



#### See also:

# 8499. OSLER, sir W., The diagnosis of malignant endocarditis. [Lond., Pitman, 1895.]

Photostats fr.: Phonogr. Rec. 1894-5, i. 107-9. Inserted in # 3577 at pp. 192-3.

2790. The inaugural Address on the Art of Writing in relation to Medical and Scientific Work...Society of Medical Phonographers ....8°. Lond., 1895.

Repr. fr. Brit. M. J., 1895, ii, pp. 817–19. Bd. with no. 6450.

SOCIETY OF MEDICAL PHONOGRAPHERS. 6450. The Origin of the Society of Medical Phonographers. 12°. [Lond.], (1895). Letter by Sir W. R. Gowers, July 1895, pr. in shorthand. In vol. lettered : Shorthand and Medicine.

6451. The Phonographic Record of Clinical Teaching and Medical Science. Vol. 3. No. 5. May. 1897. 12°. Lond., 1897. Pr. in shorthand. Issued by the Soc. of Med. Phonographers. Bd. with no. 6450.









2 27, 16 5 2 20 00 . 6 >> (x ~ 1, 7, -, . ~ 9. b. [1] pr } > CONX CTINY .).. ) ( )-, ~ eys ( ( ~, - ) ~~~)). ['go'e, ( i cod 6 cm 2°22, 100 . 1 h. eg. / En en x. l. Sheppard, , !... ) ~ 5 50 g., C. M' - + + - 0 x . 1.2/ D. J. H. Gladstone, D. Sc., G. R. P. ) ) 1 2 20 1 1846, 1 0 2.

5 6/16 ~ 1° 00 2 . C / 1 - 1844 x ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ } , 1. Gray, 1. Goyder, Xx. Con 60 - L. Ly es 1854 x 1 == ) ] o · ~ ( , L , C . ) . . . V. x · J. J. · · · · · 1, 7 of 1861 x 1 cm 5. Y ), in ho los ?, 1859. · ~ · · · / / / · · · · · 2 . his by x y ?. 2. ~ " M. 2 " 1 (6 " ) 1883, 1 - 6. - M K\_ 2 8 · 76 6. - C. . . . . . . . . - 1889, ~ ~ ~ 1 b ~ )-2 ( 1/0 2 ~ 1. 25 - -Ye 1 LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

U

D

.1

xL

t

T0

4 ~ , ~ + b v, · 2. ( , 9 v 1 ~ ~ ~ 6 1- 4 - 2 2 2 n · E ··· ~うたん, (c1 > - + x · p ' 2 ) -6 1 - ( co- on 1 2 10-6 4 1 , 2 ' 7 ' le , ' and ! ~ \$7, " . b x 6 . 4 C | x C () > . h ) ; ' ( ) e = , , × 1. - ! y o ( d - ) ) 2, ~ Y ~ . Y ~ 2, , ~ ~ · b) × 6 ~ t. g e~~ 1 - 3 - 00 x 6 > 1

27903 ch. b? 2 × 6 2 5 - 4 ) ~ C, 11 0 7 6; 1 3 · • · · ~ ~ ° 7. 70 % 1 2 2 x .(... . 1 - in koj. TO -00 C8, 6 L × . 2 6 6 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ 1889 × · 1. C ~ ~ ) E L.; -6, X ~ er 6, 70 6, -8 × . 0 %, " (~ 25, ) 1 - 0 l 2 - 5 - K . 008615 .... 1.6×.1.1. 1. 1. 201 ·~/ 6/00 Y, ·, / - v, LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

1

2

Lex hor 5 -00 6 y x y x C 4 D. J . 6 x 4 1894 , or 1 - - - 5, 5+7 1 20 Concept le 2 301 9x. 2.7 / a. t. . . L · · 6~ ~ ~ 1, - . " " 4, 2 Lox 6 1, cc g. 79 The con x 1. 2 Norro. hz c. 1° - Cx 1. 1894 1 = J − 1 × . 9 ° ∩ 5 % C d · 20 × 0 1) 2, 2 ( 2) , 0 ~ 2 / x { p, ... ) . h. . . . ~ Vog × 120 

2790 3 4 h 2. 2 ~ '8 1 2 in 2 150 , e, ) > ( ) e × . 05 .... .f .. 9 . . . 10 20 × (0 . .)... TO XG 1 1 -~~ 0. e d. 5 6 m ) \_ ss XV × 60. 7.00 ( 60 2 C 1 ¿ · > - ( 0 7 k y ex 1 9 2, 5 .) ... le ~ de 7 (60 )-. ) ..., . 1 --1 · ~ ~ ~ ~ 0 60, . 0 VÓ 6° 50, 154 x LONDON; PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

8 , , Yeigh or h. A d, ~ ? , ~ , ~ ~ ( C ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ × b .)... 、 27. ec6, 1 m 52 ·, ~ · · · · · · 12 ' 2 p ~ me. Dx 10 e", 5 6 ( ' ~ M. " ~ & a, 4, 46 - 1, 1, 2 2. ", ~ ] , ~ ; ; ~ ~ ; ? . (2 . x 6 - 0 . 1 . 10 - $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}$ 0 2 \_ 1 9) ¿ · 16 % > 2 × 1 ° e ) & S / de 1, 2 16 } . 9

9 · 40 m, ~~, L. , 6, 6, . v1 2, ~ [ \* ] > ~ ~ ~ ~ , · , , . Thy 4/9 5 8 7 0 ~ · ~ TO > % × · ~ · ? , \* ~ , ~ f × 1 = a kost. a · · × · 21.1.1 10,00 9 5 2 . . . 2 2 T 1 1 · \* 3. 26, 0, , ~ ~ / e ( L, / , PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

10 N · Cr , 6 R, ··· 1, · 1, · 2. . c. ( . . . . 16 5 . . C E J tex ~ | 0 5 T 1, 0 1 2, 0 2 ~ei × · d > > it . ° ~ . \_ , 1 ~ ^ 5 6, -, -, -, -, e, 100 1 19 9 × 1 20 ° ° C -7 "ie > / ~ ~ < < ? ? 1 - 5 jør og Cbaid DL. Ly dør og , Filon Soder 1. , ) / 6 \* / 0 - 1. C. 1, 1 a 6 4 5 ..., 5, 6 20, 9' a, bull is to ~\* × on ) ( - 1, ~

9

2

Leyo

Bel

\* . Le ver, c b K x TO · The har - - > x N. R. Gawers. Post Peript x 1 0 \_2 (2) / ~) ° · 1 . 5 ~ - C ~ 10 ~ 0 egs · 6 ~ . Seprys' Diary . · S & \* 1 2 6 20 · ~ ( ) ~ , ~ [ ] 1 ~ ' y ) so ) o · · · · · · Leyden, 1682-3, L. V& ) ~ Bellinger x , ~ 1 1 1 ~ ~ ~ , 10 P. C. J. J. - Lux. p 10 7 ~ 2, . 26 ~ 5 = PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION. 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

12 go and > go > o Lin > tyle cha, So c hje x W.R.ly.

ISSUED BY THE SOCIETY OF MEDICAL PHONOGRAPHERS.

2

27903

TO

### THE PMONOGRAPHIC RECORD OF CLINICAL TEACHING AND MEDICAL SCIENCE. N. III. No. 5. MAY. Contents. PAGE. otes of a Lecture on Writers' Cramp, by Dr. G. V. POORE... ... ... ... 66 marks on West Africa and Palustral Fever ... 72 e Society's Sustentation Fund ... ... nonographic Quarterly Review ... ... 79 otice 80 "\* The unusual delay in the appearance of the Record" for this month has arisen through an ocident in the process of lithographing. Published and Sold by Sir I. PITMAN & SONS, 1, AMEN CORNER, LONDON, E.C.; Sold also by PULMAN & SONS, 5, PRINCES STREET, CAVENDISH SQ., W.

#### LONDON:

PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C.

1895.

#### SOCIETY OF MEDICAL PHONOGRAPHERS.

President. Dr. Gowers, F.R.S. Hon. Treasurer. Dr. GRAY, Oxford.

Hon. Sec. - Dr. NEIL, Warneford Asylum, Oxford.

#### PUBLICATIONS.

#### THE PHONOGRAPHIC MEDICAL RECORD.

Monthly, price 4d., by post, 4åd. Annual Subscription, Post Free, 3s. 6d.
Vol. I. bound in Cloth, price 4s. 6d. (to Members, 3s. 6d). Cases, for binding Vol. I., price 6d.

THE USE OF SHORTHAND BY THE STUDENT. Price 8d. THE USE OF SHORTHAND BY THE PRACTITIONER. Price 8d. A LIST OF OUTLINES FOR MEDICAL TERMS, about 2,000. Price 1s. 6d.

The above are sold by Sir I. Pitman & Sons, 1, Amen Corner, and by Messrs. Pulman & Sons, 5, Princes Street, Cavendish Square. Orders should be accompanied by a remittance.

Members can have their sets of Vol. I. & II. of the Record bound by Messrs. Pulman for 1s. 3d. each, including cover and return postage. The set should include "The Origin of the Society," and the account of the Inaugural Meeting.

Members who have joined this year and desire to purchase Vol. I., bound, are requested to apply at once to Messrs. Pulman, because only a few copies can be supplied unless two numbers are reprinted.

NOTE.—Messrs. Pulman are now the Agents of the Society to the Members, who should apply to them in case of non-receipt of the Record.

#### Medical Reporting and Instruction in Shorthand.

Private Lessons, Correction of Exercises, etc., Medical Reporting and Typewriting, Secretarial Work, by H. DICKINSON, Transfer writer of the Record, Reporter for the Clinical Journal.

Address-" Fairholme," Wembley, by Harrow. Terms on application.

Communications regarding editorial matters of the Record should be addressed to Dr. James Taylor, 49, Welbeck Street, London, W. Communications and inquiries regarding the Society, and subscriptions, should be addressed to the Honorary Secretary, Dr. Neil, Warneford Asylum, Oxford.

Applications for Advertisements should be made to Messrs. G. Pulman & Sons, 5, Princes Street, Cavendish Square, W.



NOTES OF A LECTURE ON WRITERS' CRAMP. By Dr. Poore\* (University College Hospital).

· ~ · ~ · >-

TO

**Correction**:—The problem in the last number of the "Record" by DR. GOWERS was headed, by mistake, as one of the series of problems in practical Diagnosis. It should have been stated that it was one of the problems in Life Assurance, which had been contributed by the President to the "Life Assurance Circulating Magazine."

ies, 1

ttan

Oria Ieetų

urcia Mes

Sec.

1.001

hani porti e r writ oplicat

he Re

Hom

\* Notes by M. L. E. Creasy \*

~ × ` ` ~ ~



DR. POORE (UNIV. COLL. HOSP.) 66 53;4, ~ H ( Tyrol ); 6 ~ 20 ~ ~ ~ ~ 5° . 1. 20 . 7 ~, 10 E. 5 ~ 7. 9 % ; ~ ~ , ~ ~  $\vee$   $\vdash$  (+)  $\rightarrow$  ;  $\sim$   $\rightarrow$  ,  $\gamma$ 1-9 F. . h × 2 0 . fro Ve. K'Y × 6 our mer en a la be - L ~; (L') 5; 8° \* 47, 1. 2 9 ( P. 2 5 0 > ( x 2 03°, ...., '2.5°, he, J. E / mo for my b, ~, b ~] . \_ 6 · 2 · e . ~ \* ` ( / - { 5 - 3 > / -2 0 to 2 0 - ( - 2 V - + 0 6 +0 - 5 - 5

NOTES OF A LECTURE ON WRITERS' CRAMP. 67 Q 9. 2 - 6° × 100 1 th M ba, 16 ., ( Le Tux · j o 1 × 2 · ~ pas de point · 0 ] · · · · · · · · · · 6, . ort. >> ° / · 9. × 6 / 5 Ľ , p. 6 5 9 . " . " . " - 2 .0 le res 6 be t., · for a by is,

LONDON:

TO

PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C.

1895.

DR. POORE (UNIV. COLL. HOSP.) 68 p · 2 ] ] / > 10, ] ~ 8) ~ × · ~ ~ / ~ · ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  $- n^{*} \cdot n^{*} \circ 1 \cdot \circ n$ , here  $\frac{1}{20} \times \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} \times \frac{1}{20}$ , ~, ~, ~, ~ ~, ~ ~, ~ ~ ~ 100-27- $r \sim \gamma \gamma \sim r \sim r \sim r \sim r$ 1:01 - · / ~ · · 6 \ ° ~ ~ ~ . ) 2.2 ° v ; . 10 - 12 ~ 4.

27903 NOTES OF A LECTURE ON WRITEBS' CRAMP. 69 2 - 2 ~ 2 ~ 6 - 0, 1 2 0 x to x co ? bool oe sovie of y 'o " - 1 or " x TO[ 1 b )- x 10 6, " - Eot . C. 1 ~ x . X ... 20 / J & P. 2, 2 C J 1 1 10 7 x. \* 6 . - C & vo, ° 5. · S 1 > ~ L & P er Tre 9 ----3×61505 °; +. 1 + 2 5 5 5 ; 6 6 er. old d'x. o c. ' } s to of . by the sort b LONDON; PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

DR. POORE (UNIV. COLL. HOSP.) 70 e 2 voir a x . or ev C 20, 1 - 00 6/00x. (00/1-1) 6, , ' - 00 20 , ~ o 2 le en 1. ( , 1, 2. ) ( ) , 1 E. 6 40 - 1 2 1 - ~ ). 2 ~ 1 ~ 5 \* 8 × outor 1 to x y s A . L C ~ x . 6 ' 76 , 7 . 3 L'In ~ Le a Kx 6-9 + 1. / " APT x 5 1 Lo 1 . L. - · ~ + · · ( , · 6 by 2 / ~ ? chex (P) - ~ ~ ~ CC La en the how by x the St. 12 15 7 76126 ~ Vix

2790 3 NOTES OF A LECTURE ON WRITERS' CRAMP. Vo. 1 ~ = 1 2, 5 ° o ~ c ... ? ~, 6, 6 4 0 4 4 8 1 M poro/: 11 " hx. 10h M. 6. ( ~6; ~, ) = - 1. -, 's ' - e / ~ yo + 1 x d. we 6= ex x 1 200 6 2 . ... ) 1 ~ 1 stor Co co va . cp in 162 3. 5× 13. 1 m. cr el x. Le / wer, i une e le x 1 5- 0 × 1 - 0 - 1 - 1 1 .h. A . 28 1. Co tot x. ol ' Sol 77) 7° 16, 12 5°. ~ 1-6 2, C, 2 M, 106 . 3 4 Me, ) . 1 Mo LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

A

j

TO

72 W. JONES GREER, F.R.C.S. & D.P.H.IREL - 1 +-, 1 1 · ( o ~ ot . 5 × " + , でしていしていいでん.2 1 ~ 6 ~ 4 × 6 2 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ . × REMARKS ON WEST AFRICA AND PALUSTRAL FEVER. BY W. JONES GREER, F.R.C.S. & D.P.H.IREL. ~~?~,~,、52、-し 80 - 20 0 0 × ~~, parg. 9 1, cx, )-1 m o 4 2 ° \$ \$ l.p. - 7. r. d, 1. f) L 56 2 10 1 2 0 2 1 1 0 0 x 

REMARKS ON WEST AFRICA AND PALUSTRAL FEVER. p, 102 ~. b' 20×2, 20, + 7 02 2 · ~ ? ( E. / b; ha 4 es c · m ] 1.2-,9 a / , / TO  $\cdot \mathcal{P}_{\tau}$ ,  $\cdot \cdot \mathbf{v}$ ,  $\cdot \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{j}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$ 2 . Klo~ × × > 3, 6 ... ×1.7.×6.×2.0./~~, · · · ; 6. ' L. . · · · · · · · 1 7. 1 p x x - 4 Vicon x or · \* ~ 5, 1 ) » ... ° 15 ----- $c_{2}, - \ldots, - \ell_{*}$ 0.0 LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

74 BY W. JONES GREER, F.R.C.S. & D.P.H.IREL. . 7 er ~ ~ ? 1 0 0 - : C к 8, (15 ...) ~ 3 × nob s, on sa 19" 2", 6 g. . . . 2 . 4 \* x 640, "s" + s. , + f, N.X ~~~ \* \* \* · · · · · \* / " · × · h ~ L or, 3, 5, 5, . 2.  $c_{n}$   $d_{n}$ ,  $c_{p}$ ,  $d_{1}$ ,  $d_{1}$ ,  $d_{1}$ e' 6. co V. J. ' Jo ' o. ' a -. 4 + 2 4 · 4 let x · Va Co ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···· Mun y b 6° cu, 6 14,64×1-4-2-L×°·Cb7 dex 1. 2 - margan 

REMARKS ON WEST AFRICA AND PALUSTRAL FEVER. 75 4.7° (~ 1×~ 20' ~~~~ C L S, 26.  $y_2$   $\downarrow$ , 16 - 16; -7Dergh. REng "."/\_, Wx ~ y/ TO69. (17 1- 15 6 0 lox · h ~ ? · r · Vit in 4 trage } 0 0 1 1 m, ~, ~, ' + >; ? . \_ G; ° · L V/L . \* 2, 30 - 24 2 1 × 6 × 6 Thy - 500 1 2 4 3 6 , 6 , 4 C K .. as b > hy ? L w ... 66. 1 · · / 6 · cz - 7. Ling, )- in a -of the , be c 1 2 2 ( 2 ) + to x - 2-3. La . To , 1= - , 2 & 2, LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

BY W. JONES GREER, F.R.C.S. & D.P.H.IREL. at the to the t Ky x ent = to . x . by the A tolo of the olix. In ord ~~ + 99° ~ 2 C 2 L 4 C ~ 1: ar 5 8. 0. 1 - \* \* · - (-: 2- 'D, A 'D' C. x. Pierce, och J. B. H. C the ad, 12 th i get a · b ... x ~ y ~ y , , h y 5 }-

27903 77 THE SOCIETY'S SUSTENTATION FUND. 110,162×22000 , ? " VI , . . . . Pavedan, Marchiafava, Bignami, M. TO in de x THE SOCIETY'S SUSTENTATION FUND. > 5 2 P- 2x 3, ~ e = ` ~ ~ ~ ~ ? ! \_ ) · j. ]. ( j. , ~ + ~ ~ ~ ( ( - ), ~ ~ . p \$ .. 6 / or .. t Y. g . 2 6 v 1 ~ 2 2 ; ~ 2 \* ? LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

78 THE SOCIETY'S SUSTENTATION FUND. 195-57,61 V os ..., less than ten per cent. of our members have responded. 6 - 5 (90 20 3 ~ 2 - 3 - 8  $L^{6}, L^{\circ} \in -\infty$ , ie (ニち,うごん、ハーレン 1 ... I - \* The essential thing is not the amount of individual donations, but the number and their promptness . If any members were not proficient enough in Phonography to read Dr Gowers' appeal above alluded to , and will communicate with me, I shall be happy to send them a typewritten copy of it . . ("L, ~~ 2 2 · 1 - ) ~. ~~ 1 ~ ~ 2 · 1 - ~ 1 · ~ , 6 C° ( , 67. ) 5 2 × × × or 6

PHONOGRAPHIC QUARTERLY REVIEW. " C ~ & . 2 S · & bed b C V. 96.001. 2 200 1\_9~6 " Lo - JS, A" 2 ~ =~2. 62, 7222 bris ou 7, 27 - 1. 1 2 00 (') 2 ~~ 6 k Edw & B. Gray 19 Beaumont St. Oxford. PHONOGRAPHIC QUARTERLY REVIEW. Mor J. A. Reed, 3Y Euroitor Street, E.C., Chancery Lane, o) - - ° . C. . P' , 2 2 St. 10 10 10 10 2. 2/6 . 4 · 5/- x · 3. > \ 6 · 1. ) 1-6-2, 6- 2495 LONDON: PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C. 1895.

то

CF 80 NOTICE V. C. - ~! C ~ 1. 6 h > ~ 5 4. ) h,  $\neg g$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\rho \uparrow 1 \rightarrow$ ,  $\gamma \downarrow \succ$ × ~ ~ / - 1 · Ar up 5 Cascara the me 7, 2.04 4 6 ... x . . . the fac to n. en NOTICE. indel Dr Gowers' Lectures on the Diagnosis of the Nature of Organic Brain Disease The L 16, 7 7. 5 . 5 ° 7. 4 1 5 x · 1. p. 10 ~ ) · o ~ 7 [ 7 Mich prep gene conn firm brief the l so."or l. . = n. , 1 1/6 . . , 1 6 or ( . 1 g ~ s v s v o 1 x low , 7. Undout 6 de x ~ 10. -6, 1 - 0 ~ tebro, menne, p PI To 2 > 3 or, L.E. Breasy by 1 Windsor Id., Ealing. 21,1

### CREDIT TO WHOM CREDIT IS DUE.

# CASCARA SAGRADA.

"Had it not been for PARKE, DAVIS & Co. and their 'Working Bulletin' system for the collective investigation of new drugs, Cascara Sagrada would never have been brought to the notice of the medical profession"

It has been brought to our notice that many Chemists and Physicians are in some cases unaware, and in other instances deny, the fact that

#### WE INTRODUCED

TO

Rhamnus Purshiana as a remedy for Constipation to the Medical and Pharmaceutical Professions.

Extract from Liverpool Medico-Chirurgical Journal, January, 1896:-

"To this firm (PARKE, DAVIS & Co.) the medical profession are indebted for this valuable drug, and the best preparations of it. Recognising this indebtedness, we invariably prescribe their preparations and recommend others to do likewise."

The following reprint from *The Chemist & Druggist* of October 24th, 1896, should be convincing proof of our priority in the introduction of this incomparable tonic-laxative :—

"Professor Lloyd states: 'TO PARKE, DAVIS & Co., of Detroit, Mich. (1878), is due the credit of making the first pharmaceutical preparation (the fluid extract), and of bringing this preparation into general conspicuity through their advertisements and business connections. It may be said, without danger of controversy, that this frm introduced and established Cascara Sagrada as a remedy.' This brief historical resumé we have thought worthy of mention, for though the last part of it is well known, the sequence of the evolution is not so."—The Chemist and Druggist, October 24th, 1896.

Undoubtedly the best Preparation of Cascara Sagrada on the Market.

Samples and Literature on application.

## PARKE, DAVIS & Co.,

Manufacturing Chemists,

21, NORTH AUDLEY STREET, GROSVENOR SQ., LONDON, W.



# TAKA=DIASTASE.

## The Potent Isolated Diastasic Ferment for the Treatment of Amylaceous Dyspepsia.

One of the most important needs of the nateria medica for years has been the possession of a concentrated diastasic ferment which should afford an efficacious means of conbating amylaceous dyspepsia. In **Taka-Diastase** we present for the first time in the history of pharmacy such a product on a practical scale.

**Taka-Diastase** is an isolated ferment, free from all foreign substances which might retard its action; is soluble in water, yet non-hygroscopic; is perfectly stable; possesses an agreeable taste; is practically odourless, and can be administered for a protracted period without unpleasant collateral action.

#### SUPERIOR TO ANY PREPARATION MADE FROM MALT.

1.-**TAKA-DIASTASE** will convert at least 100 times its weight of starch (weighed when dry) in ten minutes. The lest malt extract will not convert more than five times its weight under same conditions.

2.-**TAKA-DIASTASE** is absolutely permanent. All malt extracts deteriorate with age.

3.-TAKA-DIASTASE is in powder and in compressed tablet form, each tablet containing 24 grains, the average adult dose of the ferment. Malt extracts contain a preponderance of breign inert matters, necessitating large doses.

4.-TAKA-DIASTASE is free from sugar. Malt extracts are heavily loaded with sugar and apt to exaggenate already present pathological conditions.

5.-TAKA-DIASTASE is economical, owing to its small dosage. Necessarily large doses render malt extracts expensive in comparison.

POWDER- In $\frac{1}{4}$ -oz. vials		 2/2 per vial.	
In $\frac{1}{2}$ -oz. vials		 4/4 ,,	
In 1-oz. vials		 8/6	
COMPRESSED TABLETS 2	21 grs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
In bottles containing 2	5 Tablets	 20/- per doz.	bottles

Samples and Literature on Application.

### PARKE, DAVIS & Co.,

Manufacturing Chemists, 21, NORTH AUDLEY STREET, GROSVENOR SQ., LONDON, W.

#### New Member.

2790 3

TO

MARSH, Howard, F.R.C.S., Lond.

Total number, 23rd April, 290.

#### New Appointments.

 JENNINGS, Surgeon-Captain J. Willes, A.M.S., appointed to the Egyptian Army at Wady Halfa, Soudan, Upper Egypt.
WRANGHAM, W, M.B.Lond., appointed Assistant House Surgeon to the Leicester Infirmary.

### Male Nurses' (TEMPERANCE) Co-Operation, REMOVED TO 10, THAYER STREET, MANCHESTER SQ., LONDON, W.

Superior Trained MALE NURSES for Medical, Surgical, Mental, Dipsomania, Fever, and Travelling Cases supplied at a moment's notice Day or Night. All Men supplied by this Association are Total Abstainers.

SKILLED MASSEURS supplied. The only genuine Temperance Association of Male Nurses in the Kingdom.

TERMS :--One guinea and a half, or two guineas and upwards per week. Nurses to receive their own fees.

THE LARGEST STAFF OF MALE NURSES, AND THE ONLY GENUINE MALE NURSES' TEMPERANCE ASSOCIATION IN THE KINGDOM.

F. ROUSE, Secretary. Telegraphic Address : "ASSUAGED, LONDON."

LONDON. PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.O. 1895. As Necessary to the Shorthand Writer as the paper for taking his Notes.



Manufactured in 3 sizes: 10s. 6d., 16s. 6d., and 25s. each.

Is made of 14-carat Gold—therefore never corrodes. Is Iridium Tipped—therefore never wears out. Instantly ready for use. Writes continuously for many hours, Economical—outlasting 20,000 steel pens. Ink in reservoir always limpid—no evaporation. For every writer in every land a necessity. Finally—A Pen as nearly perfection as the inventive skill of the day can produce.

We require your Steel Pen and Handwriting to Guide us in selecting a Pen.

Complete Illustrated Catalogue sent Post-free on Application.

MABIE, TODD & BARD, 93, Cheapside, LONDON, E.C.

West End Branch-95a, REGENT ST., W.

G. PULMAN & Sons, Printers, Thayer Street, Manchester Square, London, W.

### The Inaugural Address

ON

27903

## THE ART OF WRITING IN RELATION TO MEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC WORK.

Delivered before the Society of Medical Phonographers.

#### By W. R. GOWERS, M.D., F.R.S., President of the Society.

#### LONDON:

PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C.

1895.



## The Inaugural Address

3

## THE ART OF WRITING IN RELATION TO MEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC WORK.

Delivered before the Society of Medical Phonographers.

#### BY W. R. GOWERS, M.D., F.R.S., President of the Society.

Reprinted from the BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL, Oct. 5th, 1895.

#### LONDON:

PRINTED AT THE OFFICE OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, 429, STRAND, W.C.

1895.



### THE ART OF WRITING IN RELATION TO MEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC WORK.

GENTLEMEN,—I have the pleasure and the privilege of welcoming you to the first meeting of a Society which is the first of its kind. No systematic effort has before been made to promote the work of a profession by the special means we employ. These means are the immediate reason for our existence, but they are means alone. I would emphasise at the outset the fact that the object of our Society is to promote medical knowledge, to facilitate its acquisition, and especially to augment its application. Beyond this, as beyond all the work of our profession, is the ultimate object—the welfare of others. The fact that the effort to achieve these results can be aided by a special means—the use of shorthand—is the immediate reason for our existence, but it is not our ultimate object.

Why, however, does this reason exist? Why is there a need for our Society, at least sufficient to have induced its formation and to have produced a bright promise of success?<sup>1</sup> Because a hindrance exists to the best and highest work of our profession, a hindrance that is not essential in the nature of things. It is one of the many elements of our life and work that have come to us from the past. The past holds the present firmly in its grasp. With the vast change of modern progress, there is persistence of much that has changed little or not at all. To such persistence we owe indeed the stability of the present; this depends on the permanence of that which is beneath us; that which, upon the surface, remains

<sup>1</sup> The Society was started in December, 1894, with sixty members, and has now (September, 1895) 175. Information regarding it and the shorthand medical periodical and other publications that are issued can be obtained from the Honorary Secretary, Dr. Neil, Warneford Asylum, Oxford. unaltered amid the changing elements of progress, often involves a hindrance to action and to work.

Why should a surface hindrance persist and thus retard? That is, indeed, our own question. The answer, however, is instructive and interesting. However firm is the grasp of the past on the present, it is seldom felt. Wordsworth has told us in a familiar line that

#### Custom lies upon us with a weight Heavy as frost.

But the weight of custom, like that of the atmosphere in and under which we live, is all unfelt. "Use and wont,"

> Old sisters of a bygone day, Gray nurses, loving nothing new,

do not allow us to see clearly that which they have charge of. Habit, personal familiarity from early life, perhaps augmented by influences transmitted through many generations, blinds us to the imperfection of that which is familiar. It likewise distorts the image of that which is novel, and makes it impossible for definite superiority to be duly perceived.

Caxton gave us mechanical writing, and stopped all change. Through the centuries since, the sounds of speech have been presented to the eye by symbols that have undergone no material alteration. They are now, indeed, little less clumsy than when the Phœnicians and Egyptians first gave alphabetical writing to mankind.

Consider our present system of writing as a new invention. Conceive the reception that would be given to it as a nineteenth-century device for presenting to the eye the sounds of speech, for symbolising the movements of articulation by Its defect is only in slight movements of the hand. degree a matter of spelling. The reformation of our present "orthography" (as it is termed by an inverted metaphor) would leave untouched the cumbersome symbolism of current writing. It is not only cumbersome, but laborious. About five different movements of the hand are required to represent each simple movement of speech, and often this number is doubled or trebled. Writing can only be easy and simple when the movements of the hand that symbolise each movement of speech and its resulting sound are the fewest compatible with secure and ready perception by the eye. Such simplicity is the basis of phonetic shorthand. It is the facility thus obtained that we desire to extend in the use of

the art of writing essential for the work of our profession. Our object is to promote that work by the use of a method of writing that needs at the most only one-third of the time and one-third of the trouble of longhand, and yet has an average legibility more prompt and more secure.

The need for writing in medical work will be self evident to most, but, perhaps, not to all. Yet its grounds are sufficiently conspicuous. The knowledge has been obtained by observation, and is increased through life by personal observation, and by reasoning based thereon. But in every science that rests on observation, the need for written record is absolute. No memory, whatever its capacity, can be trusted. Immediate record alone can make observation effective. That which is secured by the use of shorthand, even at a low speed, is this : in a given time there can be twice the amount of record that is possible with longhand, and yet twice the time in which to observe. For the greater amount of record observation must be more minute, more precise. Description at once reveals unsuspected uncertainties, and the greater the amount of record the more accurate is the observation, the more valuable is the result. The effect of the use of shorthand is, and must be, on the quality of scientific work so far as observation is concerned. Nor is it alone a matter of quality. Many of the facts observed are changing, passing. In these the effect of the use of shorthand is not merely qualitative; facts can be described which longhand could not possibly record. It must be remembered that shorthand enables, when necessary, not twice, but three and four times the amount of record, and thus transient phenomena can be adequately described which would elude entirely the slow pursuit of longhand, however abbreviated. The difference is thus absolute ; observations can be secured that would otherwise be lost. These facts are true of our work as a branch of natural science.<sup>2</sup> I emphasise them because the statements are true of every science which rests on observation, and in all natural sciences the art we advocate would of necessity increase the capacity of every worker, and augment the value of his work. We venture to hope, indeed, that the influence of our Society may ultimately extend the use of shorthand in

<sup>2</sup> This point was well expressed in a letter read to the meeting from the Bishop of Hereford (Dr. Percival), formerly head master of Clifton and of Rugby: "Shorthand puts a new instrument into the hand of the observer, an instrument which must be specially valuable when you have to deal with subtle and quickly-changing phenomena." many other branches of intellectual work and especially in natural science.

But no effect on the quality of work is limited thereto; the worker is influenced as well as the work. If a man habitually observes more fully, more precisely, and more carefully, he must become a better observer, able to perceive more accurately, more minutely, more adequately, and to attain precision more readily. This influence, moreover, whatever its degree must be progressive. We might take as our motto the words "Writing maketh an exact man."

The use of shorthand in science does not stop at the record of observation. It is equally available, with the same saving of time and labour, in the processes of comparison of observations, of epitomising the facts observed by others, and in all the preliminary work of composition. Indeed, I have frequently written the final copy in shorthand; typewriters transcribe it with accuracy, and it is only a question of demand for shorthand MS. to be accurately put up directly in ordinary type.

These remarks have special reference to the work by which medical science is increased and improved. It may be thought that they have little application to the work of the practitioner. Such an idea is a mistake, and, like most mistakes, is instructive by its error. The capacity of shorthand to promote the work of our profession attains its maximum in the aid it can give to the practitioner. Further, the aid it can give the practitioner does not essentially differ from that which it affords to higher scientific work, and the fact is worth noting.

For what is the work of the practitioner of medicine? Of all those who toil in the busy hives or barren deserts of this earth of ours, he stands in one respect alone. His daily work is to apply science to human need. He avails himself of the knowledge that we have of the processes of Nature without and within the human frame, to strive to avert or arrest disaster to life. He starts equipped with a certain amount of knowledge—as much as he can receive from those who teach him. But he learns more from each day's work. Facts come before him every hour, facts sometimes new, and often new to him. His ability depends on his use of them, on the exactness of his observations, on his adequate retention of their lessons. No practitioner can do his daily work with any competence without constantly observing for himself, constantly reasoning from his observations. The work of the medical practitioner, high or low, is personal science, as that of no other worker is.

Cavil as we may at the word "science," no distinction can be drawn between the work of the medical practitioner and that of the highest scientist, save in degree and in the fact that the knowledge is gained chiefly for application. The latter is, indeed, rather a spur than a hindrance. It involves special risks of error, but these are not inevitable. The point to which I would lead you, however, is that for each one of us in our daily work precise record is as necessary as for the advanced investigator. Without the use of writing the facts that pass before him will leave only a transient furrow on the sands of unaided memory, vanishing for the most part when new facts disturb the surface, obliterated by the first of the strong gusts that come and change and go, or at most leaving but a slight modification in mental tendency. Without record there can be no precision ; without precision there can be no certainty either of inference or of action. The vague effect of unrecorded-and therefore unconsidered-"experience" is too often a warping of judgment by the influence of some striking but exceptional fact, conspicuous in vague outline in the memory, while the conditions essential to its interpretation are forgotten. Only habitual, immediate record can preserve from these dangers the "personal science" on which depends the work of the practitioner and the welfare of his patients. For this result the record of that which is observed must of course be utilised and so arranged that its value shall not be lost. Yet the mere process of record gives, as we have seen, precision to observation and secures retention, not otherwise to be obtained.

For all this personal use of writing, phonetic shorthand is available with unmixed advantage. Properly written at three times the speed of swift longhand, it can be read as fast as the lips can move, even if written by another person. To teach the mode of employing it to most advantage, and the method of adapting the record to subsequent use, are among the objects of our society, and information on these subjects has already been published by it. I would add, moreover, that the persistent and increasing help to the practitioner's work, obtained through the facility of record that shorthand affords, is a matter of personal experience to which many members of our society can bear the strongest testimony. We have among our members those who have used it with great advantage during forty years, although we cannot produce a rival to Dr. Hall Gladstone, whose use of it in science dates from 1846.

I have said nothing yet of the value of shorthand to the student in gaining the knowledge which the collective wisdom of the profession decrees that he shall obtain by the ear. Shorthand enables a student to make a perfect epitome of his lectures, more useful than any textbook, and yet give more attention to the subject than if he took no notes. To attempt to secure a full report of the superfluous verbiage with which almost every lecturer conveys knowledge is a profound mistake. It is an instance of the misuse of the facility in writing which shorthand affords. There is no useful thing, however precious, which may not be misused.

The student's training comprises also the acquisition of knowledge by personal observation—a method of learning which has to continue through life. On his skill in this, much of his future ability depends. Here shorthand is of peculiar value. It renders the help already described, and, in addition to record of facts, he is able to secure the comments on them given by his teachers, which it is scarcely possible to secure at the bedside by longhand. Properly used, the influence of shorthand on the student's academical career is certain and not small, but far more important is that which it exerts on his future work. He starts on a higher level, with the means of a more rapid rise to thorough practical power.

During the two months long vacation a student can easily acquire a knowledge of shorthand sufficient to make it serviceable and to insure its increase by use. But the object to be desired is that it should be acquired before the medical education is commenced. Many students are now using it to the utmost advantage who learnt it before the commencement of their medical studies. No greater service can be done to any intending student than to secure this acquisition. It is now taught in a large number of public schools, but unfortunately when a knowledge of it is gained it is allowed to drop. Its use is not inculcated, and the lad has forgotten so much of it when he begins his medical career that, without special motive, he does not revive it. The remedy is simple. Shorthand should be an extra, markbearing subject in the preliminary examination for the profession. It would displace nothing. Competence in all other subjects would be untouched. The effect on a large number of future members of the profession, on all their work —practical and scientific—would be vast. Some years ago this subject was brought in vain before the General Medical Council, in whose hands the decision lies. But our thanks are due to Sir Dyce Duckworth and Mr. Wheelhouse for their initiation of an effort which must sooner or later be successful.

Although the use of shorthand through life can best begin with the student's work, it has been repeatedly learnt some years after practice was commenced, and its service has been quickly and effectively obtained.

It is a prevalent idea that shorthand can be written but cannot be read. But no writer who has a moderately good knowledge of phonography will fail to confirm my statement that, written at the rate I have mentioned, at three times the average speed of longhand it can be read with far greater security than the longhand, whether the reading is by the writer or by another person. The popular error that it is illegible is due to the immense number of shorthand writers who learn only to write and to immediately transcribe and who have taken no pains to secure the ability to read. Because reading is not a spontaneous result of writing it is assumed to be impossible. The ability to read shorthand can indeed be acquired perfectly without any ability to write it, and is sometimes acquired.

With respect to the time needed for the acquisition of shorthand, this can be much reduced if the learner chooses to give more time each day and does avail himself of the help of lessons. The art of teaching shorthand has been of late years developed to a remarkable degree. An interesting experiment has been lately carried out on some members of our profession by Mr. Hayns, of the Westminster School of Shorthand, which shows that if a man chooses to give to the study two hours a day, with a lesson each day, in a fortnight he will be able to write it at the same speed of longhand, and at the end of a month will have secured its practical use.

Although the swiftest writing ever achieved has been by this system, for our purpose moderate speed and perfect ease are all we need. With three times the speed of longhand, more than three times the ease of writing, and a definitely greater legibility, we may be reasonably content, and it is this we desire to promote in our profession.

If such a combination of speed, ease, and security is at the disposal of anyone who chooses, why do not more avail themselves of the help? Because, like so many other things, it needs a present sacrifice for future good. It needs the power to make that which may be, as potent a motive as that which is. To each man comes, by choice or by necessity, the daily task. The present is actual, the future is only possible, or at most probable. That which depends on the imagination, excited by the assurance of others, is seldom an influence comparable to those motives which are at hand, and directly conspicuous to consciousness. Hence it is that so few acquire our art, even if they give an abstract assent to the assertion that they and the patients whom they help will be the better for it. They may believe the assurance that the daily hour devoted to the study for a few weeks will be regained within a year, but there is the present work, ever pressing, and this claims the time and gains it. We talk of the power of the imagination, but bring it in relation to action, and it is seen to be feeble compared with the power of that which is a present reality. What influence on the mind is excited by narrated suffering compared with that produced by witnessed agony? The one is as real as the other, but the intense distress of indignation excited by that which is seen is never equalled by that which is imagined. The difference in motive power is the great hindrance to the acquisition of shorthand as an aid to work that can be done without it, if less adequately. The time that is required to gain the greater power has to be taken from present work; it has to be taken on the assurance of others, and the effect of the assurance fades under the pressure of the present. It may be influential for a week or two, but then the path begins to seem long. The daily time is shortened, then a day passes without study, and soon there comes the realisation of the statement of Whately, that "that which ceases to be habitual, soon becomes habitual in its ceasing." The result is that the attempt is given up, or the knowledge that is acquired is so imperfect as to induce an impression of its capacity, inadequate and erroneous, or a quite mistaken idea of personal inability.

Yet it should not be so. The facts I have dwelt on can be testified by scores of members of our Society, and those who will trust the testimony will not long need such assurance. They will soon have personal experience that will make them altogether independent of the testimony of others. But it will be asked, Are there no drawbacks to the use of shorthand? One alleged drawback is this: it has been said that the constant practice of making notes weakens the memory, lessens the power of retaining facts without notes.

Without doubt the more the unaided memory is depended on, and cultivated, the greater is its retentive power. But I believe that, as a drawback to the use of shorthand, this impression is an illusion. It is due to the comparison between the extent and precision of the facts secured by record with the small extent and uncertain character of those which are, and alone can be, retained by the memory. The result is a comparison in which the memory seems far more inadequate than it is. The inadequacy of memory is realised as it cannot be by those who trust to it alone, and is ascribed to the use of shorthand. But, if shorthand is properly used, it will involve the retention of a greater amount of knowledge by the memory. If the recorder is not content with a mere mechanical record, but notes mentally while he records ; and especially if he, as he should do at the end of his day's work. reviews the record and considers the lessons of the facts, it will be found that far more is remembered, and that this is remembered better than would otherwise be possible. But even if the opinion were true that the practice of noting all important facts has an influence on the retentive power of the memory-and I am not at all disposed to grant the truth of the opinion as regards the proper use of shorthand-there can be no question of advantage of the two habits thus placed in comparison. To permit this to deter from the acquisition and use of shorthand is, in a degree of more than usual accuracy, to be "penny wise and pound foolish."

For all this, shorthand must be properly known. The great pitfall for medical phonographers is the fact that it can be used for personal purposes when inadequately known, and that the user does not discern how great is the difference in degree, even of personal service, which is entailed by imperfect knowledge. This is, of course, only the assertion that no machine can be properly used until it is thoroughly mastered. Unless some initial trouble is given to bring the knowledge of shorthand to definite adequacy, its full value will not be obtained. Moreover, in accordance with a general law, the absence of that which has not been gained will not be realised. The loss of that which has been is keenly felt. the loss of that which might be, however great the real misfortune it entails, is unperceived.

If we can accomplish these objects, in whatever degree we are successful in our efforts we shall in that degree do more good than we can realise, more than we can see or learn. But this is not all. No good can be done, or, for the matter of that, no evil, which has not its own indirect results of the same character. Such an influence as I have spoken of gives increased knowledge, which, as I have said, is above all the "knowledge that is power." No man can gain more knowledge without obtaining at the same time more power of acquiring other knowledge. The increased opportunity to learn, which the use of shorthand gives us, causes of necessity an increased ability to learn, and the process of increase in ability goes on and on.

Lastly, whatever our Society can achieve is, like all the work of the profession to which we have the honour to belong, for the good of others. Our immediate object, indeed, may be to our own existence and that of those dependent on us. We can claim no immunity from that mysterious blessing which, in the guise of a curse, accompanied our far-off ancestors in one of the changes they passed through, when labour became the price of life. But the work which we do, by which we live, is that by which as far as may be others live. Whatever good we can achieve, who are here banded together for a common object, is for the benefit of those who in their need depend on our profession. Save for the rare occasions when the use of shorthand can secure some precious words of wisdom that would otherwise be lost, its service to men is greatest when it facilitates. increases, makes more effectual the work that is for others. What the work of our profession is I need not tell you. But this I may tell you, that whatever is the degree of the special help we give to the work of our profession, that help will not be transient, will not be narrow, will not be doubtful. It will endure as long as the science and the art of medicine; it will be wide as the disease we combat; it will be certain as the death we endeavour to postpone.

5- Vi. 18





![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)