82,000	
(a) Tail	Concrete	69,800	"	12 00	838,000	2,995,000
(e) Tan Uni	ted States power house— Excavation, earth, dry	6,504,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	4,228,000	1. Date and a
	Excavation, earth, dry Dredging, earth Dredging, rock Excavation, dry, rock	$\begin{array}{c} 2,475,000\\ 689,000\\ 43,600\\ 122,000 \end{array}$	66 66 66	0 68 1 28 5 00 1 78	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,609,000 \\ 861,000 \\ 218,000 \\ 214,000 \\ \end{array} $	7,130,000
(f) Rail Rai	connections to power houses— lroad to United States powe house track.	r 2.1	Miles	40,000 00	84,000	ALT
Rai Brie	lroad to Canadian power house track dges	2.7		40,000 0	108,000	331,000
<i>(g)</i> Sup	erstructure and machinery— Estimate I, item 1 (g)				53,571,000	53,571,000
				- Inter	anna sperg	112,593,000
2. Navigati Estin	on works (channels 25 feet deep)- nate I, item 2 (a) to (m)				. 19,284,00	19,284,000
3. Dykes— (a) Car Est	nadian shore from 2 miles west of Aultsville to Bergen Lake— imate I, item 3 (a)	of			3,240,00	0-3,240,000
(b) Her Est	ad of Bergen Lake to Head of Bar hart Island— imate I, item 3 (b)	n-		v	. 820,00	0 820,000
(c) Hes Str Ear Rin	ad of Barnhart Island to Canadia power house— ipping	n 56,200 740,000 13,10	0 Cu.yd.	. 0 (5 37,00 5 555,00 0 39,00	0 0 0 631 000
		1				001,000

45827-19

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
3. Dykes—Con.	(and the	Tea	\$ cts.	\$	\$
 (d) United States Shore, Cole Creek to Massena Canal, exclusive— Estimate I, item 3 (d) 				1,012,000	1 012 000
(e) Massena Canal, inclusive to foot of South Sault— Estimate I, item 3 (e)		•		1,040,000	1,012,000
(f) Foot of South Sault to Robinson Bay lock— Estimate I, item 3 (f)				2,218,000	Taite
(g) Robinson Bay lock to United States power house— Stripping. Earth fill	41,000 935,000	Cu.yd.	0 65 0 75	27,000 701,000	2,218,000
Riprap slope protection	8,900	no filiar	3 00	27,000	755,000
4 to 18-Estimate L items 4 to 18 inclusive				65 288 000	9,716,000
					65,388,000
Total net cost Engineering, administration, and contingencies		$12\frac{1}{2}\%$			$206,981,000 \\ 25,873,000$
and the second s	001,021			Distantion in the second	232,854,000

TABLE 2-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME WITH DAM AT HAWKINS POINT-Continued

entrane .	Item	Net cost	Overhead	Total
 Dam and power ho Navigation works Dikes	uses at foot of Barnhart Island	\$ 112,593,000 19,284,000 9,716,000 65,388,000	$\begin{array}{r} \$ \\ 14,074,000 \\ 2,411,000 \\ 1,214,000 \\ 8,174,000 \end{array}$	\$ 126,667,000 21,695,000 10,930,000 73,562,000
		206,981,000	25,873,000	232,854,000

SUMMARY

TABLE 3.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME-WITH DAM AT LONG SAULT SITE

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
1. Dam at Long Sault and power houses at foot of Barnhart Island—			\$ cts.	\$	\$
(a) Dam, except unwatering— Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Concrete Foundation contingencies Gates Towers, track, and bridge Operating cranes. Stop logs	$1,267,000 \\ 143,000 \\ 716,100 \\ \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 6$	Cu. yd. " 10% Each Spans Each Sots	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 70 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 12 & 00 \\ \hline \\ 7,100 & 00 \\ 6,300 & 00 \\ 14,000 & 00 \\ 4,000 & 00 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 887,000\\ 501,000\\ 8,593,000\\ 859,000\\ 327,000\\ 290,000\\ 56,000\\ 34,000\end{array}$	agingi sait .t aninott saitet .t agint Lista
(b) Powerhouse substructures— United States and Canadian power houses—			1,000 00		11,537,000
Excavation, earth, dry Excavation, rock, dry Concrete, below draft-tube floor Concrete above draft-tube floor,	${}^{1,386,000}_{299,500}_{7,600}_{1,294,400}$	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 25 \\ 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \end{array}$	$901,000 \\ 674,000 \\ 76,000 \\ 19,416,000$	21 067 000

TABLE 3.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME-WITH DAM AT LONG SAULT SITE-Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
1. Dam at Long Sault, etc.—Con. (c) Unwatering dam and power houses— Power houses	999.11T.1		\$ cts.	\$ 2,416,000 3 527 000	\$
Dam. Diversion cut across Long Sault Island- Excavation, earth. Excavation, rock. Dredging, loose. Concrete, lining. Compensation weir.	2,472,000 125,000 707,000 32,000	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 25 \\ 0 & 70 \\ 12 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,607,000\\ 219,000\\ 495,000\\ 384,000\\ 400,000 \end{array}$	
Temporary gates at dam to control diversion				100,000	9,148,000
(d) Ice sluice at end of United States power house, including abutments- Excavation, earth. Excavation, rock. Concrete. Back fill. Gates Stop logs. Operating machinery.	479,300 19,000 199,900 200,000 4 1	Cu. yd. " " Each Set	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 12 & 00 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 6,500 & 00 \\ 8,000 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 312,000\\ 67,000\\ 2,399,000\\ 80,000\\ 26,000\\ 8,000\\ 8,000\\ 20,000\end{array}$	2.912.000
(e) Ice sluice at end of Canadian power house— Excavation, earth Concrete. Gates. Stop logs. Operating machinery.	40,000 28,400 109,300 4 1	Cu. yd. " Each Set	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 68 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 12 & 00 \\ 6,500 & 00 \\ 8,000 & 00 \end{array}$	$26,000 \\ 99,000 \\ 1,312,000 \\ 26,000 \\ 8,000 \\ 20,000$	1 401 000
(f) Tail-race excavation- United States and Canadian power	c			Charles and the	1,491,000
Excavation, earth, dry Excavation, rock, dry Dredging, loose.	$\begin{array}{c} 3,615,000\\975,300\\374,000\end{array}$	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 25 \end{array}$	2,350,000 1,707,000 468,000	4,525,000
(g) Forebay excavation— United States and Canadian power	r	And and		punchs draw	alary rai
Excavation, earth, dry Enlargement of Little River— Excavation earth	. 444,000	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 65	289,000 57,000	
(h) Superstructures and machinery— United States and Canadian powe	r	-		annel Josef	346,000
$\begin{array}{c} \text{houses-} \\ \text{Estimate I, item 1 } (g) \dots \dots \end{array}$				53,571,000	53,571,000
(i) Rail connections to power houses Railroad to United States power house track.	. 9	Miles	40,000 00	360,000	
Bridges	. 1 54		40,000 00	62,000 139,000	561.000
(1) Ice divertor, at head of Little River- Excavation, earth Concrete Boom Unwatering. Training dike: Earth fill. Riprap.	53,000 29,500 1,800 106,000 3,800	Cu. yd. Lin. ft. Cu. yd.	0 75 12 00 75 00 0 75 3 00	40,000 354,000 135,000 98,000 5 80,000 11,000	718,000.
2. Navigation works (channels 25 feet deep)- (a) Embankment, South Sault—	197 000	Cu_vd	1.00	197,00	105,876,000
KOCK III	157,000	Curyu.	1.00		197,000

10021 10

TABLE 3.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME-WITH DAM AT LONG SAULT SITE-Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
2. Navigation works, etc.—Con.			\$ cts.	S	\$
(b) Channel above upper lock— Excavation, earth. Concrete, bank protection Lighting.	1,250,000 2,000 $0\cdot 5$	Cu. yd. Lin. ft. Mile	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 & 65 \\ 9 & 00 \\ 2,000 & 00 \end{array} $	813,000 18,000 1,000	
(c) Upper lock (N ⁵ , 8)—	1 105 000	C 1	0.75	074 000	832,000
Excavation, earth Excavation, rock Back fill Concrete. Gates. Operating machinery	1,165,000 17,500 736,000 378,100 6	Cu. yd. " Pair	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 10 & 00 \\ \dots \\ \dots$	874,000 61,000 294,000 3,781,000 785,000 310,000	
Emergency dam. Approach walls— Concrete Piling. Office and dwellings.	54,400 19 $\frac{9}{2},000$	Cu. yd. Lin. ft.	$\begin{array}{c}10&00\\0&85\end{array}$	175,000 544,000 168,000 40,000	(d) for
(d) Dike, at Robinson Bay— Earth fill. Riprap.	$96,000 \\ 4,200$	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&75\\&3&00\end{smallmatrix}$	72,000 13,000	7,032,000
(e) Canal prism, upper lock to Grass River				and the second	85,000
Excavation, earth Concrete bank protection Lighting.	6,194,000 16,000 3	Cu. yd. Lin. ft. Miles	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 9 & 00 \\ 2,000 & 00 \end{array}$	4,026,000 144,000 6,000	4,176,000
(f) Grass River lock (No. 7)— Excavation, earth. Excavation, rock Back fill. Concrete. Gates Operating machinery. Approach wells—	$905,000 \\ 13,900 \\ 588,000 \\ 337,250 \\ 6$	Cu. yd. " Pair	0 75 3 50 0 40 10 00	679,000 49,000 235,000 3,373,000 730,000 300,000	
Timber cribs. Piling. Concrete Office and dwellings.	41,200 71,200 27,000	Cu. yd. Lin. ft. Cu. yd.		$330,000 \\ 61,000 \\ 270,000 \\ 40,000$	C 007 000
(g) Approach channel, Grass River lock to river— Estimate I, item 2 (e)	100 100 			227,000	227,000
(h) Dike at Grass River lock— Estimate I, item 2 (f)				307,000	207 000
(i) Waste weir at Grass River lock— Estimate I, item 2 (g)				757,000	307,000
(j) Drainage ditch, north of Grass River lock— Estimate I, item 2 (h)				2,000	757,000
(k) Diversion dike and flood channel at mouth of Grass River— Estimate I, item 2 (i)				308,000	2,000
(1) Diversion of Ottawa Branch, New York Central Railroad— Estimate I, item 2 (j)				1,308,000	308,000
(m) Dredging for navigation only, south channel, Cornwall Island—	1000 1001 1. 1910		ten na	T white with	1,503,000
(n) Road relocation				526,000	526,000
(c) Ferry across canal				25,000	117,000
			2		25,000

TABLE 3-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME-WITH DAM AT LONG SAULT SITE-Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
			\$ cts.	\$	\$
 Dikes— (a) Canadian shore, from 2 miles west of Aultsville to Bergen Lake— Estimate I, item 3 (a) 				3,240,000	3,240,000
(b) Head of Bergen Lake to foot of Sheek Island— Stripping Fill, earth. Fill, rock Riprap	$97,000 \\ 2,045,000 \\ 15,000 \\ 22,100$	Cu.yd. "	$egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 65 \ 0 & 75 \ 2 & 00 \ 3 & 00 \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - $	$\begin{array}{r} 63,000\\ 1,534,000\\ 30,000\\ 66,000\end{array}$	1,693,000
(c) Foot of Sheek Island to spillway at Little River— Stripping Fill, earth Fill, rock Riprap.	$323,000 \\ 7,191,000 \\ 149,000 \\ 19,300$	Cu.yd. "	$egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 0 & 50 \\ 3 & 00 \\ - \end{array}$	$210,000 \\ 5,399,000 \\ 75,000 \\ 58,000$	5,742,000
(d) United States shore, Cole Creek to Massena Canal, exclusive— Estimate I, item 3 (d)				1,012,000	1,012,000
(e) Massena Canal to Long Sault dam— Stripping Earth fill Riprap	$35,000 \\ 730,000 \\ 17,000$	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 00 \end{array}$	$23,000 \\ 548,000 \\ 51,000$	622,000
(f) Long Sault dam to United States powe house→ Stripping. Earth fill Riprap	r 59,000 1,240,000 20,400	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 75 \\ 3 & 00 \end{array}$	$38,000 \\ 930,000 \\ 61,000$	1,029,000
	-				13,338,000
4 to 14. Estimate I, items 4 to 14, inclusive.				52,174,000	52,174,000
 15. Flowage and damage— (a) Canadian shore— Estimate I, item 15 (a) Lands 	. 160	Acres	1,000 00	5,574,000 160,000	5,734,000
(b) United States shore— Chimney Point to Waddington, inclusive— Estimate I, item 15 (b)	L- 000.001	r listic	an sheet an	849,000	
Waddington to Massena Canal— Estimate I, item 15 (c)				1,200,000	
Massena Canal to Massena— Lands Seepage Severance	1,720	Acres	155 00	514,00 25,00 267,00	2,855,000
(c) Islands— Above Galop Island— Estimate I, item 15 (c) Long Sault Island—			ines bas de	745,00	0
Estimate I, item 15 (c) Barnhart Island—				265,00	
Estimate I, item 15 (c) Sheek Island— Lands	1,22	5 Acres	149 00	219,00 183,00 25,00	0
Seepage(d) Power leases				275,00	-1,437,000
16. Railroad relocation— Estimate I, item 16			in and courts	858,00	$\begin{array}{c} 213,030\\ \hline 10,301,000\\ \hline 858,000 \end{array}$

TABLE 3.—SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME					
Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-tot
17 Highway releastion		-	\$ cts.	\$	\$
United States and Canadian shores— Estimate I, item 17 (a) and (b)				1.781.000	(a) Cam
18. Clearing reservoir site	5,600	Acres	100 00	560,000	1,781,
	and over	Sparte M	fail of side		560,
Net total Engineering, administration, and contingencie	5	1219			206,854, 25,857,
	12.10	. Bate			232,711,
And a	SUMMARY	In Annual	and the state	-presidential	NOT (S)
Item			Net cost	Overhead	Total
100.515.6		¥.,	s	S	S
			12,000,000	2,740,000	24,712,
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site.			$\begin{array}{c} 21,300,000\\ 13,338,000\\ 52,174,000\\ 10,301,000\\ 858,000\\ 1,781,000\\ 560,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,740,000\\ 1,667,000\\ 6,522,000\\ 1,288,000\\ 107,000\\ 223,000\\ 70,000\end{array}$	24,712, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630,
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage 16. Railroad relocation 17. Highway relocation 18. Clearing reservoir site.			$\begin{array}{c} 21,300,000\\ 13,338,000\\ 52,174,000\\ 10,301,000\\ 858,000\\ 1,781,000\\ 560,000\\ \hline 206,854,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 2,740,000\\ 1,667,000\\ 6,522,000\\ 1,288,000\\ 107,000\\ 223,000\\ \hline \hline 225,857,000 \end{array}$	24,712,15,005,58,696,11,589,965,2,004,630,232,711,
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage 16. Railroad relocation 17. Highway relocation 18. Clearing reservoir site			13,338,000 52,174,000 10,301,000 858,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000	$\begin{array}{c} 2,740,000\\ 1,667,000\\ 6,522,000\\ 1,288,000\\ 107,000\\ 223,000\\ \hline \\ 25,857,000\\ \hline \end{array}$	24, 112, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711,
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I) 15. Flowage and damage 16. Railroad relocation 17. Highway relocation 18. Clearing reservoir site II. Item	Quantity	Unit	13,338,000 52,174,000 10,301,000 858,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 Unit price	2,740,000 1,667,000 6,522,000 1,288,000 20,000 223,000 70,000 25,857,000	24, 112, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tota
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation . 17. Highway relocation . 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item · For Other Channel Depths	Quantity	Unit	13,338,000 52,174,000 10,301,000 858,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 Unit price \$ cts.	25,857,000 Amount 8	24, 1/2, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tots
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item For Other Channel Depths A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep	Quantity	Unit	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 Unit price \$ cts.	25,857,000 Amount \$	24, 12, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tots
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item For OTHER CHANNEL DEFTHS A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally— (1) Approach channel above upper lock—	Quantity	Unit	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 Unit price \$ cts.	25, 857, 000 Amount	24, /12, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tots
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item FOR OTHER CHANNEL DEPTHS A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally— (1) Approach channel above upper lock— Excavation saved. (2) Canal prism, upper lock to Robinson Bay Lock	Quantity 153,000	Unit Cu. yd.	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 \$ cts. \$ cts. 0 65	2, 740, 000 1, 667, 000 6, 522, 000 1, 288, 000 223, 000 70, 000 25, 857, 000 Amount \$ 99,000	24, /12, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tots
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item FOR OTHER CHANNEL DEFTHS A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally— (1) Approach channel above upper lock— Excavation saved. (2) Canal prism, upper lock to Robinson Bay lock— Excavation saved. (3) Approach channel, Grass River lock	Quantity 153,000 483,000	Unit Cu. yd.	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 \$ cts. \$ cts. 0 65 0 65	2, 740,000 1, 667,000 6, 522,000 1, 288,000 223,000 70,000 25, 857,000 Amount \$ 99,000 314,000	24, /12, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tots
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item For OTHER CHANNEL DEPTHS A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally— (1) Approach channel above upper lock— Excavation saved. (2) Canal prism, upper lock to Robinson Bay lock— Excavation saved. (3) Approach channel, Grass River lock to river— Estimate I, item A (3) (4) Dredging, for navigation only, south	Quantity 153,000 483,000	Unit Cu. yd. "	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 \$ cts. 0 65 0 65 0 65	2,740,000 1,667,000 6,522,000 1,288,000 107,000 223,000 25,857,000 25,857,000 Amount \$ 99,000 314,000 6,000	24, 112, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tota
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation. 17. Highway relocation. 18. Clearing reservoir site. Item For OTHER CHANNEL DEPTHS A. Saving if navigation channel is 23 feet deep originally— (1) Approach channel above upper lock— Excavation saved	Quantity 153,000 483,000	Unit Cu. yd. "	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 560,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 0 65 0 65 0 65	2, 740, 000 1, 667, 000 6, 522, 000 1, 288, 000 223, 000 70, 000 25, \$57, 000 25, \$57, 000 Amount \$ 99,000 314,000 6,000 211,000	24, 112, 15,005, 58,696, 11,589, 965, 2,004, 630, 232,711, Sub-tota
4 to 14, inclusive (see Summary, estimate I). 15. Flowage and damage. 16. Railroad relocation 17. Highway relocation 18. Clearing reservoir site. 18. Clearing reservoir site. 19. The second sec	Quantity 153,000 483,000	Unit Cu. yd. "	13,338,000 52,174,000 52,174,000 58,000 1,781,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 206,854,000 0 65 0 65 0 65 0 65	2,740,000 1,667,000 6,522,000 1,288,000 107,000 223,000 70,000 25,\$57,000 Amount \$ 99,000 314,000 6,000 211,000	24, 112, 115, 005, 58, 696, 11, 589, 965, 2, 004, 630, 232, 711, 232, 712, 722, 722, 722, 722, 722, 722, 72

149,000 Cu. yd.

"

 $12\frac{1}{2}\%$

470,000

0 65

0 65

97,000

306,000 276,000

709,000

 $679,000 \\ 85,000$

764,000

Total.
B. Additional cost if channels are made 27 feet deep originally—

Approach channel above upper lock—
Excavation added.
Canal prism, upper lock to Robinson Bay lock—
Excavation added.
to (5), inclusive—
Estimate I, items B (3) to (5).

Engineering, administration and contingencies.

Total.....

TABLE 3.-SINGLE-STAGE SCHEME-WITH DAM AT LONG SAULT SITE-Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	U Pr	nit rice	Amount	Sub-Totals
For Other Channel Depths-Con.	Real I		\$	cts.	\$	\$
C. Cost of future enlargement from 25-foot depth to 30-foot depth—		•				
(1) Excavation above Galop Island— Estimate L item C (1)					737,000	
(2) Revision of control works— Estimate I, item C (2)					50,000	
(3) Approach channel above upper lock— Dredging Dredging, over depth	364,000 72,000	Cu.yd.		$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&75\\0&75\end{smallmatrix}$	$273,000 \\ 54,000$	La Sta
(4) Canal prism, upper lock to Grass River lock— Dredging. Dredging, over depth	1,144,000 229,000	Cu.yd.		$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 0 & 75 \end{array}$	858,000 172,000	COLUMN S
(5) and (6)— Estimate I, item C (5) and (6)					856,000	0.000 000
Engineering, administration and contingencies		$12\frac{1}{2}\%$				3,000,000
Total				•••••		3,375,000

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
UPPER POOL, WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION- 1. Approaca Channels-Ogden Island Lock	. Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Overdepth	Cu. yd. "	\$ cts. 0 65 0 90 0 90	$\begin{array}{r} 477,070\\ 1,048,000\\ 96,500 \end{array}$	\$ 310,100 943,200 86,850	\$• 1,340,150
1A. Guide Pier in South Galop	Cribwork	Cu. yd.	5 00	6,000	30,000	
2. Ogden Island Lock and Entrance Piers	Concrete. Cribwork. Excavation—Earth. Dry rock. Trench rock. Close drilling. Gates and operating machinery. Valves and operating machinery Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc. Emergency gate. Operating buildings, etc.	Cu. yd. " " s.i	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \ 00 \\ 5 \ 00 \\ 0 \ 65 \\ 1 \ 60 \\ 4 \ 10 \\ 0 \ 45 \\ \end{array}$	375,580 38,000 1,064,640 3,380 1,900 4,510	$\begin{array}{c} 3,755,800\\ 190,000\\ 692,120\\ 5,410\\ 7,790\\ 2,030\\ 688,000\\ 100,000\\ 181,700\\ 175,000\\ 25,000 \end{array}$	30,000 5,822,850
3. Engineering and contingencies	121 per cent					7,193,000 900,000
4. Total						8,093,000
UPPER POOL, WORK'S COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER— 5. Channel Excavation— (a)_Chimney Point	Excavation—Wet rock Wet rock overdepth Dredging Dredging overdepth	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \end{array}$	$155,800 \\ 24,700 \\ 288,400 \\ 26,790$	662, 150 104, 980 205, 560 24, 110	000.000
(b) Removal of Spencer Island Pier	Excavation	Cu. yd.	1 50	123,950	185,930	185,930
(c) Removal of Gut Dam	Excavation	Cu. yd.	1 50	44,640	66,960	
(d) Removal of Centre Wall of Lock 27 and 28 and Canal Bank	Excavation—Masonry and Crib work Dredging Dredging overdepth	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \end{array}$	$14,630 \\ 167,670 \\ 13,330$	$23,410 \\ 150,900 \\ 12,000$	66,960 186,310
(e) North Galop Channel to below Baycraft Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dry rock	Cu.yd.,	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array} $	3,318,860 265,660	2,157,260 425,060	

TABLE No. 4.-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224 See Plates Nos. 26-33

296

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

.....

Dares in Barr at Worth Sale of Option Mand		Dredging Dredging overdepth Wet rock Wet rock overdepth	44 44 44 44	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$2,189,360 \\137,030 \\233,800 \\60,740$	$1,970,430 \\123,330 \\993,650 \\258,150$	5,927,880
(f) South Galop Channel—from Butternut Island to South of Bayeraft Island	Excavation—	Dry earth Dry rock Dredging Banks—Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. " " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 90 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 429,430\\ 2,506,630\\ 199,940\\ 31,480\\ 105,690\\ 91,540\\ 20,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 279,120\\ 4,010,610\\ 179,950\\ 28,340\\ 63,420\\ 91,540\\ 18,000\\ 1,250,000 \end{array}$	5 020 980
(g) South of Baycraft Island to below Lotus Island	Excavation-	-Dry earth Dry rock Dredging Dredging overdepth	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \end{array}$	$297,990 \\ 230,670 \\ 2,492,780 \\ 156,000$	$193,690 \\ 369,070 \\ 2,243,510 \\ 140,400$	2,946,670
(h) South of Lalone Island	Excavation-	-Dry earth Dry rock	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&1&60\end{smallmatrix}$	$289,200 \\ 263,200$	$187,980 \\ 421,120$	609,100
(j) Sparrow hawk Point	. Excavation-	-Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth	Cu.yd. "	0 90 0 90 0 65	$2,880,420 \\ 124,070 \\ 1,490,790$	$2,592,380 \\ 111,660 \\ 969,010$	3, 673, 050
(k) Galop Canal Bank, Presqu'isle and Toussaints Islands	. Excavation-	-Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth	Cy.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	2,435,870 121,730 324,770	$2,192,280 \\ 109,560 \\ 211,100$	2, 512, 940
(1) Above Lock 25 to River at Iroquois	. Excavation-	-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	39,470	25,660	25,660
(m) Point Rockway	. Excavation-	–Dry earth Dredging Dredging overdepth	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 90 0 90	$\begin{array}{r} 691,330\\ 1,620,450\\ 81,500\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 449,360 \\ 1,458,400 \\ 73,350 \end{array}$	1,981,110
(n) Point Three Points	. Excavation-	–Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 \cdot 90 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	2,327,810 137,770 204,970	2,095,030 124,000 133,230	2,352,260
(o) Channel from Above Point Rockway to below Poin Three Points.	nt Excavation-	-Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth Dry earth	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.40 \end{array}$	$694,520 \\ 65,000 \\ 2,088,480 \\ 3,900,000$	$625,070 \\ 58,500 \\ 1,357,510 \\ 1,560,000$	2 601 080
(p) Leishman's Point	. Excavation	Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth	. Cu. yd.	0 90 0 90 0 65	70,770 6,110 622,870	$63,690 \\ 5,500 \\ 404,870$	474,060
Carried forward			Latina 1		n nantos d		31,460,790

TABLE No. 4-INTERNATIONAL	RAPIDS SECTION—DETAIL	D ESTIMATE OF	TWO-STAGE	DEVELOPMENT-	224—Continued
	See Plates	Nos. 26-33			

Brought forward. \$ cts \$ cts \$ sts \$ 31,460,79 1rPER Pool Works Coansor to NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con. 5. Channel Excavation-Con. 5. Channel Excavation-Con. 6. Channel Excavation-Con. 6. Coansel Co	Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
iPPER POOL WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER—Con. Excavation—Dredging	Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 31,460,790
(q) North End of Ogden Island. Excavation—Dredging overdepth. Cu. yd. 0 90 530,050 477,050 (r) Morrisburg Canal Bank. Excavation—Dredging overdepth. " 0 65 66,800 42,120 (r) Morrisburg Canal Bank. Excavation—Dredging overdepth. " 0 90 1,126,530 1,013,880 (r) Morrisburg Canal Bank. Excavation—Dredging overdepth. " 0 90 24,170 21,750 (s) South side of Ogden Island. Excavation—Dredging overdepth. " 0 90 567 6,670 (r) Channel through Ogden Island. Excavation—Ureck. Cu. yd. 0 90 24,170 21,750 (r) Channel through Ogden Island. Excavation—Wet rock. " 0 65 1,633,600 1,043,760 (r) Channel through Ogden Island. Excavation—Wet rock. " 1 60 229,090 334,800 (r) Channel through Ogden Island. Rock Fill Supproxel. " 1 60 229,090 334,800 0 Tor dring. " 0 65 1,633,900 10,043,700 3,227,15 (r) Channel through Ogden Island. Rock Fill Cu. yd. 0 40	PPER POOL WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con. 5. Channel Excavation-Con.	Dry entity	10000				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(q) North End of Ogden Island	Excavation—Dredging Dredging overdepth Dry earth	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$530,050 \\ 37,000 \\ 64,800$	$\begin{array}{r} 477,050\\ 33,300\\ 42,120\end{array}$	
	(r) Morrisburg Canal Bank	Excavation—Dredging Dredging overdepth Masonry	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$1,126,530 \\75,700 \\13,770$	$1,013,880 \\ 68,130 \\ 22,030$	552,470
	(s) South side of Ogden Island	Excavation—Dredging. Dredging overdepth Dry earth. Dry rock. Unwatering.	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ \end{array}$	$24,170 \\ 6,670 \\ 1,638,090 \\ 219,000$	$21,750 \\ 6,000 \\ 1,064,760 \\ 350,400 \\ 402,500$	1,104,040
6. Rock fill Islands above Galop Island. Rock Fill. Cu. yd. 0 40 269,600 107,840 3,227,15 7 Dam at Head of Channel through Galop Island. Concrete. Cu. yd. 12 00 45,780 549,360 107,840 7 Dam at Head of Channel through Galop Island. Concrete. Cu. yd. 10 00 22,460 224,600 40,000 8. Dam at North End of North Power House. Concrete. Cu. yd. 0 65 43,910 28,540 308,700 8. Dam at North End of North Power House. Concrete. Cu. yd. 10 00 10,290 102,900 1,598,71 8. Dam at North End of North Power House. Concrete. Cu. yd. 12 00 30,070 360,840 1,598,71 8. Dam at North End of North Power House. Concrete. Cu. yd. 12 00 30,070 360,840 1,598,71 9.000 Concrete. Cu. yd. 10 00 10,290 102,900 1,598,71 9.000 Concrete. Cu. yd. 0 65 72,330 47,020 1,598,71 9.000 Concrete. Cu. yd. 0 65 72,330 47,020 1,598,71	(t) Channel through Ogden Island	Excavation—Wet rock Wet rock overdepth Dry rock Dry earth Dredging	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 90 \end{array}$	$125,350 \\ 17,200 \\ 209,250 \\ 3,309,080 \\ 150,670$	$532,740 \\73,100 \\334,800 \\2,150,900 \\135,610$	1,845,41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6. Rock fill Islands above Galop Island	Rock Fill	Cu. yd.	0 40	269,600	107,840	3,227,150
$8. \text{ Dam at North End of North Power House} \text{House} \text$	7 Dam at Head of Channel through Galop Island	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array}$	45,780 22,460	549,360 224,600 40,000	107,840
8. Dam at North End of North Power House Concrete		Excavation—Earth. Rock (footing) Rock (trench). Gates, towers, hoists, etc Unwatering.	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	43,910 18,530 740		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8. Dam at North End of North Power House	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array}$	30,070 10,290	360, 840 102, 900	1,598,710
		Excavation—Earth Rock (footing) Gates. Towers. Hoists, etc.	Cu. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&2&40\end{smallmatrix}$	$72,330 \\ 7,940$	25,000 47,020 19,060 94,900	

298

nce Waterway Project

9. Dam in bay on North Side of Ogden Island	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{ccc}12&00\\10&00\end{array}$	$\frac{108,580}{69,360}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,302,960\\693,600\\70,000\end{array}$	
	Foundation contingency Excavation—Rock (footing) Rock (trench) Earth	Cu.yd. "	$ \begin{array}{r} 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array} $	$28,060 \\ 1,930 \\ 542,750$	67,340 7,910 352,790 337,300	
	Gates, towers, hoists, etc Banks—Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$20,830 \\ 8,790 \\ 4,910$	$ \begin{array}{r} 537,300 \\ 18,750 \\ 5,270 \\ 3,190 \\ 300,000 \\ \end{array} $	
	Unwatering					3, 159, 110
10. Protection to Iroquois	Bank—Earth fill	Cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array} $	866,240 252,560 214,490	779,620 252,560 139,420	
	Stripping Ditches—Excavation Highway and railroad bridges	"	0 65	76,600	49,790 41,000 27,000	4 000 000
11. Property damages-Canadian side	Improvements Flowage				648,780 285,600 33,000	1,289,390
	" orchards Existing power developments				124,110	1,091,490
12. Property damages—United States side	Improvements Town property required Farm lands				$87,000 \\ 435,000 \\ 168,000 \\ 54,000$	Pr Con and
	" in severance				170,000	744,000
13. Property damages—Islands	Flowage Improvements				87,000	257,000
14. Highway changes		Mile	50,000 00	8.7	$37,000 \\ 435,000 \\ 25,000$	105,000
15. Clearing pool	United States shore	Acre	100 00 100 00	560 25	56,000 2,500	497,000
	Islands	· Carry	100 00	180		76,500
16. Railroad changes	Can. National Ry. at Iroquois– Relocation Bridges	Mile	100,000 00	1.5	$150,000\ 30,000$	190,000
	Diagoniti	Data -	There	General .		100,000
						47,840,620 5,885,380
17. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$					53,726,000
18 Total						00,120,000

•

1

.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
UPPER POOL, WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL BACK EXCAVATION-	Can burned Ry. to Inspace. Belowing	MIP	\$ cts.	1-1	\$	\$
19. Excavation above North power house	Excavation—Dry earth	Cu. vd.	0 65	888.500	577 530	
	Dredging		0 90	1,302,020	1,171,820	
	Dredging, over depth	"	0 90	61,410	55,270	
	Wet rock		4 25	94,930	403,450	
	Wet rock, over depth	"	4 25	28,470	121,000	
20 Substanting ate North norman house	Concerts	Curul	15 00	520 400	7 000 000	2,329,07
20. Substructure, etc.—North power nouse	Concrete	Cu. ya.	15 00	532,400	7,986,000	
	Cribwork	"	10 00	9,000	90,000	
	Excevation_Farth	"	0.65	520, 150	200,000	
	Rock	"	1 60	554 100	886 560	
	Rock (footings)	"	2 40	400	960	
	Gates and racks		2 10	100	1.831.730	
	Unwatering				2,760,000	
	Timbertes Theorem	I State Town		-		14, 159, 35
21. Substructure, etc.—South power house	Concrete	Cu. yd.	15 00	203,280	3,049,200	
	Concrete	"	10 00	39,800	398,000	
	Excavation—Earth	"	0 65	518,400	336,960	
	Rock		1 60	77,880	124,610	
	Rock, trench		4 10	1,440	5,900	
	Bank—Earth fill		0 90	40,750	36,680	
	Rock fill		0 60	16,340	9,800	
	Stripping	1.1.1.000	0 65	8,350	5,430	
	Gates and racks				644,500	
	petrosecure and a second second					4,611,08
In Concerns to Leaf and the second seco	and the second second second	Ca bay	0.00	and the		21,099,50
22. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2,637,50
23. Total						23,737,00
UPPER POOL, WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: MACHINERY AND			2.9	100 3		
SUPERSTRUCTURES-	Danie Danie Internet			- The second		
24. North power house	Generators and turbines-54-5570	H.P. units			15,272,880	
	Switching				2,476,660	
	Cranes and service units				358, 540	
	Superstructure				4,050,400	
	A COMPANY OF A COM					22, 158, 48
25. South power house	Generators and turbines-19-5570	H.P. units			5,376,060	
	Switching				871,420	

TABLE No. 4-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued See Plates Nos. 26-33.

.

300

...

D

	Cranes and service units				1,470,480	7,911,100
	Contraction of the second s	-	to more	12		30,069,580 3,759,420
26. Engineering and contingencies	$\dots 12\frac{1}{2}\%$				-	33,829,000
27. Total					=	
VER POOL, WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION- 28. Channel excavation- (a) Below Clark Island to above Long Sault Island	Exeavation—Dredging Dredging, over depth.	Cu. yd.	0 90 0 90	$104,500 \\ 15,250$	$94,050 \\ 13,730$	107,780
(b) Above Long Sault Island to Pobinson Bay lock	Excavation—Dry earth Paving—Concrete	Cu. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\11&00\end{smallmatrix}$	4,359,540 10,770	$2,823,700 \\ 118,470$	2,942,170
() D 1: Develock to Cross River lock	Excavation—Dry earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	2,682,200	1,743,430	1,743,430
(c) Robinson Bay lock to Glass Liver lock	Excavation-Dredging	Cu. yd.	0 80	364,000	291,200	291,200
(d) Grass River lock to shore line	Excavation—Dredging Dredging, over depth	Cu. yd.	0 80 0 80	$307,460 \\ 82,030$	$245,970 \\ 65,630$	311,600
D	Excavation-Dredging	Cu. yd.	0 80	227,000	181,600	181,600
(f) At mouth of Grass River	Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	10,200	6,630	6,630
29. Drainage ditch				-		.,
30. Dykes— (a) Above Robinson Bay lock	Earth fill Earth fill Rock fill Stripping Trimming Paving—Concrete	Cu. yd. " " Sq. yd. Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$188,210 \\ 450,770 \\ 49,870 \\ 117,250 \\ 98,150 \\ 14,300$	$79,050 \\ 270,460 \\ 49,870 \\ 76,220 \\ 24,540 \\ 157,300$	657.440
(b) Robinson Bay lock to Grass River	Earth fill. Earth fill. Stripping. Trimming. Sodding.	Cu. yd. " Sq. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 669,270\\ 357,250\\ 146,510\\ 167,010\\ 22,000\\ 13,880\end{array}$	$281,090 \\214,350 \\95,230 \\41,750 \\9,900 \\152,680$	
(a) Rock fill-Guide dike below Grass River lock	Paving—Concrete Pock fill	Cu. yd.	2 00	63,000	126,000	795,600 126,000
31. Guard gate and supply weir	Concrete	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array}$	$4,520 \\ 32,730$	54,240 327,300 5,400	
	Foundation contingency Cribwork Excavation—Earth.	Cu. yd.	$5 \ 00 \\ 0 \ 65 \\ 3 \ 10$	37,030 44,060 3,340	185, 150 28, 640 10, 350	

L

231	Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
	Brought forward		Can ard.	\$ cts.	62-090	\$	\$ 169 050
OWER	POOL WORKS SOLELY DOD NUMBERSON (The charter Coloration	Car eq.	13 6(••••••		7,102,850
31.	Guard gate and supply weir—Con	Sheeting and bracing Lock gates, operating machinery, etc. Sluice gates, hoists, etc	M ft.b.m.	110 00	- 65	7,150 120,000 33,800	
32.	Robinson Bay lock—Entrance piers and weir	Concrete. Concrete. Cribwork Excavation—Earth. Lock gates and operating machinery Lock valves and operating machinery Emergency gate.	Cu. yd. "	$10 \ 00 \\ 15 \ 00 \\ 5 \ 00 \\ 0 \ 65$	$\begin{array}{r} 221, 640\\ 92, 160\\ 73, 360\\ 974, 140\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,216,400\\ 1,382,400\\ 366,800\\ 633,190\\ 603,000\\ 100,000\\ 175,000 \end{array}$	772,030
		Sluice gates, hoists, etc				$206,700 \\ 52,690$	
33.	Regulating weir at Robinson Bay	Concrete Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}12&00\\10&00\end{array}$	13,200 22,190	158,400 221,900 15,840	5, 736, 180
		Excavation—Rock, footings Rock, trench	Cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{r} 2 40 \\ 4 10 \\ 2 25 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r}2,970\\450\end{array}$	7,130 1,850	
	(a) Bernann Bay muk m three Biver both	Unwatering			348,360	226,430 35,650 30,800	
34.	Grass River lock and entrance piers	Concrete Excavation—Earth Cribwork. Lock gates and operating machinery Lock yayas and operating machinery	Cu. yd. "	$10 \ 00 \\ 0 \ 65 \\ 5 \ 00$	$351,060 \\ 1,296,950 \\ 76,050$	3,510,600 843,020 380,250 845,600	698,000
	Party winter States File Niceman Account of the	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100,000 206,700	
35. 1	N.Y.C. Ry. diversion and bridges	Bridge over Polly's Gut				728,000 175,000	5,886,170
		Railroad relocation	Mile	50,000 00	4.5	180,000 225,000	1 208 000
36. (Canal lighting and office	••••••				16,000	1,000,000

TABLE No. 4-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued See Plates Nos. 26-33

8

۰.

302

.

7	Clearing nool	Clearing	Acre	100 00	150	15,000	15,000
8.	Roads	Diversion Improvements	Mile "	30,000 00 3,000 00 30,000 00	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 25 \\ 2 \cdot 73 \\ 2 \cdot 4 \end{array} $	$37,500 \\ 8,190 \\ 72,000$	10,000
	(e.) Dituine, etc., Wales to Mealingthe	New		50,000 00	-	330, 330	117,690
9.	Property damages	Flowage				266,600	596,930
		and some and the second s	Con Jun	5 MU 8 MU	Part and		22,308,850
0.	Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ % approximately					25 388 000
	Total						20,000,100
R	POOL, WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-	Durant over gains	-	10		110.040	
<i>.</i>	(a) Canadian shore, Wales to Moulinette	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array} $	170,670 71,560 63,690	64,400 41,400	216.740
	(b) Canadian shore, Mille Roches to power house	Earth fill Rock fill	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$778,090 \\ 246,750 \\ 99,020$	$700,280 \\ 160,390 \\ 64,360$	925.030
	(c) United States shore, Wilson Hill to Louisville Landing.	Earth fill Rock fill	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}13,280\\6,000\\7,580\end{array}$	$11,950 \\ 6,000 \\ 4,930$	220,000
	(d) West and east of Massena Canal	Earth fill	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}224,620\\78,800\\60,960\end{array}$	202,160 78,800 39,630	22,000
	(e) Between Massena Canal and Navigation Canal	Earth fill Rock fill	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$7,130 \\ 3,140 \\ 4,680$	$4,630 \\ 3,140 \\ 3,040$	10,810
	(f) East and west end of Long Sault Dam	Earth fill Rock fill	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	81,280 25,340 10,330	$73,150 \\ 16,470 \\ 6,720$	06 240
	(g) On Barnhart Island	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$181,860 \\ 65,770 \\ 37,520$	163,680 42,750 - 24,390	230, 820
3	. Channel excavation— (a) Canada Island to Long Sault Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging, over depth	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 90 0 90	1,211,300 1,438,120 86,570	$787,350 \\1,294,310 \\77,920$	2,159,580

.

-

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward	andinet		\$ cts.		\$	\$ 3,982,790
LOWER POOL, WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con. 43. Channel excavation-Con.		Car so	1	10.14	IGTUS.	
(b) North side of Cornwall Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging, over depth	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 80 0 80		$520,000 \\ 466,050 \\ 41,600$	
(c) South side of Cornwall Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging, over depth	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 80 0 80	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 618,270\\ 2,932,360\\ 218,010 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$\begin{array}{r} 401,880\\2,345,890\\174,410\end{array}$	1,027,650
44. Supply channel and weir at Massena Canal	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency.	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}12&00\\10&00\end{array}$	$19,260 \\ 31,150$	231,120 311,500 23,160	2,922,180
(8) Caradian share, Milla Rashas to power bowe and Paper lineau share, Wilson Hull to Lower the Lawling	Excavation—Rock footings Rock, trench Earth. Dredging. Paving—Concrete. Sluice gates, hoists, etc	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,590\\ 870\\ 870,960\\ 43,000\\ 3,000\\ 6,550\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,100\\11,020\\3,570\\566,120\\38,700\\2,700\\72,050\\75,700\end{array}$	1 005 046
45. Diversion cut through Long Sault Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dry rock Dredging Dredging, over depth Paving—Concrete	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$2,172,420 \\29,110 \\287,900 \\29,600 \\28,270$	$1,412,070 \\ 46,580 \\ 259,110 \\ 26,640 \\ 310,970$	1,330,940
46. Main Long Sault Dam	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 10 \\ 00 \end{array}$	498,470 39,260	5,981,640 392,600 508,200	2,055,370
No. 21. 3. 4 . Ann. Annual and Carrilgen	Excavation—Earth. Rock, footings Rock, trench. Gates, towers, hoists, etc Unwatering.	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	908,730 105,300 780	598,200 590,670 252,720 3,200 646,060 3,700,000	
47. Drainage— (a) Ditches, etc., Wales to Moulinette	Excavation Bridges. Pump station	Cu. yd.	0 65	378, 740	246,180 30,000 18,000	12, 165, 090

TABLE No. 4.-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued See Plates Nos. 26-33

.

(b) Sewer for paper mill at Mille Roches	. Trench excavation	Cu. yd. M. ft.b.m Feet	$3 10 \\ 110 00 \\ 4 00$	$18,410 \\ 497 \\ 15,000$	57,070 54,670 60,000	171,740
8. 14-ft. lock, entrance piers and weir at Mille Roches	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array}$	$13,750 \\ 131,130$	$165,000 \\ 1,311,300 \\ 16,500$	1 10° 200° 000
	Cribwork Excavation—Earth Rock, footings	Cu. yd. "	$5 00 \\ 0 90 \\ 2 40 \\ 4 10$	$3,160 \\ 219,510 \\ 2,970 \\ 1,160$	15,800 197,560 7,130 4,760	20, 420
di. Tallway ben to'Force House	Lock gates, valves, operating mach- inery, etc				82,000 30,800	1 020 050
49. Railroad changes	United States side, Norwood and St. L. Railroad—Relocation	Mile	35,000 00	2	70,000	1,830,850
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bridges. Canadian side C.N. Ry.—Relocation	Mile	100,000 00	3.7	22,000 370,000	462,000
50. Clearing pool		Acre	100 00	1,610	161,000	161,000
51. Highway changes	United States shore—Roads Bridges				$265,000 \\ 50,000$	lice
	Canadian shore—RoadsBridges		•••••		823,500 85,000	1,223,500
52. Property damages—United States side	Improvements Flowage—United States shore United States shore		·····		572,000 116,220 327,600 179,520	1,110,000
	Long Sault Island Barnhart Island Other islands		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$ \begin{array}{r} 175,320 \\ 219,120 \\ 160,430 \\ 4,000 \\ \end{array} $	1100.000
	Seepage				36,000	1,614,890
53. Property damages—Canadian side	Improvements Flowage—Canadian shore Orchards			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,615,720 627,800 41,250 52,800	
	Existing power developments				149,160	3,486,730
54. Protection to Morrisburg	Bank—Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	78,180 35,490 27,650	70,360 35,490 17,970	8
	Drainage Ditch—Excavating—Earth Sewers and pumping	1	0 65	8,000	5,200	181,020
55. Engineering and contingencies	121% approximately					\$ 32,914,630 4,215,370
56 Total	A OZ-OTA VILTA ISAAAVAE O					\$ 37,130,000

. .

45827-20

TABLE No. 4INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED	ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued
See Plates	s Nos. 26–33

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Lower Pool, Works Primarily for Power: Substructures, Head and Tail-Race Excavation—	Rais-Fords fill Reals fill Science	Co Ja	\$ cts.	12 Tel	\$	\$
57. Head and Tail-race excavation(a) Removal of Upper and Lower Sheek Isd. dams	Excavation—Earth Masonry	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&90\\&4&25\end{smallmatrix}$	249,020 530	$224,120 \\ 2,260$	000 000
(b) Tail-race	Excavation—Dry earth Dry rock Dredging	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 00 \end{array}$	3,266,580 1,208,340 3,120,570	2,123,280 1,933,340 2,808,520	220,380
58. Spillway North of Power House	Concrete	Cu.yd.	0 90 12 00 10 00	281,000 68,880 106,350	826,560 1,063,500	7,118,040
	Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Rock footings Trench	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array}$	31,080 14,100 2,190	80,000 20,200 33,840 8,980	2,033,080
59. Ice Sluices at South end of Power House	Concrete Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 10 \\ 00 \end{array}$	91,130 60,090	1,093,560 600,900 100,000	
	Excavation—Earth. Rock footings "trench	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array} $	$1,106,260 \\ 13,500 \\ 490$	719,070 32,400 2,010 74,000	101-000
60. Power House substructure, etc	Concrete	Cu. yd.	15 00	1,002,440	15,036,600 3,592,260	2,621,940
61. Railway Spur to Power House	Bridges				248,000	20, 534, 090
	Railway spur				70,000	318,000
62. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$ approximately					4,014,470
63. Total						\$ 36,866,000

306

.

.

.

Lower Pool, Works Primilarly for Power: Machinery and SUPRESTRUCTURE— 64. Barnhart Island power house	Generators and turbines 38-47,600 H.P. units Switching		27,208,000 8,139,600 495,420 4,847,200	40, 690, 220 5, 086, 780
66. Total		 		\$ 45,777,000

Transformer Course country of

.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Savin navigation made deep or	ng if 1 channels 23 ft. iginally	Additionanavigation made deep or	al cost if channels 27 ft. iginally	Cost of enlargeme 25 ft. d 30 ft.	future ent from epth to depth
				Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
			\$ cts.		\$		\$		\$
67. Chimney Point to above Ogden Island	Excavation—Wet rock Wet rock over depth Dredging	Cu. yd.	5 00 5 00 0 90					628,000 207,000 213,200	3,140,000 1,038,500 191,880 28,220
68. Approach channels to Ogden Island lock	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging over depth		0 90 0 65 0 90	54,220 193,000	35,240 173,700	54,220 192,000	35,240 172,800	617,540 120,900	555,790 108 810
69. Below Clark Island to above Long Sault Island	Excavation—Dredging Dredging over depth	 	0 90 0 90	32,110 3,710	28,900 3,340	47,700 7,250	42,930 6,520	$130,900 \\ 40,260$	117,810 36,230
70. Above Long Sault Island to Robinson Bay lock	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging over depth	دد دد دد	0 65 0 90 0 90	257,690	167,500	252,790	164,310	616,160 126,400	554,540 113,760
71. Robinson Bay lock to Grass River lock	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging over depth	 	0 65	270,000	175,500	260,000	169,000	630,000 120,000	567,000 108,000
72. Grass River lock to Shore line	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging over depth	۵۵ ۵۵ ۵۵	0 65 0 80 0 80	9,000	5,850	10,000	6,500	24,000	19,200 4,800
73. Lower end of Cornwall Island	Excavation—Dredging Dredging over depth		0 80 0 80	$177,960 \\ 66,580$	$142,370 \\ 53,260$	$214,540 \\ 17,970$	$171,630 \\ 14,380$	522,240 344,400	417,790 275,520
74. Engineering and contingencies	12½% approximately				785,660 130,340		783,310 117,690		7,277,960 919,040
75. Total					916,000		901,000		8,197,000

TABLE NO. 4.-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued See Plates No. 26-33

308

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

	Item No.	Amount	Total
Jpper Pool-Works solely for navigation	4 18	\$ 8,093,000 53,726,000	\$
Works common to navigation and power Works primarily for power:	23 27	$23,737,000 \\ 33,829,000$	119 385.000
ower pool—Works solely for navigation	41 56	25,388,000 37,130,000	110,000,000
Works primarily for power:— Substructures, head and tail—Race excavation. Machinery and superstructure.	63 66	36,866,000 45,777,000	145,161,000
Change of the second			264, 546, 000
Total Rounded Total			264,600,000
Stimated initial expenditure to open navigation and provide 406,400 horse-power in upper plant, and 756,600 horse-power in lower plant. (Remaining installation at lower plant deferred awaiting growth of market)			238,400,000
Stimated initial expenditure to open navigation and provide 1,163,000 horse-power at lower plant. (Remaining installation			214,500,000
lower plant and all that of upper plant being deteriou	75		916,000
aving if navigation channels made 25 feet deep orginally	75		901,000
dditional cost if navigation channels made 27 feet deep orginary	75		8,197,000

TABLE NO. 4.-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-DETAILED ESTIMATE OF TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued

SUMMARY

3.09

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
UPPER POOL WORKS SOLELY BOD NAME	and the second se		\$ cts.	en harmele	\$	\$
1. Guide pier in south galop	Cribwork	Cu. yd.	5 00	6,000	30,000	
2. Approach channels—Bradford Pt. Lock and Dykes	Excavation-Dry earth	Curved	0.65	9 596 400	1 649 990	30,000
and or filling and the same property for the bar of the bar	Dredging		0 90	231,230	208,110	
	Over depth	"	0 90	30,000	27,000	
	Rock fill.	"	1 00	231,330	231,330	
	Stripping	"	0 65	116,560	75,760	
3. Bradford Point lock and entrance piers	Concrete	Cu. vd.	10 00	194,960	1,949,600	2,749,220
	Concrete	"	15 00	60,810	912,150	
	Excavation—Earth	"	5 00	85,000 547 890	425,000	
	Pumping				129,600	
	Gates and operating machinery			•••••	728,000	
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip-			•••••	100,000	
	ment, etc.				181,700	
	Operating buildings, etc			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	175,000	
	and the second sec					4,982,180
Engineering and Contingencies	121%			12	041 100 000	\$ 7,761,400
						970,600
4. Total	•••••••		•••••			\$ 8,732,000
The providence and providence reactions	a second s			-	an wat may	
5 Channel excavation-					an and ones a	
(a) Above Chimney Point to below Point Three Points	See Table No. 4-Items No. 5 (a)			12 1	15 134 000 -	
(b) Leichmon's Point	to 5 (o) inclusive					30,986,730
	Dredging over depth.	Cu. ya.	0 90	666,450	599,800	
	Dry earth	"	0 65	329,930	214,450	
(c) Opposite Leishman's Point	Excavation-Dredging	Cu vd	0.00	572 120	515 000	874,250
(c) opposite destination of omericity interesting the	Dredging over depth	ou.yu.	0 90	50,000	45,000	
	Dry earth	"	0 65	133,020	86,460	
(d) North and South side of Ogden Island	Excavation—Dry earth	Cu. vd.	0.65	3,174,350	2 063 330	647,280

TABLE No. 5-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-CRYSLER ISLAND-TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-217 See Plates Nos. 34-38

310

Pro
	Dredging Over depth Dry rock	"	0 90 0 90 1 60	827,290 119,200 65,490	744,560107,280104,780194,930	2 214 220
(e) Morrisburg canal bank	Excavation—Dredging Masonry	Cu.yd.	0 90 1 60	1,202,230 13,770	1,082,010 22,030	1,104,040
(f) Canada Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Over depth Rin-ran	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 2 & 70 \end{array}$	$201,300 \\ 143,700 \\ 19,000 \\ 5,180$	$130,850 \\ 129,330 \\ 17,100 \\ 13,990$	291 270
6. Rock Fill Islands above Galop Island and Cribs above Point Three Points	Rock fill Cribwork	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&40\\&5&00\end{smallmatrix}$	269,600 44,300	107,840 221,500	329,340
7. Dam at head of channel through Galop Island and dam between Adams Island and Galop Island	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}12&00\\10&00\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46,190 \\ 24,470 \end{array}$	554,280 244,700 55,430	
	Foundation contingency. Excavation—Earth Rock footings Rock trench Gates, hoists and superstructure Unwatering	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	99,220 9,280 740	64,490 22,270 3,030 1,336,360 491,640	2 772 200
8. Dykes— (a) Canadian side—Crysler Island	Earth fill	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	562,140 240,760 176,510	505,930 240,760 114,730	861 420
(b) U.S. side—Crysler Island	Earth fill	Cu.yd. "	0 90 1 00 0 65	$270,630 \\ 148,240 \\ 97,330$	$333,570 \\ 148,240 \\ 63,260$	545 070
9. Provision for 14 ft. navigation	. Lock and ent. piers-Concrete Cribwork	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}10&00\\5&00\end{smallmatrix}$	$20,740 \\ 16,680$	207,400 83,400 65,000	545,010
an Poss, Warrs Canada to Karaston and Pours-Co.	Entrance chan'l—Excavation—Earth Paving—Concrete.	Cu. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&11&00\end{smallmatrix}$	$185,560 \\ 2,150$	120,610 23,650	500,060
10. Crysler Island dam	Concrete. Caissons, sheet piling, excavation and unwatering.	Cu. yd.	12 00	475,560	5,706,720 4,152,500 200,000	
	Grouting Sluice gates, hoists, etc				645,500	10,704,720

.

•

TABLE No. 5-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-CRYSLER ISLAND-TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-217-Continued See Plates Nos. 34-38.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.	The second	\$	\$
Diought for ward	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					52,831,260
UPPER POOL, WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con.	the second se	1. Texaler	······································	and K. Par	- 100	
(a) Iroquois	Con Table No. 4 How Nr. 10	and the second	2.00	Tel fait	201 100	1 222 22
(b) Morrisburg	Book Forth fill	Cu and		476 400	400 000	1,289,39
(0) monorigerenterenterenterenterenterenterenteren	Bock fill	Cu. yu.	1 00	470,480	428,830	
	Stripping	"	0 65	92 160	50 000	
	Drainage ditch-Excavation-Earth	"	0 65	8,000	5 200	
	Culverts, sewers and pumping			0,000	55,000	
	Sewer to below Crysler Island-			The state		
	Trench excavation	Cu. yd.	3 10	147,780	458,120	
All Constine sile-Create Mand	Sheeting and bracing	M.F.B.M.	110 00	2,280	250,800	
	Concrete	Cu. yd.	20 00	8,690	173,800	
19 Property Demages Consider side	T	1		-		1,614,90
12. Property Damages—Canadian side	Flowage				1,347,290	
	Flowage onchorda				663,000	
	Fristing Power Developments				48,000	
	Existing Tower Developments				124,110	9 199 400
13. Property damagés-U.S. Side	Improvements			and a second	435 000	2, 102, 400
	Town property required				387,000	
	Farm lands				168,000	
	Farm lands				480,000	
	Severance				53,500	
	Severance				12,000	
14 Descentes James T.J. de	Brek Ell	Ou.Fd.	0.10	2011000-	102 200	1,535,500
14. Froperty damages—Islands	TT C Change Managed					523,000
10. Inghway changes	. U. S. Shore—New roads	Mile	60,000 00	5.4	324,000	
	Canadian Shore New roads	Milo	50 000 00	19 4	84,000	
	Bridges	mille	50,000 00	10.4	22,000	
Werner Bergenbergen and and and and and and and and and an	Diuges				52,000	1 110 000
16. Clearing Pool	U.S. Shore	Acre	100.00	2,660	266 000	1,110,000
	Canadian Shore	"	100.00	325	32,500	
(c) Monthlyne cauge bank	Islands	"	100.00	720	72,000	
		Charge and		-		370,500
17. Railroad changes	Canadian National Ry., at Iroquois-				Trething	a 011.00
	Relocation	Mile	100,000 00	1.5	150,000	
	Bridges.				30,000	
	Canadian National east of Morris-	1	0.00	A BELLER		
	Durg-Relocation				365,0001	

	Norwood and St. Lawrence Rly Bridges	Mile	35,000 00	4.5	$157,500 \\ 50,000$	752,500
	19107					${}^{62, 209, 450}_{7, 776, 550}$
Engineering and contingencies						69,986,000
18. Total			9.00	10° 100	1 210 000	
UPPER POOL-WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER:-SUBSTRUC 19. Head and Tailrace excavation-North power house.	TURES, Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Over depth	Cu. yd.	$0.65 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.90$	$\begin{array}{r} 868,700 \\ 1,621,530 \\ 106,500 \end{array}$	564,660 1,459,380 95,850	2,119,890
20. Head and Tailrace excavation-South power house	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Over depth	Cu. yd.	$0.65 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.90$	$292,890 \\ 674,360 \\ 61,100$	$190,380 \\ 606,920 \\ 54,990$	852, 290
21. Power house substructures	Concrete Gates and racks Unwatering		15.00	808,930	$\substack{12,133,950\\2,692,980\\3,263,120}$	18 090 050
22. Railway connection to power houses	New railway line Bridge, towers and crane	Mile	50,000 00		250,000 209,000	459,000
23. Ice sluices and walls	Concrete	Cu. yd.	$15 \cdot 00 \\ 12 \cdot 00 \\ 10 \cdot 00$	24,900 28,980 37,320	373,500 347,760 373,200 34,780	
11. Dyland to black the bar	Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Trench earth Sheeting and bracing	Cu. yd. M.F.B.M	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 10 \\ 110 & 00 \end{array}$	$38,370 \\ 25,530 \\ 198$	24,940 79,140 21,780 66,300	
20. Dreinigt firch	Gates, hoists, etc					1,321,400
(c) Realized Day lies to below freewall Island.	121%					$22,842,630 \\ 2,855,370$
24. Engineering and contingencies	1-2.10 Line - Day marks		0 50			25,698,000
25. Total			0.80	1'100		
UPPER POOL-WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER:-MACHINERY	AND	Line role.				
26. Machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines ·36—16,60 H.P. Units	0			19,223,100 3,919,860	
Them and description	Cranes and service units Superstructure				3,665,090	27,341,910
27. Engineering and contingencies						30,760,000
28. Total		1	1	1		

. .

.

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
	The dupped and an and a	C. Barris	\$ cts.	ALANY ST.	\$	s
20 Channel execution	enserably and unburns -35-16,065					
(a) Morrisburg to above Long Sault Island	xcavation-Dredging	Cu vd	0 00	11 850	10 670	
	Dredging over depth	cu. yu.	0 90	2,960	2,660	
(b) Above Long Soult Island to Debinson Devilesh	Den 1		0.07			13,330
(0) Above Long Sault Island to Robinson Bay lock	ving_Concrete	"	0 65	5,894,140	3,831,190	
			11 00	21,040	240, 240	4.071.430
(c) Robinson Bay lock to below Cornwall Island	e Table No. 4.—Items No. 28 (c)		1			-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -
30 Drainage ditch	to 28 (f)	Cu ad		10.000		2,527,830
bo Dramage citeritititititititititititititititititit	cavation-Earth	Cu. ya.	0 05	10,200	0,030	6 630
31. Dykes-	A MARCE COMPTON COMPTON		and the			0,000
(a) Above Robinson Bay lock	arth fill	"	0 42	163,430	68,220	
Ro	ock fill		0 60	131,300	18,780	
Str	ripping	"	0 65	70,840	46.050	
Tri	imming	"	0 25	74,070	18,520	
Pa	wing-Concrete	"	11 00	14,300	157,300	070 710
(b) Robinson Bay lock to Grass River	e Table No. 4-Item No. 30 (b).		margaret av			379,740
(c) Rock fill-Guide dyke below Grass River lock See	e Table No. 4Item No. 30 (c)					126,000
32. Guard gate and supply weir	oncrete	Cu. yd.	12 00	4,520	54,240	-
For	undation contingency		10 00	32,710	327,100	
Cri	ibwork	"	5 00	37.030	185, 150	
Exc	cavation-Earth	"	0 65	44,060	28,640	
She	Earth (Tr.)	MEDM	3 10	5,180	16,060	
	ck gate etc. etc.	M.F.B.M.	110 00	86	9,460	
Slu	nice gates, etc., etc				33,800	
22. Debinen Den Lack Extense sine admin	Disputer and	a		111701-00-		778,850
33. Robinson Bay Lock—Entrance piers and weir	ncrete	Cu. yd.	10 00	200,010	2,000,100	
Cri	ibwork	"	5 00	85,000 76,750	1,275,000	
Exc	cavation-Earth		0 65	1,063,570	691,320	
Loc	ck gates and operating machinery				550,000	
	ex valves and operating machin-				100 000	
Em	nergency gate				175,000	
Fer	nders, capstans, lighting equip-				110,000	
n Sl	ment, etc				206,700	
Siu	nce gates, noists, etc				52,690	- 101

TABLE No. 5-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-CRYSLER ISLAND-TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT-217-Continued See Plates Nos. 34-38

314

0,404,00

34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39.	Regulating weir at Robinson bay	See Table No. 4—Item No. 33 See Table No. 4—Item No. 34 See Table No. 4—Item No. 35 See Table No. 4—Item No. 36 See Table No. 4—Item No. 37 See Table No. 4—Item No. 38			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{c} 698,000\\ 5,886,170\\ 1,308,000\\ 16,000\\ 15,000\\ 117,690\\ 596,930\end{array}$	
40.	Floperty damages	10107					$22,771,160 \\ 2,846,840$	
41.	Engineering and contingencies	127/0	1700				25,618,000	
42.	Total		Brills.	1001000100	1-0	- 1100' 000		
ower 43. (a	2 POOL-WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER- Dykes-) Mille Roche to Power House	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu.yd. "	0 90 0 65 0 65	$519,350 \\ 169,770 \\ 74,850$	$\begin{array}{r} 467,420\\110,350\\48,660\end{array}$	626, 430	St. L
(b) West and east of Massena Canal	Earth fill Rock fill	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}121,850\\37,050\\14,710\end{array}$	$109,670 \\ 37,050 \\ 9,560$	156,280	awrend
(c) Between Massena Canal and Navigation Canal	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$12,670 \\ 5,810 \\ 5,140$	$8,240 \\ 5,810 \\ 3,340$	17,390	e Wat
(d) On Barnhart island	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$108,230 \\ 39,580 \\ 22,420$	97,410 25,730 14,570	137,710	terway
44	 Channel Excavation— (a) Farrans Point, Canal bank and north side of Croil Island (a) 	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Dredging, overdepth	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 90 0 90	$265,510 \\ 1,426,780 \\ 84,810$	$172,580 \\ 1,284,100 \\ 76,330$	1,533,010	Proje
	(b) North side of Long Sault Island	Excavation—Dry earth Dredging Over depth	Cu.yd.	0 65 0 90 0 90	$217,130 \\ 315,490 \\ 22,300$	$141,130\\283,940\\20,070$	445,140	ct
4	 (c) North side of Cornwall Island (d) South side of Cornwall Island 5. Supply channel and weir at Massena Canal 	See Table No. 4—Item No. 43 (b) See Table No. 4—Item No. 43 (c) Concrete	Cu. yd.	12 00 10 00	$16,560 \\ 20,750$	198,720 207,500 19,870	1,027,650 2,922,180	
		Excavation—Rock footings. Rock trench. Earth. Dredging.	. Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 40 \\ 4 10 \\ 0 65 \\ 0 90 \\ 0 90 \end{array} $	4,320 560 834,230 43,000 3,000	$\begin{array}{r} 10,370\\ 2,300\\ 542,250\\ 38,700\\ 2,700\end{array}$	LV-FI	

TABLE NO. 5.—INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS	SECTION—CRYSLER ISLAND—TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT—217—Continued	
	See Plates Nos 34-38	

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 6,865,79
OWER POOL-WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con. 45. Supply channel and weir at Massena Canal	Concrete—Paving Sluice gates, hoists, etc	"	11 00	6,550	72,050 75,700	arantia Pennisa
46. Diversion cut through Long Sault Island 47. Main Long Sault dam	See Table No. 4—Item No. 45 Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Pools footing	Cu. yd.	12 00 10 00 0 65	449,240 34,880 918,160	5, 390, 880 349, 800 539, 090 596, 800	1, 170, 16 2, 055, 37
	Rock tootings Rock trench Gates, towers, hoists, etc Unwatering.	"			$247,730 \\ 1,310 \\ 654,980 \\ 3,700,000$	11,480,59
48. Sewer for paper mill at Mille Roches	See Table No. 4—Item No. 47 (b) Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency Cribwork	Cu. yd.	12 00 10 00	11,770 110,350	$141,240 \\ 1,103,500 \\ 14,120 \\ 15,800$	171,74
	Excavation—Earth. Rock footings Rock trench Lock gates, valves, operating mach-	""	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array} $	219,510 2,750 1,100	$ \begin{array}{r} 13,800 \\ 197,560 \\ 6,600 \\ 4,510 \end{array} $	
(a) Minis Rooks to Franci Mountant	inery, etc Sluice gates, hoists, etc				$76,000 \\ 30,800$	
50. Railroad changes	Canadian side C. N. Rly. at Moulin- ette-Raising line	mile	100,000 00	1.0	100,000	1, 590, 13
51. Clearing pool	••••••	Ace	100 00	560	56,000	100,00
52. Highway changes	United States Shore—Roads Canadian Shore—Roads				$60,000 \\ 485,000$	56,00
53. Property Damages—U.S. side	Improvements. Flowage—U.S. shore U.S. shore. Long Sault Island Barnhart Island. Other Islands				$188,000 \\ 44,160 \\ 84,000 \\ 117,480 \\ 219,120 \\ 52,000$	545,00

.

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

.

	TABLE NO. 6-INTERIOGERIDIA	Severance				2,750 20,000	727,510	
54.	Property damages—Canadian Shore	Improvements Flowage—Canadian shore Orchards Sheek island Existing power development	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$1,109,210 \\ 260,000 \\ 12,500 \\ 39,600 \\ 149,160$	1,570,470	
Sector Sector	Subdiversity and not sufficient manually.	Energine Barba	inge	-			26,332,760 3,291,240	
55.	Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent				1 4 2 1 1 1 1	29,624,000	
56.	Total					=		Te
Lower	POOL-WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER-SUBSTRUCTURES,	har and the second s		at state				St.
57.	HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— Head and tail-race excavation— (a) At Upper and Lower Sheek Island dams	Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Masonry	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$727,340 \\ 46,710 \\ 530$	$654,610 \\ 42,040 \\ 2,260$	698,910	Lawre
		Execution_Farth	Cu. yd.	0 65	1,446,000	939,900	030 000	nce
	(b) Between Sheek and Barnhart Island	Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	975,590	634,130	634 130	W
58.	 (c) Above power house	See Table No 4—Item No. 57 (b) Concrete Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Rock footings Dock footings	Cu.yd. Cu.yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array} $	74,67086,77072,70015,9102,100	$\begin{array}{r} 896,040\\ 867,700\\ 89,610\\ 47,260\\ 38,190\\ 8,610\end{array}$	7,118,040	aterway 1 1
59.	Brought forward Ice sluices at south end of power house	Concrete.	Cu.yd.	12 00 10 00	81,380 42,650	976,560 426,500 976,500	1,947,410 11,338,390	Jece
	Base was for flow throws the flow of the flow	Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Rock footings Rock trench	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array}$	$740,500 \\ 12,750 \\ 880$	97,600 481,320 30,600 3,610 74,000	0,049,7540	
		Gates, towers, hoists, etc	Cu. yd.	15 00	840,700	12,610,500	2,090,250	
60	. Power house substructure, etc	Gates, racks, etc Unwatering				1,905,230	17,825,610	}
61	. Railway Spur to power house	. See Table No. 4—Item No. 61					31, 572, 250	

.

317

•

TABLE No. 5.—INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—CRYSLER ISLAND—TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT—217—Concluded	
See Plates Nos. 34–38	

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.	8105.10	\$	\$ 31 572 250
LOWER POOL-WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER-SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL- RACE EXCAVATION-Con. 62. Engineering and contingencies.	121 per cent		* 10	17 18	24° 087 2° 614 29 644	2 046 750
63 Total	A COMPANY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPR					3,940,750
Lower Pool—Works Primarily for Power—Machinery and Superstructure— 64. Barnhart Island power house	Generators and turbines—36-44, 500 H.P. units				26,058,240 7,745,760	35,519,00
65. Engineering and contingencies	Cranes and service units Superstructure		•••••		550,880 4,239,000	38,593,880
66. Total				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	4,824,120
UPPER POOL-Works solely for navigation Works common to navigation and power	SUMMARY	Item No	. 4		8,732,000	
Works primarily for power— Substructures, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructure			18 25 28	·····	69,986,000 25,698,000 30,760,000	125 176 000
Lower Pool—Works solely for navigation. Works common to navigation and power Works primarily for power— Substanting bood and toil man arrestic		Item No	. 42 56		25,618,000 29,624,000	155,170,000
Machinery and superstructure		"	63 66		35,519,000 43,418,000	134, 179, 000

Itom and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Item and description	an tanta sa a tranta yor y los		\$ cts.		\$	\$
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION— 1. Channel excavation— (a) Approach channels—Lotus Island lock	Excavation—Earth Dry rock Dredging Over denth	Cu. yd. "	0 65 1 60 0 90 0 90	$725,000 \\ 170,000 \\ 1,196,910 \\ 51,850$	$\begin{array}{r} 471,250\\272,000\\1,077,220\\46,670\end{array}$	1 967 140
(b) Above Long Sault Island to Robinson Bay lock	Excavation—Dry earth Paving	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\11&00\end{smallmatrix}$	$2,259,520 \\ 10,020$	$1,468,690 \\ 110,220$	1,578,910
(c) Robinson Bay lock to below Cornwall Island2. Drainage ditch	See Table No. 4—Items No. 28 (c) to 28 (f) Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	10,200	6,630	2,527,830 6,6 3 0
3. Dikes— (a) Above Robinson Bay lock	Earth fill Earth fill Rock fill Stripping Trimming. Paving—Concrete	Cu. yd. " Sq. yd. Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 25 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 338,180\\ 1,644,510\\ 155,400\\ 232,960\\ 173,740\\ 14,300\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 142,040\\ 986,710\\ 155,400\\ 151,620\\ 43,440\\ 157,300\\ \end{array}$	1,636,510 795,000
 (b) Robinson Bay lock to Grass River	See Table No. 4—Item No. 30 (b). See Table No. 4—Item No. 30 (c) Concrete. Excavation—Dry rock Rock trench. Earth. Close drilling. Gates and operating machinery.	Cu. yd. " sq. ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 10 & 00 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 45 \end{array}$	$171,070 \\ 54,650 \\ 2,100 \\ 363,770 \\ 37,570$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,710,700\\ 87,440\\ 8,610\\ 236,450\\ 16,910\\ 634,500\\ 100,000 \end{array}$	126,000
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip ment, etc Emergency gate Operating buildings, etc	-			$181,700 \\ 175,000 \\ 25,000$	3 176 310
5. Guard gate and supply weir above Robinson Bay lock	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency	Cu. yd.	12 00 10 00	4,520 34,080	54,240 340,800 5,400	5,110,510
	Cribwork Excavation—Earth Trench	. Cu. yd. " M. ft.b.m	5 00 0 6 3 10 10 0	5 42,960 0 3,370 0 61	$\begin{array}{c} 191,950\\ 27,920\\ 10,450\\ 1 & 6,710 \end{array}$	11,714,330

TABLE No. 6-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-SINGLE STAGE DEVELOPMENT-238 See Plates Nos. 39-43

.

TABLE No. 6-INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-SINGLE STAGE DEVELOPMENT-224-Continued See Plates Nos. 39-43.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 11,714,330
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION-Con. 5. Guard gate and supply weir above Robinson Bay lock	Lock gates, operating machinery, etcSluice gates, hoists, etc				121,530 33,800	
6. Robinson Bay lock—Entrance piers and weir	Concrete Concrete Cribwork Excavation—Earth Lock gates and operating machinery	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 10 & 00 \\ 15 & 00 \\ 5 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$281,650 \\ 108,660 \\ 79,320 \\ 881,020$	$2,816,500 \\1,629,900 \\396,600 \\572,660 \\684,000$	792,800
	Emergency gate. Fenders, capstans, lighting equip ment, etc. Sluice gates, hoists, etc.	-	·····		$ \begin{array}{r} 100,000 \\ 175,000 \\ 206,700 \\ 52,690 \\ \end{array} $	
 Regulating weir at Robinson Bay. Grass River lock and entrance piers. N.Y.C. Rly. diversion and bridges. Canal lighting and office. Clearing read 	See Table No. 4—Item No. 33			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		$6, 634, 050 \\ 698, 000 \\ 5, 886, 170 \\ 1, 308, 000 \\ 16, 000 $
12. Roads 13. Property damages	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		$\begin{array}{c} 15,000\\ 117,690\\ 596,930\end{array}$
14. Engineering and contingencies	. 12½%			10.00		27,778,970 3,472,030
15. Total						31,251,000
WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER— 16. Channel excavation— (a) Chimney Point to below Point Three Points	See Table No. 4—Items No. 5 (a)	or za		175,000 170,000		
(b) Point Three Points to below Canada Island	to 5 (o) inclusive See Table No. 5—Items No. 5 (b)	•••••		•••••		30,986,730
 (c) North side of Cornwall Island	See Table No. 4—Item No. 43 (b) "4—"43 (c)					-6,131,720 1,027,650 2,922,180
18. Dams and banks in South Galop	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$12 \ 00 \\ 10 \ 00$	73,030	876, 360 85, 000	1, 598, 710

-

	Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Rock footings Gates, towers, hoists, bridges, etc Banks—Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. " Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} & & 0 & 65 \\ & 2 & 40 \\ & 4 & 10 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c} 66,270\\ 19,340\\ 600\\ \hline \\ 114,760\\ 99,540\\ 22,620\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 87,640\\ 43,080\\ 46,420\\ 2,460\\ 1,548,170\\ 68,860\\ 59,720\\ 14,700\end{array}$	2, 832, 410	
19. Dikes— (a) Canadian shore—West of Aultsville to Dickenson's Landing	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	${}^{1,210,510}_{466,350}_{276,700}$	786,830 466,350 179,860	1 (02,010	
(b) East and west of 14-ft. lock at head of Sheek Island	Earth fill Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	321,460 379,340 242,080 107,220	$208,950 \\ 341,410 \\ 242,080 \\ 69,700$	262 140	St. Lav
(c) Skeek Island to power house	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,850,000\\ 1,183,920\\ 295,130\end{array}$	$4,365,000 \\710,350 \\191,830$	5 267 180	wrence
(d) United States shore—Wilson Hill to Louisville Landing.	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}183,400\\77,610\\48,470\end{array}$	$165,060 \\77,610 \\31,510$	974 180	Wate
(e) West and east of Massena Canal	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu. yd. "	${\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}}$	$773,160 \\ 275,450 \\ 128,570$	$695,840 \\ 275,450 \\ 93,570$	1 064 960	rway .
(f) Between Massena Canal and Navigation Canal	Earth fill Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd. "	${\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}}$	$180,380 \\ 67,020 \\ 35,610$	$117,250 \\ 67,020 \\ 23,150$	207 420	Projec
(g) East and west of Long Sault dam	Earth fill Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$161,170 \\ 37,380 \\ 19,050$	$145,050 \\ 37,380 \\ 12.380$	104 910	t
(h) On Barnhart Island	Earth fill Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 648,850\\ 222,180\\ 103\ 580\end{array}$	583,960 222,180 67,330	134,010	
20. Supply channel and Weir at Massena Canal	Concrete Concrete	Cu. yd.	$12 \ 00 \\ 10 \ 00$	25,890 55,660	310,680 556,600 31,070	873,470	
Carried forward	Excavation—Rock footings Rock trench Earth	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5,210 590 961,910	12,510 2,420 625,240	55,676,500	321

45807-21

TABLE No. 6-INTERNA	TIONAL RAPIDS SECTION-SINGLE STAGE DEVELOPMENT-238-Continued
	See Plates Nos. 34–39

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 55 676 500
Brought forward						55, 670, 500
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION— <i>Con.</i> 20. Supply channel and weir at Massena Canal	Excavation—Dredging Over depth Concrete paving Catas bridges boiets etc.	Cu. yd.	0 90 0 90 11 00	$43,000 \\ 3,000 \\ 6,550$	38,000 2,700 72,050 75,700	
	Gates, bridges, noists, etc					1,727,670
21. Diversion cut through Long Sault Island 22. Main Long Sault Dam	See Table No. 4—Item No. 45 Concrete Concrete	Cu.yd.	12 00 10 00	716,140 65,010	8,593,680 650,100 859 370	2,055,370
	Excavation—Earth. Rock footings Gates, towers, hoists, etc	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{r} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array}$	1,327,470 120,670 470	862,860 289,610 1,930 646,060	
	Unwatering				3,700,000	15 602 610
23. Drainage—Ditches, etc.—E. Williamsburg to Bergen Lake	Excavation—Earth Bridges Concrete drops	Cu. yd.	0 65	2,133,470	1,386,760 129,500 58,000	13,003,010
24. 14 H. Lock, entrance piers and Weir at head of Sheek Island	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$12 \ 00 \\ 10 \ 00$	$11,100 \\ 108,750$	133,200 1,087,500 12,220	1,574,260
	Cribwork Excavation—Earth Earth trench	Cu. yd. "	$5 00 \\ 0 90 \\ 3 10$	$4,500 \\ 41,970 \\ 4,250$	$ \begin{array}{r} 13,320 \\ 22,500 \\ 37,780 \\ 13,180 \end{array} $	
	Rock trench Sheeting and bracing Lock gates, valves, operating mach-	" M.B.M.	$\begin{array}{r} 4 & 10 \\ 110 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 51 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 740 \\ 5,630 \end{array}$	L'ADE (ND)
	inery, etc Sluice gates, hoists, etc				84,110 30,800	1,428,760
25. Railroad changes	C.N.R. at Iroquois—to be raised Bridges for above	Mile	100,000 00	1.5	150,000 30,000	
	C.N.R. east of Morrisburg. Norwood and St. L. Railway. Bridges for above	Mile "	35,000 00	3.3 2.6	330,000 91,000 29,000	630,000
26. Clearing Pool	Above Morrisburg Below Morrisburg	Acre "	100 00 100 00	510 3,740	$51,000 \\ 374,000$	425 000

322

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

.

27.	(a) Above Morrisburg	Canadian shore Bridges U.S. Shore	Mile	50,000 00	8	400,000 20,000 37,000	457 000
_214	(b) Below Morrisburg	. Canadian shore	Mile	50,000 00	18.2	910,000 7,000	407,000
	No. Now we should see a first of some bound.	Bridges. U.S. shore-Concrete. Earth Bridges	Mile "	60,000 00 5,000 00	7·2 1·5	432,000 7,500 73,000	12.202
28.	Property damages-U.S. side	bridges		0.02	2720	64.000	1,429,500
27	(a) Above Morrisburg	Improvements. Town property required Farm lands. Farm lands in severance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\begin{array}{r} 01,000\\411,000\\128,000\\3,000\end{array}$	606.000
	(b) Below Morrisburg	Improvements Flowage Flowage				$\begin{array}{r} 486,000\\ 182,530\\ 661,000\\ 10,200\end{array}$	
		Seepage				25,000	1.364,730
29	. Property damage—Islands— (a) Above Morrisburg	Flowage				170,000 87,000	957 000
	(b) Below Morrisburg	Long Sault Island—Flowage Barnhart Island—Flowage Sheek Island—Flowage Sheek Island—Flowage Other Islands—improvements Flowage				$\begin{array}{r} 265,320\\219,120\\52,800\\25,000\\256,000\\201,500\end{array}$	207,000
30	. Property Damages—Canadian shore— (a) Above Morrisburg	Improvements Flowage. Orchards.				593,860 235,600 30,500 124,110	1,019,740
	(b) Bolow Morrisburg	Improvements				2,274,670	984,070
		Flowage Orchards Existing power developments	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	·····	43,000 149,160	3,200,830
31	. Protection to Towns— (a) Iroquois	Bank—Earth fill Rock fill	Cu. yd	$\begin{array}{ccc} . & 0 & 90 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	772,030 229,120 207,610	701,130 229,120 134,950	69.602.000
		Ditches—Excavation Highway and R. R. Bridges		0 65	76,600	$\begin{array}{r} 49,790 \\ 41,000 \\ 27,000 \end{array}$	
		Sewers and pumping					1,182,990
	THE REPORT FOR THE PARTY OF THE	VALUE SECTION-SINGLE STA	TE DEA	TO MARK			89,623,030

TABLE No. 6.—INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—SINGLE STAGE DEVELOPMENT—238—Continued See Plates Nos. 34–39

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 89,623,030
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION—Con. 31. Protection to towns—Con. (b) Morrisburg	Bank—Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Drainage Ditch—Excavation— Earth. Sewers and pumping.	Cu. yd. "	0 90 1 20 0 65 0 65	$255,330 \\ 105,550 \\ 56,010 \\ 8,000$	229,800 105,550 30,410 5,200 55,000	27 200 320 201 100
(c) Farrans Point	Pumping plant, etc				29,000	431,960
(d) Aultsville	Pumping plant, etc			•••••••	36,000	29,000 36,000
32. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent					90,119,990 11,265,010
33. Total						101,385,000
 WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER—SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL- RACE EXCAVATION, ETC. 34. Head and tail-race excavation— (a) Between Sheek and Barnhart Island	Excavation—Dry earth. Dredging Overdepth	Cu. yd. "	0 65 0 90 0 90	6,916,800 424,300 72,300	$4,495,920\ 381,870\ 65,070$	4,942,860
(b) Tail-race	See Table No. 4—Item No. 57 (b) Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.	12 00 10 00	86,860 118,350 28,750	1,042,320 1,183,500 104,230 18,600	7,118,040
	Rock footings Rock trench	"	$ \begin{array}{r} 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array} $	14,550 2,250	34,920 9,220	0 200 000
36. Ice sluices at south end of power house	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency. Excavation—Earth. Rock footings. Pools transfe	Cu. yd. Cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 & 00 \\ 10 & 00 \\ \hline 0 & 65 \\ - & 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array} $	$119,470 \\98,570 \\1,030,660 \\15,350 \\700 \\$	$1,433,640 \\985,700 \\143,370 \\669,930 \\36,840 \\9850$	2,392,880
	Gates, hoists, towers, etc		4 10		2,870 74,000	2 246 250

324

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

.

 37. Power house substructure, etc	Concrete. Gate, racks, etc. Unwatering. See Table No. 4—Item No. 61 12½ per cent.	Cu. yd. 14	5 00 1,224,640	18,369,600 3,200,570 1,905,230	23,475,400 318,000 41,593,530 5,448,470
40 Total					47,042,000
40. FORMARILY FOR POWER-MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE- 41. Barnhart Island power house	Generators and turbines 44-50, 600 H.P. units. Switching. Cranes and service units. Superstructure. 12 ¹ / ₂ per cent.			29,660,400 8,709,800 498,680 4,626,750	43,495,630 5,436,370 \$ 48,932,000
LEAFTE DELLE ST SERIE	Summary	417.8	33655 3	122	
Works solely for navigation. Works common to navigation and power. Works primarily for power— Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc. Machinery and superstructure. Total			Item No. 15 33 " 40 " 43	\$ 	$\begin{array}{c} 31,251,000\\101,385,000\\47,042,000\\48,932,000\\\hline\hline228,610,000\end{array}$

Contraction of the local division of the loc

*

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE 7.—IMPROVEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION FOR NAVIGATION ALONE (CHANNEL 25-FEET DEEP)

	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unite price	Amount	Subtotals
				\$ cts.	\$	\$
1.	Channel excavation, Chimney Point: Dredging	513 000	Cu. vd	0.80	250,000	
	Dredging over depth	41,000		0 80	33,000	
	Dredging rock over denth	185,000		4 25	786,000	
	Dreuging, rock, over deptil	58,000		4 20	102,000	1,231,00
2.	Approach channel to upper lock—	140.000	"	0.65	07 000	
	Excavation, rock	179,000	**	1 75	313,000	
	Dredging.	268,000	"	0 80	214,000	
	Riprap dike	260,000	**	1 00	260,000	
2	Guard look at Galan (Look 10);		1 1 1 1			902,00
0.	Excavation, earth	505,000	"	0 65	328,000	
	Excavation, rock	714,300	««	1 75	305,000	
	Concrete	141,700		10 00	1,417,000	
	Gates.				524,000	
	Emergency dam				175,000	
	Approach walls—	97 700		10.00	977 000	
	Cribbing	56,900	"	8 00	455,000	
	Office and dwellings				40,000	2 760 00
4.	Sluiceway at guard lock-					5,105,00
	Excavation, earth	400,000	"	0 65	260,000	
	Back fill.	8,000	"	0 40	3,000	
	Concrete	3,400	"	10 00	34,000	
_	Gates and operating machinery				4,000	304,00
5.	Canal, Lock 10 to Lock 9— Excavation, earth	17,893,000	**	0.65	11 630 000	
	Excavation, rock	1,407,000	"	1 75	2,462,000	
	Dikes, rock fill	402,800 1.734,000		$ \begin{array}{c} 2 & 00 \\ 0 & 75 \end{array} $	806 000	
	Concrete, bank protection	90,000	Lin. ft.	9 00	810,000	
	Lighting	12.2	Miles	2,000 00	24,000	17.033.00
6.	Lock at Ogden Island (Lock 9)-				200.000	
	Excavation, earth	118,000	Cu. vd.	0 65	390,000	
	Excavation, rock	153,000	ű	1 75	268,000	
	Concrete	131,000		10 00	1,310,000	
	Gates.				542,000	
	Approach walls, concrete	22,500		10 00	300,000 225,000	
	Approach walls, cribbing	43,300	"	8 00	346,000	0 500 00
1.	Weir and abutment at Lock 8-					3,526,00
	Excavation, earth	33,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	22,000	
	Excavation, rock	8,000	**	5 00 3 50	28,000	
	Back fill	8,000	"	0 40	3,000	
	Stop logs and bridge	27,400		10 00	17,000	
8	Navigation channel Lock 0 to Long	2		1.		349,00
0.	Sault Island-	2		17.2	F 8 = 8	
	(a) Lock 9 to Murphy Island-	000 000	"	0.07	007 000	
	Excavation, rock, dry	20,000	"	0 65	35,000	
	Dredging.	956,000	66 66	0 90	860,000	
	Dredging, rock.	187,000	"	0 90	77,000	
	Dredging reals arran denth	34 000	66	1 05	145,000	

TABLE 7.—IMPROVEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION FOR NAVIGATION ALONE (CHANNELS 25-FEET DEEP)—Continued

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Subtotals
8. Navigation channel, Lock 9 to Long			\$ cts.	\$	
Sault Island—Con. (b) Murphy Island to Weavers Point Dredging Dredging over depth	369,000 57,000	Cu.yd.	0 90 0 90	$332,000 \\ 51,000$	383,000
(c) Weavers Point to entrance Long Sault Canal— Dredging. Dredging, over depth	378,000 28,000) ""	0 90 0 90	340,000 25,000	365,000
9. Channel, Long Sault Island to Lock 8 Excavation, earth Concrete bank protection. Lighting.	3,773,000 13,000 1) " Lin. ft. Miles	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 9 & 00 \\ 2,000 & 00 \end{array}$	2,452,000 117,000 2,000	3,297,000 2,571,000
10. Lock 8— Excavation earth Excavation, rock Back fill Concrete. Gates	1,070,00 15,65 512,00 278,00	0 66 0 66 0 66 0 66	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 696,000\\ 55,000\\ 208,000\\ 2,780,000\\ 600,000\\ 300,000\end{array}$	
Operating machinery. Emergency dam Approach walls, concrete Approach walls, piling. Office and dwellings	52,50 . 187,00	0 0 Lin. ft.	12 00 0 85	175,000 630,000 159,000 40,000	5,643,000
11. Canal prism, Lock 8 to Grass Rive lock— Estimate III, item 2 (e)	er			4,176,000	4,176,000
12. Dike at Robinson Bay— Estimate III, item 2 (d)				85,000	0-85,000
13. Lock 7, Grass River— Estimate III, item 2 (f)				6,067,00	0 6,067,000
14. Approach channel, Grass River loc to river— Estimate I, item 2 (e)	·k			227,00	0 227,000
15. Dike at Grass River lock— Estimate I, item 2 (f)				307,00	0 307,000
16. Waste weir at Grass River lock— Estimate I, item 2 (g)				757,00	757,000
17. Drainage ditch, north of Grass Riv lock— Estimate I, item 2 (h)	er 			2,00	2,000
 Diversion dike and flood channel mouth of Grass River— Estimate I, item 2 (i) 	at 			. 307,00	307,000
19. Diversion of Ottawa Branch, Ne York Central Railroads— Estimate I, item 2 (1)	ew		a selected here	. 1,308,00	00 1,308,00
20. Channel excavation, Lake St. Fran- to mouth of Grass River— Dreding Dredging over depth	cis 1,990,0 25,.0	000 Cu.yd.	0 6 0 8	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 592, 0 \\ 200, 0 \end{bmatrix}$	00 00 1,792,000

	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Subtotals
21	. Dam across main river channel at			\$ cts.	\$	\$
	head of Long Sault Rapids— Dam—	no na s		an and the set	3-18/11/1	
	Excavation, earth	89,000	Cu.yd.	0 80	71,000	
	Concrete	144,000		$ \begin{array}{r} 3 50 \\ 12 00 \end{array} $	1,728,000	
	Foundation contingencies		10%	·····	173,000	
	Unwatering				3,000,000	
	Abutments— Excavation, earth	50,000	Cu vd	0.65	22 000	
	Excavation, trench	4,000		5 00	20,000	
	Back fill.	2,400 34,000	"	3 50	8,000	
	Concrete	22,100	"	10 00	221,000	
22.	Diversion cut and control works across long Sault Islands—		181			5,845,000
	Excavation, earth	2,340,000	"	0 65	1 521 000	
	Excavation, rock	125,000	"	1 75	219,000	
	Concrete lining	32,000	"	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 70 \\ 12 & 00 \end{array} $	495,000 384,000	
	Control works— Exception rock	1 100	"	0 50	15 000	
	Concrete	32,300	"	3 50 12 00	15,000 368,000	
	Gates stop logs, bridge, cranes		10%	••••••	39,000	
	Abutments-				224,000	
	Excavation, trench	45,000	"	0 65	29,000	
	Excavation, rock	4,600	"	3 50	16,000	
	Concrete	32,000	"	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 40 \\ 10 & 00 \end{array} $	13,000 205,000	
3	Dam across South Soult			-		3,564,000
0.	Dam-			and the second	AND COLUMN	
	Excavation, earth	2,600	Cu.yd.	0 80	2,000	
	Concrete	43,000	"	12 00	516,000	
	Gates, stop logs, bridge, cranes.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10%		52,000	
	Unwatering				500,000	
	Excavation, earth	66,400	Cu. vd.	0 65	43,000	
	Excavation, trench	5,100	"	5 00	26,000	
	Back fill	30,000		$ \begin{array}{c} 3 50 \\ 0 40 \end{array} $	10,000	
	Concrete	44,100	"	10 00	441,000	1 702 000
24.	Control works, head of Massena		1. 1. 100	11 mm (17 m a)	and a state of the second	1,703,000
	Excavation, earth	922,000	Cu. yd.	0 65	599,000	
	Dredging	3,700	"	3 50	13,000 86,000	
	Concrete	23,500	"	12 00	282,000	
	Paving	7,800	Cu. vd.	12 00	28,000	
	Gate house	332,000	Cu. ft.	0 25	83,000	
	Operating machinery and stop logs.				37,000	
25.	Dikes-			-		1,282,000
	(a) Massena Canal, inclusive, to dam-	150 000	<i>a</i> ,			
	Rock fill	170,000 50,600	Cu.yd	0 75	128,000	
	Riprap slope protection	2,100	"	3 00	6,000	
	Earth fill	65,000	u	0.75	49,000	
	Riprap slope protection	2,000	**	3 00	6,000	

TABLE 7.—IMPROVEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION FOR NAVIGATION ALONE (CHANNEL 25-FEET DEEP)—Continued

TABLE 7.—IMPROTEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION FOR NAVIGATION ALONE CHANNEL 25-FEET DEEP—Concluded

-	Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Amount	Sub-totals
26.]	Flowage and damages— Canal right of way— Galop to Waddington Canadian shore— Flowage Improvements United States shore, to Massena Canal— Flowage and severance Improvements Islands—				646,000 150,000 874,000 158,000 371,000	
27.	Flowage Improvements. Canal right of way, etc., Long Sault Island to Grass River— Lands. Severance. Highway relocation— Canadian shore— Reads, congreta	6.8	Miles	40,000 00	117,000 139,000 581,000 270,000	3,306,000
	Bridges. United States shore, to Massena Canal— Roads, concrete. Bridges. United States shore, below Massena Canal— Paods	0.5	Miles	40,000 00 6,000 00	31,000 80,000 3,000 61,000 118,000	
28.	Clearing pools	550) Acres	100 00	55,000	565,000
	Engineering, administration and con- tingencies	-	. 12½%			. 8,790,000 . 79,113,000

TABLE 8.-LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION-RECOMMENDED PROJECT

See Plates Nos. 46-48

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
(A) DEPTH OF NAVIGATION CHANNEL-25 FT			\$ cts.	14 132	\$	\$
1. Glengarry Point to Hamilton Island	Over depth	Cu. yd.	0 55 0 55	762,940 86,460	$419,620 \\ 47,550$	467 170
2. Hamilton Island to Squaw Island	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array}$	$200,300 \\ 73,350$	$110,170 \\ 40,340$	150 510
3. Lancaster Bar	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu.yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array} $	$398,700 \\ 54,170$	219,290 29,790	150, 510
4. East of Hay Point	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,210\\ 2,410\end{array}$	$3,420 \\ 1,320$	249,080 4,740
5. Engineering and contingencies	12½%					871,500 108,500
Total						980,000
(B) DECREASE IN COST FOR 23-FT. DEPTH- Decrease	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu. yd.	0 55 0 55	$418,110 \\ 53,220$	229,960 29,270	
Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ %					259,230 32,770
Total decrease						292,000
(C) INCREASE IN COST FOR 27-FT. DEPTH- Increase	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu.yd.	0 55 0 55	$506,130 \\ 60,050$	278,370 33,030	2 188
Engineering and contingencies	12½%					$311,400 \\ 38,600$
Total increase						350,000
(D) Cost to Deepen from 25 ft. to 30 ft. Depth	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array}$	$1,350,200\\346,710$	742,610 190,690	022 200
Engineering and contingencies	12½%					933,300 116,700
Total cost to deepen						1,050,000

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE 9.—SOULANGES SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJECT—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF POWER (THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)

See Plates Nos. 49-51

There and decorintion	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Item and description		The a	\$ cts.	100	\$	\$
ORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION-						
(a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Pointe au Diable	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array} $	3,775,050 187,890 903,300	3,453,780 122,130 1,445,280	
a la David gain Darray and and and	Dry rock Wet rock Wet rock, over depth	и и и	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 & 00 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 1 & 60 \\ \end{array} $	45,480 16,800 45,210	193,290 71,400 72,340	
	Cribwork Paving—Riprap	u	2 70	11,900	32,130	4,390,350
(b) At Leonard Island	Excavation—Earth Dry rock Wet rock	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ \end{array} $	$133,910 \\ 196,950 \\ 30,360 \\ 740$	87,040 315,120 129,030 3,150	
	Wet rock, over depth		4 20		238,100	772,440
(c) Canal, P.L.H. & P. Co. head-race to Chamberry Gully lock	Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 55	1,183,890	651,140	651,140
(d) Chamberry Gully lock to Cascades lock	Excavation—Earth	Cu.yd.	0 55 11 00	2,365,400 5,250	1,300,970 57,750	1 358 720
(e) Below Cascades lock	Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth	Cu.yd.	0 65 0 65	$1,036,600 \\ 105,000$	673,790 68,250	742,040
2. Dikes— (a) Breakwaters, Lake St. Francis	Excavation—Earth Wet rock Concrete	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 1 & 80 \\ 9 & 00 \end{array} $	$106,780 \\ 5,330 \\ 415,430 \\ 6,470 \\ 0000000000000000000000000000000000$	69,410 22,650 747,770 58,230 421,400	
	Cribwork	Cu vd	0 42	468,660		1,329,460
(b) Above Coteau du Lac	Rock fill	"	0 60	191,820 103,590	$\begin{array}{c}115,090\\67,340\end{array}$	379 970
(c) Cedars Village to Chamberry Gully lock	Earth fill	Cu.yd.	0 42 0 60	$\begin{array}{c}1,266,320\\1,375,550\end{array}$	531,850 825,330	519,210
10	THE SERVER DESERVISION					9,623,420

TABLE 9.—SOULANGES	SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJECT—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF POWER	
	(THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)—Continued	

 Server Daraborn	
See Plates Nos. 49-51.	

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward	······································	diame.	\$ cts.	The series	\$	\$ 100
Drought for and the second seco						9,623,420
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION—Con. 2. Dikes—Con.		1 mg rel	* 23	1		
(c) Cedars Village to Chamberry Gully lock-Con	Rock fill	Cu. yd.	0 80	38,160	30,530	
THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY AND A D	Rock fill		1 00	110,820	110,820	
	Stripping		0 65	368,230	239,350	
	Trimming	Sq. yd.	0 25	110,660	27,670	
	Bodding.	I	0 45	11,500	5,180	
	raving—Concrete	Cu. ya.	11 00	8,150	89,650	1 000 000
(d) Chamberry Gully lock to Cascades lock.	Earth fill	Cu vd	0.49	59 590	99 000	1,860,380
(1)	Stripping	Gu. yu.	0 65	6 980	4 540	
	Trimming.	Sq. vd.	0 25	7 650	1 920	
		- q. 5 a.	0 10	.,000	1,020	28 550
3. Coteau du Lac guard lock and Entrance piers	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00	129,160	1,162,440	20,000
	Excavation-Earth	"	0 65	8,630	5,610	
	Rock		1 60	169,120	270,590	
	Cribwork		5 00	35,000	175,000	
	Look actor and anothing a li	Sq. It.	0 45	99,900	44,960	
	Lock gates and operating machinery				591,000	
	Emergency gate				100,000	
	Fenders, canstans lighting equin-				175,000	
	ment, etc	1	1 10	17'801	206 700	
	Unwatering				72 500	
					12,000	2 803 800
4. Guard gate, Entrance piers and weir	Concrete	Cu. yd.	11 00	12,320	135,520	2,000,000
	Concrete	"	9 00	78,880	709,920	
	Foundation contingency				13,550	
	Cribwork	Cu. yd.	5 00	55,440	277,200	
	Excavation-Earth	"	0 65	398,390	258,950	
	Rock footings	"	2 40	2,860	6,860	
	Round hearing piles	T in ft	4 10	150	3,080	
	Gates, operating machinery, etc.	Lin. It.	0.00	87,000	14,400	
	and a sportering interimery, etc				449,000	1 020 240
5. Chamberry Gully lock, Entrance piers and weir	Concrete	Cu. vd.	11 00	14.850	163 350	1, 929, 340
The second se	Concrete		9 00	159,970	1,439,730	
	Concrete	"	14 00	120,270	1,683,780	
	Foundation contingency				16,340	
	Cribwork	Cu. yd.	5 00	73,890	369,450	

332

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

	Close drilling Excavation—Earth, dry Rock, dry Rock, footings Rock, trench Round bearing piles Lock gates and operating machinery Lock valves and operating machinery Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc Sluice gates, hoists, etc	Sq. ft. Cu. yd. " Lin ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 0 & 85 \\ \end{array}$	48,480 1,559,340 82,200 3,080 570 2,880	$\begin{array}{c} 21,820\\ 1,013,570\\ 131,520\\ 7,390\\ 2,340\\ 2,450\\ 785,600\\ 100,000\\ 161,700\\ 22,800\\ \end{array}$	5,921,840
6. Cascades Lock, entrance piers and weir	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency Cribwork Close drilling	Cu. yd. Cu. yd. S.f.	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 & 00 \\ 9 & 00 \\ \dots \\ 5 & 00 \\ 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 65 \\ \end{array} $	7,150252,730111,40043,240080,870	$78,650 \\ 2,274,570 \\ 7,870 \\ 557,000 \\ 19,460 \\ 643,420 $	
	Excavation—Earth Dry rock Rock footings Rock trench Unwatering Lock gates and operating machinery	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 63 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 2 & 50 \\ 4 & 10 \\ \end{array}$	939,370 116,340 2,200 250	$\begin{array}{r} 186,090\\ 5,280\\ 1,030\\ 128,800\\ 675,000\\ 100,000\end{array}$	
	Lock valves and operating machinery Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc	••••••			206,700 30,800	4,914,670
7. Railway bridge for C.N.Ry. at Coteau	. Superstructure				<u>283,440</u> <u>61,840</u>	345,280
8. Highway changes	New roads Bridge	Mile	40,000 00	0.6	24,000 110,000	134,000
9. Canal lighting and offices					35,000	35,000
10. Property damages above Pointe au Diable	. Improvements Lands				405,040 82,000	487,040
11. The increase and contingencies	. 12 ¹ per cent		10000			$28,083,320 \\ 3,510,680$
11. Engineering and contingencies 12. Total						31, 594, 000

-

(Continues

See Plates Nos. 49-51

Item and description	Classification		Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Norks Common to Navigation and Power- 13. Channel excavation-	Lange and a second s		\$ cts.		\$	\$
(a) Coteau Rapids above Clarke's Island to below Broad Island.	Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Dry rock Wet rock Wet rock overdepth	Cu.yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$2, 199, 160 \\ 56, 450 \\ 1, 301, 040 \\ 330, 370 \\ 22, 200$	$1,429,460 \\ 36,700 \\ 2,081,670 \\ 1,404,080 \\ 94,350$	5,046,260
(b) Round Island Channel	Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth	Cu.yd.	0 65 0 65	1,706,000 114,000	$1,109,290 \\74,100$	
(c) Pointe a Biron	Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array}$	$670,600 \\ 49,260$	368,830 27,100	1,183,290
(d) Cedars to P.L.H. and P. Co. Head-Race	Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd	0 55	1,895,200	1,042,360	395,930
14. Dykes—	a second definerance provider without			-		1,042,36
(a) Coteau du Lac to Cedars	Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping.	cu. yd. "	0 75 0 80 0 65	918,520 410,320 277,410		
(b) Grande Ile :	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	cu. yd. "	${\begin{array}{c} 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 84 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}}$	$\begin{array}{r} 410,310\\172,240\\91,640\end{array}$	369,280 144,680 59,570	1, 197, 47
15. Dams at Clark's Island	Concrete	cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c}11&00\\9&00\end{array}$	$27,310 \\ 6,350$	300, 410 57, 150	573,530
	Excavation—Earth. Rock footings Unwatering. Gates towers hoists etc.	cy. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&2&40\end{smallmatrix}$	$\frac{12,400}{12,635}$	30,040 8,060 30,320 381,800 310,800	
16 Codars Dam	Constants, towers, noises, etc				218,850	1,026,630
	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency.	cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 & 00 \\ 9 & 00 \\ 30 & 00 \end{array} $	516,680 58,960 83,850	5,683,480 530,640 2,515,500 568,250	
	Excavation—Earth Earth trench Rock footings Rock trench	cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{r} 0 & 55 \\ 3 & 10 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array}$	$1,054,140 \\ 3,940 \\ 234,160 \\ 1,000$	508,350 579,780 12,210 561,990 4,100	
	Gates, towers, hoists, etc				4,064,680 684,300	

334

St. Lawrence Waterway

Proje

- 15,205,030

17 Desinan	And the second se	ou vd	0.65	558,500	363,030		
(a) Diversion River Delisle	Excavation—Earth Bridges				55,000	418,030	
A DI L DI H and P Co Head-Bace	Excavation-Earth	cu. yd.	0 65	15,420	10,020	10,020	
 (b) Ditch. Cedars to P. L. H. and F. Co. Head-Hatermann, (c) Culverts for Rivers Graisse, Rouge, Delisle, including excavation Soulanges Canal and existing culverts. 	Concrete Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Rock Bound hearing niles.	cu. yd. " " lin. ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 11 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 41,250\\897,400\\28,020\\1,180\\50,490\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 453,750\\583,310\\18,210\\1,890\\42,920\\2860\end{array}$		
	Accessories				208 000	1,103,940	
18. Highway changes	New roads Bridges				751,230	1,059,230	St.
19. Property damages	Improvements				$711,080 \\ 449,000$	1 160 080	La
CN Dr. at Bollorive	New line	mile	50,000 00	1.7	85,000 847,600	1,100,000	wrei
20. Railroad relocation. C.N.Ry. at Denerive	Bridges	H.P. Yrs.	20 00	12,000	480,000	932,600	nce
21. Interruption in operation of P.L.H. and P. Co		Car Sec	0.8	H.P. 2 Yrs.	1.100.000	\$ 30,834,500	Wat
	121%					3,851,500	eru
22. Engineering and Contingencies						\$ 34,686,000	vay
23. Total							Pro;
 ARST STAGE OF FOWER DEVELOF MENT AND ALL AND AUGUST AND ALL A	Concrete Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Dry rock	Cu. yd.	14 00 0 55 0 55 1 60	$ \begin{array}{c} 450,870\\ 5,1,459,420\\ 33,000\\ 936,000 \end{array} $	6,312,180 802,680 18,150 1,497,600 300,000		ject
	Unwatering Gates and racks				1,944,940	10,875,550	
25 Channel through Grande Ile	Excavation-Earth	. Cu. yd.	0 6	5 1,153,960	750,080	- 750,080	
Liew and generative	Chandless Same	100	Turk	Consulta I		\$ 11,625,630 1,453,370	
26. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$					\$ 13,079,000	ω
27. Total		1		NORABIO	SERVER OS	BON EU	35

TABLE 9.—SOULANGES SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJECT—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF POWER (THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)—Continued

See Plates Nos. 49-51

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
(B) Works Primarily for Power: Machinery & Superstruc-	Constitution Darks and	Const-	\$ cts.	- Televen	\$	\$
TURE— 28. Power house machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines, 26—15,556 h.p. units Switching Service units and cranes Supersturcture	0			15,901,600 2,683,200 477,110 2,702,460	
29. Engineering and contingencies	1210%			•••••••••••••	2,192,400	21,854,370
30. Total				••••••	••••••	2,731,630
 ECOND STAGE OF POWER DEVELOPMENT:-Power House North of Cascades Point-545,000 installed h.p. A) WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION- 31. Head-Race, Cedars to power house	Excavation—Earth Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock overdepth Unwatering.	Cu. yd. " " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	15,512,800 1,939,700 107,000 14,500 22,300 7,800	$\frac{8,532,040}{1,066,840}\\ -23,200\\94,780\\33,150\\450,800$	8,532,040
55. Dykes, above power nouse	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping.	Cu.yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 42 \\ 1 & 05 \\ 0 & c5 \end{array} $	3,536,610 185,000	1,485,380 194,250	1,727,620
34. Ice sluices and walls at power house	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency. Excavation—Earth. Earth trench. Rock footings Trench. Sheeting and bracing. Gates, hoists, etc.	Cu. yd. " Cu. yd. " " M ft. b.m.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 9 & 00 \\ \hline \\ 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 10 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 110 & 00 \\ \end{array}$	372,100 33,320 85,190 22,550 6,860 1,300 197	$\begin{array}{r} 241,870\\ \hline 366,520\\ 766,710\\ 36,650\\ 178,040\\ 69,910\\ 16,460\\ 5,330\\ 21,670\\ 90,000\\ \end{array}$	1,921,500
35. Power house substructure	Concrete Gates and racks	Cu. yd.	14 00	304,130	4,257,820 1,012,150	1,551,290

336

St. Lawrence Waterway Proje

5,269,970

36. Bridges					442,000	442.000
37. Property damages	Improvements Lands	······			507,710 184,400	692,110
38. Engineering and contingencies	1212%					20,136,530 2,517,470
39. Total						22,654,000
 (B) WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUC- TURE— 40. Power house machinery and superstructure. 41. Engineering and contingencies. 42. Total. THIRD STAGE OF POWER DEVELOPMENT:—Dam and Power House at Cascades Banids—1 030 400 installed h.p.— 	Generators and turbines, 10–54,500 h.p. units				8,789,700 2,220,000 219,640 1,781,570	13,010,910 1,626,090 14,637,000
 (A) WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EQCAVATION— 43. Removal of present Cedars power house	·				480,000	480,000
44. Dam at Cascades Island	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}11&00\\9&00\end{array}$	$413,770 \\ 132,560$	4,551,470 1,193,040 455,150	10,000,000
	Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\&2&40\end{smallmatrix}$	22,580 171,850	435,130 14,680 412,440 2,926,940	
	Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Gates, hoists, etc.	Cu. yd. "	0 90 2 00 0 65	$153,350 \\ 62,280 \\ 36,100$	$\begin{array}{r}138,020\\124,560\\23,470\\735,200\end{array}$	10 574 070
45. Power house and tail-race excavation	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth Dry rock	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$797,660 \\ 45,710 \\ 1,020,800$	$\begin{array}{r} 438,710\\ 25,140\\ 1,633,280\end{array}$	10, 374, 970
46. Highway changes	New roads	Mile	35,000 00	6.5	227,500	2,097,130
47. Property damages	Improvements Lands	••••••	•••••		1,056,280 328,000	1,384,280
Corried forward	CALL DAY, MILLING AND ALL CALLS	Party a	ATT DIST	merenon	NELL OF	14 763 880

E

T-Change

TABLE 9.—SOULANGES SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJECT—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH THE DEVELOPMI	ENT OF POL	WER
(THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)—Continued		

See Plates Nos. 49-51

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward	The second se		\$ cts.		\$	14,763,880
 CHIRD STAGE OF POWER DEVELOPMENT, ETC.—Con. A) WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER: SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION—Con. 48. St. Timothee power house	Reconstruction Interruption in operation	H.P. yrs.	20 00	27,000 h.p. 1 yr.	1,000,000 540,000	1 540 000
49. Power house substructure	Concrete Gates, hoisrs, etc	Cu. yd.	14 00	590,000	8,260,000 2,574,350	1,540,000 10,834,350
50: Engineering and contingencies	121%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			27,138,230 3,392,770
51. Total						30,531,000
B) Works Primarily for Power: Machinery and Superstruc- ture— 52. Power house machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines 22-36 800					
	h.p. units. Switching	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			21,016,800 5,107,200 308,440 2,152,600	
53. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ %				3,133,000	$29,586,040 \\ 3,698,960$
54, Total						33,285,000

338

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Item and Description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Saving to if channe 23 ft. origin	navigation els made deep nally	Additiona navigation made 27 origin	al cost if n channels ft. deep nally	Cost of enlargem 25 ft. to dep	future ent from o 30 ft. oth
				Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
 55. Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Pointe au Diable. 56. Pointe à Biron. 57. Cedars to Chamberry Gully lock. 58. Chamberry Gully to Cascades lock. 59. Below Cascades lock. 	Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock, over depth. Excavation—Earth. Excavation—Earth, over depth Excavation—Earth. Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth Earth, over depth Earth, over depth	Cu. yd. " Cu. yd. Cu. yd. Cu. yd. Cu. yd. Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} \$ \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ \end{array}$	542,020 150,550 40,720 13,400 98,100 250,000 79,360 213,290	\$ 287,310 240,880 173,060 56,950 143,000 43,650 138,640	478,890 145,300 29,680 20,370 97,290 250,000 76,220 208,190	\$ 311,280 232,480 126,140 86,570 53,510 137,500 41,920 135,320	$\begin{array}{c} 1,213,060\\198,140\\ \\ 452,090\\101,250\\276,400\\53,000\\605,000\\115,000\\115,000\\184,700\\33,400\\509,110\\105,000\\\end{array}$	\$ 788,490 128,790 1,921,380 430,310 152,020 29,150 332,750 63,250 101,580 18,370 330,920 68,250
60. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ % approximately				1,137,450 142,550		1,124,720 140,280		4,365,260 545,740
61. Total					1,280,000		1,265,000		4,911,000

TABLE 9.—SOULANGES SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJECT—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF POWER (THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)—Concluded

SUMMARY

	Item	Amount	Total	
		\$	\$	
INITIAL STAGE: NAVIGATION AND POWER—Installed Capacity 404,300 h.p.— Works solely for navigation Works common to navigation and power. Works primarily for power— Substructures, head, and tail-race excavation. Machinery and superstructures.	12 23 27 30	31,594,000 34,686,000 13,079,000 24,586,000	103 945 000	St. Law
SECOND STAGE: POWER NORTH OF CASCADES POINT—Installed Capacity 545,000 h.p.— Substructure, head, and tail-race excavation	39 42	$22,654,000 \\ 14,637,000$	37,291,000	rence
THIRD STAGE: POWER AT CASCADES RAPIDS—Installed Capacity 1,030,400 h.p.— Substructure, head, and tail-race excavation	51 54	30,531,000 33,285,000	63,816,000	Wateru
TOTAL—Total installed capacity 1,979,700 h.p.			205,052,000	ay
Cost of initial stage with 50 per cent of complete installation-202,000 h.p			92,000,000	Pr
Saving if navigation channels made 23 feet deep originally	61		1,280,000	ojec
Additional cost if navigation channels made 27 feet deep originally	61		1,265,000	st
Cost of future enlargement from 25 feet depth to 30 feet depth	61		4,911,000	

TABLE No. 10.—SOULANGES SECTION—RECOMMENDED PROJ VACHES THREE STAGE)—For details, see Table No.	ECT—(ILI 9	E AUX
Power—1st stage—Ile aux Vaches. 2nd "North of Cascades Point	31,594,000 34,686,000	382,000 h.p. 488,000 h.p. 762,000 h.p.
Works primarily for power substructures, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructures	24,586,000	\$103,945,000
2ND STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructure	22,654,000 14,637,000	- 37,291,000
3RD STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructure	30,531,000 33,285,000) - 63,816,000
Total		\$205,052,000
Cost of 1st stage with 50 per cent of complete installation. Cost with double locks in flight. Cost with single locks in flight. Saving if navigation channels made 23 ft. deep originally. Additional cost if navigation channels made 27 ft. deep originally. Cost of future enlargement from 25 ft. depth to 30 ft. depth.		\$ 92,000,000 207,210,000 204,044,000 1,280,000 1,265,000 4,911,000
Power House Installations		
		404 300 h n

1st stage 2nd " 3rd "	-26-15,550 h.p. 10-54,500 28-36,800	units "	(22 ft. head) (75.5 ft. head) (53 ft. head)	404,300 h.p. 545,000 h.p. 1,030,400 h.p.
	Total			1,979,700 h.p.

TABLE No. 11.—SOULANGES SECTION—TABLE SHOWING OVERALL COST OF PROJECT RECOMMENDED—(ILE AUX VACHES THREE STAGE PROJECT)

Power marketed at 40,000 h.p. per year.

Interest during construction and marketing period-5 per cent.

Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.

	First cost	Half con- struc- tion period	Half market period	In	terest
Recommended Project— Navigation	31, 594, 000 47, 765, 000 24, 586, 000 22, 654, 000 14, 637, 000 30, 531, 000 33, 285, 000	$2 \cdot 39 \\ 2 \cdot 35 \\ 1 \cdot 13 \\ 1 \cdot 53$	4.77 6.10 9.53	$0.124 \\ 0.418 \\ 0.423 \\ 0.715$	3,920,000 20,000,000 9,600,000 21,800,000
Add first cost	205,052,000				55,320,000 205,052,000 \$260,372,000

TABLE No. 12.—SOULANGES SECTION—TABLE SHOWING OVERALL COST OF PROJECT RECOMMENDED—(ILE AUX VACHES THREE STAGE PROJECT) Power marketed at 75,000 h.p. per year.

Interest during construction and marketing period-5 per cent.

Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.

	First cost	Half con- struc- tion period	Half market period	1	nterest
RECOMMENDED PROJECT-	1000-10			Constant Co	The second se
Navigation	31,594,000	2.39	and the	0.194	3 920 000
1st stage—382,000 h.p	47,765,000	2.39	2.55	0.272	13,000,000
2nd stage_188 000 h n	24,586,000	1 10			
2nd stage 400,000 n.p	22,654,000 14,627,000	$1 \cdot 13$	$3 \cdot 26$	0.239	5,410,000
3rd stage—762,000 h.p	$ \begin{array}{c} 14,037,000\\ 30,531,000\\ 33,285,000 \end{array} $	$1 \cdot 53$	$5 \cdot 08$	0.381	11,630,000
Add first cost	205,052,000				33,960,000
	•••••		•••••		205,052,000
Total	•••••				\$239,012,000

TABLE No. 13.—SOULANGES SECTION—TABLE SHOWING OVERALL COST OF
PROJECT RECOMMENDED—(ILE AUX VACHES THREE STAGE PROJECT)Power marketed at 150,000 h.p. per year.

Interest during construction and marketing period-5 per cent.

Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.

THE THE ALL THE ALL AND ALL AN	First	Half con- struc- tion period	Half market period	I	nterest
RECOMMENDED PROJECT	L ne transfer		1. mm.)	at	
Navigation	31 504 000	9.90		0 104	0.000.000
1st stage-382,000 h.p.	47 765 000	2.39	1 97	0.124	3,920,000
	24 586 000	2.99	1.27	0.190	9,360,000
2nd stage-488,000 h.p	22,654,000	1.13	1.63	0.145	2 200 000
	14,637,000	1 10	1.00	0.140	5,290,000
3rd stage—762,000 h.p	30,531,000	1.53	2.54	0.220	6 710 000
	33,285,000		- 01	0 220	0,110,000
	205.052.000				92 990 000
Add first cost	200,002,000				25,280,000
					200,002,000
Total					\$228,332,000

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
	and a second	1000	\$ cts.	9 200	\$	\$
 Channel excavation— (a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Hungry Bay Guard Lock	Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth Earth. Dry rock	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 35 \\ 0 & 35 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	${}^{1,194,570}_{163,340}_{725,540}_{122,580}$	$\begin{array}{r} 418,100\\57,170\\471,600\\196,130\end{array}$	1 143 000
(b) Weir Channel at Hungry Bay Guard Lock	Excavation—Earth Earth Dry rock	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 35 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{smallmatrix}$	$\substack{499,220\\96,240\\9,450}$	$174,730 \\ 62,560 \\ 15,120$	252,410
(c) Below Hungry Bay Guard Lock to above Melocheville Flight locks	Excavation—Earth Earth Dry rock	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{smallmatrix}$	$10,716,250 \\ 1,351,940 \\ 415,600$	4,822,320 878,760 664,960	6 266 040
(d) Above Flight Locks to Deep Water in Lake St. Louis	Excavation—Dry rock Wet rock. Wet rock, over depth	Cu. yd. "	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	902,910 57,390 6,600	$1,444,660 \\ 243,910 \\ 28,050$	1,716,620
2. Dikes- (a) Breakwater Lake St. Francis	Rock fill	Cu. yd.	1 80	747,500	1,345,500	1.345.500
(b) Above Hungry Bay Guard Lock	Earth fill Rock fill Stripping	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \end{smallmatrix}$	$119,660 \\ 52,830 \\ 32,900$	$50,260 \\ 31,700 \\ 21,390$	102 250
(c) Hungry Bay Guard Lock to Flight Locks	Earth fill Earth fill Stripping. Trimming. Sodding.	Cu. yd. " Sq. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ 42 \\ 0 \ 60 \\ 0 \ 65 \\ 0 \ 25 \\ 0 \ 45 \\ 11 \ 90 \\ \end{array}$	6,300,040 422,000 1,066,540 1,232,750 155,430 118,740	2,646,020 253,200 693,250 308,190 69,950 1,206,140	105,550
3. Supply Weir at Guard Lock	Paving—Concrete	Cu. yd.	11 00 11 00 9 00	3,190 3,500	35,090 31,500 3 510	5,276,750
	Foundation contingency Excavation—Earth Earth, trench	Cu.yd.	0 65 3 10	9,560 870	6,220 2,700	10.000 070
Carried forward						16,203,670

TABLE No. 14.—SOULANCES SECTION—HUNGRY BAY—MELOCHEVILLE PROJECT FOR NAVIGATION ALONE See Plates Nos. 58–59

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

-2

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward	×		\$ cts.		\$	\$ 16,203,670
3. Supply Weir at Guard Lock—Con	Excavation—Rock footings Rock trench Sheeting and bracing Gates, hoists, etc	Cu. yd. "	$2 40 \\ 4 10 \\ 110 00$	$1,650 \\ 190 \\ 23 \cdot 2$	3,960 780 2,550 37,600	
4. Hungry Bay Guard Lock and Entrance Pier	Concrete Close drilling. Lock gates and operating Machine Lock valves and operating machine. Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc	Cu. yd. Sq. ft.	9 00 0 45	123,880 27,250	$1,114,920 \\ 12,270 \\ 372,000 \\ 100,000 \\ 206,700$	123,910
5. Flight locks (single flight) and Entrance Piers	Concrete Close drilling. Lock gates and operating machine Lock valves and operating machine Emergency gate. Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc Unwatering	Cu. yd. Sq. ft.	9 00 0 45	645,790 319,460	5,812,110 $143,760$ $982,000$ $112,500$ $175,000$ $350,400$	1,805,890
6. Railroad diversions	Characting	••••••	•••••	••••••	108,670	7,684,440
7. Bridges		••••••			271,240	271,240
8. Highway changes	TL: J.J		• • • • • • • • • • • • •		2,312,000	2,312,000
Br. a) changes	Macadam on banks	Lin. ft. Sq. yd.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 00 \\ 2 & 00 \end{array}$		$13,200 \\ 106,000$	
9. Ditches	Excavation—Earth Bridges	Cu. yd.	0 35	1,156,960	404,940 31,500	119,200
). Fences	Fencing Gates	Rod Each	$\begin{smallmatrix}&3&50\\18&00\end{smallmatrix}$	9,500 168	33,250 3,030	436,440 36,280
Property damages	Improvements Lands				259,050 610,000	
2. Canal lighting and office					40,000	869,050 40,000
				1. Contraction	C TLOURIE .	29 902 120

TABLE No. 14-SOULANGES SECTION-HUNGRY BAY-MELOCHEVILLE PROJECT FOR NAVIGATION ALONE-Continued See Plates Nos. 58-59

344

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

13. Engineering and contingencies	121 per cent	3,737,880
14. Total		33,640,000
15. Additional cost to provide double flight locks at Melocheville		3,901,000

.

Item and Description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Saving i tion chan 23 ft. de al	f naviga- nels made ep origin- ly	Addition navigatio made 27 origi	al cost if n channels ft. deep nally	Cost of fr largement ft. to 30 f	uture en- ; from 25 it. depth
		1000		Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
 Deep water in Lake St. Francis to deep water in Lake St. Louis	Excavation—Earth Earth Earth over depth Earth over depth Earth over depth Dry rock Wet rock Wet rock over depth	Cu. yd. " " " " "		346, 480 675, 400 383, 970 	\$ 121,270 303,930 249,580 240,500 64,860 	359,190 628,000 350,500 132,200 14,890	\$ 125,720 282,600 227,830 211,520 63,280 \$910,950 113,050	919,060 1,500,860 936,340 165,000 80,000 323,670 474,270 113,700	\$ 321,670 675,390 608,620 57,750 36,000 210,390 2,015,650 483,230 \$4,408,700 551,300
17. Engineering and contingencies					\$1,103,000		\$1,024,000		\$4,960,000

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

.....

TABLE 15.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION ALONE.—HUNGRY BAY— MELOCHEVILLE ROUTE

.

For details—See Table No. 14

Canal excavation.\$9,478,070Earth dykes.6,725,600Hungry Bay guard lock and weir.1,929,800Melocheville Locks (single flight).7,684,440Property damages.869,050Bridges.2,312,000Roads, railways and miscellaneous.903,160
Hungry Bay guard lock and weir 6,725,600 Hungry Bay guard lock and weir 1,929,800 Melocheville Locks (single flight) 7,684,440 Property damages 869,050 Bridges 2,312,000 Roads, railways and miscellaneous 903,160
Iningry Bay guard lock and weir 1,929,800 Melocheville Locks (single flight) 7,684,440 Property damages 869,050 Bridges 2,312,000 Roads, railways and miscellaneous 903,160
Property damages. Bridges. Roads, railways and miscellaneous. 903,160
Bridges. 2, 312, 000 Roads, railways and miscellaneous. 903, 160
Roads, railways and miscellaneous.
Engineering and contingencies $-12\frac{1}{2}$ %
Total
Additional cost to provide double 0: but hele
Saving if Navigation Channels mode 22 ft doep ariginally
Additional cost if Navigation Channels made 27 ft deep originally
Cost of future enlargement from 25 ft denth to 30 ft denth 4 960 000
Item and description
--
Channel Excavation— (a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to Coteau du Lac Guard Lock.
(b) Guard Lock to Chamberry Gully Lock
TA AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF TH
(c) Chamberry Gully Lock to Cascades Lock
(a) Calvera in Stone Calve, Stage and Dalline
(d) Below Cascades Lock
Dykes-
(a) Breakwaters-Lake St. Francis
and the angle the Count Look
(b) Above Coteau de Lac Guard Lock
() Creard Look to Chemberry Gully Lock
(c) Guard Lock to Chamberry Gury Lock
(d) Chamberry Gully Lock to Cascades Lock
(a) Chamberry Guny Look to Cabuado Look

TABLE No. 16-SOULANGES SECTION-LATERAL CANAL ON NORTH SIDE OF RIVER-FOR NAVIGATION ALONE

See Plates Nos. 60-61

Item and description	Classification	Unit	R ate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 16,459,120
3. Coteau du Lac Guard Lock, Entrance piers and weir	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency Excavation—Rock, earth, etc Lock gates and operating machinery	Cu. yd.	11 00 9 00	2,750 145,060	30,250 1,305,540 3,030 7,620 591,000	
	Lock valves and operating machinery Sluice gates, hoists, etc Emergency dam Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc.				100,000 33,800 175,000	125, 918
					200,100	2,452,940
4. Guard gate, entrance piers and weir	Same as Item No. 4—Table No. 9				1,929,340	1 929 340
5. Chamberry Gully Lock, entrance piers and weir	Same as Item No. 5-Table No. 9				5,921,840	5 001 040
6. Cascades Lock, entrance piers and weir	Same as Item No. 6-Table No. 9				4,914,670	5,921,840
 Drainage— (a) Culverts for Rivers Graisse, Rouge and Delisle (b) Culverts for Rivers Graisse, Rouge and Delisle 	Same com- Early				1,000,000	4,914,670
(b) Ditch-Cedars to P.L.H. & P. Co. head-race	Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	15,420	10,020	1,000,000
8. Railway bridge for C.N. Ry. at Coteau	Same as Item No. 7—Table No. 9				345,280	10,020
9. Highway changes					2,202,130	345,280
10. Canal lighting and offices					37,000	2,202,130
11. Property damages					619,290	37,000
	of Name and South	10-24	0.03	THELES	2017100	619,290
12. Engineering and contingencies	12½%					35,891,630 4,486,370
13. Total	Cartering					\$40,378,000
14. Cost to divert canal into river when power is developed						\$1,922,000
15. Cost of portion that would be abandoned when canal is divert into river.	ed					\$6,382,000

TABLE No. 16.-SOULANGES SECTION-LATERAL CANAL ON NORTH SIDE OF RIVER-FOR NAVIGATION ALONE-Continued

See Plates Nos. 60-61

348

0

•

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Item and Description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Saving i tion chan 23 ft. Origi	f naviga- nels made deep nally	Addition navigation made 27 Origi	al cost if channels ft. deep nally	Cost of enlargem 25 ft. to dep	future ent from 5 30 ft. oth
				Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
16. Lake St. Francis to Lake St. Louis	Excavation—Earth Earth Dry rock Wet rock	Cu. yd. "	\$ cts. 0 65 0 55 1 60 4 25	350,750 874,440 193,280 16,560	\$ 227,990 480,940 309,250 70,380	$373,540 \\ 837,940 \\ 203,980 \\ 19,800$	\$ 242,800 460,870 326,370 84,150		\$ 4,365,260
 Enlargement to 30-foot depth, assuming it is done after Canal is diverted to river. Engineering and contingencies 	Same as Item Nos. 55—59—1 able No. 9. 12½%				\$1,088,560 136,440 1,225,000		1,114,190 140,810 1,255,000		545,740
19. Total		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

349

.

TABLE 17.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION ALONE—LATERAL CANAL ON NORTH SIDE OF RIVER

For details-See Table No. 16

		-
Canal excavation		
Earth dykes	\$ 12,955,8	330
Coteau du Lac guard lock and wair	3,503,2	290
Guard gate and weir	2,452,9	940
Chamberry Gully lock and weir	1,929,3	\$40
Caseades lock and wair	5,921,8	\$40
Property damages	4,914,6	570
Roads bridges and miscellanoous	619,2	290
Engineering and contingension 191 per est	3, 594, 4	30
Engineering and contingencies—122 per cent	4,486,3	70
Total		_
10141	\$ 40,378.0	00
		=
Cost with double looks in flight		
Cost with single locks in flight	\$ 42,536.0	00
Saving if paying the about a standard and a standard	39,370.0	00
Additional cost if novigation channels made 25 feet deep originally.	1,225,0	00
Cost of future only and from on the state 27 feet deep originally.	1,255.0	00
is divorted to simple in a general from 25 feet depth to 30 feet depth assuming it is done after canal		
Cost to divert contribute	4,911,0	00
Cost of nortice of north the state of the st	1,922,00	00
cost of portion of canal that would be abandoned when canal is diverted into river.	6.382.00	00
	0,002,00	_

Them and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Item and description	The second secon	A REPORT OF A	\$ cts.	a second to a	\$	\$
Comment New Come					Card and	
1 Channel Exception-	the second and the second second in the second seco	1		1000		
(a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Pointe au	a mul N. O Itom No 1 (a)		1.77			4,390,350
Diable	See Table No. 9—Item No. 1 (a)					772,440
(b) At Leonard Island	Excavation—Earth	cu. yd.	0.55	323,000	177,650	
(c) Approaches to Cedars Lock	Wet rock		4.25	156,380	195 030	
	Wet rock overdepth		4.25	45,890	155,050	1,037,300
		ou vd	0.55	538,600	296,230	
(d) Approaches to Melocheville Lock	Excavation—Earth	cu. yu.	1 60	105,390	168,630	
(w) pp - out	Wot rock	"	4 25	127,520	541,960	
	Wet rock overdepth	"	4 25	22,220	94,430	1 101 250
						1,101,200
2 Dykes-	The second secon		A second particular			1,329,460
(a) Breakwater-Lake St. Francis	See Table No. 9—Item No. 2 (a)					379,270
(b) Above Coteau du Lac	See 1 able No. 9-1tem No. 2 (0)	cu. vd.	0 42	265,060	111,330	
(c) Above Cedars Lock—south side	Bock fill	ű	1 05	14,800	15,540	145 000
	Stripping	"	0 65	29,270	19,030	140,000
						2,803,800
3 Coteau du Lac Guard Lock and entrance piers	See Table No. 9-Item No. 5	en vd	9 00	236,020	2,124,180	
4. Cedars Lock and entrance piers.	Concrete	cu. yu.	5 00	113,430	567,150	
2. Follows Busies in C.V. Becker Connection	Close drilling	sq.ft.	0 45	5 54,680	24,610	
	Excavation—Earth	cu. yd.	0 6	5 491,100	319,220	
	Rock	**	1 60	124,310	705 000	
	Gates and operating machinery	******			100,000	
	Valves and operating machinery				175,000	
	Emergency gate					
	ment etc				206,700	4 490 760
	mont, otorritini in a		0.0	224 840	3 013 560	4, 420, 700
. Malashaville Look and entrance piers	. Concrete	cu. yd.	90	5 92 27(41,520	
5. Melocheville Lock and chilance prototititititit	Close drilling	sq. it.	1 6	0 233,930	374,290	
	Excavation-Rock	cu. yu.	4 1	0 2,400	9,840	
	Catos and operating machinery				806,000	
	Valves and operating machinery				100,000	
				1		
	MILLAND AND AVER YTT	THE A WAY IN	THE ASSESSMENT	STPRI-CI	VITE LA	16.380.53

TABLE No. 18.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115 See Plates Nos. 52-53

nce Waterway Project

TABLE No. 18—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115—Continued

See Plates Nos. 52-53

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
D. Lut.		1000	\$ cts.	219 100	\$	\$
Brought foreard					1	16 200 520
WORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION-Con	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER				***********	10, 380, 330
5. Melocheville Lock and entrance piers-Con	Emergency gate				112.000	
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip-			*********	175,000	
	ment, etc		1		206 700	
	Unwatering				604 050	
6. Railway Bridge for C.N.Rv. at Coteau	See Table Mr. O. T. M. F.					5,330,960
7. Highway changes	See Table No. 9-Item No. 7					345,280
8. Canal lighting and offices	See Table No. 9-Item No. 9	• • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		134,000
9. Property damages above Pointe au Diable	See Table No. 9-Item No. 10			•••••••••••••	•••••	35,000
						487,040
10. Engineering and contingencies	191 man and		11111111	14 100		22,712,810
	122 per cent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2,857,190
11. Total	and a state of the form the state of		-	1 2		
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	25,570,000
WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-	and a second of a second second second					THUS DO
12. Channel excavation—	HING LOCK				11 11	
(a) Through Coteau Rapids	See Table No. 9 Itoma No. 12 (a)					
	and (b)	100 200				
(b) Pointe a Biron	See Table No. 9-Item No. 13 (c).			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6,229,650
(a) Coteau du Las to Codors Pomer House						395,930
(a) Coleau du Lac lo Cedars Power House	Earth fill.	cu. yd.	0 42	410,950	172,600	
	Earth fill		0 60	135,200	81,120	
	Rock fu	"	0 75	918,520	688,890	
	Rock fill.	"	1 00	43,970	43,970	
	Stripping	"	0 65	410, 320	328,260	
(b) Grande Ile	a		0.00	001,110	230,410	1 545 950
(c) East end of Cedars Dam to Power House	See Table No. 9—Item No. 14 (b)					573 530
(-) - all of cours Dail to I ower House	Bock fill	cu. yd.	0 60	1,318,630	791,180	010,000
	100K III		1 00	202,390	202,390	
(d) At St. Timothee	Earth fill	en vd	0.00	77 700		993,570
	Rock fill		1 80	35 010	70,000	
	Stripping	"	0 65	37,240	03,020 24,210	
	CALIFORNIA COMPANY INTO IN THE IS NOT			.,	21,210	157 230

352

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

157,230

.

14. Dams at Clarke's Island	See Table No. 9-Item No. 15	en vd.		631,880	6,950,680	1,020,030
15. Cedars Dam	Concrete	cu. yu.	9 00	8,360	75,240	
and another second processing to the poly of the second se	oncrete				695,070	
	Foundation contingency	en vd	0 55	860,140	473,080	
	Excavation—Earth		3 10	3,940	12,210	
	Deals footing?	"	2 40	185,160	444,380	
	Rock footings	44	4 10	1,000	4,100	
THE TOP OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF	ROCK trench	C.L. WILLING			4,064,680	
as been been a second as a	Unwatering				453,700	
	Gates, towers, hoists, etc					13, 173, 140
	~	Cn vd	11 00	319.820	3,518,020	
16. Cascades Dam	Concrete	Gu. yu.	9 00	45,540	409,860	
	Concrete		0 00		351,800	
	Foundation contingency	Curvd	2 40	132,150	317,160	
A Designation of the second	Excavation Rock tootings	Gu. yu.	0 55	22,580	12,420	
	Earth	"	0 90	810,280	729,250	
	Earth fill	"	2 00	229,270	458,540	
	Rock fill	"	0 65	8 110	5,270	
	Stripping		0 00	0,110	735,200	
the manufacture of the second s	Gates, hoists, etc				2.008.480	
	Unwatering				2,000,200	8,546,000
			0.755		12 1988	
17 Drainage -			7. 20	311 20	200 200	418,030
(a) Diversion of river Delisle	See table No. 9-Item No. 17 (a)		1 60	000	1 440	
(h) Drainage for Rivers Graisse, Rouge and Delisle, in-	Excavation-Walls, etc	Cu. ya.	1 00	622 220	404 440	
(b) Dramage for revers circuise, reduce and	Earth		0 00	28 020	18 210	
cluding excavation boulanges cuntin	Earth over depth	Car August	0 00	20,020	50,000	
	Concrete				00,000	474 090
		1	100		947 190	111,000
10 TI's home of a party	New roads				224 880	
18. Highway changes	Bridges				204,000	482 000
					9 419 000	102,000
an The Laurence	Improvements				2,412,030	
19. Property damages	Lands				040,400	2 058 400
						029 600
The st Rollorive	See Table No. 9-Item No. 20					952,000
20. Railroad relocation—C.N. Kly, at benefive		H.Pyrs.	20 00	12,000 h.p.—	480,000	
21. Interruption in operation of P.L.H. & F. Co				2 yrs.	200 000	
			1	5,000 h.p	700,000	
				7 yrs.		1 100 000
		1				1,180,000
	See Table No. 9-Item No. 48.					1,540,000
22. St. Timothee Power House	Dec rable root room root for the	in the second				10 700 110
TABLE AND CONTRACTORY OF		S These	and the second second			40,726,140
	191 per cent					5,110,860
23. Engineering and contingencies	123 per cent					
	and present to pre-	La Victoria and				45,837,000

45827 - 23

TABLE No. 18—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115—Continued

See Plates Nos. 52–53.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
WORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER-FIRST STAGE- 272,000 installed h.p. at Cascades. 631,800 installed h.p. a Cedars.	it		\$ cts.		\$	\$
(A) SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.— 25. Channel excavation— 25. Channel excavation—		11 15 - 344	30.00	15 may prin-		
(a) He aux vaches	Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array}$	1,915,700 82,340	$1,053,630 \\ 45,290$	
(b) Headrace and power house—Cedars	Excavation—Earth Earth overdepth Dry rock	Cu.yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$9,878,320\ 108,810\ 134,540$	5,433,080 59,850 215,260	1,098,920
(c) At St. Timothee	Excavation—Earth Earth over depth	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}1&00\\1&00\end{smallmatrix}$	$516,090 \\ 55,500$	516,090 55,500	5,708,190
(d) Tail-race—Cascades plant	Excavation—Dry rock Earth. Earth, over depth	Cu.yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 60 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \end{array} $	478,470 274,270 21,910	765,550 150,850 12,050	571,590
26. Ice sluices, etc., at Cedars power house	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency.	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}11&00\\9&00\end{array}$	49,720 27,500	546,920 247,500	928,450
	Excavation—Earth Rock trench Rock footings Gates, hoists, etc	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 & 65 \\ 4 & 10 \\ 2 & 40 \end{array} $	77,750 980 11,440	54,050 50,540 4,020 27,460 123,500	
27. Power house substructure—Cedars	Concrete Gates and racks Unwatering	Cu. yd.	14 00	578,330	8,096,620 1,709,220 650,900	1,054,630
28. Power house substructure—Cascades	Concrete Gates and racks	Cu. yd.	14 00	220,780	3,090,920 848,160	10,456,740
	Same allow - Samth	ca ha	1 24		-	3,939,080
29. Engineering and contingencies	12½ per cent					23,757,600 2,969,400
10tal						26,727,000

354

Lawrence Waterway Proje

(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURES— 31. Cedars power house	Generators and turbines—26-24300 h.p. units. Switching. Service Units and cranes. Superstructure.				$16,833,600\\3,872,960\\314,860\\4,133,850$	25 155 270
32. Cascades power house	Generators and turbines—8-34000 h.p. units. Switching. Service units and cranes				5,730,800 1,428,000 356,550 1,431,030	20,100,270
St. Englandschip mit ein bigenen werden ander an eine seine seinen seine	cupersoructure					8,946,380
33. Engineering and contingencies.	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent					34,101,650 4,262,350
34. Total	energies and analysis and the					38,364,000
BI M			-		and the second	wre
SECOND STAGE—Remodelling present Cedars Plant—194,400 installed h.p.—	Inite and the second second second					ance
(A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 35. Removal of present Cedars power house	Excavation—Concrete Dry rock Wet rock Unwatering.	Cu. yd. "	$egin{array}{c} 4 & 00 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$180,000 \\ 86,000 \\ 58,000$	$720,000 \\ 137,600 \\ 246,500 \\ 1,000,000$	
36. Power house substructure, etc	Concrete Gates and racks	Cu. yd.	14 00	172,040	2,408,560 525,920	2,104,100
	Dry rock	-	1 20	045-546	7 257 1.0	2,934,480
37. Engineering and contingencies	1212%					629,420
38. Total						5,668,000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE—	Generators and turbines-8-24,300		2 K00		1	
Them and therebytom	h.p. units Switching				5,228,800 1,191,680	
40. Engineering and contingencies	Superstructure 12 ¹ / ₂ %		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	1,276,230	$7,696,710 \\962,290$
41. Total			2012.101	-	STREE LAN	8,659,000

•

.

.

TABLE No. 18—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115—Concluded
See Plates Nos. 52–53

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
THIRD STAGE-Completion of Cascades Plant-850,000 in-	Secondary and Section 1.26, Bu		\$ cts.		\$	\$
 (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 42. Excavation and unwatering 	Excavation—Earth Earth Earth, over depth Dry rock	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$990, 390 \\ 548, 530 \\ 43, 830 \\ 953, 200$	643,750 301,690 24,110 1,525,120 1000	
43. Completion of dam, walls, etc	Concrete Excavation—Rock, footings	Cu.yd.	9 00 2 40	37,850 18,800	340,650 45,120	3,389,660
44. Power house substructure, etc	Concrete Gates and racks	Cu. yd.	14 00	463,000	6,482,000 1,696,310	385,770 8,178,310
45. Engineering and contingencies	12½%					$11,953,740 \\ 1,494,260$
46. Total	••••••					13,448,000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 47. Machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines—25-34,000 h.p.units. Switching. Superstructure.	•••••			17,950,000 4,462,500 4,293,070	251' 301' 0001 4' 203' 5:30 201' 201' 6:30
48. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$					26,705,570 3,338,430
49. Total						30,044,000

Works solely for navigation. Works common to navigation and power.	Item N	o. 11 24		25,570,00 45,837,00
Works primarily for power— First Stage—Total installed capacity—903,800 h.p. Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc	"	30 34	26,727,000 38,364,000	65,091,00
Second Stage—Total installed capacity, 194,400 h.p. Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructures.	"	38 41	5,668,000 8,659,000	14,327,00
Third Stage—Total installed capacity—850,000 h.p. Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure	"	46 49	13,448,000 30,044,000	43,492,00
TOTAL—Total installed capacity—1,948,200 h.p.				\$194,317,00
Cost to open navigation and provide an installation of 404, 300 h.p. of new power together with replacement of power lost at avisting plants, i.e. 197, 000 h.p. at present Cedars plant and 10,000 h.p. at other plants				\$123,400,00

SUMMARY

Note.-404,300 h.p.-Total installation in first stage of recommended project.

rence Waterway Project

TABLE 19.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION AND POWER—ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115

For details—See Table 18

Power-1st Stage-One-quarter of Cascades Rapids and portion of Cedars 2nd Stage-Reconstruction of present Cedars plant 3rd Stage-Balance of Cascades Rapids		638,000 h.p. 180,000 h.p. 806,000 h.p.
1st Stage- Works solely for navigation. Works common to navigation and power. Works primarily for power- Substructures, head and tail-race excavation. Machinery and superstructures.	25,570,000 45,837,000 26,727,000 38,364,000	
2m Strice		136,498,000
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructure	5,668,000 8,659,000	14 297 000
3RD STAGE—	19 140 000	14, 527,000
Machinery and superstructure.	30,044,000	43,492,000
Total		\$194,317,000
Cost to open navigation and provide an installation of new power equal to that i of recommended project, <i>i.e.</i> , 404,300 h.p	= in 1st Stage =	\$123,400,000
Powerhouse Installations		
1st Stage—		

		Total			1,948,200 h.p.
2 3	nd Stage, rd Stage,	8-24,300 h.p. units 25-34,000 h.p. units	(32.5 ft. head)		903,800 h.p. 194,400 h.p. 850,000 h.p.
	Cascades, Cedars,	8-34,000 h.p. units 26-24,300 h.p. units	(43 ft. head) (32·5 ft. head)	$272,000 \\ 631,000$	002 000 1

TABLE 20.—SOULANGES SECTION—TABLE SHOWING OVERALL COST OF ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 115

Power marketed at 75,000 h.p. per year. Interest during construction and marketing period, 5 per cent. Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.

· - ·	First cost	Half Con- struction period	Half Market period	I	nterest
N	\$	196	1-2		1 8
Navigation	25,570,000	3.58		0.192	4,910,000
Ist Stage—038,000 n.p	72,564,000	3.63	$4 \cdot 25$	0.469	34,050,000
and Store 100 000 h a	38,364,000			54 3	
2nd Stage—180,000 n.p	5,668,000	0.28	$1 \cdot 20$	0.075	425,000
2nd Stone 200 000 h -	8,659,000	2.29		15-11-2	
ard Stage-800,000 n.p	13,448,000 30,044,000	0.67	5.37	0.343	4,610,000
Add Greet cost	194,317,000			and a second	43,995,000
Auu mist cost					194,317,000
Total					238 312 000
					200,012,000

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
VORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION-	al becape	and the second	\$ cts.	No. Martin	\$	\$
 Channel excavation— (a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Pointe au Diable. (b) At Leonard Island. (c) Above Pointe à Biron to Chamberry Gully Lock. 	See Table No. 9—Item No. 1 (a) " (b) Excavation—Earth	Cu. yd.		3,970,000	2,183,500	4, 390, 350 772, 440 2, 183, 500
(d) Chamberry Gully Lock to Cascades Lock	See Table No. 9—Item No. 1 (d) 1 (e)					1,358,720 742,040
2. Dikes— (a) Breakwaters—Lake St. Francis	" " " $(a) = (a) $	 Cu.vo		2,171,110	911,870	$1,329,460 \\ 379,270$
(c) Pointe à Biron to Chamberry Guily Lock	Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Trimming. Sodding. Paving—Concrete	""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 60 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,229,420\\ 173,240\\ 484,110\\ 175,780\\ 8,460\\ 17,860\end{array}$	$737,650 \\ 173,240 \\ 314,670 \\ 43,950 \\ 3,810 \\ 196,460$	
 (d) Chamberry Gully Lock to Cascades Lock	See Table No. 9—Item No. 2 (d) """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""					2,381,650 28,550 2,803,800 1,929,340 5,921,840 4,914,670
 Cascades Lock, entrance piers and weir Railway bridge for C.N.Rly. at Coteau Highway changes 	" " 7 New roads Bridges				$\frac{174,000}{865,830}$	345,280
9. Canal lighting and offices 10. Drainage ditch	Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	15,420	10,020	35,000 10,020
 11. Property damages— (a) Above Pointe au Diable	See Table No. 9—Item No. 10 Improvements Lands				57,500 125,600	487,040 183,100
19 Engineering and contingencies	124 per cent					31,235,900 3,904,100
13. Total						35,140,000

TABLE No. 21.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH—ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 125 See Plates Nos. 54-55

.

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Works Common to Power and Navigation— 14. Channel excavation—	Distance of the second se		\$ cts.		\$	\$
Through Coteau Rapids	See Table No. 9—Item No. 13 (a)					0 000 05
15. Dikes-						0,229,000
(a) Coteau du Lac to Pointe a Biron	Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping.	Cu. yd. "	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 75 \\ 0 & 80 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array} $	674,650 314,710 225,060	505,990 251,770 146,290	
(b) Gradde Ile	Earth fillRock fill	Cu.yd.	0 90 0 84	559,950 231,440	503,950 194,410	904,050
	Stripping	"	0 65	118,640	77,120	775 10
16. Dams at Clarke's Island	. See Table No. 9-Item No. 15					1,026,630
II. Ceuais Dam	Concrete Concrete Foundation contingency	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c}11 & 00\\9 & 00\end{array}$	$462,300 \\ 44,100$	5,085,300 396,900 508,530	
	Excavation—Earth Rock footings Rock trench Unwatering.	Cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{r} 0 & 55 \\ 2 & 40 \\ 4 & 10 \end{array}$	$693,470 \\ 130,200 \\ 1,360$	381,410 312,480 5,580 4,950,650	
	Gates, towers, hoists, etc	- Frank	1	1.20	440,700	19 001 55
 Drainage— (a) Diversion—River Delisle	. See Table No. 9–Item No. 17 (a)					418.03
 Highway changes. Property damages. 	New roads			••••••	653, 580 323, 400	1,103,940 158,000
 Railroad relocation—C.N. Rly. at Bellerive Interruption in operation of P.L.H. & P.Co 	See Table No. 9—Item No. 20 See Table No. 9—Item No. 21					976,980 932,600 480,000
23. Engineering and contingencies	. 12 ¹ / ₂ per cent					25,086,910 3,135,090
24. Total					82.2	28 222 000
VORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER—FIRST STAGE—Pointe a Biron—559,800 installed h.p.— (A) SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC 25. Head and tail.race excavation	Exaguation Forth	Cu ud	0.55	0 400 700	1 000 000	20, 222, 000

TABLE No. 21.-SOULANGES SECTION-NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT-CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 125 See Plates Nos. 54-55

.

Norm-date and just - speed installington in the last first of large or	Earth	"	0 65 1 00	468,390 1,200,070	304,450 1,200,070	
out to open per instignant your do an installation of any open is a	Earth Earth, overdepth Earth over depth Day reak	и и и и	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 80 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 80 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array} $	7,708,110 114,070 425,920 975,970	6,166,490 114,070 340,740 1,561,550	
	Unwatering			624,030	$\frac{366,680}{8,736,420}$	11,390,430 11,429,400
26. Power house substructure	Gates and racks	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			2,002,000	22,819,830 2,852,170
27. Engineering and contingencies	12½ per cent					25,672,000
28. Total					2	
B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURES— 29. Machinery, etc	Generators and turbines—36–15,550 h.p. units Switching Service units and cranes Superstructure			······	$22,017,600 \\ 3,715,200 \\ 536,740 \\ 3,752,360$	30,021,900 3 752 100
30. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent					33,774,000
 Total SECOND STAGE—Cascades Island—1,398,400 installed h.p.— (A) SUBSTRUCTURES, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.— 32. Removal of present Cedars power house	Concrete. Concrete. Foundation contingency.	Cu. yd.	11 00 9 00 2 40	417,680 129,490 170,600	4,594,480 1,165,410 459,450 409,440	480,000
374. Englishering and contingentiat, ar	Excavation—Rock footings Earth Gates, hoists, etc Unwatering Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping	Cu. yd.	0 65 0 90 2 00 0 65	$\begin{array}{r} 22,580\\ 153,360\\ 62,280\\ 36,100\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,680\\735,200\\2,926,940\\138,020\\124,560\\23,470\end{array}$	10,591,650
34. Power house and tail-race excavation	. Excavation—Earth Earth, over depth Dry rock	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 822,800 \\ 65,740 \\ 1,478,440 \end{array}$	452,540 36,160 2,365,500	2,854,200
 35. Highway changes. 36. Property damages. 37. St. Timothee power house. 	. See Table No. 9—Item No. 46 " <u>9</u> —" <u>47</u> " <u>9</u> —" <u>48</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	$ \begin{array}{r} 227,500\\ 1,384,280\\ 1,540,000\\ \hline 17,077,630 \end{array} $
Carried forward		HAEB D	DA DI OBA	KN LI-ROVX	TODE POD	

ELEVATION 125-Concluded

See Plates Nos. 54-55

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 17,077,630
38. Power house substructure	Concrete Gates and racks	Cu. yd.	14 00	797,750	11,168,500 3,493,780	
39. Engineering and contingencies	121%				37000	\$ 31,739,910
40. Total	The second street as a second	04 34				3,967,090
B) Machinery and Superstructure— 41. Machinery, etc	Generators and turbines—38-36,800 h.p. units. Switching. Service units and cranes				28,522,800 6,931,200 366,950	\$ 35, <i>101</i> ,000
42. Engineering and contingencies	121%		••••••••••	••••••	4,330,200	$\begin{array}{r} 40,157,150\\ 5,019,850\end{array}$
	Summary	••••••		•••••		45,177,000
Torks solely for navigation Torks common to navigation and power Torks primarily for power— First Stage—Total installed canacity—559 800 b p		•••••	Item N	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		35,140,000 28,222,000
Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructures		••••••	"	28 31	25,672,000 33,774,000	59,446,000
Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructures	Creaticants		"	40 43	35,707,000 45,177,000	80,884,000
TOTAL—Total installed capacity—1,958,200 h.p						\$203,692,000
ost to open navigation and provide an installation of 404 300 h p. of	new nower	-		119 110	=	

Note.-404, 300 h.p.-Total installation in first stage of recommended project.

362

7

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

St. Lawrence Waterway Project 36	;3
TABLE 22.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION AND POWER—ALL RIVER DEVELOPMENT—CENTRE POOL ELEVATION 125	
For details—See Table 21	
Power—1st Stage—Pointe à Biron	р. р.
1st StAGE- Works solely for navigation	
Works primarily for power— 25,672,000 Substructures, head and tail-race excavation. 33,774,000 Machinery and superstructures. \$122,808,0	00
2ND STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation	000
Total	000
Cost to open navigation and provide an installation of new power equal to that in 1st Stage of recommended project, <i>i.e.</i> , 404,300 h.p	000
Power House Installations	
1st Stage-36-15,500 h.p. units (22 ft. head)	.p.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
TRST STAGE—Ile aux Vaches—404,300 installed h.p.			\$ cts.	8.8 8.8	\$	\$
 A) COTEAU RAPIDS ENLARGEMENT— Channel from above Clarke's Island to below Broad Island Round Island channel. Dams at Clarke's Island. Railroad relocation C.N. Ry. at Bellerive. Property Damages. 	. See Table No. 9—Item No. 13 (a). See Table No. 9—Item No. 13 (b). See Table No. 9—Table No. 15 See Table No. 9—Item No. 20					5,046,260 1,183,390 1,026,630 932,600 50,000
6. Engineering and contingencies	. 121%		<u>S</u>			8,238,880 1,029,120
7. Total					-	9 268 000
B) REVISION OF 14 FT. NAVIGATION-			5		=	
8. Excavation for Canal	Excavation—Earth Dry rock	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	$2,440,500 \\ 120,850$	1,586,330 193,360	
9. Guard gate and weir above Chamberry Gully Lock	oncrete	Cu. yd.	11 00	2,520	27.720	1,779,690
	Concrete Foundation contingency	u	9 00	9,000	81,000	
	Cribwork.	Cu. yd.	5 00	8,800	44,000	
	Round bearing piles	lin. ft.	0 85	6,520 34,710	4,240 29,500	
	Steel Sheet Piling Lock gates, etc	tons	100 00	209	20,900	
	Sluice gates, etc				18,900	
10. Chamberry Gully and Cascades Locks	Concrete Cribwork Gates, etc	Cu.yd.	9 00 5 00	98,640 19,920	887,760 99,600 105,000	240,030
11. Regulating weirs	Concrete	Cu. vd	11 00	11 050	191 550	1,092,360
	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00	51,640	464,760	
	Excavation-Rock.	Cu. yd.	1 60	2,550	12,150 4,080	
	Rock trench Earth	"	4 10	1,360	5,570	
	Earth trench		3 10	7,990	24,770	
	Gates, hoists, etc	M ft. B.M.	110 00	127	13,970	
					30,000	760 190

TABLE 23.—SOULANGES SECTION—POWER ALONE—AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT (ILE AUX VACHES THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)

364

09,10

13. Bridges. Lands. 56,000 150,000 14. Property damages. Lands. 56,000 56,000 15. Engineering and contingencies. 121%. 7,212,000 16. Total. 7,212,000 6,410,270 (G) Superprotructures. DAM, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ERC. See Table No. 9-Item No. 13 (c) 7,212,000 (B) Dehanel Excavation- See Table No. 9-Item No. 13 (c) 395,330 (a) Cotean du Lac to Cedars. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 395,030 (b) Channel Incough lower end of Grande Ile. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 152,050,030 (a) Cotean du Lac to Cedars. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 152,050,030 (c) Crand Ile See Table No. 9-Item No. 17 (a). 155,000 (a) Cotean du Lac to Cedars. See Table No. 9-Item No. 21. 153,000 (b) and (c). See Table No. 9-Item No. 21. 925,980 (c) and Counterture- See Table No. 9-Item No. 21. 305,000 (c) and Counterture- See Table No. 9-Item No. 21. 305,000 (c) and Counterture- See Table No. 9-Item No. 21. 305,000 (c) and Counterture- See Table No. 9-Item No. 30. 323,400	12. Dykes	Earth fill Rock fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Trimming. Sodding. Paving—Concrete	cu. yd. " Sq. yd. Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 60 \\ 1 & 05 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 2,913,260\\ 49,760\\ 76,320\\ 402,210\\ 175,780\\ 8,460\\ 12,480\end{array}$	$1,747,960 \\ 52,250 \\ 76,320 \\ 261,440 \\ 43,950 \\ 3,810 \\ 137,280$	2,323,010
15. Engineering and contingencies 124% 64.00.370 16. Total 7.212.000 16. Total 7.212.000 (C) Superfluctures, Dax, Hand and Tail-Race Excavation- See Table No. 9-Hem No. 13 (c) 7.212.000 (a) Pie a Biron. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 25 395.930 (b) Channel through lower end of Grande Ile. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 14 (a) 7.32.200 (b) Grand Ile. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 14 (a) 1.197.470 (c) Dortan du Lae to Cedars. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 14 (a) 1.351.990 (b) Grand Ile. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 17 (a). 1.52.00,030 20. Drainage. (b) and (c). Issee Table No. 9-Hem No. 17 (a). 1.531.990 21. Highway changes. Iadda See Table No. 9-Hem No. 17 (a). 1.52.00,030 22. Property damages. Iadda 1.21% 36.000 23. Interruption in operation of P.L.H. & P. Co. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 21. 32.004,500 24. SubstructuresIle aux Vaches Power Houses. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 21. 32.004,500 24. Total. See Table No. 9-Hem No. 30 32.004,500 32.004,500 25. Engineering and contingencies. 121% 36.106,000<	13. Bridges 14. Property damages	Lands.				56,000	150,000 56,000
16. Total. 7,212,000 (C) SUBSTRUCTURES, DAM, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC. 7. (7. Channel Excavation— (a) Pte, A Biron. (b) Channel through lower end of Grande Ile. (c) See Table No. 9—Item No. 13 (c). (c) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. (c) Catard Ble. (c) Catard Stam. (c) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. (c) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. (c) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. (c) Catard Stam. (c) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. (c)	15. Engineering and contingencies	1212%					6,410,270 801,730
(C) SUBSTRUCTURES, DAM, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC. 395, 930 (I) Channel through lower end of Grande Ile. See Table No. 9-Item No. 13 (c) 395, 930 (a) Pte. à Biron. See Table No. 9-Item No. 13 (c) 1, 197, 470 (a) Coteau du Lac to Cedars. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 573, 530 (b) Channel through lower end of Grande Ile. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 573, 530 (c) Carand ILe. See Table No. 9-Item No. 14 (a) 573, 550 (c) Carand ILe. See Table No. 9-Item No. 16 (b) 15, 205, 030 (d) Crand ILe. See Table No. 9-Item No. 17 (a). 1, 531, 990 (d) Dad (c). (e) and (c). 1, 531, 990 15, 205, 030 (e) Thighway changes. Improvements 323, 400 926, 980 (f) Machingeneeing and contingencies. See Table No. 9-Item No. 21 10, 375, 550 (f) Machingeneeing and contingencies. 124%. 36, 106,000 36, 106,000 (f) Machingeneeing and contingencies. 124%. 36, 106,000 36, 106,000 (f) Machingeneeing and contingencies. 124%. 36, 106,000 36, 106,000 (f) Machingeneeing and contingencies. 124, 586,000 36, 106,000	16. Total						7,212,000
21. Highway changes. Improvements. 3005,300 22. Property damages. Junds. 323,400 23. Interruption in operation of P.L.H. & P. Co. See Table No. 9—Item No. 21. 323,400 24. Substructures—Ile aux Vaches Power Houses. See Table No. 9—Item No. 24. 322,000 25. Engineering and contingencies. 12½%. 36,106,000 26. Total. See Table No. 9—Item No. 30. 36,106,000 27. Total. See Table No. 9—Item No. 30. 24,586,000 28. Head-race—Cedars to Power House Excavation—Earth. Cu. yd. 0 55 17,408,000 9,574,400 29. Tail-race and power house excavation. See Table No. 9—Item No. 32. 11,302,020 11,302,020	 (C) SUBSTRUCTURES, DAM, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC. 17. Channel Excavation— (a) Pte. à Biron	See Table No. 9—Item No. 13 (c) See Table No. 9—Item No. 25 See Table No. 9—Item No. 14 (a) See Table No. 4—Item No. 14 (b) See Table No. 9—Item No. 16 See Table No. 9—Item No. 17 (a) (b) and (c) New roads.					$\begin{array}{c} 395,930\\ 750,080\\ 1,197,470\\ 573,530\\ 15,205,030\\ 1,531,990\\ 158,000\\ \end{array}$
24. Substructures—Ile aux Vaches Power Houses. See Table No. 3—Item No. 24.01.440 25. Engineering and contingencies. 12½%. 26. Total. 12½%. (D) Machinery AND Superstructure— 27. Total. 27. Total. See Table No. 9—Item No. 30. 28. Engineering and contingencies. 24,586,000 29. Tail-race and power house excavation. See Table No. 9—Item No. 32. 29. Tail-race and power house excavation. See Table No. 9—Item No. 32.	 Highway changes. Property damages. Interruption in operation of P.L.H. & P. Co. 	Improvements Lands See Table No. 9–Item No. 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			323,400	$926,980 \\ 480,000 \\ 10,875,550$
25. Engineering and contingencies. 12¼%. 36, 106, 000 26. Total. 36, 106, 000 (D) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— See Table No. 9—Item No. 30. 24,586,000 27. Total. See Table No. 9—Item No. 30. 24,586,000 SECOND STAGE—Power House North of Cascades Pt.— 545,000 installed h.p.— 24,586,000 (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— Excavation—Earth. Cu. yd. 0 55 17,408,000 9,574,400 29. Tail-race and power house excavation. See Table No. 9—Item No. 32. See Table No. 92. 11,302,020	24. Substructures—Ile aux Vaches Power Houses	. See Table No. 3-Tech No. 21	-	6 104	1990 1997		32,094,560 4 011 440
26. Total	25. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}\%$					36,106,000
SECOND STAGE—Power House North of Cascades Pt.— 545,000 installed h.p.— (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 28. Head-race—Cedars to Power House	26. Total (D) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 27. Total	. See Table No. 9—Item No. 30					24,586,000
29. Tail-race and power house excavation	 SECOND STAGE—Power House North of Cascades Pt.— 545,000 installed h.p.— (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 28. Head-race—Cedars to Power House	. Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 55	17,408,000	9,574,400	9,574,400
	29. Tail-race and power house excavation	. See Table No. 9—Item No. 32					11,302,020

.

St Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE 23.—SOULANGES SECTION—POWER ALONE—AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT (ILE AUX VACHES THREE STAGE DEVELOPMENT)—Concluded

Item and description	. Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Carried forward			\$ cts.		\$	\$
 SECOND STAGE, Etc.—Con. (A) SUBSTRUCTURE HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.—Con. 30. Dykes—Cedars to power house	Earth fill Rock fill Rock fill. Stripping.	Cu. yd. "	0 42 1 10 1 00 0 65	5,010,620 51,770 277,250 600,300	2,104,470 56,950 277,250 390,200	11,302,020
 Ice sluices and walls at power house	See Table No. 9—Item No. 34. See Table No. 9—Item No. 35. New roads. Bridges.				150,000 442,000	2,828,870 1,551,290 5,269,970
34. Property damages	Improvements Lands	acre	200 00	945	565,210 189,000	592,000 754,210
35. Engineering and contingencies36. Total	1212%					$22,298,360 \\ 2,787,640$
(B) Machinery and Superstructure-		•••••		•••••		25,086,000
 Total THIRD STAGE—Dam and Power House at Cascades Rapids— 1,030,400 installed h.p.—	See Table No. 9—Item No. 42					14,637,000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE- 39. Total	See Table No. 9—Item No. 51	•••••		••••••		30,531,000
- 15. Tolden	See Table No. 9-Item No. 54	•••••				33,285,000

.

366

.

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

FIRST STAGE—Power at Ile aux Vaches—Installed capacity 404,300 h.p.— Coteau Rapids enlargement. Revision of 14-ft. navigation. Substructures, dam, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure.	Item No. "	7 16 26 27	\$ 9,268,000 7,212,000 36,106,000 24,586,000 \$	77, 172, 000
SECOND STAGE—Power north of Cascades Point—Installed capacity 545,000 h.p.— Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure.	Item No.	36 37	$25,086,000\\14,637,000$	39,723,000
THIRD STAGE—Power at Cascades Rapids—Installed capacity 1,030,400 h.p.— Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc	Item No.	38 39	$30,531,000 \\ 33,285,000$	63,816,000
TOTAL—Total installed capacity—1,979,700 h.p.			ss	180,711,000

367

SUMMARY

TABLE 24.—SOULANGES SECTION—POWER ALONE—ILE AUX VACHES—THREE-STAGE PROJECT

For details—See Table 23

1st Stage—Ile aux Vaches	. 382,000 h.p.
2nd Stage—North of Cascades Point 3rd Stage—Cascades Banids	. 488,000 h.p.
ord brage—Cascades maples	. 762,000 n.p.
1st Stage-	
Revision of 14-ft, navigation 7 212 of	00
Substructures, dams, head and tail-race excavation	00
Machinery and superstructures	000
2ND STAGE-	-\$ 77,172,000
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation\$ 25,086.00	00
Machinery and superstructure 14,637,00	00
3RD STAGE-	-39,723,000
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation\$ 30,531.00	00
Machinery and superstructure 33, 285, 00	00
	-63,816,000
Total	.\$180,711,000
Power House Installations	
1st Stage-26-15,550 h.p. units (22 ft. head)	404, 300 h n
2nd Stage—10-54,500 h.p. units (75.5 ft. head)	545,000 h.p.
3rd Stage—28-36,800 h.p. units (53 ft. head)	1,030,400 h.p.
Total	1.979.700 h n
	,, oo mp.

TABLE No. 25.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH PARTIAL POWER DEVELOPMENT—HUNGRY BAY— MELOCHEVILLE ROUTE Balance of Power as in Recommended Project—(Ile aux Vaches Three Stage) Note.—Navigation and 1st Stage of Power Development Shown on Plates 56-57

45827--24

Item and description	Classification	Unit Rate		ication Unit Rate Quantity A		Amount	Total
	print china spillage	11000	\$ cts.	1.000	\$	\$	
VORKS SOLELY FOR NAVIGATION— 1. Channel excavation— (a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below Hungry Bay guard lock.	Excavation—Earth Earth over depth Earth Dry rock	cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ 0 & 35 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array}$	${}^{1,471,670}_{163,340}_{748,810}_{131,950}$	515,090 57,170 486,730 211,120	1 270 110	
(b) Above flight locks to deep water in Lake St. Louis	Excavation—Earth Dry rock Wet rock Wet rock over depth	cu. yd. "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 45 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$902,000 \\ 1,075,210 \\ 57,390 \\ 6,600$	$\substack{405,900\\1,720,340\\243,910\\28,050}$	2,398,200	
 Breakwater, Lake St. Francis. Hungry Bay guard lock and entrance piers. Flight locks (single flight) and entrance piers. Bridges. Property damages. 	See Table No. 14—Item No. 2 (a) See Table No. 14—Item No. 4 See Table No. 14—Item No. 5 Improvements Lands	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	161,010 100,000	$1,345,500 \\1,805,890 \\7,684,440 \\2,099,450$	
7. Canal lighting and office						40,000	
8. Engineering and contingencies	. 12½ per cent					16,904,600 2,113,400	
9. Total						19,018,000	
WORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER— 10. Channel excavation— Below Hungry Bay guard lock to above flight locks	. Excavation—Earth Earth Dry rock	cu. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{smallmatrix}$	22,403,910 5,237,650 1,206,980	$10,081,760 \\ 3,404,480 \\ 1,931,170 $	15,417,410	
11. Dykes—Below guard lock to above flight lock	Earth fill. Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Trimming. Sodding. Paving—concrete.	cu. yd. " sq. yd. cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \\ 11 & 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6, 614, 770\\ 422, 000\\ 60, 590\\ 1, 122, 960\\ 1, 255, 130\\ 157, 740\\ 128, 980\end{array}$	$2,778,210 \\ 253,200 \\ 36,360 \\ 729,930 \\ 313,780 \\ 70,980 \\ 1,418,780$	5,601,240	
Carried forward	CONNECTE DOLLE-CONNECT	hower	DEA ROTO		2084	21,018,650	

TABLE 25—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH PARTIAL POWER DEVELOPMENT—HUNGRY BAY— MELOCHEVILLE ROUTE—Continued

Balance of Power as in Recommended Project—(Ile aux Vaches Three Stage) Note—Navigation and 1st Stage of Power Development Shown on Plates 56-57

Item and description	Classification Unit Ra		Unit Rate Qu		Classification Unit Rate Quantity		Classification Unit Rate Quantity		Classification Unit Rate Quantity Amou		Amount	Total
Brought forward	and the second second	en yd.	\$ cts.	e's'lling	\$	\$ 01 010 050						
VORKS COMMON TO NAVIGATION AND POWER-Con.	Transition of the second second					21,018,000						
12. Supply weir south of guard lock	. Concrete	cu. yd.	11 00	18,480	203,280							
	Foundation contingency		9 00	9,150	82,350 20,330							
	Excavation—Earth Rock footings	cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 2 & 40 \end{array} $	15,000 6,600	9,750							
b. Engineering and contringeness.	Trench earth	"	3 10	4,050	12,560							
	Sheeting and bracing	M.F.B.M.	4 10 110 00	880 108	$3,610 \\ 11,880$							
Control (Second and agent	Gates, hoists, etc	• • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	132,900	402 500						
 Railroad diversions Highway changes 	See Table No. 14- Itom No. 8					274,940						
15. Ditches	See Table No. 14—Item No. 9					119,200 436,440						
17. Property damages.	See Table No. 14—Item No. 10 Improvements			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		36,280						
	Lands				506,000	1 402'200						
			4			604,040						
18. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent			121 1200	22 (23)	22,982,050						
19. Total	Construction - Marriel	and then			-	2,012,300						
ORKS PRIMARILY FOR POWER-FIRST STUCE MELCONDUCT		••••••			=	25,855,000						
Installed capacity, 283,800 h.p	press and a second s		1 20	121 200	11 F 1.87							
(A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.— 20. Channel excavation—	Lauration-karts	w hor	0.00	1237540	00 1300							
(a) Deep water in Lake St. Francis to below supply weir.	Excavation-Earth	cu. yd.	0 35	163,170	57,110							
	Earth over depth	"	0 65	1,555,390 30,560	1,011,000 19.860							
	Dry rock	"	1 60	550,460	880,740							
	Wet rock over depth	**	4 25 4 25	798,670 58,340	3,394,250 247,950							
(b) Above flight locks to power house	Excavation-Earth	cu. yd.	0 45	2,310,120	1 039 550	5,611,010						
	Earth Dry Bock		0 65	22,260	14,470							
		Long	1 00	1,075,210	1,720,340	2.774 360						

(c) Tail-race	Excavation—Dry rock Wet rock Over depth	cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ \end{array}$	$822,860 \\ 225,950 \\ 21,180$	$1,316,580 \\960,290 \\90,020$	2 288 200
21. Control works in Coteau Rapids	Concrete	Cu. yd.	$\begin{array}{c}11&00\\9&00\end{array}$	$14,040 \\ 1,360$	154,440 12,240 15,440	2,300,890
	Excavation—Rock footings Earth	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}2&40\\0&65\end{smallmatrix}$	9,360 6,000	$ \begin{array}{r} 13,440 \\ 22,470 \\ 3,900 \end{array} $	
	Gates, hoists, etc Cribwork	Cu. yd.	5 00	12,000	151,400 60,000 200,400	
22. Ice sluices and walls at power house	Concrete	cu. yd.	11 00	11,760	129,360	620,290
aa. Yower nouse substructure,	Concrete Foundation contingency Excavation—Dry rock	Cu. yd.	9 00 1 60	83,420 3,450	12,940 5,520	
 Dikes—above power house. Do shires and walks at power house. 	Gates, hoists, etc	Currd			37,700	936,300
23. Power house substructure	Gates and racks				424,300	2,406,280
24. Bridge aobe power house. 25. Property damages.	Improvements	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		$26,450 \\ 100 000$	215, 540 126, 450
	Secondon-Bark	08-24	0.21	8' 425' 400-		15,057,120
26. Engineering and contingencies	$12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent					1,882,880
1127. D 21. YOP Total						16,940,000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 28. Machinery, etc	Generators and turbines-6-47,: h.p. units. Switching	00			4,551,120 1,152,000 152,400	1 deran
29 Engineering and contingencies	Superstructure $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.				914,640	$6,770,160\ 846,840$
30. Total						7,617,000
SECOND STAGE—ILE AUX VACHES—404,300 Installed h.p. (A) COTEAU RAPIDS ENLARGEMENT— 31. Same as Table No. 23—Item No. 7, less \$4,422,000	IOCHEVILLE ROUTE	daes Three	223)			4,846,000

45827-241

•

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

371

*

TABLE No. 25—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION COMBINED WITH PARTIAL POWER DEVELOPMENT—HUNGRY BAY— MELOCHEVILLE ROUTE—Concluded

Balance of Power as in Recommended in Project—(Ile aux Vaches Three Stages) Nore—Navigation and 1st Stage of Power Development Shown on Plates 56-57.

Item and description	and description Classification		Unit Rate		Amount	Total
 SECOND STAGE, Etc.—Con. (B) SUBSTRUCTURES, DAM, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.— 32. Same as Table No. 23—Item No. 26, less \$558,000 due to decrease in cost of unwatering Cedars Dam. 			\$ cts.		\$	\$ 35, 548, 000
(C) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE- 33. See Table No. 9-Item No. 30						24,586,000
THIRD STAGE—NORTH OF CASCADES POINT—327,000 installed h.p.— (A) SUPERSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 34. Head-race. Cedars to power house	Frequention_Farth	Curud	0.55	0 425 640	4 620 600	1 200 Berlin
35. Tail-race and power house excavation	Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock over depth	Cu. yd. "" "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 55 \\ 0 & 55 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8,435,640\\ 1,210,000\\ 67,000\\ 8,900\\ 14,000\\ 4,800\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,639,600\\ \hline \\ 665,500\\ 36,850\\ 14,240\\ 59,500\\ 20,400\\ 400\end{array}$	4,639,600
 36. Dikes—above power house	See Table No. 23—Item No. 30 See Table No. 9—Item No. 34 Concrete Gates and racks.	Cu. yd.	14 00	190,080	2,661,120 607,290	1,247,290 2,828,870 1,551,290
39. Highway changes	See Table No. 23—Item No. 33 See Table No. 23—Item No. 34					5,203,410 592,000 754,210
41. Engineering and contingencies	12½ per cent					14,881,670 1,860,330
42. Total						16,742,000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 43. Machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines—6-54,500 h.p. units. Switching. Service units and cranes. Superstructure.				5,273,820 1,332,000 219,640 1,113,480	7 028 040

372

0

1,938,940

44 Engineering and contingencies		992,060
45 Total		8,931,000
FOURTH STAGE—CASCADES RAPIDS—1,030,400 installed	TER SE	and a state
 (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION— 46. Same as Table No. 9—Item No. 51, less \$366,000 due to decrease in cost of unwatering Cascades Dam		30, 165, 000
(B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 47. See Table No. 9—Item No. 54		33, 285, 000

SUMMARY

	1	1		
FIRST STAGE—NAVIGATION AND POWER VIA HUNGRY BAY—MELOCHEVILLE—Installed capacity 283,800 h.p.— Works solely for navigation. Works common to navigation and power. Works primarily for power— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc. Machinery and superstructure.	Item No.	9 19 27 30	$19,018,000 \\ 25,855,000 \\ 16,940,000 \\ 7,617,000 \\ \hline$	69, 430, 000
SECOND STAGE—POWER AT ILE AUX VACHES—Installed capacity 404,300 h.p.— Coreau rapids enlargement. Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure.	Item No.	$ \begin{array}{c} 31. \\ 32. \\ 33. \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 4,846,000\\ 35,548,000\\ 24,586,000\\ \end{array}$	64, 980, 000
THIRD STAGE—POWER AT CASCADES POINT—Installed capacity—327,000 h.p— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure	. Item No.	. 42 45	$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 16,742,000\\ 8,931,000\end{array}}_{}$	25,673,000
FOURTH STAGE—POWER AT CASCADES ISLAND—Installed capacity—1,030,400 h.p.— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure	. Item No	. 46 47	30,165,000 33,285,000	63,450,000
TOTAL—Total installed capacity—2,045,500 h.p.				223, 533, 000

the second

*

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE 26.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION AND PARTIAL POWER DEVELOP-MENT VIA HUNGRY BAY-MELOCHEVILLE—BALANCE OF POWER AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT

RECOMMENDED PROJECT	
Diversion to Melocheville for Power, 15,500 c.f.s.	
NAVIGATION—Via Hungry Bay—Melocheville route. POWER—Four-stage development— Ist Stage_Melocheville	110 000 1
2nd Stage—Ile aux Vaches. 3 Stage—North of Cascades Point. 4th Stage—Cascades Rapids.	370,000 h.p. 370,000 h.p. 384,000 h.p. 762,000 h.p.
1st Stage— Works solely for navigation (single flight locks)	, 000 , 000
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation. 9,190 Machinery and superstructure. 3,944	,000 ,000 \$ 49 868 000
2ND STAGE— \$ 7,100 Coteau Rapids enlargement. \$ 7,100 Substructures, head and tail-race excavation, etc. \$ 35,832 Machinery and superstructures. \$ 24,580	,000 ,000 ,000
3rd Stage-	— 67,528,000
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation\$ 20,734 Machinery and superstructure\$ 11,742	,000 ,000 32,476,000
4TH STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation	,000,000
	63, 637, 000
Total	\$213,509,000
Power House Installations	
1st Stage— 3-47,300 h.p. units (77 · 5 ft. head)	. 141,900 h.p. . 404,300 h.p. . 436,000 h.p. . 1,030,400 h.p.
Total	2 012 600 h =
	. 2,012,600 h.p.
TABLE 27.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION AND PARTIAL POWE MENT VIA HUNGRY BAY-MELOCHEVILLE—BALANCE OF POWER RECOMMENDED PROJECT	R DEVELOP- AS IN
Diversion to Melocheville for Power, 31,800 c f s	
For details—See Table 25	
NAVIGATION—Via Hungry Bay-Melocheville route. Power—Four-stage development— lat Stare—Melocheville	
2nd Stage—Ile aux Vaches. 3rd Stage—North of Cascades Point 4th Stage—Cascades Rapids.	239,000 h.p. 370,000 h.p. 261,000 h.p. 762,000 h.p.
IST STAGE Works solely for navigation\$ 19,018 Works common to navigation and power	000
Works primarily for power— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation	000
2ND STAGE-	\$ 69,430,000
Coteau Rapids enlargement	000
2nn Salen	000
Substructure head and toil reconstruction	64,980,000
Machinery and superstructure. 8, 931,	64,980,000 000 000
Machinery and superstructure	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Machinery and superstructure. \$ 16,742, Machinery and superstructure. 8,931, 4TH STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation. \$ 30,165, Machinery and Superstructure. 33,285,	$\begin{array}{rrrr} & 64, 980, 000 \\ 000 \\ & 25, 673, 000 \\ 000 \\ & 63, 450, 000 \end{array}$

TABLE 27-Con.-POWER HOUSE INSTALLATIONS

1st Stage 6-47,300 h.p. units (77.5 ft. head)	283,800 h.p. 404,300 h.p. 327,000 h.p. 1,030,400 h.p.
Total	2,045,500 h.p.

TABLE 28.—SOULANGES SECTION—NAVIGATION AND PARTIAL POWER DEVELOP-MENT VIA HUNGRY BAY-MELOCHEVILLE—BALANCE OF POWER AS IN 1st AND 3rd STAGES OF RECOMMENDED PROJECT

Diversion to Melocheville for Power, 66,700 c.f.s.

NAVIGATION—Via Hungry Bay-Melocheville route. POWER—Three-stage development— 1st Stage—Melocheville. 2nd Stage—Ile aux Vaches. 3rd Stage—Cascades Rapids.		500,000 h.p. 370,000 h.p. 762,000 h.p.
1st STAGE— Works solely for navigation	19,873,000 44,594,000	
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation	33,719,000 15,143,000	\$113,329,000
2ND STAGE— Coteau Rapids enlargement	1,763,000 34,936,000 24,586,000	
3RD STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation Machinery and superstructure.	29,879,000 33,285,000	61,285,000 63,164,000
Total		\$237,778,000
Power House Installations		
1st Stage—12-47,300 h.p. units (77.5 ft. head). 2nd Stage—26-15,550 h.p. units (22 ft head). 3rd Stage—28-36,800 h.p. units (53 ft. head).		567,600 h.p. 404,300 h.p. ,030,400 h.p.
Total	2	,002,300 h.p.

TABLE No. 29.—SOULANGES SECTION—TABLE SHOWING RELATIVE OVERALL COST OF SCHEMES OF IMPROVEMENT
Interest during construction and marketing period at 5%. Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.
"A"—Recommended Project—Ile aux Vaches Three Stage Project. (See Tables Nos. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.)
"B"—Separate Navigation & Power Works. Navigation via Hungry Bay—Melochville Route. Power as in Recommended Scheme—Ile aux Vaches Three Stage Project.
"C"—Four Stage Project—15,500 c.f.s. via Hungry Bay—Melochville (Canal 300' x 25'—Vel. 2·0 f.s.). Balance of Power as in Recommended Project. (See Table No. 26).
"D"—Four Stage Project—31,800 c.f.s. via Hungry Bay—Melochville (Canal 360' x 35'—Vel. 2½ f.s.). Balance of Power as in Recommended Project (See Table No. 27).
"E"—Three Stage Project—66,700 c.f.s. via Hungry Bay—Melocheville (Canal 790' x 35'—Vel. 2½ f.s.). Balance of Power as in Ist and 3rd Stages of Recommended Project. (See Table No. 28).

· 2 · · · · · · ·	"A"	"В"	"C '	"D"	"E"
1. Assuming no transfer of power between Provinces—	inth a		4		
(a) Power marketed at 40,000 h.p. per year.	260, 372, 000	263, 239, 000	265,629,000	276, 293, 000	319,958,000
(b) Power marketed at 75,000 h.p. per year	239,012,000	241,869,000	246, 159, 000	256,713,000	290,888,000
 (c) Power marketed at 150,000 h.p. per year. 2. Assuming Quebec supplied with 200,000 h.p. from International Section— 	228, 332, 000	231,069,000	236, 379, 000	246,843,000	276,198,000
(a) Power marketed at 40,000 h.p. per year	281,042,000	265, 239, 000	267,629,000	278, 293, 000	321,958,000
(b) Power marketed at 75,000 h.p. per year	249, 512,000	243,869,000	248,159,000	258,713,000	292,888,000
(c) Power marketed at 150,000 n.p. per	234, 102, 000	233,069,000	238,379,000	248,843,000	278, 198, 000

NOTE.—\$2,000,000 has been added to Schemes "B," "C," "D," and "E" as the difference in value of the navigation canal via Hungry Bay-Melocheville over that of the Recommended Project.
 \$2,000,000 has been added to all Schemes under No. 2 to cover cost of renewing generators in Barn-Island Power House from 60 cycles to 25 cycles.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
I. Channel excavation-	3	88.03	\$ cts.		\$	8
(a) Deep water in Lake St. Louis to Lachine Wharf	Excavation—Earth. Earth, over depth. Wet rock. Wet rock, over depth. Wet rock over depth. Wat rock over depth.	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \end{array}$	3,728,700 257,680 294,100 33,340 221,500 56,510	2,423,660 167,490 882,300 100,020 941,380 940,170	
(b) Lachine Wharf to Verdun Lock	E i E d		4 20	50,510	240,170	4,755,02
()	Excavation—Earth. Dry rock. Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Close drilling.	Cu. yd. " " Sq. ft.	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 20 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \end{array}$	$5,907,980\\837,630\\122,010\\274,700\\91,570\\62,300\\79,300$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,840,190\\ 1,005,160\\ 195,220\\ 824,100\\ 389,170\\ 264,770\\ 35,690 \end{array}$	
(c) Above guard gate to control dam	Excavation—Earth Dry rock	Cu.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}&0&65\\1&20\end{smallmatrix}$	889,200 163,000	577,980 195,600	6,554,30
(d) Verdun Lock to Nun's Island Lock	Excavation—Earth Rock Close drilling	Cu. yd. Sq. ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 20 \\ 0 & 45 \end{array}$	645,820 255,120 38,630	$\begin{array}{r} 419,780\\ 306,140\\ 17,380\end{array}$	773, 58
(e) Nun's Island Lock to Montreal Lock	Excavation—Earth Rock Close drilling Unwatering.	Cu. yd. Sq. ft.	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 20 \\ 0 & 45 \end{array}$	372,120 972,550 161,140	$241,880 \\1,167,060 \\72,510 \\547,400$	743,300
(f) Below Montreal Lock	Excavation—Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock, over depth Close drilling.	Cu. yd. " Sq. ft.	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 20 \ 3 & 00 \ 3 & 00 \ 0 & 45 \end{array}$	558,140 211,490 10,000 37,230	$\begin{array}{r} 669,770\\ 634,470\\ 30,000\\ 16,750\end{array}$	2,028,850
Dykes and walls— (a) Rock fill north of Dorval Island	Rock fill	Cu. yd.	0 25	718,270	179.570	1,350,990
(b) Lachine Wharf to Verdun Lock	Concrete Concrete paving Cribwork Earth fill Rock fill	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 00 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 5 & 00 \\ 0 & 42 \\ 0 & 60 \end{array}$	$16,680 \\ 54,300 \\ 148,200 \\ 1,288,570 \\ 108,900$	$ \begin{array}{r} 150, 120 \\ 597, 300 \\ 741, 000 \\ 541, 200 \\ 65, 340 \end{array} $	179,570

TABLE No. 30.-LACHINE SECTION-RECOMMENDED PROJECT-NAVIGATION ALONE See Plates Nos. 62–64

•	Trimming	Sq.yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 25 \\ 0 & 45 \end{smallmatrix}$	$\frac{146,760}{14,000}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 36,690 \\ 6,300 \\ 166,460 \end{array} $	
	Unwatering	Cu. yd.	0 65	390,350	253,730	2,460,170
(c) Verdun Lock to Nun's Island Lock	Earth fill		0 42	311,400	130,790	
	Earth fill	"	0 70	1,434,280	52 960	
	Rock fill		0 20	205,080	28,000	
	Trimming	Sq. yd.	11 00	12 300	135,300	
	Concrete paving	Cu. ya.	0 65	61 440	39,940	
	Stone face.	"	0 75	78,370	58,780	
	Stone face on Verdun Dyke		0.10			1,703,500
	Conarata	Cu. vd.	9 00	119,210	1,072,890	
(d) Nun's Island Lock to Montreal Lock	Forth fill		0 65	521,690	339,100	
	Bock fill		0 20	193,100	38,620	
	Stone face		0 65	52,110	33,870	
	Trimming	Sq. yd.	0 25	52,610	13,150	1 407 630
			1 10	4 050	5 450	1,497,000
() Gouth and of control dam	Earth fill	Cu. yd.	1 10	4,950	6 050	
(e) South end of control dam	Rock fill	DE L'INTER	2 20	2,700	0,000	11.500
	a search and the second s	Curud	18 00	8 450	152,100	
3 Control works-Lake of Two Mountains	Concrete	Cu. ya.	3 00	4,550	13,650	
5. Control works Lance of a state	Excavation-Rock		0.30	2,000	600	
	Earth fill	Lin ft	50 00	4,700	235,000	
	Cofferdam	M.F.B.M.	90 00	34	3,060	
	Stop log hoists	Each	5,000 00	3	15,000	
	Stop log noises	and the second			71 100	419,410
D'II-	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00	8,270	1 469 990	
4. Control dam at Ile au Diable	Concrete	. "	11 00	133,480	1,408,280	
	Foundation contingency			10 190	6 580	
	Excavation-Earth	. Cu. yd.	0 00	1 100	3 410	
	Earth, trench		3 10	62 100	149 260	
	Rock footings		2 40	1 200	4,440	
	Rock trench	MERM	110 00	27	2,970	
	Sheeting and bracing	Ton.	100 00	50	5,000	
	Reinforcing steel	. 101	100 00		1,684,700	
	Superstructure and gates				1,559,250	
	Unwatering					5,105,150
	Concrete	. Cu. yd.	9 00	50,390	453,510	
5. Guard gate, entrance piers and weir	Concrete	. "	11 00	2,970	32,670	
	Foundation contingency				3,270	
	Cribwork	. Cu. yd.	5 00	0,430	52,150	
	Excavation-Rock		1 20	10,020	2 020	
	Rock footings		1 80	1,030	2,350	
	Last house has made					
	the second s	THE REAL PROPERTY OF	and work			27,582,970
Carried forward			1			

.

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Brought forward	- William	4	\$ cts.	- 3'00	\$	\$
5 (h -)	****					27, 582, 970
o. Guard gate entrance, etc.—Con	Excavation-Rock trench	Cu. vd	3 70	270	1 970	
	Earth trench		3 10	610	1,370	
	Sheeting-Bracing.	M.F.B.M.	110 00	11	1,090	
	Close drilling.	Sq.ft.	0 45	3,360	1,510	
	Lock gates, operating machinery, etc.				265,000	
	Sturce gates, noists, etc				33,800	
	Karp assessed	1000	C	- diam's and		848,050
6. Verdun Lock, entrance piers and weir	Concrete	Cu vd	0.00	000 000		
	Concrete	u.yu.	11 00	200,830	1,861,470	
	Foundation contingency		11 00	8,300	91,960	
	Concrete paving	Cu. vd.	11 00	3 450	9,200	
	Stone face on bank	"	0 65	15 370	97,950	
	Excavation-Earth	"	0 65	568, 680	369 640	
	Earth trench	"	3 10	4,620	14, 320	
	Rock.	"	1 20	134,580	161.500	
	Rock tootings		1 80	5,340	9,610	
	Close drilling	G . CI	3 70	410	1,520	
	Sheeting and bracing	SQ. IL.	0 45	91,760	41,290	
	Lock gates and operating machinery	M.F.D.M.	110 00	59	6,490	
	Lock valves and operating machinery.				641,500	
	Sluice gates, hoists, etc.				100,000	
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip-				30,800	
	ment, etc		1. 1.	12.14.19	106 700	
	Emergency lock gate				190,700	
	Unwatering				769 580	
					105,000	4 599 590
. Nun's Island Lock, entrance piers and weir	Concerts		0.30	and the second	1000	1,020,020
, container piere und went	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00	147,860	1,330,740	
	Foundation contingeners	Ch.,yd.	11 00	4,710	51,810	
	Excavation_Farth	······································			5,180	
	Earth trench	Cu. ya.	0 65	20,870	13,570	
	Rock	**	3 10	60	190	
	Rock footings	"	1 20	190,610	228,730	
	Rock trench.	"	3 70	1,740	3,130	
	Close drilling	Sq. ft.	0 45	04 060	1,040	
	Sheeting and bracing	M.F.B.M.	110 00	94,900	42,730	
	Concrete paving	Cu. vd.	11 00	2 480	220	

TABLE No. 30-LACHINE SECTION-RECOMMENDED PROJECT-NAVIGATION ALONE-Continued See Plates Nos. 62-64

378

Waterwa Pr

	Earth trench Rock	"	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 & 10 \\ 1 & 20 \end{array} $	8,900 33,110	27,600 39,730	
11. Culverts at west end of Victoria Bridge	Excavation—Earth.		0 65	89,250	58,000	
	Pumping equipment	Cuand	11 00	36 660	403 260	303,520
	Close drilling.	Sq.f+	0 45	28,070	12,630 5,000	
	Rock.	"	1 20	47,100	56,520 850	
10. Subway above verdun lock	Macadam	Sq. yd.	2 00	7,480 79,450	$14,960 \\ 51,640$	
10 G L	Concrete	Cu. vd.	11 00	14,720	161,920	757,930
	Raising headworks, present aqueduct.				52,090	
	Close drilling	Sq. ft.	0 45	13,800	6,210	
	Removal concrete walls	"	1 60	1,800	2,880	
	Rock.	"	1 20	22,600 840	27,120 3,110	
9. Curverts under canar for Montrear aqueculot	Excavation—Earth.	"	0 65 3 10	32,200 13,560	20,930 42,040	
0. Culturate under const for Montreel aqueduct	Concrete	Cu. yd.	11 00	51,050	561,550	3,038,080
	ment, etc				88,550	2 020 000
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip-				106,700	
in the second seco	Lock gates and operating machinery.				650,500	
	Close drilling.	Sq. ft.	0 45	139,290 2,250	62,680 24,750	
8. Montreal Lock	Concrete	Cu.yd.	9 00 1 20	174,740 285,200	1,572,660 342,240	
	Unwatering				1,095,950	3,721,470
	Fenders, capstans, lighting equip- ment, etc				196,700	
	Sluice gates, hoists, etc				42,200	

*

Item and description	Item and description Classification		Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
Carried forward	i jose quipter control	25.10	\$ cts.	21 200	\$	\$ 41 485 300
12. Bridges:- (a) C.P. Ry. Vertical lift at Lachine	Substructure Superstructure				$224,000 \\ 536,740$	11,100,000
(b) Victoria bridge	Substructure				200,000 957,470	760,740
13. Removal of Lachine hydraulic plant					240,000	1,157,470
14. Water supply to Verdun and Westmount	5 ft. diam. pipe 6 ft. diam. pipe	lin. ft.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 20 & 00 \\ 25 & 00 \end{array}$	4,400 7,300	88,000 182,500	240,000
15. Highway changes	First class roads Macadam	lin. ft. Sq. yd.	$\begin{smallmatrix}8&00\\2&00\end{smallmatrix}$	$5,300 \\ 38,900$	42,400 77,800	270,500
16. Property damages— (a) North Shore	Local water supply below Lachine Bridge Right-of-Way—Lands Improvements				$\begin{array}{r} 20,000\\ 1,363,000\\ 1,443,170\end{array}$	120,200
(b) South Shore	Right of Way-Lands Improvements				$112,960 \\ 23,860$	2,826,170
17. Canal office and lighting	Office Lighting				10,000 100,000	136,820
18. Engineering and contingencies	121%					47,107,200 5,892,800
19. Total						\$ 53,000,000
20. If the Control Dam to raise the low water level of Lake St. Louis is not included, the total cost for improvement for navigation alone becomes						\$ 50,848,000

TABLE No. 30.-LACHINE SECTION-RECOMMENDED PROJECT-NAVIGATION ALONE-Concluded

See Plates Nos. 62–64

380

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Item and Description	Classification		Rate	te Saving if Na tion channels 23 ft. deep o ally		Addition navigation made 27 origu	al Cost if n channels ft. deep nally	Cost of Future enlargement from 25 ft. to 30 ft. depth	
the second s				Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
 21. Deep water in Lake St. Louis to Lachine wharf. 22. Lachine wharf to Verdun lock 23. Verdun lock to Nun's Island lock 	Excavation—Earth. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock overdepth Wet rock overdepth Excavation—Earth. Dry rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock. Wet rock overdepth Wet rock overdepth Excavation—Earth. Excavation—Earth. Dry rock Wet rock.	Cu. yd. " " Cu. yd. " " " Cu. yd. " " " " " "	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 65\\ 0 & 65\\ 3 & 00\\ 4 & 25\\ 4 & 25\\ 1 & 20\\ 1 & 60\\ 3 & 00\\ 4 & 22\\ 3 & 00\\ 4 & 22\\ 3 & 00\\ 4 & 21\\ 0 & 65\\ 1 & 20\\ 0 & 65\\ 1 & 20\\ \end{array}$	571,530 60,010 108,950 123,580 224,590 17,000 94,920 31,640 118,260 118,260	\$ 371,490 180,030 463,040 80,270 269,510 27,200 284,760 134,470 76,870 129,590	678,960 37,150 139,510 76,570 274,350 15,660 98,900 32,980 	\$ 441,320 111,450 592,920 49,770 329,220 25,060 296,700 140,170 126,230 104,390	1,671,000 333,700 113,630 21,480 80,810 172,650 	\$ 1,086,150 216,9,0 340,890 64,440 1,518,530 343,440 112,220 22527,710 292,650 405,030 395,420 207,870 20,220 268,440
24. Nun's Island lock to Montreal lock	Excavation—Dry rock Wet rock We_rock overdepth	Cu. yd.		0 126,450 0	151,740	135,200	162,240	$336,740 \\ 65,950$	$1,010,220 \\ 197,850$
25. Below Montreal lock	Excavation—Dry rock Wet rock Wet rock overdepth	Cu. yd.	3 00 3 00 3 00	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 39,320 \\ 0 & 16,980 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \end{array}$	47,180	40,130 31,830	48,160 95,490	178,090 13,330	$534,270 \\ 39,990$
	1910/ approximately				2,267,090 249,910		2,523,120 315,880		10,657,830 1,903,170
26. Engineering and contingencies 27. Totals	. 122% approximately				2,517,000		2,839,000		12,561,000

1 the

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE No. 31.-LACHINE SECTION-NAVIGATION ALONE-RECOMMENDED PROJECT For details—see Table No. 30

Lake of Two Mountains control. \$ 419,410 Navigation works—Lake St. 1 ouis to Montreal. \$ 40,809,060 Control dam. \$ 5,878,730 Engineering and contingencies12 ¹ / ₂ per cent. \$ 5,892,800						
Saving if navigation channels made 23 ft. deep originally	53,000,000 2,517,000 2,839,000 12,561,000					
Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
--	---	---------	---	-------------------------	--	-------------
ST STAGE—POWER FROM CANAL ON SOUTH SHORE— Total installed capacity, 435,000 h.p. A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.—	Contraction of the second second				1 10 14	
1. Channel excavation-	n i n i	Canad	0.65	2 154 600	2 050 400	
(a) In Lake St. Louis above control weir	Excavation—Earth Earth over depth Dry rock.		$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 65 \\ 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array} $	400,000 1,407,800	2,050,190 260,000 2,252,480	
	Unwatering				578,160	5 141 190
(b) Control weir to power house	Excavation—Dry rock	Cu. yd.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 20 \\ 1 & 60 \end{array} $	1,284,000 12,633,100	1,540,800 20,212,960	5, 141, 150
	Earth	ĩı	0 65	3,198,780	2,079,210	92 929 070
(c) Power house tailrace	Excavation-Earth	Cu. yd.	0 65	1,142,800 762,000	742,820	23,832,970
	Wet rock	"	4 25	154,170	655, 220	
	Wet rock over depth	"	4 25	72,000	306,000	
	Earth		0 00	115,000	10,100	2,996,69
2. Control weir at Caughnawaga	Concrete	Cu.yd.	$\begin{array}{c}9&00\\11&00\end{array}$	$3,300 \\ 42,510$	29,700 467,610 46,760	
	Excavation—Rock footings	Cu. yd.	2 40	18,530	44,470 289,900	-
3. Dykes and walls-Control weir to power house	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00 11 00	656,770 191,000	5,910,930 2,101,000	878,44
	Foundation contingency				210,100	
	Excavation—Rock footings	Cu. yd.	2 40	68,000 370 260	163,200 222,160	
	Rock fill	"	0 60	138,760	83,260	
	Stripping Unwatering	"	0 65	68,300	$\begin{array}{r} 44,400 \\ 1,085,600 \end{array}$	0 000 05
A Los sluises at nower house	Concrete	Cu. yd.	9 00	8,320	74,880	9,820,00
4. Ice stutees at power nouse	Concrete	"	11 00	17,360	190,960	
	Foundation contingency	Cu. vd	2 40	6,200	14,880	
	Rock trench	"" " "	4 10	500	2,050	
	Earth trench	"	3 10	490	1,520	

TABLE No. 32.-LACHINE SECTION-POWER DEVELOPMENT-SUBSEQUENT TO NAVIGATION AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT See Plates Nos. 65-66

TABLE No. 32—LACHINE SECTION—POWER DEVELOPMENT—SUBSEQUENT TO NAVIGATION AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT—Continued

See Plates Nos. 65-66

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Ameunt	Total
Brought forward	()OCKERAG	On pd.	\$ cts.	1. 20	s	\$
 1ST STAGE, ETC.—Con. (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.—Con. 4. Ice sluices at power house—Con 	Sheeting and bracing	м.ғ.в.м.	110 00		1,100	42,669,880
5. Transforming movable dam in river with cribs and stop logs	Cribwork Stop logs Hoists, etc	Cu. yd. M.F.B.M.	$5 \ 00 \\ 110 \cdot 00$	24,930 676	$ \begin{array}{r} 94,900 \\ 124,650 \\ 74,360 \\ 50,000 \\ \end{array} $	399, 390
6. Revision at C.P.Rly.—Bridge at Caughnawaga	Bridge—Substructure Superstructure Railway relocation Subway				150,000 256,000 242,420 50,000	249,010
7. Power house substructure	Concrete Gates and racks Unwatering	Cu. yd.	14 00	370,260	5,183,640 1,353,820 961,400	698,420
8. Roads and property damages	Roads—New. Macadam on banks. Property—Right of way Improvements	Lin. ft. Sq. yd.	8 00 2 00	18,000 10,220	$\begin{array}{r} 144,000\\ 20,440\\ 215,280\\ 1,624,200 \end{array}$	7,498,860
9. Engineering and contingencies	12½ per cent			1 100 100		$\begin{array}{r} 2,003,920\\ \hline 53,519,480\\ 6,689,520\end{array}$
10. Total B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 11. Machinery and superstructure	Generators and turbines, 19-22,900					60,209,000
12. Engineering and contingencies.	Switching Cranes and service units Superstructure	······································			$\begin{array}{r} 12,304,020\\ 2,783,310\\ 258,680\\ 3,354,800\\ \end{array}$	18,700,810
13. Total						2,337,190

2ND STAGE—POWER IN RIVER AT FOOT OF LACHINE RAPIDS—Total installed capacity, 488,000 h.p. (A) SUBSTRUCTURE, HEAD AND TAIL-RACE EXCAVATION, ETC.— 14. Removal of movable dams and cribs 15. Dam	Excavation Concrete Concrete	Cu. yd. Cu. yd.	4 25 9 00 11 00	$106,630 \\ 31,420 \\ 275,450$	453, 180 282, 780 3, 029, 950 303, 000	453,180
•	Foundation contingency Excavation—Rock footings Rock trench Earth fill. Rock fill. Stripping. Unwatering. Gates, hoists, etc.	Cu. yd. " "	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ 40 \\ 4 \ 10 \\ \circ 0 \ 65 \\ 0 \ 60 \\ 0 \ 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 68,930\\ 3,470\\ 536,620\\ 252,520\\ 93,470\\ 44,460\end{array}$	$165,430 \\ 14,230 \\ 348,800 \\ 151,510 \\ 56,280 \\ 28,900 \\ 1,634,720 \\ 689,000$	
16. Power house substructure	Concrete Gates and racks Excavation—Earth Dry rock. Wet rock, over depth Earth over depth	Cu. yd. 	$\begin{array}{ccc} 14 & 00 \\ & 0 & 65 \\ 1 & 60 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 4 & 25 \\ 0 & 65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 368,650\\ 1,235,200\\ 762,000\\ 278,000\\ 70,000\\ 111,000\end{array}$	$5,161,100\\1,353,820\\802,880\\1,219,200\\1,181,500\\297,500\\72,150\\1,357,000$	6,704,000
17. Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent					11, 445, 150 18, 602, 930 2, 325, 070 20, 928, 000
 (B) MACHINERY AND SUPERSTRUCTURE— 19. Machinery and superstructure. 	Generators and turbines—19-25,700 h.p. units Switching. Cranes and service units Superstructure.				$12,304,020\\2,783,310\\258,680\\3,354,800$	18,700,810
20. Engineering and contingencies. 21.	12½ per cent					2,337,190 21,038,000

The states

0

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE No. 32—LACHINE SECTION—POWER DEVELOPMENT—SUBSEQUENT TO NAVIGATION AS IN RECOMMENDED PROJECT—Concluded

.

0				
0	UM	MA	RY	

1sr STAGE—Installed capacity 435,000 h.p	\$	\$
Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc Machinery and superstructure	60,209,000 21,038,000	
2ND STAGE—Installed capacity 488,000 h.p.— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation, etc	20,928,000 21,038,000	81,247,000
Torat Total installed associate 000 000 1		41,966,000
Control 1 to a line and capacity 923,000 h.p.		123, 213, 000
Cost of 1st stage of development if no control dam is built for navigation		88,131,000

THE STORE IN STREET AT FORM OF LACING

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

TABLE No. 33.-LACHINE SECTION-POWER SUBSEQUENT TO NAVIGATION

For details—see Table No. 32	
1st Stage—Power house on south shore 2nd Stage—Power house in river	391,000 h.p. 422,000 h.p.
Ist STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation)) -\$ 81,247,000
2ND STAGE— Substructure, head and tail-race excavation)) - 41,966,000
Total	\$123, 213, 000
Power House Installations	
1st Stage—19–22, 900 h.p. units (31 ft. head) 2nd Stage—19–25,700 h.p. units (33·5 ft. head)	435,000 h.p. 488,000 h.p.
- Total	923,000 h.p.

TABLE No. 34—LACHINE SECTION—TABLE SHOWING OVER ALL COST OF POWER DEVELOPMENT SUBSEQUENT TO NAVIGATION

Interest during construction and marketing period, 5 per cent. Power marketed at 75,000 h.p. per year. Construction program planned for expenditure of \$10,000,000 per year.

	1st cost	Half con- struction period	Half market period	Interest			
1st Stage—391,000 h.p. 2nd Stage—422,000 h.p.	60, 209, 000 21, 038, 000 20, 928, 000 21, 038, 000	3.01 1.05	$2 \cdot 60$ $2 \cdot 81$	0·315 0·209	18,980,000 4,370,000		
Add first cost	\$123, 213, 000				\$ 23,350,000 123,213,000		
Total					\$146,563,000		

45827-251

Item and description	Classification	Unit	Rate	Quantity	Amount	Total
1. Channel excavation-	2 2 1 - 1	-	\$ cts.		\$	\$
(a) Deep water in Lake St. Louis to Lachine Wharf	Excavation-Earth	Cu vd	0.65	5 200 700	2 500 010	
	Earth, over depth		0 65	333 700	216 910	
	Wet rock	"	3 00	407,730	1,223,190	
	Wet rock over depth		3 00	21,480	64,440	
	Wet rock	"	4 25	578,800	2,459,900	
	wet rock over depth		4 25	80,810	343,440	-
(b) Lachine Wharf to Verdun Lock	Excavation-Earth	Cu. vd	0.65	6 080 630	3 052 410	7,817,690
	Dry rock	"."	1 20	1,441,040	1,729,250	
	Dry rock	"	1 60	141,600	226, 560	
	Wet rock	"	3 00	513,860	1,541,580	
	Wet rock		4 25	140,840	598, 570	
	Close drilling	Sa ft	4 25	62,300	264,770	0.040.000
	close urming	bq. 10.	0 40	19,300	35,690	8,348,830
(c) Verdun Lock to below Montreal Lock	. See Table No. 30-Item No. 1 (d).					
2 Dilton and malle	(e), (f)					4, 123, 140
(a) Bock fill north of Dorwal Island	Deal Cu					
	Kock III	Cu. yd.	0 25	718,270	179,570	
(b) Lachine Wharf to Verdun Lock	Concrete	Cund	0.00	15 500	140.040	179, 570
	Concrete paying		11 00	10,000	140,040	
	Cribwork	"	5 00	133 880	669 400	
	Earth fill	"	0 42	1,288,570	541,200	
	Rock fill	"	0 60	108,900	65,340	
	Stripping	"	0 65	239,630	155,760	
	I rimming	Sq. yd.	0 25	146,760	36,690	
	Unwatering		0 45	14,000	6,300	
	Chwatering				100,460	0 070 400
(c) Verdun Lock to Montreal Lock	See Table No. 30-Item No. 2 (c) (d)		62			2,378,490
3. Guard gate, entrance piers and weir	" " 5					848 050
4. Verdun Lock, Nun's Island Lock, and Montreal Lock	. " " 6, 7, 8					11.288.070
6. Subway above Verdue Leek	. " " 9					757,930
7 Culverts at west and of Victoria Bridge						303, 520
8. Bridges	" " " 10					704,760
9. Water supply to Verdun and Westmount	See Table No. 30-Item No. 14					1,918,210
0. Highway changes	" " 15					270,500
1. Property damages.	. " " 16 (a).					2 826 170
2. Canal office and lighting	. " " 17					110,000
		5			-	110,000
		1			3	45, 196, 260

TABLE No. 35.-LACHINE SECTION-NAVIGATION ALONE WITHOUT CONTROL DAM

13.	Engineering and contingencies	12 ¹ / ₂ per cent	 	 -	5,651,740
14.	Total		 	 	50,848,000
	Cost of future enlargement from 25 feet depth to 30 feet depth- (a) Prior to power development	er'000 7:860 3.	 	 	11,388,000
	(b) Subsequent to power development		 	 	3,774,000

TABLE 36-INTERNATIONAL	RAPIDS SECTION—POWER HOUSE INSTALLATIONS
------------------------	--

in Longiture in weiter			Flow		TT'und	Installation									
Site	Head	ls	excl. of spares		Unit F	lating				пр		Г	otal	Servic	e Units
	W.L's	W.L's H		H	H.P.	c.f.s.	1	No. (a)	н	н.р.	c.i.s.	H	H.P.	No.	H.P.
Ogden Isd. 224 N.S.	244-227	17 12	230,300b 211 400	16	5,190	3,240	Р	70+3	17 12	$5,570 \\ 3,620$	$3,290 \\ 3,020$	17 12	406,610 264,260	2 3	$1,000 \\ 1,500$
Barnhart Isd. 224 N.S. N.W.	240-220 224-157 224-161 226-155	67 63 71	252,000 245,000b	63	43,520	6,800	F	36+2	67 63	$47,600 \\ 43,520$	7,000 6,800	67 63	1,808,800 1,653,760	6 	1,500
Crysler Isd. 217 Min. O. N.S. N.W.	$\begin{array}{r} 224 - 163 \\ 224 - 163 \\ 243 \cdot 5 - 219 \\ 239 \cdot 5 - 220 \end{array}$		231,200 218,000b	20	12,900	6,450	Р	34+2	$24 \cdot 5 \\ 19 \cdot 5$	$16,600 \\ 12,500$	$6,800 \\ 6,420$	$24.5 \\ 19.5$	$597,600 \\ 450,000$	6	1,200
Max. O Min. O Barnhart Isd. 217 N.S. N.W.	244-128 237-222 217-157 217-161 210, 155	$ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 15 \\ 60 \\ 56 \\ 64 \end{array} $	245,820 240,000b	60	44,500	7,300	F	34+2	60 56	$44,500 \\ 40,200$	7,300 7,050	60 56	1,602,000 1,447,200	6	1,500
Barnhart Isd. 238 N.S. N.W. Max. O Min. O N.S. N.W. Max. O	219-155 217-163 238-167 236-161 239-155	54 81 75 84	259,980 250,000b	75	45,100	5,950	F	42+2	81 75	$50,600 \\ 45,100$	6,190 5,950	81 75	2,226,400 1,984,400	6	1,500
Barnhart Isd. 242 Min. O N.S. N.W.	242–157 236–161 242–155	85 75 88	266,280 250,000b	75	45,100	5,950	F	42+2	85 75	$54,400 \\ 45,100$	$6,340 \\ 5,950$	85 75	2,393,600 1,984,400	6	1,500
Max. O Min. O	235-163	72													

Nore.—(b) Denotes flow on which installation is based. (a) Last figure equals number of spares. N.S.—Normal Summer. N.W.—Normal Winter. Max. O.—Maximum Operating. Min. O.—Minimum Operating. P.—Propellor wheel. F.—Francis wheel.

1 the age

389

S -----

Site	Hea	ds	Flow		Unit 1	Rating			E.		Inst	allatio	n		
Bite			excl. of spares					Units	н	нр	ofa		Total	Serv	ice Units
	W.L's	H	c.f.s.	н	H.P.	c.f.s.	1	No. (a)			C.I.S.	Н	H.P.	No.	H.P.
RECOMMENDED PROJECT- 1. Ile aux Vaches N.S. N.W. Max. O.	149–127 147–128 150–126	22 19 24	173,300 163,300	20	13,700	6,640	Р	26	22 19	15,550 12,850	6,800 6,550	22 19	404,300 334,100	5	1,200
2. Chamberry Gully N.S. N.W. Max. O.	$147 \cdot 5-72 \\ 144-74 \\ 147 \cdot 5-71$	75.5 70 76.5		75	54,000	7,000	F	10	$75 \cdot 5$ $70 \cdot 0$	$54,500 \\ 48,600$	7,020 6,760	75.5 70.0	545,000 486,000	3	1,000
3. Cascades Isd N.S. N.W. Max. O.	$\begin{array}{r} 125-72 \\ 125-74 \\ 125-71 \end{array}$	53 51 54	183,300	57	41,100	7,140	F	28	53 51	36,800 34,800	6,900 6,750	53 51	$1,030,400 \\ 974,400$	3	1,500
EL. 115- 1. Cedars-South Plant N.S. N.W. Max. O.	$148-115\cdot 5$ 145-116 149-114	32.5 29 35	184,500	30	22,000	7,300	Р	26	$32.5 \\ 29.0$	24,300 21,200	$7,450 \\ 7,250$	$32 \cdot 5$ 29 · 0	631,800 551,200	3	1,500
Cascades Isd N.S. N.W. Max. O.	$115-72 \\ 115-74 \\ 115-71$	43 41 44	61,000	40	31,000	7,600	Р	8	43 41	34,000 32,100	7,730 7,640	43 41	272,000 256,800	1	1,500
 Cedars—North Plant— Heads as for South Plant ³ Cascades Isd.—Heads as 		29	55,500	30	22,000	7,300	Р	8	$32.5 \\ 29.0$	24,300 21,200	7,450 7,250	32.5	194,400 169,600	1	1,500
for ¹ / ₄ Cascades RIVER ROUTE—CENTRE POOL		41	188,900	40	31,000	7,600	Р	25	43 41	$34,000 \\ 32,100$	7,730 7,640	43 41	850,000 802,500	3	1,500
LL. 125– 1. Pte. à Biron N.S. N.W. Max. O.	149-127 147-128 150-126	$22 \\ 19 \\ 24$	$240,000 \\ 235,000$.	20	13,700	6,640	P 	36	22 19	$15,550 \\ 12,850$	6,800 6,550	22 19	559,800 462,600.	6	1,200
2. Cascades Isd N.S. N.W. Max. O.	$\begin{array}{c c}125-72\\125-74\\125-71\end{array}$	53 51 54	250,000	57	41,100	7,140	F	38	53 51	$36,800 \\ 34,800$	6,900 6,750	53 51	1,398,400 1,322,400	4	1,500
FOUR STAGE PROJECT— 1. Melocheville N.S. N.W. Max. O. 2. Ile aux Vaches—As in 1st	$^{149\cdot 5-72}_{148-74}_{149\cdot 5-71}$	77.5 74 78.5	33,600 .	75	45,000	5,900	F	6	77.5 74.0	47,300 44,000	6,000 5,850	77.5 74.0	283,800 264,000	1	1,000
ject			••••••]				····×				22 19	404,300 334,100	5	1,200

TABLE 37.—SOULANGES SECTION—POWER HOUSE INSTALLATIONS

390

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

3. Chamberry Gully—Heads as in Recommended Scheme			35,000	75	54,000	7,000	F	6	75.5 70.0	$54,500 \\ 48,600$	$7,020 \\ 6,760$	$75.5 \\ 70.0$	$327,000 \\ 291,600$	2	1,000
4. Cascades Isd.—As in 3rd Stage of Recommended Pro- ject												53 51	$1,030,400 \\ 974,000$	3	1, 500
Note.—N.S.—Normal Summer. F.—Francis Wheel.	N.W	-Nornal	Winter.	Max.	0.—Maxim	um Operat	ing.	(a) No.	of Un	its includ	ling spare	·s. :	P.—Propell	or Whe	eel.

TABLE 38.—LACHINE SECTION—POWER HOUSE INSTALLATIONS

									Installation								
Site		Heads		Flow excl. of	Unit Rating			Taita H		т пр		Т	otal	Service Unit			
	W.L's H c.f.s. H H.P. c.f.s. No. (a)		H	H.P.	No.	H.P.											
Lachine—Canal	N.S. N.W. Max. O.	68-37 68-41 70-36 68-45	$31 \\ 27 \\ 34 \\ 23$	132,000 128,000	30 	22,000	7,300	Р	81+1	$31 \\ 27 \\ 23$	$22,900 \\ 19,300 \\ 15,800$	$7,350 \\ 7,110 \\ 6,830$	31 27 23	$\begin{array}{r} 435,100\\ 366,700\\ 300,200 \end{array}$	3	1,500	
Lachine-River	N.S. N.W. Max. O. Min. O.	$\begin{array}{c} 70.5 - 37 \\ 69.5 - 41 \\ 70.5 - 36 \\ 68 - 45 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 33 \cdot 5 \\ 28 \cdot 5 \\ 34 \cdot 5 \\ 23 \end{array} $	135,000 130,000	30	22,000	7,300	P	18+1	$33.5 \\ 28.5 \\ 23$	25,700 20,600 15,800	7,500 7,200 6,830	$33.5 \\ 28.5 \\ 23$	$\begin{array}{r} 488,300\\391,400\\300,200\end{array}$	3	1,500	

Nore.-(a) Last figure equals number of spares. N.S.-Normal Summer. N.W.-Normal Winter. Max. O.-Maximum Operating. Min. O.-Minimum Operating. P.-Propellor Wheel.

and the second

391

St

Single stage controlled Project No. 6-238 Single stage Project No. 1-242 Ogden Island Project No. 4-224 Crysler Island Project No. 5-217 acres acres acres acres In Canada (Mainland).... In United States (Mainland)... On slands... $\begin{array}{r} 4,952 \\ 11,359 \\ 5,542 \end{array}$ ${3,258\atop 4,434\atop 4,295}$ $4,471 \\ 5,444 \\ 3,465$ $3,493 \\7,421 \\5,308$ Total..... 21,853 11,987 13,380 16,222

TABLE No. 39.—ACREAGE OVERFLOWED AT MAXIMUM LEVELS BY VARIOUS ALTERNATIVE PROJECTS IN INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION

*TABLE NO. 40.—INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION—CRYSLER ISD.—TWO-STAGE DEVELOPMENT—217

Cost to develop power at Crysler Island and to carry navigation through to Lake St. Francis, including works necessary to raise lower pool to elevation 217 at Long Sault.

Upper Pool— Works solely for Navigation Works common to Navigation and Power Works primarily for Power— Substructures, Head and Tailrace Excavation Machinery and Superstructures	8,732,000 69,986,000 25,698,000 30,760,000	135 176 000
Lower Pool-	25,618,000	100,110,000
Works common to Navigation and Power- Permanent Works	14,180,000	
Temporary Works— Dam north of L. Sault Isd. including bank and Unwatering	4,750,000	44,548,000
Total		\$179,724,000

SUBSEQUENT COST TO DEVELOP POWER AT BARNHART ISLAND

Works common to Navigation and Power	13,153,000
Works Primarily for Power— Substructures, Head and Tailrace Excavation Machinery and Superstructures	35,519,000 43,418,000
Total	\$ 92,090,000
Grand Total— Total cost of development of all power in Rapids Section by this method of Procedure	International \$271,479,000

*Prepared by Canadian Section. Not checked by United States Section.

392a

and the state and a derivative Propert

AND TO OWN - OPEN AND THE REPORT OF THE REPO

there to develop power al Crasher behave and to every abrightion through to Lade St. - and/antoin insinglest montanterments to raise house part to abreation 217 at Long Savilt.

Window and the Article and Party and

Approvident and Approvident on the set of th

CALLET TRAESING TA STAND TO LEVEL OF THE TABLEST

Washe saman a second in the former and the second s

- Milal' Anni Investigation of the local sector of the local sector in the local secto

"Prepared for Conserving Services, And charter by District Status Services."

APPENDIX D

RIVER LEVELS AND DISCHARGES AT AND BELOW MONTREAL

1. The manner in which regulation of outflow from lake Ontario changes water levels in Montreal harbour has been dealt with in part IV and appendix B of this report. A summary of the extent to which diversions from the Great Lakes system and from the St. Lawrence river above Montreal, lower water levels at and below Montreal has been given in the main report. This appendix gives the basic data and computations from which the conclusions were drawn.

2. To determine the effect of diversion, it is necessary to obtain the relation between gauge height and discharge at a number of points in the river. In this study, relations were first established for governing points and then relations were extended from these to other points in this River. The governing points chosen were lock 25 at Iroquois in the International Section and the upper reach at Grenville on the Ottawa river.

3. The flow of the St. Lawrence River near lock 25 has been measured by approved methods on many occasions and throughout a wide range of stage. The U.S. Lake Survey made many measurements at Point Three Points in the years 1901, 1902, 1908, 1911, 1913 and 1914. The Public Works Department duplicated most of this work in the years 1918, 1919 and 1920, and in recent years the Canadian Department of Railways and Canals extended and checked this work by meterings above Iroquois Point. From all these measurements a reliable relation between discharge and gauge height at Lock 25 has been established, (plates Nos. 1 and 2). By simultaneous gauge readings this relation has been extended to all gauges upstream to Lake Ontario and downstream to Lock 21, at the head of the Long Sault Rapids. By use of rating stations on the St. Regis and Grass rivers, established years ago, and by records on other streams the relation between discharge and stage at the outlet of lake St. Francis has also been derived.

4. A relation between discharge and stage at Grenville on the Ottawa river has been established (plate No. 3), in part from measurements made above and below that point by the Public Works Department in the years 1907-18, in part by the measurements made by the Department of Railways and Canals and in part by the use of weir formulae applied to the spillway dam at Carillon. Stage relation diagrams extending over a wide range have have also been established from meterings by the Public Works Department for the several outlets of Lake of Two Mountains (plate Nos. 4 and 5). They have been closely checked with discharge at Grenville during periods of low precipitation.

5. By selection of periods during which local precipitation was low and during which no high wind occurred and by use of gauge discharge relations in tributary streams, records of flow in all streams leading to the foot of Lake St. Louis were accumulated and by correction for local storage, a relation was derived for lock No. 5, Lachine (plates 6, 7 and 8). The relation established in this way was checked by meterings opposite the mouth of the Montreal Aqueduct a few miles below Lock No. 5. The direct measurements made below Lachine do not cover a wide range of stage but check discharge relations derived by the method above described. 6. In establishing the gauge discharge relations at Lock 5, Lachine, two indirect methods were used.

7. In the first method, the total flow for selected storm-free periods for Lock 25 on the St. Lawrence and for Upper Grenville on the Ottawa was read off adopted curves. To this was added the run-off of the drainage area from Lock 25 to Lock 5 on the St. Lawrence and from Upper Grenville to Upper St. Annes on the Ottawa. From the total discharge thus obtained the flow through the Mille Iles and Des Prairie rivers, as read from curves, was deducted. This gave the discharge in the main river past Lachine. During these periods, the run-off from this drainage area was approximated from the rate of flow per unit of drainage area in near-by rivers where the area and outflow were available from established ratings.

8. In the second method the total flow past lock 5, Lachine, was derived by adding the discharge of the St. Lawrence west of lock 25 to that of the two outlets of Lake of Two Mountains which lead into lake St. Louis and adding to this again, the run-off of the drainage area between lock 25 and lock 5 Lachine, derived proportionally from other local streams as in the first case described. The flow out of Lake of Two Mountains in this case was taken as independent of the level of Lake St. Louis.

9. The results obtained by the two methods were found to be in close agreement. Those established by the latter method were used in the discharge gauge relation adopted for lock No. 5, Lachine. Table No. 1 showing the computations for the relation adopted for the period 1904 to date is attached to this Appendix. The discharge gauge relation is shown on Plate No. 7.

10. The discharge gauge relation for the period 1860 to 1877 is not based on extensive data and is probably not as reliable as that for the later period. In some cases the determinations made were somewhat round-about due to gauge records at all stations not being complete. Computations for this period are shown on Table No. 2 and the discharge is shown on Plate No. 6.

11. The discharge relation for the period of 1884 to 1895 was derived from continuous comparisons of a number of gauge readings and known changes in the outlet of Lake St. Louis, and is shown on Plate No. 8.

12. A discharge gauge relation for Pointe Ste. Clair gauge on lake St. Louis is also attached to this appendix, plate No. 9. It has been derived by continuous comparison of the readings at this point with those at lock No. 5, Lachine. The readings of the gauge at lock No. 5, Lachine, fluctuate due to changes in flow in the canal while the readings of the gauge at Pointe St. Claire are not subject to such changes.

13. Gauge relations in Montreal harbour and below show that water levels as far up as the foot of the Lachine canal vary with the spring and neap tides as well as with the relative flow in the Ottawa and St. Lawrence rivers and changes of wind. Accordingly, only neap tide weeks and periods of little wind were used in compiling data for the determination of discharge stage relations at and below Montreal.

14. It is not possible to derive a simple discharge relation for the water level in Montreal harbour, due to the back-water effects of the flow from the Mille Iles, Des Prairies and other rivers which enter the main river below Montreal. The stage of the Ottawa and other rivers entering the St. Lawrence is often high when that of the St. Lawrence at Lachine is relatively low. Meterings of the St. Lawrence below Montreal do not give much information because of the interference of the tide and the sensitiveness of the river to changes of the wind. The back-water effect of the inflow from the rivers downstream from the Des Prairies outlet of the Ottawa river is noticeable in Montreal harbour but its magnitude is small, except when the lower tributaries are in flood.

15. In order to develop discharge stage relations that would enable the effect of given diversions to be dealt with, a series of discharge stage relations were derived at a number of points at and below Montreal from diagrams for Lachine, plate No. 8, and Pointe Claire, plate No. 9, based on periods during which the discharges down the Mille Iles and Des Prairies rivers were constant.

16. In the preparation of diagrams a series of periods during which the water levels at Upper St. Annes varied between 69.6 and 70.0, 70.0 and 70.4, 70.4 and 70.8, etc., were grouped together and a diagram of discharges and stages for lock No. 1, Montreal harbour produced for each series. The plotted results in two of the series used are attached to this Appendix, plate No. 11, along with the table (No. 3) from which they were obtained. The result of all the computations, plate No. 10, shows that, as the general discharge stage relations are expressed by straight lines, the amount of change in stage for a given change in flow is constant, regardless of the stage of the river at Lock No. 1, Montreal. With a rise or fall of 1 foot on the Lock 1 gauge, the level of Lake of Two Mountains remaining constant, the increase or decrease of flow in the St. Lawrence be reduced by 23,000 cubic feet per second, the lowering of the water level at Lock No. 1 will be 1 foot. By proportion, a reduction of flow of 8,500 cubic feet per second (which is the present authorized diversion at Chicago) lowers the water level in the harbour to the extent of 0.37 feet.

17. The determination of the discharge stage relation at Varennes is simpler than the determination of this relation in Montreal harbour, because the flow past the point in this case is the factor which largely governs in the relation. However, the volume of inflow from rivers below Varennes still influences stages at Varennes. The determination of the precise manner in which changes in flow in each stream affects the stage at Varennes would be a long and futile task as the number of points where water enters is very large and the effect of many is so small that they cannot be detected in gauge relations which are also affected by tide and wind.

18. The best that can be done is to approximate from the Chezy formulæ the back-water effect of one or two of the larger rivers and assume that a certain percentage of the flow in these would produce the actual stages found if it were added to the flow past the point.

19. In this way, the diagram, plate No. 12, attached to this appendix, was developed. The discharge taken as governing the relation is: That of the main river, derived from the pointe Claire gauge, plus the discharge for the Mille Iles and Des Prairies rivers derived from the Upper St. Annes gauge, plus that estimated for the tributary area between these gauges and Varennes, plus one-half the flow of the Richelieu river and one-third of the St. Maurice to cover an amount that would produce the same effects at the gauge as that which does actually enter the St. Lawrence below Varennes. The inflow from the tributary area between lake St. Louis and Lake of Two Mountains and Varennes was taken as a proportion of the St. Maurice river. The computations are shown on Table No. 4.

20. The discharge stage relation for Sorel, plate No. 13, was obtained by taking the governing flow as that of the main river plus that of the Mille Iles, Des Prairies and Richelieu rivers, plus that of the drainage area between Sorel and the outlets of lake St. Louis, Lake of Two Mountains and lake Champlain, plus the flow of the St. Francis river and a portion of the flow of the St. Maurice. Computations are included in Table No. 4.

21. The curves of discharge stage relation shown on plates Nos. 12 and 13, are so drawn in the lower range as to be parallel to lines connecting series of observations in which the flow of the St. Maurice stood constant. In this way the slope of the lower part of the curve is more accurately shown than might appear from the points on the diagram.

22. From table No. 4, it may be seen that at low stages a change in flow of 24,500 cubic feet per second causes a change of stage of 1 foot at Varennes, or a diminution of 8,500 cubic feet per second in flow lowers the level at that point to the extent of 0.35 foot, and at Sorel, 31,000 cubic feet per second represents a change of stage of 1 foot or a diminution in flow of 8,500 cubic feet per second causes a lowering in water level of 0.28 foot.

23. In a way similar to that above described, the effect of a reduction in flow of 8,500 cubic feet per second at Batiscan was found to be equivalent to 0.24 foot of stage. At points further down, the effect of the diversion was taken as proportional to the relative change in level as shown on published charts.

	Feet
Montreal Harbour	0.37
Varennes	0.35
Sorel	0.28
Batisean	0.24
Lotbiniere	0.24
Pt. Platon	0.17
Quebec	0.03

25. Compensation. The losses in stage summarized in the last paragraph can be restored by dredging Montreal harbour and the river channel to a greater depth and lowering the foundations of docks and wharves in the harbour accordingly.

The amount of dredging required would be the amount of losses shown with an addition of about 15 per cent in the case of Montreal harbour and an average of 6 per cent in the channel between Varennes and Quebec, this additional amount being necessary to compensate for the further recession resulting from this dredging. The probability of dredging for compensation being done as a special work is not entertained as this would be an expensive undertaking. It seems reasonable to assume that it would be incorporated in a general program and the rates used in the estimate of cost are based on this assumption. The programs of the past have been for deepening from $27\frac{1}{2}$ to 30 feet and a later program, now about half completed, is for deepening from 30 to 35 feet. The following table shows the yardage involved in deepening the channel to the extent of 5 feet from Montreal to deep water above Quebec, with an estimate of the further quantities to be removed to compensate for a diversion from the river above Montreal of 8,500 cubic feet per second.

Lawrence below Varcificates The fullow	Cubic Yards To excavate from 30 to 35 feet	Cubic Yards Required to compensate	
Montreal to Sorel Sorel to Bastiscan Batiscan to Lotbiniere Lotbiniere to St. Augustin	$\begin{array}{c} 16,571,961\\ 24,938,875\\ 6,595,441\\ 2,601,766\end{array}$	$1,330,000\\1,380,000\\364,000\\94,000$	
Total	••••••	3,168,000	
3,168,000 cu. yds. at 42.5 cents per cu. yd Plant, shops, surveys, etc., average, proportion ning of works, 60 per cent	nal cost since begin-	\$1,346,400 807,600	
Total		\$2,154,000	

26. Dredging Montreal Harbour. The dredged area in Montreal harbour at the present time is about 18,364,000 square feet in earth and 5,540,000 square feet in shale rock.

A loss in depth of about 1.15 feet has occurred in this harbour since 1899, from causes other than the Chicago diversion. A deepening of the whole harbour to the extent of 3 feet probably represents what will be done as regards some parts and what has already been done in others.

The estimated cost of such deepening over and above what was and is required to preserve original works is as follows:—

Shale rock dredging 5,540,000 x $\%_7 = 616,000$ c.y. at \$3.50	\$2,160,000
Earth dredging 18,364,000 x $\%_7 = 2,040,000$ c.y. at 1.00	2,040,000
The cost, total	\$4,200,000
Add for engineering and contingencies 10%	420,000
Total	\$4,620,000

Of this 3 feet, the portion chargeable to the Chicago Diversion is 0.37 foot, increased by 15%=0.425 foot. The amount chargeable to Chicago Diversion therefor will be $0.425 \times 4,620,000=$ \$654,000.

3 27. Piers and Dock Walls. To restore all losses due to lowered water levels in Montreal is a large undertaking. There are at present 46,000 lineal feet of high dock wall, all of which are solid retaining crib construction, below the bottom level of which excavation cannot be carried without danger of collapse. Some of it is founded on shale rock and some of it has only an earth foundation. The dock walls which were built before 1901 are all of timber construction throughout, while those recently built are timber in the lower 30 feet of their height, and concrete above. The upper 24 feet of the older work is subject to decay, and reconstruction of this will be required before long. 28. The estimate prepared by the Canadian section (see paragraph 214,

28. The estimate prepared by the Canadian section (see paragraph 214, main report) assumes that the newer docks were built deep enough to care for the loss in depth due to the diversion at Chicago and that the older docks will require to be rebuilt to a greater depth in the near future.

The cost of reconstruction of docks for an increase in depth of 3 feet will be:-

30 feet and over, 30,720 lin. feet, 1,164,000 c.y. at \$7.00 27 feet and over, 12,300 lin. feet, 410,000 c.y. at \$7.00 20 feet and over, 3,244 lin. feet, 58,000 c.y. at \$7.00	\$ 8,148,000 2,870,000 406,000
Total Add engineering and contingencies, 10%	\$11,424,000 1,143,000
Total	\$12,567,000

As in the case of harbour dredging, the portion chargeable to Chicago diversion is in the ratio of 0.425 feet to 3.0 feet, which is, say \$1,800,000.

29. SUMMARY. The total estimated cost of increasing the depth in Montreal harbour and the St. Lawrence ship channel, to compensate for a diversion of 8,500 cubic feet per second, will be as follows:—

Dredging ship channel, Montreal to St. Augustine Dredging, Montreal harbour Reconstruction of dock walls, etc	$$2,154,000\ 654,000\ 1,800,000$
Grand total	\$4,608,000

Adopted by the Board, June 2, 1927.

Date	S. Nation R. Disch. (Drainage A,=1,436 S.M.)	Oswegratchie R. Disch. (Drainage A.=1,580 S.M.)	Paquette R. Disch. (Drainage A.=1,170 S.M.)	St. Regis R. Disch. (Drainage A.=621 S.M.)		Total Drainage Area	Total Discharge	Disch. of Drainage A.I. 25-I.5= 5,800 S.M.Q.=5,800 x Col. (8) Col. (7)	Disch. of Draimage A, Grenville to St. Annes=1, 186 S.M. + That shown in Col. (9) $Q=6,986 \times Col.8$	Lock 25 Disch.	Des Prairies R. Disch.	Grenville Disch.	Jpper St. Annes (Vaudreuil) Disch.	ock 5, Lachine W.Elev.	otal Disch. Cols. $(10) + (11) + (13) - (12)$	otal Disch. Cols. (9) + (11) + 14)
1	2	3	4	5	* 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
May 1913. July 1913. Aug. 1913 Sept. 1913. June 1914. Oct. 1913. June 1914. Oct. 1914. Oct. 1914. June 1915. Oct. 1915. Nov. 1915. May 1916. 22 May 1916. 22 June 1916.	$\begin{array}{c} 700\\ 120\\ 120\\ 100\\ 100\\ 130\\ 110\\ 90\\ 169\\ 144\\ 119\\ 3,360\\ 16,980\\ 1,600\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 992\\ 600\\ 460\\ 900\\ 913\\ 759\\ 591\\ 512\\ 954\\ 819\\ 5,530\\ 1,300\\ 3,000\\ \end{array}$	$1,540 \\ 659 \\ 359 \\ 180 \\ 150 \\ 1,460 \\ 802 \\ 854 \\ 1,170 \\ 1,150 \\ 5,210 \\ 5,970 \\ 2,600 \\ 1,60 \\ 1,150 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 829\\ 325\\ 258\\ 233\\ 450\\ 388\\ 426\\ 355\\ 501\\ 472\\ 549\\ 2,010\\ 6,659\\ 1,100\\ \end{array}$		4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807 4,807	$\begin{array}{r} 4,061\\ 1,704\\ 1,197\\ 921\\ 1,300\\ 2,881\\ 2,097\\ 1,628\\ 2,036\\ 2,740\\ 2,637\\ 16,110\\ 39,900\\ 8,300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,900\\ 2,060\\ 1,440\\ 1,110\\ 1,570\\ 2,530\\ 1,960\\ 2,460\\ 3,300\\ 3,200\\ 3,200\\ 19,400\\ 48,100\\ 10,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 5,890\\ 2,480\\ 1,740\\ 1,340\\ 4,200\\ 3,050\\ 2,360\\ 3,980\\ 3,980\\ 3,830\\ 23,400\\ 57,900\\ 12,000\\ \end{array}$	281,600 278,300 265,200 252,500 244,700 257,900 257,900 229,900 225,100 219,800 264,200 267,000 279,800	$\begin{array}{c} 90,500\\ 31,000\\ 23,000\\ 20,300\\ 20,400\\ 39,500\\ 16,000\\ 14,800\\ 60,000\\ 28,400\\ 25,400\\ 119,500\\ 132,000\\ 84,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 157,000\\ 45,500\\ 30,000\\ 26,000\\ 23,200\\ 62,000\\ 18,000\\ 16,000\\ 102,000\\ 102,000\\ 38,200\\ 243,500\\ 38,200\\ 225,000\\ 225,000\\ 140,000\\ \end{array}$	85,000 16,500 9,200 7,100 7,400 25,500 3,600 2,800 49,000 11,300 119,500 134,000 78,000	$\begin{array}{c} 70\cdot48\\ 68\cdot22\\ 67\cdot55\\ 67\cdot20\\ 66\cdot92\\ 67\cdot97\\ 66\cdot58\\ 66\cdot17\\ 67\cdot69\\ 66\cdot34\\ 66\cdot06\\ 71\cdot49\\ 72\cdot47\\ 70\cdot57\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 353,990\\ 295,280\\ 273,940\\ 259,540\\ 249,390\\ 244,600\\ 233,460\\ 266,860\\ 244,180\\ 236,430\\ 393,100\\ 351,800\\ \end{array}$	371,500 296,860 275,840 260,710 286,900 245,730 234,660 273,360 242,400 234,300 403,100 449,100 367,800

TABLE NO. 1.-SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE STAGE RELATION FOR LOCK 5, LACHINE GAUGE. PERIOD 1904 TO DATE

.

.

Nore.—Cols. (16) and (17) give discharge by alternative methods of calculation. The results are in fair agreement, but as those in Col. (17) appear more consistent, they have been selected to establish the curve.

398

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Date	S. Nation R. Disch. (Drainage A, =1,436 S.M.)	Oswegatchie R. Disch. (Drainage A. =961 S.M.)	Paquette R. Disch. (Drainage A.=723 S.M.)	St. Regis R. Disch. (Drainage A.=621 S.M.)	North R. Disch. (Drainage A=700 S.M.)	Total Drainage Area	Total Discharge	Disch. of Drainage A.L. $25-L.5 = 5,800 \text{ s.M.Q} = 5,800 \text{ x Col. (8)}$ Col. (7)	Disch. of Drainage A, Grenville St. Annes=1, 186 S.M. + That shown in Col. (9) Q=6,986 x Col. Col	Lock 25 Disch.	Des Prairies R. Disch.	Grenville Disch.	Upper St. Annes (Vaudreuil) Disch.	Lock 5, Lachine W.Ellev.	Total Disch. Cols. $(10) + (11) + (13) - (12)$	Total Disch. Cols. (9) + (11) +14)	St. Lawr
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	enc
May 1917. June 1917. July 1917. Sept. 1917. May 1918. June 1918. Aug. 1918.	681 391 317 159 459 391 135	$1,760 \\ 2,090 \\ 736 \\ 623 \\ 2,630 \\ 1,630 \\ 502$	3,110 3,020 1,180 409 3,340 1,780 632	1,380 1,340 467 376	$1,700 \\ 1,030 \\ 400 \\ 1,590 \\ 860 \\ 590$	3,741 4,441 4,441 4,441 3,820 3,820 3,820		$10,800 \\ 11,150 \\ 4,870 \\ 2,560 \\ 12,180 \\ 7,070 \\ 2,820$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,900\\ 13,400\\ 5,860\\ 3,090\\ 14,650\\ 8,510\\ 3,400 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245,500\\ 259,000\\ 269,100\\ 257,900\\ 261,600\\ 261,100\\ 248,600 \end{array}$	87,000 82,000 62,000 30,500 72,000 55,500 30,000	$\begin{array}{c} 154,000\\ 144,000\\ 104,500\\ 44,500\\ 124,000\\ 86,000\\ 42,800 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 69\cdot 66\\ 69\cdot 82\\ 69\cdot 19\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 69\cdot 62\\ 68, 181\\ 67\cdot 33\end{array}$	325,400 334,400 317,600 274,990 328,250 300,110 264,800	337,300 345,150 325,370 276,460 337,780 311,670 267,420	e Waterway
Nore.—Cols. been selected to	(16) and (establish t	17) give dis he curve.	charge by	alternative	e methods	of calculat	tions. The	e results a	re in fair :	agreement,	but as th	nose in Co	l. (17) appe	ear more o	consistent, t	they have	Project

TABLE NO. 1.-SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE STAGE RELATION FOR LOCK 5, LACHINE GAUGE. PERIOD 1904 TO DATE-Continued

TABLE NO. 1SHOWING DERIVATION O	DISCHARGE STAGE	RELATION FOR	LOCK 5,	LACHINE GAUGE.	PERIOD 190	4 TO 1	DATE-C	Concluded
---------------------------------	-----------------	--------------	---------	----------------	------------	--------	--------	-----------

Date	S. Nation R. Disch. (Drainage A,=1,438 S.M.)	Rouge R. Disch. (Drainage A.=1,780 S.M.)	Rideau R. Disch. (Drainage A.=1,516 S.M.)	Mississippi R. Disch. (Drainage A.=1,400 S.M.)	Madawaska R. Disch. (Drainage A=3,210 S.M.)	Total Drainage Area	Total Discharge	Disch. of Drainage A.L. 25 -L.5= 5,800 S.M.Q.=5,800 x Col. (8) $\overline{Col. (7)}$	Disch. of Drainage A, Grenville to St. Annes=1,186 S.M. + That shown in Col. (9) Q=6,986 x Col. 8 Col. 7	Lock 25 Disch.	Des Prairies R. Disch.	Grenville Disch.	Upper St. Annes (Vaudreuil) Disch.	Lock 5, Lachine W.Elev.	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Fotal Disch. Cols.} \\ (10) + (11) + (13) - (12) \end{array}$	Potal Disch. Cols. (9) + (11) +14)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
May 1919. 1 June 1919. Oct. 1923. Sept. 1923. Nov 1923.	2,110 1,180	12,230 8,370	4,490 4,910	4,290 6,010	10,600 13,720	9,342 9,342	33,720 34,190	20,850 21,200 3,100 4,100 3,400	25,240 25,600	268,900 278,300 208,500 215,300 202,100	$\begin{array}{c} 121,000\\ 132,000\\ 24,000\\ 33,500\\ 25,500 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 225,000\\ 271,000\\ 31,500\\ 41,000\\ 34,000 \end{array}$	$121,000 \\135,500 \\10,400 \\19,000 \\12,000$	71.4571.9965.6966.2165.73	398,140 442,900	410,756 433,000 222,000 238,400 217,500

Note.-Cols. (16) and (17) give discharge by alternative methods of calculations. The results are in fair agreement, but as those in Col. (17) appear more consistant, they have been selected to establish the curve.

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

Date	Discharge at Ste. Annes and Vaudreuil into Lake St. Louis	Discharge Coteau Landing	Discharge Richelieu River	Allowance for Drainage Area between Coteau Landing and Lock 5. 1,300 x 	Total Discharge at Lock 5, Lachine Cols. (2) +(3)+(5)	Water Surface Elevation at Lock 5, Lachine
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sept. 6, 1872. June 1, 1873. Sept. 12, 1873. Oct. 31, 1873. June 1, 1874. May 17, 1876. May 26, 1877. Oct. 26, 1877.	$\begin{array}{c} 10,000\\ 151,700\\ 10,000\\ 37,000\\ 121,000\\ 212,700\\ 42,000\\ 10,200\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 239,700\\ 292,000\\ 270,400\\ 267,000\\ 298,000\\ 323,000\\ 270,300\\ 233,300\end{array}$	$19,500 \\ 26,000 \\ 11,200 \\ 14,200 \\ 27,100 \\ 37,600 \\ 13,200 \\ 4,100$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,300\\ 4,400\\ 1,900\\ 2,500\\ 4,800\\ 6,300\\ 2,300\\ 800\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 253,000\\ 448,100\\ 282,300\\ 306,500\\ 423,800\\ 542,000\\ 314,600\\ 244,300\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65\cdot 90\\ 71\cdot 67\\ 67\cdot 27\\ 67\cdot 49\\ 71\cdot 07\\ 73\cdot 74\\ 68\cdot 72\\ 66\cdot 15\end{array}$

TABLE NO. 2.—SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE STAGE RELATION FOR LOCK5, LACHINE GAUGE. PERIOD 1860–1877

TABLE NO. 3.—SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE—STAGE RELATION FOR LOCK NO. 1. LACHINE e0 e ...

11.11. AI	OFFER	51.	THINDS	DEIWEEN	00.0	AND TO C	,
							_

		Date	Pt. Claire Gauge	Pt. Claire Discharge	Lock 1 Gauge
		1	2	3	4
Oct.	21-22.	1923	66.73	217,900	18.01
Sept.	13-15.	1922	67.93	252,000	19.68
Sept.	29-30,	1922	67.72	245,800	19.31
Oct.	1-4,	1922	67.65	243,400	19.16
Oct.	13-16,	1922	$67 \cdot 61$	242,500	19.10
Oct.	30-31.	1922	67.30	233,500	18.73
Aug.	11-13,	1921	67.99	253,400	19.75
Aug.	27-30,	1921	67.71	245,500	19.09
Sept.	20-26,	1920	67.56	241,000	18.94
Oct.	8-10,	1920	$67 \cdot 56$	241,000	19.13

W.L. AT UPPER ST. ANNES BETWEEN 70.0 AND 70.4

 w.L. AT UPPER ST. ANNES BETWEEN 7

 1924

 1923

 1923

 1923

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1922

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1921

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 1920

 < Sept. 8-10, Oct. 7-9, Oct. 18-19, Oct. 21-22, Aug. 15-16, Aug. 30-31, Sept. 3-5, Sept. 13-14, Sept. 16-19, Sept. 28-29, Oct. 17-18, Oct. 29, Nov. 1-3, July 16-18, July 27-28, July 30-31, Aug. 1-2, Oct. 24-25, Nov. 14, Aug. 26, Sept. 5-9, Sept. 20, Oct. 5-6, Nov. 4, $\begin{array}{c} 67\cdot 83\\ 66\cdot 94\\ 66\cdot 73\\ 68\cdot 45\\ 68\cdot 33\\ 68\cdot 05\\ 68\cdot 05\\ 68\cdot 05\\ 67\cdot 94\\ 67\cdot 88\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 62\\ 67\cdot 25\\ 68\cdot 26\\ 68\cdot 23\\ 68\cdot 26\\ 68\cdot 23\\ 68\cdot 16\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 79\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 70\\ 67\cdot 78\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 249,000\\ 223,500\\ 218,200\\ 217,800\\ 267,500\\ 264,000\\ 255,800\\ 255,800\\ 255,800\\ 255,200\\ 245,000\\ 243,000\\ 243,000\\ 243,000\\ 232,000\\ 243,000\\ 232,000\\ 261,900\\ 261,900\\ 261,900\\ 261,900\\ 244,000\\ 244,000\\ 244,000\\ 245,0$ $\begin{array}{c} 19\cdot 59\\ 18\cdot 34\\ 18\cdot 28\\ 18\cdot 01\\ 20\cdot 27\\ 20\cdot 19\\ 19\cdot 69\\ 19\cdot 79\\ 19\cdot 69\\ 19\cdot 42\\ 19\cdot 14\\ 18\cdot 76\\ 19\cdot 96\\ 18\cdot 96\\ 19\cdot 96\\$ 1920

45827-26

402

FABLE NO. 3.—SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE—Continued W.L. at Upper St. Annes between 70.4 and 70.8

-San IF-	Date	Pt. Claire Gauge	Pt. Claire Discharge	Lock Gauge
and and	1	2	3	4
Aug. 23-25,	1924	68.03	255,000	19.95
Aug. 16-28,	1924	$68 \cdot 11$	257,100	19.99
Sept. 12,	1924	. 67.81	248,300	20.18
Aug. 5-8,	1923	67.68	244,600	19.30
Aug. 9-11,	1923	67.57	241,300	19.11
Aug. 23-24,	1923	67.57	241,300	19.25
Sept. 3,	1923	67.46	238,000	19.15
Sept. 8-9,	1923	67.36	235,200	18.91
Oct. 4,	1923	67.09	227,500	18.72
Nov. 1-4,	1923	66.90	222,200	18.48
Nov. 5-7,	1923	66.89	222,000	18.54
Nov. 16,	1923	66.72	217,500	18.39
Aug. 4-5,	1922	68.56	271,000	20.66
June 28-29,	1921	68.54	270,400	20.46
July 1-4,	1921	68.41	266,300	20.24
July 13-15,	1921	68.34	264.200	20.20
Oct. 26-29,	1921	67.31	234,000	19.20
Aug. 22-23,	1920	67.79	247,700	19.46
Aug. 27-28,	1920	67.67	244,100	19.25
Nov. 6-7,	1920	67.73	246,200	19.49

W.L. at Upper St. Annes Between $70\cdot 8$ and $71\cdot 2$

	Aug.	7-10,	1924	68.22	260 300	20.42
	Aug.	11-13,	1924	68.32	263,500	20.47
	Sept.	22-24,	1924	67.92	251 400	10.03
	Setp.	27,	1924	67.61	242 200	19.55
	July	24-26,	1923	67.93	252,000	10.85
	Sept.	17-19,	1923	67.40	236,300	10.94
	Sept	23,	1923	67.16	229,300	18.08
3	July	17-19,	1922	68.98	284 000	20.08
	July	20-21,	1922	68.98	284 000	21.03
	July	31-Aug	. 2, 1922	68.65	273,000	20.86
	June	17-19,	1921	68.77	277 500	21.02
	July	5-7,	1920	68.16	258 300	20.20
	Aug.	6-9,	1920	68.02	254 400	10.84
-	Aug.	10-12,	1920	68.03	255 000	10.85
				00 00 1	200,000	10 00

W.L. AT UPPER ST. ANNES BETWEEN 71.2 AND 71.6

July	24-30,	1924	68.54	270 200	20.83
Oct.	9-11,	1924	68.22	260,200	20.00
July	20-23,	1923	68.12	257 500	21.00
July	1-7.	1922	69.50	200,000	20.00
July	22-23.	1922	68.03	282 500	22.39
June	14-17.	1921	68.02	202,500	21.00
June	24-26.	1920	60.90	202,000	21.25
June	29-30	1020	08.22	260,200	20.32
July	2-3	1020	08.17	259,000	20.10
July	22 20'	1020	08.05	257,800	20.12
July	20-29,	1010	68.29	262,800	20.41
Oct	7 0	1010	69.47	300,000	21.64
Uct.	1-0,	1919	68.85	280,000	$21 \cdot 12$
July	30-31,	1918	69.05	286,800	21.49
Aug.	1- 5,	1918	68.75	276,800	20.97
Sept.	30-Oct	. 3, 1918	68.64	273,500	20.99
Aug.	27-31,	1917	69.28	293,600	21.60
Sept.	9-11,	1917	68.90	281,800	20.97
Nov.	5-8,	1917	69.09	287,400	21.82
					21.02

*

TABLE No. 3.—SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE—Concluded

W.L. at Upper St. Annes between $71 \cdot 6$ and $72 \cdot 0$

		Date	Pt. Claire Gauge	Pt. Claire Discharge	Lock 1 Guage
	200	1	2	3	4
July	9,	1924	68·70	275,400	21.09
July	12-15,	1924	68.76	277,000	20.16
Oct.	5,	1924	68.40	266,000	21.35
Oct.	7-10,	1924	69.40	266,000	20.40
July	10-12,	1923	60.49	200,000	20.45
June	20,	1922	60 55	290,200	22.01
July	1,	1922	09.00	997 000	21.24
June	13,	1921	09.07	201,000	21.04
June	15,	1920	08.42	200,800	20.12
July	9-12,	1919	09.80	000,000	22.00
Oct.	16-18,	1919	08.80	280,200	21.20
Oct.	19-22,	1919	68.73	276,200	20.94
Nov.	16-17,	1919	68.94	283,000	21.30
July	30-31,	1918	69.05	280,700	21.00
Oct.	12-15,	1918	69.00	285,000	21.66
Oct.	16-18,	1918	68.72	276,000	21.28
Aug.	16,	1917	69.52	301,900	$22 \cdot 10$
Aug.	25-28.	1917	69.32	295,000	21.69
July	21-22.	1916	69.69	307,000	$22 \cdot 32$
June	9-11.	1915	68.06	256,100	20.11
June	19-22.	1915	68.04	255,800	20.31
June	23-25.	1915	67.98	253,100	20.41
July	5- 8	1915	67.94	252,400	19.93
July	5-8,	1915	67.94	252,400	19.

W.L. AT UPPER ST. ANNES BETWEEN 72.4 AND 72.8

Juno	21-22	1923	68.96	283,200	21.73
Juno	5-3	1022	69.44	298,700	22.05
Mon	30-31	1021	69.53	301,900	22.03
Lay	1 2	1021	69.42	298,300	21.88
June	1- 0,	1010	70.05	320,000	22.80
July	17 92	1010	69.65	305,800	22.44
June	11-20,	1017	70.08	320,700	23.00
Aug.	7 10	1010	70.17	363,600	23.20
July	7-10,	1910	68.21	260,100	20.59
June	10 15	1910	69.77	310,000	23.06
June	13-15,	1924	70.17	324 000	23.30
May	18-20,	1921	60.40	300,200	22.95
May	14-17,	1920	70.80	346,000	23.86
June	24-26,	1919	70.46	334,000	23.90
June	1-3,	1918	70.90	240,000	24.45
June	28-29,	1916	10.99	039,000	24.40

W.L. at Upper St. Annes between $74\cdot 8$ and $75\cdot 2$

May May April May May June June	2, 1924. 23-25, 1922. :30-May 2, 1921. 15-19, 1917. :30-31, 1917. :1-4, 1917. :12-13, 1916.	$\begin{array}{c} 70 \cdot 34 \\ 70 \cdot 76 \\ 70 \cdot 80 \\ 70 \cdot 63 \\ 70 \cdot 44 \\ 70 \cdot 64 \\ 71 \cdot 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 330,000\\ 344,800\\ 346,000\\ 340,000\\ 332,700\\ 340,800\\ 368\cdot000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \cdot 67 \\ 24 \cdot 40 \\ 25 \cdot 12 \\ 24 \cdot 81 \\ 24 \cdot 07 \\ 24 \cdot 04 \\ 25 \cdot 58 \end{array}$
---	---	--	--	--

45827-261

	1	1			-				WE KIE			
Date	Discharge Pt. Claire and Des Prairies River	Dis- charge Richelieu	Dis- charge St. Francis	Dis- charge St. Maurice	1,850 16,200 St. Maurice discharge	One-half Richelieu discharge	One-third St. Maurice discharge	Total flow at Varennes 2+6+7+8	Gauge at Varennes	Total flow at Sorel 2+3+4+5	Gauge at Sorel	
1924 26th April to 2nd May	$\begin{array}{c} 398,000\\ 455,300\\ 465,600\\ 386,900\\ 312,700\\ 336,900\\ 293,500\\ 293,500\\ 284,400\\ 272,100\\ 280,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29,500\\ 34,600\\ 24,500\\ 17,800\\ 8,300\\ 6,000\\ 4,700\\ 4,000\\ 4,000\\ 4,300\end{array}$	$19,500 \\ 9,200 \\ 4,300 \\ 2,900 \\ 1,800 \\ 4,700 \\ 8,000 \\ 2,600 \\ 22,300 \\ 4,300 \\ 1,800 \\ 1,$	$\begin{array}{c} 56,800\\ 136,100\\ 18,300\\ 35,400\\ 18,800\\ 24,200\\ 24,700\\ 14,500\\ 30,000\\ 26,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,500\\ 15,500\\ 9,300\\ 4,000\\ 2,200\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 1,700\\ 3,400\\ 3,000 \end{array}$	$14,800 \\ 17,300 \\ 12,300 \\ 8,900 \\ 4,200 \\ 3,000 \\ 2,400 \\ 2,000 \\ 2,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,200 \\ 1,000 \\ 1$	$18,900 \\ 45,400 \\ 27,100 \\ 11,800 \\ 6,300 \\ 8,100 \\ 8,200 \\ 4,800 \\ 10,000 \\ 8,700$	$\begin{array}{r} 438,200\\ 533,500\\ 514,300\\ 411,600\\ 325,400\\ 350,800\\ 350,800\\ 350,800\\ 292,900\\ 287,500\\ 293,900\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22 \cdot 06 \\ 24 \cdot 06 \\ 23 \cdot 49 \\ 20 \cdot 59 \\ 17 \cdot 75 \\ 17 \cdot 80 \\ 17 \cdot 45 \\ 16 \cdot 87 \\ 16 \cdot 69 \\ 16 \cdot 90 \end{array}$	503,800 635,200 575,700 443,000 341,600 371,800 305,500 328,400 314,600	18.9421.0219.7516.9514.3014.3714.2713.5913.9319.93	Dr. Luwren
1923 23rd April to 29th April. 23rd May to 14th May. 23rd May to 29th May. 23rd May to 29th May. 21st June to 13th June. 21st June to 27th June. 6th July to 12th July. 20th July to 26th July. 20th August to 25th August. 3rd September to 9th September. 17th September to 23rd September. 3rd October to 9th October. 17th October to 23rd October. 1st November to 22nd November. 16th November to 22nd November.	$\begin{array}{c} 457,100\\ 465,100\\ 463,100\\ 403,400\\ 326,800\\ 311,600\\ 289,600\\ 270,500\\ 267,800\\ 265,400\\ 265,400\\ 250,200\\ 240,600\\ 248,300\\ 243,600\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30,300\\ 38,200\\ 24,600\\ 19,600\\ 14,600\\ 9,400\\ 6,100\\ 4,300\\ 3,600\\ 2,600\\ 1,600\\ 1,800\\ 1,800\\ 1,300\\ 3,000\\ 3,600 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,100\\ 11,300\\ 6,800\\ 8,900\\ 3,500\\ 4,400\\ 2,100\\ 1,900\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 2,000\\ 3,100\\ 2,500 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34,300\\ 102,200\\ 65,300\\ 19,700\\ 15,100\\ 13,900\\ 12,900\\ 13,900\\ 15,800\\ 18,100\\ 18,100\\ 18,600\\ 14,100\\ 19,000\\ 15,600 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,700\\ 7,500\\ 2,300\\ 1,700\\ 1,600\\ 1,500\\ 1,600\\ 1,800\\ 2,100\\ 1,900\\ 1,600\\ 1,600\\ 2,200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,200\\ 14,100\\ 12,300\\ 9,800\\ 7,300\\ 4,700\\ 3,100\\ 2,200\\ 1,800\\ 1,300\\ 800\\ 900\\ 700\\ 1,500\\ 1,800 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34,100\\ 21,800\\ 6,600\\ 5,000\\ 4,600\\ 5,300\\ 4,600\\ 5,300\\ 6,000\\ 5,600\\ 4,500\\ 4,700\\ 6,300\\ \end{array}$	525,000 504,700 422,100 340,800 322,500 278,900 276,700 278,300 273,300 273,700 257,200 247,600 258,300	$\begin{array}{c} 23\cdot 80\\ 23\cdot 46\\ 20\cdot 82\\ 18\cdot 45\\ 17\cdot 77\\ 17\cdot 06\\ 16\cdot 26\\ 16\cdot 27\\ 16\cdot 18\\ 16\cdot 33\\ 15\cdot 56\\ 15\cdot 36\\ 15\cdot 68\end{array}$	546,800 606,900 559,800 451,600 360,000 339,300 290,600 288,700 288,700 286,200 286,000 273,400 255,300	$\begin{array}{c} 13\cdot 63\\ 19\cdot 38\\ 20\cdot 42\\ 19\cdot 81\\ 17\cdot 10-\\ 15\cdot 10\\ 14\cdot 24\\ 13\cdot 81\\ 12\cdot 98\\ 13\cdot 16\\ 12\cdot 98\\ 13\cdot 09\\ 12\cdot 41\\ 12\cdot 23\\ 12\cdot 68\\ 12\cdot 33\end{array}$	ce walerway roject
1922 4th May to 10th May. 19th May to 25th May. 2nd June to 8th June. 17th June to 23rd June. 1st July to 7th July. 7th July to 23rd July. 11st July to 6th August. 5th August to 21st August.	468,000 430,300 356,800 342,000 367,800 317,200 301,100 289,300	$\begin{array}{c} 31,600\\ 23,400\\ 17,400\\ 20,300\\ 21,100\\ 14,900\\ 10,500\\ 8,300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,500\\ 3,200\\ 3,800\\ 19,900\\ 13,600\\ 2,700\\ 2,500\\ 3,500 \end{array}$	$56,200 \\ 37,600 \\ 24,100 \\ 23,300 \\ 24,300 \\ 20,500 \\ 20,600 \\ 16,200 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,400\\ 4,300\\ 2,800\\ 2,700\\ 2,800\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 2,400\\ \end{array}$	$15,800 \\ 11,700 \\ 8,700 \\ 10,200 \\ 10,600 \\ 7,500 \\ 5,300 \\ 4,200 $	$18,700 \\ 12,500 \\ 8,000 \\ 7,800 \\ 8,100 \\ 6,800 \\ 6,900 \\ 5,400 $	508,900 458,800 376,300 362,700 389,300 333,800 315,700	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \cdot 47 \\ 21 \cdot 76 \\ 19 \cdot 40 \\ 20 \cdot 07 \\ 19 \cdot 47 \\ 17 \cdot 81 \\ 17 \cdot 60 \end{array}$	$563,300\\494,500\\402,100\\405,500\\425,800\\355,300\\334,700\\317,300$	$\begin{array}{c} 19\cdot73\\ 17\cdot91\\ 15\cdot92\\ 17\cdot45\\ 16\cdot20\\ 14\cdot53\\ 14\cdot48\\ 13\cdot81 \end{array}$	

TABLE No. 4.-SHOWING DERIVATION OF DISCHARGE STAGE RELATIONS AT VARENNES AND SOREL

-

30th August to 5th September.13th September to 19th September.25th September to 4th October.13th October to 19th October.28th October to 3rd November.	$\begin{array}{c} 279,900\\ 273,600\\ 265,100\\ 263,400\\ 254,700 \end{array}$	$5,900 \\ 4,800 \\ 3,600 \\ 3,600 \\ 1,700$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,700\\ 2,300\\ 1,900\\ 2,700\\ 3,200 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,700\\ 30,300\\ 18,000\\ 28,200\\ 19,400 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,000\\ 3,500\\ 2,100\\ 3,200\\ 2,200\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,000\\ 2,400\\ 1,800\\ 1,800\\ 900 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,900\\ 10,100\\ 6,000\\ 9,400\\ 6,500\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 290,800\\ 289,600\\ 276,000\\ 277,800\\ 264,300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16{\cdot}80\\ 16{\cdot}58\\ 16{\cdot}19\\ 16{\cdot}00\\ 15{\cdot}73 \end{array}$	306,200 311,000 288,600 297,900 279,000	$ \begin{array}{r} 13.64 \\ 13.43 \\ 13.00 \\ 12.83 \\ 12.59 \end{array} $
1921 30th April to 6th May	$\begin{array}{c} 434,000\\ 401,200\\ 347,200\\ 317,000\\ 295,300\\ 288,100\\ 288,100\\ 265,300\\ 265,300\\ 247,600\\ 251,400\\ 251,400\\ 251,400\\ 253,000\\ 253,000\\ 269,300\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,700\\ 15,100\\ 11,300\\ 7,100\\ 5,200\\ 4,600\\ 2,500\\ 2,100\\ 1,400\\ 200\\ 900\\ 900\\ 4,600\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,300\\ 2,600\\ 2,300\\ 2,300\\ 1,700\\ 1,800\\ 1,700\\ 2,100\\ 1,900\\ 1,900\\ 1,800\\ 3,700\\ 3,200\\ 2,400\\ 6,700 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .113,600\\ 33,600\\ 21,400\\ 25,200\\ 17,600\\ 19,600\\ 18,900\\ 15,000\\ 12,300\\ 12,300\\ 22,600\\ 32,700\\ 45,300\\ 19,900\\ 22,500\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,000\\ 3,800\\ 2,400\\ 2,900\\ 2,200\\ 2,200\\ 1,700\\ 1,400\\ 4,600\\ 3,700\\ 3,200\\ 2,300\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,400\\ 7,600\\ 5,700\\ 2,600\\ 2,300\\ 1,900\\ 1,300\\ 1,100\\ 700\\ 100\\ 500\\ 500\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37,900\\ 11,200\\ 7,100\\ 8,400\\ 5,900\\ 6,500\\ 6,500\\ 4,100\\ 7,500\\ 10,900\\ 15,100\\ 6,600 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 495,300\\ 423,800\\ 362,400\\ 331,900\\ 299,100\\ 299,100\\ 294,400\\ 281,300\\ 272,900\\ 258,400\\ 266,100\\ 282,900\\ 262,400\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22 \cdot 76 \\ 20 \cdot 87 \\ 18 \cdot 89 \\ 18 \cdot 25 \\ 17 \cdot 35 \\ 17 \cdot 13 \\ 16 \cdot 81 \\ 16 \cdot 52 \\ 16 \cdot 21 \\ 15 \cdot 56 \\ 15 \cdot 56 \\ 15 \cdot 94 \\ 16 \cdot 67 \\ 15 \cdot 81 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 573, 600\\ 452, 500\\ 382, 200\\ 351, 600\\ 319, 800\\ 314, 100\\ 308, 400\\ 292, 900\\ 281, 600\\ 273, 400\\ 288, 000\\ 311, 500\\ 311, 500\\ 305, 100\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19\cdot 33 \\ 17\cdot 14 \\ 15\cdot 17 \\ 14\cdot 81 \\ 14\cdot 03 \\ 13\cdot 73 \\ 13\cdot 41 \\ 15\cdot 19 \\ 12\cdot 92 \\ 12\cdot 63 \\ 12\cdot 96 \\ 13\cdot 59 \\ 12\cdot 63 \\ 13\cdot 98 \end{array}$
1920 25th April to 1st May 11th May to 17th May. 25th May to 31st May. 9th June to 15th June. 24th June to 30th June. 2nd July to 29th July. 2nd July to 29th July. 6th August to 12th August. 21th August to 28th August. 20th August to 28th August. 20th September to 11th September. 20th September to 26th September. 4th October to 10th October. 20th October to 26th October. 3rd November to 9th November.	$\begin{array}{c} 389,800\\ 371,900\\ 314,000\\ 313,800\\ 295,900\\ 299,500\\ 299,500\\ 269,200\\ 269,200\\ 269,200\\ 261,900\\ 261,900\\ 261,300\\ 251,300\\ 271,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38,900\\ 30,300\\ 23,000\\ 15,600\\ 10,800\\ 9,800\\ 8,100\\ 5,900\\ 4,900\\ 3,700\\ 4,200\\ 7,300\\ 6,300\\ 6,600 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26,200\\ 6,100\\ 2,200\\ 2,200\\ 1,200\\ 2,300\\ 1,900\\ 1,300\\ 1,900\\ 1,900\\ 3,400\\ 4,300\\ 2,700\\ 6,900 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66,800\\ 60,300\\ 57,300\\ 34,700\\ 20,800\\ 30,000\\ 24,400\\ 15,400\\ 21,400\\ 14,900\\ 13,800\\ 16,700\\ 15,400\\ 21,900 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,600\\ 6,900\\ 6,800\\ 4,000\\ 2,400\\ 2,800\\ 1,800\\ 2,800\\ 1,700\\ 1,700\\ 1,500\\ 1,900\\ 1,800\\ 2,300 \end{array}$	$19,500\\15,200\\11,500\\7,800\\5,400\\4,900\\4,100\\3,000\\2,500\\1,900\\2,100\\3,700\\3,200\\3,300$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,300\\ 20,100\\ 19,800\\ 11,600\\ 6,900\\ 10,000\\ 5,100\\ 7,100\\ 5,000\\ 5,300\\ 5,600\\ 5,300\\ 5,600\\ 5,100\\ 7,300\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 439,200\\ 414,100\\ 382,100\\ 337,200\\ 310,600\\ 310,700\\ 314,500\\ 295,800\\ 277,800\\ 277,800\\ 277,800\\ 277,100\\ 278,300\\ 261,400\\ 284,100\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22\cdot 11\\ 20\cdot 66\\ 19\cdot 72\\ 18\cdot 24\\ 17\cdot 28\\ 17\cdot 41\\ 17\cdot 61\\ 17\cdot 00\\ 16\cdot 44\\ 16\cdot 56\\ 16\cdot 00\\ 16\cdot 74\\ 15\cdot 56\\ 16\cdot 56\\ 16\cdot 56\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 521,700\\ 469,600\\ 428,500\\ 366,300\\ 328,700\\ 334,300\\ 333,900\\ 308,500\\ 296,300\\ 289,700\\ 285,300\\ 295,400\\ 295,400\\ 275,700\\ 306,400 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19\cdot00\\ 17\cdot26\\ 16\cdot38\\ 14\cdot77\\ 13\cdot84\\ 14\cdot21\\ 14\cdot06\\ 13\cdot65\\ 13\cdot11\\ 13\cdot42\\ 12\cdot62\\ 13\cdot55\\ 12\cdot73\\ 13\cdot42\\ 13\cdot42\\ \end{array}$

-- 1

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

405

E

and a

APPENDIX E

ICE FORMATION ON THE ST. LAWRENCE AND OTHER RIVERS

1. When the problem of preparing plans for the improvement of the St. Lawrence river was first undertaken, particularly by the Canadian Government, about ten years ago, there was a great deficiency of basic data on which to predicate designs. Since that time systematic surveys have been made of ice covers, packs and gorges, as they occur, and as a result of these, much exact knowledge is now available. This data is presented in summary in this appendix.

2. ICE PRESSURE. In northern latitudes a solid covering of ice forms on quiet river and lake surfaces in winter. This melts away with the advent of warm weather. The thickness of ice cover varies with the coldness of the climate. A thickness of about 2.5 feet is found in latitude 45 and 5.5 feet in latitude 57 in the eastern half of North America. Sheet ice as formed on lakes and rivers is made up of great numbers of crystals standing with axes vertical and closely packed side by side. As the air with which ice is in contact changes in temperature from day to day, the temperature of ice on rivers and lakes changes also. In cases where the ice surface is free from snow, the amplitude of this change at mid depth is about one-half that of the air so long as the temperature of the air is below freezing. If an ice sheet is covered with snow this change in amplitude is less than one-half that of the air.

3. As ice heats and cools it expands and contracts. Daily expansion and contraction of ice sheets is noticeable on lakes and rivers in northern regions. In some cases cracks have been observed to open or close as much as ten feet in a period of several days and these usually occur in the same places year after year.

4. The coefficient of free unrestrained expansion of ice is given by many authorities as about .00004 per degree Fahrenheit change in ice temperature per unit of length. On this basis a sheet of ice one mile long, with a temperature change of 5 degrees, would expand or contract to the extent of one foot. Actually, movements of two feet per mile have been observed at free ends of ice sheets on large lakes and rivers during extreme changes of weather. On small lakes and rivers, the movement of the ice is believed to be restrained by the shores at least to a sufficient extent to prevent it being much noticed.

5. There are records of failure of some light dams and structures which were due to ice action but the fact that dams of dimensions not sufficient to resist theoretical ice action are in place, proves that the full crushing strength of ice is not applied to them.

6. In order to set up a more definite value for probable ice pressure on dams, a series of tests were carried out by Professor Ernest Brown of McGill University and the engineering staff of the Department of Railways and Canals in the winter of 1925-26. These show that sheets of ice flow or slowly change their shape as soon as subjected to pressures in excess of about 100 pounds per square inch. A special report giving details of tests made in this connection is given in appendix "F".

7. In view of the foregoing, the Board has reached the conclusion that ice pressure will not exceed 22,000 pounds per linear foot on the upstream side of dams under weather conditions to be expected in the St. Lawrence region.

8. ICE FORMATION IN RAPID WATER. As is well known, the precipitous rapids of northern rivers remain open in winter and solid smooth ice covers form on the gently flowing sections; thus, open and closed conditions alternate with one another. The laws or conditions governing the location of the boundaries between an open and closed surface are not well known. Observations of the behaviour of rapids and open stretches of river show that they are subjected to much cooling in winter, but they do not freeze over because the ice crystals formed in preserving the heat equilibrium, attach themselves to the bottom or are carried off by the turbulent water before they have time to connect to one another or bridge the stream. As the water with its burden of ice moves downstream it ultimately reaches a river or lake expansion where its velocity and turbulence moderate and where the ice and slush move quietly on its surface. Under these conditions ice bridges form across the river or lake and then the pack, as it is called, advances upstream until it reaches a point where the velocity becomes so great that ice is carried under the surface of the pack and is deposited there in the form of a "hanging dam". These hanging dams continue to increase in length as long as the temperature of the air is below about 20 degrees Fahrenheit, or while snow is falling and as long as large open surfaces remain in the river above. As soon, however, as the temperature of the air rises above 20 degrees Fahrenheit or the area of the water surface exposed above reduces in size, the length and steepness of the water slope through these dams becomes less, and in the warm weather of approaching spring the jam melts away. The formation of an ice cover on a stream acts as a blanket and prevents the formation of frazil in the water beneath.

9. Sometimes ice gorges cause the inundation of large areas above them as in the vicinity of Montreal and sometimes they greatly reduce the flow of water as in the St. Clair river.

10. EFFECT ON POWER IMPROVEMENT. In the improvement of northern rivers for power it is usually possible to establish water surface levels high enough to secure low velocities and eliminate or reduce to small proportions all water surface areas remaining open in winter. This opportunity is generally available because most rivers have deep wide valleys with small winter flows compared with those of summer.

11. Much difficulty is found in reducing open water areas on the St. Lawrence river to small proportions. The river carries a large winter flow which must be maintained, the river valley is relatively narrow and the water level at the head of the rapids sections cannot be raised on account of property values involved in flooding.

12. As a consequence of this situation a number of investigations were made to determine the facts with regard to the following matters:—

- (a) Conditions under which smooth ice covers, ice packed covers, and hanging dams may be expected to form.
- (b) The amount of ice formed by a given open water exposure in a given locality.
- (c) The loss in head due to ice covers and packs of various kinds, or the effect of such packs on the flow of water under them.

13. FACTORS AFFECTING ICE COVERS. Whether an ice cover forms or does not form across a river depends upon the temperature of the air, the temperature of the water, the velocity of the wind, and the velocity and turbulence of the water.

14. Actual observations at a number of points on the St. Lawrence show little variation in what takes place at a given point from year to year. For

instance, an ice cover always forms on lake St. Louis at a point where the average velocity is about one foot per second and gradually makes downstream to a point where the average velocity is close to two feet per second. An ice cover forms at the lower end of lake St. Peter at a point where the average velocity is from 1.0 to 1.25 feet per second. At the foot of Vercheres Island where the average velocity of the water is about 1.4 feet per second, ice covers do not form until the ice pack reaches this point from below. At other points on the river, such as the sections at Croil island, Cat island and at Drummond island, ice covers do form at from 1.30 to 1.40 feet per second, under extremely cold weather conditions. After an ice cover has started in quiet water near shore it will extend into swifter water. The actual surface velocities along the edge of an ice sheet have been observed to be as high as 2.5 feet per second.

15. The term "average velocity" as herein used is the velocity determined by dividing the river discharge by the area of the cross section at the water level. The term "surface velocity", where used, is the observed velocity determined by surface floats. The surface velocity at a section may be as much as 50 per cent in excess of the average velocity.

16. It is not probable that an ice cover would always form on a section of the St. Lawrence early in winter unless provision is made for reduction of the average velocity in the section to about 1.25 feet per second.

17. After ice covers are formed and attain some thickness it is found that average velocities can be increased up to 2.5 feet per second without danger of breaking up the ice sheet. This is current practice in the operation of power canals in the St. Lawrence district.

18. Near the immediate outlet of large lake expansions and in some rivers in Ontario large openings or air holes are sometimes found where the velocity is below one foot per second. This phenomenon is apparently caused by heat accumulated remaining in the water underneath the ice. Not many cases of this are found in the St. Lawrence where the velocity is so low, but the phenomenon is noticeable at the outlet of Rice lake on the River Trent and in other places.

19. In stretches of river where average velocities exceed 1.40 feet per second, ice covers will not form from shore to shore but after a bridge is formed below, ice and slush will pack upstream against an average velocity up to 2.25 feet per second without the floating slush or crystals being carried underneath the advancing ice bridge. This fact permits channels of reasonable size to be used for power works in northern latitudes, and is of economic importance in reducing the cost of improving rivers to obtain the power available in them.

20. The formation of the ice pack which forms each winter at the foot of lake St. Peter and gradually builds up to Montreal has been watched for many years, because it furnishes information of special value in connection with ice packs. Gauges were established in this stretch of river twelve years ago and water level records are available which show the change in slope which occurs in this reach as the ice pack advances from day to day.

21. From the above records and direct observations, the conditions under which the ice pack failed to advance have been clearly defined. If slush or frazil is carried underneath an ice bridge and is deposited in the form of a hanging dam its presence is reflected in steep slopes which continue throughout the winter. If the ice bridge advances without slush or frazil being carried underneath the cover, the section will not show any slush in place and surface slopes in succeeding winters will be moderate and uniform.

22. The observed data are shown on table No. 1. This table shows that frazil is likely to be carried under the ice cover and deposited if the average

velocity exceeds 2.25 feet per second, but is not ordinarily carried under unless the velocity exceeds that figure. The section chosen at Lanoraie is one in which the conditions are as adverse as can be expected anywhere.

23. On account of the need for reliable information on this matter an effort has been made to obtain corroborative data in other parts of the river. This search has only been partly successful as no other section is available which is naturally suited to furnishing such information. In the International Section of the St. Lawrence river and on the Niagara river, records show ice packs advancing upstream under velocities which may vary from 2.4 to 3.2 feet per second depending upon the temperature of the air and the amount of frazil and slush ice carried in the water (table 2). These velocities may also depend to some extent on the crookedness of the river as records in general show higher velocities at the head of advancing packs in the International Section than in the St. Lawrence below Montreal. Records also show the average velocity of the water at the point where deposits of frazil and slush cease at the lower ends of hanging dams to be about 2 feet per second. It is probable that some ice is generally carried under sections when the ice pack is advancing, but obviously the point where it would cease to be carried under is near at hand else the pack would not advance. Again, the fact that water does not carry ice under a cover at a velocity less than 2 feet per second suggests that velocities of less than 2.25 feet per second would not cause it to submerge. Records of receding ice jam is during the breakup period (table 3) indicate that the average velocity of the water at the head of the jam in these cases varies from 2.2 to 2.5 feet per second.

24. The deduction made from this information is that an average velocity of less than 2.25 feet per second must be provided to ensure an unobstructed section, especially in mild weather immediately following cold periods.

25. LIMITING VELOCITIES FOR ADVANCE OF ICE PACKS. In the improvement of the St. Lawrence it is important to define conditions under which a stretch of river will remain open and free from ice covers of all kinds. River channels were cross-sectioned in winter and re-cross-sectioned in summer; flows were metered in winter and in summer, and every effort was made to ascertain the truth in each case which appeared to furnish typical information. A variation is found in the velocity and temperature required to produce a bridge in different sections of the river. This is shown by table 2.

26. An examination of data accumulated shows that with velocities between 2.7 and 3.3 feet per second ice covers, if formed, will go and come with changes of weather but, with velocities in excess of about 3.3 feet per second, surfaces will generally remain open under all winter conditions on the St. Lawrence.

27. RATES OF ICE PRODUCTION. In addition to determining the water velocity conditions under which ice covers and packs of various kinds are formed, the volume of ice in the form of frazil made by a given exposure to cold is important because it is not always possible to arrange for the whole of a river to be ice covered. Two methods for determining this volume are available.

28. The actual contents of hanging dams in lake St. Louis, lake St. Francis, and above Croil island have been measured by cross-sections under the ice at these points. The measurements made when related to the water surface exposed show the production of from 8 to 15 cubic feet of ice per square foot of exposure. These variations depend upon the place of measurement and the coldness of the winter in the year in question.

29. Another method of arriving at the volume of ice formed is by the establishment of the rate at which a water surface loses heat previous to its being cooled down to the freezing point in the fall of each year and the application of the rate found to later exposures. The temperature of both air and water was recorded at Kingston, Brockville, Drummond Island, Dickinson's Landing, Cornwall, Hamilton island and Coteau, for periods of about two months previous to the actual formation of ice in the years 1924 and 1925.

30. By relating heat losses to differences in temperature found between air and water, the rate of transfer of heat between surfaces was established with a fair degree of accuracy. An examination of the statement attached (table 10) shows that this rate may be taken at about 95 British Thermal Units transferred per day per square foot per degree difference in temperature between air and water, and is independent of the character of the river sections in question. That is, the surface of rapids, the surface of lakes and the surface of smooth sections of river all give about the same cooling coefficient or rate of heat transfer.

31. As shown from an inspection of diagrams which have been prepared, the coefficient derived from these measurements is affected in some degree by snowfall, rainfall and wind. A correction for the effect of snowfall and rainfall has been made in the results given but the effect of wind cannot easily be taken into account. As its effect is small compared to the general difference in temperature between air and water it may be disregarded in the use of this data.

32. As one pound of ice is formed by water at 32° Fahr. giving up 144 British Thermal Units, the total amount of ice formed by a given length of the river in a given time can be approximately determined from temperature records. During the winter of 1924-25, for a period of 80 days the average temperature of the air in the vicinity of Montreal was 17.6° Fahr. below the freezing point, making an aggregate of 1,410 degree days. Taking the cooling coefficient of 95 British Thermal Units per degree day given in paragraph 30, it will be found that this exposure accounts for 16.3 cubic feet of ice per square foot of surface. Actually, 14.4 cubic feet of slush per square foot of surface exposed was found by measurement under the solid ice cover at the head of lake St. Louis at the end of that winter, as shown on table 4. Similarly, in the year 1923 the water surface area exposed in the vicinity of Ogdensburg was subjected to 1,246 degree days of freezing which should form theoretically 14.2 cubic feet per square foot of surface exposed. Cross-section measurements made at the head of lake St. Francis show a deposit of 13.0 cubic feet per square foot of surface exposed between lake St. Francis and Ogdensburg. Other measurements in other years indicate similar relations, as shown on table 4.

33. An approximation of the volume of ice formed by a given exposure can also be made from the rate at which ice packs make upstream from Lanoraie to Longue Pointe below Montreal in zero weather. If cold weather comes on gradually in winter lake St. Peter freezes over a few days before lake St. Louis or lake St. Francis and the area of water at the freezing point can be approximated from temperature measurements at a number of points in this section of the river.

34. In the year 1925-26 specially good means were provided for estimating the area forming ice because lake St. Francis in that year froze three days before lake St. Louis, and lake St. Louis was open while the pack advanced from Lanoraie to Longue Pointe. In that year the temperature of the water coming down the river reached the freezing point at Cedars about the time the ice pack reached Sorel coming up, but a high west wind kept lake St. Louis open while the pack advanced up stream to Vicker's dry-dock, just below Montreal. The

actual travel of the pack upstream during the two days with 27 degrees of freezing was fifteen miles. With ice taken as fifteen inches thick 25,500,000 cubic yards would be formed or accumulated in one day in this section of the river. This gives about the same volume as is derived by the use of 95 as the cooling coefficient and 77 square miles as the area of surface exposed at that time.

35. An inspection of tables No. 5 and 6 indicates that the degree days of freezing to which water surfaces are exposed in the vicinity of Kingston, after they reach a freezing temperature, is only about 80 per cent, and at Ogdensburg 90 per cent of that to which similar areas are exposed at Montreal. This difference is due to the moderating influence of lake Ontario on the temperatures of both air and water in the upper river as well as to differences in latitude.

36. The general seasonal variations in temperature of the air and water all along the St. Lawrence from lake Ontario to Montreal are shown in a number of diagrams which are attached to this Appendix (plates 1 and 2). These show the manner in which the great volume of water held in lake Ontario lengthens the season of open water to a decreasing extent all the way down the river from Kingston to Montreal. On account of the proximity of lake Ontario water, temperatures opposite Kingston at the beginning of winter are still 9 degrees above the freezing point when the inflow from the Ottawa river at the head of lake St. Louis reaches the freezing point. The temperature of the water at Kingston is generally about 6 degrees above the freezing point when the water at the foot of lake St. Peter, 65 miles below Montreal, reaches the freezing point. Usually ice begins to form opposite Kingston at the head of the St. Lawrence about sixteen days after the ice begins to form on lake St. Peter below Montreal and almost a month after ice begins to form on lake of Two Mountains at the outlet of the Ottawa river.

37. Early in the spring of the year, warmer water from the depths of lake Ontario makes itself felt and ice generally disappears in the stretch of river above Ogdensburg about two weeks before a through channel is available at the head of lake St. Louis and lake St. Peter. However, as soon as lake St. Louis and lake St. Peter are clear of ice the temperature of the water at these points rises rapidly and is soon found to be higher than that flowing out of lake Ontario. Throughout the early summer months the temperature of the water downstream from lake Ontario is tolerably uniform at all points.

38. As a consequence of the above conditions the winter or ice-covered period in the St. Lawrence at the head of the International section is about one month shorter than that of the river in the vicinity of Montreal.

39. In addition to considering the amount of frazil created by a given exposure, consideration should be given to the fact that water which flows for any great length of time underneath an ice cover, even in winter, accumulates a certain amount of heat from some source. Temperature measurements of the water at the foot of lake St. Francis and at the foot of Bergan lake show that the water flowing out of these ice-covered sections is about 0.03 of a degree warmer than freezing throughout the whole winter period from the time the ice is formed until soft slush makes its appearance on the surface of the ice in March. Measurements also show the temperature of the water under the ice is about 0.16 of a degree warmer than freezing opposite Clayton and 0.08 of a degree warmer than freezing at Prescott during the coldest part of the winter. This heat has an important bearing on the design of works, especially at Galop rapids. If the flow of the river in winter be taken at 200,000 cfs. and the average temperature of the weather as 20 degrees below freezing, it will require an exposure of 45,000,000 square feet to cool the water to the freezing point.

This means that three miles of open water may exist at this point and yet no frazil on the average accumulate, as cold weather is always succeeded by warmer spells and the average temperature for winter months seldom falls below $+12^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit.

40. SLOPES THROUGH ICE COVERED SECTIONS. Gauge relations show that even the smoothest forms of ice covers impose resistance to the flow of water in the sections which they cover. This is easily seen by comparison of summer and winter slopes between Summerstown and Coteau, on lake St. Francis, Ottawa and Grenville, on the Ottawa river, Peterborough and Hastings, on the Trent, and the slopes in certain canals where the discharge is known.

41. The data gathered with regard to the resistance of this form of ice cover indicate that it is comparable to the resistance of concrete surfaces. In canals where a value of "M" in Bazin formula, of 4.0 satisfied summer conditions a value of 2.3 will satisfy winter conditions, the ice cover being taken as part of the wetted perimeter. A value of "M"=1.0 averaged with the value established for open water conditions will give its value close enough for practical purposes.

42. The resistance to flow caused by an ice cover formed by the accumulation of slush and frazil at the head of an advancing ice bridge is of great importance in the design of the St. Lawrence Project, and elaborate arrangements were made to establish values for this form of resistance.

43. Special gauges were established at Varennes, Repentigny and Lavaltrie on the St. Lawrence river below Montreal. These were read winter and summer for two years and slopes were related to discharges derived from gauges farther up river. Through this section of the river no deposits of frazil are found and average summer velocities vary from 2 to 2.6 feet per second while winter velocities vary from 1.3 to 1.6 feet per second, depending upon the state and discharge of the river. From these relations and actual cross-sections of the river made winter and summer, values of "M" in the Bazin formula were obtained. These are shown on table No. 7.

44. Gauge readings between Lanoraie and Sorel and discharge relations were also used to determine values for these years in which it was apparent no frazil or slush was carried into the section (table 8). The values obtained in this way check closely with those obtained in the section first described. In this reach velocities vary from 2 to 3.4 feet per second in summer, to 1.6 to 2 feet per second in winter.

45. The data above described indicate that winter slopes on the St. Lawrence river may safely be figured with a value of "M" in the Bazin formula taken as the average between that applicable to summer conditions and 5.5 for January and 4.5 for February and March. All the values of "M" derived from gauge readings show a gradual smoothing of the ice cover as the season advances from the time it is first formed until it begins to melt out in the month of March.

46. The foregoing results apply to ice covers when formed as a packed surface without hanging deposits. The slopes occurring when all kinds of ice are carried underneath the section and lodged in the form of hanging dams, jams or gorges require consideration.

47. A number of ice jams or gorges occur on the St. Lawrence each winter. One of these is at the head of lake St. Francis; one is at the head of lake St. Louis; and one is opposite the city of Montreal between the foot of Lachine rapids and Longue Pointe. In addition to these, occasional jams occur between Morrisburg and Croil island and in the Niagara river.

48. The gorge at the head of lake St. Francis has been watched with care for a number of years and slopes obtained in this section are interesting but, as the river is divided at this point by Cornwall island, deductions from records must be made with care.

49. The gorges which occurred in the river between Morrisburg and the foot of Croil island were especially instructive. Those which occurred at this point in 1887 and in 1905 also furnish information of value, though the records of these jams are not complete. When the jam of 1923 occurred the Department of Railways and Canals placed a large staff of men at recording the phenomena, and records of great value were obtained.

50. In 1925 an extensive gorge occurred in the lower Niagara river. This jam was especially instructive in view of the straight uniform character of the river. The water level at the head of this jam and the volume of the ice in the section were carefully determined by surveys carried out by the Department of Railways and Canals.

51. The surface slopes opposite Montreal have been recorded for a number of years. Many cross-sections of jams near Montreal were made by the Montreal Flood Commission in 1887. The gorge at the head of lake St. Louis was cross-sectioned by the staff of the Canadian section of this Board in 1925.

52. From the surface irregularity of ice jams it might appear that no prediction could be made as to the form which such jams take or as to the slope of the water surface flowing through them. Many cross-sections, however, disclose the fact that these hanging dams tend to assume a definite shape with ribbons of clear water of uniform sectional area flowing underneath the jam.

53. Just after an ice movement or a consolidation of a jam the underlying ribbon of water is often irregular but it soon changes to the typical and regular form. The average velocity of the water in the resultant section is generally about three feet per second but does reach four feet per second in some cases and also falls to two feet per second at the foot of gorges in mild weather.

Typical sections of jams are shown on plates 12 and 13.

54. Observations of gorges during formation show that frequently there is a series of pushes in the upper part of the gorge in which the cover at the head is telescoped and on-coming ice from the upper part plunges under the lower part in a continuous stream which sometimes keeps moving for a full day at a time. These partly compressed coverings of ice in pushing down the river bend around curves and change their shape with difficulty. Ice coverings appear to make upstream against higher velocities in crooked channels than they do in straight reaches.

55. The observed slopes of the St. Lawrence through ice jams are shown on table 9. These are plotted on plate No. 7. This plate shows that surface slope in feet per mile is always greater after heavy snowfalls than even during periods of intensely cold weather.

56. Records as plotted on plates 3 to 6 show that the advent of moderate weather succeeding cold periods or periods of snowfall always produces some lowering of water level at the head of the jam. These often show a rise in the lower portions of the jam indicating a movement of ice from the upper to the lower parts. Continuous moderate weather also produces openings at specially narrow points in the river. These openings, when they break out, generally show velocities in excess of 7 fet per second and in some cases velocities as high as 9 feet per second. This shows that, for a time at least, the ice deposited in a jam or gorge will resist velocities as great as 7 feet per second.

57. Plate No. 7 shows that in general the slope of an ice jam can be taken at about 1.6 feet per mile if there is no snow and very little curvature in the river,

while a slope of about 2.7 feet per mile under the same conditions will maintain with recent snowfall. This diagram also shows that if the river is so crooked that it turns 120 degrees per mile, a slope of about 3 feet per mile will be set up in ordinary winter weather by an ice jam and 4.6 feet per mile in such a reach after a snowfall. What slope would be set up if by some chance the water level at the foot of a jam should be lowered is not known and there seems to be no way of determining it.

58. The fact that open slits break out at narrow points in the river with velocities of 7 to 9 feet per second indicates that such velocities are close to the maximum to be expected under ice jams under any conditions. Further indications of the truth of this statement are given in the fact that certain power canals which operate without ice covers find velocities of about 7 feet per second much more satisfactory than velocities of 4 feet per second, because velocities of 7 feet per second prevent adherence of anchor ice to the floor of the canal.

59. In addition to the diagrams shown on plates 3 to 6 many others have been prepared which show changes in water level from day to day at various points in the jams as these form below the Lachine rapids, at Montreal, and at the foot of the Long Sault rapids and at the head of lake St. Francis. Strangely, the highest winter levels opposite Montreal are associated with warm, not cold, winters. This is due to the fact that in warm winters a channel remains open through La Prairie Basin until a late date and large amounts of ice periodically move down from there into the section below Montreal, filling that section of the river with frazil and chuck ice before the advent of spring brings down the final consignment from La Prairie Basin in the breakup period.

60. In summary, the conclusions arrived at by the Board as a result of this study may be stated as follows:—

- 1. Sheets of ice in the latitude of the St. Lawrence River may, under certain conditions, exert a pressure of about 22,000 pounds per linear foot of dam.
- 2. Smooth ice covers may be expected to form in rivers with velocities up to 1.25 feet per second in zero weather provided there is no high wind preventing such action.
- 3. Ice covers may be expected to pack upstream up to a velocity of 2.25 feet per second without danger of ice going under the cover.
- 4. Water surface slopes through ice jams on the St. Lawrence river can be taken as 1.6 feet per mile if there is no snow and 2.7 feet with recent snowfall if the stretch is comparatively straight.
- 5. The amount of frazil to be expected from a given area of water exposed to cooling action of air can be calculated from the following formula: Volume of ice formed per day=95 x Aver. Diff. in temperature between air and water x sq. ft. of water exposed divided by 144 x 57.4.
 6. For obtaining winter slopes under ice covers formed by packing upstream,
- 6. For obtaining winter slopes under ice covers formed by packing upstream, the value of "M" in the Bazin formula may be taken as 5.5 for January and 4.5 for February and March, averaged with ordinary values applicable to the stretch in question in summer, the wetted perimeter being taken as including the ice cover.

Prepared by D. W. McLachlan.

Adopted by Board, July 5, 1927.

TABLE I—ICE FORMATION CONDITIONS BETWEEN LANORAIE AND SOREL ON THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER (SECTION TAKEN AS 110,700 SQUARE FEET AT 12.6 AT LANORAIE)

Date	Tempera- ture of air	Drop in water level after bridge formed	Average velocity derived from Grenville and Lock 25	Average velocity derived from Coteau and Grenville	Average velocity derived from Montreal Aqueduct and Des Prairies River
EI LOL	feet	feet per sec.	feet per sec.	feet per sec.	feet per sec.
Dec. 25, 1912 Jan. 11, 1913 Jan. 9, 1913 Jan. 3, 1916	$\begin{array}{cccc} & +20^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ +32^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ +5^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ +25^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \cdot 9 \end{array}$	$2.56 \\ 2.33 \\ 2.40 \\ 2.02$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.60 \\ \dots \\ 2.43 \\ \text{(Not} \\ \text{representa-tive)} \end{array}$	2.57 2.31 2.27 heavy local rain
Jan. 6, 1916	+40°F.	5.9	(Not repre-	2.07	$2 \cdot 24 + rain$
Dec. 19, 1916 Dec. 11, 1917 Jan. 4, 1919 Dec. 18, 1919 Dec. 17, 1924	$\begin{array}{ccc} & +14^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ & & & 0^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ & & & +12^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ & & & +10^{\circ}\mathrm{F}, \\ & & & & +10^{\circ}\mathrm{F}. \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \cdot 0 \\ 3 \cdot 6 \\ 3 \cdot 9 \\ 4 \cdot 0 \\ 2 \cdot 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 25 \\ 2 \cdot 28 \\ 2 \cdot 35 \\ 2 \cdot 74 \\ 2 \cdot 24 \end{array}$	2·26 2·41 2·43	2.332.282.472.492.38

Statement Showing Conditions under which Frazil was carried under the Ice Cover

Statement Showing Conditions under which Ice Covers formed without Frazil being carried under the Ice Cover

Dec. 22, 19213°F. 1.6 2.34	Dec. Dec. Dec.	16, 27, 22,	1914 1920 1921	+5°F. -3°F. -3°F.	$2 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 7 \\ 1 \cdot 6$	2·36 2·34		$2.03 \\ 2.28 \\ 2.10$	
----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------	--	------------------------	--

Statement Showing Conditions under which only very Slight Amounts of Frazil were carried under Ice Cover

Dec. Dec.	28, 1925 17, 1924	: + 9°F. +11°F.	$2.6 \\ 2.6$	2.24	2.43	$2 \cdot 26$ $2 \cdot 38$	

Location	Date	Tempera- ture of air	Water level	Area of Section sq. ft.	Q. 1 Discnarge C.F.S.	V. Velocity in ft. per second at head of pacl	Remarks
Crysler Monument. Lock 23. Doran Island. Weavers Point. Halfway Weavers Pt. to Bradford Pt Willard Creek. Bradford Point. Hoasic Creek. Lock 23. Cooks Point. Cornwall Island. Massena Point. Polly's Gut. 4,000 ft. east of N.Y.O. railway bridge """ Massena Point.	February 9, 1905 February 7, 1905 February 7, 1905 February 8, 1923 February 8, 1923 February 9, 1923 February 9, 1923 February 15, 1923 February 15, 1923 February 8, 1922 January 11, 1922 January 11, 1922 January 21, 1922 January 21, 1922 January 21, 1922 January 24, 1926 January 24, 1926 January 25, 1926 February 27, 1925 February 27, 1925	+4.2°F. 0.4 -2.0°F. +3.0 -2.3 -2.3 +9.0 +6.7 +22.5 -5°F. +10°F. -10°F. on 22nd +20°F. on 23rd & 24th 10°F. 7°F.	$\begin{array}{c} 219 \cdot 0 \\ 219 \cdot 0 \\ 223 \cdot 7 \\ \hline \\ 215 \cdot 5 \\ 215 \cdot 5 \\ 219 \cdot 0 \\ \hline \\ 220 \cdot 0 \\ 221 \cdot 5 \\ 215 \cdot 5 \\ 156 \cdot 4 \\ 168 \cdot 0 \\ 167 \cdot 0 \\ 167 \cdot 0 \\ 167 \cdot 0 \\ 167 \cdot 0 \\ 159 \cdot 5 \\ 159 \cdot 3 \\ \hline \\ 169 \cdot 5 \\ 166 \cdot 8 \\ 177 \cdot 2 \\ 177 \cdot 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 75,000\\ 64,000\\ 66,000\\ 64,000\\ 65,000\\ 63,500\\ 67,200\\ 63,900\\ 55,000\\ 61,600\\ 64,400\\ 66,700\\ 65,000\\ 65,000\\ 58,000\\ 71,600\\ 71,600\\ 71,600\\ 71,600\\ 63,500\\ 54,800\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 206,000\\ 206,000\\ 106,000\\ 190,000\\ 190,000\\ 196,000\\ 196,000\\ 196,000\\ 176,500\\ 176,000\\ 176,000\\ 187,000\\ 181,000\\ 181,000\\ 181,000\\ 181,000\\ 181,000\\ 183,000\\ 192,000\\ 192,000\\ 163,000\\ 163,000\\ 140,000\\ 152,000\\ \end{array}$	2.74 3.22 3.12 3.22 2.93 3.00 2.92 3.07 3.22 2.86 2.95 2.81 2.79 3.10 2.70x 2.70x 2.70x 2.70x 2.56x 2.56x 2.56x 2.78	Estimated mild, average of 2 sections. Observed next day, probably O.K. Too high, some water—Barnhart Island packed through. Sections appear to be about 1,000 feet too large.

TABLE No. 2.—STATEMENT SHOWING CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ICE BRIDGES OR PACKS HAVE ADVANCED ON ST LAWERNCE RIVER

416

6.2

Lawrence Waterway
Location .	Date	Tempera- ture of air	Water level	Area of section sq. ft.	Q. Discharge C.F.S.	V. Velocity in feet per second at head of pack	Remarks	
Lock 19	February 29, 1924	+28°F.	171.0	83,000	194,000	2.34	REPRS LE LITE	
Cornwall Island	January 29, 1924	+24°F.	159.5	70,000	188,000	2.70	LE LI LELI LE MARK	
Cornwall Island	January 4, 1925	+32°F.	156.9	64,400	180,000	2.80		7S
Cornwall Island	January 7, 1925	+38°F.	160.0	71,000	176,000	2.45	Opened South Cornwall Island and stayed	
G	10 1005	Tro	150.0	07 000	100.000	0.11	open.	F
Cornwall Island	January 19, 1925	- 3°F.	158.0	67,000	163,000	2.44	Stayed open.	a
South Cornwall Island	January 21, 1925	+25°F.	157.5	64,200	164,000	2.00	r med a second time.	LO.
Willard Creek	April 9, 1923		218.0	60,200	209,000	3.10		0.
LOCK 23.	April 9, 1923		221.0	00,000	209,000	3.40	THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A	no
Goose Neck Island	April 8, 1923		218.0	75,000	209,000	2.11	and the second sec	9.
Below Lock 23	April 9, 1923		221.0	71,000	209,000	2.12	88388	-
Below weavers Foint	April 11, 1925		105 4	14,900	141 000	2.94	COOCO IL COOCO IL COOCO	R
Maggana Point	January 51, 1925		171.0	60,500	174 000	2.52	- 88535 P	at
Relow Lanorajo	December 11 1886	Mild	20.0	132 000	335 000	2.52	Allows for average of 10 sections and	en
Delow Danorale	December 11, 1000	10 Hu	20.0	102,000	000,000	2 02	winter retardation	2.
Victoria Bridge	March 31, 1925		44.5	140,000	352,000	2.50	Allows for winter retardation and piers of bridges.	Day
Victoria Bridge	April 23, 1887		47.0	167,000	396,000	2.43		H
Ile Ronde	April 25, 1887		40.0	152,000	405,000	2.66	Perhaps some water comes in from tributaries near La Prairie	ro
Moffet Island	April 1 1925		45.0	160 000	352 000	2.20	fridation nour inter a runno.	je
Fort St Holon's Island	April 22 1887		46.4	181 000	396,000	2.20	Breakun	ct
1 016 Dt. 1101011 S 1514114			10 1	101,000	000,000	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		

TABLE No. 3.—STATEMENT SHOWING CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ICE BRIDGES OR PACKS HAVE RECEDED ON ST. LAWRENCE RIVER

1.8

Place	Aroa	Volume of Degre		days of zing	Volume formed per sq. foot of exposure		
Place	exposed	frazil or slush	Montreal	Ogdens- burg	By actual measure- ment	Calculated with cooling coefficient of 95 cu. ft.	
	sq.ft.	cu. ft.	T	gill.	cu. ft.	1 E	
Lake St. Louis, 1925 Lake St. Francis, 1924 1923 Above foot Croil Island	$\begin{array}{c} 442,000,000\\ 460,000,000\\ 320,600,000\\ 460,000,000\\ 190,000,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,355,700,000\\ 3,721,000,000\\ 4,160,000,000\\ 3,950,000,000\\ 1,394,000,000 \end{array}$	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,410\\817\\1,357\\1,029\\916 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$1,200 \\ 738 \\ 1,246 \\ 890 \\ 826$	$14 \cdot 4$ 8 \cdot 1 13 \cdot 0 8 \cdot 5 7 \cdot 33	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.3 \\ 8.45 \\ 14.2 \\ 10.3 \\ 9.5 \end{array} $	

TABLE No. 4.—STATEMENT SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF FRAZIL OR SLUSH FORMED UNDER VARIOUS CONDITIONS

TABLE No. 5.—STATEMENT SHOWING DEGREE DAYS OF FREEZING TO WHICH WATER SURFACES AT MONTREAL AND KINGSTON ARE EXPOSED BETWEEN THE TIMES LAKE ST. FRANCIS AND LAKE ST. LOUIS FREEZE OVER AND THE HIGHEST REACHED WATER LEVEL AT MELOCHEVILLE TAKEN AT THE END OF THE WINTER

Year	Date of freezing of Lake St. Louis (A)	Date of freezing of River at foot of Cornwall Island (B)	Date of highest water level. Head of Lake St. Louis (C)	Degree days of freezing for period A-C	Degree days of freezing for period B-C
1924—25	Dec. 16	Dec. 21	Mar. 5	M 1,410	1,200
1923–24	Jan. 4	Jan. 21	Feb. 25	K 1,070 M 1,046	920 817
1922–23	Dec. 18	Dec. 28	Feb. 28	M 1,481 K 1,199	659 1,357 After Jan.
$\begin{array}{c} 1921-22.\\ 1919-20.\\ 1918-19.\\ 1918-19.\\ 1917-18.\\ 1916-17.\\ 1915-16.\\ 1914-15.\\ 1913-14.\\ 1912-13.\\ 1912-13.\\ 1911-12.\\ 1910-11.\\ 1909-10.\\ \end{array}$	Dec. 22 Dec. 22 Dec. 20 Dec. 15 Dec. 29 Dec. 20 Jan. 11 Jan. 13 Jan. 1 Dec. 14 Dec. 29	Dec. 31 Dec. 29 Jan. 7 Dec. 15 Dec. 29 Jan. 12 Dec. 22 Jan. 11 Jan. 13 Jan. 4 Dec. 18 Dec. 30	Feb. 28 Mar. 16 Feb. 20 Feb. 9 Mar. 6 Mar. 10 Mar. 5 Feb. 25 Mar. 10. Mar. 6 Feb. 24 Feb. 23	$ \begin{array}{c} M & 1,240 \\ M & 1,606 \\ M & 853 \\ M & 1,672 \\ M & 1,458 \\ M & 1,285 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} M & 1,606 \\ M & 883 \\ M & 1,607 \\ M & 1,407 \\ 890 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 13, \ 510\\ 1, 029\\ 1, 535\\ 582\\ 1, 672\\ 1, 458\\ 1, 013\\ 1, 136\\ 1, 147\\ 883\\ 1, 588\\ 1, 588\\ 1, 358\\ 858\end{array}$

M = Montreal records.

K = Kingston records

TABLE No. 6.—STATEMENT SHOWING AVERAGE AIR TEMPERATURE AT CERTAIN STATIONS FOR WINTER MONTHS Fahrenheit Thermometer

Year	Month	Canton	Moira	Ogdens- burg	Chezy	Montreal	Kingston	Ottawa
1923–24	Dec Jan Feb	$31 \cdot 7 \\ 17 \cdot 9 \\ 10 \cdot 1$	$31 \cdot 2 \\ 17 \cdot 0 \\ 10 \cdot 8$	$32 \cdot 8 \\ 19 \cdot 0 \\ 12 \cdot 8$	$31 \cdot 9 \\ 18 \cdot 8 \\ 10 \cdot 0$	$29.7 \\ 14.3 \\ 11.8$	$34 \cdot 0 \\ 21 \cdot 0 \\ 14 \cdot 0$	$31 \cdot 0$ $12 \cdot 5$ $9 \cdot 7$
	Mean	19.9	19.7	21.5	20.2	18.6	23.0	17.7
1922–23	Dec Jan Feb Mar	$21 \cdot 4$ 10 \cdot 8 9 \cdot 2 20 \cdot 6	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 8 \\ 10 \cdot 7 \\ 7 \cdot 8 \\ 20 \cdot 1 \end{array} $	$23 \cdot 3 \\ 13 \cdot 2 \\ 10 \cdot 8 \\ 21 \cdot 7$	$21 \cdot 8 \\ 12 \cdot 2 \\ 10 \cdot 6 \\ 22 \cdot 2$	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 5 \\ 11 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 7 \\ 19 \cdot 2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 24 \cdot 0 \\ 14 \cdot 0 \\ 13 \cdot 0 \\ 22 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \cdot 0 \\ 8 \cdot 0 \\ 6 \cdot 0 \\ 17 \cdot 2 \end{array} $
	Mean	15.5	14.4	17.3	16.7	14.7	18.2	12.0

TABLE No. 6-STATEMENT SHOWING AVERAGE AIR TEMPERATURE, Erc.-Concluded

Year	Month	Canton	Moira	Ogdens- burg	Chezy	Montreal	Kingston	Ottawa
1921–22	Dec Jan Feb March	$21 \cdot 8$ $12 \cdot 8$ $20 \cdot 1$ $32 \cdot 0$	$20 \cdot 2$ 13 \cdot 3 19 \cdot 2 31 \cdot 8	$20 \cdot 6 \\ 15 \cdot 6 \\ 20 \cdot 6 \\ 32 \cdot 0$	$21 \cdot 2 \\ 14 \cdot 2 \\ 20 \cdot 2 \\ 32 \cdot 6$	$19.8 \\ 13.2 \\ 16.6 \\ 30.6$	$26 \cdot 0 \\ 16 \cdot 0 \\ 21 \cdot 0 \\ 30 \cdot 0$	$17.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 27.5$
	Mean	21.7	21.1	22.2	22.0	20.0	23.2	17.0
1920–21	Dec Jan Feb	$23 \cdot 2 \\ 20 \cdot 9 \\ 20 \cdot 9 \\ 20 \cdot 9$	$22 \cdot 6$ $20 \cdot 6$ $20 \cdot 6$	$24 \cdot 4$ 21 \cdot 5 23 \cdot 2	$24 \cdot 0$ 21 \cdot 8 21 \cdot 2	$22 \cdot 1$ 18 \cdot 0 18 \cdot 9	$27 \cdot 0$ $25 \cdot 0$ $25 \cdot 0$	$20 \cdot 5 \\ 15 \cdot 5 \\ 17 \cdot 0$
	Mean	21.7	21.3	23.0	22.3	19.7	25.7	17.7
1919–20	Dec Jan Feb	$21 \cdot 4 \\ 4 \cdot 1 \\ 15 \cdot 4$	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 8 \\ 4 \cdot 3 \\ 14 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$23 \cdot 3 \\ 6 \cdot 7 \\ 15 \cdot 6$	$21 \cdot 8 \\ 5 \cdot 4 \\ 16 \cdot 0$	$16.0 \\ 4.9 \\ 14.5$	$20 \cdot 0$ 7 \cdot 5 17 \cdot 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 5 \\ 0 \cdot 5 \\ 11 \cdot 0 \end{array} $
	Mean	13.6	12.6	15.2	14.3	11.8	14.8	8.0

TABLE No. 7 Values found for V and M in Bazin's Formula $V = \frac{157 \cdot 6}{\sqrt{R}}$ S¹/₂ R¹/₂ in Summer and in Winter $1 + \frac{m}{\sqrt{R}}$ Thickness of Ice allowed for at 2 feet VARENNES TO LAVALTRIE—DISTANCE 85,200 FEET

	Q Discharge C.F.S.	R Hydraulic radius	V Velocity ft. per sec.	F Fall feet	С	М
Open water—Mean flow "High flow "Low flow	425,600 508,000 253,000	$26 \cdot 0$ $29 \cdot 1$ $19 \cdot 6$	$2 \cdot 46 \\ 2 \cdot 62 \\ 1 \cdot 94$	$2.03 \\ 1.99 \\ 2.09$	$97.7 \\ 100.6 \\ 88.1$	$3 \cdot 12 \\ 3 \cdot 05 \\ 3 \cdot 46$
Average open water						$3 \cdot 21$
Jan. 5-7, 1925 Jan. 1-15, 1925 Jan. 16-31, 1925 Feb. 1-14, 1925 Feb. 15-28: 1925 Mar. 6-8, 1925 Mar. 1-15, 1925 Mar. 16-31, 1925	$\begin{array}{c} 225,720\\ 223,270\\ 197,170\\ 201,640\\ 239,710\\ 247,640\\ 253,150\\ 313,780\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 6 \\ 12 \cdot 0 \\ 11 \cdot 3 \\ 11 \cdot 8 \\ 12 \cdot 8 \\ 12 \cdot 9 \\ 13 \cdot 0 \\ 14 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$1.34 \\ 1.39 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.28 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.47 \\ 1.59$	$\begin{array}{r} 3\cdot 94\\ 3\cdot 78\\ 3\cdot 25\\ 2\cdot 94\\ 2\cdot 75\\ 2\cdot 80\\ 2\cdot 76\\ 2\cdot 58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56 \cdot 5 \\ 60 \cdot 1 \\ 63 \cdot 0 \\ 63 \cdot 5 \\ 68 \cdot 9 \\ 69 \cdot 9 \\ 71 \cdot 6 \\ 75 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6\cdot 34 \\ 5\cdot 60 \\ 5\cdot 03 \\ 5\cdot 08 \\ 4\cdot 61 \\ 4\cdot 51 \\ 4\cdot 32 \\ 4\cdot 21 \end{array}$
Average under ice cover						4.96

Tel 1	Repent	GNY TO LAVA	LTRIE-DISTA	NCE 69,000	FEET	1015	St. dora
Open water—M " H " L	lean flow ligh flow ow flow	425,600 508,000 253,000	$25 \cdot 9$ $29 \cdot 4$ $19 \cdot 8$	$2 \cdot 48 \\ 2 \cdot 62 \\ 1 \cdot 93$	$1 \cdot 42 \\ 1 \cdot 42 \\ 1 \cdot 39$	$107.4 \\ 106.3 \\ 96.3$	$2 \cdot 37 \\ 2 \cdot 52 \\ 2 \cdot 82$
Average	open water						2.57
Jan. 5-7, 19	25	225,720	12.5	1.36	2.81	60.3	5.70
Jan. 1-15, 19	25	223,270	12.2	1.39	2.78	62.5	5.30
Jan. 16-31, 19	25	197,170	11.3	1.31	2.40	66.0	4.66
Feb. 1-14, 19	25	201,640	11.9	1.28	2.12	66.9	4.68
Feb 15-28 19	25	239,710	12.8	1.42	1.99	73.9	4.05
Mar 6- 8 19	25	247,640	13.0	1.44	2.05	72.1	$4 \cdot 25$
Mar 1-15 10	25	253, 150	13.0	1.47	1.92	77.1	3.75
Mar. 16-31, 19	25	313,780	14.8	1.59	1.82	80.3	3.69
Average	under ice cover						4.51

45827-271

TABLE No. 8

 $\begin{array}{l} Values \ found \ for \ V \ and \ M \ in \ Bazin's \ formula \\ V = 157 \cdot 6 \quad S_2^1 \ R_2^1 \ in \ summer \ and \ in \ winter \end{array}$

$$1+\frac{m}{\sqrt{R}}$$

Thickness of ice allowed for 2 feet

Lanoraie to Sorel—Distance = 46,000 Feet

	Q Discharge C.F.S.	R Hydraulic radius	V Velocity feet per sec.	F Fall feet	C	M
OPEN WATER Average for October, 1914 Average for October, 1915 June 10, 1919 Average for June, 1919 Average for October, 1920 Average for October, 1921 Average for October, 1922 Average for November, 1924 October 26, 1925 October 27, 1925 Average for October, 1925	$\begin{array}{c} 251,100\\ 275,500\\ 465,100\\ 477,800\\ 261,300\\ 253,600\\ 264,600\\ 266,500\\ 250,200\\ 249,100\\ 253,100 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \cdot 0 \\ 33 \cdot 3 \\ 38 \cdot 9 \\ 39 \cdot 2 \\ 33 \cdot 4 \\ 33 \cdot 0 \\ 33 \cdot 3 \\ 33 \cdot 5 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.98\\ 2.15\\ 3.10\\ 3.17\\ 2.03\\ 1.97\\ 2.07\\ 2.07\\ 1.94\\ 1.92\\ 1.96\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46\\ 0.52\\ 0.74\\ 0.76\\ 0.43\\ 0.34\\ 0.46\\ 0.48\\ 0.38\\ 0.35\\ 0.32\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 109\cdot 1\\ 111\cdot 0\\ 124\cdot 0\\ 124\cdot 5\\ 114\cdot 9\\ 126\cdot 2\\ 113\cdot 5\\ 113\cdot 2\\ 116\cdot 4\\ 120\cdot 0\\ 128\cdot 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 52\\ 2\cdot 43\\ 1\cdot 68\\ 1\cdot 66\\ 2\cdot 15\\ 1\cdot 43\\ 2\cdot 26\\ 2\cdot 28\\ 2\cdot 05\\ 1\cdot 02\\ 1\cdot 32\end{array}$
Average open water						1.96
ICE COVER January 8, 1915 January 24, 1915 January 8, 1921 January 7, 1922 January 29, 1922 January 29, 1925 January 27, 1925 January 26, 1926 Average for January	227, 300 234, 700 277, 100 257, 700 252, 700 239, 500 228, 000 289, 100 253, 100 236, 226	$17.3 \\ 16.9 \\ 18.0 \\ 17.6 \\ 17.6 \\ 17.4 \\ 17.3 \\ 17.0 \\ 18.2 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 18.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 17.7 \\ 10.1 \\ $	$1.71 \\ 1.82 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.86 \\ 1.79 \\ 1.71 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.80 \\ 1.72$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 06 \\ 1 \cdot 78 \\ 2 \cdot 12 \\ 1 \cdot 83 \\ 1 \cdot 45 \\ 1 \cdot 17 \\ 2 \cdot 00 \\ 1 \cdot 37 \\ 2 \cdot 56 \\ 2 \cdot 06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61\cdot 5\\ 71\cdot 1\\ 69\cdot 5\\ 71\cdot 9\\ 79\cdot 0\\ 85\cdot 0\\ 62\cdot 3\\ 64\cdot 5\\ 56\cdot 5\\ 61\cdot 1\end{array}$	$5 \cdot 99 \\ 4 \cdot 95 \\ 5 \cdot 36 \\ 4 \cdot 96 \\ 4 \cdot 15 \\ 3 \cdot 55 \\ 6 \cdot 35 \\ 5 \cdot 49 \\ 7 \cdot 63 \\ 6 \cdot 61 \\ \hline 5 \cdot 51$
February 23, 1915. February 9, 1921. February 22, 1921. February 22, 1922. February 10, 1925. February 24, 1925. February 9, 1926. February 27, 1926. Average for February	$\begin{array}{c} 230,000\\ 249,200\\ 239,200\\ 218,800\\ 204,400\\ 223,800\\ 216,100\\ 215,100 \end{array}$	$17 \cdot 2 \\ 17 \cdot 1 \\ 16 \cdot 8 \\ 17 \cdot 7 \\ 17 \cdot 8 \\ 18 \cdot 6 \\ 17 \cdot 3 \\ 17 \cdot 7 \\ 18 \cdot 7 \\ 17 \cdot 7 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 74 \\ 1\cdot 09 \\ 1\cdot 85 \\ 1\cdot 60 \\ 1\cdot 50 \\ 1\cdot 56 \\ 1\cdot 56 \\ 1\cdot 58 \end{array}$	$1.74 \\ 1.60 \\ 1.28 \\ 0.96 \\ 1.61 \\ 1.59 \\ 1.72 \\ 1.75 $	$\begin{array}{c} 68 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \cdot 5 \\ 85 \cdot 5 \\ 83 \cdot 2 \\ 60 \cdot 1 \\ 61 \cdot 5 \\ 63 \cdot 6 \\ 60 \cdot 9 \end{array}$	5.41 4.27 3.45 3.75 6.82 6.16 6.15 6.68
						5.34
March 4, 1915 March 20, 1915 March 16–25, 1915 March 7, 1921 March 12–17, 1921 March 27, 1922 March 27, 1922 March 27, 1925 March 27, 1925 March 22–31, 1925 March 9, 1926 March 26, 1926 March 20–26, 1926	$\begin{array}{c} 249,200\\ 248,500\\ 248,200\\ 245,600\\ 245,600\\ 289,800\\ 251,600\\ 289,500\\ 289,500\\ 249,600\\ 321,900\\ 325,200\\ 214,500\\ 213,200\\ 213,500 \end{array}$	$18 \cdot 1 \\ 17 \cdot 1 \\ 17 \cdot 2 \\ 17 \cdot 2 \\ 18 \cdot 9 \\ 18 \cdot 5 \\ 18 \cdot 4 \\ 18 \cdot 8 \\ 18 \cdot 6 \\ 21 \cdot 0 \\ 21 \cdot 1 \\ 17 \cdot 5 \\ 17 \cdot 3 \\ 17 \cdot 2 \\ 18 \cdot 2 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot79\\ 1\cdot89\\ 1\cdot88\\ 1\cdot85\\ 1\cdot99\\ 1\cdot76\\ 2\cdot00\\ 2\cdot00\\ 1\cdot74\\ 1\cdot98\\ 2\cdot00\\ 1\cdot59\\ 1\cdot60\\ 1\cdot61\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 29\\ 1\cdot 50\\ 1\cdot 36\\ 1\cdot 50\\ 1\cdot 74\\ 0\cdot 98\\ 0\cdot 96\\ 0\cdot 98\\ 1\cdot 54\\ 1\cdot 86\\ 1\cdot 92\\ 1\cdot 62\\ 1\cdot 62\\ 1\cdot 67\\ 1\cdot 59\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 79.5\\ 80.0\\ 83.3\\ 78.1\\ 74.5\\ 88.5\\ 102.0\\ 99.7\\ 69.6\\ 67.9\\ 67.4\\ 64.0\\ 63.9\\ 66.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 18\\ 4\cdot 01\\ 3\cdot 70\\ 4\cdot 20\\ 4\cdot 82\\ 3\cdot 34\\ 2\cdot 33\\ 2\cdot 51\\ 5\cdot 28\\ 6\cdot 03\\ 6\cdot 14\\ 6\cdot 10\\ 6\cdot 08\\ 5\cdot 75\end{array}$
Average for March						4.61

TABLE NO. 9.—SHOWING RELATION BETWEEN SLOPE IN FEET PER MILE AND CURVATURE IN DEGREES PER MILE THROUGH ICE PACKS

-	876 KWW		-	D' /	Fall	Curv	ature	35
No.	Station to Station	Date	Fall Feet	Dist- ance Miles	Feet Per Mile	Total Degrees	Degrees Per Mile	Remarks
1 2 3	Lock 15 to Dickerson's Isd Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15 Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15	Jan. 30, 1922 Jan. 30, 1922 Feb. 16, 1922	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \cdot 0 \\ 7 \cdot 8 \\ 6 \cdot 1 \end{array} $	$6.45 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.50$	$1.55 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.40$	203 288 288	$31 \cdot 5 \\ 115 \cdot 0 \\ 115 \cdot 0$	Mean Conditions. New Pack. Mean Conditions.
4	Cornwall Isd	Jan. 26, 1922	4.7	2.00	$2 \cdot 35$	200	100.0	New Pack.
5	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Head Cornwall Isd	Feb. 16, 1922	3.2	2.00	1.60	200	100.0	Mean Conditions.
6 7 8	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Head Cornwall Isd. Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Lock 15 Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Lock 15	Feb. 27, 1922 Feb. 4, 1922 Jan. 30, 1922	$5.5 \\ 10.5 \\ 12.5$	$2.00 \\ 4.60 \\ 4.60$	$2 \cdot 75 \\ 2 \cdot 30 \\ 2 \cdot 72$	$200 \\ 483 \\ 483$	$^{100\cdot 0}_{105\cdot 0}_{105\cdot 0}$	Snow. Mean Conditions.
9	Robinson Bay to Hd. Cornwall Isd	Jan. 27, 1922	10.6	3.70	2.87	285	77.0	Temporary.
$10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17$	Ft. Barnhart 1sd. to Lock 15 Hd. Barnhart 1sd. to Lock 15 Hd. Cornwall 1sd. to Lock 15 Hd. Cornwall 1sd. to Lock 15 Lock 15 to Dickerson's Isd Ft. Barnhart 1sd. to Lock 15 Warner 1 bt. ft. Dara Dorman.	Feb. 28, 1922 Jan. 29, 1918 Feb. 4, 1918 Feb. 25, 1918 Feb. 4, 1923 Feb. 4, 1923 Feb. 9, 1923	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \cdot 2 \\ 35 \cdot 5 \\ 17 \cdot 6 \\ 9 \cdot 0 \\ 9 \cdot 0 \\ 12 \cdot 0 \\ 8 \cdot 7 \end{array} $		$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 43 \\ 4 \cdot 00 \\ 2 \cdot 00 \\ 3 \cdot 06 \\ 1 \cdot 44 \\ 2 \cdot 60 \\ 1 \cdot 89 \end{array}$	483 826 826 335 203 483 483	$\begin{array}{c} 105 \cdot 0 \\ 94 \cdot 0 \\ 94 \cdot 0 \\ 115 \cdot 0 \\ 31 \cdot 5 \\ 105 \cdot 0 \\ 105 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	6 inches Snow. Mean. Cold 0°F. Mean Conditions. Mean Conditions. New Pack. Mean 19°F Conditions
10	Pt.	Feb. 9, 1923	7.4	3.60	$2 \cdot 05$	136	38.0	New Pack.
19 20 21 22 23	Lock 23 to Weaver's Pt. Lock 23 to Weaver's Pt. Lock 24 to Weaver's Pt. Hd. to Ft. Cornwall Isd. Hd. to Ft. Cornwall Isd. Hd. to Ft. Cornwall Isd.	Feb. 21, 1923 Feb. 18, 1923 Mar. 2, 1923 Feb. 8, 1926 Mar. 11, 1926 Feb. 16, 1924	$5 \cdot 7$ $9 \cdot 3$ $11 \cdot 0$ $14 \cdot 8$ $13 \cdot 4$ $12 \cdot 5$	3.60 6.25 6.25 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50	$1.58 \\ 1.50 \\ 1.76 \\ 2.80 \\ 2.42 \\ 2.27$	136 325 325 368 368 368	$38 \cdot 0$ $52 \cdot 0$ $52 \cdot 0$ $67 \cdot 0$ $67 \cdot 0$ $67 \cdot 0$	Mean, No Snow 0°F. Snow 24°F. Mean, No Snow Condi-
24	Robinson Bay to Hd. Cornwall		and a la				0	tions.
25	Isd No. 5 to Ft. Cornwall Isd	Feb. 9, 1924 Feb. 8, 1926	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 5 \\ 4 \cdot 5 \end{array} $	$3.70 \\ 2.46$	3.65	285 120	49.0	Mean Conditions.
26 27 28 29	Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15 Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15 Lock 15 to Ft. Cornwall Isd Lock 15 to Ft. Cornwall Isd	Feb. 15, 1924 Feb. 8, 1924 Feb. 6, 1926 Feb. 24, 1924	7.5 9.0 5.0 6.0	2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00	3.00 3.60 1.70 2.00	288 288 100 100	115.0 115.0 33.0 33.0 33.0	Mean Conditions. Mean Conditions.
30	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Hd. Corn- wall Isd	Feb. 5, 1925	4.5	2.00	2.20	200	100.0	Mean, No Snow.
31	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Hd. Corn- wall isd	Feb. 13, 1925	7.0	2.00	3.50	200	100.0	Mean, No Snow Con- ditions.
32 33 34	Transmission Line to Head Cornwall Isd. Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15. Hd. Cornwall Isd. to Lock 15.	Jan. 19, 1925. Jan. 6, 1925. Jan. 27, 1925.	$12 \cdot 0$ $12 \cdot 0$ $10 \cdot 6$	$4 \cdot 40 \\ 2 \cdot 50 \\ 2 \cdot 50$	$2 \cdot 73 \\ 4 \cdot 80 \\ 4 \cdot 24$	378 288 288		New Pack. Snow. Steady Snow.
35	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Head Cornwall Isd	Jan. 18, 1925	8.5	2.10	4.00	200	100.0	Newly formed Snow.
36	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Head Cornwall Isd	Feb. 13, 1925	6.5	2.0	3.24	200	100.0	Thaw.
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Ft. Barnhart Isd. to Head Cornwall Isd. Hd. to foot Barnhart Isd. Lock 23 to Upper Farrans Pt. Niagara River. Lock 15 to Dickerson's Isd. Lock 15 to Dickerson's Isd. Hd. to Ft. Barnhart Isd.	Jan. 31, 1925 Jan. 31, 1925 Feb. 15, 1925 Jan. 3, 1925 Jan. 15, 1925 Feb. 6, 1925 Feb. 26, 1924 Feb. 22, 1924	$\begin{array}{r} 4\cdot 8\\ 19\cdot 0\\ 17\cdot 5\\ 18\cdot 2\\ 9\cdot 3\\ 11\cdot 4\\ 10\cdot 7\\ 16\cdot 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 0 \\ 4 \cdot 7 \\ 9 \cdot 8 \\ 6 \cdot 4 \\ 6 \cdot 4 \\ 6 \cdot 45 \\ 6 \cdot 45 \\ 4 \cdot 70 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 40 \\ 4 \cdot 00 \\ 1 \cdot 73 \\ 2 \cdot 84 \\ 1 \cdot 45 \\ 1 \cdot 77 \\ 1 \cdot 66 \\ 3 \cdot 55 \end{array}$	$200 \\ 410 \\ 402 \\ 176 \\ 176 \\ 203 \\ 203 \\ 410$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \cdot 0 \\ 87 \cdot 0 \\ 41 \cdot 0 \\ 27 \cdot 5 \\ 27 \cdot 5 \\ 31 \cdot 5 \\ 31 \cdot 5 \\ 87 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	Mid-winter. Snow, Temporary. Cold. Snow. Cold. A few small air holes. Snow.
$\begin{array}{r} 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\end{array}$	Lock 15 to "E" 8,0001 East. Anderson's Ferry to Grass Isd Anderson's Ferry to Grass Isd Monument No. 3 to Grass Isd. Y. 3 to Ft. Cornwall Isd. Y. 3 to Ft. Cornwall Isd. Hd. to Ft. Pollys Gut. Hd. to Ft. Pollys Gut. Hoasic Cr. to Strawberry Isd Hoasic Cr. to Strawberry Isd.	Feb. 15, 1923 Feb. 15, 1922 Feb. 24, 1922 Feb. 14, 1922 Feb. 15, 1924 Feb. 25, 1924 Feb. 21, 1924 Feb. 8, 1924 Feb. 8, 1924 April 9, 1923	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 06\\ 5\cdot 8\\ 6\cdot 6\\ 7\cdot 5\\ 9\cdot 7\\ 9\cdot 0\\ 3\cdot 5\\ 6\cdot 7\\ 5\cdot 9\\ 8\cdot 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 54\\ 4\cdot 20\\ 5\cdot 00\\ 5\cdot 25\\ 5\cdot 25\\ 5\cdot 25\\ 1\cdot 29\\ 1\cdot 29\\ 3\cdot 20\\ 3\cdot 20\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 78 \\ 1\cdot 38 \\ 1\cdot 57 \\ 1\cdot 50 \\ 1\cdot 85 \\ 1\cdot 71 \\ 2\cdot 72 \\ 5\cdot 20 \\ 1\cdot 84 \\ 2\cdot 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 110\\ 105\\ 105\\ 197\\ 194\\ 194\\ 146\\ 146\\ 210\\ 210\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71 \cdot 0 \\ 25 \cdot 0 \\ 25 \cdot 0 \\ 39 \cdot 0 \\ 37 \cdot 0 \\ 113 \cdot 0 \\ 113 \cdot 0 \\ 66 \cdot 0 \\ 66 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	Snow. Prescott ice 23.5 F. Day before 33.9°F.
55 56 57 58 59	Lock 1 to Vickers Lock 1 to Vickers Lock 1 to Longue Pointe Lock 1 to Vickers No. 3 to No. 5 Sth. Cornwal	Feb. 9, 1913 Feb. 15, 1916 Dec. 28, 1924 Feb. 4, 1913	$7 \cdot 20$ $8 \cdot 8$ $9 \cdot 0$ $11 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 00 \\ 4 \cdot 00 \\ 5 \cdot 60 \\ 4 \cdot 00$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 80 \\ 2 \cdot 20 \\ 1 \cdot 60 \\ 2 \cdot 75 \end{array} $	84 84 106 84	$21 \cdot 0$ $21 \cdot 0$ $19 \cdot 0$ $21 \cdot 0$	New Pack.
09	Isd.	Jan. 25, 1926	8.4	2.30	3.65	145	63.0	Snow.
61 62	Isd Hd. Pollys Gut to No. 3 Hd. Pollys Gut to No. 3	Feb. 8, 1926. Jan. 29, 1926. Feb. 8, 1926.	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \cdot 4 \\ 7 \cdot 0 \\ 4 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	2.30 1.80 1.80	$2 \cdot 80$ $3 \cdot 90$ $2 \cdot 20$	145 146 146	63.0 81.0 81.0	Mean Conditions. Snow. Mean conditions.

Station to Station	Date passing Upper Statior	Time of passage Days	Upper Water Temp.	Lower Water Temp.	"M" Mean of Water Temp's.	"D" Diff. of Water Temp's.	Centre date of period Days	"T" Mean Air Temp's.	" <u>M</u> "— "T"	River Area between Stations 1,000sq.ft. 1	River Volume between Stations 1,000cu.ft.	River Discharge 1,000 cu.ft. per day	Formula for "C" in Br. Ther. Units	Value of "C"	Mean Value of "C"
Kingston to Brock- ville.	Dec. 1924 7, 12:01 a.m. 10, 12:01 a.m. 13, 12:01 a.m.	9.787	$40 \cdot 82 \\ 41 \cdot 00 \\ 39 \cdot 67$	$35 \cdot 43 \\ 33 \cdot 62 \\ 32 \cdot 79$	$38 \cdot 12 \\ 37 \cdot 31 \\ 36 \cdot 23$	5-39 7-38 6-88	$11 \cdot 89 \\ 14 \cdot 89 \\ 17 \cdot 89$	$27 \cdot 80 \\ 19 \cdot 80 \\ 14 \cdot 90$	$10 \cdot 3$ $17 \cdot 5$ $21 \cdot 3$	4, 873, 600 1	76,830,000	18,067,000	32 · 4 x V x D 9 · 787 x A x (M-T)	$120.7 \\ 97.4 \\ 97.6$	97.6
Channel.	1924 30, 12:01 a.m. 3, 12:01 a.m. 6, 12:01 a.m.	10-940	$42 \cdot 70 \\ 41 \cdot 40 \\ 40 \cdot 60$	$38.50 \\ 37.50 \\ 35.20$	$40.60 \\ 39.45 \\ 37.90$	$4 \cdot 20 \\ 3 \cdot 90 \\ 5 \cdot 40$	5.45 8.45 11.45	$30.95 \\ 32.00 \\ 28.40$	$9.65 \\ 7.45 \\ 9.50$	5,314,000 1	98,800,000	18,230,000	62·4 x V x D 10·9 x A x (M-T)	$93 \cdot 1$ 111 \cdot 9 121 \cdot 7	108.9
North Channel to Massena Pt.	Dec. 1924 10, 10:20 a.m. 14, 9:28 a.m. 18, 8:49 a.m.	0.793	$38.66 \\ 37.10 \\ 34.68$	$37 \cdot 72 \\ 34 \cdot 92 \\ 33 \cdot 60$	$38 \cdot 19 \\ 36 \cdot 10 \\ 34 \cdot 14$	$0.94 \\ 2.18 \\ 1.08$	$10.83 \\ 14.79 \\ 18.77$	$19.65 \\ 3.23 \\ 10.80$	$18.54 \\ 32.74 \\ 23.34$	602,600 1	4,300,000	18,020,000	62·4 x V x D 0·793 x A x (M-T)	$94.5 \\ 124.5 \\ 86.6$	101-9
Massena Point to Sou- langes.	Dec. 1924 3, 9:21 p.m. 10, 9:50 a.m.	1.630	38-90 37-90	36.80 35.55	37.85 36.70	2·10 2·35	4.70 11.22	22.75 19.70	15·10 17·00	1,993,500 2	9,765,000	18,260,000	$\frac{62 \cdot 4 \times V \times D}{1 \cdot 63 \times 4 \times (M-T)}$	79.6	79.3
Kingston to Cardinal.	Dec. 1925 7, noon 10, noon 13, noon	11.00	$40.30 \\ 38.50 \\ 38.00$	$34.75 \\ 34.00 \\ 32.90$	$37.50 \\ 36.25 \\ 35.45$	$5.55 \\ 4.50 \\ 5.10$	$13.00 \\ 16.00 \\ 19.00$	$24.05 \\ 26.00 \\ 24.90$	$13 \cdot 45 \\ 10 \cdot 25 \\ 10 \cdot 55$	5,403,600	06,202,000	18,000,000	$\frac{62 \cdot 4 \times V \times D}{11 \cdot 0 \times A (M-T)}$	85.8 91.4 100.5	92.6
Kingston to Massena Point.	Dec.1925 6, 12:01 a.m. 10, 12:01 a.m. 14, 12:01 a.m.	11.850	$40.50 \\ 38.70 \\ 37.75$	$34 \cdot 30 \\ 34 \cdot 05 \\ 32 \cdot 50$	$37.40 \\ 36.35 \\ 35.10$	$6.20 \\ 4.65 \\ 5.25$	$11 \cdot 92 \\ 15 \cdot 92 \\ 19 \cdot 92$	$25 \cdot 55 \\ 25 \cdot 75 \\ 24 \cdot 60$	$11 \cdot 85 \\ 10 \cdot 60 \\ 10 \cdot 50$	5,917,000 2]	12,085,000	17,900,000	62·4 x V x D 11·85xAx(M-T)	98.8 83.0 93.8	91 • 9
Massena Point to Sou- langes.	Dec. 1925 13, 1:44 p.m. 15, 4.57 a.m. 16, 8:10 p.m.	1.634	$35 \cdot 65 \\ 35 \cdot 00 \\ 34 \cdot 50$	$32 \cdot 70 \\ 32 \cdot 60 \\ 32 \cdot 00$	$34.15 \\ 33.80 \\ 33.25$	$2.95 \\ 2.40 \\ 2.50$	$14.39 \\ 16.02 \\ 17.66$	$18.60 \\ 17.45 \\ 20.25$	$15.55 \\ 16.35 \\ 13.00$	1,994,000 2	9,516,000	18,060,000	62·4 x V x D 1·634 x A x (M-T)	$107.5 \\ 83.2 \\ 108.8$	99.8
44 10 10 10					112	TH		1 11					Mean		96.0

TABLE NO. 10.—TABLE SHOWING DETERMINATION OF RATE OF HEAT LOSS IN EXPOSED WATER SURFACE DURING COLD WEATHER BETWEEN VARIOUS STATIONS ON THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER—YEARS 1924 AND 1925

422

St. Lawrence Waterway Project

APPENDIX F

EXPERIMENTS ON STRENGTH OF ICE

McGill University, Montreal, Que., May 20, 1926.

To D. W. McLachlan, Esq.,

Chairman, Canadian Section, Joint Board of Engineers, St. Lawrence Waterways Project, 317 West Block, Ottawa, Ont.

SIR,—I have the honour to submit the following report on tests to determine the physical properties of ice at different temperatures.

GENERAL

The tests herein described were made under general instructions received from you, and were carried out during the months of February and March, 1926, at the Cold Storage Warehouse of the Harbour Commissioners of Montreal, where rooms which could be kept at uniform temperatures ranging from 0° F. to 32° F., were available. A supply of river ice of excellent quality was obtained through the City Ice Co., of Montreal, from their ice cutting field in the LaPrairie basin of the St. Lawrence river, off Verdun. It was noticeably free from flaws, cracks, air bubbles or foreign material, and the upper layer of white ice was only about one inch thick. The blocks were cut under special supervision and handled with the greatest care during transportation to the warehouse so as to avoid risk of damage, and were stored in a room at a temperature of about 30° F. where the necessary specimens were prepared for test. It was proposed to carry out tests at different temperatures, and as the work of cutting specimens could be carried out more conveniently at a temperature near the freezing point than at a temperature near zero, it was decided to cut and store all specimens at about 30° F., removing them to other rooms at lower temperatures as required for testing. It was found later that ice splinters considerably when sawn at temperatures near to 0° F. but at 30° F. the sawing was accomplished with comparatively little difficulty. A series of special mitre boxes was made by which compression specimens 5 inches by 5 inches by 5 inches and 5 inches by 5 inches by 10 inches, and beams 3 inches by 2 inches by 50 inches long were prepared, using ordinary carpenters saws and planes, on the rough specimens cut with ice saws from the larger blocks. (See blueprint attached). All specimens were marked to denote the direction of the crystals.

OBJECTS OF TESTS

The primary object of the tests was to determine the behaviour of ice at different temperatures when compressed normally to the crystals, as may occur under natural conditions above dams, power houses, bridges and such structures. The deformation of the ice was to be measured by the use of mirror extensometers, and its elastic properties and strength determined. Tests were to be made also on beams, to find the modulus of rupture and the modulus of elasticity,

"E", by observation of the deflection under load. The scope of the tests could not be defined in advance, as the field of investigation and method of compression testing proposed were new, very little information having been published regarding the properties of ice at different temperatures.

The complete series of tests includes the following:-

- (a) Compression tests at about 30°F., 16°F. and 3°F. with definite load increments corresponding to about 10 pounds per square inch applied at regular time intervals ranging from 5 seconds to 320 seconds.
- (b) Crushing strength of ice at the above temperatures under loads applied uniformly, and suddenly.
- (c) Observations on the continuous yielding of ice in compression at about 30°F. under loads as low as 20 pounds per square inch.
- (d) The yielding of ice in compression at 14°F. under sustained loads of different intensities from 100 pounds per square inch to 400 pounds per square inch.
- (e) Bending tests at about 30°F. and 16°F. at four different rates of loading.
- (f) Miscellaneous tests.

APPARATUS USED

The apparatus used was loaned from the Strength of Materials Laboratory at McGill University, and included an Olsen Testing Machine of 10,000 pounds capacity, Martens' Mirror Extensometers, Telescopes and Scales, for compression tests; apparatus for supporting beams for bending tests, weights, deflection scales and sundry minor accessories.

The Martens' Extensometer was adopted on account of its peculiar adaptability to such tests, experience in the laboratories at McGill University having demonstrated its sensitiveness and accuracy. Two Extensometers were used in each compression test, being held against opposite faces of the specimens. (See blueprint.) To provide bearing for the sharp edges of the Extensometer, flat pieces of galvanized iron about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch square with small projecting points soldered to them, were pressed by hand against the faces of the specimens and frozen in place. This arrangement proved entirely satisfactory. Some initial difficulty in maintaining the Extensometers in place without slipping was overcome by stretching a heavy rubber band over four vertical bars screwed into the base of the testing machine outside the four corners of the specimen, and placing short pieces of wood between the Extensometer bars and the stretched rubber band, so as to exert a pressure between the Extensometers and the ice block. The gauge length of the Extensometers was 2 inches, and a change of 0.001 inch produced a movement of one main scale division, or ten small divisions, amounting to 0.5 inch, on the scale. Readings were made, as usual, by telescope, fractions of the small scale divisions being easily estimated. Each main seale division corresponds to a compression of 0.001 inch, and an estimated

division to 0.00001 inch, so that a strain of $\frac{1}{200,000}$ could be measured. The

yielding on opposite faces of compression specimens of materials such as concrete, stone, etc., is rarely the same, and the mean of a number of readings taken around the specimen is essential if a proper measure of deformation is to be obtained. In the tests described, two Extensometers were used, and the mean of the two readings was used to determine the yielding. From these mean readings curves showing the relation between deformation and load can be plotted, and the properties of the ice determined.

To secure uniform bearing and load distribution a heavy iron plate with planed faces was slightly warmed above room temperature and passed over the loading faces so as to melt the ice slightly. The resulting moisture was wiped off and the block set on a thin sheet of blotting paper placed on the lower loading faces of the machine. The heavy iron plate was then placed on the upper face of the block, and a steel washer was inserted between it and another plate directly under the upper loading face. When a small compressive load (250 pounds) had been applied, shims were placed between the two plates. The loading was then continued as required. The load was uniformly distributed by this means. The tool marks of the loading plate could be seen clearly on the blocks after a test was over, and the print of the circular marks on the lower face of the testing machine provided for centering the specimens were also transferred completely to the paper under the block. Details of these arrangements are shown in the attached blueprint.

CONTINUOUS YIELDING OF ICE UNDER SMALL PRESSURES

After the preliminary work necessary in finding suitable means of securing Extensometers and loading the blocks as noted above, tests were made on the recovery of the ice when compression was applied and removed. A block 4.9 inches by 4.9 inches by 4.65 inches high was loaded at 28° F. with 250 pounds sustained for five minutes and there was complete recovery on removal of the load. After second and third applications of this load, sustained as before, recovery was not complete. The shortening in the latter cases was 0.00005 inch in 2 inch gauge length, and 0.00002 inch remained on removal of the load. A load of 500 pounds was then applied and sustained 3 minutes during which time the shortening increased from 0.00006 inch to 0.00008 inch, and 0.00003 inch remained on removal of the load. There was thus a definite "creep" of the Extensometers, and permanent set, at this small load of about 20 pounds per square inch. A similar condition was found with a load of 750 pounds and it was decided to observe the behaviour of a block under a sustained load of 500 pounds. The results are shown in plate 1. It will be seen that the block yielded continuously for 3 hours 30 minutes, the load intensity being 20.8 pounds per square inch. The yield is shown for both sides of the block. On one side the total was 0.00182 inch of which only 0.00009 inch, about 5 per cent, was recovered when the load was removed. On the other side the total yield was 0.00047 inch, and recovery 0.00005 inch-about 10 per cent. The ice is therefore "plastic" under very small load at this temperature, viz. 29° F. As the block yielded, the screws operating the loading head had to be turned slightly to maintain the floating lever of the testing machine in mid position. Movement had practically ceased when the test ended, one extensometer showing no change during the last 15 minutes, and the other a change of 0.00001 inch only in that time. Readings were taken every 5 minutes and were The deformations were noticeably different on the two sides. very regular. The mean of the two is taken as measuring the deformation due to the load. This continued yielding is evidently of the greatest importance in considering the question of ice pressure against structures. If the mean total deformation, 0.00023 inch, during the first 10 minutes be used in computing the modulus of elasticity (E), it would be $\frac{20.8 \text{ pounds per square inch by 2 inches}}{20.8 \text{ pounds per square inch by 2 inches}}$

0.00023 inch

= 180.800

pounds per square inch whereas if the mean total deformation of 0.00114 inch during the whole 3 hours 30 minutes to be used, E would be 36,500 pounds per square inch. The value of E corresponding to the mean total deformation during

the first minute in which the load was sustained, was 489,000 pounds per square inch. As already noted, the block recovered only very slightly when the load was removed.

STANDARD METHOD OF LOADING IN COMPRESSION TESTS

The results of the test just described showed that it was necessary to adopt some standard rate of loading, as the movements of the extensioneters due to any load increment will depend largely on the length of time during which the load is sustained before readings are taken. It was decided to apply the load in all cases in increments of 250 pounds. One operator moved the balance weight along the beam, while another operator kept the beam floating by rotating the screws of the machine. Extensioneter readings were taken at different time intervals, there being one observer for each extensioneter. Four persons were thus employed on each test, one of the machine operators giving the time signals to those reading the extensioneters, and then adding load as soon as the readings were taken. In this way readings were made as follows:—

At temperatures 28° F. to 30° F.—Intervals of 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 secs. At temperatures 14° F. to 16° F.—Intervals of 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 160 secs.

At temperatures 3° F.-Intervals of 5 and 320 secs.

The reasons for making tests at 3° F. at only two loading rates were (a) that less importance was attached to tests at this temperature than at the higher temperatures; (b) that time was limited, and the tests were intended primarily to determine whether the general conclusions drawn from the more extended series of tests at the higher temperatures would be supported by tests at the lower temperature. For these reasons the longer intervals of loading were used, and the tests bore out the conclusions already reached.

DIRECTION OF APPLIED LOAD, AND DETERMINATION OF MODULUS OF ELASTICITY

The loads were applied normally to the axis of the crystals, this being the direction in which pressure would act in a natural ice cover. The ice is not elastic except for extremely small loads, and as the loading progressed at any one rate, the deformation corresponding to a given load increment was found to increase. It follows that strictly speaking, there is no definite modulus of elasticity, and that values of E calculated from the deformations resulting from successive increments of load. as if the deformations were elastic, will decrease as the loading progresses. Furthermore, as the deformation under any load increases as the load is sustained, the values of E corresponding to any given range of load will decrease as the length of the loading interval increases.

In order to obtain comparative results the following standard procedure was adopted:—

The loading block was shimmed as described above when the load was 250 pounds. All specimens were approximately 5-in. by 5-in., so that 250 pounds load corresponds to about 10 pounds per square inch. Most of the specimens were approximately cubes, but a few were about 10 inches long. These were noted in the Tables. The determinations in the 2 inch gauge lengths corresponding to successive increments of 1,000 pounds applied in four equal amounts of 250 pounds with time intervals as noted, were found, and values of E calculated from the mean increments. By plotting these values as ordinates on a base line

representing 1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc., increments of 1,000 pounds the variations of E, both with *stage* of load and with *rate* of loading, can be easily seen. The results of the tests at different temperatures are now submitted, after which notes are given of the general behaviour of the blocks.

COMPRESSION TESTS AT 28°F. TO 30°F.

(a) MODULUS OF ELASTICITY-E

Plate 2 shows the results at the different loading rates, and it will be seen that apart from the running together of the curves for 20 sections and 40 sections rates at the higher loads, the values of E are progressively lower as the time interval of loading increases, and as the actual load increases. Each point plotted represents the average of 7, 8 or 9 tests, as will be seen from Tables of actual deformations in each test, and of average deformations quoted later on Pages 15-18. From these tables it is clear that at the higher loads the deformations in individual tests, depart more from the average for all tests, than they do at the lower loads. This may explain in part the overlapping of curves as noted above as the ice "flows" more rapidly as the intensity of loading increases. It should be emphasized that the averages of all completed tests are shown. No process of selection was used. Occasionally tests failed, as for example by displacement of extensioneters due to local cracking of the ice, but the only tests rejected were for these or similar reasons. These remarks apply to tests made at all temperatures, and to both compression and bending tests. While therefore the actual values of E might be altered somewhat if a larger number of tests could have been made at each rate of loading, it is improbable that the changes would be great, and that the general laws of variation would be invalidated. Tests at the lower temperatures gave similar results, and served to emphasize the extreme importance of the time factor.

(b) GENERAL BEHAVIOUR UNDER TEST—COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH—RECOVERY AFTER LOAD.

The blocks were remarkably clear and free from flaws-so clear that ordinary book print could be easily read through them. The first outward signs of yielding occurred at loads from 2,500 pounds to 5,000 pounds. They were both audible and visible, and the term "crackling" was applied to them. Suddenly a slight noise would be heard, and one or more spots of a slaky appearance would develop in the block. These appeared to be due to breakdown between the crystals, and spread gradually, through the block. When they became numerous, the block was no longer transparent, but was described as "clouded." D11ring this stage the blocks yielded fairly rapidly, and the compression head had to be kept moving to preserve the weighing beam in the floating position. This was described as "following." Had the loading been maintained without further increment after "clouding" was well developed, the yielding would have been both continuous and rapid, but the predetermined rate of loading was main-tained, and the ice "flowed" continuously. Sometimes the loadings were continued up to the capacity of the machine. 10,000 pounds, but no value can be assigned for the compression strength of ice under such conditions, as owing to the flow (even at the 5 seconds loading rate) the area under load was continuously increasing. Blocks originally 5 inches by 5 inches would flow beyond the edges of the loading plate, 6 inches square, and a length of 5 inches was frequently and rapidly reduced to about $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches under sustained high load at the end of a test. When specimens failed under such conditions the pieces showed a tent-like appearance, the horizontal crystals piling up to form a ridge parallel to the loaded faces.

In tests made at lower temperatures the deformations were not so great, and the recovery was noted when the load was reduced to zero after reaching the capacity of the machine. At the temperature of 28°F. to 30°F. at which the first series of tests was carried out, the clouded appearance of the blocks, and the large deformations showed that recovery would be negligibly small. In many cases the blocks crushed after becoming thoroughly clouded, but as stated above no compressive strength can be quoted on account of the "flow" of the ice.

COMPRESSION TESTS AT 14°F. TO 16°F.

MODULUS OF ELASTICITY AND GENERAL BEHAVIOUR: Plate 3 shows the results of tests similar to those described in detail for temperature 28°F to 30°F. They are the averages of from 6 to 8 tests at each loading rate, and show the same characteristic with regard to the time influence as was shown at the higher temperature. The values of E corresponding to a particular stage of a given loading rate are higher than at 28°F. to 30°F., and the curve for the 5 seconds and 10 seconds loading rates are practically straight lines.

At these loading rates the ice "crackled" as at the higher temperature, but to a lesser extent and generally at higher loads, so that in general the blocks were not clouded—when the maximum load of 10,000 pounds was reached None of the blocks failed under that load and only when the load was removed did they become clouded—faintly and fairly uniformly as a rule. The appearance of the blocks was very noticeably different from that at the higher temperatures, and it was only at the slower rates of loading that the characteristic behaviour noted at all loading rates at 28° F. to 30° F. was found.

At these slower rates of loading the blocks were clouded during application of the load, and on removal of load the recovery was much less than in the tests at the 5 seconds and 10 seconds loading rates. (See tables on page 19 to 21). There is some overlapping or apparent irregularity in the curves for 40 seconds and 80 seconds loading rates, after loads of 4,000 pounds to 5,000 pounds were reached. It must be remembered that this is the stage at which deformations are considerable, and that the average of 6 or 8 tests only is available. The curve for the 160 seconds loading rate falls well below all the others, and bearing in mind the nature of the material and the limitations regarding the number of tests made, the results generally are reasonably consistent. Special reference is made on Page 25 to yielding of blocks at 14° F. to 16° F. under sustained loads of different intensities. A load of about 200 pounds per square inchwhich is approximately that to which reference has just been made-may be a critical load, and the point will be discussed further. The values of "E" for small loads seem to be of the same order for all loading rates up to 40 seconds, the curves being bunched irregularly, for the first 1,000 pounds increment of load. This is perhaps not surprising. Deformations at these loads are much more nearly elastic than at higher loads, and are small. For loads longer maintained, greater deformations result, as the ice has a greater tendency to flow. Values of "E" for the first 1,000 pound load increment are about 1,000,000 pounds per square inch for loading rates up to 40 seconds but for the loading rates of 80 seconds and 160 seconds the values drop to 700,000 pounds per square inch and 500,000 pounds per square inch respectively.

The general evidence of these results is the same as that found from tests at 28° F. to 30° F.:—That the value of "E" decreases as the load increases, for all rates of loading; and that for any given range of loading, the value of "E" decreases as the length of the loading interval increases. Furthermore, the corresponding "E" values are higher at 14° F. to 16° F. than at 28° F. to 30°

F. Thus for the 7th increment of 1,000 pounds (corresponding to a stress intensity of about 280 pounds square inch) and a loading rate of 5 seconds for 250 pounds, "E" is 450,000 pounds square inch at 14° F. and 150,000 pounds square inch at 28° F. Values of "E" at 14° F. for the loading rate of 160 seconds are consistently higher than those at 28° F. for a loading rate of 80 seconds. Other comparisons substantiating the general conclusions drawn are readily made from the Plates, and are strengthened by the results of tests made at 3° F. as described below.

COMPRESSION TESTS AT 3° F.

MODULUS OF ELASTICITY AND GENERAL BEHAVIOUR. Tests were made at two rates of loading: 5 seconds for 250 pounds and 320 seconds for 250 pounds and the results are shown in Plate 4. The curve for the former rate is approximately a straight line, the values of "E" differing but slightly from those at 14° F. The general behaviour was similar to that of the specimens tested at the same loading rate at 14° F., but at the loading rate of 320 seconds the characteristic "flowing" took place, as had been anticipated, and values of "E" are much lower than at the 5 seconds rate. They are, however, much higher than corresponding values, at 14° F. In fact, the curve for a loading rate of 160 seconds at 14° F. is almost the same as that for the loading rate of 320 seconds at 3° F. The figures for the 5 seconds rate are the averages of 7 tests, those at 320 seconds rate being the averages of 5 tests. These results confirm the conclusions already drawn from the other tests. The time factor is the allimportant quantity at all temperatures.

TABLES SHOWING DEFORMATIONS OF COMPRESSION BLOCKS REFERRED TO ABOVE

The following tables are submitted in detail to emphasize the general results already given, and to show how widely the deformations vary, particularly at the higher loads. The departure of individual readings from the group averages is much greater at high loads than at low loads, and at 30° F. than at 14° F. or 3° F., and yet the averages point clearly to the well-defined laws enumerated. The increase of deformation for each successive load increment of 1,000 pounds at any particular loading rate and temperature is clearly seen, as also the increase in deformation with lengthening loading interval for corresponding load increments. These comparisons are facilitated by the Summary Table on page —. It may be worth pointing out that the readings given are the deformations in a 2-inch gauge length corresponding to definite ranges of load. Owing to minor variations in the sizes of the blocks, the stress intensities for these ranges are not the same in all cases, so that a comparison of the deformations of any two blocks is not a true comparison of the values of " E ". A general comparison is, however, both valid and instructive.

N.B.—In the tables, the deformations in a gauge length of 2 inches, corresponding to a successive load increments of 1,000 pounds are shown, the unit of deformation being $\frac{1}{1000}$ of an inch. The initial load was 250 pounds in all cases.

^{28°} F. то 30° F.

5 sec. Rate	Deformations in 2" gauge length Ur										
Load Iner	Specimen Number										
of 1,000 lb.	10	11	35+	36	45	46	49+	Average			
lst. 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 5th 7th 3th 2th 3th 2th	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot085\\ 0\cdot110\\ 0\cdot165\\ 0\cdot275\\ 0\cdot465\\ 0\cdot575\\ 0\cdot975\\ 1\cdot645\\ 2\cdot405\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060\\ 0.100\\ 0.150\\ 0.165\\ 0.215\\ 0.320\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115\\ 0.160\\ 0.205\\ 0.260\\ 0.350\\ 0.515\\ 1.530\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 100\\ 0\cdot 111\\ 0\cdot 125\\ 0\cdot 160\\ 0\cdot 170\\ 0\cdot 195\\ 0\cdot 245\\ 0\cdot 285\\ 0\cdot 295\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060\\ 0.110\\ 0.180\\ 0.375\\ 0.520\\ 0.725\\ 0.950\\ 1.330\\ 2.070\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.055\\ 0.100\\ 0.130\\ 0.200\\ 0.275\\ 0.355\\ 0.490\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 135\\ 0\cdot 150\\ 0\cdot 200\\ 0\cdot 300\\ 0\cdot 365\\ 0\cdot 365\\ 0\cdot 495\\ 0\cdot 765\\ 1\cdot 030\end{array}$	0.087 0.120 0.165 0.249 0.337 0.454 0.708			
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 96 \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot95 \\ 5\cdot00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.85 \\ 4.85 \\ 4.85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot90 \\ 5\cdot05 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot97 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4\cdot 86 \\ 4\cdot 86 \end{array} x$	a orginologia			

10 Sec. Rate.	Deformations in 2 ^{''} gauge length $Unit=0.00$										
Load Incr	Specimen Number										
of 1000 lb.	13	27	30	32+	38	39	40	48+	Average		
* 2nd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.180\\ 0.270\\ 0.410\\ 0.550\\ 0.780\\ 0.960\\ 1.275\\ 1.825\\ 2.870\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 105 \\ 0\cdot 125 \\ 0\cdot 160 \\ 0\cdot 270 \\ 0\cdot 530 \\ 0\cdot 840 \\ 1\cdot 385 \\ 2\cdot 670 \\ 5\cdot 105 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.090\\ 0.170\\ 0.360\\ 0.590\\ 0.725\\ 0.795\\ 1.050\\ 1.645\\ 3.225\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 145\\ 0\cdot 180\\ 0\cdot 245\\ 0\cdot 310\\ 0\cdot 665\\ 1\cdot 080\\ 1\cdot 765\\ 4\cdot 410\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.100\\ 0.100\\ 0.120\\ 0.160\\ 0.200\\ 0.215\\ 0.275\\ 0.355\\ 0.815\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115\\ 0.180\\ 0.220\\ 0.500\\ 0.695\\ 1.185\\ 2.020\\ 3.385\\ 5.750\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.080\\ 0.250\\ 0.545\\ 0.825\\ 0.950\\ 1.075\\ 1.055\\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.800\\ 0.110\\ 0.125\\ 0.145\\ 0.175\\ 0.195\\ 0.215\\ 0.260\\ 0.300\\ \end{array}$	0.112 0.152 0.236 0.384 0.574 0.777 1.133		
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot90 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 4\cdot90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 90 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 90 \\ 4\cdot 93 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 95 \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 98 \text{ x} \\ 5\cdot 02 \end{array}$	$\frac{4\cdot87}{4\cdot91}$ x	inelaly a		
Per cent recovery								49	Dinning of		

+Specimens noted thus were approximately 10" high, all others being approximately 5" high.

28°]	F.	01	30°	F
-------	----	----	-----	---

20 Sec. Rate	Contraction of the	Deformations in 2" gauge length							
Load Incr of 1 000 lb	inter a spar	iti sen	ite och	Specimer	n Numbe	r	1111	onlink	07 19 01
	14	16	29	34+	41	42	43	47+	Average
1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110\\ 0.180\\ 0.260\\ 0.395\\ 2.140\\ 2.400\\ 7.715\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 4.94 \text{ x} \end{array}$	0.120 0.170 0.285 0.440 0.575 0.685 0.875 1.040 1.295 4.84 x	0.140 0.260 0.455 0.965 1.585 2.555 4.530 10.990 	0.135 0.335 0.700 1.335 2.535 5.295 4.90 x	0.220 0.465 0.785 1.155 1.650 2.305 3.700 	0.220 0.335 0.475 0.575 0.670 0.865 1.800 4.620 14.50 4.96 x	0.185 0.275 0.445 0.580 0.800 1.370 2.560 6.700 	0.090 0.135 0.180 0.245 0.295 0.410 0.605 1.520	0.153 0.269 0.448 0.711 1.281 1.986

				28° F. то	o 30° F.			_		1
40 Sec. Rate			Deform	ations in	2'' gauge	length			Unit	=0.001'
Specimen Number										
Load Incr. of 1,000 lb	50	51	52	163	164	165	166	167	168	Average
1st	$\begin{array}{c} 0.230\\ 0.465\\ 0.595\\ 0.675\\ 0.710\\ 0.795\\ 0.875\\ 1.025\\ 1.225\end{array}$	0.400 0.935 1.465 2.385 5.215	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120\\ 0.260\\ 0.380\\ 0.545\\ 0.675\\ 0.850\\ 1.135\\ 1.410\\ 1.835\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 105\\ 0\cdot 185\\ 0\cdot 335\\ 0\cdot 460\\ 0\cdot 630\\ 0\cdot 665\\ 1\cdot 080\\ 2\cdot 180\\ 9\cdot 280\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.185\\ 0.360\\ 0.595\\ 0.815\\ 1.145\\ 1.925\\ 4.840\\ 22.13\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125\\ 0.240\\ 0.340\\ 0.425\\ 0.470\\ 0.515\\ 0.630\\ 0.685\\ 0.970\\ \end{array}$	0.195 0.335 0.535 0.880 1.705 3.815 14.78	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 105 \\ 0\cdot 235 \\ 0\cdot 380 \\ 0\cdot 615 \\ 1\cdot 080 \\ 1\cdot 710 \\ 3\cdot 380 \\ 11\cdot 37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085\\ 0.150\\ 0.240\\ 0.280\\ 0.340\\ 0.880\\ 4.350\\ \end{array}$	0.172 0.352 0.541 0.787 1.330
Size of Specimen, Inches	$5.00 \text{ x} \\ 5.02 $	$\begin{array}{c} 4.89 \text{ x} \\ 4.97 \end{array}$	$\frac{4\cdot98}{4\cdot98}$ x $\frac{4\cdot98}{1}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 98 \\ 5 \cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 90 \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$4 \cdot 95 \times 5 \cdot 06$	$4 \cdot 93 x 5 \cdot 00$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot97 \\ 4\cdot99 \end{array} x \\ 4\cdot99 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 92 \\ 5\cdot 05 \end{array} x$	1000 29
Per cent recov'd.						26				

 $+\,{\rm Specimens}$ noted thus were approximately $10^{\prime\prime}$ high, all others being approximately $5^{\prime\prime}$ high.

80 Sec. Rate		Deformations in 2" gauge length U									
		The	Spee	cimen Nun	nber		1 7 17	Avoraço			
Load Incr. of 1,000 lb.	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	Average			
1st 2nd 3rd 4th	0.160 0.465 1.095 2.105 4.675 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.175\\ 0.330\\ 0.465\\ 0.605\\ 0.810\\ 1.005\\ 1.415\\ 4.155\\ \hline 5.01 \ x \end{array}$	0.130 0.385 1.035 2.675 5.810 4.87 x	$\begin{array}{r} 0.215 \\ 0.545 \\ 1.015 \\ 1.685 \\ 3.545 \\ 15.45 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 4.99 \\ x \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.145 0.270 0.455 0.745 1.425 3.485 	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.245 \\ 1.165 \\ 1.400 \\ 2.125 \\ 4.900 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 4.97 \\ x \\ \hline \end{array} $	0.195 0.475 0.700 1.100 1.580 3.520 14.27 4.98 x	0.181 0.505 0.881 1.579 3.249			

Londing Bate	Average of Deformations due to										
Loading Rate	1st 1,000	2nd 1,000	3rd 1,000	4th 1,000	5th 1,000	6th 1,000	7th 1,000				
5 secs 10 secs	$\begin{array}{c} 0.087 \\ 0.112 \\ 0.153 \\ 0.172 \\ 0.181 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 120 \\ 0 \cdot 152 \\ 0 \cdot 269 \\ 0 \cdot 352 \\ 0 \cdot 505 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 165 \\ 0\cdot 236 \\ 0\cdot 448 \\ 0\cdot 541 \\ 0\cdot 881 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 249 \\ 0\cdot 384 \\ 0\cdot 711 \\ 0\cdot 787 \\ 1\cdot 579 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 337 \\ 0\cdot 574 \\ 1\cdot 281 \\ 1\cdot 330 \\ 3\cdot 249 \end{array}$	0.454 0.777 1.986	0.708 1.133				

Summary of Tests at $28^\circ\; F.$ to $30^\circ\; F.$

This summary table shows how the deformation corresponding to any given range of load increases as the loading rate becomes longer, and how the deformation corresponding to equal successive increments of load increases as the load increases, at any prescribed loading rate.

14° то 16° F.

5 Sec. Rate		Deform	Unit $=0.001$						
Load iner of 1 000 lb				Specimer	n Numbe	r		127-300	
	70	71	72	73	74	75	85+	87+	Average
1st	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.080\\ 0.100\\ 0.110\\ 0.120\\ 0.145\\ 0.165\\ 0.190\\ 0.230\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.090\\ 0.120\\ 0.125\\ 0.135\\ 0.170\\ 0.195\\ 0.140\\ 0.240\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085\\ 0.105\\ 0.105\\ 0.110\\ 0.130\\ 0.145\\ 0.045\\ 0.020\\ 0.070\\ 0.005\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.105\\ 0.105\\ 0.105\\ 0.120\\ 0.130\\ 0.150\\ 0.170\\ 0.195\\ 0.225\\ 0.275\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.075\\ 0.105\\ 0.125\\ 0.155\\ 0.205\\ 0.180\\ 0.290\\ 0.315\\ 1.135\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085\\ 0.090\\ 0.090\\ 0.110\\ 0.120\\ 0.125\\ 0.135\\ 0.170\\ 0.165\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.090\\ 0.090\\ 0.100\\ 0.115\\ 0.125\\ 0.150\\ 0.170\\ 0.215\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.090\\ 0.110\\ 0.120\\ 0.130\\ 0.160\\ 0.190\\ 0.215\\ 0.265\\ 0.305\end{array}$	0.085 0.097 0.109 0.124 0.139 0.139 0.171 0.193 0.221
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 95 \\ 5 \cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 91 \\ 5 \cdot 00 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot02 \\ 5\cdot03 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.95 \text{ x} \\ 4.95 \end{array}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 90 \text{ x}}{5 \cdot 00}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 87 \text{ x}}{5 \cdot 00}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 91}{4 \cdot 96} x$	$\frac{4 \cdot 91}{4 \cdot 93} \mathbf{x}$	
Per cent Recovery	70.0	67.0	95.0	31.0	49.5	77.5	58.8	65.8	64.3

10 Sec. Rate		Ur	nit = 0.001						
Load Incr of 1 000 lb			S	pecimen	Number				
	57	. 68	69	76	77	78	84+	88+	Average
1st. 2nd. 3rd 4th	$\begin{array}{c} 0.055\\ 0.075\\ 0.080\\ 0.110\\ 0.120\\ 0.135\\ 0.120\\ 0.120\\ 0.180\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115\\ 0.140\\ 0.180\\ 0.210\\ 0.270\\ 0.235\\ 0.275\\ 0.565\\ 0.765\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060\\ 0.080\\ 0.125\\ 0.150\\ 0.165\\ 0.180\\ 0.200\\ 0.375\\ 0.900 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 065 \\ 0 \cdot 080 \\ 0 \cdot 090 \\ 0 \cdot 070 \\ 0 \cdot 095 \\ 0 \cdot 080 \\ 0 \cdot 130 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.100\\ 0.115\\ 0.115\\ 0.145\\ 0.170\\ 0.195\\ 0.230\\ 0.270\\ 0.315\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.065\\ 0.085\\ 0.105\\ 0.135\\ 0.145\\ 0.165\\ 0.185\\ 0.205\\ 0.250\end{array}$	0.130 0.180 0.255 0.330 0.485 0.690 0.880 1.205 1.590	$\begin{array}{c} 0.100\\ 0.115\\ 0.155\\ 0.175\\ 0.220\\ 0.275\\ 0.340\\ 0.495\\ 0.665\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.086\\ 0.109\\ 0.138\\ 0.166\\ 0.209\\ 0.244\\ 0.295\\ 0.462\\ 0.666\end{array}$
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot00 \end{array}$	$\frac{4\cdot88}{4\cdot93}$ x	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 97 \\ 4 \cdot 98 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4\cdot 85 \\ 4\cdot 98 \end{array}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 85 \text{ x}}{4 \cdot 88}$	$\frac{4\cdot 89 \text{ x}}{4\cdot 91}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 93}{4 \cdot 94} x$	$\frac{4 \cdot 93}{4 \cdot 94} x$	
Per cent Recovery		26.0	27.7		62.6	63.9	10.8	39.3	38.4

+Specimens noted thus were approximately 10" high, all others being approximately 5" high.

20 Sec. Rate		Defor	mations	in 2'' gau	ige length	1		Unit=0.001"			
Load Iner of 1 000 lb	Specimen Number										
	64	65	66	80	81	82	83+	89+	Average		
1st. 2nd 3rd 5th 6th. 7th. 8th. 9th.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.100\\ 0.130\\ 0.120\\ 0.130\\ 0.175\\ 0.240\\ 0.285\\ 0.350\\ 0.370\\ \end{array}$	0.115 0.110 0.255 0.455 0.680 0.800	0.070 0.135 0.245 0.445 0.730 0.790 0.885 1.120 1.780	$\begin{array}{c} 0.075\\ 0.090\\ 0.135\\ 0.165\\ 0.190\\ 0.210\\ 360\\ 0.645\\ 0.975\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.085\\ 0.140\\ 0.185\\ 0.255\\ 0.330\\ 0.550\\ 0.830\\ 1.140\\ 1.810 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.095\\ 0.105\\ 0.140\\ 0.180\\ 0.385\\ 0.530\\ 0.685\\ 1.095\\ 1.480\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110\\ 0.130\\ 0.180\\ 0.215\\ 0.285\\ 0.380\\ 0.475\\ 0.655\\ 0.905\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.090\\ 0.120\\ 0.140\\ 0.190\\ 0.225\\ 0.260\\ 0.295\\ 0.320\\ 0.370\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.093\\ 0.120\\ 0.175\\ 0.254\\ 0.375\\ 0.470\\ 0.545\\ 0.761\\ 1.100\end{array}$		
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 90 \\ 4\cdot 93 \end{array} x$	$\begin{array}{r} 4\cdot93 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 4\cdot97 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot93 \text{ x} \\ 4\cdot97 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \cdot 00 \\ 5 \cdot 00 \end{array} $	$\frac{4\cdot94}{5\cdot00}$ x	$\frac{4.85 \text{ x}}{5.00}$	$\frac{4.95 \text{ x}}{4.97}$	$\frac{4 \cdot 87}{4 \cdot 87} x$	1 100		
Per cent Recovery	52.5			19.4	23.6	18.3	30.8	70.6	30.7		

14° F. то 16°F.

40 Sec. Rate	Deform	nations in	n 2" gaug	ge length		Unit=0.001"		
	SALL	Entry						
Load Incr. of 1,000 lb.	90	91	92	115	127	128	129	Average
1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030\\ 0.060\\ 0.065\\ 0.095\\ 0.340\\ 0.785\\ 2.185\\ 6.065\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.150\\ 0.260\\ 0.405\\ 0.495\\ 1.085\\ 2.355\\ 4.375\\ 11.56\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110\\ 0.220\\ 0.320\\ 0.410\\ 7.10\\ 0.780\\ 1.650\\ 2.285\\ 4.645\end{array}$	0.060 0.095 0.165 0.210 0.465	$\begin{array}{c} 0.200\\ 0.320\\ 0.475\\ 0.660\\ 0.870\\ 1.230\\ 2.305\\ 5.615\\ 15.77\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110\\ 0.210\\ 0.355\\ 0.520\\ 0.790\\ 1.350\\ 2.090\\ 4.225\\ 10.30\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.065\\ 0.075\\ 0.100\\ 0.105\\ 0.140\\ 1.555\\ 0.185\\ 0.205\\ 0.220\\ \end{array}$	0•103 0•177 0•269 0•356
Size of Specimen, Inches	$4.93 \text{ x} \\ 5.02$	$4.87 \text{ x} \\ 5.05$	$5 \cdot 00 x 5 \cdot 02$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot00 \end{array}$	$4.99 \text{ x} \\ 5.00 \text{ x}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot 00 \ \mathrm{x} \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array}$	$4.98 \text{ x} \\ 5.02$	ang ala
Per cent Recovery			2.2				72.0	10 101

 $\text{Unit}=0.001^{\prime\prime}$ Deformations in 2" gauge length 80 Sec. Rate Specimen Number Average Load Incr. of 1,000 lb. 152 150 132 149 126 130 131 $\begin{array}{c} 0.140\\ 0.200\\ 0.230\\ 0.275\\ 0.285\\ 0.310\\ 0.215\\ 0.265\\ 1.100\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.155\\ 0.245\\ 0.400\\ 0.535\\ 0.590\\ 0.555\\ 0.585\\ 0.685\\ 0.775\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 200\\ 0\cdot 310\\ 0\cdot 440\\ 0\cdot 560\\ 0\cdot 635\\ 0\cdot 660\\ 0\cdot 755\\ 0\cdot 915\\ 0\cdot 980\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.190\\ 0.230\\ 0.270\\ 0.335\\ 0.400\\ 0.560\\ 0.665\\ 0.955\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.125\\ 0.205\\ 0.270\\ 0.380\\ 0.420\\ 0.545\\ 0.935\\ 1.460\\ 2,470 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.060\\ 0.125\\ 0.170\\ 0.265\\ 0.290\\ 0.355\\ 0.450\\ 3.545\\ 0.825\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0\!\cdot\!175\\ 0\!\cdot\!215\\ 0\!\cdot\!220\\ 0\!\cdot\!225\\ 0\!\cdot\!195\\ 0\!\cdot\!225\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 134\\ 0\cdot 213\\ \cdot 2800\\ 0\cdot 359\\ 0\cdot 392\\ 0\cdot 436\\ 0\cdot 583\\ 1\cdot 024\\ 1\cdot 065\end{array}$ 1st 2nd..... 3rd..... 4th..... $2.630 \\ 5.340$ $\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 92 \\ 5\cdot 00 \end{array} x$ $4.93 \text{ x} \\ 5.02$ $\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 94 \\ 5 \cdot 04 \end{array} x$ 4.90 x 4.97 $4.96 \\ 4.97$ 4.91 x 4.93 $4.83 \text{ x} \\ 5.05$ Size of Specimen, Inches..... 23.5 13.3 18.8 32.0 12.0 $25 \cdot 0$ 39.5 Per cent Recovery.....

160 Sec. Rate Defe	Deformations in 2" gauge length Unit=0.001"								
and a section of the last	Specimen Number								
Load Incr. of 1,000 lb.	133	151	153	154	158	159	Average		
1st	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.130\\ 0.185\\ 0.250\\ 0.255\\ 0.270\\ 0.325\\ 0.320\\ 0.385\\ \end{array}$	0.185 0.370 0.545 0.845 1.120 2.270 7.510	0.400 0.635 0.860 1.130 1.680 4.435	$\begin{array}{c} 0.195\\ 0.400\\ 0.675\\ 0.975\\ 2.400\\ \\ \\ 14.19\\ \\ \\ \end{array}$	$0.175 \\ 0.340 \\ 0.455 \\ 0.550 \\ 0.555 \\ 0.460 \\ 1.385 \\ 9.455$	0.135 0.355 0.670 1.265 4.845	0.195 0.372 0.532 0.669 1.809		
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c c} 4\cdot90 \\ 4\cdot91 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 4.89 \\ 4.94 \end{array}$	4.97 x 4.99	$5.00 \text{ x} \\ 5.02$	4.93×5.03	$\begin{array}{c c} 5 \cdot 00 \\ 5 \cdot 02 \end{array}$			
Per cent Recovery	. 28.2						nero Sector		

=

+Specimens noted thus were approximately $10^{\prime\prime}$ high, all others being approximately $5^{\prime\prime}$ high. 45827-28

Loading Rate	Average of Deformations due to										
	1st 1,000	2nd 1,000	3rd 1,000	4th 1,000	5th 1,000	6th 1,000	7th 1,000	8th 1,000	9th 1,000		
5 secs 10 secs	$0.085 \\ 0.086$	$0.097 \\ 0.109$	0·109 0·138	$0.124 \\ 0.166$	0·139 0·209	$0.139 \\ 0.244$	$0.171 \\ 0.295$	0.193	0.321		
20 secs 40 secs 80 secs 160 secs	$0.093 \\ 0.103 \\ 0.134 \\ 0.995$	$0.120 \\ 0.177 \\ 0.213 \\ 0.372$	$0.175 \\ 0.269 \\ 0.280 \\ 0.532$	$0.254 \\ 0.356 \\ 0.359 \\ 0.669$	$0.375 \\ 0.629 \\ 0.392 \\ 1.800$	0·470 0·436	0.583	1.024	1.065		

SUMMARY OF TESTS AT 14° F. TO 16° F.

This table shows the same general results as those noted already at 28° F. to 30° F. There is, however, some irregularity in the deformations at the 80-seconds rate. These increase continuously for successive load increments, but the deformations for the 5th and 6th thousands of load do not fit in with the general law shown by the other columns as read vertically, being less than those noted at the 40-seconds rate. Whether this is the chance result of averages or not cannot be stated definitely, but it may be noted that it occurs at a loading stage (about 200 pounds per square inch) at which certain peculiarities seem to arise very frequently. Reference will be made to this later, when considering the effect of sustained loads of different intensities at this temperature. The reduction in the rate at which deformation increases as the loading increases is so marked at the 5-seconds loading rate that the average for the 6th thousand of load is the same as for the 5th thousand.

2°	F.	TO	3°	F.
_		~~	· · · ·	

5 Sec. Rate De	formations	s in 2" ga		Unit=0.001"				
Lond Iner of 1 000 lb	1 tone	1.1.9						
Load Incl. of 1,000 lb.	182	184	185	186	187	188	189	Average
1st	$\begin{array}{c} & 0.080 \\ & 0.070 \\ & 0.085 \\ & 0.085 \\ & 0.110 \\ & 0.110 \\ & 0.150 \\ & 0.130 \\ & 0.150 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110\\ 0.115\\ 0.125\\ 0.130\\ 0.140\\ 0.185\\ 0.470\\ 0.295\\ 0.200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ 0.075\\ 0.095\\ 0.095\\ 0.115\\ 0.140\\ 0.145\\ 0.180\\ 0.185\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.105\\ 0.110\\ 0.125\\ 0.185\\ 0.210\\ 0.370\\ 0.530\\ 0.355\\ 1.530\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060\\ 0.075\\ 9.090\\ 0.105\\ 0.125\\ 0.150\\ 0.315\\ 0.200\\ 0.340\\ \end{array}$	0.070 0.085 0.085 0.095 0.125	$\begin{array}{c} 0.075\\ 0.070\\ 0.110\\ 0.105\\ 0.130\\ 0.140\\ 0.120\\ 0.045\\ 0.170\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083\\ 0.086\\ 0.102\\ 0.114\\ 0.136\\ 0.183\\ 0.288\\ 0.201\\ 0.429\end{array}$
Size of Specimen, Inches	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.96 x 4.96	$\begin{array}{c} 4.95 \text{ x} \\ 4.96 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.87 \text{ x} \\ 5.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 92 \\ 4 \cdot 96 \end{array}$	$4 \cdot 93 \mathbf{x}$ $5 \cdot 00$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.93 \text{ x} \\ 4.98 \end{array}$	
Per cent Recovery	. 68.0	73.0	70.0		41.0		66.0	63.6

320 Secs. Rate Deformations in 2" gauge length Unit=0.001" Specimen Number Load Incr. of 1,000 lb. Average 196 197 201 202 203 $\begin{array}{c} 0.115\\ 0.190\\ 0.215\\ 0.245\\ 0.355\\ 0.475\\ 0.515\\ 0.500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.235\\ 0.485\\ 0.825\\ 1.160\\ 2.160\\ 5.300 \end{array}$ 1st 0.2450.1870.3640.5380.7331.1782,1670.2200.120 $\begin{array}{c} 0.220 \\ 0.375 \\ 0.500 \\ 0.630 \\ 0.690 \\ 0.980 \\ 1.520 \end{array}$ 2nd $0.120 \\ 0.290 \\ 0.355 \\ 0.460 \\ 0.720 \\ 1.375$ $0.480 \\ 0.795 \\ 1.170$ 3rd 4th 5th..... $1.965 \\ 2.705$ 6th..... 7th..... $0.680 \\ 2.050$ 8th..... 9th.... Size of Specimen, Inches..... $4 \cdot 92 \times 4 \cdot 98$ 4.94 x 4.97 4.93 x 4.98 4.87 x 4.95 4.95 2 Per cent Recovery..... .

These results show the same characteristics as were found at the higher temperatures. Deformations (average) increase progressively as the loading increases at the 5 seconds and 320 seconds loading rates, and are much greater at the latter than at the former. There is some irregularity at about 8,000 pounds load at 5 seconds, similar to that noted at 14° F. to 16° F. at loads of 5,000 pounds to 6,000 pounds. The deformations are noticeably less for the 8th thousand than for the 7th in five cases out of six, and this effect is well marked in the average column. It is possible that there is a critical stress at about this load at this temperature, and that such a critical stress exists at all temperatures well below 32° F., increasing in value as the temperature is reduced. The evidence of the above tests, and of others, at sustained loads at 14° F. to 16° F. tends to support such a view.

SUMMARY OF COMPRESSION TESTS AT DIFFERENT LOADING RATES AT TEMPERATURES RANGING FROM ABOUT 30° F. TO 3° F.

The results of the above tests are conveniently summarized for comparison in the following table. Average deformations of all tests under each particular condition are tabulated, firstly for different loading rates at a given temperature, and secondly for the same loading rates at different temperatures. Apart from the irregularities at certain loads referred to above, the deformations at any given temperature and stage of loading increase as rate of loading becomes slower, and at any given loading rate they decrease at any given stage of loading as the temperature drops.

Loading Rate	interin		Inc	rements	of 1,000	lbs. load			a ad i	Temn
Secs.	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	remp.
5 10 20 40 80	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 087 \\ 0 \cdot 112 \\ 0 \cdot 153 \\ 0 \cdot 172 \\ 0 \cdot 181 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120\\ 0.152\\ 0.269\\ 0.352\\ 0.505 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.165\\ 0.236\\ 0.448\\ 0.541\\ 0.881\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 249 \\ 0\cdot 384 \\ 0\cdot 711 \\ 0\cdot 787 \\ 1\cdot 579 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.337\\ 0.574\\ 1.281\\ 1.330\\ 3.249\end{array}$	0.454 0.777 1.986	0.708 1.133			28° F to 30° F
5 10 20 40 80 160	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 085\\ 0\cdot 086\\ 0\cdot 093\\ 0\cdot 103\\ 0\cdot 134\\ 0\cdot 195\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 097 \\ 0 \cdot 109 \\ 0 \cdot 120 \\ 0 \cdot 177 \\ 0 \cdot 213 \\ 0 \cdot 372 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.109\\ 0.138\\ 0.175\\ 0.269\\ 0.280\\ 0.532 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.124 \\ 0.166 \\ 0.254 \\ 0.356 \\ 0.359 \\ 0.669 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 139 \\ 0\cdot 209 \\ 0\cdot 357 \\ 0\cdot 629 \\ 0\cdot 392 \\ 1\cdot 809 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.139 \\ 0.244 \\ 0.470 \\ 0.436 \\ \end{array}$	0.171 0.295 0.583	0·193 	0·321	14° F to 16° F
5 320	0.083 0.187	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot086\\ 0\cdot364\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot102\\ 0\cdot538\end{array}$	$0.114 \\ 0.733$	$0.136 \\ 1.178$	$0.183 \\ 2,167$	0.288	0.201	0.429	2° F to 3° F
5 5 5	$0.087 \\ 0.085 \\ 0.083$	$1 \cdot 120 \\ 0 \cdot 970 \\ 0 \cdot 086$	$0.165 \\ 0.109 \\ 0.102$	$0.249 \\ 0.124 \\ 0.114$	$0.337 \\ 0.139 \\ 0.136$	$0.454 \\ 0.139 \\ 0.183$	0.708 0.171 0.288	0·193 0·201	0·321 0·429	28° F 14° F. 2° F
10 10	$0.112 \\ 0.086$	$0.152 \\ 0.109$	$0.236 \\ 0.138$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 384\\ 0\cdot 166\end{array}$	$0.574 \\ 0.209$	$0.777 \\ 0.244$	$1.133 \\ 0.295$			$\begin{array}{c} 28^\circ \ { m F} \\ 14^\circ \ { m F} \end{array}$
20	$0.153 \\ 0.093$	$0.269 \\ 0.120$	$0.448 \\ 0.175$	$\substack{0.711\\0.254}$	${1\cdot 281 \atop 0\cdot 357}$	$1.986 \\ 0.470$				28° F 14° F
40 40 80 80	$0.172 \\ 0.103 \\ 0.181 \\ 0.134$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352 \\ 0.177 \\ 0.505 \\ 0.213 \end{array}$	$0.541 \\ 0.269 \\ 0.881 \\ 0.280$	$0.787 \\ 0.356 \\ 1.579 \\ 0.359$	$1.330 \\ 0.629 \\ 3.249 \\ 0.392$				••••••	28° F 14° F 28° F 14° F

Deformations in 2" Gauge Length Unit=0.001"

45827-281

The great deformations at 28° F. as compared with those under corresponding conditions at 14° F. are very obvious, as also is the fact that the deformations at 28° F. increase much more as the rate of loading becomes slower than do the corresponding deformations at 14° F. These results are of great significance in considering the pressure which ice can exert against such structures as dams.

DEFORMATIONS UNDER SUSTAINED LOADS AT 14° F. TO 16° F.

A series of tests was made to determine the deformation under sustained compressive loads of different intensities, ranging from about 100 pounds per square inch to 400 pounds per square inch. The load required to produce these conditions was applied in all cases at the rate of 250 pounds per 5 seconds, and the deformations then read at regular intervals under sustained load, the weighing beam of the testing machine being kept floating by rotating the screws as the blocks yielded. The mean of the readings of two extensometers was taken, as in all previous tests, and the curves showing deformations plotted against the time during which the loads were sustained are very regular. (See Plate 5.) Only a sufficient number of points are plotted to enable curves to be drawn. All deformations were measured in 2-inch gauge length.

A load of 103 pounds per square inch maintained for $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours caused a total deformation of 0.0016 inches, and a load of 300 pounds per square inch caused a total deformation of 0.022 inches in about 8 minutes. The curve for a load of 400 pounds per square inch could not be plotted on the same time scale, being practically coincident with the deformation axis. The form of the curves for loads of 103 pounds per square inch and 300 pounds per square inch suggested that there might be some particular load intensity for which the curve would be a straight line, and several tests were made to investigate this point. Curves are shown for load intensities of 150, 175, 190 and 198 pounds per square inch. It will be seen that these curves fall in regular order, and that there is a large field open between the curves for 190 pounds per square inch and 198 pounds per square inch. This is specially interesting in view of the results already noted in the tests under loads applied at different rates, when it was found that deformations per 1,000 pounds of load increment were frequently less for, say, the 6th thousand than for the 5th thousand. This peculiarity or irregularity was generally observed at a load of about 200 pounds per square inch and the sustained load tests showed that for load intensities of this order the informations vary greatly. Thus at 190 pounds per square inch the total deformations in $1\frac{1}{2}$ hours was 0.0066 inches, and at 198 pounds per square inch it was 0.027 inches in about 45 minutes. Reference to the tables for progressive loading at different rates at this temperature shows that the deformations for the higher loads varied very greatly, the departure of individual readings from the average being distinctly greater, at large loads than at small loads. The curves shown for sustained loads are for single tests only, but it is improbable that the regular order in which they lie is the result of chance. The evidence points towards the view that at a load intensity of about 200 pounds per square inch the ice is in a critical condition. There may be some inter-crystalline slip or cleavage at this load, followed by yielding of very variable rate, which cannot be predicted from the appearance of the ice as seen by the eye. It is also interesting to note that the modulus of rupture found from the beam tests described later was of the order of 200 pounds per square inch.

Irregularities in the deformation per 1,000 pounds of load increment were also noted at 3° F., but at higher loads. No sustained load tests were possible at this temperature, but it may well be that there is a critical load at this temperature, of a higher value than that suggested by the tests at 14° F.

BENDING TESTS—MODULUS OF ELASTICITY AND MODULUS OF RUPTURE

A number of bending tests were made at the same temperatures as in the compression tests described above, and at different loading rates. In all cases the beams were approximately 3 inches wide by 2 inches deep, and the span was 41 inches. Glass or brass bearing plates were placed between the beam and the supports to distribute the pressure, and the load was applied in increments of 1 pound at each of two loading sections 14 inches from the supports. Half-round, wooden-bearing blocks were placed across the beam, and cords were passed over the top and notched ends of these, being kept vertical and close to the faces of the beam by wooden spacing bars at a convenient distance below the beam. A cord attached to the centre of the spacer bars carried a circular piece of wood on which the slot weights were placed, to load the beams. The supports were carried on a heavy lathe bed, on which was set a telescope by which the central deflections were read on a small steel scale attached to the face of the beam by freezing in place under a small pressure. The deflections were estimated to 0.001 inches. (The general arrangement is shown in the blue print at the beginning of the report.)

Preliminary tests showed that the recovery was not complete even for very small loads, and that the deflection increased continuously over long periods under sustained load. It was decided to make the tests at different loading rates, the procedure being as follows:—

After the zero reading of the scale had been taken, each of two operators added 1 pound load at his stirrup, and the deflection was read say 5 seconds later. Similar loads were added and deflections read every 5 seconds until the beam broke. Tests were made in the same way with loading intervals of 10, 20 and 40 seconds at temperatures approximately 14°F. and 28°F. Time did not permit making tests at 3° F.

In all cases the deflections per pound increment of load increased as the loading proceeded, so that values of the modulus of elasticity computed for successive stages of loading would show continuously decreasing values. Approximations to the form of the load-deflection curves were made by drawing two straight lines, representing the first and second stages of loading, the latter extending to the point of fracture. This was deemed to be sufficient, as the results cannot be compared as simply as those for the compression tests. In the latter the blocks were all approximately 5 inches by 5 inches, so that deformations due to a given load were comparable. But in the case of the beams, the specimens could not be prepared so easily to a definite size, and variations in breadth and depth, particularly the latter, affect the stress due to any given load. All the beams broke suddenly and fracture occurred at or near the loading point. The modulus of rupture and values of "E" corresponding to the two stages of loading defined above were computed for all beams, and the results are shown in the tables appended, and in plates 6 and 7. Tests were made with crystals horizontal and vertical, the average of three tests being given, except in cases where only two tests were made.

Examination of the results shows in general:---

- (1) That values of "E" are somewhat greater when the crystals are vertical than when they are horizontal.
- (2) That values of "E" are greater at 14°F. than at 28°F. under similar conditions.
- (3) That values of "E" decrease as the load increases under all conditions and generally as the length of the loading time interval increases for both stages of loading.
- (4) That the modulus of rupture is about the same value for crystals horizontal and crystals vertical, but is much greater at 14°F. than at 28°F., the average of all tests at these temperatures being 226 pounds per square inch and 171 pounds per square inch respectively.
- (5) That the modulus of rupture does not vary much at the different loading rates.

Other comparisons may appear from a study of the results, but it is worth suggesting that there may be a connection between the modulus of rupture and the load intensity at which certain peculiarities were noted, particularly at 14°F., during the compression tests. These have also been referred to in describing the results of tests at 14°F. under sustained loads, and the evidence suggests that a critical condition, possibly related to inter-crystalline displacements, exists at a load intensity of about 200 pounds per square inch. The average modulus of rupture for 24 beams at this temperature was 226 pounds per square inch.

In the compression tests at 28° F. to 30° F. the deformation increased continuously for successive load increments of 1,000 pounds. There were no cases similar to those at 14° F., in which the actual deformations for certain of the later increments of load were less than those for earlier increments. But the tables show that in many cases, such for example as Specimens 36, 45 and 49 at the five seconds loading rate (page 16), the *increases* in the deformations per 1,000 pounds of added load were less between the 4th and 5th thousands than between the 3rd and 4th. The average modulus of rupture of 21 beams was 171 pounds per square inch, which corresponds to a load of about 4,250 pounds on a 5-inch by 5-inch cube.

This distinct lagging in the deformations at higher loads was noted also in some of the compression tests at $3^{\circ}F$, and occurred at higher loads than those at which it was noted at $14^{\circ}F$. No beam tests were made at $3^{\circ}F$, so that modulus of rupture values are not available, but the evidence so far as it goes is consistent and interesting. Tests on the compressive or crushing strength of ice showed that it becomes greater as the temperature is lowered. (See page 441.) This also is consistent with the results just described.

the the statist the blocks were all approximately 5 notes by 5 index or that determinican doe to a given load were comparide. But in the case of the beams, the speciment could not be unpaired so cally to a didnine det, and solve given load. All the beams broke authorized the latent effect the stress dow to the loading floid. The modulate at represented values of "I" convepting the loading floid. The modulate at represented when a the test and the to the two stores of loading dominate above were exampled for all beams, and the results are shown in the tablies approach and in plane 6 and 7. Tools were made with erystals horizontal and vertical, the coverant of three tests being and a with erystals horizontal and vertical, the averant of three tests being eiten, except in reases when only two to the were made.

and the		and in a	with Deally	First	Stage	Second	l Stage	1 lb. load
Test No.	В	D	Crystals	Bending stress	-	Modulus of rupture		at each stirrup in
	ins.	ins.	PB P	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	d muit
98 2·89 1·97 99 2·99 1·99	$1.97 \\ 1.99$	Hor		$836,000\745,000$	167 190	$555,000 \\ 622,000$	5 secs.	
	Mean	83.0	790,000	178	588,000	mid mmi		
18 19 20	$2 \cdot 90 \\ 2 \cdot 90 \\ 3 \cdot 00$	$1.90 \\ 1.95 \\ 1.95 \\ 1.95$	Vert	81.0 101.0 61.0	750,000 602,000 670,000	$\begin{array}{r}177\\200\\134\end{array}$	429,000 316,000 462,000	5 secs.
			Mean	81.0	674,000	170	402,000	
96 97	$2.89 \\ 2.92$	$1.86 \\ 1.93$	Hor	$76 \cdot 2 \\ 94 \cdot 0$	686,000 533,000	160 187	386,000 330,000	10 secs.
and the second		64.00	Mean	85.0	610,000	173	358,000	J. B.L. BAL
21 22 23	$2.86 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.90$	$1.93 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.92$	Vert	$72 \cdot 0 \\ 105 \cdot 0 \\ 79 \cdot 5$	790,000 682,000 780,000	$ \begin{array}{r} 143 \\ 210 \\ 158 \end{array} $	$566,000 \\ 423,000 \\ 450,000$	10 secs.
dear Of		11 12	Mean	85.5	751,000	170	480,000	

BEAM TESTS AT TEMPERATURES FROM 28°F. TO 30°F.

N.B.—All beams were supported freely on a span of 41 inches, and loaded equally at sections 14 inches from each support. E was computed from the central deflection.

head and 1		als have		First	Stage	Second	l Stage	1 lb. load
Test No.	В	D	Crystals	Bending stress		Modulus of rupture	-	at each stirrup in
	ins.	ins.	ALL TE	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	
94 95	$3.00 \\ 2.99$	$1.93 \\ 1.98$	Hor	$69 \cdot 6 \\ 81 \cdot 0$	$473,000 \\ 427,000$	$129 \cdot 5 \\ 138 \cdot 5$	$247,000 \\ 256,000$	the state
			Mean	75.3	450,000	134.0	251,000	20 secs.
24 25 26	$2 \cdot 92 \\ 2 \cdot 90 \\ 3 \cdot 04$	$1.95 \\ 1.95 \\ 1.94$	Vert	$100 \cdot 0 \\ 116 \cdot 0 \\ 83 \cdot 0$	640,000 830,000 513,000	$\begin{array}{r} 229 \cdot 0 \\ 306 \cdot 0 \\ 193 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	434,000 579,000 227,000	1
	000 1	2 0	Mean	100.0	661,000	243.0	413,000	20 secs.
176 177 178	$2.86 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.97$	$1.93 \\ 1.86 \\ 1.93$	Hor	87.3 84.5 77.8	$415,000 \\ 335,000 \\ 315,000$	$158 \cdot 0$ $135 \cdot 0$ $131 \cdot 0$	$164,000\\163,000\\150,000$	······································
			Mean	83.2	355,000	141.0	159,000	40 secs.
179 180 181	$2.85 \\ 2.83 \\ 2.98$	$1.98 \\ 1.95 \\ 1.85$	Vert	$99 \cdot 4 71 \cdot 5 75 \cdot 3$	$218,000 \\ 473,000 \\ 531,000$	$ \begin{array}{r} 197 \cdot 0 \\ 126 \cdot 0 \\ 133 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 127,000\\ 191,000\\ 239,000\end{array}$	141 111 111
			Mean	82.1	441,000	152.0	186,000	40 secs.

BEAM TESTS AT TEMPERATURES FROM 28°F. TO 30°F.-Continued

N.B.—All beams were supported freely on a span of 41 inches, and loaded equally at sections 14 inches from each support. E was computed from the central deflection.

BEAM TESTS AT TEMPERATURES FROM 14°F. TO 16°F.

Tost		In Dear		First	Stage	Second	l Stage	1 lb, load	
No. I	В	D	D	Crystals	Bending stress		Modulus of rupture	-	at each stirrup in
	ins.	ins.	and the second	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	cases for	
$\begin{array}{c} 61\\ 63\\ 140\end{array}$	$2.89 \\ 3.00 \\ 2.92$	$1.90 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.96$	Hor	$113 \cdot 5 \\ 119 \cdot 0 \\ 129 \cdot 0$	$690,000 \\ 975,000 \\ 866,000$	$210 \cdot 0$ $232 \cdot 0$ $253 \cdot 0$	582,000 695,000 770,000	5 secs.	
			Mean	127.0	844,000	232.0	682,000		
$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 141\\ 142\end{array}$	$2 \cdot 91 \\ 2 \cdot 89 \\ 2 \cdot 85$	$1.84 \\ 1.90 \\ 1.93$	Vert	$94 \cdot 5 \\ 113 \cdot 5 \\ 112 \cdot 0$	877,000 787,000 761,000	$205 \cdot 0$ 178 $\cdot 0$ 191 $\cdot 0$	$713,000 \\ 692,000 \\ 660,000$	5 secs.	
-			Mean	107.0	808,000	191.0	688,000	it would	
137 58 59	$2 \cdot 90 \\ 2 \cdot 89 \\ 2 \cdot 88$	$1.87 \\ 1.96 \\ 1.94$	Hor	$115.5 \\ 84.5 \\ 94.0$	721,000 685,000 637,000	$266 \cdot 0$ 213 \cdot 0 172 \cdot 0	614,000 541,000 472,000	10 secs.	
	5 001 mil		Mean	95.0	681,000	217.0	542,000		
60 138 139	$2.89 \\ 2.85 \\ 2.78$	$1 \cdot 92 \\ 1 \cdot 95 \\ 1 \cdot 86$	Vert	$\begin{array}{c} 119 \cdot 0 \\ 102 \cdot 0 \\ 104 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$874,000 \\ 672,000 \\ 714,000$	$237 \cdot 0$ $203 \cdot 0$ $209 \cdot 0$	$643,000 \\ 554,000 \\ 490,000$	10 secs.	
-			Mean	108.0	753,000	216.0	562,000		

N.B.—All beams were supported freely on a span of 41 inches, and loaded equally at sections 14 inches from each support. E was computed from the central deflection.

	1	1	1	1		-		
Test		He have	B	First	Stage	Second	l Stage	1 lb. load
No. B D	Crystals	Bending stress		Modulus of rupture	-12	at each stirrup in		
1	ins.	ins.	11	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	lb. per sq. in.	E lb. per sq. in.	ted also
55 134 135	$2 \cdot 90 \\ 2 \cdot 88 \\ 2 \cdot 90$	$1.90 \\ 1.97 \\ 2.00$	Hor	$101 \cdot 0 \\ 136 \cdot 5 \\ 125 \cdot 0$	$586,000 \\ 598,000 \\ 692,000$	$209 \cdot 0$ $256 \cdot 0$ $219 \cdot 0$	299,000 387,000 524,000	20 secs.
	14.0.11		Mean	121.0	625,000	228.0	403,000	
56 57 136	$2 \cdot 94 \\ 2 \cdot 86 \\ 2 \cdot 89$	$1.85 \\ 1.87 \\ 1.99$	Vert	$107 \cdot 0$ 93 · 0 112 · 0	670,000 637,000 653,000	$\begin{array}{c} 201 \cdot 0 \\ 202 \cdot 0 \\ 222 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 400,000\\ 345,000\\ 447,000\end{array}$	20 secs.
	0.0.53		Mean	104.0	653,000	208.0	397,000	
143 144 145	$2.86 \\ 2.96 \\ 2.84$	$1.96 \\ 1.96 \\ 2.01$	Hor	$109 \cdot 0 \\ 121 \cdot 0 \\ 105 \cdot 0$	$526,000 \\ 608,000 \\ 710,000$	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \cdot 0 \\ 301 \cdot 0 \\ 296 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	352,000 424,000 522,000	40 secs.
		-	Mean	112.0	615,000	281.0	432,000	
146 147 148	$2 \cdot 90 \\ 2 \cdot 91 \\ 2 \cdot 91 \\ 2 \cdot 91$	$1 \cdot 95 \\ 1 \cdot 90 \\ 1 \cdot 93$	Vert	$93 \cdot 0 \\ 105 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 0$	$566,000 \\ 712,000 \\ 948,000$	$230 \cdot 0$ $177 \cdot 0$ $311 \cdot 0$	$274,000 \\ 465,000 \\ 558,000$	40 secs.
	1000 208	1 9	Mean	99.0	742,000	240.0	432,000	

BEAM TESTS AT TEMPERATURES FROM 14°F. TO 16°F.-Continued

N.B.—All beams were supported freely on a span of 41 inches, and loaded equally at sections 14 inches rom each support. E was computed from the central deflection.

COMPRESSION OR CRUSHING STRENGTH OF ICE

The tests described above show that the term "compression or crushing strength of ice" is meaningless in itself. The behaviour of ice in compression is different at the same rates of loading at different temperatures, and at different rates of loading at the same temperature. The time-factor is the all important quantity. To obtain characteristic compression fractures the load must be applied rapidly, so that the ice has no opportunity to "flow", and tests were made at different temperatures with this principle in mind. After preliminary experimenting it was found that two operators, one moving the balance weight along the lever and the other rotating the screws of the machine, could apply the load continuously, at the rate of 1,000 pounds in 2 seconds. This was the most rapid rate which could be controlled, and was adopted as a standard. In some cases the blocks did not fail under 10,000-pound load (approximately 400 pounds per square inch) applied at this rate, and tests were made in which, the balance weight having been set at a given reading, the screws were rotated as rapidly as possible so as to apply the load quickly. Sometimes the blocks carried 400 pounds per square inch applied in 1²/₅ seconds and in other cases the blocks failed before the beam floated, so that the load carried was not known. When the 5 inch by 5 inch blocks did not fail at the full capacity load of the machine, smaller specimens were cut from other 5 inch by 5 inch blocks and tested at the standard loading rate. A summary of tests made at tempera-tures about 14° F, 2° F, and 28° F is appended, this being the order in which tests were made.

14°F.

LOADS APPLIED AT RATE OF 1,000 POUNDS IN 2 SECONDS NORMALLY TO CRYSTALS

Number	Size	Maximum load	Pound per square inch at failure	Remarks
Creat Price Stations	inches	lb.	dera hie	restant in right at each
101	$\dots 4 \cdot 90 \ge 4 \cdot 94$	10,000	Not fail	Faint crackling 8,250 pounds. Flowing under maximum load.
102	$5 \cdot 02 \ge 5 \cdot 01$	10,000	"	Crackling, medium clouding when load
103	4.82 x 5.00	10,000	**	Crackling. Heavy clouding with 10,000 pounds sustained
104 107 113	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10,000 10,000 10,000	 	Light clouding upper part after unloading. Faint crackling.
116	$\frac{3 \cdot 99 \times 4 \cdot 00}{4 \cdot 00 \times 4 \cdot 16}$	10,000	u	square inch. Loud crackling. Load 600 pounds per
119 120 121	3.06 x 3.39 3.41 x 3.37 3.41 x 3.39	7,250 3,250 8,250	672 282 715	square inch. Typical compression failure. """

Note.—All specimens were approximately 5 inches high. Numbers 119, 120 and 121 were cut from the same block.

Number	Size	Pound per Maximum load	square inch at failure	Time	Remarks
	inches	lb.	apporte o	secs.	and take as withinks belligas
105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 107	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot78 \ge 5\cdot00\\ 4\cdot98 \ge 5\cdot00\\ 4\cdot87 \ge 4\cdot96\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ 10,000\\ \end{array}$	Not fail " " " " "	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 0 \\ 2 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 8 \\ 1 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 4 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	Load applied suddenly 7 times. No sign of failure. Slightly clouded on removal of load. The block has been loaded previously to 10,000 pounds at standard rate. Number 104 in table above. Faint uniform clouding upper part. Tested previously at standard rate. Much clouding under sustained maxi-
113	4 · 45 x 4 · 49	10,000	501	$2 \cdot 0$	Tested previously at standard rate.
118 122	$4 \cdot 04 \ge 4 \cdot 08$ $4 \cdot 98 \ge 5 \cdot 02$	8,250 10,000	502 Not fail	1.4 1.2	Typical compression failure. Typical compression failure. This block had been used in sustained load tests, 150 pounds per square inch. (Plate 5). Cleavage plane developing from top to bottom and parallel to crystals.

14°F. LOADS APPLIED SUDDENLY (TIMES AS STATED) NORMALLY TO CRYSTALS

Note.-All specimens were approximately 5 inches high.

LOADS APPLIED AT RATE OF 1,000 POUNDS IN 2 SECONDS. 2°F.

Number	Size	Load to crystals	Maximum load	Pound per square inch at failure	Remarks
	inches		lb.	101 40 1	Allenger by The State
$ \begin{array}{c} 190\\ +191\\ +192\\ 193\\ 104 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 98 \ x \ 2 \cdot 96 \\ 2 \cdot 95 \ x \ 3 \cdot 00 \\ 2 \cdot 92 \ x \ 2 \cdot 95 \\ 2 \cdot 95 \ x \ 3 \cdot 00 \end{array}$	Normal " Parallel		963 735 617 932	Typical fracture. Like tent with ridge Conical. Split from top to bottom.
194	2.94×2.96 2.93×2.93	. "	6,750 10,000	776 Not fail Over 1 165	Cleavage planes developing.
198 199 200	$2 \cdot 97 \ge 3 \cdot 00$ $2 \cdot 91 \ge 2 \cdot 85$ $2 \cdot 99 \ge 3 \cdot 00$	Normal "	5,000 7,250	561 874	Typical failure. Like tent with ridge.

N.B.-All specimens were approximately 5 inches high.

Specimens 191 and 192 probably had crystals parallel to load. Types of fracture were quite distinct for the two cases. All original blocks 5 inches by 5 inches were carefully marked to show direction of crystals, and in cutting these down to ensure failure at loads within the capacity of the machine, some error may have arisen. Blocks were brittle and difficult to saw without chipping at this low temperature.

BLOCKS AT 28°F. 1,000 POUNDS IN 2 SECONDS

Number	Size	Load to crystals	Maximum load	Pound per sugare inch at failure	Remarks
the Lables	inches	6.289 6.65	lb.	te ginden	The tests show that UP a
204	4.86 x 5.03	Normal	10,000	Not fail	Crackling at 2,250 pounds.
205	$5 \cdot 00 \ge 5 \cdot 00$	"	10,000		Clouded.
206	4.04 x 4.06	"	5,250	320	Clouded and flowed very rapidly.
207	$4.03 \ge 4.06$	"	3,500	214	Typical fracture. Flowed rapidly.
208	$3 \cdot 92 \ge 4 \cdot 03$	"	4,750	300	Typical fracture. Ridge parallel to crystals.
209	3.95 x 4.15		5.000	305	
210	3.93 x 4.05	"	4,350	273	" " "
210	4.05 x 5.10	Parallel	6,800	329	Typical fracture. Conical.
919	4.00 x 4.00	"	4,000	250	
912	3.08 x 4.03	•	10,000	Not fail	and the Part State of the West State of the
215	$5 \cdot 00 \ge 5 \cdot 00$	Normal	8,000	320	Typical fracture. Ridge parallel to crystals.
916	5.00 x 5.00	"	9 750	390	" " "
217	4.82×4.84	**	10,000	Not fail	the day line introduce and several of

LOADS APPLIED SUDDENLY. TIMES AS STATED. 28°F.

204	4.86 x 5.03	Normal	10,000 in.	409	Load applied immediatly after standard loading in table above (Bidge frac-
213	$3 \cdot 98 \ge 4 \cdot 03$	Parallel	8,000 in. 1.2 secs.	498	ture.) Table above. Conical fracture.
217	4.82 x 4.84	Normal	8,000 in. 1 sec.	343	Table above. Ridge fracture.

N.B.-All specimens were approximately 5 inches high.

Most of the tests were made with the load normal to the crystals, but a few were included with the load parallel to the crystals. In the former, the typical failure was tent-like, the horizontal crystals forming a ridge parallel to the loaded faces, while in the latter distinctly conical fractures resulted. There appears to be little difference between the strengths at failure under these two conditions, and there was considerable variation in results at each temperature.

At 28° F. the average ultimate strength of nine specimens which failed under the standard rate of loading was 300 pounds per square inch. Three others which had not failed under the standard rate of loading were broken immediately afterwards by suddenly applied loads, the average strength being 417 pounds per square inch. The load in two cases was of less intensity than had been sustained previously.

At 14° F. the loads carried were higher than at 28° F. none of the specimens measuring approximately 5 inches by 5 inches failing at a load of 10,000 pounds even when this was applied suddenly. One specimen No. 105, withstood this sudden load seven times after being loaded previously to 10,000 pounds at the standard rate. Of three specimens Nos. 119, 120 and 121 which failed at the standard rate of loading, No. 120 failed unaccountably at a much lower load than the other two. All were cut from the same block. The mean of the two higher results is 693 pounds per square inch, which compares rationally with 600 pounds per square inch and 625 pounds per square inch for Nos. 116 and 117 respectively, these being loads which did not cause failure. Of six blocks tested under suddenly applied loads, only two failed, at approximately 500 pounds per square inch.

At 2° F. eight out of nine blocks tested failed under the standard loading rate, and the average ultimate strength was 811 pounds per square inch. The other block, with crystals vertical, carried over 1,165 pounds per square inch applied at the standard rate without failure. The strengths were approximately the same for both conditions of loading.

The tests show that the crushing strengths of the given blocks loaded at the rate of 1,000 pounds in 2 seconds were as follows:—

Temp. °F. Cru	shing strength, lb./sq. in.
28	300
14	693
2	811

For other loading rates different figures would be obtained. It has been shown that the time element is probably the greatest factor in determining the pressure of ice against a structure. Conclusions drawn from the crushing strength alone are of no value. The crushing strength itself at any given temperature depends on the rate of loading.

MISCELLANEOUS TESTS

WEIGHT OF ICE.—By measuring and weighing a block of ice approximately 5 inches by 5 inches by 10 inches at a temperature of 28° F., the weight per cubic foot was found to be 57.4 pounds. This figure was used in the calculations for the modulus of rupture in the beam tests.

DEFLECTION OF BEAM UNDER SMALL SUSTAINED LOADS. A beam 2.90 inches wide by 1.95 inches deep was loaded on a span of 41 inches by equal weights placed 14 inches from each support, as in the tests for modulus of rupture. Each load was 4 pounds and the bending stress, including that due to the beam itself and the stirrups, was about 55 pounds per square inch. The deflections were read at intervals for several days, and on the first day the recovery when the load was removed was observed for about $4\frac{3}{4}$ hours. There was about 0.025 inch recovery in a total deflection of 0.158 inch and under sustained load the deflection increased steadily to 0.585 inch in 6 days when the test was stopped. The temperature during the test was from 28° F. to 30° F. The following table shows the results:—

Date	Hour	Load at each loading point	Deflection in inches
February 19 February 20	5.55 p.m. 5.55 p.m. 10.00 a.m. 10.05 a.m. 10.15 a.m.	Stirrup only Stirrup and 4 lbs Stirrup only	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \ (\text{datum}) \\ 0.002 \\ 0.158 \\ 0.145 \\ 0.134 \end{array}$
February 22	11.30 a.m. 1.15 p.m. 2.45 p.m. 2.50 p.m. 2.00 p.m.	" Stirrup and 4 lbs	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.133 \\ 0.132 \\ 0.133 \\ 0.141 \\ 0.240 \end{array} $ Steady
February 23	4.45 p.m. 10.15 a.m. 1.15 p.m.	66 66 66 66 67 66	0·358 0·418 0·428
February 24	4.00 p.m. 10.00 a.m. 1.00 p.m.		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.435 \\ 0.490 \\ 0.500 \end{array} $
February 25	4.30 p.m. 9.00 a.m. noon 4.00 p.m.		$0.509 \\ 0.567 \\ 0.572 \\ 0.585$

DEFLECTION OF BEAMS UNDER THEIR OWN WEIGHT. Two beams approximately 3 inches wide by 2 inches deep were supported side by side with their ends free, and allowed to bend under their own weight.

One, with crystals horizontal, had a span of 54.5 inches, and after 20 days had deflected $9\frac{1}{4}$ inches or 17 per cent of the span, resembling a letter "V". The other, with crystals vertical, had a span of $51\frac{1}{2}$ inches, and deflected only 1 inch, or about 2 per cent of the span in the same period.

Another beam of the same dimensions with crystals horizontal, was set so as to project 40 inches as a horizontal cantilever and allowed to deflect under its own weight. The vertical deflection at the free end after 16 days was $13\frac{5}{8}$ inches, or 34 per cent of the cantilever length.

The room temperature was from 28° F. to 30° F., during these tests, and the results show clearly the plastic nature of ice under small loads at this temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Thanks are due to the Harbour Commissioners of Montreal for allowing the use of suitable rooms at the Cold Storage Warehouse, and to the staff at the warehouse who were directly concerned in the control of room temperatures. The author of this report, under whose direction the tests were carried out, wishes to pay special tribute to the invaluable assistance received from Mr. E. D. McIntosh, of the Department of Railways and Canals, and the members of his staff engaged in the preparation and testing of specimens. It is largely due to Mr. McIntosh's enthusiasm and skill in supervising the testing, that so much work was accomplished in the time available for the tests.

(Sgd.) E. BROWN.





10.00

St. Lawrence Waterway Project



448

St. Lawrence Waterway Project





10 million

450

Lawrence Waterway Project

St.



St. Lawrence Waterway Project




APPENDIX G

CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

1. The works required for the improvement of the St. Lawrence are divided into five sections. The works proposed in each section are independent of those proposed in other sections, but the program of construction has been prepared on the basis that through navigation be completed in all sections seven years after the beginning of the works.

2. The time required to complete the through navigation project is largely dependent upon the works in the International Rapids Section, as there the expenditure is larger than in other sections and the works are more difficult to execute.

3. The opening of the St. Lawrence to through deep-draught navigation, with the power works initially connected therewith, under the various plans presented by the Board, will require, in round figures, the following:—

And the second s	Cubic yards
oncrete	7.000.000
arth excavation, dry	80,000,000
Earth excavation, dredging40,000,000 to	50,000,000
lock excavation, dry	12,000,000
Kock excavation, subaqueous	2,300,000

The execution of this work will require the acquisition of considerable new plant which will have relatively little value after the completion of the work. As a consequence, the value of the plant used must be absorbed in the cost of the work. This, along with interest accumulations during the progress of the work, indicates that maximum economy will be secured by choosing a construction period of about seven years for the heaviest part of the work.

4. A detailed construction program, based on these premises, follows. This program is intended to show a sequence of operations by which the work can be executed in seven years, but it is not designed to circumscribe the operations of the engineers in charge of the actual construction. The program is based on completion in seven years after actual construction is begun, with the understanding that unforeseen conditions may force an extension of the time.

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

Item			Year							
		1 2		4	5	6	7			
Thousand Island Section					x	x	x			
Dam and power houses at foot of Barnhart Island— Construction railroads, camps, construction plant, etc. Excavation power houses	x	x x								
Superstructures and installations machinery	· · · · · · ·		x	x	x x	x	x			
Closure of dam and raising pool Tail-race excavation	· · · · · · · ·	x	X 	x	x	x	x			
		1	x	x	x	x	x			

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE-Continued

the second s	Year						
Item	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Insternational Power Section-Con.		الجار			-ali		Leona C
Navigation Works-		Long L		10 10			
Approach channel above Robinson Bay lock		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x	x	x	
Corol prism Robinson Bay lock to Grass River lock.			x	X	X		
Grass River lock and wasteway				x	x	x	x
Approach channel, Grass River lock to river							x
Dike at Grass River lock.						x	x
Diversion dike and flood channel, mouth of Glass						x	x
Diversion-Ottawa Branch, New York Central Rail-					-	(IT SHE	(
road						x	x
Dredging, south Cornwall Island channel		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x	x	x	x	x
Excavation, north Cornwall Island channel	X						
Dykes and drainage ditches	x	x	x	x	x	X	
Protection Iroquois					x	x	
Protection Morrisburg					x	x	
Fourteen-foot lock at Bergen Lake			x		····· x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Control works, nead of Massena power canar					-	-	
At Chimney Point					x	x	x
Above Galop Island	x	x					
Cut through Island	X	X	X	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Channel below cut	Å	A .			x	x	
Sparrowhawk Point to Ogden Island			x	X	x	x	x
Control works at Galop			x	x	x		
Railroad relocation					x	X	
Highway relocation				x	x	x	
Clearing pool						-	
Orden Island Project No. 4-224-	ten Reko	in Line	the los	I K ITTE	Rideold I	-	(Com
Channel enlargement north of Galop Island	x	x					
Dam in channel north of Galop Island		X					
Excavation at Chimney Point			X				
Excavation of south Galop channel			x	x			
Removal of cofferdams					x		
Excavation, Sparrowhawk Point to Ogden Island			x	x			
Diversion at Ogden Island and channel south of Ogden	x	x					
Dam in diversion at Ogden Island		x					
Lock at Ogden Island	x	x,					
Power house south of Ogden Island	. X	X					
Cofferdam north of Ogden Island		x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Diversion through Long Sault Island	x	x	x				
Dam in south Sault channel		x					
Dam at head of Barnhart Island				x	X	x	
Power houses at foot of Barnhart Island				X	X	X	X
Excavation at foot of Barnhart Island		x	x		A		A
Concrete in Grass River lock				x	x		
Excavation of channel, Robinson Bay lock to Grass	S				-	(DDD	
River				X	x		
Concrete in Robinson Bay lock and guard gates					×	x	x
Excavation of channel above Robinson Day look				x	x	x	x
Lock for 14-foot navigation, Canadian mainland						x	
Diversion of Ottawa and New York Railroad					X	X	
Dykes and drainage ditches, Morrisburg to Barnhar	t	100	1.00				
Island				x	x	X	
New Massena Canal Intake						x	x
Dykes, United Diates sub							
Chrysler Island Project No. 5-217-			March M		1 - 10	and ed	
Channel enlargement at Chimney Point		X	x	X			
Channel enlargement north of Galop Island	. X	X					

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE—Continued

Item	Year							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Dam in channel north of Gelon Island		-		See.	Towns of	anent h	Tenters I	
Cofferdams above and below channel south of Galon		x						
Island.			x					
Removal of cofferdams.			x	x				
Enlargement of channels, Sparrowhawk Point to Morris-					A			
Cofferdams at sites of United States and Canadian			x	x				
power houses Chrysler Island	x	x						
Construction of power houses at Crysler Island		x	x	x				
Island	T	T	1.00		-			
North 2,200 feet of dam at Crysler Island			x	x				
Excavation of sites for lock opposite Weavers Point	x							
Excavation of material in channel above and below lock		x	x	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •			
opposite Weavers Point.			x	x				
dykes. Iroquois to Crysler Island	-20-	in me	×					
Excavation of head-race North, Crysler Island power				*			•••••	
house			x	x		x		
Diversion through Long Sault Island	x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · x	x	х			
Dam in south Sault channel		x						
Power houses at foot of Barnhart Island			• • • • • •	X	x	x		
Excavation at foot of Barnhart Island				X	X	x	x	
Excavation of Grass River lock		x	x					
Excavation of channel, Robinson Bay lock to Grass				x	x		• • • • • • •	
River.				x	x			
Excavation of channel above Robinson Bay lock						x	x	
Excavation north and south of Cornwall Island				x	x	x	x	
Lock for 14-foot navigation, Canadian mainland						x		
Dykes and drainage ditches. Morrisburg to Barnhart	•••••				x	x		
Island.				x	x	x		
New Massena Canal intake Dykes, United States side	••••••			x	x			
- 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5						x	x	
Lake St. Francis Section					x	x	·x	
Soulanges Section—Ile aux Vaches Project—1st Stage—				1.5	A CONTRACTOR	0.04		
Diversion of Riviere Delisle west of Coteau Junction	x							
Excavation of site of Coteau du Lac lock	x							
Construction of side canal, Coteau Landing to Coteau		х						
du Lac, with breakwater at Coteau Landing		x	x					
Construction of lock at Chamberry Gully	x	x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Removal of materials required for side canal, Cham-			~	^				
dyke adjacent		v		1	serify a	Di Di Di Di		
Removal of material in side canal, Cedars to Cham-			A					
Construction of support cultures of Provincial nerver			x	x	x			
house, with channels between Soularges Canal and			1 97		States -	and more		
river.		x	x					
vation of diversion channels. Clark Island and exca-	1023		-			Contract of the		
Island; relocation and reconstruction of Canadian				A THE	142.3	1 7		
National Railway on Clark Island and Grand Ile			x	x	x	x		
Construction of dam and substructures of nower house	x	x	•••••					
Ile Juillet to Ile aux Vaches	x	x	x					
Construction of dam, Ile aux Vaches to Cedars, with			milli					
busser detailes of power nouses	· · · · · ·			x	X	X .		

CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE-Concluded

Tram	100	NI DO	b ser	Year			
Ttem	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Labora Section Con	in a l	and h				- Honi	Raya
Construction of dam, Grande Ile to Ile Juillet						x	x
Construction of dykes, Coteau du Lac to Cedars, dykes	1000	-			v		
Deepening of Soularges Canal and closing of the present		A		-	-		
outlets of Delisle, Rouge, and A la Graisse Rivers						x	x
Completion of entrance channels at the head and foot of			1 2 2 4	and the second second	1220		1016235
Round Island					x	x	x
relations and classes to analytical second period	12222	- Altron	Part of State	Constant of the		19199	12/12/12/12
Lachine Section— Removal of material in submarine channel deen water	, mas	Section of the	100.000	1012 101	1	COLUMN ST	1 maria
Lake St. Louis to old lock No. 5, Lachine				x	x	x	x
Construction of syphon culverts at head of the aqueduct						in south	1 921
Excavation of sites for locks at Montreal. Nuns Island.		X	x				
Construction of locks at Montreal and Verdun			x	x	x		
Construction of new intakes for Verdun and The Montreal	- 910	x	x		Jorna		
Construction of timber-crib walls above and below		1.1.5	No	100 60	101	11 .1	
Canadian Pacific Railway, New Highlands			x	x			
Excavation of material in overland canal, Lacline to			x	x	x	x	
Excavation of site of Verdun lock and preparation of	- Later	a mil	1 mail	pd ju	- ALTOPAC	1.11	pare tal
foundation for dykes, Verdun to Nuns Island				X	x	x	x
Construction of dykes, Verdun to Nuns Island					x	x	
Removal of material in prism, Verdun to Nuns Island.					x	x	
Construction of culverts under Canadian National Rail-	1		x	1			
Removal of material in prism, Nuns Island to Montreal						1.5	
lock				x	x	x	
lock at Montreal.						x	x
Construction of supply weir and dykes, Nuns Island lock						-	
to Verdun shore					x	x	x
Construction of high level bridges at the Canadian							
Pacific Railway intersection at Highlands and the			10100	100	1 Providence		a rearrant
Bridge	1					x	x
Ding.			1	1		1	1

5. The following remarks explain the foregoing program:---

6. THOUSAND ISLANDS SECTION. The plans for this section show material to be removed at about a dozen places. The work to be done at each of these places could be allotted to a separate construction agency, but lower prices will be obtained if the number of such agencies is reduced to one or two, as larger plant will then be utilized and overhead expenses will be proportionately small. In this section the material to be removed is not large and can be done by one dredging outfit in three years. The plant required is not special and would not have to be built for this work. As a consequence, this work need not be commenced until three years before the time chosen for the completion of through navigation.

7. INTERNATIONAL RAPIDS SECTION. As explained in Appendix C, there are a number of proposals for the improvement of the International Rapids Section.

8. In the single-stage project with the main dam and power houses on deep foundations at the foot of Barnhart island, the time required for the construction of these structures determines the time within which the project can be built. The time chosen, however, gives maximum economy for the general excavation work.

9. If the alternative is chosen of placing the main dam at the head of Barnhart island, it will be necessary to construct diversion works before work on the dam is begun, and no substantial saving in time of construction is anticipated.

10. IMPROVEMENT BY TWO-STAGE PROJECTS. With either of the two-stage projects, a diversion at Galop rapids is required to be completed before the channel south of Galop island is unwatered, or work is begun on the improvements shown in that channel. This requires shifting of plant, and concentration of forces on three works, one after the other. Estimates show that the work at Galop rapids can be done with a moderate amount of plant in four or five years. The excavation at Galop island cannot, however, be quite completed without a reference to condition of works at Ogden island, or at Crysler island, as the case may be. The cofferdam at the head of the south Galop channel cannot be removed before the water level below is raised.

11. With project No. 4-224, the dam, power house, and lock at Ogden island can be built without special regard to what is done at Barnhart island, but the completion of all channel enlargement between Lotus island and Ogden island is required before the plant at Ogden island begins to operate. In this project the works at the foot of Barnhart island must be built simultaneously with, or subsequent to, the works at Ogden island. They should not be built before the works at Ogden island, as difficulties would then arise in constructing the upper works, and in dealing with ice conditions.

12. In this project the excavation of a diversion channel through Ogden island is required before the main channel of the river can be cofferdammed and before the construction of the power house at that point can be begun. This involves some shifting of plant, but it will not involve loss of time, as large quantities of excavation have to be done between Lotus island and Ogden island which can be delayed until the diversion channels at Ogden island are completed. The unwatering of the sites of the power house at Ogden island should not prove difficult after the diversion channel is completed, as the solid rock surfaces are not far below the water level at that point.

13. The work at Barnhart island and at the foot of the section in this project are generally the same as in the single-stage project with the dam at the head of Barnhart island, but on a smaller scale, and involve the same construction problems.

14. With the two-stage development, the lock and canal at Ogden island are closely associated with the works to be built in the river and both should be completed at the same time. However, the locks and side canal required for carrying navigation past the lower dam and power house at the foot of the section are not closely associated and the construction of the lock can be delayed. A lock for passing 14-foot navigation is required north of Sheek island in order to connect the water level as it is raised with the present Cornwall canal.

15. CRYSLER ISLAND PROJECT. With project No. 5-217, a construction program much the same as that above described for project No. 4-224 is required at Galop rapids and at Barnhart island. At Crysler island the works proposed are different from those proposed at Ogden island and a different procedure is required. A lock for passing 1-foot navigation is required in the dam at the outset.

16. The side canal and lock at this point can be built without special reference to the dam and power houses. Some economy is, however, obtained by bringing the lock and side canal into use when the water level in the river is raised above elevation 229. Estimates are prepared on this basis. The elevation of water passages in power houses at Crysler island will permit water to be passed through them after their construction, if desired.

17. LAKE ST. FRANCIS SECTION. The execution of the work in this section requires the dredging of 1,584,000 cubic yards. This can be done by one dredge in three years.

18. SOULANGES SECTION. In the Ile aux Vaches project, progress must be well arranged in advance, as the several works are dependent upon one another.

19. To prevent flooding of the lands north of the Ile aux Vaches pool, the water can not be raised above elevation 140 before the present Soulanges canal is utilized as a drainage outlet, and consequently abandoned for navigation. A new waterway must then be ready to pass ships of 14-foot draft at elevation 140. At this stage the canal and enlargement of the river at Coteau rapids and the dam at Cedars must be practically completed. The side canal from Cedars to the Ottawa arm of lake St. Louis must be ready to hold water at elevation 140.

20. At the beginning of the winter chosen for the transfer of 14-foot navigation from the present Soulanges canal to the new canal, arrangements must be made for the closing of the Soulanges canal above Coteau du Lac and the joining of this canal with the syphon culverts just east of the Provincial power house. This must be followed by the lowering of the water level in the Soulanges canal and the deepening of that canal to the extent of about 9 feet. This is to be done to enable the old canal to carry the spring discharge of the Delisle, Rouge and A la Graisse river.

21. During the open-water period after the Cedars reach is raised to elevation 140, the various works will have to be put in shape for a higher level, as winter conditions will require a rise to about 148 in order to operate with safety. This will require the completion of works at Cedars eight months after the Soulanges canal begins to act as a drainage canal.

22. LACHINE SECTION. The project for the Lachine section can be built without interfering with the power development and without interfering with 1-foot navigation.

23. The works proposed in this section can be separated into many parts, each of which can be built and completed without regard to others. Before the works between Nuns island and Victoria bridge can be completed, it is necessary to build culverts at the north end of Victoria bridge to care for drainage. It is also necessary to change the intake works of the Montreal Water and Power Co. and those of the city of Verdun from the river to the Montreal aqueduct.

24. Before the 25-foot canal for improved navigation can be built across the aqueduct of the city of Montreal, it will be necessary to divert the flow at the point and to construct syphon culverts under the new canal.

25. In the project presented, a dam is to be built across the river at Ile au Diable. This can be constructed by ordinary methods, as the solid rock at that point is close to the present water surface and the river is not deep.

26. The work in the Lachine section can be economically done in about six years.

Adopted by Board July 13, 1927.

Statements Walterion Project

1

459

The first and the set of the set of the set of the sound of the set of the se

requires the decision of 1,584,000 entries yours. This can us done by quarteries the state of the second of the second se

Or well of ranged and advances, to the second variance dependent open due to the second second second the second due to the second second due to the seco

and out out out out the particulate of the mone officers for the thistory of 14-ling maxipartice 'norm the 'particle' fouringes could be do not could be made the statement of the "partice 'norm the 'particle' fouringes could be do not an interview of the partice'. This make the full the explore culture has not the 'from' fouring the 'sour-'partice' 'source' and the definite of the 'source's has mere not the 'source's 'partice' is to be done to employ the distribution to the entry of the Databa is to be done to employ the data and to compute a source distribution of the Databa.

Then 140, the entries and equiverance parted areas in ("while much is rised to clevethen 140, the entries will have of the part in shape for a found forel, as "and to multimore will require a rise of about 145 to under to operate with safety. "This will require the sample out of works as Contain give months after the

without interfaces with the general to the Lastin and without interfaces with

The stor 23. The wrokes proposed in this worker on he wounded informant parts works in the story of the build and completely without regard to others. Before the works interven Nurs simil and Vararis broke can be completely in the meteric basis is build subscribe at size north cast of Virturie, builder to cher toe draman is the Main intervent of the story of the broke at the Manters Water and the Heistric toe and the too stor of the broke works of the Manters Water and

aquented and the 25 not bens, in improved statighted on one to have a not a state for all a series at the action of the first of Monitorial at will be mercany to divert the flow at

(she point and do another a state is to in built access the river at the and the project in the second the second second second in the second second second in the second second

Adapted by Bound July 13, 192











T.					
	X				
	11 .				
	1				· • 1
					5 K L
		*		-	
1			DATE	EDUE	
				D D O L	
			DUE	RETURNED	
			M : DE 1 7 1973	DEC 10 19/	
			1		
					·
-					
1					
1					
11					
4			FORM 211A: L.J.D.		
-					
-					
13					, L
-					
		3			
	- Marine Indiana	1			



