



AN

# APPEAL

ON THE

## QUEBEC BILL.

THE SECOND EDITION, CORRECTED.

[Price One Shilling.]

W A

# APPEAL

ONTHE

# QUEBEC BILL.

THE SCHOOL EDITION, CORRECTED.

(Price One Shilling)

# A P P E A L TO THE PUBLIC;

STATING AND CONSIDERING

OUEBEC BILL.

THE SECOND EDITION, CORRECTED.

VULTIS EXEMPLO MAJORUM AUGERE REM RO-MANAM VICTOS IN CIVITATEM ACCIPIENDO? MA-TERIA CRESCENDI PER SUMMAM GLORIAM SUPPE-DITAT. CERTE ID FIRMISSIMUM LONGE IMPERIUM EST, QUO OBEDIENTES GAUDENT.

TIT. LIV.

#### LONDON:

Sold by T. PAYNE, Meuse-gate; and M. HINGESTON, in the Strand, near Temple-bar.

MDCCLXXIV.

## APPEAL

# TO THE PUBLIC;

STATEME AND COUNTRIES

THE OFFICTIONS TO THE

## QUEBEC BILLIN

THE SECOND EDITION CORRECTED.

Polytic Paralle Describe Arman Ran Rose

acara in terrational de la compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania del la compania de la compania de la compania del la compania de la compania del la compania del

existence of the Control of the Cont

的基本

## PREFACE

TO THE SECOND EDITION.

TAving conceived it to be the duty I of every citizen, previously to his condemning the Acts of the Supreme Legislature, to examine with attention their respective merits and demerits; the author had taken fome pains to inform himself of the facts and circumstances relating to the Quebec Bill; and, being thereby fully convinced of its propriety, was a little furprised to find nothing published in its support, except the "Thoughts on " the Quebec Act," and fome unconnected effays dispersed in the public papers, none of which appeared to him to state in a full light the arguments which offer themselves in its favour.

A 3

Had

Had he been apprifed of the intended publication of the two pamphlets, whereof one is "addreffed to 66 Lord Chatham," the other on "the " Justice and Policy of the Act," he should not have thought it necessary to have employed his pen upon this fubject: nor should he afterwards have published the copy (though at that time fent to the press), and fubjected himself to the censure which must inevitably attend an hasty publication, begun and finished in less than three weeks, had he not been advised to do it by a gentleman, of whose judgement he must ever have a much higher opinion than of his own.

If the declaimers against the Bill had opposed it for liberal purposes, he presumes that they would have preferred dispassionate reasoning to the inflammatory addresses—groundless intelligence—and illiberal invective—with which the public ear has been abused for this month past. He does

not mean to include among them the Gentleman who figns himself "Au-"ditor" (for whose compliments he professes himself obliged), though he thinks that he would have acted more candidly, had he \* confidered the whole argument together, instead of cavilling at particular words and fentences of the Appeal.—With great truth he can affure him, that, if the Quebec Bill was to be vindicated upon any other than upon principles of Liberty, he should think himself a most improper man to attempt a vindication of it; and that, if there is any thing mifstated, or improperly reasoned from, he can truly fay, that it does not proceed from intention, but from ignorance; as it always has been, and he trufts ever will be, his aim, not to mifrepresent upon opinions adopted hastily or partially, verum recte de rebus judicare; quo, ni fallor, aspiramus omnes.

Pref. à l'Espr. des Loix.

<sup>\*</sup> d'approuver ou condamner le livre entier, et non pas à quelques phrases.

not mean to include among them the Gentleman who flams himself \* Au-"ditor" (for whose compliments he projectes hundelf obliged), though he thinks that he would have affed more argument together, inflead of cavilling at particular words and fentences of the Appeal .- With great truth he can affare him, that, if the Sucker Fill was to be vindicated upon any other thould think himtelf a most improper man to attempt a vindication of it; and these if there is any thing midflated, or improperly reasoned from, he can truly for that it does not proceed from intention, but from ignorance; as it always has been, and be trulls over will be, his arm, not to milloprefent upon opinions adopted hellily or patially, verue rede de rebes july-

# d'accouver ou concamunt le livre en ret, ce

est, a l'inspiration de la service.

A

## SPEECH

UPON THE

### QUEBEC BILL.

Mr. SPEAKER!

SIR,

opposers of the Bill, now under our consideration, to render odious and invidious its general principles, I should not have thought it needful for me, rising in a parliamentary debate, to premise, that, besides its peculiar expediency, a clear conviction of the liberality and polity of its principles is my chief inducement,—my leading motive, to rise and endeavour to oppose the popular prejudices which we have seen

B

#### [ 10 ]

fo potently to militate against this Bill, both with those who through ignorance have misunderstood it, or through malevolence have misreprefented it.

It is a little remarkable, that these popular prejudices, among other incompetent foundations, rest upon a doubt of the power of Parliament \* to model and constitute its Colonies in such a manner as shall be deemed meet: they make a question, whether the Supreme Legislature of the British Empire has a right to give, to its ceded Province of Canada, such form of government, and such toleration of religious professions, as shall appear sitting for the imperial state to give, and for the dependent state to receive.

I could

<sup>\*</sup> The jurisdiction of this Court, says Sir Edward Coke, is so transcendent, that it maketh, enlargeth, diminisheth, repealeth, and reviveth, laws, statutes, acts, and ordinances, concerning matters ecclesiastical, capital, criminal, &c. I Inst. fol, 110.

It seems to me, that this is a very unconstitutional and illiberal ground, on which to support an argument, nominally in favour of Liberty.

But, when it appeared to be the intention to embarrass us with verbal disputes, addressed to our passions and prejudices, instead of our reason, in order to "darken counfel by words without knowledge;" I thought myfelf in duty bounden to examine the Bill itself, and see with my own eyes, whether it warranted the inductions drawn therefrom. I shall therefore presume to trespass upon your patience, Sir, and upon that of this honourable Affembly; while I recapitulate the heads of the Bill in contemplation, and mention fome few observations which occurred to me, upon the perufal of it.

The preamble of this Bill having stated, that, by the Proclamation in B 2 1763,

1763, a large extent of country, containing Canadian possessions, was left without any Civil Establishment; and that other parts of the Canadian fishery (the Labradore coast) were thereby subjected to the regulations of Newfoundland, which were inconfiftent with the nature of their fishery; the act then goes on to place under the jurisdiction of this new government all unappropriated lands, fouthward as far as where the Ohio runs into the Miffifippi, and northward to the territory of Hudson's Bay; revoking the aforesaid Proclamation. It then grants a toleration of the exercise of their religion, subject to the King's Supremacy, to the Roman Catholics there, and (after a clause in favour and encouragement of the Protestant religion there) prescribes a form for their Oath of Allegiance.

The Act then goes on to fecure to the Canadians their possessions, customs, and usages; and (except in the case of lands held under English tenures, which are to remain as they are) con-

tinues

tinues to them their own laws, fo far as they relate to property and civil rights: but, in this provision, the property and poslession of the religious communities are excepted; and the whole fystem remains subject to alterations, by ordinance of the Legislative Council, whenever the Canadians shall be fatisfied, of the superior excellence, of the English civil law, or the objections, which prevent its establishment there, shall cease: or whenever the administration of Justice, under Canadian law, shall become oppressive to British fubjects, having property and credits there. The Act then gives them the power of devising by Will. It gives them that best part of our constitution, the Criminal Law of England. It then proceeds to state the inapplicability, to their fituation, of an affembly of reprefentatives; and authorifes the King to nominate a Governor and Council, who are to have a power of making ordinances for their peace, welfare, and good government, but not for the pur-B 3 pole

pose of taxation. It further enacts, that no ordinance touching religion, or that inflicts greater punishment than fine or imprisonment for three months, shall be of any force or validity, until approved by the Crown. It then concludes with three clauses, relating to the manner of passing these ordinances, to the power in the Crown of erecting courts, and to enforcing in that Province the acts of parliament for regulating the Plantation trade.

Having briefly stated what the Act does give them, it may not be amiss curforily to mention what it does not give them. It does not give them all the inexplicable reasoning and machinery of our law.—It embarrasses them neither with the uncertainty of contingent remainders, nor with the mousetraps of special pleading.—It does not oblige them to carry attornies from hence to manage their estates.—It does not subject them to the cruelty and severity of our laws concerning arrests.—It does

not

not enable four hundred emigrants, because they are Protestants, to erect themselves into a constitutional aristocracy, and tyrannize over and oppress above an hundred thousand peaceable and dutiful fubjects, who first settled the country; men of property, of rank, of character.—It does not, in infraction of the very treaty which ceded the country to us, compel these mistaken, yet believing, Christians to facrifice the prejudices, the faith, the religion, they were born in, at the shrine of a Superstitious and intolerant hierarchy— It does not empower the few Protestant fettlers there, under the idea of a free representation, to tax at discretion a country, of which they form a most infignificant part; but referves taxation, where it should constitutionally remain, in the breast of this house.-Neither does this Act, Sir, authorize a \* Committee, to take a fum of money out of the revenue, granted to the

<sup>\*</sup> See some late transactions in Carolina.

B 4 Crown

#### [ 16 ]

Crown for the uses of government, and send it over to the Bill of Rights, to be expended in hiring a mob, to infult the first magistrate of this country in his public character.

But I am yet to learn that the omiffion of these properties, in their constitution, precludes either polity or liberty; or that the restoring and assuring to the conquered their possessions, rights, laws, and religion, is an act of severity or of tyranny in the conqueror; especially when we consider, that this bill only restores partially what, by a mere temporary expedient, the King's Proclamation \* incompetently

\* I should thus defend my opinion.—In Salkeld 411 it is laid down, by Lord Chief-justice Holt, "that, in a conquered country, the laws "of the conquering state cannot take place till "declared so by the conqueror and his succes-"fors:" which conqueror is the supreme power of the conquering state; with us, the King in concurrence with the two Houses of Parliament: for it is clear, that our King acting singly is not that Sovereign which Vattel describes, vol. I. Sect. 47, and 235, (as I deem) took away. For the King has not, I conceive, Sir, a power of

who " can change fuch laws as are not fundamental, and make political regulations," which with us are acts of Legislation. This is further elucidated by the argument in Calvin's case, 7th Rep. where the reason given, why "the King may, at " pleasure, alter the laws of a conquered country," is, that " he hath vitæ et necis potestatem, the of power of life and death." He may, perhaps, have vitæ et necis potestatem so long as the state of war continues; but fuch a power, after returning the fword to the scabbard, is repudiated by all nations of the world. L'Espr. des Loix, 1. xv. c. 2. Befides, a conquest is for the benefit of the state. not of the King. See Vattel, vol. II. fect. 232. And, if there was fuch a power, it would vest in the Parliament; for it cannot be either an executive or judicial act, which are supposed to be in consequence of some municipal law, pre-existent and prescriptive to them. There seems to me to be a strong line between ordinances made in a conquered country in time of war, and those made in time of peace: in war, they are temporary regulations, made upon the four of the occasion; in peace, they are durable establishments .- I must acknowledge my obligations to Mr. Macdonald's able argument, in the King's-bench, last Easter term, for the clue which has led me so far as I have been able to enter into this point.

Signatur ..

B 5 annulling

annulling or altering the laws of a conquered country, unless flagrante bello, while the sword of war remains unsheathed; for, after hostilities have ceased, such alteration is an act of Legislation.

And even if there was fo ample a power vested in the Crown, yet the exertion of it, in the manner which has been proposed, by giving them a new fystem of law, would be unnecesfary, and confequently impolitic and tyrannical; for the wanton and unnecesfary imposition of laws and regulations is, according to \* Blackstone's idea, destructive of liberty; and, in my mind, of polity also. The change, which William the First made in our laws and language, shewed in its confequences as much ill polity, as injustice in its principles: for it is truly faid, by the + author of the Spirit of Laws, that "it is the folly of con-

<sup>\*</sup> Book I. ch. i.— See also Beccaria, ch. ii.— "Ogni atto di autorità di uomo a uomo che non derivi dall' assoluta necessità è tirannico."

<sup>†</sup> Grand. et Decad. des Romains, ch. vi.

<sup>&</sup>quot; querors

" querors to wish to give to every people their own laws and customs; which are of no use, for in every feecies of government the subject is capable of obedience." That it is not necessary for us to give our conquered states our own laws, we have proof in the examples of Guernsey, Jersey, Minorca, &c.; which retain their obedience as well under their own laws, as they would under ours.

Par am I, Sir, from being convinced of the expediency, or justice, of submitting the lives, liberties, and properties, of our subjects in Canada to the jurisdiction of the very sew British Protestants settled there; who, I am bold to affert, are some of them the very resule of this country, who have unsuccessfully ventured to other parts of America previously to their colonizing in Canada. Were it declared expedient and just, I should not wonder, if these poor Roman Catholic Canadians, yainly guarded by the articles

of treaty, should petition us for a larger number of Protestant transports than has been used to be sent there, in order to increase the number of proper and sit men to form their House of Commons, who shall give them laws; and to compose a body from whence to chuse their special and common juries, who are to determine on life and property.

To those who contend that, when in the \* Treaty we contract to take the most effectual measures to tolerate in them the exercise of their religion, "as "far as our laws will permit," we do

<sup>\*</sup> The words of the Treaty referred to are these:

"That his Britannic Majesty, on his side, agrees

to grant the liberty of the Catholic religion to

the inhabitants of Canada. He will consequently

give the most precise and most effectual orders,

that his new Roman Catholic subjects may pro
fess the worship of their religion, according to

the rites of the Romish Church, as far as the

laws of Great-Britain permit; that is, subject to the King's Supremacy.

not mean any thing; \* for that our laws do not permit, but only wink at, the exercise of the Roman Catholic worship here: that our Roman Catholic laws are like a sword in a scabbard, which drawn, gives a libera et infinita potestas to him who bears it. To this I shall content myself with answering generally, that, if treaties are to be so construed, it will in its consequences render vain the Law of Nations and Treaties, which has hitherto operated, so much to the honour and peace of Modern Europe.

If any man, after having read the petition from whence this bill originates, figned

\* Our Law judges more liberally of conftructions, when it directs, that "an engagement shall "operate most forcibly against the contractor; "and that every word shall take effect, if possible." Plowden, 156.

+ This petition of the Canadians, having mentioned the conquest, and the consequent treaty which restored to them their religion, laws, and possessions, represents the inconvenience and confusion

## [ 22 ]

figned by most of the respectable names of Quebec, desiring, so far as may be,

fusion which have attended the fince changing of their own laws for the English laws, with which they were totally unacquainted, and which were not in any way suitable to their situation. Having then thanked his Majesty for admitting them to sit upon juries, they profess their surprize at being told, that they could not be in any employ civil or military, as they had flattered themselves that their irreproachable and dutiful conduct, since the conquest, would be such a testimony in their savour, as might induce their Sovereign to admit them to the rights of British subjects. They then beg their laws to be restored to them; and the Upper Country, and the coast of Labradore, to be replaced under the jurisdiction of their Province.

The Counter-petition of the British Traders there does not say a word about the treaty, or about the inhabitants of the country; but, having eited the proclamation, and shewn the gloriousness of forcing the British laws and constitution upon the conquered Canadians, and afferted that the petitioners are very industrious (which I believe true enough), and carry on a great part of the trade of the place, concludes by informing his Majesty, that there are now (which I doubt) a sufficient number of Protestants to form a General Assembly, and that they therefore hope that one will be immediately called.

their

their laws continued, and their religion tolerated; and having compared it with the counter-petition for the laws of England, figned by the British Traders there, who, reinforced by all their party, are in a less proportion to the Roman Catholics of Quebec than one to two bundred; if, so informed, he shall affert that it is the desire of our colony of Quebec to forego their own laws, in exchange for ours: to an affertion fo void of truth I shall not waste words in replying, but content myself with answering, in the modest and humble phrase of an honest saint, whose name I forget, Mentiris impudentissime!

But it has been faid, that not merely the interest of our Canadian subjects, nor yet the facred faith due to treaties, is to be consulted; that we must take heed to the danger of introducing despotism into our constitution, by letting the insection touch even the extremes. But, Sir, so far as precept and example united can support me, I shall venture

## [ 24 ]

to demur to this principle; not to the extent of the words in which it has been expressed, but to such state of it as the fact will warrant. Far be it from me to affert that despotism is proper for any part of a free Empire; but I trust that I am warranted in saying, that, if the constitution of the dependent state cannot exactly tally with that of the imperial state, it had better be less free than more free.

The constituting of a dependent state exactly as free, and yet not more free than the imperial state, is, in my sentiment, quite Utopian. I believe that there never was an example of this exact tally: I am consident in asserting that there is no such example in our Empire. Our royal governments are less free, our charter governments are more free, than the motherstate: our brethren in New England have been abundantly more free; so that we have feared lest they should "use their liberty as a cloak to licen"tiousness."

mind, Sir, what little effect the patriotic Mayors and Aldermen of the city of London have had in raising sedition in the cause of Liberty, compared with the eager strides of the "Sons" and Daughters of Liberty" at Boston to that system which knows no sovereign power but in Heaven.

You will recollect, Sir, that the states of Greece had all dependent states, with more confined liberties than their own. Montesquieu \* remarks of Rome, that their Republican constitution could not be communicated to the Provinces; that, while Liberty was in the center, Tyranny was in the extremes; for, he then adds, a Menarchial constitution may be communicated to a dependent state, but a Republican cannot. In a subsequent + book however he makes a partial exception to his observation, in savour of the Mixt Constitution, in savour of the Mixt Constitution.

<sup>\*</sup> L'Esprit des Loix, Liv. x1. Chap. 19.

<sup>+</sup> Liv. xIX. Chap. 27.

tution of England; saying, that, where the English send out colonies of their own People, they can communicate their system: but, he adds, not when they conquer; for there, though the citizens of the state may be made free, yet their constitution must be dependent and monarchial. To know whether this applies, we have but to determine, whether the hundred thousand conquered Canadians, or the four hundred colonizing English, compose the Province of Quebec. If the sirst, it is a conquered country; if the latter, a settled Colony.

The few Republics which now exist concur to add authority to my affertion; but in no instance that occurs to me is it more justified than in the manner in which the Republic of Holland governs its monarchial establishment at Batavia. "\* A simple mer"chant, governor of that Colony, ap"pearing there with all the state of the

\* Siecle de Loius XIV. Tom. I. p. 21.

" greatest Kings, without their suf" fering this Asiatic Pomp to corrupt
" the simplicity of their European
" Dutch," draws from Voltaire the observation, that " this mode of ex" ternal pomp and domestic frugality
" has formed the grandeur of the Se" ven Provinces."

But the manners of the European Dutch are as much more simple and frugal than ours, as the pomp of the Batavian Dutch exceeds what is, or can be, in Canada. The Republic of Holland is as much more republican, and less monarchial, than the Mixt State of England; as the despotic Viceroy of Batavia is more absolute, than the Governor of Quebec under the proposed qualified establishment. The case is much stronger, and yet has produced none but good effects.—

Having said thus much, in order to state the General Polity of the Bill, its peculiar necessity and expediency in this C 2 instance,

instance, and that no danger to us attends it; I proceed to examine, whether its operations will be in favour or in opposition to Liberty. And in a Free State like this, to which we have the happiness to belong, it is not to be wondered, if the found of that fingle word, " Liberty," should be equal to an army of other words; for arguments in favour of Liberty are, and ought to be, heard with avidity in this affembly; -they merit and they receive favour in our courts of Law .- And, Sir, did I conceive the Arguments for this Bill to have effect in favour of the Prerogative of the Crown, and against the Liberty of the subject, I would be the last man in this house who should rise to support it. But when I shall have observed to you, that, without this Bill, the Government of Canada will remain formed of a Governor. Council, and House of Assembly. electable out of and by the very few Protestants who reside there, to the exclusion

clusion of, generally speaking, all the Canadians; that this Oligarchy is to be armed with the power of tyranny and persecution; and that this constitution is to remain folely established by the King's Proclamation, and wholly fubject to his prerogative: - and when, on the reverse of the medal, we find that this Bill confiders them as subjects, not of the Royal Prerogative, but of the Legislature of the British Empire; and as fuch admits them to the rights of subjects, and to a small participation of Government; that it tolerates their religious prejudices, and continues to them their own Laws and Tenures, fo far as they concur with their advantage and our fafety:-It will then be eafy for this affembly to determine, Sir, which of the two is a conquest to Liberty; that which founds an aristocratic hierarchy on kingly prerogative; or that which constitutionally admits the conquered to the rights of British subjects, fo far as their fituation will permit.

C 3

Can

Can there be any thing more invidious—more odious—more averse, to Liberty, than a system which draws a line between settling subjects and subjects by treaty; which considers emigrants from England as authorized to exercise all the tyranny, which Roman Citizens were guilty of in their Provinces; and treats a country, subjected to us by treaty, as a province acquired for the purpose of their oppressions. It would be ridiculous, Sir, to think that \* eo loco, ubi servitutem esse velimus, sidem sperandam esse.

But such the mistake must be, which ensues our being governed by words, instead of ideas. This very distinction between conquered and settling subjects, which patriots have contended so for in this instance, was, by being realized in the Roman Provinces, the cause of all those shocking scenes of

oppression

<sup>\*</sup> Ridiculous to think, that, " in that place " where we have conflituted flavery, fidelity is to be hoped for."

oppression and tyranny, which emigrants \* from that city displayed amid their unhappy fubjects; the which, by a necessary consequence, drew after them the flavery, and ultimately the ruin +, of that imperial city, whose constitution had been the vaunted produce of fix hundred years. The instance is the more remarkable, in that this very Empire, which thus fell a facrifice to its own injustice and bad polity, originated its grandeur by directly opposite measures t,-those of incorporating into its own body every city and state of Italy which it conquered.

If it should appear, that, by the extension of our Criminal Law to that

\* See the end of Mithridates's speech, cited from Trogus Pompeius by Justin. Lib. xxxvii, cap. 7.

<sup>+</sup> Ego cuncta imperia crudelia, magis acerba, quam diuturna, arbitror; neque quemquam a multis metuendum esse, quin ad eum ex multis formido recidat. SALLUST, Orat. I.

<sup>†</sup> Tit, Liv, lib. VIII. cap. xiii. Camillus's speech, C 4. country,

country, the writ of habeas corpus in criminal matters is ipso facto carried with it, and equally \* issuable in the courts

\* The first and leading point in the construction of an A& of Parliament is to make it fo operate, as to suppress (so far as may be) the mischief, and advance the remedy. I Comment, 87. And it is the master-principle of our Law, that every thing in favour of Liberty shall be liberally and beneficially expounded. The babeas corpus ad subjiciendum, which issues in criminal cases, and that ad deliberandum et recipiendum, to remove criminals, are a part of our criminal law, and a part effential to the liberty of the subject : and though it is provided, in the habeas corpus act, that it shall be issuable only by the Lord Chancellor and the twelve judges; yet, because that if, in criminal cases, it should not be issuable in the same manner in Quebec as in the other Colonies, the part of the act, which gives them the Criminal Law of England, would lose its principal aim and effect; therefore it is to be understood, that the part of the habeas corpus act, which restrains the issuing of this writ to the Lord Chancellor and the twelve Judges, is, so far as it applies to Quebec in criminal matters, vertually repealed; for, otherwife, the intention of this Act, to put them upon the fame footing with ourselves in point of criminal jurisprudence, cannot take effect. It is a little extraordinary, that this Act, which has given fuch offence

courts there, as in England: if it should likewise appear, that the extension of this same criminal Law operates to fecure to them the trial by fury in all matters which concern either the Life or Liberty of the subject, in all matters in which the power and prerogative of the Crown might, by possibility, gain an opportunity of interfering to the oppression of the subject; and that their former mode of trial only remains, in civil actions, between subject and subject, and in disputes about a property which is held under different tenures froms ours; and that only till the Legislative Council, with approbation from home, shall find it expedient to alter it :- If all this should ap-

offence on account of its supposed exclusion of this writ, is the only act which extends it to any Province in America. The other Colonies have it, through Governors Instructions, under a very liberal construction of the 31st of Charles II. I understand that a very great Lawyer has given his opinion, that this writ is, in criminal matters, is supposed by the Judges of the Superior Court of that Province, equally as in England.

pear, and in the face of the Bill we may read it, I humbly conceive, Sir, that all arguments, issuing from the idea of the non-extension of the writ of babeas corpus, and trial by jury, to this colony are, to use a justly favourite expression "meer, Moon-shine."

Could it happen (which Heaven forbid!) that the fovereign of fome neighbouring state to us, advantaged by situation, connections, events, and good Fortune, should acquire by conquest the sovereignty of this Kingdom, to the exclusion of the present family, who came to the throne, to affert and support the rights of Britons: should this happen (and, however distant in event, in argument it may be fupposed), and should this conquering Prince think proper to declare void our laws, usages, tenures, and Doctrine of Descents; our Privilege of trial by jury, our claim to the writ of babeas corpus, our rights of manors, and our possessions,

possessions, in order to constitute the whole system de novo; and should he close this deadly edict by prohibiting us to worship the God of our Fathers, as our Fathers worshiped him;—what names! what words should we think sufficiently emphatical for such an Act of Tyranny! But if, to the cruelty and injustice of such a procedure, it should appear in accession, that it was \* unnecessary, wanton, and impolitic, the folly of the deed would demand still stronger words to express its character.

Let us then put ourselves, for a moment, in the situation of our conquered Canadian subjects. To them their laws, their usages, their rights, their possessions, are equally dear as ours are

\* For the reason of two or three hundred stragglers of the conquering nation, having crept in among us, would, in our own case, be deemed nothing better than a man of straw; and that is not reason, in the case of another, which is not so in our own instance,

to us: their religion is much dearer, through a mistaken zeal, which unhappily misleads them. Can we, by any means, conceive ourfelves authorized to correct these errors by persecution? or will the Spirit of our Faith warrant us by fire and faggot to make immediate \* Proselytes of an hundred thousand subjects, whom the chance of war, and the faith of treaties, have placed under our jurisdiction? Surely, not. For let no man fay that we have a right of compulsion, because our laws and religion are better than theirs. The affertion may be very true; but, if admitted as an argument, it is an argument, which the verieft tyrant upon earth, operating for the worst of purposes, might equally with us make use of .-

So far, Sir, for the Polity, Libert, and Propriety, which, in my fentiment

<sup>\*</sup> The history of every country affords convictive proof, that persecution is not the proper means of converting from religious errors,

at least, unitedly direct the aim and operation of this Bill. I have now to engage your view, to that part of it, which prescribes the extension and limits of this new Province; a fubject which has been more fpoke to by the gentlemen in opposition, because more capable of a multiplicity of words. The Bill, Sir, re-annexes to Quebec, on the one fide, the Labradore coast, and, on the other fide, the upper and interior country of North-America, North of the Ohio; and as the reasoning applying to these two countries depends upon different facts, I must beg your indulgence, while I speak to each of them separately; and first with regard to the Labradore coast.

It is impossible for any man, who is acquainted with the nature of the commerce and fishery carried on upon that coast, and with the state of the Canadian claims there, not to see that the restriction

restriction of it to the government of Quebec, in exclusion of the government of Newfoundland, is an act both of expediency and justice. The Seal and Sea-cow fisheries of Labradore, and the Cod fishery of Newfoundland, are fo different in their natures, that the fame mode of regulation cannot be applicable to both. The first comer every fpring to Newfoundland feizes upon, and becomes tenant by occupation of those unoccupied parts of the shore which are most convenient and suitable to him: there is no perennial property in the fishery; but they are all annual occupants; for the boats which carries them there, carries with them their barrel of rum, and barrel of pork, and the other few requifites for a complete establishment of their fishery. But the Labradore fisheries are carried on upon a very different principle, and in a very different manner: they are fixed and fedentary, and require

quire more than mere annual establishments; and the prosit would be irresponsible to the expence, if the sisherman, after a large disbursement in preparations and means for carrying on his sishery this year, is to be ousted and deprived of the benefit of them the second year; and is to be obliged to recur to the same expence annually for the same inadequate prosit.

It is true, that the Proclamation, by making it a part of Newfoundland, did not abfolutely prescribe, that it should, in all its parts, be subject to the regulations of the Newfoundland sishery; but, by the mistaken zeal of those gentlemen, who have been appointed to superintend that sishery, it has in fact had, and must continue to have, these consequences; for, however wise and proper their regulations may have been, when applied to those parts of the coast, where a Cod sishery may be carried on; yet

they are certainly inapplicable to the Oil fishery; and, by making it impossible for a Canadian to form establishments, where he has a right to possession, do in fact violate every principle of Law and Justice\*.

If then it should be deemed impolitic to subject that valuable coast and sishery to the system which operates half the year in Newsoundland; it will, I trust, appear an indispensable point of polity to subject them to some government or other. To establish a government on purpose is a needless and absurd expence; and St. John's will want more maturity and population before it will be sit to govern itself. Halifax is also yet in its infancy, and equally incapable of enlarging its dependent jurisdiction. Quebec will then appear

<sup>\*</sup> Sir Hugh Pallifer has had, and, I believe, now has, actions pending against him here, on account of some of these regulatious.

to be the \* only Province adapted by fituation and condition to this talk. And the fishermen of Labradore will certainly not change for the worse, in escaping from the jurisdiction of a Commodore to the civil Establishment of a Governor and Council, acting under laws to be consented to here; which will surely be better for them, than a system, whereof the quod placuit principi, the Commodore's high will and pleasure, forms the code:

It is true, that there is a very valuable cod-fishery, on some parts of this coast, which may be carried on by British subjects. But is there any thing in this Act which prevents British subjects from sishing upon those parts of the coast, where there are no Canadian settlements? Is there any thing in this Act which disables the

D

<sup>\*</sup> Quebec, Halifax, and St. John's, are the only neighbouring Provinces to the Labradore Coast.

King from giving inftructions for meafures that may fecure that fishery to British subjects? Is it to be supposed, that the King will not be advised to instruct his Governor, to make fuch regulations as may fecure, to the ships fitted out from Great Britain, the full enjoyment of that cod-fishery? Will not this civil establishment make it, to the subjects of Great Britain, more fecure than they have found the Port of Boston? Will not regulations, thus enacted by Law, be more effectual to this falutary object than any orders given by the governor of Newfoundland; who is cloathed with no legislative power, nor is supported in what he may do, by any \* Act which extends to that coast?

To all these questions common sense answers in favour of the Bill; for the Quebec Government, having civil jurisdiction there, will make the British

<sup>\*</sup> The Provisions of the Act of William III. are confined solely to the Island of Newsoundland.

Subjects

fubjects more fecure in the poffession of this fishery, by how much more fecurity there is to the Mother state, in their being governed by a civil establishment from home, and by laws confented to at home, than by a naval establishment, with no laws at all.

It has been urged, that the transfer of the jurisdiction of this fishery to Quebec, will be attended with a transfer of the fishery itself, from the other North-Americans, to our fubjects of Quebec, and to our own people of England. Were it so, I do not fee any ill polity in a meafure which may mediately tend to draw a fishery from people, who refuse our laws, government, and manufactures, to the inhabitants of Quebec, who petition us for laws, government, &c. or to ourfelves, who stand very much in need of them; especially if it should appear, and it is very true, that this country is occupied by Canadian pof-D 2 feffions, fessions, under Canadian rights; heretofore subject to this very jurisdiction,
till divested by Proclamation. Would
the transfer of the government carry
the sishery with it, it would operate
in favour of the bill; but I think,
that it cannot be argued for it, that it
will be attended with any such effects;
for the Independents of New-England
will not find themselves less " perfectly free" under the civil jurisdiction of Quebec, than under the Proclamations of the Naval Governors of
Newfoundland.

To what has been, or may be, faid against this Bill, on account of the encouragement which it may give to the Canadian Fishery, and of the confequent danger of its proving a Nursery for Canadian Sailors; who, as soon as they shall acquire a fixed settlement for their fishery on that coast, are to be supposed willing to abandon their property, and sly to the French Navy:—

To an argument fo merely composed of words, I shall think it enough to answer generally-that the Nursery, if it is one, is not originated by this Act—that it was preexistent to it—that it is not to be prevented but by an Act of injustice, that of ousting the Canadians there fettled from thefe their fettlements and possessions—that it seems rather \* abfurd, to exclude people from a fishery, in order to prevent their leaving it-and, lastly, that this Act, fo far from fending them to mann the French Navy, gives them encouragement to remain there, fecure in their possessions, on the footing of British subjects. But, however liberal to them as colonists and subjects, the Act is not less cautious of the safety and welfare of the Mother Country; vesting in the Crown a legal controul of this fishery, to limit and confine the bounds thereof, and to make fuch regulations applicable thereto, as shall on

<sup>\*</sup> Hic rogo, non furor est, ne moriare, mori?

D 3 behalf

behalf of Great Britain be deemed expedient. And even if it should, contrary to every probability, prove a nursery for French, instead of English sailors, and should the Crown omit the exertion of the salutary power by this Act entrusted to it; we are by no means precluded from making such surther regulations and restrictions as shall to us seem sit.

I have entered thus largely into the confideration of this part of the Bill, which, by an extension of the limits of Quebec, includes the coast of Labradore; because great pains have been taken to prejudice men's opinions upon salse grounds; but I must not forget the other object.—It is needless to inform this house, already so knowing in American affairs, that the upper and interior country, which this Bill places under the jurisdiction of Quebec, is indeed of great extent, intersected by many very great rivers and lakes, taking

taking their courses in different diverging lines of direction; but of eafy communication, by means of narrow straits, or short, carrying-places, which being fecured by forts, the dominion is eafily maintained by a very small military force. By a complete knowledge and proper use of this great natural advantage, the French actually gained, and for many years maintained, the possession of that country; from which, by means of a wife and proper regulation of the Peltry trade, upon one general fystem, they gained the esteem and affection of the Indians, and reaped those benefits which raised Canada to the flourishing state we found it in at the conquest.

In order, however, to give effect to that regulation, and that the posts, which were established, might be more easily maintained, and at as little expense as possible; Settlements were allowed to be formed, within a ceratain

# [ 48 ]

form of civil government established, among the inhabitants of them, proportioned to the extent and importance of their settlements; which became, in process of time, actual, though dependent, Colonies.

In this fituation, that country was, by the fuccess of our arms, and the wildom of our councils in the direction of them, transferred to the dominion of Great-Britain .- I do not mean, in general, to condemn the policy of the Proclamation in 1763, in reference to the interior country: had it been followed by a complete execution of that plan, for the government of Indian affairs, which the late Minister of the American Department had, with fo much credit to himself, suggested; the present measure would, as to many of its objects, have been unnecessary. The Peltry trade would have been fecured from these gross abuses and frauds

frauds which have spread universal enmity and jealousy among the Savages; and the Settlements at Detroit, Poste St. Vincenne, and the Illinois, might, by degrees, have been brought under that controul which is essential to public safety, and have received that protection which is due to Civil Liberty.

Unfortunately, however, no part of this plan was adopted, except the appointment of a Superintendant for Indian Affairs, with an establishment of near 10,000 l. per annum, but without any power or authority whatever, except what arose out of his uncommon merit and influence, to make any regulation, or correct any of those abuses, of which that commerce is in its nature so susceptible. Many of the posts, where inhabitancy had taken place, were improvidently abandoned; and in the the miserable settlers were left exposed

Theresthe miserable settlers were lest exposed to tyranny and oppression, which a man, informed

### [ 50 ]

informed of facts, would blush to men-

In vain have the fufferers under that oppression sighed out bitter, but respectful, complaints. - In vain has Sir William Johnson repeatedly announced the entire loss of the affections of the Indians, and stated the decline of the Peltry trade, for want of some regulation and controul. In vain have the Colonies, concerned in this trade, been called upon to concur in fome measures for that purpose: they have not only refused that concurrence, but have, regardless of their own safety, and in violation of their Sovereign's orders, in fome instances encouraged, and in others connived at, vagabond settlements, independent of all authority, and irreconcileable with every principle of true polity.

In this fituation, and under these circumstances, what better can be done than

than to annex this country to Quebec, and subject the whole to the jurisdiction of that Colony, to which the only lawful settlers in it were originally subject, and whose language, manners, inclination, and religion, are the same:—a Colony, that, under the provision of this Bill, will have authority competent to every object that requires regulation and reform, both in respect to Indian Affairs, and the care and concern of the subordinate districts.

Do gentlemen really think, that, after all that has been proved to this house respecting the conduct of our other Colonies, it would be adviseable that each, or any number of them, should ad libitum extend settlement west to the River Missippi? I think that none will be found hardy enough to risk such an opinion.—Should it be proposed, I should answer, "No! "certainly, no!" for, besides the inconvenience, as in the instance of Boston.

for example, of its extending back into the woods above nine hundred miles from its Capital, its turbulent and democratic spirit, and, in this instance, its inapplicable system of representation; there would moreover be the fame ill confequences attending it, as must have followed that ill-defigned, and happily-abortive scheme for the Ohio settlement; the forming an independent state, inaccessible to our Navy, and confequently uncontrolable by ourselves .- It would tend prematurely to hasten that independence in our subjects in America, which they are forward enough to claim already. -It would form an impregnable Headquarters for the discontented to resort to.

If this reasoning is fairly deduced, I think it will follow, that none of the Provinces on the coast are singly proper, either by situation, constitution, or temper, for so important a trust as the jurisdiction

risdiction of that country. And the impropriety of such a measure will appear by stronger reason, if we add, that the country in contemplation is chiefly held and settled, where held and settled, under Canadian rights and Canadian establishments.—And the same reasoning will conclude, that an inland Colony and settlement there is inexpedient as well as dangerous.

It should be considered that the object of \* colonization is not merely to form new towns, which shall call us the Mother country; but to extend our commerce, our resources, our means of wealth—of Empire, upon our own terms. If this is not done, our title to America is a vain name and nothing more. But particularly, in this remote country, in question, commerce, and trade, and the security derived to the other colonies and to the Empire in general, from its being in our posses.

<sup>\*</sup> L'Esprit des Loix, Liv. xxi. chap. 21.

fion, are our only objects. For this purpose, the establishment thereof should be rather monarchial, than democratic; in that the direction and controul of a chain of forts, and a distant Indian trade, would be ill-decided upon by a town-meeting, an elective Council, or an house of Representatives; and a country of that immense extent, and wild condition, reduced to a system of representation, would be a monster in reality, as well as in theory—if the theory could be supported—if its existence could be realized.

But the firength of these forts united in one hand—directed by one head—and governed under the proposed Quebec establishment, will not only be a proper barrier and control against our enemies, and a protection to our other Colonies; but it will also form a politic check\* to the growing independent

\* diem proferet Ilio, Matronisque Phrygum —

\*HOIL

dence

dence of our American children. And, whatever may be faid of the pleasant-ness of brethren dwelling together in unity, it is a matter of great \* moment to the political existence of our Empire, that our Colonies beyond the Atlantick, instead of being combined together under the fraternal name of "Americans," should be blended and united with our general Empire under the filial character of "BRITONS."

we to every the most pitiful

Such disposition of this Back Country will also have another good effect, to restrain and prevent their back settlements, where they would be beyond the reach of our control; and will oblige them to cultivate the sea-coasts, where, so long as we command the sea, we shall always have a power of coercion over them. It will restore the Canadian settlements, to their own and former jurisdiction, and it will be a means of recovering and preserving the

<sup>\*</sup> Grand. & Decl. des Romains, chap. vi.

#### [ 56 ]

trade of that country, and reconciliate ing the minds of the injured and opepressed Indians.

The honourable gentleman, who spoke last, expresses a high sense of his esteem for the noble Lord on the Treasury-bench. I heartily concur with him in it, and trust that I shall not have occasion to alter my opinion. His candour and attention, in hearing and replying to every the most pitiful objection, that the cry of Party could raife against this Bill, convince me not less of his patience than of his abilities. This honourable and learned gentleman has also said, Sir, that no one has dared to avow this Bill-that it has been prolem sine matre creatam. I know not why, unless it be faid quia populi filius, nullius filius; -- because, concurrently the production of the two houses, it cannot properly be claimed by, or attributed to, any individual of either. For my own part, if my elaim

claim were supportable, I should make no scruple of avowing it; for the mutable fashion of condemning this Bill will, I am perfuaded, change as much, and as fast, as the opinions and principles of fome of the opposers of it have changed. It is truly faid, by Locke, that "Names govern things," and that we generally look " non quo eundum eft, sed quo iter;" and the fate of the popularity of this Bill affords a striking instance of the truth of it; where a partial cry within and without thefe walls, of Popery and arbitrary Power, has more operated-has more convinced, than either Reason or Commonfense.-As to Popery, I shall observe, that we only grant them that toleration which, as Christians, or as Men, they are intitled to-as Subjects, they may claim, under that very Treaty by which they became our fubjects .-With regard to the latter imputation of Despotism, I shall conclude with the answer

### [ 58 ]

answer of the Athenian Legislator,—
"We have not given them the
"BEST CONSTITUTION POSSIBLE, BUT
"WE HAVE GIVEN THEM \* THE BEST
"THAT THEY ARE CAPABLE OF RE"CEIVING,"

εί Ωι αν, ιφη, προσεδιξαίδο τυς αριςυς."
Plutarchus in vita Solonis.

## FINIS.

has either Karles or Concent.

#### ERRATA

P. 24. 1. 2. erase the word "the"
P. 36. 1. 21. for Liberty read Liberality
P. 55. at the bottom, for Decl. read Decad.

#### ERRATA.

P. zs. 1. z. ende the word, "the" P. zb. 1. zt. for Libry and Librally P. zs. at the bottomy for Decl. read Deced



