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## ADVERTISEMENT:

$\tau$H E great noife which the following work bas made in foreign parts, on account of the author's freedom in regard to matters of religion, may probably occafion fome people to be offiended with the publication of it in Englifh. But an exception of this kind muft furely be the effect of prejudice, and is impofible to be defended upon the principles of reafon and pbilofophy. True religion is not afraid of bearing the ftrizteft examination; the attacks of infidels, inftead of weakening ber authority, ratber contribute to ber triumphs. She is ever ready to bear what ber adverfaries bave to oppofe; and calmly endeavours to refute their errors. This is a maxim agreeable to found fenfe, and the contrary doctrine is calculated only for the meridian of the inquiftion.

It muft be acknowledged, however, that in writings of this fort, fome regard ought to be Sherwn to the illiterate and the vulgar; neither is it fit that their minds should be unbinged in their affent to the true religion. This indulgence to the public is 乃ewn in the following tranjlation, which bas been undertaken chiefly to prevent the work from being rendered into Englifh by fome otber band, who would perbaps bave been glad of the opportunity of Spreading its errors. Care bas therefore been taken to make proper Arictures on

## ADVERTISEḰNTV.

fuch pafages as are moft exceptionable, and even to refute at large fomie articles wobich may be fufpected to bave a dangerous tendency.

Thefe are blemifhes, wbich; as a judicious critic obferves*, are cupable of disfiguring, but not of intirely deftroying the merit of this work. Tbo' our author is no divine, be is a poet, an biftorian, a pbilofopber, and in many refpects a moft agreeable writer. In fuclo a multiplicity of articles be bas an opportunity of difplaying not only bis wit and bumour, but likewife a great fund of erudition. Where be does not intermeddle with religion, be is very entertaining, and oftentimes inflructioe. Even when writing on religious matters, be is not always deferving of cenfure; for inftance, bis article of toleration contains excellent doctrine, and Joeros bim to be endowed with good-nature and bumanity. This appears even in the fingularity of miany of bis notions, which were orving to the favourable opinion be entertains of mankind. He thinks that we are not naturally prone to vice; that virtute conffits only in doing good to our neigbbour; that neitber the Greeks nor Romans were idolaters; opinions, which, bowever erroneous, are an indication of bis benevolent difpgition.
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## D ICTIONARY.

## A B R A H A M.

ABR AHAM is a name famous in Afia Minor and Arabia, like Thaut among the Egyptians, the firft Zoroafter in Perfia, Hercules in Greece, Orpheus in Thracia, Odin among the Northern Nations, and many others, known rather by their celebrity than by any authentic hiftory. - Here I fpeak only of prophane hiftory ; for as to that of the Jews, our teachers and our enemies, whom we believe and deteft at the fame time, the hiftory of this people having manifeftly been written by the Holy Ghoft, we have for it all the fentiments we ought. We here addrefs ourfelves only to the Arabs, who boaft of being defcended from Abraham by lihmael, and believe that this patriarch built Mecca, and that he died in this city. The truth is, that Ifhmael's progeny has been favoured by God infinitely more than that of Jacob. Both races, indeed, have produced robbers, but the Arabian robbers have prodigiounly furpaffed the Jewifh. Jacob's defcend-
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 ants conquered only a very fmall country, and that they afterwards loft; whereas the defcendants of Ifhmael have extended their conquefts over a pare of Europe, Afia, and Africa, have founded an empire greater than the Romans, and have driven the Jews from thofe holes of theirs, which they called the Land of Promife.To judge of things only by the inftances of modern hiftories, it is not likely that Abraham fhould have been the father of two nations fo very different: we are told that he was born in Chaldea, the fon of a poor potter; who fubfifted by making kittle earthen idols. Now how fhould this potter's fon go and found Mecca, at the diftance of three hundred leagues, and over impracticable defarts? If he was a conqueror, he certainly would have bent his arms againft the fine country of Affyria; and if only a poor man, as reprefented to us, he could hardly found kingdoms in foreign parts, his only monarchy mult have been his home.

Genefis makes him feventy-five years of age when he left the country of Haran, after the death of his father Terah the potter. But the fame book fays, that Terah having begotten Abraham in his feventieth year, he lived to the age of two hundred and five years (A), and that Abraham
did
(A) M. Voltaire is ready to itart objections, but never offers to give any folution. The fcripture fays, Gen. xi. that " Terah, after having lived feventy years, begot Abrahaim, "Nachor, and Haran." Now though Abraham be named firf, it is not certain that he was the eldeft of the three : on the contrary, it feems probable, that he was not born in the feventieth year of Terah; becaufe it is exprefsly faid, in the following chapter, that Abraham going from Haran inmediately after the death of his father, who departed this life ar

## DICTIONARY.

did not leave Haran till after his father's deceafe : thus from Genefis itfelf it is clear, that Abraham, when he left Mefopotamia, was an hundred and thirty five years of age; and he only went from one idolatrous country to another, called Sichem in Paleftine. And wherefore did he go thither ? why leave Euphrates' fertile banks for fo rocky, fo barren (B) a country, as that of Sichem, and withal fo remote? The Chaldean tongue muft have been very different from that of Sichem, neither was it a trading place. Sichem is above an hundred leagues from Chaldea, and with many defarts to pafs through : but God ordered him on this journey, intending to fhew him the country
the age of 205 years, was then only feventy-five years old. The confequence is, that Abraham was born in the 130 th year of the life of Terah, and not in the feventieth : fo that Terah having begun to have children in the feventicth year of his life, Haran and Nachor muft neceffarily have been born before Abraham: therefore Abraham departed from Haran in Mefopotamia, not in the $135^{\text {th }}$, but in the $75^{\text {th }}$ year of his age.
(B) The author, upon all occafions, reprefents the country of Paleftine as a barren difagreeable fpot, and not at all anfiwering the defrription in Holy Writ, where it is called a Land Howing with Milk and Honey. But we may obferve, with the learned Dr.Shaw, that, were the Holy Land fo well peopled and cultivated at prefent as in former times, it would fill be more fruitful than the very beft part of Syria and Phoenice. The barrennefs or fearcity, which fome authors, either ignorantly or malicioufly, complain of, does not proceed from the incapacity or natural unfruitfulnefs of the country, but from the want of inhabitants, and the great averfion there is to labour and induftry in thofe few who poffefs it: otherwife the land is ftill capable of affording its neighbours the like fupplies of corn and oil, which it is known to have done in the time of Solomon. Thus there is no forming an idea of its antient flourifhing ftate from its prefent barren condition, which is entirely owing to the want of culture.
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which his iffue were to poffefs many centuries after him. The reafons of fuch a journey are what the human mind can never conceive ( C ).

No fooner has he reached the little rocky country of Sichem, than a famine obliges him as haftily to decamp, and he goes away to Egypt, in queft of a fubfiftence. Memphis lies two hundred leagues from Sichem; now is it natural to go for corn fa very far, and where one knows nothing of the tongue? Thefe are odd peregrinations for a man near an hundred and forty years old.

With him he brings to Memphis his wife Sarah, who, in age, was little more than a child to him, being only in her fixty-fifth year. As fhe had a great fhare of beauty, he was for turning it to account : make as if you were only my fifter, faid he to her, that I may have kindnefs fhewn to me for your fake. He rather fhould have faid to her, Make as if you were my daughter.-The king became fmitten with young Sarah, and gave her fham brother abundance of fheep, oxen, he affes, the affes, camels, and man fervants, and maid fervants ; a proof that Egypt, even then, was a very powerful and well policed, and confequently a very antient kingdom; and that brothers coming to make a tendre of their fifter to the kings of Memphis were magnificently rewarded.

Young Sarah had, according to fcripture, reached her ninetieth year, when God promifed her that Abraham, then full an hundred and fixty, fhould get her with child within the twelvemonth.

[^1]
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Abraham, being fond of travelling, went into the frightful wildernefs of Kadefh, with his pregnant wife, who, it feems, was ftill fo young and pretty, as to kindle in a king of this wildernefs the like paffion which the Egyptian monarch had felt for her. The Father of the Faithful here enjoined her the fame lie as in Egypt : and thus his wife, paffing for his fifter, got more cattle and fervants; fo thatSarah turned out no inconfiderable fortune to him. Commentators having written a prodigious number of volumes to juftify Abraham's conduct (D), and reconcile chronology, to thofe commentaries we muft refer the reader. They are all the works of men of great parts and fagacity, confummate metaphyficians, void of all prepoffeffion, and the fartheft in the world from any thing, of pedantry.

## A NGEL.

ANGEL, in Greek a Messenger ; it matters little to be informed that the Perfians had their Peries, the Hebrews their Malacs, and the Greeks their Demonoï.

But what may, perhaps, be more interefting to know is, that the fuppofition of intermediate beings between the Deity and us, prevailed among the firf men; thefe are the demons and genii feigned by antiquity; man has always made the

- (D) There is no neceflity for juftifying Abrahan's conduct: though Sarah might have been Abraham's fifter by the father's fide, and confequently the expreffion be true; yet it was ambiguous, and calculated for deception, and therefore cannot be juftified. Abraham, though father of the faithful, was fubject to human infirmities, and here, in particular, he betrayed his diftruft of God's providence.
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 gods in his own likenefs. As princes were feen to fignify their orders by meffengers, the Deity of courfe alfo difpatches couriers. Mercury and Iris were celeftial couriers and meffengers.The Hebrews, that chofen people, under the immediate guidance of the Deity itfelf, at firft gave no names to the angels whom God, after fome time, was pleafed to fend to them; but, during their captivity in Babylon, they borrowed the names ufed by the Chaldeans. The firft word we hear of Michael and Gabriel is in Daniel, then a flave among thofe people. Tobias, a Jew, who lived at Nineveh, knew the Angel Raphael, who took a journey with his fon, to help him in getting a fum of money due to him by Gabel, likewife a Jew.

In the Jewifh laws, i. e. in Leviticus and Deuteronomy, not the leaft mention is made of the exiftence of angels, much lefs of worfhipping them; accordingly the Sadducces believed no fuch thing.

But in the hiftories of the Jews they frequently occur; thefe angels were corporeal, and with wings at their back, as the Mercury of the Pagans had at his heels. Sometimes they concealed their wings under their apparel. Bodies they furely had, for they ate and drank; and the inhabitants of Sodom were for abufing the angels who had come on a vifit to Lot.

The antient Jewifh tradition, according to Ben Maimon, makes ten degrees or orders of angels. 1. The Chaios Acodefh, pure, holy. 2. The Ofamins, rapid. 3. The Oralim, the ftrong. 4. The Chafmalim, the flames. 5. The Seraphim, fparks. 6. The Malachim, angels, meffengers, deputies. 7. The Eloim, the gods, or judges. 8. The Ben Eloim, children of the gods. 9. Cherubim, iinages. 10. Ychim, the animated.

## DICTIONARY.

The hiftory of the fall of the angels is not to be met with in the books of Mofes; the firft word of it is in the prophet Ifaiah, who, in a divine rapture, calls out to the king of Babylon, "What is become of the exacter of tributes? the fir-trees and cedars rejoice at thy overthrow: how art thou fallen from heaven, O Helel, thou morning ftar?" This Hee el has been rendered by the Latin word Lucifer; the appellation of Lucifer has afterwards been allegorically transferred to the prince of the angels who dared to make war in heaven. And laftly, this name, originally fignifying Phofphorus, and the dawn of day, is come to denote the devil.

The Chriftian religion is founded on the fall of the angels: the rebels were tumbled down from the fpheres of blifs into hell, in the center of the earth, and became devils. A devil tempted Eve ander the figure of a ferpent, and brought damnation upon mankind, till Jefus came to deliver them, triumphing over the devil, who, however, ftill tempts us. Yet is this fundamental tradition to be found only in the apocryphal book of Noah ( E ), and there quite differently from the received traditions.

St. Auftin, in his hundred and ninth letter, exprefsly attributes ethereal or very thin bodies both
(E) If our author means by fundamental tradition the "Fall of the angels," as he feems to do, he is certainly miftaken whenhe faysitis to be found only in the apocryphal book of Noah : for in the 2 d of St.Peter, c. ii. ver. 4 . it is exprefsly faid, "For if God fpared not the angels that finned, but "caft them down to hell, and delivered them into chains of " darknefs." The like we find in the epiftle of St. Jude, ver. 6. "And the angels, which kept not their firft eftate, but left " their own habitation, he hath referved in everlafting chains 46 under darknefs,"
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to good and bad angels. Pope Gregory II. has re* duced the ten degrees of Jewifh angels to nine choirs, to nine hierarchies or orders. Thefe are the Seraphim, the Cherubim, Thrones, Dominions, Virtues, Powers, Archangels, and laftly, the Angels, from whom the other eight hierarchies receive their appellation.

The Jews had in the temple two cherubim, each with two heads, one of an ox, the other of an eagle, with fix wings : but for fome time paft they have been painted as a flying head, with two little wings under the ears, as angels and archangels are under the figure of young perfons, with two wings at their back. As to the thrones and dominions, the pencil has not yet prefumed to meddle with them.

St. Thomas, queftion 118 , article 2, fays, That the thrones are as near to God as the cherubim and feraphim, becaufe it is on them that God fits. Scotus has computed the angels to amount to a thoufand milliors. The antient mythology of good and bad genii having fpread itfelf into Greece, and to on to Rome, it has there been fanctified, and to every man has been affigned a good and evil angel ; one affifting him, and the other annoying him, from his cradle to his coffin: but, whether thefe good and evil angels continually fhift ftations from one to another, or whether they are relieved by others of their order, is not yet known. Hereupon St. Thomas's Summary of Divinity may be confulted.

Neither is it exactly known, where the angels keep themfelves, whether in the air, the void, or the planets; this God has thought fit to conceal from us.
DICTIONARY.

## ANTHROPOPHAGI,

Or Man-eaters.

TH A T there bave been Anthropophagi, or man-eaters, is but too true; fuch were found in America, and there may be fomefti.l; and inantient time it was not the Cyclops alone who fometimes fed upon human flefh. Juvenal relates, that among the Egyptians, that people fo famous for its laws, fo wife, and fo very devout as to worfhip crocodiles and onions, the Tintirites ate one of their enemies who had fallen into their hands. And this is not a tale on hear-fay : this inhuman act was committed almoft under his eyes, he being then in Egypt, and but i little way from Tintira. He farther quotes the Gafcons and the Sagontines, who ufed to eat their countrymen.

In 1725, four Miffiffippi favages were brought to Fontainbleau, where I had the honour of converfing with them. One being a lady of the country, I took the liberty to afk her, whether fhe had ever eaten men, to which, with an unconcerned franknefs, the anfwered in the affirmative. On my appearing fomething fhocked, fhe excufed herfelf, faying, that it was better, after killing an enemy, to eat him, than to leave him to be devoured by beafts, and that conquerors deferved the preference. We in pitched battles or encounters kill our neighbours, and, for a moft fcanty hire, prepare a moft plentiful meal for ravens and worms. Herein it is that lies the horror, here is the guilt: what fignifies it to a dead man being eaten by a foldier, or a crow, or a dog?

We fhew a greater refpect to the dead than the living; but both claim our regard. The policed nations,
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 nations, as they are called, were in the right not to fpit their enemies, as from eating neighbours they would foon come to eat countrymen, by which the focial virtues would be reduced to a low ebb. But the policed nations, far from having been always fo, were, for a long time, wild and favage, and amidft the multitude of revolutions in this globe, the human race has been fometimes very numerous, fometimes very thin. The prefent cafe of the elephants, lions, and tygers, whofe fpecies are very much decreafed, has been that of man. In times, when a country was bare of inhabitants, they lived chiefly by hunting; fcarce any other arts or trades were known among them; and the cuftom of feeding on what they had killed, almoft naturally led them to treat their enemies like their deer and boars. The facrifice of human victims was the effect of fuperftition, the eating them was owing to neceffity.Which is the greater crime, to hold a folemn affembly, in order to plunge a knife, by way of honouring the Deity, into the heart of a beautiful girl, adorned with fillets and ribbons; or to pick the bones of an ugly fellow, whom we have killed in our own defence?

Yet we have more inftances of facrificing girls and boys, than of eating them ; there is fcarce a known nation where fuch facrifices have not obtained. Among the Jews it was called the Anathema; this was a real facrifice, and the 27 th chapter of Leviticus enjoins not to lpare the fouls which have been devoted: but in no place are they ordered to eat them; they are only threatened with it; and Mofes, as we have feen, fays to the Jews, that if they fail in obferving his ceremonies, they fhall not only be plagued with the itch, but that mothers
mothers fhall eat their children (F). In Ezekiel's time, indeed, the eating of human flefh muft have been common among the Jews, as he foretels them in chap. xxxix. That God will give them not only to eat the horfes of their enemies, but even the riders, and the other great warriors. This is clear and pofitive (G); and indeed why might not the Jews have been man-eaters, fince this only was wanting to render the chofen people of God the moft abominable upon earth.

I have read, in the anecdotes of the hiftory of England, in Cromwell's time, of a woman who kept a tallow-chandler's fhop at Dublin, whofe candles were remarkably good, and made of the fat of Englifhmen. Some time after one of her cuftomers complaining that her candles were not fo good as ufual, why, faid fhe, for this month paft I have had few or no Englifhmen. I would fain know who was moft guilty, they who murdered the Englifh, or this woman who made fuch good candles of their tallow?

- (F) This is donounced as a curfe, that the mothers fhall eat their children through extreme hunger.
(G) This is a ftrange perverfion of Ezekiel : the chapter above-mentioned contains God's judgment upon Gog, Ifrael's victory, and the feaft of the fowls. The prophet foretels a complete victory over Gog, his princes, and his army. The field where they are flain is compared to a table of entertainment, and the feathered fowls and beafts of the field are invited to partake of it. "Come and gather yourfelves to my facrifice, ye fhall eat the flieh of the mighty, and drink the blood of the princes of the earth; ye fhall be filled at my table with horfes and chariots," that is, with horfemen and thofe who ride in chariots. Is this a proof, that the eating of human flefh was common among the Jews, becaufe, after the flaughter of an enemy, their dead bodies were expofed to the feathered fowls and beafts of the field?

WAS it as a god, as a fymbol, or as an ox, that Apis was worfhipped at Memphis ? I am inclined to think that it-was as a god by the fanatics, and only as a mere fymbol by the wife, whilft the ftupid people worfhipped the ox. Was it well in Cambyfes, when he had conquered Egypt, to kill this ox with his own hands? why not? He gave the weak to fee, that their god might be roafted, and nature not ftir a finger to revenge fuch a facrilege. The Egyptians have been greatly cried up; but I, for my part, fcarce know a more contemptible people. There muft ever have been both in their temper and government, fome radical vice, by which they have been kept in a perpetual fervitude. I allow that in thofe times of which we have fcarce any knowledge, they over-ran the earth, but fince the hiftorical ages, they have been, fubdued by all who thought it worth their while; by the Affyrians, the Perfians, the Greeks, the Romans, the Arabians, the Mamelucs, the Turks; in fhort, by every body except our Croises, thefe being more imprudent than the Egyptians were cowardly. It was the corps of Mamelucs which defeated the French. Perhaps there are but two tolerable things in this nation; the firft, a freedom of confcience ; they who worfhipped an ox never compelling thofe who worfhipped a monkey to change their religion; the fecond, the hatching of chickens in ovens.

We have many pompous accounts of their pyramids ; but thefe very pyramids are monuments of their flavery, for the whole nation muft have been made to work on them, otherwife fuch
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 unwieldy maffes could never have been finifhed. And what is the ufe of them? Why, forfooth, in a little room within them is kept the mummy of fome prince or governor, which his foul is, at the term of a thoufand years, to reanimate. But if they expected this refurrection of the bodies, why take out the brain before embalming them? Were the Egyptians to rife again without brains?
## The APOCALYPSE.

JUSTIN MARTYR, who wrote in the year 170 of our æra, is the firft that mentions the Apocalypfe, attributing it, in his Dialogue with Tryphon, to the apoftle John the Evangelift. This Jew afks him, whether he does not believe that Jerufalem is one day to be reftored in all its former fplendor ? Juftin anfwers him that it is the belief of all Chriftians who have a right way of thinking. "There was," fays he, "among us a "refpectable perfon named John, one of Jefus's " twelve apoftles; he has foretold that the faith"ful fhall dwell a thoufand years in Jerufalem."

The thoufand vears reign went current a long time among the Chriftians, and this period was in great repute among the Gentiles. At the end of a thoufand years the fouls of the Egyptians returned into their bodies; the fouls in Virgil's purgatory underwent a purification for the fame fpace of time, et mille per annos. The Millenarian new Jerufalem was to have twelve gates, in remembrance of the twelve apoftles, the form fquare, the length, breadth, and heighth, twelve thoufand ftades, that is five hundred leagues; fo that the houfes muft have been five hundred leagues high: this could not but make it to thofe living
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in the upper ftory fomething troublefome: but however, this is what the Apocalypfe fays ( $G$ ), chap. xxi.

Though Juftin be the firft who attributes the Apocalypfe to St. John, fome perfons difallow his teftimony, feeing, in the fame Dialogue with the Jew Tryphon, he fays that, according to the apoftle's narrative, at Jefus Chrift's going down into Jordan, the waters of that river boiled, and were all in a flame; yet not a jot of this is to be found in the apoftolic writings.

The fame St. Juftin confidently cites the oracles of the Sybils, and farther pretends to have feen the remains of the little houfes in the Pharos of Egypt, where the feventy-two interpreters were thut up in Herod's time. For fuch an affertion the author feems to have been himfelf a proper fubject for confinement.

St. Irenæus, next in fucceffion, and who alfo held the Millennium, fays, that he was informed by an old man, that St. John compofed the Apocalypfe : but it has been objected to St. Irenæus, that he has written, there can be but four gofpels, as there are but four parts of the world, and four cardinal winds, and that Ezekiel faw only four beafts. This reafoning he calls a demonftration; and is mutt be owned, that Irenæus' demontrating carries as much weight as Juftin's feeing.
(G) The defcription of the new Jerufalem is entirely figurative ; fo that to take each metaphor in a literal fenfe is ridiculous. The length, and the breadth, and the height of it are reprefented equal, to denote that in the new city all parts thall be equal in perfection. The defign of the whole is only to fhew, that the manfions of the bleffed will be moft glorious places.

Clement

## DICTIONARY.

Clement of Alexandria, in his Electa, mentions only an Apocalypfe of St. Peter's, which was highly refpected. Tertullian, a warm ftickler for the Millennium, not only affirms that St. John has predicted this refurrection, and reign in the city of Jerufalem, but that this Jerufalem was then forming in the air; that all the Chriftians in Paleftine, and the very Pagans, had feen it forty nights fucceffively, but unluckily this city difappeared at day-light.

Origen, in his preface to St. John's Gofpel, quotes the oracles of the Apocalypre, but he likewife quotes the oracles of the Sybils: yet St. Dionyfus of Alexandria, who wrote about the middle of the third century, fays in one of his fragments, preferved by Eufebius, that almoft all the doctors rejected the Apocalypie, as a fenfelefs book, that, inftead of being written by St. John, the author of it was one Cerinthus, who borrowed a refpectable name, to give the greater weight to his chimeras.

The council of Laodicea, held in 360 , did not admit the Apocalypfe among the canonical books; and it was fomething odd, that Laodicea, a church to which the Apocalypfe was directed, fhould reject a treafure particularly appointed for it ; and even the bifhop of Ephefus, a member of the council, fhould alfo reject this book of St. John, though buried in his metropolis.

It was vifible to all that St. John kept ftirring in his grave, the earth continually heaving and falling; yet the fame perfons who were fure that St. John was not actually dead, were alfo fure that he did not write the Apocalypfe. But the Millenarians tenaciounly perfifted in their opinions. Sulpicius Severus, in his Sacred Hiftory, Book IX. calls thofe who did not hold the Apocalypfe, mad and impious. At length, af-
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ter many doubts and controverfies, and council clafhing with council, Sulpicius's opinion prevailed ; and the point having undergone a thorough difcuffion, the church (from whofe judgment there lies no appeal) has decided the Apocalypfe to have been indifputably written by St. John.

Every Chriftian fect has attributed to itfelf the prophecies contained in this book. The Englifh have found in it the revolutions of Great Britain ; the Lutherans the difturbances in Germany ; the French Reformed the reign of Charles IX. and the regency of Catherine de Medicis ; and they are all equally in the right. Boffuet and Newton have both commented on the Apocalypfe: but, after all, the eloquent declamations of the former, and the fublime difcoveries of the latter, have done them much greater honour than their comments.

## ATHEIST, ATHEISM.

FORMERLY he who was poffeffed of any fecret in an art, ran great rifque of being looked upon as a forcerer ; every new fect was accufed of murdering infants in the celebration of its myfteries; and every philofopher who departed from thefjargon of fchools, fanatics and cheats never failed [oo charge with atheifm, and ignorant and weak judges fo furely paffed fentence on them.

Anaxagoras took upon him to affirm, that the fun is not guided by Apollo, fitting in a car drawn by four mettlefome fteeds; on this he is exclaimed againft as an atheift, and obliged to fly his country.

Ariftotle being accufed of atheifm by a prieft, and not able to procure juftice againft his accufer, withdraws to Chalcis. But in all the hiftory of Greece
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Greece there is not a more heinous tranfacion than the death of Socrates.
Ariftophanes (he whom commentators admire becaufe he was a Greek, not confidering that Socrates was alfo a Greek) Ariftophanes was the firft who brought the Athenians to accountSocrates an atheift.
This comic poet, who is neither comic nor a poet, would not have been allowed among us to have exhibited farces at St. Laurence's fair. To me he feems more contemptible, more low-lived, and fcurrilous than Plutarch makes him, who fpeaks of him in this manner: "Ariftophanes's " language is, indeed, that of a wretched quack, " full of the loweft and moft difagreeable points and " quirks; he cannot raife a laugh among the very " vulgar, and to perfons of judgment and honour " he is quite infupportable ; his arrogance is be" yond all bearing, and all good people deteft his "malignity."

So this, by the bye, is the buffoon whom Madam Dacier, amidit all her admiration of Socrates, can find in her heart to admire. This is the man who remotely prepared the poifon by which infamous judges put an end to the exiftence of the moft virtuous man then living in Greece.

The tanners, the thoe-makers, and fempftrefles of Athens were hugely diverted with a farce, where Socrates being haled up into the air in a bafket, proclaims that there is no god, and makes his boaft, that he had ftole a cloak, whillt he was teaching philofophy. Such a people, and whofe bad government could countenance fuch fcandalous licenti oufnefs, well deferved what has happened to them, to be brought under fubjection to the Romans, and to be at prefent חlaves to the Turks.
We fhall pafs over the common fpace of time between the Roman commonwealth and our days;
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obferving only, that the Romans, who were much wifer than the Greeks, never molefted any philofopher for his opinion. It was not fo among the barbarous nations who feated themfelves in the Roman empire. The emperor Frederic II. having fome difference with the popes, was immediately arra:gred of atheifm, and reported to have been, jointly with his chancellor de Vineis, the author of the book intitled The Three Impostors.

Our chancellor de l'Hopital, that excellent man, was branded as an Atheift, becaufe he oppofed perfecutions, "Homo doctus fed verus atheos *." A Jefuit, Garaffe, as much below Ariftophanes as the latter was below Homer; a wretch whofe name is become ridiculous among the very fanatics, makes every body atheifts; at leaft this is the appellation he gives to. all who have incurred his difpleafure. With him Theodore de Beze is an atheift, and he it is who led the people into an error concerning Vanini.

Vanini's wretched end raifes no indignation or pity like that of Socrates. This Italian was only an infignificant pedant: yet was he no atheift, for which he fuffered, but as far from it as man could be.

He was a poor Neapolitan churchman, a kind of preacher and profeffor of divinity, a vehement difputer in quiddities and univerfals; "et utrum chimera bombinans in vacuo poffit comedere fecundas intentiones." There was nothing in him which looked toward atheifm; and his ideas of God are perfectly agreeable to the moft found and moft approved theology. "God is his beginning and <6 end, the Father of both, in no need of either ;

[^2]
## DICTIONARY゙.

"e eternal without exifting in time, every-where " prefent without being in any place. To him there
" is neither paft nor future, fpace nor time; the " Creator and Governor of all things; immutable, " infinite without parts; his power is his will, \&c.".

Vanini was for reviving the fine thought of Plato, efpoufed by Averroes, that God had created a chain of beings from the moft minute to the largeft, and the laft link of which is faftened to his eternal throne ; a notion which, though it has more of fublimity than truth, is as far from atheifm as fomething from nothing.

He travelled to difpute and make his fortune; but unluck ly, difputing is the very oppofite road to fortune, every perfon againit whom one enters the lift being thus made a rancorous and irreconcileable enemy. Hence Vanini's misfortunes; his heat and rudenefs in difputing brought on him the hatred of fome divines; and having a quarrel with one Francon, or Franconi, this man, being connected with his enemies, charged him with being an atheift, and teaching atheifm.

This Francon, or Franconi, fupported by fome witnefles, had the barbarity, when confronted with Vanini, to maintain, with aggravations, the whole of what he had advanced; whereas Vanini being interrogated, what he thought of the exiftence of God, made anfwer, That, agreeably to the charch, he worfhipped one God in three Perfons; and taking up a ftraw, which lay on the ground, "This," fays he, "fufficiently proves that there is a Creator," then made a very fine fpeech on vegetation and motion, and the neceflity of a Supreme Being, without whom there could be neither motion or vegetation.

The Prefident Gramont gives us an account of this fpeech in his hiftory of France, now fcarce

C 2 knowzi.
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 known ; and this hiftorian, from an inconceivable prepoffeffion, will have it that Vanini fpoke only out of "vanity or fear, and not from a fincere perfuafion."What grounds could the Prefident Gramont have for fuch a rafh and fanguinary judgment? It is manifeft, that, on Vanini's anfwer, he ought to have been cleared of the charge of atheifm. But what was the iffue? This unhappy foreign prieft dabbled likewife in phyfic: a large living toad, which he kept in a veffel of water, being found at his houfe, was made ufe of to charge him with forcery, and the toad was faid to be the only deity he worfhipped. Several paffages of his books were wrefted to an impious meaning, than which nothing is more eafy and more common, taking the objections for anfwers, putting a malicious conftruction on every ambiguous phrafe, and mifreprefenting innocent expreflions. At length his enemies extorted from the judges a capital fentence againit him.

This death could not be juftified without accufing this unfortunate creature of moft horrid crimes; and one Merfenne, a Minim, a name quite fuitable to his character, has been fo mad as to affirm in print, that Vanini fet out from Naples with ten of his apoftles, to go and convert all nations to atheifm. Such incongruity! How could a poor prieft have fwelve men in his pay? how fhould he have prevailed with twelve Neapolitans to undertake an expenfive journey, and at the hazard of their lives, for the fake of diffeminating this abominable doctrine ? Could a king afford to hire twelve preachers of atheifm? This is fuch an abfurdity as never came into any one's mind but Father Merfenne. But from him the tale has been repeated over and over ; the journals and hiftorical dictionaries have been ftained and fullied with it; and the public, who
who are fond of extraordinary things, have greedily fwallowed it.

Bayle himfelf, in his Mifcellaneous Thoughts, fpeaks of Vanini as an atheif, making ufe of him in fupport of his paradox, "That a fociety of "atheifts can fubfift." He affirms that Vanini was a man of very regular morals, and died a martyr to his philofophical opinions. Now, in both, is he miftaken; Vanini, though a prieft, in his dialogue written in imitation of Erafmus, does not hide from us that he had a miftrefs, named Ifabella; he was both a free liver and a free writer, but he was no atheift.

A century after his death, the learned LaCroze, and another under the name of Philalethes, wrote a vindication of him; but the memory of a poor Neapolitan being what few give themfelves any concern about, thefe ingenious perfons might have faved themfelves that trouble.

The Jefuit Hardouin, with all Garaffe's rafhnefs, but much more learning, in his Athei detecti, accufes the Defcartes, the Arnaulds, the Pafchals, the Nicolas, the Malbranches, of atheifm; but it was their good fortune to come to a better end than poor Vanini.

From all thefe facts, I now proceed to Bayle's moral queftion, "Whether a fociety of atheifts could fubfift?" And here let us previounly obferve, the enormous contradiction of men in difputes ; they who moft furioufly inveighed againft Bayle's opinion, they who have with the greateft rancour denied the poffibility of a fociety of atheifts, have fince as confidently maintained, that atheifm is the eftablifhed religion in China.

They are certainly very little 2cquainted with China; for had they only read an edict of the emperors of that vaft country, they would have feen $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ that
that thefe edicts are like fermons, frequently making mention of the Supreme Being, as governing, punifhing, and rewarding.
At the fame time they are not lefs miftaken concerning the impoffibility of a fociety of atheifts; and I wonder how Mr. Bayle came to overloo's a ftriking example, which would have given a decifive victory to his caule.

Why is a fociety of atheifts thought impofible ? Becaule it is thought that men under no reftraint could never live together; that laws avail nothing againft fecret crimes; and that there muft be an avenging God, punifhing in this world or the other thofe delinquents who have efcaped human juftice.

Though Mofes's laws did not teach a life to come, did not threaten any punifhments after death, and did not give the primitive Jews the leaft infight into the immortality of the foul; fill the Jews, fo far from being atheits, fo far from deriying a divine vengeance againft wickednefs, were the moft religious men on the face of the earth. They not only believed the exiftence of an eternal God, but they believed him to be ever prefent among them ; they dreaded being punifhed in themfelves, in their wives, in their children, in their pofterity to the fourth generation; and this was a very powerful reftraint.
But, among the Gentiles, feveral feats had no curb; the Sceptics doubted of every thing; the Academics fufpended their judgment concerning every thing ; the Epicureans held that the Deity could not concern itfelf about human affairs, and, in reality, they did not allow of any Deity; they were perfuaded that the foul is not a fubftance, but a faculty born and perifhing with the body; confequently their only check was morality and honour. The Roman fenators and knights were downright atheifts,
atheifts, as neither to fear or expect any thing from the gods amounts to a denial of their exittence ; fo that the Roman fenate, in Cæfar and Cicero's time, was, in fact, an affembly of atheifts.

That great orator, in his fpeech for Cluentius, fays to a full fenate, "What hurt does death do " to him ? All the idle tales about hell none of us " give the leaft credit to ; then what has death de"prived him of? Nothing but the feeling of "pain."

Does not Cæfar, Cataline's friend, in order to fave that wretch from an indictment brought againft him by the fame Cicero, object, that to put a criminal to death is not punifhing him ; that death is nothing, that it is only the end of our fufferings, that it is rather a happy than a fatal moment? And did not Cicero and the whole fenate yield to thefe arguments? fo that the conquerors and legiflators of the known univerfe were evidently a fociety of men without any fear of God; and thus were real atheifts.

Bayle afterwards examines whether idolatry be more dangerous than atheifm; whether the difbelief of a deity be more criminal than the having unworthy opinions of him? and herein he is of Plutarch's mind, thinking a difbelief preferable to an ill opinion. But, with fubmiffion to Plutarch, nothing can be more evident than that it was infinitely better for the Greeks to ftand in awe of Ce res, Neptune, and Jupiter, than to be under no manner of awe; the facrednefs of oaths is manifeft and neceffary, and they who hold that perjury will be punifhed, are certainly more to be trufted than thofe who think that a falfe oath will be attended with no ill confequence. It is beyond all queftion, that in a policed city, even a bad religion is better than none.
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Bayle, therefore, fhould rather have examined which is the more dangerous, fanaticifm or atheifm? Now fanaticifm is certainly a thoufand times more mifchievous; for atheifm ftimulates to none of thofe fanguinary procedures for which fanaticifm is notorious ; if atheifm does not fupprefs crimes, fanaticifm incites to the commiffion of them. Allowing the authorof Commentarium Rerum Gallicarum, that chancellor de lHopital was an atheift, ftill the laws he made are wife and good, and all his counfels tended to moderation and concord. The fanatics committed the maffacre of St. Bartholomew. Hobbs was accounted an atheift, yet he led a quiet harmlefs life, whilft the fanatics were deluging England, Scotland, and Ireland with blood. Spinofa was not only an atheift, but taught atheifm ; yet who can fay he had any hand in the juridical murder of Barneweldt? It was not he who tore the two De Wits to pieces, and broiled and ate their flefh.

Atheifts, for the moft part, are men of ftudy, but bold and erroneous in their reafonings, and not comprehending the creation, the original of evil, and other difficulties, have recourfe to the hypothefis of the eternity of things, and of neceffity.
The fenfualift and the ambitious have little time for fpeculation, or to embrace a bad fyltem; to compare Lucretius with Socrates is quite out of their way. Such is the prefent ftate of things among us!

It was otherwife with the fenate of Rome, which almoft totally confifted of atheifts both in theory and practice, believing neither in Providence nor a future fate. It was a meeting of philofophers, of votaries to pleafure and ambition; all very dangerous fets of men, and who, accordingly, overturned the republic.
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I would not willingly lie at the mercy of an atheiftical prince, who might think it his intereft to have me pounded in a mortar: I am very certain that would be my fate. And, were I a fovereign, I would not have about me any atheiftical courtiers, whofe intereft it might be to poifon me, as then I muft every day be taking alexipharmics; fo neceffary is it both for princes and people, that the minds be thoroughly imbibed with an idea of a Supreme Being, the Creator, Avenger, and Rewarder.

There are atheiftical nations, fays Bayle, in his Thoughts on Comets. The Caffres, the Hottentots, the Topinamboux, and many other petty nations, have no god: that may be ; but it does not imply that they deny the exiftence of a Deity; they neither deny nor affirm ; they have never heard a word about him ; tell them there is a God, they will readily believe it ; tell them that every thing is the work of nature, and they will as cordially believe it : you may as well fay, that they are AntiCartefians as to call them atheifts. They are mere children, and a child is neither atheift nor theift ; he is nothing.

What are the inferences from all this? That atheifm is a moft pernicious monfter in fovereign princes, and likewife in ftatefmen, however harmlefs their life be, becaufe from their cabinet they can make their way to the former; that if it be not fo mifchievous as fanaticifm, it is almoft ever deftructive of virtue. I congratulate the prefent age, on there being fewer atheifts now than ever; philofophers having difcovered that there is no vegetable without a germ, no germ without defign, \&c. and that corn is not produced by putrefactión.
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Some unphilofophical geometricians have rejected final caufes, but they are admitted by all real philofophers; and, to ufe the expreffion of a known author, " A catechift makes God known to "children, and Newton demonftrates him to the " learned,"

## B A P TIS M.

BAPTISM, a Greek word, fignifying immerfion : men being ever led by their fenfes, eafily came to fancy that what wafhed the body likewife cleanfed the foul. In the vaults under the Egyptian temples were large tubs for the ablutions of the priefts and the initiated. The Indians, from time immemorial, purified themfelves in the Ganges, and the ceremony ftill fubfifts among them. The Hebrews adopted it, baptizing all profelytes who would not fubmit to be circumcifed; efpecially the women, as exempt from that operation, except in Ethiopia only, were baptized; it was as regeneration; it imparted a new foul, among them, as in Egypt. Concerning this, fee Epiphanius, Maimonides, and the Gemara.
John baptized in the Jordan; he baptized even Jefus Chrift himfelf, who, however, never baptized any one, yet was pleafed to confecrate this antient ceremony, All figns are of themfelves indifferent, and God annexes his grace to fuch as he thinks fit to chufe. Baptifm foon became the principal rite, and the feal of Chriftianity. The firft fifteen bihhops of Jerufalem were all circumcifed, and there is no certainty of their having ever been baptized.

In the firt ages of Chriftianity this facrament was abufed, nothing being more common than to
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delay baptifn till the agony of death; of this the emperor Conftantine is no flight proof. This was his way of reafoning : Baptifm wafhes away all fin, fo that I may kill my wife, my fon, and all my relations, then I'll get myfelf baptized, and fo go to heaven; and he acted accordingly. Such an inftance carried danger with it, and, by degrees, the cuftom of delaying the facred laver till death, wore off.

The Greeks always adhered to baptifm by immerfion; but the Latins, towards the end of the eighth century, having extended their religion over Gaul and Germany, and feeing that immerfion in cold countries did not agree with children, fubftituted in its ftead afperfion, or fprinkling; for which they were often anathematized by the Greek church.

St. Cyprian, bifhop of Carthage, being afked whether they whofe bodies had been only fprinkled were really baptized; he anfwers, in his 7oth letter, that feveral churches did not hold them to be Chriftians ; that he does, but withal, what grace they have, is infinitely lefs than that of thofe who, according to the primitive rite, had been dipped three times.
After immerfion a Chriftian became initiated; whereas before he was only a catechumen; buts initiation required fecurities and fponfors, who were called by a name anfwerable to that of, godfathers, that the church might be fure of the fidelity of the new Chriftians, and the facred mylteries be not di, vulged. Wherefore during the firft centuries, the Pagans, in general, knew as little of the Chriftian myiteries, as the Chriftians did of the myfteries of Ifis and Eleufis.

Cyril of Alexandria, in a writing of his againft the emperor Julian, delivers himfelf thus: "I "s would fpeak a word of baptifm, did I not fear,
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" that what I fay might come to thofe who are not " initiated."
Children were baptized fo early as the fecond century, it being, indeed, very natural that Chriftians fhould be folicitous for this facrament to be adminiftered to their children, as without it they would be damned; and, at length, it was concluded that the time of adminiftration fhould be at the end of eight days, in imitation of the Jews adminiftring circumcifion. The Greek church ftill retains this cuftom. However, in the third century the cuftom prevailed of not being baptized till near death.
Thofe who died in the firft week, fome rigid fathers of the church held to be damned; but Pe ter Chryologus, in the fifth century, found out Limbo, a kind of mitigated hell, or, properly, the borders, or fuburbs, of hell, whither unbaptized children go; and the abode of the patriarchs before Jefus Chrift defcended into hell. And ever fince it has been the current opinion, that Jefus Chrift defcended into Limbo, and not into hell itfelf.

It has been debated whether a Chriftian could, in the deferts of Arabia, be baptized with fand; but carried in the negative: whether rofe-water might be ufed for baptifm; it was decided that it muft be pure water, yet muddy water would do on an emergency. Thus the whole of this difcipline appears to depend on the prudence of the primitive paftors, by whom it was infituted.
B E A S TS.

IS it poffible any one fhould fay, or affirm in writing, that beafts are machines, void of knowledge and fenfe, have a famenefs in all their operations, neither learning nor perfecting any thing, \&cc.

How ! this bird which makes a femicircular neft when he fixes it againft a wall, who, when in an angle, fhapes it like a quadrant, and circular when he builds it in a tree ; is this having a famenefs in its operations? Does this hound, after three months teaching, know no more than when you firft took him in hand? Your canarybird, does he repeat a tune at firf hearing, or rather is it not fome time before you can bring him to it? is he not often out, and does he not improve by practice ?

Is it from my fpeaking that you allow me fenfe, memory, and ideas? Well; I am filent; but you fee me come home very melancholy, and with eager anxiety look for a paper, open the bureau where I remember to have put it, take it up and read it with apparent joy. You hence infer, that I have felt pain and pleafure, and that I have memory and knowledge.

Make then the like inference concerning this dog, who, having loft his mafter, runs about every where with melancholy yellings, comes home all in a ferment, runs up and down, roves from room to room, till at length he finds his beloved mafter in his clofet, and then expreffes his joy in fofter cries, gefticulations, and fawnings.

This dog, fo very fuperior to man in affection, is feized by fome barbarian virtuofos, who nail him
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down on a table, and diffect him while living, the better to flew you the meferaic veins. All the fame organs of fenfation which are in yourfelf you perceive in him. Now, Machinift, what fay you? anfwer me, has nature created all the fprings of feeling in this animal, that it may not feel : Has it nerves to be impaffible? For flame! charge not nature with fuch weakness and inconfiftency.

But the fcholaftic doctors alk what the foul of beats is? This is a queftion I don't underftand. A tree has the faculty of receiving flap into its fibres, of circulating it, of unfolding the buds of its leaves and fruits. Do you now alk me what the foul of a tree is? It has received there properties as the animal above has received thole of fenfation, memory, and a certain number of ideas. Who formed all thole properties, who has imparted all there faculties? He who caufes the graf of the field to grow, and the earth to gravitate towards the fun.

The fouls of beats are fubftantial forms, fays Ariftotle, who has been followed by the Arabian fchool, and this by the Angelic fchool, and the Angelic fchool by the Sorbonne, and the Sorbonne by no body in the world.
The fouls of beats are material, is the cry of other philofophers, but as little to the purpofe as the former; when called upon to define a material foul, they only perplex the cafe : they mut neceffarily allow it to be fenfitive matter. But whence does it derive this fenfation? From a material foul, which mut mean, that it is matter giving fenfation to matter; beyond this circle they have nothing to fay.

According to others, equally wife, the foul of beats is a fpiritual effence, dying with the body but where are your proofs? What idea have you of
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this fpiritual being? which with its fenfation, memory, and its fhare of ideas and combinations, will never be able to know fo much as a child of fix years. What grounds have you to think, that this incorporeal being dies with the body? But ftill more ftupid are they who affirm this foul to be neither body nor fpirit. A fine fyftem truly! By fpirit we can mean only fomething unknown, which is not body; fo that the upfhot of this wife fyftem is, that the foul of beafts is a fubftance, which is neither body, nor fomething which is not body.

Whence can fo many contradictory errors arife ? From a cuftom which has always prevailed among men, of inveftigating the nature of a thing before they knew whether any fuch thing exitted. The fucker, or clapper, of a bellows is likewife called the foul of the bellows. Well, what is this foul? it is only a name I have given to that fucker, or clapper, which falls down, lets in the air, and rifing again, propels it through a pipe on my working the bellows.

Here is no foul diftinct from the machine itfelf; but who puts the bellows of animals in motion? I have already told you: he who puts the heavenly bodies in motion. The philofopher who faid "Deus eft anima brutorum," was in the right: but he fhould have gone farther*.

## BEAUTY, BEAUTIFUL.

ASK a toad what is beauty, the fupremely beautiful, the to-kalon, he will anfwer you, that it is his female, with two large round eyes

[^3] neck, yellow belly, and dark brown back. Afk a Guinea Negro; and with him beauty is a greafy black fkin, hollow eyes, and a flat nofe.

Put the queftion to the devil, and he will tell you, that beauty is a pair of horns, four claws, and a tail. Confult the philofophers likewife, they will give you fome unintelligible jargon for anfwer, they muft have fomething correfpondent to BEAUty in the abstract, to the to-kalon.

I once fat next to a philofopher at a tragedy ; that's beautiful, faid he! How beautiful? faid I ! becaufe the author has attained his end. The next day he took a dofe of phyfic, which had a very good effect; that's a beautiful phyfic, faid I, it has attained its end : he perceived that a medicine is not to be called beautiful, and that the word beauty is applicable only to thofe things which give a pleafure accompanied with admiration ; that tragedy, he faid, had excited thefe two fenfations in him, and that was the TO-KALON, the beautiful.

We went to England together, and happened to be at the fame play, perfectly well tranflated; but the fpectators, one and all, yawned. Oh-ho! faid he, the to-kalon, I find, is not the fame in England as in France; and, after feveral pertinent reflections, he concluded that beauty is very relative ; that what is decent at Japan is indecent at Rome, and what is fafhionable at Paris is otherwife at Pekin; and thus he faved himfelf the trouble of compofing a long treatife on the beautiful.

## B O D Y.

AS we know nothing of fpirit, fo are we alike ignorant of body: we perceive fome properties; but what is this fubject in which thefe properties refide? All is body, faid Democritus and Epicurus ; there is no body at all, faid the difciples of Zeno the Elæan.

Berkeley, bifhop of Cloyne, is the laft who has gone about to prove the non-exiftence of bodies; and he deals chiefly in captious fophifms : there is, fays he, neither colour, fmell, nor heat, in them; thefe modalities are in your fenfations and not in the objects; a truth, which being before fufficiently known, he needed not to have taken the trouble of proving. But from thence he proceeds to extenfion and folidity, which are effential to body, and is for proving that there is no extenfion in a piece of green cloth, becaufe this cloth, in reality, is not green ; this fenfation of green is only in you, therefore the fenfation of extenfion is likewife only in you: and having overthrown'extenfion, he concludes, that folidity being annexed to it, falls of itfelf, and thus there is nothing in the world but our ideas. So that, according to this philofopher, ten thoufand men killed by as many cannon fhot, are, in reality, only ten thoufand conceptions of our minds.

My lord of Cloyne might have avoided expofing himfelf to fuch ridicule; he fancies that he proves that there is no fuch thing as extenfion, becaufe a body through a glafs appeared to him four times larger than to his naked eye, and four times fmaller through another glafs : thence he concludes, that as the extenfion of a body cannot, at the fame time, be four feet, fix feet, and only one foot, fuch ex-
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 tenfion exifts not; then there is nothing. He needed only to have taken a meafure, and fay, however extended a body may appear to me, its actual extenfion is fo many of thefe meafures.He might eafily have feen that extenfion and folidity are very different from founds, colours, taftes, and finells, \&c. Thefe are manifeftly fenfations excited by the configuration of the parts. But extenfion is not a fenfation : though on the going out of a fire I no longer feel heat; on the agitation of the air ceafing 1 hear nothing; and from a withered rofe I fmell nothing; yet the fire, the air, and the rofe, have all their extenfion, without any relation to me. Berkeley's paradox really does not deferve a formal refutation.

But the cream of the jeft is to know what led him into this paradox. A long time ago I had fome talk with him, when he told me, that his opinion originally proceeded from the inconceivablenefs of what the fubject of extenfion is ; and indeed he tri imphs in that part of his book, where he afks Hilas ${ }^{-}$ what this fame fubject, this substratum, this fubftance, is? It is, anfwers Hilas, the body extended; then the bifhop, under the name of Philonoiis, laughs at him ; and poor Hilas, perceiving that he had faid extenfion was the fubject of extenfion, and thus had talked fillily, is quite abafhed, and owns that it is utterly inconceivable to him; that there is no fuch thing as body; that the world, inftead of being material, as commonly thought, is intellectual.
It would have become Philonoüs only to have faid to Hilas, we know nothing concerning the confitution of this fubject, of this extended, folid, divifible, moveable, figured, fubftance, \&cc. Weknow no more of it than of the thinking, feeling, and willing fubject ; ftill this fubject certainly exifts, fince
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it has effential properties from which it cannot be feparated.

We are all, like the Paris ladies; they live high without knowing the ingredients in ragouts; fo we make ufe of bodies without knowing the compofition of them. What is body made of? of parts, and thefe parts are reducible to other parts. What are thofe laft parts? ftill bodies; fo you go on dividing, and are never nearer the mark.

At length, a fubtile philofopher, obferving that a picture is made of ingredients, none of which is a picture, and a houfe of materials of which none is a houfe, fancied bodies to be conftrufted of innumerable little beings, which are not bodies, and thefe are the monades fo much talked of. This fyftem, however, has its fair fide, and, had it been confirmed by Revelation, I fhould think it very poffible. All thefe minute beings would be mathematical points, fpecies of fouls waiting only for a tegument to put themfelves into it; this would make a continual metempfychofis, a monade entering fometimes nto a whale, fometimes into a tree, and fometimes into a juggler. This fyftem is full as good as another; I can relifh it full as well as the declenfion of atoms, the fubftantial forms, verfatile grace, and Don Calmet's vampires.

## CHINESE CATECHISM;

Or, Dialocues between $\mathrm{Cu} \cdot \mathrm{su}$, a difciple of Confucius, and Prince Kou, fon to the King of Lou, tributary to the Chinese emperor Gnenvan, four hundred and feventeen years before our common æra.
Tranfated into Latin by Father Fou euet, formerly a Jefuit. The manufcript is in the Vatican library, Number 42759.
Kou.

HAT is meant by my duty to worfhip heaven (Chang-ti)?
Cu-su. Not the material heaven, which we fee with our eyes ; for this heaven is nothing but the air, and the air is compofed of every kind of earthly exhalations. Now what a folly would it be to worfhip vapours ?

Kou. It is, however, what I fhould not much. wonder at; men, in my opinion, have given into greater follies.

Cu-su. Very true; but you being born to rule over others, it becomes you to be wife.

Kou. There are whole nations who worfhip heaven and the planets.

Cu-su. The planets are only fo many earths like ours; the moon, for inftance, might as well worthip our fand and dirt, as we proftrate ourfelves before the moon's fand and dirt. Kou. What is the meaning of what we fo often hear; heaven and earth, to go up to heaven, to be deferving of heaven?
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Cu -su. It is talking very fillily; there is no fuch thing as heaven *; every planet is environed with its atmofphere as with a fhell, and rolls in the fpace round its fun; every fun is the center of feveral planets, which are continually going their rounds; there is neither high nor low, up nor down. Should the inhabitants of the moon talk of going up to the earth, of making one's felf deferving of the earth, it would be talking madly; and we are little wifer in talking of deferving heaven. We might as well fay a man muft make himfelf deferving of the air, deferving of the conftellation of the dragon, deferving of fpace.

Kou. I believe I underftand you; we are only to worfhip God who made heaven and earth.

Cu-su. To be fure, we are to worfhip God alone. But in faying that he made heaven and earth, however devout our meaning may be, it is talking very fillily. For if by heaven we mean the prodigious fpace in which God kindled fo many funs, and fet fo many worlds in motion, it is much more ridiculous to fay, "Heaven and earth," than to fay, "the mountains and a grain of fand." Our globe is infinitely lefs than a grain of fand, in comparifon of thofe millions of ten thoufands of millions of worlds, among the infinitude of which we are loft. All that we can do, is to join our feeble voice to that of the innumerable beings, which, throughout the abyfs of expanfion, afcribe homage and glory to their adorable Creator.

Kou. It was, then, a great impofition to tell us, that Fo came down among us from the fourth heaven, affuming the form of a white elephant.
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Cu -Su. Thefe are tales which the bonzes tell to old women and children. The eternal Author of all beings is alone to be worhipped.
Kou. But how can one being make the other beings ?
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U} \text {-su }}$. You fee yonder ftar: it is fifteen hundred thoufand millions of Lis from our globe, and emits rays which on your eyes form two angles equal at the top; and the like angles they form on the eyes of all animals; is not this manifeft defign? Is not this an admirable law? and is it not the workman who makes a work! and who frames laws but a legiflator?. Therefore there is an eternal Artift, an eternal Legiflator.

Kou. But who made this Artift, and what is he like?

Cu-su. My dear prince, as I was yefterday walking near the vaft Palace, lately built by the king your father, I over-heard two crickets; one faid to the other, What a fupendous fabric is here! Yes, faid the other; and though 1 am not a little proud of my fpecies, he who has made this prodigy, muft be fomething above a cricket; but I have no idea of that being; fuch a one I fee theré muft be, but what he is $I$ know not.

Kou. You are a cricket of infinitely more knowledge than I; and what I particularly like in you, is your not pretending to know what you really do not know.

## SEcond Difalogue.

Cu -su. You allow, then, that there is an Almighty Being, felf-exiftent, fupreme Creator, and Maker of all nature.

Kou. Yes; but if he be felf-exiftent he is illimited, confequently he is every-where, he exifts throughout all matter, and in every part of myfelf.
Cu-su. Why not?
Kou.
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Kou. I fhould then be a part of the Deity.
Gu-su. Perhaps that may not be the confequence; behold this piece of glafs, you fee the light penetrates it every-where, yet will you fay it is light? It is mere fand, and nothing more: unqueftionably every thing is in God; that by which every thing is animated muft be every-where. God is not like the emperor of China, who dwells in his palace, and fends his orders by kolaos. As exifting he muft neceffarily fill the whole of fpace, and all his works; and fince he is in you, this is a continual document never to do any thing to raife fhame or remorie.

Kou. But for a perfon ferencly to confider himfelf before the Supreme Being without fhame or difguf, what muft he do?

Cu-su. Be juft.
Kou. And what further?
Cu-su. Be juft.
Kou. But Laokium's fect fays, there is no fuch thing as juft or unjuft, vice or virtue.

Cu -su. And does Laorium's feet fay there is no fuch thing as health nor ficknefs ?

Kou. No, to be fure ; what egregious nonfenfe that would be!

Cu-su. And let me tell you, that to think there is neither health nor ficknefs of foul, nor vire tue nor vice, is as egregious an error, and much more mifchievous. They who have advanced that every thing is alike, are monfters: is it alike, carefully to bring up a fon, or, at his birth, to dafh him againft the ftones ; to relieve a mother, or to plunge a dagger into her heart ?

Kou. That is horrible! I deteft Laokium's fect; but juft and unjuft are oftentimes fo interwoven, that one is at a lofs. Who can be faid precifely to know what is forbide and what is a-
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lowed? Who can fafely fet limits to good and evil? I wifh you would give me a fure rule for this important diftinction.

Cu -su. There can be no better than that of Confutzee, my mafter, "Live as thou wouldit " have lived when thou comeft to die ; ufe thy "s neighbour as thou wouldft have him ufe thee."

Kou. Thofe maxims, I own, fhould be mankind's ftanding, law. But what am I the better for my good life, when I come to die? What mighty advantage fhall I get by my virtue? That clock goes as well as evertclock did; but when it comes to be worn out, or fhould it be deftroyed by accident, will it be happy for having ftruck the hours regularly?

Cu -Su. That clock is without thought or feeling, and incapable of remorfe, which you fharply feel on the commiffion of any crime.

Kou. But what if by frequent crimes I come to be no longer fenfible of remorfe.

Cu-su. Then it is high time an end fhould be put to your being; and take my word for it, that, as men do not love to be oppreffed, fhould that be the cafe, one or another would ftop you in your career, and fave you the committing any more crimes.

Kou. At that rate God, who is in them, after allowing me to be wicked, would allow them likewife to be fo.

Cu-su. God has endued you with reafon, neither you nor they are to make a wrong ufe of it ; as otherwife you will not only be unhappy in this life, but how do you know but you may likewife be fo in another?

Kou. And who told you there is another life?
Cu-su. The bare uncertainty of it fhould make you behave as if it was an undoubted certainty.
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Kou. But what if I am fure there is no fuch thing? Cu -su. That I defy you to make good.

Third Dialogue.

Kou. You urge me home, $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{fu}$; my being rewarded or punifhed after death, requires that fomething which feels and thinks in me, muft continue to fubfiit after me; now as no part in me had any thought or fenfe before my birth, why fhould it after my death? What can this incomprehenfible part of myfelf be? Will the humming of that bee continue after the end of its exiftence? or the vegetation of this plant, when plucked up by the roots? Is not vegetation a word made ufe of to exprefs the inexplicable mode appointed by the Supreme Being, for the plants imbibing the juices of the earth? So the foul is an invented word, faintly and obfcurely denoting the fpring of human life.. All animals have a motion, and this ability to move is called active force; but this force is no diftinct being whatever. We have paffions, memory, and reafon; but thefe paffions, this memory, and reafon, are furely not feparate things, they are not beings exifting in us, they are not diminutive perfons of a particular exiftence, they are generical words invented to fix our ideas. Thus the foul itfelf, which fignifies our memory, our reafon, our paffions, is only a bare word. Whence then motion in nature? from God. Whence vegetation in the plant? from God. Whence motion in animals? from God. Whence cogitation in man? from God *.

Were the human foul a diminutive perfon, inclofed within our body, to direct its motions and
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 ideas, wou'd not that betray in the eternal Maker of the world an impotence and an artifice quite unworthy of him? He then mult have been incapable of making automata, which fhall have the gift of motion and thought in themfelves. When I learned Greek under you, you made me read Homer, where Vulcan appears to me an excellent fmith, when he makes golden tripods, going of themfelves to the council of the gods; but had this fame Vulcan concealed within thofe tripods one of his boys, to make them move without being perceived, I fhould think him but a bungling cheat.Some low-thoughted dreamers have been charmed with the fancy of the planets being rolled along by genii, as fomething very grand and fublime ; but God has not been reduced to fuch a paltry fhift: in a word, wherefore put two fprings to a work when one will do? That God can animate that fo little known being which we call matter, you date not deny; why then fhould he make ufe of another agent to animate it ?

Farther; what may that foul be which you are pleafed to give to our body? From whence did it come? When did it come? Muft the Creator of the univerfe be continually watching the copulation of men and women ? clofely obferve the moment when a germ iffues from a man's body and paffes into that of a woman, and then quickly inject a foul into this germ? And if this germ dies, what becomes of its foul? either it muft have been created ineffectually, or muft wait another opportunity.

This is really a ftrange employment for the Sovereign of the world; and it is not only on the copulation of the human fpecies, that he muft be continually intent, but muft obferve the like vigilance and celerity with all animals whatever ; for, like us,
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they have memory, ideas, and paffions; and if a foul be neceffary for the formation of thefe fentiments, thefe ideas, thefe paffions, and this memory, God muft be perpetually at work about fouls for elephants and fleas, for fifh and for bonzes.

What idea does fuch a notion give of the Architect of fo many millions of worlds, thus obliged to be continually making invifible props for perpetuating his work ?

Thefe are fome, though a very fmall fample, of the reafons for queftioning the foul's exiftence.

Cu-su. You reafon candidly; and fuch a virtuous turn of mind, even if miftaken, cannot but be agreeable to the Supreme Being. You may be in an error, but as you do not endeavour to deceive yourfelf, your error is excufable. But confider what you have propofed to me are only doubts, and melancholy doubts; liften to probabilities of a folacing nature: to be annihilated is difmal; hopethen for life. A thought you know is not matter, nor has [any affinity with it. Why then do you make fuch a difficulty of believing that God has put a divine principle into you, which being indiffoluble, cannot be fubject to death? Can you fay that it is impoffible that you fhould have a foul? No, certainly: and if it be poffible that you have one, is it not alfo very probable? How can you reject fo noble a fyitem, and fo neceffary to mankind ? Shall a few flender objections with-hold your affent?

Kou. I would embrace this fyftem with all my heart, on its being proved to me; but it is not in my power to believe without evidence. I am always ftruck with this grand idea, that God has made every thing, that he is every-where, that he penetrates all things, and gives life and motion to all things; and if he is in all the parts of my being, as he is in all the parts of nature, I do not fee
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any need I have of a foul. Where is the ufe or importance of this little fubaltern being to me who am animated by God himfelf? of what improvement can it be? It is not from ourfelves that we derive our ideas, they generally obtrude themfelves on us againft our wills; we have them when locked in fleep; every thing paffes in us without-our intervention. What would it fignify to the foul, were it to fay to the blood and animal fpirits, be fo kind as to gratify me in running this way, they will ftill circulate in their natural courfe. Let me be the machine of a God whofe exiftence all things proclaim aloud, rather than of a foul whofe exiftence is a very great uncertainty.

Cu-su. Well, if God himfelf animates you, be very careful of committing any crime as defiling that God, who is within you; and if he has given you a foul, never let it offend him. In both fyftems you have a volition, you are free, that is, you have a power of doing what you will; make ufe of this power in ferving that God who gave it you. If you are a philofopher, fo much the better, but it is neceffary for you to be juft ; and you will be more fo when you come to believe that you have an immortal foul.

Be pleafed to anfwer me, Is not God fovereign and perfect juftice?

Kou. Doubtlefs; and could he ceafe to be fo (which is blafphemy to think) I would myfelf act equitably.

Cu-su. Will it not be your duty, when on the throne, to reward virtue and punifh vice? and can you think of God's not doing what is incumbent on yourfelf to do ? You know that there are, and ever will be, in this life, good men diftreffed, whilft wicked men profper: therefore good and evil muft be finally judged in another life. It is this
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fo fimple, fo general, and fo natural, opinion which has introduced and fixed among fo many nations the belief of the immortality of our fouls, and their being judged by divine juftice, on their quitting this mortal tenement. Is there, can there be, a fyftem more rational, more fuitable to the Deity, and more beneficial to mankind*?

Kou. Why then have fo many nations rejected this fyftem? Youknow, that in our province we have about two hundred families of the old Sinous, who formerly dwelt in part of Arabia Petrea; and neither they nor their anceftors ever believed any thing of the immortality of the foul : they have their five books as we have our five King; I have read a tranflation of them; their laws, which neceffarily correfpond with thofe of all other nations, enjoin them to refpect their parents, not to fteal nor lye, to abftain from adultery and bloodfhed ; yet thefe laws are wholly filent as to rewards and punifhments in another life.

Cu -su. If this truth has not yet been made known to thofe poor people, unqueltionably their eyes will one day be opened. But what fignifies a fmall obfcure tribe, when the Babylonians, the Egyptians, the Indians, and all policed nations, have fubfcribed to this falutary doctrine?. If you were fick, would you decline making ufe of a remedy approved by all the Chinefe, becaufe fome barbarous mountaineers had expreffed a dinike of it? God has endued you with reafon, and this reafon tells you that the foul muft be immortal, therefore it is God himfelf who tells you fo.

Kou. But how can I be rewarded or punifhed, when I fhall ceafe to be myfelf, when nothing
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which had conflituted my perfon will be remaining; it is only by my memory that I am always myfelf: now my memory I lofe in my laf illnefs; fo that, after my death, nothing under a miracle can reftore it tome, and thus replace me in my former exiftence.

Cu -SU. That is as much as to fay, fhould a prince, after making his way to the throne by the murder of all his relations, play the tyrant over his fubjects, he need only fay to God, It is not I; I have totally loft my memory ; you miftake, I am no longer the fame perfon. Think you God would be very well pleafed with fuch a fophifm?

Kou. Well, I acquiefce ; I was for living irreproachable for my own fake, now I will do to to pleafe the Supreme Being. I thought the whole matter was for my foul to be juft and virtuous in this life ; but I will now hope that it will be happy in another: this opinion, I do perceive, makes for the good both of fubjects and fovereigns ; ftill the worfhip of the Deity perplexes me.

## Fourth Dialogue.

Cu-su. Why, what is there that can offend you in our Chu-king, the firit canonical book, and which all the Chinefe emperors have fo greatly refpected. You plough a field with your own royal hands, by way of fetting an example to the people, and the firft fruits of it you offer to the Chang-ti, to the Tien, to the Supreme Being, and facrifice to him four times every year. You are king and high-prieft, you promife God to do all the good which fhall be in your power; is there any thing in this which you cannot digeft?

Kou. 1 am very far from making any exceptions; I know that God has no need either of our lacrifices or prayers, but the offering them to him is very needful for us; his worfhip was not inftituted
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for himfelf but on our account. I am very much delighted with praying, and am particularly careful that there fhall be nothing ridiculous in my prayers ; for were 1 to cry out till my throat is flead, "That the mountain of the Chang-ti is a " fat mountain, and that fat mountains are not to " be looked on;" though I fhould have put the fun to flight, and dried up the moon, will this rant be acceptable to the Supreme Being, or of any benefit to my fubjects or myfelf?

Efpecially, I cannot bear with the fillinefs of the fects about us ; on one fide is Laotze, whom his mother conceived by the junction of heaven and earth, and was fourfcore years pregnant with him. I as little believe his doctrine of univerfal deprivation and annihilation, as his being born with white hair, or his going to promulgate his doctrine on a black cow.
The god Fo I put on the fame footing, notwithftanding he had a white elephant for his father, and promifes immortal life.

One thing, at which I cannot forbear taking great offence, is the bonzes continually preaching luch chimeras, thus deceiving the people in order the better to fway them; they gain to themfelves refpeet by mortifications, at which indeed nature fhudders. Some deny themfelves, during their whole lives, the moft falutary foods, as if there was no way of pleafing God but by a bad diet. Others carry a pillory about their necks, and fometimes they richly deferve it ; they drive nails into their thighs as into boards; and for thefe things the people follow them in crowds. On the king's iffuing any edict which does not fuit their humour, they coolly tell their auditors that this edict is not to be found in the commentary of the god Fo, and that god is to be obeyed preferably to men. Now,
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how to remedy this popular diftemper, which is extravagant to the higheft degree, and not lefs dangerous? Toleration, you know, is the principle of the Chinefe, and indeed of all Afiatic governments; but fuch an indulgence muft beowned highly mifchievous, as expofing an empire to be overthrown on account of fome fanatical notions.

Cu-su. God forbid that I fhould go about to extinguifh in you the fpirit of toleration, that quality fo eminently refpectable, and which to fouls is what the permiffion of eating is to bodies. By the law of nature, every one may believe what he will, as well as eat what he will. A phyfician is not to kill his patients for not obferving the diet which he had prefcribed to them; neither has a fovereign a right to hang his fubjects for not thinking as he thinks ; but he has a right to prevent difturbances, and with prudent meafures he will very eafily root out fuperftitions of all kinds. You know what happened to Daon, the fixth king of Chaldea, about four thoufand years ago.

Kou. No. I pray oblige me with an account of it. Cu -su. The Chaldean priefts had taken it into their heads to worfhip the pikes of the Euphrates, pretending that a famous pike called Oannes, had formerly taught them divinity; that this pike was immortal, three feet in length, and a fmall crefcent on the tail. In veneration to this Oannes, no pikes were to be eaten. A mighty difpute arofe among the divines, whether the pike Oannes had a foft or hard roe. Both parties not only fulminated excommunications, but they feveral times came to blows. To put an end to fuch difturbances, king Daon made ufe of this expedient.

He ordered a ftrict faft for three days to both parties ; and at the expiration of it, fent for the flicklers for the hard roed pike, who accordingly
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were prefent at his dinner; a pike was brought to him three feet in length, and on the tail a fmall crefcent had been put. Is this your god, faid he to the doctors? Yes, Sir, anfwered they ; we know him by the crefcent on the tail, and make no queftion but he is hard-roed. On this the king ordering the pike to be opened, it was found to have the fineft melt that could be. Now, faid the king, you fee this is not your god, it being foft-roed; and the king and his nobles ate the pike, and the hardroed divines were not a little pleafed that the god of their adverfaries had been fried.

Immediately after the doctors of the oppofite fide were fent for, and a pike of three feet, with a crefcent on his tail, being fhewn to them, they, with great joy, affured his majefty, that it was the god Oannes, and that he had a foft roe; but behold! on being opened, it was found hard-roed. At this the two parties, equally out of countenance, and itill fafting, the good-natured king told them that he could only give them a dinner of pikes, and they greedily fell to eating both hard and foft-roed without diftinction. This clofed the civil war, with great applaufes of king Daon's wifdom and goodnefs; and fince that time the people have been allowed to eat pikes as often as they pleafed.

Kou. Well done, king Daon! and I give you my word I will follow his example on every oc: cafion, and as far as I can, without injuring any one; there fhall be no worfhipping of Fo's and pikes.

I know that in the countries of Pegu and Tonquin, there are little gods and little Talapoins which bring down the moon, when in the wane, and clearly foretel what is to come, that is, they clearly fee what is not, for futurity is not. I will take care that the Talapoins fhall not come within my reach,
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to make futurity prefent, and bring down the moon.

It is a flame that there fhould be fects rambling from town to town, propagating their delufions, as .459 quacks their medicaments. What a difgrace is it to the human mind, for petty nations to think that truth belongs to them alone, and that the vat empie of China is given up to error? Is then the Eternal Being only the god of the inland of Formofa or Borneo? Has he no concern for the other parts of the univerfe? My dear Cu-fu, he is a father to all men, he allows every one to eat pike: the mort acceptable homage which can be paid to him is being virtuous; the fineft of all his temples, as the great emperor Hiao ufed to fay, is a pure heart.

## Fifth Dialogue.

Cu-su. Since you love virtue, in what manner do you propofe to practife it when you come to be king?
Kou. In not being unjuft to my neighbours or my fubjects.

Cu-su. To do no harm does not come up to virtue. I hope my prince will do good, will feed the poor by employing them in ufeful labour, and not endow goth; mend and embellifh the highways, dig canals, build public edifices, encourage arts, reward merit of every kind, and pardon involuntary faults.

Kou. This I call not being unjust; thole things are plain duties.
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{su}$. Your way of thinking becomes a king; but there is the king and the man; the public life and private life. You will be married ; how many wives do you think of having ?

Kou:
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Kou. Why, a dozen, I think, will do: a greater number might be an avocation from bufinefs; I don't approve of kings with their three hundred wives and feven hundred concubines, and thoufands of eunuchs to wait oh them. This humour of having eunuchs, efpecially, appears to me a moft execrable infult and outrage to human nature. The caftrating of cocks I can forgive, as eating the better for it; but I never have heard of eunuchs being roafted. What is the ufe of their being thus mutilated? It improves their voices ; the Dala-i L.ama has fifty of them purely to fing in his pagod. Let him tell me whether the Chang-ti is much delighted with the clear pipes of thefe fifty geldings.

Another moft ridiculous thing is the bonzes not marrying. They boaft of being wifer than the other Chiniefe; well then, let them fhew their wifdom in getting wife children. An odd manner of worfhipping the Chang-ti, to deprive him of worfhippers; and, to be fure, they muft have a great affection for mankind, who go the way to extinguifh the fpecies! The good little Lama called Stelca isant Erepi, ufed to fay, "That every prieft " ought to get as many children as he could :" what he preached he practifed, and was very ufeful in his generation. For my part, I fhall marry all the lamas and bonzes, and lamafles and bonzeffes, who fliall appear to have a call to this holy work ; befides making them better patriots, I fhall think it no fmall fervice to my dominians.

Cu -su. What an excellent prince fhall we have in you! I cannot forbear weeping for joy. But you will not be fatisfied with having wives and fubjects, for, after all, one cannot be perpetually drawing up ediets, and getting children; you will Likewife make yourfelf fome friends.
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Kou. I am not without fome already, and thofe good ones, putting me in mind of my faults, and I allow myfelf the liberty of reproving theirs; we likewife mutually comfort and encourage one another; friendfhip is the balm of life, it excels that of the chemift Eruil, and even all the noftrums of the great Ranoud are not comparable to it. I thin' friendfhip fhould have been made a religious precept. I have a good mind to infert it in our ritual.

Cu-su. By no means; friendfhip is fufficiently facred of itfelf. Never enjoin it ; the heart mult be free: befides, were you to make a precept, a myftery, a rite, a ceremony, of friendihip, it would foon become ridiculous through the fantaftical preachings and writings of the bonzes: let it not be expoled to fuch profanation.

But how will you deal with your enemies? Confutzee, I believe, in not lefs than twenty places, directs us to love them : does not this appear fomething difficult to you ?

Kou. Love one's enemies! Oh, dear doctor! nothing is fo common.

Cu-su. But what do you mean by love?
Kou. Mean by it what it really is. I was a volunteer under the prince of Decon againft the prince of Vis-brunk ; when a wounded enemy fell into our hands we took as much care of him as if he had been our brother: we have often parted with our beds to them, and we lay by them on tygers fkins fpread on the bare ground ; we have tended and nurfed them ourfelves: Is not this loving our enemies? You would not have us love them as a man loves his miftrefs?
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Su}$. I am exceedingly pleafed with your talk, and wifh that all nations could hear you, for
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I have been informed of fome fo very conceited and impertinent as to fay that we know nothing of true virtue; that our good actions are only fpecious fins; that we fland in need of their Talapoins to inftruct us in right principles. Poor creatures! A few years ago there was no fuch thing as reading or writing among them, and now they are for teaching their mafters.

## Sixth Dialogue.

Cu-su. I fhall not repeat to you the commonplaces, which for thefe five or fix thoufand years paft, have been retailed among us, relating to all the feveral virtues. Some there are which only concern ourfelves, as prudence in the guidance of our foul, temperanice in the government of our bodies; but thefe are rather dictates of policy, and care of health : the real virtues are thofe which promote the welfare of fociety, as fidelity, magnanimity, beneficence, toleration, \&cc. and, thank heaven, thefe are the firt things which every woman, among us, teaches her children; they are the rudiments of the rifing generation, both in town and country; but I am forry to fay it, there is a great virtue which is fadly on the decline among us.
Kou. Quickly name it, and no endeavour of mine fhall be wanting to revive it.

Cu -su. It is holpitality; for fince inns have got footing among us, this fo focial virtue, this facred tie of mankind, becomes more and more relaxed: that pernicious inftitution, I am told, we have borrowed from fome weftern favages; who, probably, have no houfes to entertain travellers. My heart melts with delight when I have the happinefs of entertaining, in the vaft city of Lou, in Hon-
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cham, that fuperb fquare, or my delicious feat of Ki , fome generous ftranger come from Samarcande ${ }_{2}$ to whom, from that moment, I become facred, and who, by all laws human and divine, is bound to entertain me, on any call I may have into Tartary, and to be my cordial friend.

The favages 1 am fpeaking of do not admit ftrangers into their huts, filthy as they are, without their paying, and dearly too, for fuch fordid reception; and yet thofe wretches, I hear, think themfelves above us, and that our morality is nothing in comparifon of theirs. Their preachers excel Confutzee himfelf; in a word, they alone know what true juftice is, and a fign of it is, they fell on the roads fome fophifticated ftuff for wine, and their women, as if mad, rove about the fereets, and dance, whilft ours are breeding filk-worms.

Kou. I very much approve of hofpitality, and the practice of it gives me pleafure; but I am afraid it will be much abufed. Near Thibet dwells a people, who, befides the badnefs of their habitations, being of a roving difpofition, will, on any trife, go from one end of the world to the other; and, on your having occafion to go to Thibet, fo far from returning your hofpitality, they have nothing to fet before you, nor fo much as a bed for you to lie on; this is enough to put one out of conceit with courtefy.

Cu-su. Thefe difappointments may eafily be remedied, by entertaining fuch perfons only as come well recommended. Every virtue has its difficulties and dangers, and without them the practice of virtue would want much of its glory and excellence. How wife and holy is our Confutzee? There is not a virtue which he does not inculcate ; every fentence of his is Iregnant with the happinefs of mankind:
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mankind : one, at prefent, recurs to me, I think it is the fifty-third:
" Kindneffes acknowledge with kindnefs, and never revenge injuries."
What maxim, what law, can the weftern people bring in competition with fuch exalted morality? Then in how many places, and how ftrongiy, does he recommend humility? Did this amiable virtue prevail among men, there would be a total end of all quarrels and broils.

Kou. I have read all that Confutzee, and the fages before him, have faid about humility ; but none of them, I think, have been fufficiently accurate in their definition of it. There may, perhaps, be but little humility in taking on one to cenfure them; but, with all due humility, I own that they are beyond my comprehenfion. What is your idea of humility?

Cu-su. Humility I take to be mental modefty; for as to external modefty, it is no more than civility. Humility cannot confift in denying to one's felf that fuperiority which we may have acquired above another. An able phyfician cannot but be fenfible that he is poffeffed of a knowledge infinitely beyond his delirious patient. The teacher of aftronomy muft neceffarily think himfelf more learned than his fcholar; but they muft not pride themfelves in their fuperior talents. Humility is not debafement, but a corrective to felf-love, as modefty is the temperament to pride.

Kou. Well, it is in the practice of all thefe wirtues, and the worfhip of one fimple and univerfal God, that I propofe to live, far from the chimeras of fophifts, and the illufion of falie prophets. The love of mankind fhall be my virtue, and the love of God my religion. As to the god Fo, and E 4
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 Laotzee and Vitfnou, who has fo often become ini carnate among the Indians, and Sammonocodom, who came down from heaven to fly a kite among the Siamefe, together with the Camis, who went from the moon to vifit Japan; I cannot endure fuch impious fooleries.How weak, and at the fame time how cruel, is it for a people to conceit that there is no god but with them only ! it is downright blafphemy. The light of the fun irradiates all nations, and the light of God thines only in a little infignificant tribe in a corner of this globe. That ever fuch a thought could enter the mind of man! The Deity fpeaks to the heart of all men of all nations, and they fhould, from one end of the univerfe to the other, be linked together in the bonds of charity.

Cu -su. O wife Kou! you have fpoke like one infpired by the Chang-ti himfelf; you will make a worthy prince. From being my pupil you are become my teacher.

## The JAPANESE CATECHISM.

## The Indian.

IS it fo, that formerly the Japanefe knew nothirg of cookery ; that they had fubmitted their kingdom to the great Lama ; that this great Lama arbitrarily prefcribed what they fhould eat and drink; that he ufed, at times, to fend to you an inferior Lama for receiving the tributes, who, in return, gave you a fign of protection, which he made with his two fore-fingers and thumb ?

## The Japanese.

Alas! it is but too true; nay, all the places of the Canufi, or the chief cooks of our ifland, were difpofed
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difpofed of by the Lama, and the love of God was quite out of the queftion. Farther, every houfe of our feculars paid annually an ounce of filver to this head-cook of Thibet, whilft all the amends we had was fome fmall plates of relicks, and thefe none of the beft tafted ; and on every new whim of his, as making war againft the people of Tangut, we were faddled with frefh fubfidies. Our nation frequently complained, but all we got by it was to pay the more for prefuming to complain. At length love, which does every thing for the beft, freed us from this galling thraldom. One of our emperors quarrelled with the great Lama about a woman ; but it muft be owned that they who in this affair did us the beft turn, were our Canufi, or Pauxcofpies; it is to them that, in fact, we owe our deliverance, and it happened in this manner:

The great Lama, forfooth, infifted on being always in the right; our Dairi and Canufi would have it that fometimes, at leaft, they might be in the right. This claim the great Lama derided, as an abfurdity; on which our gentry, being as ftiff as he was haughty, broke with him for ever.

Ind. Well, ever fince you have had golden days, I fuppofe ?
Jap. Far from it ; for near two hundred years there was nothing but perfecutions, violences, and bloodifhed among us. After all our Canufis pretending to be in the right, it is but an hundred years fince they have had their right reafon ; but fince this time, we may boldly efteem ourfelves one of the happieft nations on the earth.
Ind. How can that be, if, as reported, you have no lefs than twelve different fects of cookery among you? Why you muft always be at daggers drawing.
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JAP. Why fo? If there are twelve cooks, and each has a different receipt, fhall we, inftead of dining, cut each other's throats? No : every one may regale himfelf at that cook's whofe manner of dreffing victuals he likes beft.

Ind. True; taftes are not to be difputed about: yet people will make them a matter of contention, and all fides grow hot.

Jap. After long difputing, men come to fee the mifchiefs of thele jarrings, and at length agree on a reciprocal toleration; and certainly they can do nothing better.

Ind. And pray what are thefe cooks who make fuch a ftir in your nation about the art of eating and drinking ?

JAP. Firft, there's the Breuxehs, who never allow any pork or pudding; they hold with the oldfafhioned cookery; they would as foon die, as lard a fowl; then they deal much in numbers, and if an ounce of filver be to be divided between them and the eleven other cooks, they inftantly fecure one-half to themfelves, and the remainder take who will.

Ind. I fancy you do not often foul a plate with thefe folks.

Jap. Never. Then there's the Pifpates, who, on fome days of the week, and even for a confiderable time of the year, will gormandize on turbot, trouts, foals, falmon, fturgeon, be they ever fo dear, and would not for the world touch a fweetbread of veal, which may be had for a groat.

As for us Canufi, we are very fond of beef and a kind of paftry ware, in Japanefe called pudding. Now all the world allows our cooks to be infinitely more knowing than thofe of the Pifpates: nobody has gone farther than we in finding out what
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was the garum of the Romans; we furpafs all others in our knowledge of the onions of antient Egypt, the locuft paite of the primitive Arabs, the Tartarian horfe-flefh; and there is always fomething to be learned in the books of thofe Canufí commonly known by the name of Pauxcofpies.

I fhall omit thofe who eat only in Tarluh, thofe who obferve the vincal diet, the Batiftans, and others; but the Quekars deferve particular notice. Though I have very often been at table with them, I never faw one get drunk, or fwear an oath. It is a hard matter to cheat them, but then they never cheat you. The law of loving one's neighbour as one's felf feems really peculiar to them; for, in good truth, how can an honeft Japanefe talk of loving his neighbour as himfelf, when, for a little pay, he goos as a hireling, to blow his brains out, and hew him with a four inch broad fabre, and all this in form; then he, at the fame time, expofes himfelf to the like fate, to be fhot or fabred: fo he may with more truth be faid to hate his neighbour as himfelf. This is a phrenzy the Quekars were never poffeffed with. They fay, and very juftly, that poor mortals are earthen veffels, made to laft but a very fhor time, and that they fhould not wantonly go and break themfelves to pieces one againft another.

I own, that were I not a Canufi, I fhould take part with the Quekars; fol you fee, that there can be no wranglings nor blows with fuch peaceable cooks. There is another and very numerous branch of cooks called Diefto; with thefe every one, without diftinction, is welome to their table, and you are at full liberty to eat as you like ; you have larded or barded fowls, or neither larded nor barded, egg fauce, or oil ; partridge, 1 imon, white or red wines; thefe things they hold as natters of indiference ${ }_{3}$
indifference, provided you fay a fhort prayer before and after dinner, and even without this ceremony before breakfaft; and with good-natured worthy men they will banter about the great Lama, the Turlah, Vincal, and Memnon, \&c. only thefe Dieftos muft acknowledge our Canufi to be very profound cooks; and efpecially let them never talk of curtailing our incomes; then we fhall live very eafily together.

Ind. But fill there muft be cookery by law eftablifhed, or the king's cookery.

Jap. There muft fo; but when the king of Japan has regaled himfelf plentifully, he fhould be chearful and indulgent, and not hinder his good fubjects from having their repafts.

Ind. But fhould fome hot-headed people take on themfelves to eat faufages clofe to the king's nofe, when the king is known to have an averfion to that food; fhould a mob of four or five thoufand of them get together, each with/his gridiron, to broil their faufages, and infult thofe who are againft eating them-

Jap. In fuch a cafe they ought to be punifhed as turbulent drunkards. But we have obviated this danger; none but thofe who follow the royal cookery are capable of holling any employment; all others may, indeed, ext as they pleafe, but this humour excludes them from fome emoluments. Tumults are ftrictly fobidden, and inftantly punifhed without mercy or mitigation; all quarrels at table are carefully feftrained by a precept of our great Japanefe cook who has written in the facred language, "Suti rho, cus flat, natis in ufum lati"tiæ fcyphis pugnare tracum eft:" that is, "the " intent of eaating is a fober and decent mirth; "8 but to throw glaffes at one another is favage."
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Under thefe maxims we live very happily; our liberty is fecured by our Taicofemas; we are every day growing more and more opulent; we have two hundred junks of the line, and are dreaded by our neighbours.

Ind. Why then has the pious rhymer Recna (fon to the fo juftly celebrated Indian poet Recna) faid in a didactic work of his, intitled Grace, and not the Graces,

Le Japon où jadis brilla tant de lumiere,
Ne'eft plus qu'un trifte amas de folles vifions:
"Japan, once famed for intellectual light, " lies now involved in error and chimerical "vifion."
Jap. That Recna is himfelf an arrant vifionary. Does not that weak Indian know, that it is we who have taught his countrymen what light is? That it is to us India owes its knowing the courfe of the planets; that it is we who have made known to man the primitive laws of nature, and the doctrine of fluxions? To defcend to things of more common ufe; by us his countrymen were taught to build junks in mathematical proportions ; they are beholden to us for thofe caverings of their legs which they call wove ftockings. Now is it poffible that, after fuch admirable and ufeful inventions, we fhould be madmen? And if he has rhimed on the follies of others, does that make him the only wife man? Let him leave us to our own cookery, and, if he mult be verfifying, I would advife him to chufe more poetical fub. jects.
This Recna, trufting to the vifionaries of his country, has advanced, "That no good fauces were
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" to be made unlefs Brama himfelf, out of his parcs ticular favour, taught his favourites to make the "f fauce; that there was an infinite number of "cooks, who, with the beft intentions and moft ${ }^{\circ}$ earneft endeavours, were under an impoffibility ${ }^{6}$ of making a ragout ; Brama, from mere ill will, "c difabling them." Such ftuff will not go down in Japan, where the following fentence is efteemed an indifputable truth:

## "God nèver acts by partial will, but by general laws."

Ind. What can be faid! He is full of his country's prejudices, thofe of his party, and his bwn.

Jap. A world of prejudices indeed!

## The COUNTRY PRIEST'S CATECHISM.

## ARISTUS。

$\$$O, my dear Theotimus, you are going to be it country parfon.
ThEOTIMUS.
Yes, I have had a fmall parifh conferred on me; and I like it better than a larger; it is more fuited both to my parts and my activity; having but one foul.myfelf, the fuperintendance and direction of feventy thoufand would certainly be too much for me; and I have ever wondered at the daringnefs of thofe who have taken on them the care of thofe immenfe diftricts. I cannot, in any tolerable meafure, find myfelf equal to fuch a charge ; a large flock really frightens me, but with a fmall one I may perkaps do fome good. I have a fmattering of the law, enough, with my careful endeavours,
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to prevent my poor parifhioners frem ruining one another by litigations; I am fo far a phyfician as to prefcribe to them in common cafes; and I have fo far looked into our beft treatifes on agriculture, that my advice may fometimes be of fervice to them. The lord of the manor and his lady are mighty good fort of people, and no devotees; they will fecond my endeavours to do good, fo that I promife myfelf a very happy time of it, and that thofe among whom 1 am to live will not be the worfe for my company.

Arist. But could you not like to have a wife? It would be a great comfort after preaching, finging, confeffing, communicating, baptizing, and burying, to be welcomed at your return home by an affectionate, cleanly, and virtuous wife; the would Eake care of your linen and perfon, divert you when in health, tend you in fickners, and make you the father of pretty children, the good education of whom would be of public advantage. I really pity your order, whofe whole time is fpent in the moft valuable fervice of mankind, yet are debarred of a comfort and folacement fo delectable, and withal fo neceffary.

Theot. The Greek church makes a point of encouraging marriage in their priefts; the church of England and the Proteftants univerfally act with the like wifdom; but the policy of the Latin church is quite oppofite, and I muft fubmit to it. Perhaps in the prefent prevalence of a philofophical fpirit, were a council convened, its decrees would be more favourable to human nature than thofe of the council of Trent; but till that happy time, I muft conform to the prefent laws; I am no ftranger to its difficulties, but fo many of my betters having taken the yoke on them, it is not for me to murmur,
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ARIST. You have a great fhare of learning, and are likewife mafter of a nervous eloquence; how do you intend to preach before a congregation of villagers?

Theot. As I would before kings. I will infift on morality, and never meddle with controverfy. God forbid that I fhould go about diving into concomitant grace, effectual grace which may be refifted, fufficient grace which does not fuffice; or examining whether the angels who came to Lot had a body, or only feigned to eat. A thoufand things there are, which my congregation would not underttand, nor I neither: my endeavour fhall be to make them good, and to be fo myfelf; but I fhall make no divines, nor be fo myfelf, no more than fhall be abfolutely neceffary.

Arist. You will make a good prieft, indeed ! I think I muft purchafe a country-houfe in your parifh. But be fo kind as to tell me how you will manage confeffion.

Theot. Confefion is highly beneficial, a ftrong curb to viee, and a very early inftitution. It was antiently practifed at the celebration of all the mylteries of the church; and we have imitated and fanctified fo devout an obfervance : it avails greatly, turning refentment and hatred into forgivenefs and friendihip; by it the petty rogues are induced to reftore what they had ftolen. I own it has alfo its inconveniences. There are too many indifcreet confeffors, chiefly among the monks, who fometimes teach girls more fooleries than they learn among the young men. In confeffion there fhould be no particulars; it is no juridical interrogatory, but on1y a finner's acknowledgment of his faults to the Supreme Being, before another finner, who is foon to make the like acknowledgment. This falutary avowal is not made to gratify a frivolous curiofity.
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Arist. And excommunications; will you ever proceed to fuch extremities ?

Theot. No; fome rituals excommunicate grafhoppers, forcerers, and ftage-players. Grafhoppers I fhall never exclude from my church, for they never come there; as little fhall I excommunicate forcerers, feeing there are none ; and ftageplayers being authorized by the magiftrates, and penfioned by his majefty, it would ill become me to brand them with infamy: and, to be ingenuous, I can with pleafure read a play, when kept within the limits of decency ; fuch, for inftance, as Athaliah and the Mifanthrope, which contain a great deal of moral inftruction. The lord of our manor has fome fuch pieces acted at his feat by young people of a theatrical turn; thefe exhibitions lead to virtue through the attractive of pleafure, form the tafte, and greatly contribute to a juft elocution. Now, for my part, in all this I fee nothing but what is very-innocent, and even very ufeful; fo that I intend, purely for my inftruction, to be fometimes a fpectator, but in a latticed box, to avoid giving offence to the weak.

Arist. The more you let me into your way of thinking, the more defirous am I of becoming your parifhioner; but one point remains, which I think of very great importance. How will you do to hinder the peafants from fuddling on the holidays, which, you know, is their chief way of keeping feftivals? Some, overcome by a liquid poifon, are feen with their heads drouping almoft to their knees, their hands dangling, their fight and hearing loft, in a condition very much beneath beafts; led home reeling by their lamenting wives, incapable of going to work the next day, often fick, and fometimes irrecoverably befouted. Others, inflam?
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ed by wine, raife quarrels, which foon come to fur rious blows; and thefe brutal fcenes, a difgrace to human nature, have not feldom been known to end in a murder. It is a known truth, that the ftate lofes more fubjects by holidays than by wars; now how will you, if not eradicate this execrable euftom out of your parifh, at leaft bring it under fome regulation ?

Theot. I have a remedy at hand; I fhall not only give them leave, but exhort them to follow their occupations after divine fervice; and that I will take care to begin very early, for it is their being unemployed on fuch days which fends them to public-houfes; on the working days we hear of no riot or bloodihed. Moderate labour is good both for foul and body : befides, the ftate wants their labour. Let us fuppofe, and the fuppofition is within bounds, five millions of men, one with another, doing ten pennyworth of work, and that thefe five millions of men are, by fuch a cuftom, rendered quite ufelefs no lefs than thirty days in the year; confequently the ftate is deprived of work to the value of thirty times five millions of tenpences; now God never enjoined drunkennefs, nor fuch detrimental obfervance of feftivals.

Arist. This will be reconciling devotion and bufinefs, and both are of God's appointment; thus you ferve God, and do good to your neighbour. But amidft our ecclefiaftical feuds, with which party will you fide?

Theot. With none. Virtue never occafions any difputes, becaufe it comes from God; all thefe heart-burnings are about opinions, which are the inventions of men.

Arist, Excellent! I wifh all priefts were like you.
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## CERTAIN, CERTAINTY.

HOW old may your friend Chriftopher be ? Twenty-eight. I have feen both his contract of marriage, and the regifter of his birth : I have known him from a child; twenty-eight is his age. I am as certain of it as certain can be.

Soon after this man's anfwer, who was fo fure of what he faid, and of twenty others, in confirmation of the fame thing, I happened to be informed that, for private reafons, and by an odd contrivance, the regifter of Chriftopher's birth was antedated. They to whom 1 had fpoken, knowing nothing of this, are ftill in the greateft certainty of what is not.

Had you, in Copernicus's time, afked all the world, Did the fun rife, did the fun fet, to-day ? they would, one and all, have anfwered, That's a certainty; we are fully certain of it : thus they were certain, and yet miftaken.

Witchcraft, divinations, and poffefions, were, for a long time, univerfally accounted the moft certain things in the world. What numberlefs crowds have feen all thofe fine things, and have been certain of them! but at prefent, fuch certainty begins to lofe its credit.

A young man, juit entered on geometry, and gone no farther than the definition of triangles, calls on me: Are not you certain, faid I to him, that the three angles of a triangle are equal to two right angles? He anfwers me, that, fo far from being certain, he has not a clear idea of the propofition ; on which I demonfrate it to him ; this, indeed, makes him very certain of it, and he will be fo as long as he lives.
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Here is a certainty very different from the fors mer : they were only probabilities, which, on being fearched into, are found errors; but mathematical certainty is immutable and eternal.

I exift, I think, I feel pain; is all this as certain as a geometrical truth ? Yes. And why? Becaufe thefe truths are proved by the fame principle, that a thing cannot, at the fame time, be and not be. I cannot, at one and the farme time, exift and not exift, feel and not feel. A triangle cannot have and not have a hundred and eighty degrees, the fum of two right angles.

Thus the phyfical certainty of my exiftence and my fenfation, and mathematical certainty, are of a like validity, though differing in kind.

But this is by no means applicable to the certainty founded on appearances, or the unanimous relations of men.

How, fay you, are not you certain that there is fuch a city as Pekin? Have you not fome Pekinmanufactures? Are you not certain of the exiftence of Pekin from the accounts of perfons of different nations and different opinions, and writing violently againft each other, when preaching the truth in that city. I anfwer, that it is highly probable there was fuch a city at that time, but I would not lay my life on its exiftence; whereas at any time will I ftake my life that the three angles of a triangle are equal to two right angles.

The Dictionaire Encyclopedique has a very droll affertion, that fhould all Paris fay that marThal Saxe is rifen from the dead, a man ought to be as fure and certain of it, as he is that the marThal gained the battle of Fontenoy, on hearing all Paris fay fo. Excellent reaioning I I believe all Paris when it tells me a thing morally poffible;
muft I therefore believe all Paris when it tells me a thing which is both morally and naturally impofftole?

The author of this article, I fuppofe, was in a bantering ftrain, and the other author againft whom it was written, probably means no more by his extatic applaufes at the end of it.

## CHAIN of EVENTS.

IT is an old fuppofition, that all events are linked together by an invincible fatality : this is deitiny, which Homer makes fuperior to Jupiter himfelf. This fovereign of gods and men frankly declares that he cannot fave his fon Sarpedon from dying at the time appointed. Sarpedon was born at the very inftant that he was to be born, at any other he could not be born; fo he could not die any where but before Tray; he could be buried no where but in Lycia; his body was at the deftined time to produce herbs and pulfe, which were to be changed into the fubftance of fome Lycians. His heirs were to inftitute a new form of government in his dominions ; this new form was to affect the neighbouring kingdoms, and this put thofe who bordered on thefe neighbouring kingdoms on new. meafures of peace or war: thus the fate of the whole earth came gradually to be determined by that of Sarpedon, which depended on another event, and this by a chain of other events, was connected with the origin of things.
Had only one of thele tranfactions been diffierently difpofed, it would have caufed a different univerfe; and that the prefent univere thould exift and not exift is an impofibility, therefore it
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was not pofible for Jupiter, with all his omnipotence, to fave his fon's life.

This fyttem of neceffity and fatality has, according to Leibnitz, been flruck out by himfelf, under the appellation of SUFFicient reason, but it is in reality of very antient date; that no effect is without a caufe, and that, of ten, the leaft caufe produces the greateft effects, is what the world is not to be aught at this time of day.
My lord Bolingbroke owns, that the trivial quarrel between the ducheis of Marlborough and Mrs. Mafham put him upon making the feparate treaty between queen Anne and Lewis XIV. This treaty brought on the peace of Utrecht. This peace fettled Philip V. on the Spanifh throne. Philip V. difpoffeffed the houfe of Auftria of Naples and Sicily; thus the Spanifh prince, who is now king of Naples, evidently owes his fovereignty to Mrs. Mafham: he would not have had it, perhaps he would not fo much as have been born, had the duchefs of Marlborough behaved with due complaifance towards the queen of England; his exiftence at Naples depended on a few follies committed at the court of London. Enquire into the fituation of all the nations on the globe, and they all derive from a chain of events, apparently quite unconnected with any one thing, and connected with every thing. In this immenfe machine all is wheelwork, pully, cords, and fpring.

It is the fame in the phyfical fyftem: a wind blowing from the fouth of Africa and the auftral feas, brings with it part of the African atmofphere, which falls down again in rain among the vallies of the Alps, and thefe rains fructify our lands. A gain out forthern wind wafts our vapours among the Negroes : thus we benefit Guinea, and are benefited
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By it ; and this chain reaches from one end o? the univerfe to the other.

But the truth of this principle, I think, has been ftretched to a ftrange excefs. Some will have it, that there is no atom ever fo minute but its motion contributed to the prefent difpofition of the whole world; and that every petty incident, whether among men or brutes, is an effential link in the great chain of fatality.

Let us underftand one another : every effect has evidently its caufe, recurring from caufe to caufe, up to the abyfs of eternity; but every caufe has not its effect traced forward to the end of time. That all events proceed from others I own; as the paft has broug it forth the prefent, the prefent produces the future; every thing has fathers, but every thing has not always children. This cannot be better elucidated than by a genealogical tree; every family is deduced from Adàm, but many of its branches die without iffue.

The events of this world are not without their genealogical tree: the inhabitants of Gaul and Spain are indifputably defcended from Gomer, and the Ruffians from Magog, his younger brother, for fo it is faid in many huge books ; then we are of courfe indebted to Magog for the fixty thoufand Ruffians now in arms towards the confines of Pomerania, and the fixty thoufand French in the neighbourhood of Franckfort. But I do not fee how Magog's fpitting to the right or left near Mount Caucafus, or his making two or three arches on the infide of a well, or his lying on his right or his left fide, could have any confiderable influence in the czarina Elizabeth's refolution of fending an army to the affiftance of Mary Therefa, emprefs of the Romans. That my dog dreamed or
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did not dream in its fleep has any relation to the grand mogul's concerns, is what I cannot fee into.

It muft be confidered, that all things are not full in nature ; and that every motion is not communicated fucceffively, fo as to be continued round the world. On throwing into water a body of equal denfity, you eafily conceive that in fome fhort time the motion of fuch body, and that which it has caufed in the water, will ceafe ; motion is loft and recovered: thus the motion which might have been produced by Magog's fpitting in a well, can have no affinity with what is now doing in Rufia and Pruffia; thus the prefent events are not iffued from all the former events ; they have their direct lines; but a thoufand petty collateral lines do not in the leaft conduce to them: I fay it again, every being has its fathers, but every being has not children. I may pofibly enlarge on this head, when I come to fpeak of DESTINY.

## CHAIN of CREATED BEINGS.

AT my firft reading Plato, I was charmed with his gradation of beings, rifing from the ilighteft atom to the fupreme effience. Such a fcale ftruck me with admiration; but, on a clofer furvey of it, this auguft phantom difappeared, as formerly ghofts ufed to hie away at the crowing of the cock.

Fancy is, at firft, ravifhed in beholding the imperceptible afcent from fenfelefs matter to organized bodies, from plants to zoophytes, from zoophytes to animals, from thefe to men, from men to genii, from thefe aetheral genii to immaterial effence, and laftly numberlefs different orders of thefe ef-
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fences, afcending through a fucceffion of increafing beauties and perfections, to God himfelf. The devout are mightily taken with this hierarchy, as reprefenting the pope and his cardinals, followed by the archbifhops and bifhops, and then by the reverend train of rectors, vicars, unbeneficed priefts, deacons, and fubdeacons; then come the Regulars, and the Capucliins bring up the rear.

But from God to his moft perfect creatures the diftance is fomething greater than between the pope and the dean of the facred college ; this dean may come to be pope, whereas the moft perfect ce the genii never can be God. Infinitude lies between God and him.

Neither does this chain, this pretended gradation, exift anylonger in vegetables and animals, fome fpecies of plants and animals being totally extinguifhed. The murex is not to be found ; it was forbidden to eat the griffin and ixion, which, whatever Bochart may fay, have, for ages paft, not been in nature; where then is the chain?

If no fpecies have been loft, yet it is manifeft they may be deftroyed, for lions and rhinocerofes are growing very fcarce.

It is far from being improbable that there have been breeds of men now no longer exifting; but I grant that they all have been preferved, as truly as the whites, the blacks, the Caffres, to whom nature has given a membraneous apron hanging from their belly half down their thighs; the Samoiedes, where one of the nipples of the women's breafts is of a fine ebony, \&cc.

Is there not a manifeft chafm between the monkey and man ? Is it not eafy to conceive a two-legged animal without feathers, endowed with underftanding, but without fpeech or our fhape, which we might tame and inftruct, fo that it Mould anfwer
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to our figns, and ferve us to many purpofes; and between this new fpecies and that of man, might not others be contrived ?

Farther, divine Plato, you quarter in the firmament a feries of coeleftial fubftances. As for us, we believe the exittence of fome of theie fubftances, being taught fo by our faith. But what grounds can you have for fuch a belief? It is to be fuppofed, that you never converfed with Socrates's genius; and the good man Heres, who kindly rofe from the dead, purely to communicate to you the yfteries of the other world, did not fay a word to you about fuch fubftances.

This fuppofed chain is not lefs imperfect in the fenfible univerfe.

What gradation, pray, is there between thofe planets of yours? The moon is forty times fmaller than our globe. In your journey from the moon through the ether you meet with Venus, which is nearly as big as the earth. Whence you come to Mercury turning in an ellipfis, which is very different from Venus' orbits; he is twenty-feven times fmaller than our planet, and the fun is a million times larger. Mars is five times finaller; the former performs his orbit in two years, Jupiter its neighbour in twelve, Saturn takes up thirty, and yet Saturn, the moft diffant of any, is not fo large as Jupiter. Amidft thefe difproportions what becomes of the gradation?

And then, how can you think that, in fuch immenfe voids, there can be a chain whereby every thing is connected; if fuch a chain there be, it is certainly that difcovered by Newton, and by which all the globes of the planetary world gravitate towards each other, throughout thefe immenfe \{paces.
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Oh! Plato, though fo much admired, your writings fwarm with fables and fictions; and the Caffiterides, where, in your time, men went quite naked, has produced a philofopher, who have taught the world truths as great and fublime as your notions were erroneous and puerile.

## C HARACTER

$C$OMES from a Greek word, fignifying Im $_{-}$ preffion and Graving ; it is what nature has engraven in us; then can we efface it? This is a weighty queftion. A milhapen nofe, cats eyes, or any deformity in the features, may be hidden with a máfque, and can I do more with the character which nature has given me? A man naturally impetuous and paffionate comes before Francis I. king of France, to complain of an outrage: the prince's afpect, the refpectful behaviour of the courtiers, the very place, make a powerful impreffion on him. With eyes caft down, a foft voice, and every fign of humility, he prefents his petition, fo that one would think he was naturally as mild and polite, as are (at leaft at that time) the courtiers, among whom he is even out of countenance; but if Francis I. be a phyfiognomift, he will eafily difcover by the fullen fire in his eyes, by the ftraining of the mufcles in his face, and the comprefion of his lips, that this man is not really fo mild as he is obliged to appear. The fame man follows him to Pavia, is taken with him, and confined in the fame prifon at Madrid; here the impreffion made on him by Francis's afpect and grandeur ceafes ; he grows familiar with the object of his refpect. One day drawing on the king's boots, and doing it wrong, the king, foured by his miffortune,
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fortune, takes pet; on this my gentleman, fhaking off all refpect to his majefty, throws the boots out of the window.

Sixtus Quintus was naturally petulant, obfitnate, haughty, violent, revengeful, and arrogant ; this character, however, feems quite mollified amidft the trials of his noviciate. But no fooner has he attained to fome confideration in his order, than he flies into a paffion againlt his fuperior, and feverely belabours him with his fifts, till he lays him fprawling. On his being made inquifitor at Venice, his infolence became intolerable. On his promotion to the purple, he was immediately feized with the rabBIa papale, which fo far got the better of his natural character, that he affected obficurity, mortification, humility, and a very weak ftate of health. At length he is chofen pope, and now the fpring recovers its whole elafticity, which had been fo long under reftraint: never was a more haughty and defpotic fovereign known.
"Naturam expellas furca tamen ipfa redibit."
Religion and morality lay a check on the force of the natural temper, but cannot extirpate it. A fot, when in a convent, reduced to half a pint of cyder at each meal, will no longer be feen drunk, but his love of wine will ever be the fame.

Age weakens the natural character; it is a tree which produces only fome degenerate fruits, ftill are they of one and the fame nature. It grows knotty, and over-run with mofs, and worm-eaten: but amidft all this, it continues what it was, whether oak or pear-tree. Could a man change his character, he would give himfelf one; he would be fuperior to nature. Can we give ourfelves any, thing? What have we that we have not received?
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Endeavour to rouze the indolent to a conftant activity, to freeze the impetuous into an apathy, to give a tafte for poetry and mulic to one who has neither tafte or ears, you may as well go about wathing the Blackmoor white, or giving fight to one born blind. We only improve, polifh, and conceal, what nature has put into us; we have nothing of our own putting.

A country gentleman is told, there are too many filn in that pond, they will never thrive; your meadows are crowded with fheep, they have not grafs fufficient, they fall away to nothing. Sometime after this advice, it fo falls out, that the pikes devour half the carps, and the wolves thin his meadows, fo that what fheep are left, fatten apace. Shall he pique himfelf on his management? Well, this country gentleman is no other than thyfelf: one of thy paffions has fwallowed up the reft, and thou boafteft of felf-conqueft. How very few among us, who may not be compared to that decrepit general, ninety years old, who meeting fome young officers making a little free with girls, faid to them, quite in a paffion, Fy, gentlemen, what do you mean! do I fet you any fuch example?

## C H I N A.

WE go to fetch earth from China, as if we had none; ftuffs, as if we were without ftuffs; a fmall herb to infufe into water, as if our climates did not afford any fimples. In return, which is a very commendable zeal, we are for converting the Chinefe; but we fhould not offer to difpute their antiquity, and tell them that they are idolaters.
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idolaters : for, indeed, what would be thought of a capuchin who, after being kindly entertained at a feat of the Montmorenci's, fhould go about to perfuade them that they were but new made nobles, like fecretaries of fate, and accufe them of being idolaters, having obferved in this feat two or three of the conftable's ftatues, which they highly value.

The celebrated Wolff, mathematical profeffor in the univerfity of Halle, once made a judicious oration on the Chinefe philofophers; he praifed this antient race of men, though different from us in the beard, eyes, nofe, ears, and reafoning; he commended the Chinefe as adoring one 〔upreme God, and cherifhing virtue, thus doing juftice to the emperors of China, to the Kolaos, to the tribunals, to the literati : the juftice, which the bonzes deferve, is of a different kind.

This Wolff, you muft know, drew to Halle a great refort of fcholars from all nations : there was in the fame univerfity a profeffor of divinity named Engel, who had fcarce a fingle fcholar ; this man exafperated at farving with cold in his empty auditory, conceived a defign, and, to be fure very juftly, to ruin the profeffor of mathematics, and, as ufual with fuch men, he charged him with not believing in God.

Some European writers, utter ftrangers to China, had affirmed, that all the men of any note or confideration at Pekin were atheifts; now Wolff had commended the Pekin philofophers; Wolff therefore was an atheift; envy and hatred never formed better fyllogifms. Yet this argument, with the help of a cabal and a protector, appeared fo conclufive to the king of the country, that he fent the mathematician a dilemma in form, the import of which was, e ther to leave Halle in twenty-four hours, or to
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be hanged. As Wolff always reafoned very juftly, he immediately left the city; but by his departure the king loft two or three hundred thoufand crowns a year, which the great number of that philofopher's fcholars brought into the kingdom.

May this be a document to fovereigns, not always to lend an ear to calumny, and facrifice a great man to the rancour of a blockhead.

Let us return to China.
What do we mean here, at the fartheft part of the weft, this virulently to difpute whether Fohi, emperor of China, was the fourteenth emperor or not, and whether Fohi lived three thoufand, or two thoufand nine hundred years before our common æra? I fhould laugh at two Irifhmen wrangling at Dublin about who, in the twelfth century, was the owner of the eftate which I now hold; is it not clear that they fhould be determined by me, as having the writings in my hands? The cafe, I think, is frmilar with regard to the firft emperors of China; the tribunals of the country are the beft judges.

After all your important altercations about the fourteen princes who reigned before Fohi, the refult will be, that China was then very well peopled, and had laws and a political conftitution. Now, let me afk you, whether a nation living in towns, and having laws and fovereigns, does not imply a prodigious antiquity? Confider the time that muit have paffed, and the concurrence of circumftances, before iron could be found out in the mines, and then fitted for agriculture ; and likewife before the invention of the fhutle and all other trades.

Some who play the fool with their pens have contrived a whimfical fort of calculation ; the Jefuit Petau, in his fagacious computation, at the
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epocha of only two hundred and eighty-five years after the deluge, gives the earth a hundred times more inhabitants than can be fuppofed in it at prefent. Cumberland and Whifton are no lefs ridiculous in their calculations. Good men! Had they only confulted the regifters of our American colonies, they would have been aftonifhed. They would have feen how very flowly the human fpecies multiplies, and very often, fo far from increafing, diminifhes.

Let us, therefore, we who are but of yefterday, defcendants from the Celts, who have but juft cleared our wild countries from the forefts with which they were over-run; let us, I fay, leave the Chinefe and the Indians in the quiet enjoyment of their fine climate and their antiquity; efpecially let us forbear calling the emperor of China and the foubab of Decan idolaters : neither are we to be infatuated with Chinefe merit. The conftitution of their empire is, indeed, the beft in the whole world, the only one which is intirely modelled from paternal power (the mandarins, however, chaftife their children very feverely) the only one where the governor of a province is punifhed, if, at the expiration of his office, the people do not fhew their approbation of his conduct by loud acclamations; the only one which has inftituted prizes for virtue, whilit every where elfe the laws only punifh vice ; the only one whofe laws have recommended themfelves to its conquerors, whilft we are ftill fwayed by the cuftoms of our conquerors, the Burgundians, the Franks, and the Goths. But it muft be owned, that the commonalty who are bonze-ridden, are no lefs knavih than ours; that foreigners are extremely impofed on, as amongft us; that in fciences the Chinefe are two hundred years behind us; that, like us, they have
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a thoufand ridiculous notions, that they give credit to talifmans and judicial aftrology, which was alfo our cafe for a long time.

It muft farther be owned, that they were amazed at our thermometer, at our way of freezing liquors by falt-petre, and with Torricelli's and Ohto Gueric's experiments, juft as we ourfelves were at our firft feeing thofe phyfical exhibitions: farther, their phyficians do not cure mortal diftempers any more than ours; and the nighter illneffes nature alone cures them, as here : notwithftanding all this, the Chinefe, four thoufand years ago, when we did not know our letters, were mafters of all that is effentially ufeful in that knowledge which we fo much value ourfelves on at prefent.

## CHRISTIANITY.

Historical Disquisitions concerning<br>ChRISTIANITY.

IN vain have feveral of the learned expreffed their wonder, that in the hiftorian Jofephus (H) they meet with no trace of Jefus Chrift, the little pal-

> fage
(H) That the paflage concerning Chrift in Jofephus's hiftory is univerfally allowed to be interpolated, is not true; very learned men have maintained the contrary. Befides, this is but a negative argument, which can be of no manner of weight againft the pofitive and undoubted authorities of Pagan writers, notone of whom is mentioned by our author. Nothing can be more difingenuous. The ftar that appeared at Chrift's birth, and the journey of the Chaldean wifemen, are mentioned by Chalcidius the Platonift, "Eft quoque alia fanctior \& ve-
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 fage relating to him in his hiftory being now univerfally given up as interpolated, Yet Jofephus's father" nerabilior hiftoria, quæ perhibet ortu ftellæ cujufdam non " morbos mortefque denunciatas, fed defcenfum Dei vene"t rabilis ad humanx confervationis, rerumque mortalium " gratiam: quam ftellam cum nocturno tempore infpexif"fent Chaldæorum profecto fapientes viri, \& confideratione or rerum caleftium fatis exercitati, quæfiffe dicuntur recentis * ortum Dei, repertaque illa majeftate puerili veneratos effe, \&s yota Deo tanto convenientia nuncupaffe. In Commen-
" tario ad Timæum." $\qquad$ The flaughter of the innocents by Herod is related by Macrobius, who, at the fame time, has given us a reflection made on that occafion by the emperor Auguftus: "Cum audiffet inter pueros, quos in Syria Heroor des rex Judxorum intra bimatum juffit interfici, filium quo" que ejus occifum, ait, "Melius eft Herodis porcum effe quam "f filium." Lib. iis cap. 4.-Chrift's crucifixion under Pontius Pilate is related by Tacitus: "Tiberio imperitante, " per procuratorem Pontium Pilatum fupplicio affectus erat." I.ib. xv.- The earthquake and miraculous darknefs attending it, are recorded by Phlegon, lib. xiii. Chronicorum five


 тa worka Nixáias raríssèts. -Befides, thefe very circumftances were mentioned in the public Roman records, to which the early writers of Chriftianity ufed to appeal, as of undoubted authority with their adverfaries. See Grotius de Ver. Rel. Chr, lib. iii. Dr. Clarke on the Evidences of Natural and Revealed Religion, p. 357. And Mr. Addifon, in his little treatife ons the Chriftian Religion, fect. 2.

The difficulties in the hiftory of the Evangelifts are fuch as may be eafily removed by confulting the annotations of learned expofitors, or even by a diligent meditation of the Scriptures. If the obfcurity of a work were an argument againft its authority, there would be an end of all hiftorical credibility. We meet with cifficulties in Polybius, Livy, Plutarch, and yet we doubt not of their veracity.
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father muft have been an eye-witnefs of Jefus's miracles. This hiftorian was of the prieftly lineage, and being related to queen Mariamne, Herod's wife, is minutely particular on all that prince's proceedings, yet wholly filent as to the life and death of Chrift. Though neither concealing nor palliating Herod's cruelties, not a word does he fay about his ordering the children to be maffacred,

But to come to the difficulties mentioned by our author : 1 . The Zachariah mentioned by St. Matthew, is moft probably concluded to be the fon of Jehoiada, whom the Jews ftoned to death in the very court of the temple, at the command of Joafh (Chron. ii. 24.) And as for the father's name not agreeing, Jehoiada might have two names, which was not an uncommon thing among the Jews. Befides, even if we could not find fuch a Zachariah in the Jewifh hiftory, is it a proof that he never exifted? Is it to be fuppofed the Scripture has given us every tranfaction of that nation, and that nothing has been omitted by the facred hiftorian ?
2. The difficulties about the genealogy of Chrift have at all times been made ufe of as an argument by the adverfaries of our holy religion. St. Matthew and St. Luke have given us two genealogies, which differ in appearance, but agree in the main. The Jews were very exact in their genealogies, and no doubt but the evangelifts took that of our Saviour from the public records. But it is fuppofed by very learned writers, and with the greateft probability, that one of thefe genealogies is that of Mary, and the other that of Jofeph. St. Matthew made the genealogy of Jofeph, who was the laft male of David's race defcended from Solomon ; and St. Luke that of the Virgin Mary, by Nathan from David. There are other opinions in regard to the folution of this difficulty ; but this is fufficient to fhew that the two genealogies may be reconciled. To conclude, we may fafely affirm, with the learned Dr. Clarke, that the evidence which God has afforded for the truth of our religion is abundantly fufficient ; and that the caufe of men's infidelity is not the want of better evidence, but the dominion of their paffions, which prevents them from hearkening to any reafonable conviction.
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on an information that a king of the Jews was jufe born. According to the Greek calendar the number of children put to death on that occafion amounted to fourteen thoufand.

Of all the cruelties ever committed by all the tyrants that ever lived, this was the moft horrible; a like inftance is not to be found in hiftory.

Yet the beft writer the Jews ever had, the only one of any account with the Romans and Greeks, makes no manner of mention of a tranfaction fo very extraordinary, and fo very dreadful. He fays not a word of the new ftar which had appeared in the eaft at the Saviour's nativity ; and a phenomenon fo fingular could not efcape the knowledge of fuch an accurate hiftorian as Jofephus: he is fikewife filent as to the darknefs, which, at noonday, covered the whole earth for the fpace of three hours, whilft the Saviour was on the crois; the opening of the tombs at that awful time, and the number of the juft, who rofe from the dead.

It is no lefs a matter of wonder to the learned that thefe prodigies are not taken notice of by any Roman hiftorian, though they happened in the reign of Tiberius, under the very eyes of a Roman governor and garrifon, who naturally would have fent the emperor and fenate a circumftantial account of the moft miraculous event ever heard of. Rome itfelf muft for three hours have been involved in thick darknefs, and furely furch a prodigy would have been noted in the annals of Rome, and thofe of all other nations. But God, I fuppofe, would not allow that fuch divine things fhould be committed to writing by prophane hands (I).

The fame learned perfons likewife meet with fome difficulties in the evangelical hiftory. They

[^7]
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obferve, that, in St. Matthew, Jefus Chrift fays to the Scribes and Pharifees, that upon them fhould come all the innocent blood fhed on the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel to that of Za chariah the fon of Barac, whom they new between the temple and the altar.
In all the hiftory of the Hebrews, fay they, we meet with no fuch perfon as Zachariah killed in the temple before the coming of the Meffiah, nor in his time ; but Jofephus, in his hiftory of the fiege of Jerufalem, (chap. xix. book iv.) mentions a Zachariah the fon of Barachiah, who was killed in the middle of the temple, by the faction of the Zelotes. This has given rife to a fufpicion that St. Mathew's gofpel was not written till after the taking of Jerufalem by Titus. But if we confider the infinite difference there muft be between books divinely infpired and fuch as are merely human, all thefe doubts, difficulties, and objections, immediately vanifh. It was God's pleafure that his birth, life, and death, fhould be fhrouded in a cloud of refpectable darknefs. His ways in all things are different from ours.
The learned are alio at a great lofs to reconcile the difference of the two genealogies of Chrift. In St. Matthew, Jofeph's father is Jacob, Jacob's Matthan, Matthan's Eleazar ; whereas St. Luke fays that Jofeph was the fon of Heli, Heli of Matthat, Matthat of Levi, Levi of Janna, \&cc. They cannat reconcile the fifty-fix anceftors in Chrint's genealogy from Abraham, mentioned by Luke, to the two and forty different anceftors in the genealogy from the fame Abraham, given by St. Matthew; and they are fhocked that Matthew, mentioning forty-two ge:erations, enumerates no more than forty-one.
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They likewife are at a ftand about Jefus not being the fon of Jofeph but of Mary. They farther have their doubts concerning the miracles of our Saviour, and quote St. Auftin, St. Hilary, and others, who interpret the account of thefe miracles, in a myftic and allegorical fenfe: as the curfing and withering the fig-tree for not bearing figs when it was not the time of figs; the fending the devils into the fwine in a country where thofe creatures were not allowed of; the turning the water into wine towards the end of an entertainment, when the guefts were already heated with liquor. But all thefe cavils of the learned are put to filence by faith, whofe merit is enhanced by thefe difficulties. The fcope of this article is purely to follow the hiftorical clue, and give a jutt and precife idea of thofe facts which nobody offers to controvert.
Firft, Jefus was born under the Mofaic law; in conformity to this law he was circumcifed; he conformed to all its precepts ; he kept all its feafts, and preached only morality; he made no revelation of the myftery of his incarnation; he never told the Jews that he was born of a Virgin ; he received John's benediction, being baptized by him in the river Jordan, a ceremony to which great numbers of Jews fubmitted; he faid nothing about the feven facraments, nor did he inflitute, in his life-time the ecclefiattical hierarchy. He concealed from his cotemporaries that he was the Son of God, generated from all eternity, confubftantial with God, and that the Holy Ghoft proceeded from the Father and the Son; he did not inform them that his perfon was compofed of two natures and two wills : thefe great myfteries were, in af-ter-times to be declared to man by perfons illuminated with the light of the Holy Ghoft. During his whole life he did not in the leaft deviate from
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the law of his forefathers. He fhewed himfelf to the world only as a juft man, acceptable to God, perfecuted by envious doctors, and condemned to die by prejudiced magiftrates. It was his pleafure that all the reft fhould be done by the holy church which he eftablifhed.

Jofephus, in the 12 th chapter of his hiftory, mentions an auftere fect of Jews then recently founded by one Judas Galileus, "They make " light," fays he, "of all earthly evils. Such is " their refolution, that they brave tortures, and on "s an honourable motive, prefer death to life. They "s have chofe to be burnt, to be flain, and even " their bones to be broken, rather than utter the " leaft word againft their leginator, or eat any for*s bidden food."

This character feems to belong to the Judaites and not to the Effenes; for Jofephus's words are, "Judas was the author of a new fect totally dif" ferent from the other three, i. e. the Sadducees, "t the Pharifees; and the Effenes." And further on, he fays; "They are by nation Jews, they live " in a clofe union among themfelves, and hold " all fenfuality vicious and finful." Now the natural import of this phrafe fhews the author to be fpeaking of the Judaites.

However it be, thefe Judaites were known before Chrift's difciples began to make any confiderable figure in the world.

The Therapeutes were a fociety differing both from the Effenians and the Judaites, and had fome affinity to the Indian Gymnofophifts and Bramins. "They have," fays Philo, " impulfes of heavenly " love, by which they kindle into all the enthuif" afm of the Coribantes and the Bacchanalians, " and are raifed to that flate of contemplation af"4t ter which they alpire. This feet had its rife in
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" Alexandria, where the Jews were very numer" ous, and fpread exceedingly throughout Egypt." John the Baptift's difciples likewife fpread a little in Egypt, but efpecially in Syria and Arabia; Afia-minor alfo was not without them. The Acts of the Apofles, ch. xix. fays that St. Paul met with feveral at phefus; and afking them, "Have you " received the Holy Ghoft ?" They anfwered, ". We have not fo much as heard that there is a " Holy Ghoft:" he faid to them, "What bap" tifm, then, have you received ?" They anfwered him, "The baptifm of John." For fome little time after Jefus's death, there were feveral different fects and focieties among the Jews; the Pharifees, the Sadducees, the Effenes, the Judaites, the Therapeutes, the difciples of John, and the difciples of Chrift, whofe little flock God led by paths unknown to human wifdom.

Believers firt had the name of Chriftians at Antioch, about the fixtieth year of our common æra; but, as we fhall fee in the fequel, they were known in the Roman empire by other appellations. Before that time they diftinguifhed themfelves only by the name of Brothers, Saints, and Faithfut. Thus God, who had come down on earth to be a pattern of meeknefs and felf-denial, founded his church on very weak, and apparently mean beginnings, and kept it in the fame humble and mortified condition in which it pleafed him to be born. All the firft believers were of low parentage, obfcure men, working with their own hands. The apoftle Paul intimates, that he fupported himfelf by making of tents. St. Peter raifed to life Dorcas a femptrefs, who ufed to make garments for thie brethren; and the believers of Joppa ufed to hold their meetings in the houfe of one Simon a tanner,
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as may be feen in chap. ix. of the Acts of the Apoftles.
The faithful fecretly fpread themfelves in Greece, and fome went from thence to Rome, mingling with the Jews, to whom the Romans allowed a fynagogue. At firf they continued with the Jews, and fo far practifed circumcifion, that, as we have elfewhere obferved, the fifteen firt bifhops of Jerufalem were every one circumcifed.

The apoftle Paul, on taking with him Timothy, whofe father was a Gentile, circumcifed him himfelf, at the little town of Lyftra; but Titus, his other difciple, would not fubmit to that ceremony. The difciples of Jefus continued in unity with the Jews, till Paul bringing ftrangers into the temple, the Jews raifed a perfecution againft him, and charged him with an intent of fubverting the Mofaic law by the doctrine of Jefus Chrift. It was in order to clear himfelf from this accufation, that James propofed to Paul his having his head fhaved, and purifying himielf in the temple, along with four Jews, who had made a vow to be:fhaved: "Them take, and purify thyfelf with them," fays James to him (Actsch. xxi.) " that all may know, "* that all things whereof they were informed con" cerning thee are nothing, and that thou keepeft " the law of Mofes."

This did not in the leaft abate the charge of impiety and herefy againtt Paul, and his trial was of fome continuance ; but the very articles for which he was indicted evidently fhew, that he was come to Jerufalem to obferve the Jewifh rites. Lewpo

His own words to Titus (Acts chap. xxv.) are, "Neither againft the law of the Jews, nor againft "the temple, have I offended any thing at.all."

The apoftes promulgated Jelus Chrit as a Jew, an obfeiver of the Jewihh law, and fent by God to

When this apoftle fpeaks of Jefus Chrift in his epiftles, he does not make known the ineffable myftery of his confubftantiality with God. "We " are," fays he, in the fifth chapter to the Romans, "delivered by him from the wrath of God; the " gift of God is come to us through the grace im"parted to one only man, Chrift Jefus; Death has "reigned by the fin of one man, and the juft fhall "reign in life by one man, Jefus Chrift". And in chap. viii. "We are heirs of God, and co-heirs " with Chrift:" and in chap. xvi." To God, who " alone is wife, be honour and glory through Jefos "Chrift."- "Ye are Chrift's, and Chrift is "G God's." Cor. i. 3. And I Cor, xv. 27. "All "t things are fubject to him, God certainly except" ed who hath fubjected all things to him."
Some difficulties have occurred in explaining the following paffage in the epiftle to the Philip. pians : "Let nothing be done through vain glory; " but in lowlinefs of mind let each efteem other " better than themfelves; let this mind be in you " which was in Chrift Jefus, who, being in the " form of God, thought it not robbery to be "equal with God" $(K)$. The fenfe of the paffage

- (K) This paffage has been greatly ftrained by the Socinians, fron whom our author feems to have borrowed his remark. monument of antiquity, a letter from the churches of Vienne and Lyon, written in the year 117; part of it turns on the modefty of fome of the faithful: "They would not," fays the letter, " take on "themfelves the augult title of martyrs (for a few " tribulations) imitating Jefus Chrift, who bear" ing the likenefs or image of God, did not think "t the title of God's equal belonged to him." Origen, likewife, in his commentary on John, fays, " Chritt's greatnefs has appeared more refplendent *s in his humiliation, than if he had thought it no "robbery to be God's equal." And, in reality, the contrary explication is a palpable inconfiftency. What can be meant by " believe others your bet" ters, imitate Jefus, who thought it no robbery, "n no ufurpation, to make himfelf God's equal :",

 is almoft literally rendered thus: "Who being in the form " of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God." Now we grant it would be difficult to find the example of modefty and humility meant by St. Paul, and alluded to by the churches of Vienne and Lyon in the above lines alone; but we fhould take the whole paffage together, and what follows will demonitrate the fenfe : " but made himfelf of no " reputation, and took upon him the form of a fervant, " humbled himfelf, and became obedient unto death." That is, though in his divine form or nature, he thought it not robbery, or any ufurpation, to be equal with God ; yet condefcended to take the form of a fervant, that is, human nature, and to leffen himfelf for the falvation of his people. Is not this an unparallelled example of humility and modefty? and is not this the plain obvious fenfe of the above paffage ? And does not this fhew how eary it is to wreft the meaning of any text of Scripture, as the Arians and Socinians have done in the prefent cafe, in order to eyade a very ftrong proof of Chrift's divinity.
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This would be a flat contradiction, overthrowing what precedes; it is giving an example of ambition for a pattern of meeknefs; it is a trefpais againft common-fenfe.

Thus it was that the wifdom of the apoftles founded the infant church, and this wifdom was not difcompofed by the conteft between the apoftles Peter, James, and John, on one fide, and Paul on the other. It happened at Antioch: the apoftle Peter, alias Cephas, alias Simon Barjona, ufed to eat with the Gentile converts, overlooking the ceremonies of the law, and the diftinctions of aliments: he and Barnabas, together with other difciples, made no manner of feruple to eat pork, things ftrangled, or animals which divide the hoof, but do not chew the cud; but a number of Jewifh Chriftians coming there, St. Peter affociated with them, returning to his former abftinence from forbidden meats, and the obfervance of the Mofaic ceremonies.

This procedure has an air of difcretion; he was unwilling to give any offence to his Jewifh brethren ; but St. Paul declared againft him with fome harfhnefs: "I withftood him," fays he, " to " his face, for he was to blame." Gal. ii.

This quarrel appears the more extraordinary in St. Paul, who, as having at firft been a perfecutor, Thould have fhewn more temper ; befides, he himfelf had gone into the temple at Jerufalem to facrifice, had circumcifed his difciple Timothy, and had performed thofe Jewifh rites for which he now upbraids Cephas. St. Jerom will have it that this bickering between Paul and Cephas was only a feint. In his firf Homily, tome iii. he fays, that they acted like two pleaders at the bar, who grow warm, and ufe keen language, only that their clients may have the higher opinion of them; that
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Peter Cephas being appointed to preach to the Jews, and the Gentiles being Paul's department, they affected a quarrel; Paul to gain the Gentiles, and Peter to gain the Jews. But St. Auftin car by no means relifh this opinion. "I am forry," fays he, in his epifte to Jerom, "that fo great a s man fhould patronize a falfity, patronum " mendacil."

Farther, if Peter was appointed apoftle to the Jews, and Paul to the Gentiles, it is very probable that Peter never came to Rome. The Acts of the Apoftles make no mention of Peter's journey into Italy.

However that be, about the year 60 of our æra, the Chriftians began to feparate themfelves from the Jewifh communion; and this was what drew on them fuch cenfures, invectives, and perfecutions from the fynagogues of Rome, Greece, Egypt, and Afia. Their Jewifh brethren not only charged them with impiety and atheifm, but formally excommunicated them three times in their fynagogues, even on the fabbath-day: ftill God upheld them amidft all their trials and fufferings.

Several churches were gradually formed, and before the end of the firit century, the feparation between the Jews and Chriftians became total: but the Roman government knew nothing of this fchifm; neither the fenate nor the emperors of Rome concerning themfelves about the wranglings of a little party, which, till then, God had conducted in obfcurity, and was raifing by infenfible degrees.

Let us take a view of the ftate of the religion of the Roman empire at that time. Myfteries and expiations were in vogue almoft all over the earth. Though the emperors, the grandees, and philefophers, fecretly made a jeft of thofe myfteries, ftill
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it behoved them outwardly to conform to the public worfhip, left they fhould irritate the people, who, in religious affairs, give law to their betters ; or rather thefe, to chain them the fafter, appear to wear the fame chains. Cicero himfelf was initiated into the Eleufinian myfteries. The principal tenet fet forth in thefe myfteries and fplendid feftivals was the knowledge of one only God; and it muft be owned that Paganifm has nothing more pious, and, in every refpect, more admirable, than the prayers and hymns ufed in thofe myfteries, and of which fragments are ftill remaining.

The Chriftians likewife, worhipping only one God, paved the way to their fuccelis in converting Gentiles. Even fome philofophers of Plato's fect became Chriftians : hence it is, that the fathers of the church, for the three firt centuries, were all Platonics.

The inconfiderate zeal of fome did not affect the fundamental truths. St. Juftin, one of the firt fathers, is cenfured for faying, in his Commentary on Ifaiah, that the faints fhould reign a thoufand years on the earth, in full enjoyment of all fenfual delights; he has been blamed for a pofition in his Apology for Chriftianity, that God, after making the earth, left the care of it to angels, that thefe fell in love with the women, and that the iffue of this paffion are the devils. Lactantius and other fathers have been condemned for inventing Sybilline oracles; he affirmed that the Sybilla Erythrea made four Greek verfes, of which the literal interpretation is,

> With five loaves and two fifhes
> He fhall feed five thoufand men in the defert,

And gathering up the remains, With them fhall fill twelve bafkets."

It has likewife been made a crime to the firft Chriftians, that they were for palming on the world fome acroftics, as written by an old Sybil, all beginning with the initial letters of the name of Jefus Chrift, each in its order.
But, notwithftanding this zeal of fome Chriftians, which was not according to knowledge, the church, under a divine fuperintendency, was daily increafing. At firft the Chriftians ufed to celebrate their myfteries in lonely houfes and taverns, and in the night time; from which practice, according to. Minutius Felix, they got the appellation of Lucifugaces; Philo calls them Geffeans; but, during the four firft centuries, they were moft commonly known to the Gentiles by the name of Galileans and Nazarenes ; that of Chriftians has, however, obtained beyond any other.

Neither the hierarchy, nor the rites and ufages, were eftablifhed all at once ; the apoftolic times were different from the fucceeding. St. Paul, in his firf epiftle to the Corinthians, directs them, that, in a public affembly of the brethren, whether circumcifed or uncircumcifed, when feveral prophets were for fpeaking, only two or three fhould fpeak; and in the mean time, if any one had a revelation, the prophet who had begun to fpeak was to be filent.

It is owing to this cuftom of the primitive church that to this day, fome Chriftian fects hold their affemblies without any hierarchy. Every one was then allowed to fpeak in the church, women excepted; what we call the facred mafs, and celebrate in the morning, was the Lord's Supper, originally adminittered in the evening;
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thefe ufages altered as the church gathered ftrength. A more extended fociety required more regulations, and the prudent paftors conformed to times and places.

According to St. Jerom and Eufebius, when the churches had received a form, they gradually came to confift of five different claffes. The fuperintendents, epifcopi, whence are derived the bifhops; the elders of the fociety, prefbyteroi, the priefts, minitters, or deacons ; the Piftoi, believers, or initiated, that is, the baptized, who were admitted to the Agapæs, or feafts of charity ; and the Catechumens and Energumenes, who were candidates for baptifm. None of thefe five orders were diftinguifhed by any particular vefture or garb, nor was any of them bound to celibacy; witnefs Tertullian's dedicating a book to his wife; witnefs the example of the apoftles. No painting or fculpture was feen in their affemblies during the firf three centuries. The Chriftians ufed carefully to conceal their books from the Pagans, and trufted none with them except the initiated; the catechumens were not permitted to fay the Lord's Prayer.

But what moft diftinguifhed the Chriftians, and continued down to our times, was the power of driving out devils with the fign of the crofs. Origen, in his treatife againft Celfus, owns, Numb. 133, that Antinous, who had been deified by the emperor Adrian, wrought miracles in Egypt, by charms and preftiges; but the devils, fays he; quit the body of the poffeffed, on the bare pronunciation of the name of Jefus.

Tertullian goes ftill farther, and from the remote part of Africa where he was, fays, in chap. 33. of his A pologeticon, "If yonr gods do not, in "t the prefence of a true Chriftian, own themfelves "t to be devils, we freely confent that you put
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${ }^{\text {rs }}$ that Chriftian to death. Can there be a more ". evident demonftration?"

Jefus Chrift, indeed, fent his apoftles to drive out devils. The Jews, likewife, in his time, had this power; for, when Jefus had relieved fome demoniacs, and fent the devils into the body of a herd of fwine, and performed many other fuch cures, the Pharifees faid, It is by the power of Belzebub he drives out devils : but Jefus anfwers, " If I drive them out by Belzebub, by whom do "your fons drive them out?". That the Jews boafted of fuch a power is indifputable; they had exorcints and exorcifms. On thefe occafions they ealled on the name of the God of Jacob and of Abraham, and confecrated herbs were put up the demoniac's nofe (Jofephus gives fome account of thefe ceremonies). This power over the devils was taken away from the Jews, and transferred to the Chriftians, who, for fome time paft, feem likewife to have lof it.
This exorcifing power comprehended that of preventing or defeating magical operations; for magic was ever in repute among all nations. All the fathers of the church bear witnefs to it. St. Juftin owns, in his Apologetic, book iii. that the fouls of the deceafed are often evoked, and from thence draws an argument in favour of the foul's immortality. Lactantius, book vii. of his Divine Inflitution, fays, "Should any one dare to deny "s the exiftence of fouls after death, the magician " will foon convince him by making it appear." Ireneus, Clement Alexandrinus, Tertullian, St. Cyprian, all affirm the like. At prefent, indeed, it is otherwife, and we hear no more of magicians or demoniacs ; yet fuch there will be, when it to pleafes God.
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When the congregations of Chriftians were become confiderable, and feveral prefumed to infult the Roman worfhip, the civil power exerted itfelf againft them, and the commonalty, efpecially, were moft violent in perfecuting this new religion. The Jews, who confined themtelves to their fynagogues, fo far from being perfecuted, had particular privileges, and were allowed the exercife of their religion at Rome, as they are at prefent; all the different worfhips in the feveral parts of the empire were tolerated, though the fenate did not adopt them : but the Chriftians making no fecret of their deteftation of all thofe worfhips, and efpecially that of the empire, were feveral times expofed to cruel trials.

One of the firt and moft celebrated martyrs was Ignatius bifhop of Antioch; he was condemned by the emperor Trajan himfelf, then in Afia, and, by his order, fent to Rome to be expofed to beafts, at a time when other Chriftians were under no open moleftation in that city. His accufation is not known; but that emperor being otherwife famous for clemency, St. Ignatius's enemies muft have been very violent in their profecution. The hiftory of his martyrdom relates that the name of Jefus Chrift was found engraven on his heart in golden characters ; and thence it is, that the Chriftians, in fome places, took the name of the Theophori, which Ignatius had given to himfelf.
We have fill a letter of his, in which he intreats the bifhops and Chriftians not to oppofe his martyrdom; whether that, even then, the Chriftians were ftrong enough to attempt a refcue, or that fome of them might have intereft to obtain his pardon. Another very remarkable circumftance is, that the Chriftians of Rome were allowed to go and meet him, when he was brought thither;
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which evidently proves, that the man and not the fect was punifhed.

The perfecutions were fo far from being continued, that Origen, in his third book againit Celfus, fays, "It is eafy to compute what number of Chriftians " have died for their religion; few, and only from " time to time, and by intervals, having died on " that account."

So careful was God of his church, that, in fpite of all its enemies, five councils were held in the firft century, fixteen in the fecond, and thirty in the third; all tolerated: though fometimes they were forbidden, the magiftrates, in their miftaken timidity, fearing that they might produce difturbances. Few of the reports of the proconfuls and prretors who pronounced fentence on the Chriftians are now remaining, and thofe are the only vouchers for afcertaining the accufations brought againft them, and their punifhments.

We have a fragment of Dionyfius of Alexandria Containing an extract of a pro-conful of Egypt, under the emperor Valerian, which is as follows:
" Dionyfius, Fauftus, Maximus, and Cheremon, " being brought into court, the prefect Emilian " thus addrefled them: From my difcourfe with "you, and from the many particulars I wrote to " you, you muft have been fenfible that our " princes have fhewn you great lenity and indul" gence; I again repeat it to you, they refer your " Ife and fafety to yourfelves, and put your fate " into your own hands : they require of you only " one thing, and that no more than what reafon "requires, which is to wormip the patron gods " of their empire, and to forfake that other wor" flip, which is fo contrary to nature and good 4. fenfe.

$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ " Dionyfius
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"Dionyfius anfwered: Eve $y$ one has not tho " fame gods, and every one worfhips thofe whom " he believes to be really fuch.
"The prefect Emilian replied; I fee you are a "fet of ungrateful people, obftinately nighting " the kindnefs which the emperors would fhew " you. Affure yourfelves, no longer fhall you ftay " here ; I will order you away to Cephro, in the " farther part of Lybia; that, by the emperor's " command, is to be the place of your banihh". ment : farther, do not imagine you fhall be al" lowed there to hold your meetings, or to go to " pray in thofe places, which you call Cemeteries; " any fuch thing is abfolutely forbidden you, and " what I will not allow."
Nothing bears more.evident marks of truth than this trial, and it fhews that thefe meetings were occafionally prohibited; as with us, th.e Calvinifts are not aliowed to hold any meeting whatever in Languedoc ; and minifters and preachers have been hanged, and even broke upon the wheel, for their difobedience. Likewife in England and Ireland, the Roman Catholics lie under the fame prohibition, and, on fome occafions, the delinquents have been condemned to die.

Amidtt all the feverity of the Roman laws, God infpired feveral emperors with indulgence towards the Chriftians. Dioclefian himfelf, whom igno:ant peoplereck on a perfecutor, and the firt year of whofe reign is ftill the epocha of martyrdoms, for above eighteen years openly countenanced Chriftianity, and the moft important pofts about his perfon were filled by Chriftians. He even allowed a ftately church to be built oppofite his palace at Ni comedia, where he frequently refided; and, to crown all, he married a Chriftian lady.

## DICTIONARY.

Galerius Cæfar, from fome unhappy prejudices againt the Chriftians, by whom he imagined himfelf ill ufed, induced Dioclefian to demolifi the cathedral at Nicomedia. A Chriftian of more zeal than wifdom tore to pieces the emperor's edict, and this gave rife to that fo famous perfecution, in which, throughout the whole extent of the Roman empire, above two hundred perfons were fentenced to die, exclufive of thofe whom the populace, ever fanatic and inhuman, might maffacre, without any form of law.

- So great was the number of martyrs at different times, that much circumfpeetion is requifite, to avoid weakening the truth of the hiftory of the teal confeffors of our holy religion, by a dangerous inixture of fables and falfe martyrs.

The Benedictine Don Ruinart, otherwife a perfon of learning equal to his zeal, fhould have chofen his authentic acts with more difcretion. A manufcript for being taken from the abbey of St. Benedict on the Loire, or from a convent of Coleftines at Paris, and its agreement with a manufcript of the Feuillans, is not the more authentic; its antiquity mult be evident, it muft have been written by perfons living at the time of the event? and farther munt bear all the marks of truth and genuinenefs.

He might very well have omitted the flory of Romanus, which happened in 303. This young man, it feems, had obtained Dioclefian's pardon at Antioch; yet, as he fays, the judge Afclepiades condemned him to be burnt. The Jews, who had flocked to the execution, mocked young St. Romanus, and floutingly afked the Chriftians how their God, who had delivered Shadrach, Mefhach, and Abednego, fhould fuffer them to be burnt; on this, though the day was remarkably fine, fueh a
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tempeft arofe as immediately quenched the fire: then the judge ordered young Romanus's tongue to be cut out; and the emperor's firft phyffian being prefent, officiounly performed the operation, cutting his tongue off at the root. The young man, who before ftammered, now fpoke very fluently. The emperor was very much furprized at any one's fpeaking fo well without a tongue ; and the phyfician, to repeat the experiment, cut out the tongue of a man who happened to be paffing by, but he died immediately after the operation.

Eufebius, from whom the credulous Ruinart has taken this tale, fhould have had fo much refpect for the real miracles performed in the Old and New Teftament, which no body will ever call in queftion, as not to foift among them fuch fufpicious ftories, which may give offence to the weak.

This laft perfecution did not fpread throughout the whole empire. England had at that time fome glimmerings of Chriftianity, which, however, foon were fmothered, but appeared again under the Saxon kings. The fouthern parts of Gaul and Spain fwarmed with Chriftians. Cæfar Conftantius Chlorus fhewed them very great favour in all thofe provinces. He had a concubine who was a Chriftian, and this no lefs a perfon than Conftantine's mother, or St. Helena, for they were never openly married; and he even difmiffed her in the year 292 , on his marrying the daughter of Maximian Hercules; but fhe retained her afcendency, and made u'e of it to infpire him with a ftrong affection for our holy religion.

Divine Providence, by means apparently human, now brought about the eftablifhment and fuperiority of this church. Conftantius Chlorus dying at York in 306 , and his children by the daughter of a
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Cæfar not being of age to claim the empire, Conftantine boldly got himfelf chofen at York by a body of foldiers, moftly Germans, Gauls, and Britons. It was not likely that fuch an election, made without the confent of the city of Rome, the fenate, and the army could fubfift; but God gave him a complete victory over Maxentius, who had been chofen at Rome, and at length rid him of all his colleagues. It muft be owned that, at firf, he rendered himfelf utterly unworthy of the Divine favour, murdering his wife, his fon, and all his near relations.

What Zozimus relates on this head may be queftioned: he fays, that Conftantine, tortured with remorfe, after fo many crimes, enquired of the pontiffs of the empire, if they.had any expiations for him; and their anfwer was, that they knew of none. Indeed there had been none for Nero, for in Greece he did not prefume to affift at the facred myfteries, Yet the Tauroboli were then in ufe, and it is not eafy to believe, that a defpotic emperor fhould not have found one prieft to grant him expiatory facrifices. Perhaps, it is ftill lefs to be believed, that Conftantine, being taken up with war, actuated by ambition, and furrounded with flatterers, could be at leifure for remorfes. Zozimus adds, that an Egyytian prieft, who came from Spain, having gained admittance to him, affured him of an expiation of all his crimes in the Chriftian religion. Offus, bifhop of Corduba, is fufpected to have been this prieft.

However that be, Conftantine openly communicated with the Chriftians, though he never was above a catechumen, deferring his baptifm to the hour of death. He built the city of Conftantinople, which became the center of the empire, and of the Chritian religion. Now
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 the church begins to affume an auguft appearas ance.It is to be obferved, that from the year 314 , before Conftantine refided in his new city, the Chriftians fmartly revenged themfelves on their perfecutors. They threw Maximian's wife into the Orontes, they murdered all his relations in Egypt and Paleftine, they maffacred all the magiftrates who had diftinguifhed themfelves by their zeal againft Chriftianity. Dioclefian's widow and daughter, who had concealed themfelves at TheffaIonica, were difcovered, and their bodies thrown into the fea. It were to be wifhed that the Chriftians had not given way fo much to the fpirit of revenge; but God, in his vindictive juftice, was pleafed that the hands of the Chriftians, as foon as they were at liberty to act, fhould be dyed with the blood of their unjuft perfecutors.

Conftantine convened at Nicea, oppofite to Conftantinople, the firf oecumenical ccuncil ; and in which Ofius prefided. There was determined the great queftion, which difurbed the church concerning Chrift's divinity : one fide availing themfelves of the opinion of Origen, who, in chap. 6. againft Celfus, fays, "We offer up our " prayers to God, through Jefus, who holds the " middle place between created riatures and the " uncreated nature, who brings to us his Father's " grace, and prefents our prayers to the great God " as our high prieft." They alfo pleaded feveral paffages of St. Paul, fome of which have been mentioned; but their capital foundation was thefe words of Jefus Chrift himfelf: "My Father " is greater than I;" and they held Jefus, as the firft-born of creation, as the moft pure emanation from the Supreme effence, but not precifely as God.
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The other fide, who were the orthodox, produced paffages more fuitable to the eternal deity of Jefus, as this: "My Father and I are the fame " thing;" words which the adverfaries make to * mean no more than " My Father and I have the " fame defign, the fame will; 1 have no other de" fires than thofe of my Father." Alexander bifhop of Alexandria, and after him Athanafius, headed the orthodox: in the oppofite party were Eufebius bifhop of Nicomedia, feventeen other bifhops, the prieft Arius, and many other priefts. The quarrel immediately was inflamed, St. Alexander having called his adverfaries Antichrifts.

At length, after much difputing and wrangling, the Holy Ghoft, by the mouths of two humdred and ninety-nine bifhops againf eighteen, gave the following decifior; "Jefus is the " only Son of God, begotten of the Father, " i. e. of the fubftance of the Father, God of God, " Light of Light, very God of very God, of one " fubftance with theFather; we likewife believe in " the Holy Ghoft, \&x." Such was the form of words in that council, and this inftance fhews the great fuperiority of the bifhops above mere priefts; for, according to two patriarchs of Alexandria, who have written the Chronicle of Alexandria in Arabic, two thoufand perfons of the fecond order fided with Arius. He was exiled by Conftantine, but foon after the like punifhment fell on Athanafius, and Arius was recalled to Conftantinople: with fuch fervour, however, did St. Macarius pray to God that he would deprive Arius of life before he came into the cathedral, that God heard his prayer, and Arius died in 330 , in his way to the church. The emperor Conftantine departed this life in $33 \%$, delivering his will into the hands of an Arian prieft, and expiring in the arms of the chief of the Arians,

Eufebius
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Eufebius bifhop of Nicomedia : he was not baptized till on his death-bed; but he left the church triumphant though divided.

The Athanafians and Eufebians made war on Eeach other with the moft implacable animofity; and what is now called Arianifm was, for a long time, the eftablifhed doctrine in all the provinces of the empire.

Julian the Philofopher, nicknamed the Apoftate, was for accommodating thefe divifions, but failed in his good endeavours.

The fecond general council was held in 381 at Conftantinople. In it was explained what the council of Nice had not thought fit to fay, concerning the Holy Ghoft, adding to the Nicean form, "That the Holy Spirit is the vivifying "Lord, proceeding from the Father, and that * he is worfhipped and glorified with the Father " and the Son."

It was not till towards the ninth century, that the Latin Church gradually enacted, "That the Holy " Ghoft proceeds from the Father and the Son."

In 1431 the third general council, held at Ephefus, determined that Mary was really the mother of God, and that Jefus had two natures and one perfon. Neftorius, bifhop of Conftantinople, for moving that the Bleffed Virgin fhould be called the mother of Chrift, was declared by the council a fecond Judas ; and the two natures were farther confirmed by the council of Chalcedonia.

I fhall flightly pafs over the following ages as pretty well known. Unfortunately every one of thefe difputes occafioned wars, and the church was obliged to be continually in arms. God farther permitted, to exercife the patience of the faithful, that in the ninth century the Greeks and Latins fhould come to an irreconcileable rupture; he farther permitted
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mitted that the Weft fhould be diftracted with twenty-nine bloody fchifms for the fee of Rome.

In the mean time, almoft the whole Grecian church, and the whole of the African church, were enfaved by the Arabs, and afterwards fell under the Turks, who erected Mahomedifm on the ruins of Chriftianity. The Roman church fubfifted, but always defiled with blood, in the courfe of above fix hundred years of difcord between the Weftern empire and the priefthood: but thefe very quarrels encreafed her power; for the German bihops and abbots made themfelves princes, and the popes, by degrees, acquired an abfolute dominion in Rome, and a country of a hundred leagues in extent. Thus God tried his church by humiliations, difturbances, and by profperity and magnificence.
This Latin church, in the fixteenth century, 1 oft half Germany, Denmark, Sweden, England, Scotland, Ireland, Switzerland, and Holland. It has, indeed, by the Spanifh conquefts, gained more ground in America than it has loft in Europe; but if its territories are enlarged, its fubjects are much decreafed.

Divine Providence feemed to defign that Japan, Siam, India, and China, fhould be brought to acknowledge the pape's fupremacy, as an equivalent for the lofs of Afia-minor, Syria, Greece, Egypt, Africa, Rufia, and the countries abovementioned. St. Francis Xavier, a Jefuit, who carried the holy gofpel to the Eaft Indies and Japan, when the Portuguefe went thither for coftly merchandize, performed miracles in plenty, all attefted by his reverend brethren: fome fay that he raifed nine perfons from the dead; but father Ribadeneira, in his Flower of Saints, reduces the number to four, and that's full enough.
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enough. Providence fo eminently profpered this enterprize, that, in lefs than an hundred years, there were thoufands of Roman Catholics within the Japanefe iflands. But the devil was not wanting to fow his tares among the good feed. The Chriftians formed a deftructive piot, which being followed by a cruel war, they were all exterminated in the year 1638 . Hereupon the natives denied all frrangers admittance into their harbours, except the Dutch, accounting them to be mere merchants, and not Chriftians: they were obliged to tread on the crofs before they were allowed to difpofe of their goods; and $t$ is was done in a prifon where they were confined immediately on their arrival at Nangazaki:

The Roman Catholic and Apofolic religion was not profrribed in China till of late, and with lefs cruelty. The Jefuits, indeed, hid not difplayed their fupernatural power at the court of Pekin, by raiing the dead to life; they had Fumbly limited themielves to the teaching of aftronomysthe cafting of camnon, and being mandarins. Their unhappy difputes with fome Domixicans and others gave fuch offence to the great emperor Yontchin, that this prince, though all equity and goocinefs, was fo blind as to put a ftop to the teaching of our holy religion, becaufe our miffionaries did not agree among themfelves. He ordered them to depart the empire, but it was with all the tendernefs of a father, fupplying them with carriages and every conveniency as far as the confines of his dominions.

All Afia, all Africa, half of Europe, the Dutch and Englifh poffefions in America, with the feveral unconquered parts of that vaft continent, all the auftral countries, which make a fifth part of the olobe, are left as a prey to the devil, in verification of that holy faying, "Many are called
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"s but few are chofen." If, as fome learned perfons fay, the number of all the inhabitants of the feveral parts of the globe is about fixteen hundred millions, the holy catholic univerfal Roman church has within its pale near fixty millions, which amounts to more than the twenty-fixth part of the inhabitants of the known world.

## CIRCUMCISION.

HERODOTUS, in relating what he had heard from the Barbarians, among whom he travelled, mentions fome fooleries, and moft of our modern travellers do the like: he, indeed, does not require his readers to believe him, when he is giving an account of Gyges and Candaule; of Arion's being faved by a dolphin; of the confultation of the oracle, to know what Croefus was doing, with its anfwer that he was then boiling a tortoife in a covered pot; of Darius's horfe neighing firt, which gave his mafter the empire ; and of a hundred other fables, which children are highly delighted with, and rhetoricians infert in their collections: but when he fpeaks of what he has feen, of cuftoms which he has inquired into, of antiquities which he has examined, he then fpeaks to men.
*. The inhabitants of Colchis," fays he, in the book Euterpe, "" appear to come originally from "Egypt. This opinion I hotd more from my s\% own obfervation than from any hear-fay; for "I found that in Colchis the antient Egyptians "s were remembered much more than the antient s6 cuftoms of Colchis in Egypt.
st Thofe people who dwell along the Pontus *6. Euxinus faid they were a colony fettled there by "6 Sefoftris; this I conjecfured of myfelf, not only
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* from their fwarthy complexion and frizzled hair, " but becaufe the people of Colchis, Egypt, and
" Ethiopia are the only people on earth who have
" practiled circumcifion from time immemorial:
" for the Phœenicians and the inhabitants of Pa -
" leftine own that they adopted circumcifion from
" the Egyptians. The Syrians, now feated on
" the banks of the Thermodon and Pathenia, to-
" gether with the Macrons their neighbours, ac-
" knowlege, that it is not long fince they con-
"formed to this Egyptian cuftom. It is chiefly
" by this that they are perceived to be of Egyptian
" original.
"As to Ethiopia and Egypt, this ceremony be-
" ing of a very antient date among both nations,
"I cannot fay which was the original; however,
" it is probable that the Ethiopians took it from
"t the Egyptians; as, on the other hand, the Phœ-
" nicians, by their traffic and intercourfe with the
" Greeks, have abolifhed the cuftom of circumcif" ing new-born children."

It is clear from this pafiage of Herodotus (L), that feveral nations had taken circumcifion from Egypt,

* (L) Whether the ceremony of circumcifion was firft introduced into the world by the Jews or by the Egyptians, has been much contefted, and is not very material to the caufe of religion. It is fufficient for us, to know that God inftituted circumcifion as a covenant to Abraham and his feed, without giving ourfelves the trouble of enquiring whether it had been ever adopted by other nations. It feems, however, to be cerrain, that no nation except the Hebrews practifed it univerfally. The priefts, indeed, were obliged to be circumcifed, but the reft of the people were left to their liberty. M. Voltaire has adopted the opinion of Le Clerc upon this fubject, which makes the Hebrews to have derived this ceremony fiom the Ejgytians; and be has alfo made ufe of the very
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Egypt ; but no nation has ever faid that they derived it from the Jews. To which then mult the origin of this cuftom be attributed, to that nation from whom five or fix others acknowledge they hold it, or to another nation much inferior in power, lefs commercial, lefs military, hidden in a nook of Arabia Petrea, and which has never been able to introduce the leaft of its cuftoms in any nation?

The Jews fay that they were firft received into Egypt by way of compaffion and charity ; now is it not very probable, that the little people adopted
argument of that learned writer, viz. The improbability that the Egyptians fhould borrow fuch a ceremony from fo contemptible a nation as the Hebrews. But were the Hebrews fo contemptible in the time of Jofeph ? Or how could they be fo contemptible after their departure from Egypt, when the inhabitants of that country beheld the Deity operating miracles in their favour? Befides, our author is miftaken, when he fays that the Jews were not circumcifed the whole time they refided in Egypt, viz., 205 years. The Scripture tells us, that thofe " who came out of Egypt had been circumcif" ed," but were dead; and " thofe who had been born in the " Defert, were not circumcifed," becaufe they were feparated from other nations, and had no neceffity for any mark to diftinguifh them, till they entered the Land of Canaan. Then Jofhua circumcifed all the people, and the Lord faid unto him, "This day have I rolled away the reproach of Egypt "from you," "opprobrium Egypti ;" the plain fenfe of which is not, as our author fays, 1 have delivered you from what was a reproach to you among the Egyptians; but I have delivered you from what rendered you like the Egyptians, and redounded to your fhame and confufion, by cutting off a little of the forefkin, which was not obferved by that unclean and uncircumcifed nation. Is not this a more natural conftruction than that of our author? Befides, what occafion was there for delivering them from what had been a reproach to them among the Egyptians, when they had quitted Egypt, and were gone to refide in another country? They had no need to znind the reproach of the Egyptians in the land of Canaan.
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 a practice of the great people, and that the Jews joined in fome of their mafters cuftoms?Clement of Alexandria relates that Pythagoras, when travelling in Egypt, could not gain admittance to the myfteries till he was circumcifed; confequently there was no being an Egyptian prieft without circumcifion. This prieftly order fubfirted when Jofeph came into Egypt; the government was of great antiquity, and the old ceremonies of Egypt were obferved with the moft fcrupulous precifenefs.

The Jews acknowledge that they continued in Egypt two hundred and five years; they fay that in all that time they were not circumcifed; this fhews that, during thofe two hundred and five years, the Egyptians did not borrow circumcifion from the Jews : is it then to be fuppofed that they borrowed this cuftom, after the Jews, according to their own teftimony, run away with all the veffels which they had fo kindly lent them? Will a mafter adopt the principal mark of his flave's religion, after robbing him, and running away? Human nature is not of fuch a make.

The book of Jofhua fays, that the Jews were circumcifed in the Defert: "I have delivered you " from what was a reproach to you among the "Egyptians (M)" Now what elle could this reproach be to people hemmed in between the Pheenicians, Arabians, and Egyptians, but that for which thofe three nations detpifed them? How is this repreach removed? by taking away from them a little of the forefkin. Is not this the natural import of that paffage?

[^8]
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 $1 \quad 113$ The book of Genefis fays that Abraham had been circumcifed before; but Abraham having travelled into Egypt, which had, for a long time, been a flourifhing monarchy, governed by a powerful king, circumcifion may not improbably be fuppofed to have obtained in a kingdom of fuch antiquity, before the Jewifh nation was formed. Farther, the circumcifion of Abraham terminated in himfelf; it was not till Jofhua's time his pofterity underwent that ceremony.Now, before Jofhua, the Ifraelites, by their own confeffion, came into many of the Egyptian cuftoms; they imitated that nation in feveral facrifices and ceremonies, as in fafting on the eve of Ifis's feafts, in ablutions, in fhaving the priefts heads, likewife the burning of incenfe, the branched chandelier, the facrifice of the red heifer, the purifying with hyfop, the abftaining from pork, the abomination of the kitchen utenfils of ftrangers: all thefe things bear witnefs, that the little Hebrew people, whatever averfion they might have to the great Egyptian nation, had retained a vaft number of their old mafters cuftoms. The driving of the goat Azazel into the defert, as laden with the fins of the people, is a plain imitation of an Egyptian practice; the very rabbins allow, that the word Azazel is not Hebrew. Where, then, is the improbability of the Hebrews having imitated the Egyptians in circumcifion; it was no more than the Arabs their neighbours had done?

It is not at all ftrange that God, having fanctified baptifm, which is of fuch an antient date among the Afiatics, fhould likewife have fanctified Circumcifion, of no lefs antiquity among the Africans. If has already been noticed, that it is in his power to annex his graces to fuch figns as he fhall pleafe to chufe.
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Again, the Jews, ever fince their circumcifion ander Jofthua, have conftantly retained this cuftom down to the prefent time. The Arabians have alfo adhered to it ; but the Egyptians, who, at firft, circumcifed both male and female children, in procefs of time difcontinued this operation on the females, and, at length, limited it to priefts, aftrologers, and prophets. This we learn from Clement of Alexandria and Origen. None of the Ptolemies appear to have been circumcifed.
nt The Latin authors, who contemptuoufly call the Jews "Curtus apella; credat Judæus apella, curis ti Judæi,", give no fuch epithets to the Egyptians. At prefent the whole people of Egypt are circumcifed, but from another reafon, becaufe Mahometifm borrowed the antient circumcifion practifed in Arabia.

It is this Arabian circumcifion which has been introduced among the Ethiopians, where both females and males are fill circumcifed.

It muft be acknowledged that this ceremony of circumcifion feems, at firt, fomething odd; but let it be obferved, that the oriental prielts confecrated themfelves to the deities by particular marks. An ivy leaf was engraved with a bodkin on Bacchus's priefts. Lucian tells us, that the votaries of the goddefs Ifis made certain characters on their writs and necks; the priefts of Cibele emafeulated themfelves.

It is very likely that the Egyptians, who revered the inftrument of generation, and carried the figure of it in pompous proceflions, took it into their heads to offer up to Ifis and Ofiris, by whom every thing on earth was engendered, a frmall part of that member, by which thofe deities had appointed that the human fpecies fhould be perpetuated. The eaftern cuitoms are fo extremely different from
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ours, that, to a man of ever fo little reading, nothing fhould appear ftrange. A Parifian, on being told that the Hottentots cut out one of their mate childrens tefticles, is quite aftonifhed; and perhaps a Hottentot is equally furprized that the Parifians retain both.

## CONVULSION FITS.

ABOUT the year 1724 , dancings were feen in St. Medard's church yard; many were the miracles wrought there; one the duchefs Du Maine has immortalized in a fong:
"Un décroteur à la royale,
Du talon gauche eftropié,
Obtint pour grace feeciale
D'être boiteux de l'autre pied."
The fubftance of which is: that "e tip-top "Shoe japanner, lame in his left foot, obtained, as " a fpecial favour, that his right fhould become as "bad."

The miraculous fits are known to have continued till a guard was placed at the church-yard.
"De par le roi défenfé à Dieu De plus frequenter en ce lieu."
"God is hereby forbdden, in the king's name, " ever more to come within this place."

The Jefuits, as is likewife known, being unable to perform any fuch miracles, fince theirXavier had exhaufted all the fociety's gifts by raifing niine perfons from the dead, by way of counterpoife to the cre-
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dit of the Janfenifts engraved a print of Chrift in a Jefuit's habit ; and it is farther known that a wag of the Janfenift party put under the print,
" Admirez l'artifice extrème De ces moines ingenieux; Ils vous ont habillé comme eux, Mon Dieu, de petr qu'on ne vous aime."
"The contrivance of thefe cunning monks ! " That thou maytt not be loved, O God, they "s have dreffed thee up in their garb."

The Janfenifts, the better to prove that Jefus Chrift could never have put on the habit of a Jefuit, filled Paris with convulfions, and drew every body to their party. Carré de Montgeron, a counfellor of parliament, went and delivered to the king a collection in quarto of all their miracles, attefted by a thoufand witneffes; for which, with very good reafon, he was put under confinement, and obliged to go thro' a regimen to bring him to his fenfes : but truth is always too ftrong for perfecution; the miracles went onfor thirty years fucceffively, without any intermiffion. Sifter Rofe, fifter Illuminated, fifter Promifed, fifter Devout, were perpetually fent for to people's houfes : they ufed to have themfelves whipped, and no marks of it were to be feen the next day. They could bear, without any fhew of pain, to be beaten on the breafts with fticks (no wonder; fince it had been well fenced for the exhibition of fuch a farce); they were laid before a great fire, with their faces copioully plaiftered over with pomatum, and did not burn. At length, as time improves all arts, the fcenery ended in fticking fwords into their flefhy parts, and crucifying them; even a celebrated divine had likewife
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the honour of being extended on the crofs, and all this to convince the world that a certain bull was abfurd and ridiculous, which might have been done at a much cheaper rate. Yet have both Janfenifts and Jefuits, one and all, leagued together againft the Spirit of Laws, and againft . . . . ., and againft . . . . . ., and againft . . . . ., and againft . . . . .; and, after fuch doings, we have the face to laugh at the Laplanders, the Samoyedes, and the Negroes !

## COUNTRY.

$A$Country is compofed of feveral families; and as felf-love generally leads us to ftand up for, and fupport our particular families, when a contrary intereft does not intervene ; fo, from the like felflove, a man ftands up for his town or village, which he calls his native home.

The more extended this native home is, the lefs we love it, for divifion weakens love; it is impoffible in nature to have a tender love for a family fo numerous as fcarce to be known.

The candidate, amidft his ambitious intrigues to be chofen ædile, tribune, prætor, conful, dictator, makes a noife about his love for his country, whereas it is only himfelf that he loves; every one is for fecuring to himfelf the freedom of lying at his own home, and that it fhall be in no man's power to turn him out; every one is for being fure of his life and fortune. Thus the whole fociety coinciding in the like wifhes, private intereft becomes that of the public ; and an individual, in praying only for himfelf, prays in effect for the whole community.
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Every fate on the whole earth indifputably has originally been a republic; it is the natural progrefs of human nature; a number of families at firft entered into an alliance to fecure one another againft bears and wolves; and that which had plenty of grain, bartered with another which had nothing but wood.

On our difcovery of America, all the feveral tribes throughout that valt part of the world were found divided into republics; but there were only two kingdoms. Of a thoufand nations, only two were fubdued.

It was antiently fo on our fide of the globe : before the petty kings of Etruria and Rome farted up, Europe was full of republics. Africa has fill its republics; Tripoli, Tunis, Algiers, which lie fo far north as, in fome meafure, to confine on Europe, are commonwealths of robbers. The Hottentots, a people on the fouth of Africa, fill live, as men are faid to have lived in the primitive ages of the world, free, all equal, no mafters, no fubjects, no money, and few or no wants; their fheep fupply them both with food and raiment, and their manfions are huts of wood and earth: they are the very filthieft of men, and with a moft rank fmell; but this they are not fenfible of, and they both live and die more quietly than we.
Europe has eight republics without monarchs; Venice, Holland, Switzerland, Genoa, Lucca, Ragufa, Geneva; St. Marino. Poland, Sweden, and England, miay be looked on as republics under a king, but Poland alone calls itfelf fuch.

Now, which would you have your country to be ? a monarchy or a republic? This is a quettion which has been bandied to and fro thefe four thoufand years. Afk the rich which is beft, and
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they will unanimounly vote for an ariftocracy; enquire of the people and they will one and all cry up a democracy : as for royalty, it is only kings who will prefer it. How then comes it to pafs :at almoft the whole earth is governed by monarchs ? Afk the rats who propofed to hang a bell about the cat's neck. But the true reafon is, that men very rarely deferve to be their own governors.

It is a fad cafe, that often there is no being a good patriot without being an enemy to other men. The elder Cato, that worthy patriot, in giving his vote in the fenate, ufed always to fay, Such is my opinion; and down with Carthage. A great part of patriotifm is thought to confift in wifhing one's native couutry a flourifhing trade and diftinguifhed fucceffes in war. Now it is manifeft, that for one country to gain, another muft lofe, and its fucceffes in war muft of courfe fpread calamity in other parts. Such, then, is the ftate of human affairs, that to wifh an increafe of grandeur to one's native country is wifhing harm to its neighbours. He who is a citizen of the univerfe would have his country neither greater nor fmaller, richer nor poorer.
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## C R I TICISM.

IDo not here intend to fpeak of the criticifm of fcholiafts, who pretend to reftore a word of an antient author, very well underftood before : neither fhall I meddle with thofe real critics, who, as far as is poffible, have cleared up antient hiftory and philofophy. The fatirical critics are the men I am now to deal with.

A man of letters one day reading Taffo with me, fell on this ftanza :
> "Chiama gli habitator dell' ombre eterne Il rauco fuon della Tartarea tromba, Treman le fpaziofe atre caverne, E l'aer cieco a quel rumor rimbomba; Néfi ftridendo mai dalle fuperne Regioni del cielo il fulgor piomba. Né fi fcoffa giammai trema la terra, Quando i vapori in fen gravida ferra."

He afterwards read, as they fell under his eye, feveral ftanzas of the like force and harmony : how, cried he, is this what your Boileau is pleafed to call tinfel ! Is it thus he ftrives to depreciate a great man who lived a hundred years before him, the better to exalt another great man who lived fixteen hundred years before him, and who would not have failed to have done juftice to Taffo ?

Be eafy, faid I to him, let us look into Quinaut's operas : what we met with at the opening of the book, could not but incenfe us againft the petulancy of criticifm ; it was the following paffage in the admirable opera of Armida.
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## Sidonie.

" La haine eft affreufe et barbare, L'amour contraint les coeurs dont il s'empare, A fouffrir des maux rigoureux. Si votre fort eft en vôtre puiffance, Faites choix de l'indifference, Elle affure un fort plus heureux.

## Armide.

Non, non, il ne m'ett pas poffible
De paffer de mon trouble en un état paifible;
Mon coeur ne fe peut plus calmer;
Renaud m'offenfe trop, il n'eft que trop aimable, C'eft pour moi déformais un choix indifpenfable

De le häir ou de l'aimer."
We went through the whole piece, and it muft be owned that the beauty of Taffo's genius is enhanced by Quinaut: Well, faid I to my friend, after this could you think that Boileau fhould continually make it his bufinefs to expofe Quinaut as a wretched poetafter? He even brought Lewis XIV. to believe, that this beautiful, foft, pathetic, elegant writer owed all his merit to Lully's mufic. That I can very eafily account for, anfwered my friend; it was not the mulician Boileau was jealous of, but the poet: however, what fignifies the faying of a man who, to tag a rhime to a line ending in AuT, fometimes fell foul of Bourfaut, fometimes of Henaut, fometimes of Quinaut, according to the terms on which he ftood with thofe gentlemen? But, that your warmth againft injuttice may not cool, only go to the window, and view that grand front of the Louvre, by which Perraut has gained immortal reputation:
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this ingenious artift happened to be brother to a very learned member of the academy, between whom and Boileau there had been fome literary wrangling, and for this, truly, Mr. Boileau tranfmits this man to pofterity with the character of a paltry architect.

My friend, after a paufe, replied with a figh, this is the temper of man. The duke de Sully, in his Memoirs, fpeaks of the cardinal d'Offat and fecretary Villeroy as bad minifters. Louvois ftrove to fupprefs in himfelf any efteem for the great Colbert : they, faid I, did not print any thing againft each other whilft living, that is a folly fcarce feen in any but divines, fcholars, and lawyers.

We had a man of merit, Lamotte, who has written very fine ftanzas.
> "Quelquefois au feu qui la charme, Refifte une jeune beauté, Ft contre elle meme elle s'arme, D'une pénible fermeté. Helas cette contrainte extrême La prive du vice qu'elle aime, Pour fuir la honte qu'elle háit: Sa feverité n'eft que fafte, Et l'honneur de paffer pour chafte La réfout à l'étre en effet."

"A blooming beauty fometimes withftands © a pleafing paffion, and to prompting nature op" pofes a painful firmnefs. This violent conftraint, *6 to avoid dreaded 'fhame, preferves her from the "c vice to which her heart is attached ; her purity ${ }^{6}$ was pride and fhow; and the reputation of chaf"t tity determined her againft the violation of it."
DICTIONARY.
4. En vain ce févere ftoique

Scus mille defauts abattu,
Se vante d'une ame héroique,
Toute vouée a la vertu;
Ce n'eft point la vertu qu'il aime,
Mais fon coeur yvre de lui meme
Voudroit ufurper les autels;
Et par fa fageffe frivole
Il ne veut que parer lidole
Qu'il offre au culte des mortels."
" This auftere Stoic, the flave of a multitude of " vices, boatts of heroifm, of a foul abfolutely con" fecrated to virtue. Abfurd conceit! Virtue has " none of his love; but his inflated heart claims " altars; and the fole fcope of his varnifhed wif, "dom is to deck the idol for univerfal worfhip."
> "Les champs de Pharfale \& d'Arbelle
> Ont vû triompher deux vainqueurs,
> L'un et l'autre digne modele
> Que fe propofent les grands coeurs,
> Mais le fucces a fait leur gloire;
> Et fi le fceau de la victoire
> N'eût confacré ces demi-dieux, Alexandre aux yeux du yulgaire N'aurait étéqu'un Téméraire, Et Cefar qu'un feditieux."

"Pharfalia and Arbella's plains beheld the tri" umph of two victors, the model and admiration " of all martial fpirits; but to fuccefs they owe "their whole glory; for had not victory confe" crated thefe demi-gods, Alexander would have " been accounted a Hotfpur, and Cæfar an incen" diary."
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This amiable author, fays he, more than once arrayed philofophy in the graceful attire of poefy. Had he always written fuch ftanzas, he would have been the chief lyric poet among us; yet whilft fuch beautiful pieces came from him, a cotemporary of his could call him a Green Goofe, and in another place fay, "the tirefome beauty of his " propofitions;" and in another, "they have but " one fault, they fhould have been been written in "c profe; one fees with half an eye they came from " Quinaut."

He purfues him every where, every where charges him with drinefs and want of harmony.

Perhaps you would be glad to fee the odes writen fome years after by this fame cenfor, who tried La Motte in fo arbitrary a manner, and decried him with fuch contempt. Here are fome fpecimens.

> "Cette influence fouveraine N'eft pour lui qu'une illuftre chaine, Qui l'attache au bonheur d'autrui ; Tous les brillans qui l'embelliffent, Tous les talents qui l'annobliffent, Sont en lui, mais non pas à lui."
"This fovereign power is but a glittering chain, " binding him to the happinefs of others; all the " brilliant qualities which adorn him, all the ta" lents which ennoble him, though in him, are not "h his."
"Il n'eft rien que le temps n'abforbe ne devore, Et les faits qu'on ignore,
Sont bien peu differents des faits non avenus."
"Nothing efcapes the devouring jaws of time; " and what is unknown differs very little from " what never happened.".
"La bonté qui brille en elle
De fes charmes les plus doux,
Eft une image de celle,
Qu'elle voit briller en vous.
Et par vous feule enrichie,
Sa politeffe affranchie
Des moindres obfcurités,
Eft la lueur refléchie,
Des vos fublimes clartés."
"That goodnefs which in her difplays its moft " engaging charms, is the image of that which, " admiring, the beholds in you; and by you alone "enriched: her politenefs, freed from the leaft "darkening fpot, is a light reflected from your "refplendency."
"Ils ont vû par ta bonne foi
De leurs peuples troublés d'effroi
La crainte heureufement déçue.
Et déracinée à jamais
La haine fi fouvent reçue,
En furvivance de la paix."
" Through thy probity they have feen the ter" rors of their appaled people happily miftaken; " and hatred, often received in reverfion for peace, " for ever extirpated."
"Dévoile à ma vüe empreffée
Ces deités d'adoption, Synonimes de la penfée, Symboles de l'abitraction."
" Unveil to my eager fight thofe adopted dei"ties, fynonimous with cogitation, emblems of "abftractednefs."
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"N'eft ce pas une fortune,
Quand d'une charge communé,
Deux moitiés portent le faix?
Que le moindre le réclame,
Et que du bonheur de l'ame
Le corps feul faffe les fraix."
"Is it not a rare happinefs, where, in a burthen "common to two, the leaft infifts on bearing the "whole load? Thus the body lays itfelf out for "t the gratifications of the foul."

To be fure, faid my fudicious philologitt, this is wretched trafh to be publifhed as models, after criticifing a writer with fo much fcurrility. The author had done much better to have left his adverfary in the quiet enjoyment of his merit, and have retained his own fhare of it ; but alas ! the "genus "irritabile vatum," is ftill as fick as ever with the overflowings of an acrid bile. The public, its views extending no farther than amufement, overlooks thefe trifles in men of talents. It fees, in an allegory called Pluto, fome judges condemned to be flead, and fitting in hell, on a feat covered with their fkins, inftead of the lillies $(N)$ : the reader never troubles himfelf whether the judges deferved it or not, or whether the plaintiff who had fummoned them before Pluto be in the right or wrong ; he reads thofe verfes purely for his pleafure, and if they give him pleafure that is all he defires : if the allegory difgutts him, he fhuts the book, and would not ftir a foot to have the fentence confirmed or annulled.
(N) The arms of France embroidered on the covering of the benches in courts of juftice.

## DICTIONARY.

Racine's inimitable tragedies have been all criticifed, and very badly, becaufe the critics were rivals. The competent judges of an art are the artifts ; true, but when is it the artifts are not corrupted?

An artift very fkilful, and, withal, a man of tafte, without either prejudice or envy, would make an excellent critic ; but a hard matter it is to find fuch a man.

## DELUGE.

THAT ever the whole globe was at one time totally overflowed with water, is phyfically impofible. The fea may have covered all parts fucceffively, one after the other; and this could be only in a gradation fo very flow, as to take up a prodigious number of ages. The fea, in the fpace of five hundred years, has withdrawn from Aiguesmortes, from Frejus, and from Ravenna, once large ports, leaving about two leagues of land quite dry. This progreflion fhews, that, to make the circuit of the globe it would require two millions two hundred and fifty thoufand years. A very remarkable circumftance is, that this period comes very near to that which the earth's axis would take up in raifing itfelf again, and coinciding with the equator; a motion fo far from improbable, that, for thefe fifty years palt, fome apprehenfion has been entertained of it, but it cannot be accomplifhed under two millions three hundred thoufand years.

The ftrata, or beds of fhells, every where found, fixty, eighty, and even a hundred leagues from the fea, prove, beyond all difpute, that it has infenfibly Cepofited thofe maritime products on grounds which
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which were once its fhores: but that the water, at one and the fame time, covered the whole earth, is a phyfical abfurdity, which the laws of gravitation, as well as thofe of fluids, and the deficiency of the quantity of water, demonftrate to be impoffible. Not that any thing here is meant in the leaft to affect the great truth of the univerfal deluge as related in the Pentateuch ; on the contrary, this is a miracle, and therefore to be believed; it is a miracle, therefore could not be effected by phyfical caufes.

The whole hiftory of the deluge is miraculous. It is a miracle that forty days rain thould have fubmerged the four parts of the world ; that the waters rofe fifteen cubits above all the higheft mountains : it is a miracle that there fhould have been cataracts, doors, and apertures in heaven; it is a miracle that all animals fhould have repaired to the ark, from the feveral parts of the world ; it is a miracle that Noah fhould have found fodder for them during ten months; it is a miracle that all the creatures, with the provifions, could be contained in the ark ; it is a miracle that moft of them did not die there; it is a miracle that, at going out of the ark, fuftenance could be found for man and beaft; it is likewife a miracle, that one Pelletier fhould have conceited that he had explained how all the feveral kinds of creatures might very naturally be contained and fed in the ark.

Now, the hiftory of the deluge being the moft miraculous thing ever heard of, it is idle ( O ) to go about

- (O) Our author is miftaken, when he fays it is idle to go about elucidating the hiftory of the deluge, and that the whole muft be refolved into a miracle. That the divine affiftance mutt be called in on this occafion may be allowed;
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about elucidating it ; there are myfteries which we believe through faith; and faith confifts in believing what realon does not believe: which again is another miracle.
but that every part of the hiftory is miraculous we cannot affent to. The difficulty of finding out fuch a prodigious quantity of water as was requifite for covering all the globe to fifteen cubits above the higheft mountains, has made fome modern writers imagine, that this deluge overwhelmed only one part of the earth. But all antiquity believed that the deluge was univerfal, and the Scripture expreffes it in the frongeft terms. Had not all the earth been covered with the waters of the deluge, the building of the ark would have been needlefs. It would have been fufficient for God to have warned Noah to go to fome other country, which was not to have been overwhelmed with water. Befides, it would have required no lefs a miracle to keep up the waters in one part of the earth, than to drown the whole. As to the difficulty of finding out waters fufficient to overflow the world, without having recourfe to a miracle, is it not very rational to make anfiver, that as, in the beginning, the whole mafs of the earth was covered with waters, which retired into the cavities. of the earth, or were drawn up in clouds ; fo thofe cavities having thrown out thofe waters by the motion of the earth, and the clonds being diffolved into water, the fame quantity of water meeting, might again coyer the globe of the earth. This is what Mofes meant, when he faid, "That " the fountains of the deep and the cataracts of heaven were " opened." It muft be owned, indeed, that to draw this quantity of water out of the abyfs on the furface of the earth required the exertion of the Divine Power. The other difficulties about Noah's ark may be eafily folved. That the fpace in fuch a vefiel was abundantly fufficient to contain both Noah and his family, as well as the animals, and all neceffary provifions for them, appears moft evidently, whatever our author may pretend, from the geometrical calculations of learned men, as Bifhop Wilkins and others. See the Univ. Hift. vol. i. p. 220, as alfo Wilkins's Effay towards a real Charaeier, and Peletier Differt. fur l'Arche de Noè.
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Thus the ftory of the univerfal deluge is like that of the tower of Babel, of Balaam's als, of the fall of Jericho at the blowing of the trumpets, of the waters turned into blood, the paffage of the Red Sea, and all the miracles which God was pleated to perform in behalf of his chofen people. Thefe are depths unfathomable by the line of human reafon.

## DESTINY.

OF all the books which have reached our times, the moft anrient is (P) Homer: here we become acquainted with the manners of profane antiquiry, with heroes and gods, as rude and unpolifhed as if made in the likenefs of man; but there, en the other hand, we meet with the elements of philofophy, and efpecially the notion of Deftiny, no lefs Jord of the gods, than the godsare lords of the world.

Jupiter would fain fave Hector; he confules the deftinies; he weighs the fates of Hector and Achilles in fcales, and finding that the Trojan muft abfolutely be flain by the Greek, he is fenfible all oppofition to it would be fruitlefs: and from that moment Apollo, Hector's guardian genius, is obliged to forfake him (Iliad, lib. xxii.) and though Homer, according to the privilege of
(P) This is a miftake, the hiftory of Mofes is the moft ancient book in the world: for whether Mofes was cotemporary with Inachus, the finf king of Argos, who lived 600 years Fefore the Trojan var ; or whether he did not live till the days of Cecrops, kirg of Athens, who reigned 300 years before that war, it is certain he is much more ancient than Fionier or Hefiod.
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antiquity; often interlords his poem with quite op. pofite ideas, yet is he the firft in whom the notion of deftiny occurs ; fo that it mult be fuppofed to have been current in his time.

This notion of deftiny was not received by the Jewifh Pharifees till feveral ages after; for the Pharifees themfelves, who, among that infignificant people, were the principal literati, were but of a modern date. At Alexandria they adulterared the ancient Jewifh opinions with many Stoic tenets. St. Jerom even fays, that their feet is but little prior to our vulgar æra.

Philofophers never ftood in need of Homer, or the Pharifees, to be convinced that every thing is done by immutable laws, that every thing is fettled, and that every thing is a neceffary effect.

Either the world fublifts by its own nature, by its phyfical laws, or a Supreme Being has formed it by his primitive laws; in either cafe, thefe laws are immutable ; in either cafe every thing is necelfary: heavy bodies gravitate towards the center of the earch, and cannot tend to remain in the air; pear-trees can never bear pine-apples; the inftinct of a fpaniel can never be the inftinct of an oftrich; every thing is arranged, fet in motion, and limited.

Man can have but a certain number of teeth, hairs, and ideas ; and a time comes when he neceffarily lofes them: it is a contradiction that what was yelterday has not been, and what is to-day fhoutd notbe; no lefs a contradiction is it that a thing which is to be flould not come to pafs.

If thou couldft give a turn to the deftiny of a fly, I fee no reafon why thou mighteft not as well determine the deftiny of all other flies, of all ocher animals, of all men, and of all nature ; fo that, at laft, thou wouldft be more powerful chan God himfelf.
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It is common for weak people to fay, fuch a phyfician has cured my aunt of a moft dangerous illnefs; he has made her live ten years longer tharf fhe would. Others as weak, but, in their own opinion, very wife, fay, the prudent man owes his fortune to himfelf.
"Nullum numen abert, fi fit prudentia, fed nos Te facimus fortuna Deam cœeloque locamus."
But the prudent man oftentimes is crufhed by his deftiny, inftead of making it; it is their deftiny that renders men prudent.

Some profound politicians affirm, that, had Cromwell, Ludlow, Ireton, and about a dozen more parliamentarians, been made away with a week before the cutting off Charles the Firft's head, that king might have lived longer, and have died in his bed. They are in the right, and may far: ther add, that, had all England been fwallowed up by the fea, that monarch would not have ended his days on a fcaffold at Whitehall, near the Banqueting houfe; but by the arrangement of occurrences Charles was to have his head cut off.

Cardinal d'Oflat was unquellionably a man of more prudence than yon lunatic in Bedlam ; but is it not manifeft that the wife d'Offat's organs were of another texture than that madman's? So a fox's organs differ from thofe of a crane or a lark.
The phyfician has faved thy aunt. Allowed; but herein he certainly did not reverfe the order of nature; he conformed to it. It is evident that thy aunt could not hinder her being born in fuch a town, and having a certain illinefs at fuch a time; that the phyfician could be no-where but in the town where he was; that thine aunt was to fend for him; and that he was to prefribe for her thote medicaments which have effected her cure.

## D I CTIONARY.

A peafant imagines that the hail which has fallen in his ground is purely matter of chance; but the philofopher knows that there is no fuch thing as chance; and that by the conftitution of the world, it muft neceffarily have hailed that day, in that very place.

Some, alarmed at this truth, are for halving it, as ftraitened debtors offer half to their creditors, defiring fome forbearance for the remainder. There are, fay they, neceffary events $(Q)$, and others which are not fo: but it would be odd, indeed, that one part of this world were fixed and not the other; that fome things which happen were to happen, and that others which happen were not neceffarily to happen. On a clofe examination, the doctrine which oppofes that of deftiny, muft appear loaded with abfurdities, and contrary to the idea of an eternal providence: but many are deftined to reafon wrongly, others not to reafon at all, and others to perfecute thofe who do reafon.

You afk me what, then, becomes of liberty? I underfand you noc. I know nothing of that liberty you fpeak of, nor yourfelf, indeed; elle you would not be fo long controverting about its nature. If you will, or, rather, if you can, calmly examine with me what it is, turn to the letter $L$.

[^9]
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"Somnia quæ ludunt animos volitantibus umbris,
Non delubra deum, nec ab æthere numina mittunt, Sed fua quifque facit."

BUT how fo, when all the fenfes are deadened in fleep, is there one within ftill alive and active (R)? What! when your eyes have loft their fight, and your ears their hearing, do you fill fee

- (R) M. Voltaire does not feem to be fufficiently acquainted with the caufe of dreams, or to have rightly examined that part of natural philofophy. In order to clear up this matter, we fhould previoufly inquire into the nature of waking and fleeping. Waking confifts in this, that the animal fpirits being at that time in great plenty in the brain, and capable of being eafily determined to run from thence through all the nerves, they fill them in fuch a manner as to keep all the capillaments of them fretched and diftinct from each other. Sleeping, on the contrary, is caufed by a fcarcity, or failure, of fpirits ; fo that the pores of the brain, through which the fpirits ufually run into the nerves, not being kept open by the continual flowing of the fpirits, fhut up of themfelves. The fpirits being diflipated, and no new ones flowing in, the capillaments of the nerves will become foft, and cleave to each other; and if, at that time, any object makes an impreffion on any part of the body, thofe nerves cannot tranfnit it to the brain. And hence it follows, that there can be no fenfation. But, it may happen that, while we are afleep, fome of the animal pirits which are in the brain may fhake fome of the parts of the brain, in the fame manner as they would be fhaken by an external object affecting the corporeal fenfes ; then there will be a fenfation raifed in the foul, and fuch a fort of perception is called a dream.
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and hear in your dreams? The dog hunts in his dreams, barks, chales his prey, and feafts on his reward. That the poet verfifies in his neep, the mathematician views figures, the metaphyfician reafons sight or wrong, we have many ftriking inftances.

Is this the action only of the body's organs, or is it merely the foul, which, now freed from the power of the fenfes, atts in the full enjoyment of its properties.

If the organs alone produce our dreams by night, why not our ideas by day? If it be merely the foul, acting of iffelf, and quiet by the furpenfion of the fenies, which is the only caufe and fubject of all your neeping ideas, whence is it, that they are almoft ever irrational, irregular, and incoherent? Can it be, that, in the time of the foul's moft abftract quietude, its imagination fhould be the moft confuled? Is it fantaftical when free? Were it born with metaphyfical ideas, as fome witers, who were troubied with waking dreams, have affirmed, its pure and luminous ideas of being, of infinitude, and of all primary principles, naturally fhould awake in her with the greateft energy when the body is feeping, and men fhould philofophife beft in their dreams.

Wharever fyitem you efpoufe, however you may labour to prove that memory flirs the brain, and your brain your foul, you muft allow that, in all your ideas in feep, you are intirely paffive; your will has no fhare in thofe images. Thus it is clear, that you can think feven or eight hours on a ftretch, without having the leaft inclination to think, and even without being certain that you do think. Confider this, and tell me what is man's compound?

Superftition has always deale much in dreams; nothing, indeed, was more natural. A man deep-
$\mathrm{K}_{4}$
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ly concerned about his miftrefs who lies ill, dreams that he fees her dying ; and the next day fhe actually dies : then, to be fure, God had given him previous knowledge of his beloved's death.

A commander of an army dreams of gaining a battle; gains it; then the gods had intimated to him that he fhould te conqueror.
It is only fuch dreams as meet with fome accomplifhment that are taken notice of, the others we think not worth remembrance. Dreams make full as great a part of antient hiftory as oracles.

The end of ver. 26. cap. xix. of Leviticus, the Vulgate renders thus: "Thou fhalt not obferve "dreams $(S)$." But the word dream is not in the Hebrew ; and it would be fomething odd, that the obfervance of dreams fhould be forbidden in the fame book, which tells us that Jofeph faved Egypt, and brought his family to great profperity by interpreting three dreams.

The interpretation of dreams and vifions was fo common, that fomething beyond this knowledge was required; the magician was fometimes even to guefs what another had dreamed. Nebuchadnezzar forgetting a dream, ordered the magicians, on pain of death, to find it out ; Daniel the Jew, who was of the fame fchool as the magicians, faved their lives, both finding out and inter- $\mathcal{U} / 10$ preting the king's dream. This and many other accounts prove, that oneiromancy, or the intrepretation of dreams, was not prohibited by the Jewifh inftitutes.
(S) Moft tranflations have Times,

## END, FINAL CAUSES.

AM A N, it feems, mult be fark mad to deny that the ftomach is made for digeftion, the eye to fee, and the ear to hear.

On the other hand, he mult be ftrangely attached to final caufes, to affirm, that fone was made to build houfes, and that China breeds filk-worms to furnifh Europe with fattin.

But it is faid, if God has manifefly made one thing with defign, he had a defign in every thing. To allow a Providence in one cafe, and deny it in another, is ridiculous. Whatever is made was forefeen and arranged ; now every arrangement has its object, every effect its caufe ; therefore every thing is equally the refult, or the product, of a final caule; therefore it is equally true to fay that nofes were made to wear fpectacles, and fingers to be decorated with diamonds, as it is true to fay, that the ears have been made to hear founds, and the eyes to receive light.

This difficulty, I apprehend, may be eafily cleared up, when the effects are invariably the fame in all times and places; when fuch uniform effects are independent of the beings they appertain to, there is then evidently a final caufe.
All animals have eyes and they fee; all have ears and they hear; all a mouth with which they eat; a ftomach, or fomething fimilar, by which they digeft ; all an orifice which voids the excrements; all an inftrument of generation, and thefe natural gifts operate in them without the intervention of any art. Here are clear demonftrations of final caufes, and to gainfay fo univerfal a truth, would be to pervert our faculty of thinking.
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But it is not in all places, nor at all times, that ftones form edifices; all nofes do not wear fpectacles; all fingers bave not a ring, nor are all legs covered with filk fockings : therefore a filk worm is not made to cover my legs, as your mouth is made to eat, and your backfide for evacution. Thus there are effects produced by final caufes, but withal many which cannot come within that appellation.

But both the one and the other are equally agree. able to the plan of general Providence; for certainly nothing comes to pars in oppofition to it, or to much as without it. Every particular within the compafs of nature is uniform, immutable, and the immediate work of their Author. From him are derived the laws by which the moon is three-fourths of the caufe of tides, and the fun the other fourth; it is he who has given a rotary motion to the fun, by which in five minutes and a half it emits rays of light into the eyes of men, crocodiles, and cats.

But if, after many centuries, we have hit on the invention of fhears and fpits, with the former fhearing the fheep of their wool, and with the latter roafting them for food, what can be inferred from thence, but that God has fo made us, that, one day we fhould neceffarily grow ingenious and carnivorous?

Sheep, doubtlefs, were not abfolutely made to be dreffed and eaten; fince feveral nations abfain from that fanguinary practice. Men were not effentially created to butcher one another, for the Bramins and Quakers never kill any body; but the compofition we are made of is frequently producive of maffaores, as it produces calumnies, vanities, perfecutions, and impertinencies : not that the formation of man is precifely the final caufe of our follies and brutalities, a final caufe being univerfal and inva.
riable in all places and at all times. The crimes and abfurdities of the human mind are, neverthelefs, in the eternal order of things. In thrething corn, the flail is the final caufe of the grain's feparation; but if the flail, in threfhing the corn, deftroys a thouland infects, this is not from any determinate will of mine, neither is it mere chance: thefe infects were at that time under my flail, and it was determined they were to be there.

It is confequential to the nature of things, that a man is ambitious, forms other men into military bodies, that he is beaten or gains a vietory; but never can it be faid that man was created by God to be knocked on the head in battle.

The inftruments given to us by nature cannot always be final caufes, ever in motion, and infallible in their effect. The eyes, given us for fight, are not always open; every fenfe has its its intervals of reft: there are even fome fenfes we make no ufe of; for inftance, in the cafe of a poor girl of fourteen, immured in a convent, that door, from which was to proceed a new generation, is for ever Shut up; ftill the final caufe fubfits, and as foon as it is free will act.
EQUALITY.

$\sqrt{V}$HAT does one dog owe to another, and one horfe to another horfe? Nothing. No animal depends on its fellow; but man, partaking of that fpark of divinity called reafon, what advantage accrues to him from this? To be a flave almoft every-where throughout the earth.

Were this earth what it apparently fhould be, that is, did man every-where meet with an eafy,
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certain, and fafe fubfiftence, and a climate fuitable to his nature, it is manifertly impoffible that one man could have enflaved another. When this earth fhall every-where produce falubrious fruits; when the air, which fhould contribute to our life, fhall not bring us fickneffes and death : when man fhall ftand in need of no other lodging and bed than that of the deer and roebuck; then the Gengis-Khans and the Tamerlanes will have no other domeftics than their children, and thefe will have fo much natural affection as to affift them in their old age.

In this fo natural ftate, which all quadrupeds, birds, and reptiles enjoy, man would be as happy as they; dominion would then be a chimera, an abfurdity, which no one would think of; for who would make a buftle to get fervants without any want of their fervice?

Should any individual, of a tyrannical difpofition, and extraordinary ftrength, take it into his head to make a flave of his weaker neighbour, the thing would be impracticable; the party oppreffed would be an hundred leagues out of the oppreffor's reach before he had taken his meafures.
Thus a freedom from wants would neceffarily make all men equal. It is the diftrefs annexed to our fpecies which fubjects one man to another: not that inequality is a real misfortune; the grievance lies in dependance. What fignifies one man being ftiled his highnefs, another his holinefs? but to ferve either is difagreeable.

A numerous family has fuccefffully cultivated a good foil, whilt two fmall neighbouring families cannot bring their fubborn grounds to produce any thing; the two poor families muft either become fervants to the opulent family, or extirpate it; this is felf-evident: one of the two indigent families,
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families, for a fubfiftence, goes and offers its labour to the rich; the other goes to difpoffefs it by force of arms, and is beaten. The former is the origin of domeftics and labourers, and from the latter flavery is derived.

In our calamitous globe, it is impoffible that men living together in fociecy, fhould not be divided into two claffes, one the rich who command, the other the poor who ferve or obey : thefe two are fubdivided into a thoufand, and thefe thoufands have their farther fubdivifions and gradations.

All the oppreffed are not abfolutely unhappy: Moft of them being born in a fervile ftate, continual labour preferves them from too fenfible a feeling of their fituation; but whenever they feel it, wars are the confequence, as at Rome between the plebeian and patrician parties; likewife thofe of the peafants in Germany, England, and France, All thefe wars terminate, foon or late, in the fubjection of the people, becaufe the great have money, and money does every thing within a ftate; I fay within a ftate; for between nation and nation it is otherwife. A nation which handles iron beft, will ever be tow ftrong for that which, with its abundance of gold, is deficient in fkill and courage.

Every man is born with no finall propenfity to power, riches, and pleafure, and has naturally a delight in indolence; confequently every man is for having the money, wives, or daughters of others; would fubject them to all his humours, and do nowork, at or leaft what only pleafed himfelf. You fee that, for men with fuch fine difpofitions to be equal, is as impoffible as that two preachers, or two profeffors of divinity, thould not be jealous of one another.

Mankind, in the preferit fate, cannot fubfift, unlefs an infinity of uleful men have the misfor-
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tune of being without any poffeffion whatever: for, to He fure, no man in tafy circumftances will plough your grounds; and, if you are in want of a pair of fhoes, you muft find fome other hand than a ferjeant at law to make them for you. Thus, inequality is, at the fame time, both the moft natural and the moft chimerical thing in the world.

Men being exceflive in every thing where they can be fo, this inequality has been carried too far ; in feveral governments it is a ftanding maxim, that a citizen is not allowed to quit the country where he happened to be born: the import of this law is vifibly this: "The country is fo bad and ill go"s verned, that we forbid any perfon whatever to "go out, left every body fhould leave it." Now act more wifely, create in your fubjects a delight to ftay in your country, and in foreigners a delire of roming thither.

Every man has a right to believe himfelf naturally equal to other men: but it does not from hence follow that a cardinal's cook may order his eminence to drefs his dinner; the cook indeed may fay, I am as much a man as my matter; like him I cried at my birth, and he will cie in the fame agonies, and amidft the fame ceremonies as I; the animal functions are alike in both; if the Turks make themfelves mafters of Rome, and I fhould then come to be a cardinal, and my mafter reduced to turn cook, I will take him into my fervice. There is nothing in this foliloquy but vhat is rational and juft; yet till the grand feignor makes himfelf mafter of Rome, the cook is to do his duty, elfe there's an end of human fociety.

As to him who is neither cook to a cardinal nor holds any ftate employment, and who has no connection or dependence, but who is chagrined at being every where received either with an air of pro-
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tection or contempt; who plainly fees, that many Monfignors have neither more learning, more genius, nor more virtue than himfelf, and to whom it is a torment to be fometimes in their anti-chamberWhat would you have him do? Take himfelf away.

## E Z EKIEL.

Of fome fingular Paffages in that Prophet, and fome antient Cuftoms.

IT is at prefent very well known, that we are not to judge of antient cuftoms by modern times. He who would go about to reform the court of Alcinoüs in the Odyffey, by that of the grand feignor or of Lewis XIV. would be little applauded by the learned; and to find fault with Yirgil for having reprefented king Evander receiving ambaffadorsLwith a bear fkin for his mantle, and a dog on each fide of him, would be very bad criticifm.

The manners of the antient Egyptians and Jews vary from ours ftill more than thole of king Alcinotis, of Nauficae his daughter, and the good man Evander.-Ezekiel, when a flave among the Chaldeans, had a vifion near the little river of Chebar, which runs into the Euphrates.

It is not to be thought ftrange that he fhould have feen animals with four faces, and four wings, and their feet like thofe of calves; nor that he faw wheels felf-moving, and having in them the fpirit of life. Thefe fymbols are pleafing to the very imagination ; but feveral critics cannot be reconciled ( T ) to the order given him by the Lord that, during
(T) Our author acknowledges that the defcriptions whish
he has extracted from this prophet, how fhocking foever trey
may
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may appear at firf fight, only denote the iniquities of Jerufalem and Samaria ; yet as weak minds may be offended at his picking out thefe paffages, without explaining them, we fhall make a few remarks on that head.

The prophet Ezekiel is very obfcure, particularly towards the beginning and end, for which reafon the Jews would not permit their people to read him till the age of thirty. He foretels the captivity and deftruction of Jerufalem, the reftoration of the Jewifh people, and the rebuilding of the temple.
In the fourth chapter, under the type of a fiege, he fhews the time from Jeroboam's defection to the captivity. Here he enumerates the hardfhips of the befieged, for want of provifions. Their bread, he fays, is to be given them by weight, becaufe of the fcarcity of grain. 'They fhall alfo drink their water by meafure ; and there will be fo great a fcarcity of fuel, that they will be obliged to bake their bread with dung that cometh oth of man; that is, they will be obliged to make fire of man's dung inftead of cow-dung, becaufe of the fcarcity of cattle. This is very different from ordering the prophet to befmear the bread with man's dung, as M. Voltaire underflands it, according to the vulgar acceptation. The prophet is ftill uneafy, and tells the L.ord, he hath hitherto abftained from every thing that the law deems polluted, and therefore begs he may not be obliged to make ufe of what is naturally polluted ; viz. man's dung for the purpofe of baking. The Lord is moved with his prayer, mitigates his fentence, and fays he flatl have cow's dung for man's dung, to prepare his bread therewith ; that is, to bake it, not, according to our author's comment, to knead it. The conclufion is, that, as cow's dung was alfo unclean, the Ifraelites fhould, in punifhment for their iniquities be certainly polluted.

With regard to the contradiction mentioned by our author, between the paffage in this prophet, chap. xviii, viz. That the fon fhall not bear the iniquity of the father, and that in Numbers chap. xxviii. we are to obferve in the firft place, that our author miftakes the book of Numbers for that of Exodus, where, chap. X . . ver. 5 , the paliage referred to is to be found.
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man's dung. Then faid the prophet, "Ah, Lord God, behold, my foul hath not hitherto been polfuted. And the Lord anfwered, Well, inftead of man's excrements, I allow thee cow dung, and thou fhalt prepare thy bread therewith."

As it is not cuftomary with us to eat bread with fuch marmalade, thefe orders, to the generality of men, appear unworthy of the Divine Majefty. It muft, however, be owned, that cow dung and all the diamonds of the mogul, are entirely alike, not only in the eyes of a Divine Being, but in thofe of a ge-

Secondly, the contradiction is removed by a right confideration of the whole pafflage in Ezekiel: the Jews complained that they underwent great hardfhips in punifhment for the fins of Manaffeh, "The fathers have eaten four grapes, and the " children's teeth are fet on edge." This has been the cafe in all times, for people labouring under calamities to exculpate themfelves, and to blame their forefathers ; hence Horace, "Delieta majorum immeritus lues, Romane." The prophet makes anfwer, that they are punifhed for their own guilt, and not for that of their anceftors. See other explications in Pool's Synopfis.

The objection againft the 25 th verfe of the 20 th chapter of Ezekiel is eafily anfwered; "God gave the Jews ftatutes that were not good," that is, unpleafant on account of the multiplicity of ceremonial laws, which were troublefome in practice, yet neceflary to that ftiff-necked people, becaufe of their pronenefs to idolatry.

With refpect to the other paffiges from chap, xvi. and vxiii. they are certainly allegorical, and denote the wickedness and corruption of Jerufalem, which was grown worfe than Sodom. And as the communication with the Deity is reprefented frequently in the Scriptures under the emblem or figure of nup* tials, fo the efrrangement or wandering frem the Deity is defcribed as a fpiritual proftitution, or whoredom. But we refer the reader to the different commentators for an application of the allegory, and agree with our author, that the expreffions which to us may appear indelicate, were not fo in regard to the Jews.
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nuine philofopher ; and as to the reafons God might have for ordering fuch repafts to his prophet, it is not for us to be examiners.

It is fufficient to fhew, that thefe orders, however odd and difgulful to us, did not feem fo to the Jews. True it is, that in St. Jerom's time, the fynagogue did not allow the reading of Ezekiel under thirty years of age; but this was becaufe, in chap. xviii. it is faid that "the fon fhall no longer bear the iniquity " of the father," and it Thall be no more faid "the fathers have eaten four grapes " and the children's teeth have been fet on edge."
This was exprefsly contradicting Mofes, who, in the xxviii. chapter of Numbers, declares that the children fhall bear the iniquity of their fathers to the third and fourth generation.

Farther, Ezekiel in chap. xx. makes the Lord to fay, that he gave to the Jews "precepts which "were not good." This was the principal reafon of the fynagogue's prohibiting young perfons from reading Ezekiel, as it might bring them to doubt of the irrefragability of the Mofaic laws.

The cavillers of our times are ftill more aftonifhed at the manner of the prophet's defcribing the wickednefs of Jerufalem, in chapter xvi. where he introduces the Lord fpeaking to a girl: and the Lord faid to the girl, "In the day thou waft born, thy navel-ftring was not cut, thou waft neither falted nor fwaddled; I pitied thee ; thou art grown up, thy breafts are fafhioned, and thine hair is grown; I paffed by thee, and looked upon thee, behold thy time was the time of love. I fpread my fkirt over thee, and covered thy nakedneis : thou becameft mine, I waffed thee with water, and anointed thee with oil, I cloathed thee and food thee; I girded thee about with fine linen, and covered thee with Giliss; I decked thet allo with ornaments, and put
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 bracelets on thy hands, and a chain on thy neck; I put a jewel on thy forehead, and ear rings in thy ears, and a crown on thy head, \&cc. But thou didft truft in thy beauty, and pleyedft the harlot becaufe of thy renown, and pouredt out thy fornications on every one that paffed by: thou haft built an eminent place, thou haft proftituted thyfelf in public places, thou haft fpread thy legs to every one that paffed by... and thou haft lain with Egyptians. . . . and, laftly, thou haft paid thy lovers, and haft made prefents to them to lie with thee, ..... and in paying inftead of being paid, thou haft done the reverfe of other girls . . . . there is a proverb, Like mother like daughter, and the like is faid of thee."Still greater clamour is raifed againft chap, xxiii. A mother had two daughters, who parted with their virginity very early in life; the name of the elder was Aholah, and of the younger Aholibah: ......" Aholah doated on young lords, and cap" tains, and rulers; the committed whoredom " with the Egyptians in her youth . . . . Aholibah " her fifter was more corrupt in her whoredoms " 'than fhe, with captains and rulers cloathed moft "gorgeoully, horfemen riding upon horfes, all of " them defirable young mẹn; the has difcovered " her nakednefs, fhe has increafed her whoredoms, " The has eagerly fought the embraces of thofe ( U ) " whofe flefh is as the flefh of affes, and whofe iffue " is like the iffue of horfes."

Thefe defcriptions, which feandalize fo many weak minds, fignify no more than the fins of JE-

[^10]
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rufalem and Samaria. Expreffions to us indelicate and obfcure, were not fo at that time. The like plainnefs openly fhews itfelf in other paffages of Scripture. It often fpeaks of "opening the "womb." The terms in which are expreffed the junction of Boaz with Ruth, and of Judah with his daughter in-law, in Hebrew, have nothing unfeemly in them; but would be very much fo in our language.

He who is not afhamed of being naked does not cover himfelf: where was the fhame of naming the genitals in thofe times, when it was cuftomary, on any important promife, to touch the genitals of him to whom the promife was made? It was a mark of refpect, a fymbol of fidelity ; as formerly among us, the feudal tenants put their hands between thofe of their paramounts.

We have thought fit to render the genitals by thigh; Eliezer puts his hand under Abraham's thigh; the like Jofeph does to Jacob. This had been a cuftom of very great antiquity in Egypt, and fo far were that people from annexing fhame and turpitude to what we dare neither expofe nor name, that they carried in proceffion a large figure of the virile member called PHALLUM, in thankfgiving to the gods for their goodnefs in making that member the inftrument of human propagation.

All this fufficiently proves, that our ideas of decency and purity do not correfpond with thofe of other nations. At what period of time did politenefs prevail among the Romans more than in the Auguftan age? Yet Horace, the ornament of that age, and in a moral piece, roundly fays,
"Nec metuo, ne dum futuo vir rure recurrat."
Augufus makes che of the fame expreffion in an epigram againft Fulvia.
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He who, among us, fhould openly pronounce the word anfwering to futuo, would be looked on with as much contempt as a drunken porter : this word, and feveral others made ufe of by Horace and other elegant authors, to us appear ftill more indecent than Ezekiel's expreffions. Whether we read antient authors, or travel in diftant countries, let us lay afide all our prejudices. Nature is everywhere the fame, and cuftoms every-where different.

## F A B L E S.

ARE not the moft antient fables manifeftly allegorical ? The firft we know of, according to our chronology, is it not that related in the gth chapter of the book of Judges? The trees were about chuling a king; the olive would not quit the care of its oil, nor the fig-tree of its figs, nor the vine-tree of its rich juice; and all the other trees had their fruit no lefs at heart; fo that the thiftle being good for nothing, and having prickles which could do hurt, made itfelf king.

The pagan fable of Venus, as we have it in He . fiod, is it not an allegory of all nature? The generative parts fell from the flky on the fea-hore; Venus receives her being from this precious fpume: her firft name fignifies "Lover of generation:" can there be a more fenfible image? This Venus is the goddefs of beauty; beauty is no longer amiable than when accompanied by the graces; beauty gives rife to love, love has fhafts which every heart has felt; he is hoodwinked, to conceal the faults of the object beloved.

Wifdom is conceived in the brain of the fovereign of the gods, under the name of Minerva; the foul of man is a divine fire, which Minérva fhews to
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Prometheus, and he made ufe of this divine fire to animate man.

Every body muft perceive in thefe fables a lively portraiture of nature. Moft of the other fables are either corruptions of antient hiftories, or the chimeras of imagination. It is with ancient fables as with modern tales; fome are of the moral kind and quite charming, and there are others as infipid,

## FALSITY of HUMAN VIRTUES.

wHEN the duke de Rochefoucault had pub. lifhed his Thoughts on Self-love, one M. Efprit of the Oratory wrote a captious book, intitled, The Fallity of Human Virtues. This genius fays there is no fuch thing as virtue ; but, at the clofe of every chapter, kindly refers his readers to Chriftian charity : fo that, according to M. Efprit, neither Cato, nor Ariftides, nor Marcus Aurelius, nor Epictetus, were good men; and a good reafon why, thefe are only to be found among Chriftians. Again, among Chriftians the catholics are the only virtuous; and among the catholics the Jefuits, enemies to the Oratorians, fhould have been excepted; therefore there is fcarce any virtue on earth but among the enemies of the Jefuics.

This Sieur Efprit fets out with faying that prudence is not a viptue; and his reafon is, becaufe it is often miftaken: which is as much as to fay, Cafar was nothing of a foldier becaufe he had the worf of it at Dyrachium.

Had this reverend gentleman been a philofo. pher, he would not have treated of prudence as a virtue, but as a talent, a happy and ufeful quality; for a villain may be very prudent, and I have
known fuch. The madnefs of pretending that virtue is the portion only of us and our partifans !

What is virtue, my friend? It is doing good. Do me fome, and that is enough; as for your motive, that you may keep to yourfelf. How! According to you, there is no difference between the prefident de Thou and Ravaillac? between Cicero and that wretch Popilius, whofe life he had faved, and who yet hired himfelf to cut off his head? You will pronounce Epictetus and Porphyry to be rafcals, becaufe they did not hold with our doetrines? Such infolence is quite fhocking; but I have done, left I grow warm.

## FANATICISM.

FANATICISM is to fuperftition what a delirium is to a fever, and fury to anger : he who has extafies and vifions, who takes dreams for realities, and his imaginations for prophecies, is an enthufiaft ; and he who fticks not at fupporting his folly by murder, is a fanatic. Bartholomew Diaz, a fugitive at Nuremberg, who was firmly convinced that the pope is the Anti Chrift in the Revelations, and that he has the mark of the beaft, was only an enthufiaft; whereas his brother, who fet out from Rome with the godly intention of murdering him, and who actually did murder him for God's fake, was one of the moft execrable fanatics that fuperftition could form.

Polieuctes, who, on a pagan feftival, went into the temple, pulling down and breaking the images and other ornaments, fhewed himfelf a fanatic, lefs horrible, indeed, than Diaz, but equally rain and imprudent. The murderers of Francis duke of
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Guife, of William prince of Orange, of the kings Henry III. and Henry IV. and of to many others, were demoniacs, agitated by the fame evil fpirit as Diaz.

The moft deteftable inflance of fanaticifm is that of the citizens of Paris, who, on the feaft of St. Barcholomew, could maflacre their fellow citizens, for not going to mafs.

Some are fanatics in cool blood: thefe are the judges who can fentence people to death without any other guilt than for not being in their way of thinking: thefe judges are the more guilty, and the more deferving of univerfal execration, as not being under a fit of rage like the Clements, the Chatels, the Ravaillacs, the Gerards, the Damiens, one would think they might liften to reafon.

When once fanaticifm has touched the brain, the diftemper is defperate. I have feen convulfionits, who, in fpeaking of the miracles of St. Paris, grew hot involuntarily; their eyes glared, they trembled in all their limbs, their countenance was quite diffigured with rancour, and they unqueftionably would have killed any one who had contradicted them.

The only remedy to this infectious difeafe is a philofophical temper, which fpreading through fociety, at length foftens manners, and obviates the accefles of the diftemper; for whenever it gets ground, the beft way is to fly from it, and flay till the air be purified. The laws and religion are no prefervative againft this mental peftilence. Religion, fo far from being a falutary aliment in thefe cafes, in infected brains becomes poifon. Thefe unhappy creatures dwell continually on the example of Ehud, who affafinated king Eglon ; of fudith, who cut off Holophernes's head when lying with him ; and of Samuel hewing king Agag in

## DICTIONARY.

pieces. They are not aware that thefe inflances, however refpectable in antiquity, are abominable in our times: they foment their phrenfy with religion, which abfolutely condemns it.

The laws, likewife, have proved very ineffectual againft this fpiritual rage ; it is, indeed, like reading an order of council to a lunatic. Thefe creatures are firmly perfuaded that the fpirit by which they are actuated is above all laws, and that their enthufiafm is the only law they are to regard.

What can be anfwered to a perfon who tells you that he had rather obey God than men, and who, in confequence of that choice, is certain of gaining heaven by cutting your throat?

The leaders of fanatics, and who put the dagger into their hands, are ufually defigning knaves ; they are like the old man of the mountain, who, according to hiftory, gave weak perfons a foretafte of the joys of paradıfe, promifing them an eternity of fuch enjoyments, provided they would go and murder all thofe whom he fhould name to them. In the whole world, there has been but one religion clear of fanaticifm, which is that of the Chinefe literati. As to the fects of philofophers, inftead of being infected with this peftilence, they were a redy and prefervative againft it: for the effect of philofophy is to compofe the foul, and fanaticifm is incompatible with tranquility. As to our holy religion having been fo often corrupted by thefe infernal impulfes, it is the folly of men that is to be blamed.
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F R A U D.

Whether pious Frauds are allowable?

BAMBABEF, the Fakir, one day met a difciple of Confutfee, whom we call Confucius; and this difciple's name was Ouang: Bambabef maintained that it is proper fometimes to deceive the people, and Ouang infifted that we are never to deceive any one. The fubftance of their difpute was as follows.
Bam. We are to imitate the Supreme Being, who does not fhew us things as they are; he fhews us the fun in a diameter of only two or three feet, though that body be a million of times larger than the earth; he fhews us the moon and the ftars as fixed on one and the fame blue ground, though they are at different and immenfe diffances; he would have a fquare tower appear round to us afar off; he would have the fire feem hot to us, though it be neither hot nor cold; in a word, he encompaffes us with errors fuitable to our nature.

OU. What you call error is no fuch thing. That fun, which is placed millions of millions of lis* from our globe, is not that fun we fee; we cannot have any real fight but of the fun which reflects itfelf on our retina in a determinate angle. Our eyes were not given us for the knowledge of dimenfions and diftances; this requires other inftruments and operations.

Bambabef ftared at fuch language; but Ouang, being endued with an uncommon patience, explained to him the theory of optics; and Bambabef,

[^11]having a clear head, acquiefced in the demonftrations produced by Confutfee's difciple, and then returned to the difpute in thefe terms.
$B_{A M}$. If God does not deceive us by the medium of our fenfes, as I thought; you muft own, however; that phyficians always cheat children for their good; they will tell them they are giving them fugar, when, at the fame time, it is rhubarb, fo that I , as a Fakir, may deceive the people, they having no more knowledge or underftanding than children.

Ou. I have two fons, and never have I deceived them. When they are fick, I fay to them this phyfic is very bitter, but you muft pluck up a good heart and take it, the more bitter the more good will it do you; were it fweet it would hurt you: I never allowed their governeffes or preceptors to frighten them with ghofts and apparitions, with hobgoblins and wizards: and thus they are grown up to be brave and fenfible young men.
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{AM}}$. The common people are not born with the like happy talents and difpofitions as your family.

Ou. All men are alike, they are born with the fame propenfities ; it is the Fakirs who vitiate human nature.
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{AM}}$. We do teach them errors, I own, but it is for their good; we make them believe that, if they do not buy four confecrated nails, or expiate their fins by giving us money, they will, in the next world, be poft-horfes, dogs, or lizzards. This terrifies them into goodnefs.

Ou. Are you not aware that this is perverting the poor people? Reafoning is not fo fcarce among them as is imagined; there are great numbers who reflect; who laugh at your nails, your miracles, your fuperfitions; and who know better than their being changed into lizzard's or poft-horles. What is the confequence? They have fenfe to fee
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that you preach up a fophifticated religion, but not enough to raife themfelves to a pure religion, free from fuperftition and folly fuch as ours. Their paffions lead them to believe there is nothing in religion; the only religion taught them being manifeftly ridiculous; and thus you fhare in all the guilt into which they plunge themfelves.

Bam. Not in the leaft; for we only teach them a good morality.

Ou. You would get yourfelf ftoned to death were you to preach a falfe morality; men are of fuch a make that amidft all their iniquity they will not bear the preaching of it to them: but abfurd fables fhould not be intermixed with good morality ; for thus, by your impoftures, which might as well be fuppreffed, you weaken that morality, which, for felf-prefervation, you are obliged to teach.

Bam. How ! do you imagine there is any fuch thing as teaching truth to the people without calling in fables ?

OU . To be fure I do. Our literati are of the fame texture as our taylors, weavers and farmers. They worthip one God, the creator of all things, who rewards and punifhes; their religion is not darkened with abfurd fyltems, nor disfigured with fantaftical ceremonies; and much lefs wickednefs is there among the literati than among the common people. Wherefore then do you not condefcend to inftruet our artificers as we inftruct our literati?

Bam. That would be idle indeed, as if they were to have all the good breeding and knowledge of a counfellor; that is neither poffible nor proper. White bread for mafters; and brown bread will go down with fervants.
Ou. All men, I own, fhould not have an equal ftock of knowledge; but fome points there are neceffary
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ceffary to all: it is neceffary that all men fhould be juft; and the fureft method to make men fo, is to teach them pure religion, without any fuperftitions.

Bam. A fpecious fcheme, only impracticable. Think you that for men to believe a rewarding and punifhing God, will do the bufinefs? You fay that the fenfible part of the people are offended at my fables; and as little will they digeft your bare truths; they will fay, how am I certain that God punifhes and rewards? Your proofs? Where is your miffion? What miracles have you done for me to believe you? It is you they;will flout at, and not me.

Ou. There lies your miftake. Becaufe they reject dangerous abfurdities, and fictions fhocking to common fenfe, you fancy they will not admit a doetrine highly probable, conducive to virtue, productive of the greateft benefit to all mankind, and perfectly confonant with human reafon?

The people are thoroughly inclined to refer to their magiftrates : when the belief recommended by thefe is rational, they readily clofe with it. Miracles are not neceffary to inforce a belief of a juft God, to whom all hearts are open; the idea is too natural to be long oppofed. To sell precifely how, and in what manner, God will punifh and reward is out of the queftion. Believe him juft, and that's enough; I affure you I have feen whole cities with fcarce any other tenet, and no where have I obferved fo much virtue.

Bam. Fair and foftly: thofe fame cities fwarm with philofophers, who deny both rewards and punifhments.

Ou. You mutt withal own that thofe philofophers will much more peremptorily deny your inventions, fo that makes but little on your fide. As for philofophers differing from my principles, they
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 they may fill be good men, ftill as fedulous in the cultivation of virtue, which is to be embraced from love, and not out of fear. But I aver that no philofopher can ever be affured that Providence has not in fore punifhments for the wicked, and recompences for the good: for fhould they afk me; who told me that God punifhes? my anfwer is, who told them that God does not punifh? In fhort, the philofopher, I dare fay, inttead of oppofing me would fecond me. Are you inclined to be a philofopher?Bam. Very much fo; but not a word of it to the Faquirs.

## FRIENDSHIP.

FRIENDSHIP is a tacit contract between two fenfible and virtuous perfons, I fay SENSIBLE ; for a monk, a hermit, may not be wicked, yet live a ftranger to friendfhip. I add virtuous, for the wicked have only accomplices, the voluptuous have companions, the defigning have affociates, the men of bufinefs have partners, the politicians form a factious band; the bulk of idle men have connections, princes have courtiers : but virtuous men alone have friends. Cethegus was Cataline's accomplice, and Mecenas was Octavius's courtier ; but Cicero was Ǎtuicus's friend.

What is implied in this contract between two tender and ingenuous fouls? Its obligations are ftronger and weaker, according to their degree of fenfibility, and the number of good offices performed, \&c.

The enthufiafm of friendifhip was ftronger among the Greeks and Arabs than among us. The tales on friendfhip compofed by thofe people are admira-
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ble : we have nothing like them; in every thing we are fomewhat dry and jejune.

Among the Greeks friendihip was a point of religion, and an object of the legiflation. The Thebans had a regiment called the regiment of lovers, and a fine regiment I dare fay it was; fome have miftaken it for a regiment of sodomites, but this is a grofs error, taking an acceffory for the principal. Among the Greeks friendfhip was recommended both by the law and religion. Unhappily their manners allowed of pederafty; but the law is not to be charged with any fhameful abules.

## GLOR Y. (X)

BEN-AL-BETIF that worthy fuperior of the Dervifes, one day faid to them: Brethren, it is very fit, that you chould often ufe that facred form in our Koran, " in the name of the moft merciful God," for God fheweth mercy, and you learn to practife it by the frequent repetition of words, recommending a virtue, without which there would be few people remaining on earth: but, brethren, far be it from you to imitate the prefumption of thofe, who are continually boafting, that what they do is for the glory of God. When a raw fcholar
(X) There is a good deal of quibble in this article. If by Glory our author means addition of real power or greatnefs, it is certain, that the creature can make no fuch addition to the Creator. But this fhould not hinder us from expreffing our gratitude for the favours received of the Supreme. This we are taught to do in fundry parts of fcripture: thus the multitude of the heavenly hoft praifed God, faying, Glory to God in the higheft. To deny the propriety of giving glory to God in this fenfe betrays an ingratitude in man, and frikes at all external worfhip.
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maintains a thefis on the Categories before fome furred ignoramus of a prefident, he is fure to write in large characters at the head of his thefis: Ek allha abron doxa, "Ad majorem Dei gloriam." So a devout muffulman, having caufed his faloon to be white-wafhed, muft have the like folly engraved over his door; a Saka likewife carries water to promote God's glory. This is a devout practice of a profane cuftom. What would you fay of a pitiful Chiaoux, who, when emptying our Sultan's clofeftool fhould bawl out, To the greater glory of our invincible monarch? Now certainly the difference is greater between the Sultan and God, than be. tween the pitiful Chiaoux and the fublime Sultan.

Ye poor earth-worms, callied men, what have you in common with the glory of the infinite effence? can-he defire glory, can he receive any from you? Can he enjoy it? How long, ye two-legged featherlefs animals, will you make God in your likenefs! being your felves vain and fond of glory, God mult needs be fo too! Were there feveral Gods, each of them would be defirous of the ap. plaufe of his equals, and in that would confift the glory of a God. If infinite grandeur might be brought into a comparifon with the extremity of meannefs, fuch a God would be like king Alexander or Scander, who would enter the lift againft kings only: but you, poor creatures, what glory can you give to God? Forbear any longer to profane his iacred name. An emperor, named Octavius Auguftus, ordered no panegyrics to be made on him in the fchools of Rome, that his name might not be debafed. But you can neither debafe, nor exalt the Supremie Being. Proftrate yourfelves, and worfhip in filence.

Thus fpoke Ben-al-bétif, and the Dervifes fhouted. Glory to God! weil has Ben-al-bétif fpoken.
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N the reign of Arcadius, Logomacos, a theologue of Conftantinople, went into Scythia, and ftopped at the foot of mount Caucafus in the fertile plains of Zephirim, bordering on Colchis. The good old man Dondindac was, after a light repaft, kneeling in his large hall between his vaft Sheepfold and his ample barn, with his wife, his five fons and five daughters, fome of his kindred and his domeftics, all chanting the praifes of the bounteous giver of all good things. Ho! what art thou about, idolater, faid Logomacos to him? I am no idolater, faid Dondindac. An idolater thou mult be, faid Logomatos, as being a Scythian, or at leaft no Greek. Well, and what waft thou gabbling in thy Scythian jargon? All languages are alike in God's ear, anfwered the Scythian; we were finging his praifes. Very extraordinary indeed, replied the theologue, a Scythian family worfhipping God without any previous inftruction from us! He foon entered into a converfation with Dondindac, for the theologue had a - fmattering of the Scythian, and the other underftood a little Greek. This converfation is lately come to light in a manufcript kept in the Imperial library at Conftantinople.

Log. I will fee whether thou knoweft thy catechifm ; why prayeft thou to God?
Don. Becaule it is juft and proper to worfhip the Supreme Being, as of him we hold all we have.

Loc. Pretty well for a barbarian : and what afkeft thou of him?

Don. I thank God for the good things he gives me, and even for the croffes with which he tries
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me. But as for afking him any thing, that's what I never prefume to do; he knows what we ftand in need of better than ourfelves; befides, I fhould be afraid to afk for fun-fhine, when rain would better fuit my neighbour.

Log. Ah! I apprehended we fhould foon have fome nonfenfe or other from him. Let us take a retrofpect of things: who told thee there is a God ?

Don. All nature.
Log. That's nothing; what idea haft thou of God ?

Don. That he is my creator, my mafter, who will reward me if I do well, and punifh me if I do amifs.

Log. That is but trivial and low; let us come to the effential. Is God infinite " fecundum quid;" or in his effence?

Don. I don't underftand you.
Log. Stupid dolt! is God in a place, or out of all place, or is he every where?

Don. I know nothing of that; it may be juft as you pleafe.
Log. Ignorant wretch! Well; can he make what has been not to have been, or that a ftick fhall not have two ends? Is futurity to him as future or as prefent? How does he do to bring nothing into exiftence, and to annihilate exiftence ?

Don. I never beftow a thought on thofe things.
Log. What an oaf is this! well, 1 mult let myfelf down, I muft fuit myfelf to the meannefs of his intellects. Tell me, friend, believeft thou that matter can be eternal?

Don. What is it to me whether it exifts from eternity or not? I did not exift from eternity. God is always my malter and inftructor. He has given me the knowledge of juftice, and it is my duty to
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act accordingly. I do not defire to be a philofo. pher, let me be a man.

Log. What a plague it is to have to do with fuch thick headed creatures. I muft proceed gras dually with him? What is God?

Don. My fovereign, my judge, my father.
Log. That's not what I afk you; what is his nature?

Don. To be powerful and good.
Log. But whether is he corporeal or fpiritual ?
Don. How fhould 1 know.
Log. What! not know what a fpirit is !
Don. Not I in the lealt, and what fhould I be the better for fuch knowledge? Will it mend my morals, make me a better hufband, a better father, better maiter, or better member of fociety?

Loo. A man muft be abfolutely taught what a fpirit is, fince it is, it is, it is well, we will let that alone till another time.

Don. I fancy, inftead of being able to tell me what it is, you will rather tell me what it is not. But after fo much queltioning, may I take the freedom to afk you a queftion? I was formerly in one of your temples, and why do you paint God with a long beard?
L.og. That is a very abftrufe queftion, and the folution of which would be above your comprehenfion, without fome preliminary inftructions.

Don. Before you enter on your inftructions, I muft rell you a circumftance, which I hope never to forget. I had juft built a fummer-houfe at the end of my garden; and one day fitting in it, heard a mole and a chafer defcanting on it: A fuperb edifice it certainly is, faid the mole, and of very great parts muft that mole have been who built it. A mole forfooth! I fay a mole too! quoth the chafer; the architect of that pretty building could be
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 no other than fome chafer of an extraordinary genius This colloquy put me on a refolution never to difpute.
## GOVERNMENTS,

 Which the beft?INever yet knew any man who had not governed fome ftate or other. I do not fpeak of their High Mightineffes the minifters, who govern in reality, fome two or three years, others fix months, and others as many weeks; I mean all other men, who over a bottle, or in their clofet, difplay their fyftem of government, and reform navy, army, law, finances, and church.

Abbé Bourzeis took upon himfelf to govern France about the year 1645 under the name of cardinal Richelieu, and compofed that Political Will, in which he is for having the nobility enrolled in the cavalry for three years; the land tax to be paid to the chambers of accounts and the parliament, and taking away from the king the produce of the falttax: in order to take the field with 50,000 men, he makes it a point of œconomy to raife 100,000 . He affirms, that "Provence alone has many more "fine fea-ports than Spain and Italy put together."

This ecclefiaftical fchemer had not travelled. Befides, his work fwarms with anachronifms anderrors. As he makes cardinal Richelieu fpeak what he never did fpeak, fo his fignature is no lefs different from that of the cardinal. Farther, he fills a whole chapter with faying, that "reafon is to be the rule "of a ftate;" and in labouring to prove fuch a notable difcovery. This work of darknefs, this bantling of the abbé Bourzeis paffed a long time for cardinal Richelieu's legitimate offspring, and all the acade-
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micians in their inauguration fpeeches never failed to pour forth the moft exceffive elogiums on this mafter-piece of policy.

One St. Gratien de Courtils, feeing the great fuccefs of cardinal Richelieu's Political Legacy, fell to writing Colbert's Legacy, with a fine letter to the king: whereas had that minitter drawn up fuch will, he ought to have been declared non compos; yet have fome authors thought fit to quote this compofition. Another ftarveling, too mean to be known, publihed Louvois's Will, which, if fuch a thing could be, was ftill worfe than Colbert's; and by the fertile brain of one abbé de Chevremont, duke Charles of Lorrain likewife had his Will. We have alfo had the political teftaments of cardinal Alberoni, marfhal Belleifle, and laftly, that of Mandrin.
M. de Boifguilebert, author of le Detail de la France, printed in 1695 , troubled the public with the impracticable project of the regal tenths, under the name of marfhal Vauban.

One Jonchere, a crazy fellow who had not bread to eat, met with a book feller who publifhed a fcheme of his on the finances in four volumes; and fome [blockheads have quoted this production as a work of the treafurer-general, on a notion that a book of finances, written by a creafurer, mult be a choice piece.

It muft, however, be owned that very wife men, and men perhaps every way qualified for government, have in France, in Spain, and in England, written on political adminiftration. And great good have their books done; not that they have amended the minitters who were in place when thofe books came out ; for a minifter never amends, there is no changing him; he has taken his bent; and for informations and counfels, the ftream of bufinefs carries him away fo as not leave leifure to liften to
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them : but young perfons defigned for employments, and princes themfelves are inftructed by thefe good books; and thus the fecond generation reaps the benefit of them.

The advantages and difadvantages of all governments have of late been clofely canvaffed. Now you who have travelled, and read, and feen a great deal, pray, in which fate, and under what form of government would you chufe to be born? I fancy a French nobleman with a large landed eftate would not be forry to have been born in Germa. ny, as there, inftead of being a fubject, he would be a fovereign. A peer of France doubtlefs would be very glad to have the privileges of the Englifh peerage, as raifing him to a fhare in the legiflature.

For the lawyer and the financier, France is the country which of all others brings the moft grift to their mill.

But what country would a wife man, of a free turn of mind, unprejudiced, and of a middling fortune, make choice of?

A member of the council of Pondicherry, a gentleman of fome learning, was returning into Europe over land, in company with a Bramin, who knew more than moft of his brethren. How do you like the Grand Mogul's government, faid the counfellor? Nothing more abominable, anfwered the Bramin ; but how can a flate be well governed by Tartars? If our Rayas, our Omrahs, our Nabobs, are entirely fatisfied and eafy; it is otherwife with the people, and millions of people are fomething.

The counfellor and the Bramin traverfed all Up. per Afia, amidft political converfations. An obfervation occurs to me, faid the Bramin, that all this vaft part of the world does not afford one republic, Here was, antiently, that of Tyre, faid
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the counfellor, but it did not continue long : then shere was another towards Arabia Petrea, in a fmall nook called Paleftine, if the honourable appellation of republic may be given to a tribe of rob. bers and ufurers, fometimes governed by judges, fometimes by a fort of kings, fometimes by highpriefts, fubdued and enflaved feven or eight times, and, at laft, driven out of the country which it hạd ufurped.

I apprehend, faid the Bramin, that republics are very fcarce in all parts; it is but feldom that men deferve to govern themfelves. This happinefs muft belong only to fmall nations, concealing themfelves in iflands, or amidit mountains, like rabbits fhunning carnivorous beafts, but at length difcovered and devoured.

The two travellers being come into Afia Minor, the counfellor faid to the Bramin, Could you think there had ever been a republic in a corner of Italy, which fubfifted above five hundred years, and made itfelf miftrefs of this Afia Minor, Afia, Africa, Greece, the Gauls, Spain, and all Italy? I dare fay, it foon became changed to a monarchy, faid the Bramin. Very right, faid the other ; but that monarchy is long fince come to nothing, and every day fine differtations are compofed to find out the caufes of its declenfion and cataftrophe. You give yourfelves a deal of needlefs trouble, faid the Indian; that empire fell becaufe it exifted: every thing will fall; I hope in God the empire of the great mogul will one day have its fall.

Now we are upon this head, faid the European, do you think honour is moft neceffary in a monarchy, and virtue in a republic? The Indian, after the meaning of the word honour had, at his defire, been explained to him, anfwered that honour was of greater neceffity in a republic and virtue
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in a monarchy: For, fays he, a man who fets up to be chofen by the people will not be chofen, if he be reputed a man of no honour ; whereas at court he may eafily infinuate himfelf into a poft, according to the maxim of a great prince, that a courtier to make his fortune fhould be without honour or pride. As to virtue, an immenfe deal of it is requifite to dare fpeak truth at court; a virtuous man is much more at eafe in a republic; there's nobody to flatter.

Is it your opinion, faid the native of Europe, that the laws and religions are made for the climates, as furs fuit Mofcow, and gauze ftuffs Delly? To be fure, faid the Bramin, all laws relative to the human conftitution are calculated for the climate where one lives; one wife will do for a German, a Perfian muft have three or four.
It is the fame with religious rites. Were I a Chriftian, how could I fay mafs in my province, which affords neither bread nor wine? As to articles of faith, that's another cale; in thefe the climate is out of the queftion. Did not your religion commence in Afia, from whence it has been expelled? and again, is it not eftablifhed about the Baltic Sea, where it was once unknown?

In what ftate, under what government hould you like beft to live? faid the counfellor. Any where but in my own country, faid his companion; and many Siamefe, Tunquinefe, Perfians, and Turks have I met with, who faid the very fame thing. But tell me, in what particular ftate you would preferably like to fpend your days. The Bramin anfwered, In that where obedience is paid only to the Jaws. That's an old anfwer, faid the counfellor. And never the worfe for that, faid the Bramin. But where is that country, faid the Pondichertian? It mutt be fought for, faid the Bramin.
$G R A C E$.

## GRACE.

YE facred counfellors of modern Rome, ye illultrious and infallible theologifts, no perfon has more refpect for your decifions than myfelf; but were Paulus Emilius, Scipio, Cato, Cicero, Cæfar, Titus, Trajan, and Marcus Aurelius to revifit that Rome which they formerly raifed to fome confideration, you moft own they would be a little ftaggered at your determinations concerning grace. What would they fay to your debates on St. Thomas's grace of health, on Cajetan's medicinal grace, on external and internal grace, on gratuitous, fanctifying, actual, habitual, co-operating grace, on effectual grace which is fometimes ineffectual, on fufficient grace often infufficient, on verfatile and congruous grace; fincerely, would they underftand is more than yourfelves or I?

Thofe illuftrious perfonages would be quite at a lofs without your fublime inftructions: I think I hear them fay,

Reverend fathers, you are ftupendous geniufes; we foolifhly conceived the eternal Being never to be guided by particular laws like mean mortals, but by his own general laws, eternal like himfelf. It never came inco any of our heads that God was like a brain-fick mafter, giving a comfortable farm to one flave, and denying neceffary food to another; ordering one flave without a hand to knead dough, a dumb flave to read to him, and a cripple to be his courier.

Every thing from God is grace: by his grace the globe which we dwell in was formed; by his grace the trees grow, and animals are nourifhed: but if a wolf finds a lamb in his way to make a good
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meal of, and another wolf is famifhing, will any one fay that God has fhewn particular grace to the former wolf? has he by a preventing grace been bufied in caufing one oak to grow preferably to another oak, which has withered for want of fap? If all beings throughout all nature are fubject to general laws, how can any fingle fpecies of creatures be exempt from thofe laws?

Why fhould the abfolute mafter of all have been more intent on difpofing the infide of one man alone $(Y)$, than in conducting all the other parts of nature? From what humour or ficklenefs

## (Y) Our author may be right in ridiculing the opinions of

 fchoolmen concerning grace, as they abound in fantaftical niceties altogether unintelligible. But whatever Marcus Aurelius may fay, a Chriftian is bound to believe that without the grace of God by Chrift, we have no power to do good works, pleafant and acceptable to the Deity. As to the above queftion, Why fiould the abfolute mafter of all have been more intent on difpofing the infide of one man alone, than in conducting all the other parts of nature ? it fhews our author to be ignorant of the doctrine of continual providence, as he indeed is of many other found doetrines. The infinitely wife Being cannot but know every thing that is done in every part of the univerfe, and with equal eafe take notice of the minuteft things as of the greateft : but it is a miftake to believe him more intent upon one thing than upon another; and it is only according to our weak conceptions that we fay; God takes more particular notice of the moral aetions of his rational creatures. M. Voltaire labours very hard on all occa ${ }^{2}$ fions to reprefent man as a vile worm unworthy of the care of the Deity, not reflecting that fuch a care is attended with no difficulty in the fupreme creator of all things. Befides, how inconfiderable foever man may be, yet he is the chief, and indeed, the only inhabitant, for whofe fake our earthly globe was formed into a habitable world ; and this earth of ours, as Dr. Clarke obferves, for aught we know, is as confiderable, and worthy of the divine care, as any other part of the fyftem, and this fyftem as confiderable as any other fyftem in the univerfe.
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Should he make any alteration in the heart of a Courlander or a Bifcayan, when he is feen not to make the leaft alteration in the laws, which he has impreffed on all the heavenly bodies?

How weak is it to fuppofe that he is continually making, unmaking, and remaking fentiments in us! and what prefumption is it to think ourfelves privileged above all other beings! farther, it is only for thofe who obferve confeffion that all thefe mutations are invented. A Savoyard or native of Bergamo fhall, on Monday, have the grace to beftow twelve fous to have a mafs faid; on Tuefday grace will fail him, and he will go to the tavern; on Wednefday he fhall have co-operating grace, which will fend him away to confeffion, but without the efficacious grace of perfect contrition; Thurfday it will be a fufficient grace, which will prove infufficient. God fhall be continually at work in the head of this Savoyard, fometimes forcibly, other times weakly, without minding any other thing upon earth, without caring what becomes of the infide of the Indians and Chinefe. Really, my reverend fathers, if you have a fpark of reafon left, does not this fyftem appear to you prodigioufly ridiculous?

Wretches, behold that oak towering to the clouds, look down on that rufh bending at its feet; you will not fay that efficacious grace has been given to the oak, and denied to the rufh. Lift up your eyes to the heavens, fee the eternal Demiurgus creating millions of worlds, all gravitating towards each other by general and eternal laws. Behold the fame light reflected fromi the fun to Saturn, and from Saturn to us; and amidft this harmony of fo many luminous bodies in a courfe amazingly rapid, amidft this general obedience of all nature, I defy you to believe that God minds
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 minds giving a verfatile grace to fifter Therefa, and a concomitant grace to fifter Agnes.Thou atom, to whom a ftupid atom has faid that the Eternal has particular laws for fome atoms in thy neighbourhood; that he gives his grace to this, and refufes it to that; and that which has not grace to day fhall have it to morrow; never let fuch impious folly come from thy lips. God has created the univerfe, and does not concern himfelf about making new winds to thake fome bits of ftraw in a corner of that univerfe. Theologits are like Homer's warriors, who thought that the gods fometimes fought on their fide, and fometimes againft them. Homer is to be confidered as a poet, otherwife we make him a blafphemer.

Thefe are Marcus Aurelius's words, not mine; for God, who infpires you, has given me grace to believe all you fay, all you have faid, and all you fhall fay.

## The HEAVENS $(Z)$,

Or Sky, according to the Antients.

ASilk worm might as well give the name of heaven to the little down which furrounds its thell, as the antients gave that appellation to the
(Z) In this article our author difplays fome erudition, but he is very reprehenfible, when he fays, "There is properly no heaven." By heaven we mean not the air, nor the ftars nor planets, nor the vaft expanfe in which thofe great orbs perform their motions, but the feat or manfion of the bleffed. Where that is we cannot pretend to determine, but we are bound to believe there is fuch a place. In this fenfe we fay,
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the atmofphere, which, as M. Fontenelle in his Plurality of Worlds, prettily fays, is the down of our fuel.

The vapours which exhale from our feas and land, and form clouds, meteors, and thunder, Ewere at first taken for the refidence of the gods. Homer always brings down the deities in golden clouds; and thence it is that our painters fill reprefent them fated on a cloud: but it being very proper that the matter of the gods fhould live in greater fate than the others, he was provided with an eagle to carry him, the eagle flying higher than any other bird.

The antient Greeks freeing that princes lived in citadels built on the top of forme mountain, conceived that the gods might likewife have their citadel, and placed it in Theffalia on mount Olympus, the fummit of which is fometimes hid in the clouds, fo that their palace was even with their heaven.

Afterwards the flats and planets which feemed fixed to the azure arch of our atmofphere, became the manfion of deities; leven of whom had their reflective planet, the others taking up with what quarter they could find; the general council of the gods was held in a large faloon, to which they went by the milky way; for men having councilchambers on earth, the gods, to be fare, Should have one in the heavens.
fay, "Our father, who art in heaven." To fay absolutely there is no heaven, fubverts the notion of a future fate, and the conflation of the juft from the expectation of eternal happinets. This our author cannot intend, as in more places than one he acknowledges a place of future rewards and punifhments.
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When the Titans, a kind of creatures between the gods and men, declared war, and nor without fome grounds againft thofe deities, to recover part of their inheritance, (being on the father's fide the fons of Colum and Terra, ) they only heaped two or three mountains one on the other, concluding, that would be full enough for them to reduce the citadel of Olympus, together with the heavens,

Neve foret terris fecurior arduus æther, Affectâffe ferunt regnum ccelefte gigantes, Altaque congeftos ftruxiffe ad fidera montes.

This abfurd fyifem of phyfics was of prodigious antiquity; yet certain it is, that the Chaldeans had as juft ideas of what is called the heavens as we ourfelves. They placed the fun in the centre of our planetary world, and nearly at the fame ditance we have found it to be; and they held the revolution of the earth, and of all the planets round that body: this we are informed of by Ariftarchus of Samos; and it is the true fyftem of the world, fince revived by Copernicus. But the philofophers, to be the more refpected by fovereigns and people, or rather to avoid being perfecuted, kept the fecret to themfelves.

The language of error is fo familiar to men, that we ftill give the name of heavens to our vapours, and to the fpace between the earth and moon: we fay to go up to heaven, as we fay the fun turns round, though we know it does not; probably we are the heaven to the moon, and every planet makes the neighbouring planet its heaven. Had Homer been afked to which heaven the foul of Sarpedo went, and where that of Hercules was, the poet would have been a little puzzled, and eluded the queftion by fome harmonious verfes.
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What certainty was there that the aerial foul of Hercules would have had a better time of it in Venus, or Saturn, than on our globe? it is not to be fuppofed that its refidence was appointed in the fun; the place would have been too hot. After all, what did the antients mean by the heavens? They knew nothing of the matter; they were perpetually bawling heaven and earth, which is juft as much as to cry infinitude and an atom. Properly fpeaking, there is no fuch thing as the heavens; there is a prodigious number of valt globes rolling in the void expanfe, and our globe rolls like the others.

The antients thought rhat the way to the heatens was by afcent : no fuch thing; the celeftial globes are fometimes above our horizon, and fometimes below : thus, fuppofing Venus was returning from Paphos to her planet after its fetting, the goddefs, relatively to our horizon, inftead of going up went down; and in fuch a cafe we ought to fay to go down to heaven. But the antients were not fo nice; their notions in every thing relating to natural philofophy were vague, uncertain, and contradictory. Immenfe volumes have been written to know what their opinion was on many fuch queftions; whereas five words would have done, " they never thought of it."

Here, however, we muft except a few wife men; but they came late: few opened their minds freely, and thofe who did, the empyrics on earth took care to difpatch them to heaven the fhorteft way.

A writer, I think his name is Pluche, has pretended to make Mofes a great natural philofopher; another before him, in a piece called Cartefius Mozaizans had reconciled Mofes with Defcartes. According to him, Moles firft found out the vortices and the fubtile matter; but it is well known
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 that God meant Mofes for a great legiflator and a great prophet, and not for a profeffor of phyfics; he inftructed the Jews in their duty, and not a word in philofophy. Calmet, who has compiled a vaft 'deal, and never once reflected, talks of the fyltem of the Hebrews; but fo far was that rude people from having a fyftem, that they had not fo much as a geometry-fchool; the bare name was unknown to them, all they underfood was brokerage and ufury.In their books we meet with fome vague incoherent ideas on the ftructure of the heavens, and fuch as fhew them to have been a dull illiterate people. Their firft heaven was the air, the fecond the firmament, to which the flars were faften--ed. This firmament was folid and of ice, and fupported the upper waters, which, at the time of the deluge, made their way out of this refervoir, thro' gates, fluices, and cataracts.

Over this firmament, or thefe upper waters, was the third heaven or the Empyreum, to which St. Paul was caught up. The firmament was a kind of demi-arch round the earth. They little thought of the fun moving round a globe, whofe form they were ignorant of. When it got to the weft, it had fome unknown path for returning to the eaft; and as to its not being feen, baron Fenefte accounts for that, by faying is came back in the night.

Farther, thefe whimfical ideas the Hebrews had borrowed from other nations, of whom, except the Chaldean fchool, the greater part looked on the heavens as folid; the earth was fixed and immoveable, and by a third longer from eaft to weft than from fouth to north, whence are derived our geographical terms longitude and latitude. This opinion, it is evident, admitted no antipodes;
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accordingly, St. Auftin calls the notion of antin podes an abfurdity; and Lactantius flatly fays, "Are ". there any fo foolifh as to believe there are men " whofe head is lower than their feet ?"
St. Chryfoftom, in his fourteenth homily, calls our, "Where are they who fay the heavens are " moveable, and their form round ?"

Lactantius again fays, b. iii. of his Inftitutions, " I could prove to you by a multitude of argu" ments, that it is impoffible the heavens fhould "encompafs the earth."

The author of Spectacle de la Nature is welcome to tell the chevalier over and over, that Lactantius and Chryfoftom were eminent philofophers; ftill it will be anfwered that they were great faints, which they may be without any acquaintance with aftronomy. We believe them to be in heaven, but own that in what part of the heavens they are we know not.

## H E L L;

VHEN men came to live in fociety, they could not but perceive, that many evil doers efcaped the feverity of the laws : thefe could affect only open crimes; fo that a curb was wanting againft clandeftine guilt, and religion alone could be fuch a curb. The Perfians, the Chaldeans, the Egyptians, and the Greeks, introduced a belief of punifhments after this life; and of all antient nations we are acquainted with, the Jews alone admitted only temporal punifhments. It is ridiculous to believe, or to pretend to believe from fome very obfcure paffages, that the antient Jewifh laws, their Leviticus, and their Decalogues correfpond with the doctrine of future punimments; when the
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 author of thofe laws fays not a fingle word which bears any relation to that doctrine. One might juftly fay to the compiler of the Pentateuch: you are inconfiftent with yourfelf; you have no more judgment than probity; you a legiflator, as you flile yourfelf! How! you confcious of a tenee fo coercive, fo powerful, fo neceffary to people as that of hell, and yet not make it known explicitly, nor urge it? and though received among all the nations round about you, you leave fo momen. tous a doctrine to be gueffed at by fome commenfators, who are not to come into exiftence till four thouland years after your time, and will wreft and diftort fome of your words to find in them what you never faid? Either you are an ignoramus, who don't know that this was the univerfal belief in $E$ gypt, in Chaldea, and Perfia; or a very weak man, if being acquainted with this doctrine you did not make it the bafis of your religion.The very beft anfwer the authors of the Jewifh laws could make, is this: we own ourfelves extremely ig. norant; it was very late before we learned to write; our people, a favage and barbarous tribe, which, by our own accounts, wandered for near half a century amidft deferts, at length by the moft heinous violences, and the moft deteftable cruelties ever mentioned in hiftory, feized on a fmall territory: we had no intercourfe with policed nations; then how could we (the moft earthly minded of all men) invent a fyitem entirely fpiritual ? - We ufed the word anfwering to soul only to fignify life ; we thought God and his angels to be corporeal beings : the diftinction of foul and body, the idea of a life after death, can be only the refult of long meditation, and refined philofophy. Afk the Hottentots and Negroes, whole country is a hundred times larger than ours, whether they
know any thing of a future life? We thought we had done wonders in perfuading our people that God punifhed evil-doers to the fourth generation, either by the leprofy, a fudden death, or the lofs of what little fubftance a perfon might have poffeffed,

To this apology, it may be replied : you have invented a fyttem palpably ridiculous; for the evildoer, who was in health, and whofe family profpered, muft neceffarily laugh at you.

The apologift of the Jewifh law would then rejoin : that is your miftake; for among us where one delinquent reafoned rightly, a hundred dia not reafon at all. He who on the commiffion of a crime, found no punifhment declaring itfelf againtt him nor his fon, ftill feared for his grandfon. Farther, though to-day he had no putrid ulcer on him, to which by the by we were very fubject, it was odds within fome years it happened not to be his cafe; no family is without misfortunes and afflictions, and we brought the people to believe that thefe misfortunes were fent by a divine hand, punifhing fecret tranfgreffions.

This anfwer admits of an eafy reply : your excufe will not hold water; for every day we fee very good people feized with ficknefs, and by onemisfortune or other deprived of their fubftance; now if there be no family totally free from all misfortunes, and if thefe misfortunes are divine chaftifements, all the individuals of your families were then knaves and profligates.

The Jewifh prieft might farther reply, that there are misfortunes annexed to human nature, and others fent exprefsly by God. But this reafoner's mouth might foon be ftopped, by fhewing the extreme abfurdity of thinking, that ficknefs and hail are fometimes a divine punifhment, and fometimes a natural effect.
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At length the Pharifees and the Effenes among the Jews admitted the belief of a hell in their 203 way: This dogma the Greeks had already diffeminated among the Romans, and the Chriftians made it a capical article of faith.

Several fathers of the church did not hold the eternity of hell torments; they thought it very hard that a poor man fhould be burning for ever and ever only for flealing a goat. Virgil might as well have held his tongue as to fay in his fixth canto in the Eneid (A),

## Sedet æternumque fedebit infelix Thefeus.

His IPSE DIXIT, that Thefeus is feated in a chair, where he muft fit world without end, and that this pofture is his punifhment, is protefted againft by many; who farther think the poet to have wronged him greatly, as rather deferving a place in the Elyfian fields, than in Tartarus. Not long fince an honeft well meaning huguenot minifter advanced in his fermons, and even in print, that there would be a day of grace to the damned ; that there mult be a proportion between the trefpafs and the penalty ; and that a momentary fault could not deferve an everlafting puniffment. This clement judge was depofed by a body of mi-

- (A) The wifeft of the heathen philofophers, without the help of revelation, did believe it agreeable to right reafon, that the punifhment of the incorrigible fhould be diwnos, without any determinate or known end. See Plato in Phæd. This however, we may be certain of, fays the learned Dr. Clarke, that the degrees or intenfenefs of the punifhment which fhall be inflicted on the impenitent, will be exactly proportionate to their fins, as a recompence of their demerit, fo that no mant Sall fuffer more than he has deferved.
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nifters, of whom one faid to him: Brother, $I$ as little believe the eternity of hell torments as yourfelf; but let me tell you it is very proper that your fervant-maid, your taylor, and even your attorney fhould believe fo.

## HISTORY

## Of the Kings of Judah and the Chronicles.

(B) L L nations have written their hiftory, as foon as they ever knew what writing was ; the Jews have alfo written theirs. Before they had kings they lived under a theocracy, and were reputed to be governed by God himfelf.
When the Jews clamoured to have a king, like the other neighbouring nations, the prophet Sa -
(B) Under this article our author advances a very bold affertion, though with great appearance of diffidence, yiz. that the books of Kings and the Chronicles, are not a part of Holy Writ. He is certainly miftaken; they were always reckoned both by Jews and Chriftians among the canonical books, and therefore are of the fame weight as the other parts of Scripture, of whofe divine authority the church never entertained any doubt. As for any contradifions between the books of Kings and Chronicles, it is a bare affertion, unfupported by proof. There may be difficulties in regard to chronology, the folution of which the reader will find in the writings of our learned expofitors. His arguments are fo weak as to deferve no ferious refutation; for furely the divine authority of a hiftory does not fuppofe it to be a relation of divine actions, otherwife no hiftorical part of fcripture whatever would be divine; the actions of bad as well as good princes are recorded in Holy Writ, to the end that we make the former an object of our abhorrence, the latter of our imitation. It is, therefore, a moft infolent conclufion to fay, that if the holy fpirit dietated this hiftory, he did not chufe a very edifying subject.

## 182 <br> A PHILOSOPHICAL

muel, whofe iutereft it was to exclude a regal government, declared to them, in the name of God, that it was God himfelf whom they were rejecting. Thus the beginning of monarchy among the Jews was the period of their theocracy.

It may be therefore faid without blarphemy, that the hiftory of the Jewifh kings was written like that of other nations; and that God did not trouble himfelf to dictate the hiftory of a people whom he no longer governed.

This opinion, however, is advanced with all poffible miftruft and deference. What may be thought a confirmation of it is, that the Paralipomena or Chronicles, very often contradict the book of Kings both in the chronology and the events, as profane hiftories are known to difagree. Farther, if God continued to write the hiftory of the Jews, we are of courfe to believe, that he fill writes it; the Jews being ftill his favourite people. They are one day to be converted, and, apparently they may as juftly look upon the hiftory of their difperfion to be of divine compofition, as to fay that God wrote the hiftory of their kings.

Another remark likewife offers itfelf: if God, after having been their fole king for a very long time, condefcended to be their hiftorian, it becomes us to entertain the mont profound refpect for all Jews univerfally; the very meaneft Jewifh pedlar is infinitely above Cæfar and Alexander. Shall we not proftrate ourfelves before an old cloath's man, who proves to you that his hiftory was written by the deity himfelf, whilft all the Greek and Roman hiftories are but the productions of profane pagans?

If the file of the hiftory of the book of Kings and Chronicles be divine, it does not neceffarily follow that the actions related in thofe hiftories are alfo divine. David murders Uriah; Ifbofheth and
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Mephibofheth are murdered; Abfalom murders Ammon; Joab murders A bfalom; Solomon murders Adonijah, his brother; Baza murders Nabab; Zimri murders Ela; Hamri murders Zimri; Ahab. murders Naboth; Jehu murders Ahab and Joram; the inhabitants of Jerufalem murder Amaziah, Joafh's fon; Selom the fon of Jabes murders Za chariah the fon of Jeroboam ; Manahaim murders Selom the fon of Jabes; Phaceus the fon of Romeli murders Phaceia the fon of Manahaim; Hofhea the fon of Ela murders Phaceus the fon of Romeli; with a multitude of other murders of lefs note. Thus it muft be owned, if the holy fpirit did write this hiftory, he has not chofen a very edifying fubject.

IDOL, IDOLATER, IDOLATRY (C).

IDOL comes from the Greek sifos, a figure, EIDOLOS, the reprefentation of a figure, Latreu$E I N$, to ferve, to revere, to adore. The word
adore
(C) This article of idols is a ftrong attack againft the Roman Catholic worfhip of images; and the author feems to juftify Dr. Middleton's treatife upon the Romifh ceremonies. He difplays his erudition in treating this fubject; but furely he is fond of paradox, when he maintains that neither the Greeks nor Romans, nor indeed no other nation, were idolaters. The point is not to know what might be the private opinion of a few philofophers, but what was the practice of thofe nations in their external forms of religious worThip. Now it muft be running counter to all antiquity, to affirm that the honours paid by thofe people to the ftatues and images of their god, were not rank idolatry. To fay that the Roman Catholics do the fame is not anfwering the queftion : he may reprefent thofe of his own religion (if he can be
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 adore is originally Latin, and has various meanings as to put the hand to the mouth in token of refpect, to bend the body, to kneel, to falute, and more commonly to pay a fupreme worfhip.It is proper to obferve here, that the Trevoux dictionary begins this article with faying that all the Pagans were idolaters, and that the Indians are ftill fo. Firft, no body was called Pagan before the time of Theodofius the younger, when that appellation was given to the inhabitants of the countrytowns of Italy, "Pagorum incolæ Pagani," who retained their antient religion. Secondly, Indoftan is entirely Mahometan, and the Mahometans are implacable enemies to images and idolatry. Thirdly, many people of India, who are of the antient religion of the Parfis, a certain tribe which admit of no idols, cannot, with any propriety, be termed idolaters.
Whether Idolatry was ever the Profeffed Reli. gion of any Nation.
It appears that there never was any people on the earth, who took to themfelves the name of idolaters. It is rather an abufive word a term of deteftation; as the Spaniards formerly ufed to call the French Gavachos, which the French returned by calling the Spaniards Mara* Nas. Had the fenate of Kome, the Areopagus of Athens, the court of the kings of Perfia, been afked, "Are you idolaters? They would hardly have known what the queftion meant; at leaft not one of them would have anfwered, "We worfhip 48 idols or images." The word idolater or idolatry do not occur either in Homer, Hefiod, He-
faid to have any) as guilty of idolatry; but this does not prove that the others were not alfo idolaters,
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rodotus, or any gentile author. Never was there any edict or law, ordering idols to be worfhipped, to be accounted as deities, or to be confidered as fuch:

The Roman and Carthaginian generals, at the making of a treaty, called all their gods to witnefs; it is in their prefence, fay they, that we fwear to this peace. Now the ftatues of all thefe gods, their number being none of the fmalleft, were not in the general's tent; but they held the gods to be, as it were, prefent at the actions of men as witneffes and as judges; and certainly it was not the image which made the deity.

In what light did they then look on the ftatues of their falfe deities, which food in the temples? In the fame light, if I may be allowed the expreffion, as we view the images of the objects of our veneration. Their error was not the worfhipping a piece of wood or marble, but the worfhipping a falfe deity, reprefented by the wood and marble. The difference between them and us is not that they had images and we have none; but that their images reprefented imaginary beings, and in a falle religion; whereas ours reprefent real beings, and in a true religion. The Greeks had the ftatue of Hercules; and we that of St . Chriftopher; they had Efculapius and his goat, and we St. Roch and his dog; they had Jupiter with his thunder-bolts, and we St. Anthony of Padua, and St. James of Compoftella.

When the conful Pliny in the exordium of his Panegyric on Trajan, addreffes his petitions to the sMMORTAL GODS, he cannot be thought to mean the images, which were far from being immortal.

Neither in the later nor the moft remote times of paganifm, one fingle fact occurs to conclude that shey worfhipped idols. Homer mentions only gods dwelling in lofty Olympus. The palladium, tho
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it fell from heaven, was no more than a facred pledge of Pallas's protection; it was the goddefs herfelf who was reverenced in the palladium.

But the Romans and Greeks kneeled down before ftatues, put crowns on them, decked them with flowers, burnt incenfe to them, and carried them in folemn ftate through public places. Thefe ufages we have confecrated in our religion, and yet we are not idolaters.

In times of drought the women, after keeping a faft, carried forth the ftatues of the gods in public, walking barefooted, with their hair loofe; and immediately, according to Petronius, the rain would pour down by pales full, "ftatim urceatim "pluebat." Have we not adopted this rite which, though an abomination among the Gentiles, is doubtlefs genuine devotion with Catholics? How common is it among us to carry barefooted the fhrines of faints, in order to obtain a bleffing from heaven by their interceffion? A Turk, a lettered Chinefe, at feeing thofe ceremonies, might, from his ignorance, accufe us of placing our confidence in the images which we thus carry about in proceffion; but a word or two would undeceive him.

We are furprifed at the prodigious number of declamations thundered out in all ages againft the idolatry of the Romans and Greeks; and afterwards, our furprize is fill greater, at finding that they were not idolaters. Some temples were more privileged than others. The great Diana of Ephefus ftood in higher fame than a village Diana; more miracles were performed in the temple of Efculapius at Epidaurus than in any other of his temples. More offerings were made to the ftatue of Jupiter the Olympian, than to that of the Paphlagonian Jupiter: but fince it is proper always to contraft the ufages of a

## DICTIONARY. 187

true religion to thofe of a falfe worfhip; have not fome of our altars, for ages paft, been more frequented than others? what are the offerings to our lady des Neiges in comparifon of thofe made to our lady of Loretto? It is our bufinefs to examine whether this affords a juft pretence for charging us with idolatry.

- The original invention was only one Diana, one Apollo, and one Efculapius, not as many Dianas, Apollos, and Efculapius's, as they had temples and ftatues. Thus it is evidenced, as far as a point of hiftory can be, that the ancients did not hold a ftatue to be a deity; that the worfhip could not relate to the ftatue or idol; and confequently that the antients were not idolaters.

A rude fuperftitious populace incapable of reflection, either to doubt, to deny, or believe, who flocked to the temples, as having nothing elfe to do, and becaufe the little are there on a level with the great, who carried their offerings merely out of cuftom, who were continually talking of miracles without having ever examined any one, and who were very little above the vietims they brought, fuch a populace, I fay, might, at the fight of the great Diana, and the thundering Jupiter, be ftruck with a religious horror, and, without knowing it, worfhip the ftatue itfelf. This is no more than what has been the cafe of our ignorant peafants; and care is accordingly taken to give them to underftand, that it is the bleffed in heaven they are to invoke for theirfinterceffion, and not figures of wood and ftone, and that their worfhip is due to God only.

The Greeks and the Romans increafed the num: ber of their deities by apotheofes; the Greeks deified illuftrious conquerors, as Bacchus, Hercules, and Perfeus; Rome raifed altars to its emperors. Of a very different kind are our apotheofes; if we
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have faints anfwerable to their demi-gods and fecondary gods, it is without any regard to rank or conquefts. We have erected temples to men, merely for their exemplary virtues, and moft of whom would not have been known on earth, had they not been placed in heaven. The apotheofes of the antients were acts of adulation, ours of refpect to virtue. But thefe antient apotheofes are another convincing proof that the Greeks and Romans cannot properly be called idolaters. It is manifeft that they no more held a divine virtue refiding in the ftatues of Auguftus and Claudius than in their medals.

Cicero, in his philofophical works, does not leave fo much as the leaft furpicion, that any miftake could be committed with regard to the fatues of the gods, fo as to confound them with the deities themfelves. His fpeakers inveigh with great acrimony againft the eftablifhed religion, but not one of them dreams of charging the Romans with miftaking marble and brafs for deities. Lucretius, who never gives any quarter to the fuperftitious, reproaches no body with this folly; I muft, therefore, again fay it, this opinion never exifted, never was thought of; and never was there any fuch thing as idolaters.

Horace introduces a ftatue of Priapus, faying:
Olim truncus eram ficulnus, inutile lignum, Cumfaberincertus fcamnum, faceretne Priapum, Maluit effe Deum.

What is to beinferred from this paffage? Priapus was one of thofe petty deities which were given up to the farcafms of the jocular ; and this very joke is as ftrong a proof as can be, that the figure of Priapus was not greatly revered, being made a fcarecrow.

Dacier, commentator like, has taken care to obferve that Baruch had foretold this bufinefs; faving, they fhall be whatever the artift pleafes. But he might withal have remarked, that the like might be faid of all the fatues that ever exifted.

A tub may be made out of a block of marble, as well as the ftatue of Alexander or Jupiter, or fomething ftill more refpectable. The matter of which were formed the cherubims of the holy of holies, might have equally ferved for the meaneft purpofes. A throne, or an altar, lofe nothing of the reverence due to them, becaufe the artift might have formed them into a kitchen table.

Dacier inftead of inferring that the Romans worIhipped Priapus's image, and that Baruch had predicted it, ought rather to have concluded that the Romans made a jeft of it. Look into all the authors who fpeak of the ftatues of their gods, not one fhall you find mentioning idolatry; but quite the contrary. You read in Martial,
"Qui finxit facros auro vel marmore vultus;
Non facit ille Deos."
In Ovid,
"Colitur pro Jove forma Jovis."
In Statius,
" Nulla autem effigies nulli commiffa matello, Forma Dei mentes habitare ac numina gaudet.",

In Lucan,
" Eft ne Dei fedes, nifi terra et pontus et aer.".
To enumerate all the paffages in confirmation that images were accounted images would take up a volume,
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The only cafe which could favour an opinions that images had any thing divine in them, was the oracular images. But certainly the current opinion was, that the gods had chofen fome particular altars, and particular ftatues, where they fometimes condefcended to refide, giving audience to men, and anfwering them. In Homer, and the chorufes of Greek tragedies, we only meet with prayers addreffed to A pollo himfelf, as delivering his oracles on fuch a mount, in fuch a temple, or fuch a city. All antiquity throughout has left no veftige of fupplications made to a flatue.

They who profeffed magic, who believed it to be a fcience, or who feigned to believe it, pretended to be poffeffed of the fecret of bringing down the gods into ftatues; but not the great gods, only the fecondary, the genii. This Mercurius Trifmegiftus ufed to termmaking deities, and it is refuted by St. Aufin in his City of God. But this very thing evidently fhews the images to have had nothing divine in them, as not animated without the art of a magician. And I fancy few magicians were found to dexterous as to animate a ftatue fo as to make it fpeak.

In a word, the images of the gods were not gods; it was Jupiter, and not his image which hurled the thunderbolt; it was not the flatue of Neptune which agitated the fea, nor that of A pollo which diffufed light. The Greek and Romans were gentiles, politheifts, but by no means idolaters.
Whether the Perfians, the Sabeans, the Egyptians, the Tarcars, and Turks, have been idolaters? Fira of the origin of figures called idols. Hiffory of their worfhip.
To call thofe nations, who worfhipped the fun and ftars idolaters, is wronging them. For a long time
time neither images nor temples were known among them: if they were miftaken, it was in paying to the heavenly bodies the homage due only to the Creator. Befides, the doctrine of Zoroafter or Zerduft, as preferved in the Sadder, teaches the exiftence of a Supreme Being, who punifheth and rewardeth. Now this is very far from idolatry. The Chinefe government never admitted idols, conftantly adhering to the fimple worthip of Kingtien, the mafter of heaven. Gengiskan among the Tartars cannot be charged with idolatry, never having had any fuch thing as an image. The Muffulmen of Greece, Afia-minor, Syria, Perfia, India, and Africa, call the Chriftians idolaters, Giaours, imagining that the Chriftians workhip images. Several images which they found at ConItantinople in St. Sophia, and in the church of the holy apoftes, and others, they broke to pieces, converting the churches into mofques. Appearance, as ufual, deceived them, and led them to believe that the dedicating of temples to faints, who had formerly been men, the worhipping of their images with genuflection, and the performing of miracles in thofe temples, were undeniable proofs of the moft arrant idolatry: yet, the fartheft from it in the world. The Chriftians, in reality, worfhip only one God, and in the bleffed themfelves revere only the virtue of God acting in his faintse The Iconoclafts and the proteftants have brought the fame charge of idolatry againft the church of Rome, and the fame anfwer has been given them.

Men having very feldom precife ideas, and ftill more feldom expreffing their ideas in precife words, clear of all ambiguity, the name of idolaters was given to the Gentiles, and efpecially the Politheifts. Immenfe volumes have been written, according to the multitude of varying fentiments on the origin
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of worhhipping God, or feveral gods, and undef fenfible reprefentations: now this multitude of books and opinions only proves the ignorance of the authors.

We know not who invented any part of our clothing, and yet we would fain know who was the firft inventor of idols. What fignifies a paffage of Sanchoniathon, who lived before the Trojan war? What information does he give us, in faying, that the cahos, the mind, that is, the breath, being enamoured with its principles, extracted the mud from them; that he made the air luminous; that the wind Colp and his wife Baü, begot Eon, and he begot Genos; that Cronos, their defcendant, had two eyes behind as before; that he came to be god, and gave Egypt to his fon Jaut? This is one of the moft refpect table monuments of antiquity.
Orpheus, who was prior to Sanchoniathon, gives us juft as much light in his Theogonia, which Damafcius has preferved. He reprefents the mendane principle in the form of a dragon, with two heads, one of a bull, and the other of a lion, with a face in the middle, which he terms GOD FACE, and gilded wings to the fhoulders.

Yet thefe ideas, fantaftical as they are, give us an infight into two important truths, one that fenfi* ble images and hieroglyphics are derived from the moft remote antiquity; the other that all ancient philofophers acknowledged a primordial principle.

As to politheifm common fenfe will tell you, that, at the commencement of mankind, that is, of weak creatures fufceptible of reafon and folly, fubject to every accident, to ficknefs and death, they. foon came to a fenfe of their weaknefs and dependence: they eafily conceived that there was fomething fuperior to themfelves; they felt a power in
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the earth, which produced their food, another in the air which often deftroyed them, and another in the confuming fire and the fubmerging water. What could be more natural in men, abfolutely ignotant, than to fancy that there were beings which prefided over thefe elements? What could be more natural than to revere the invifible power which made the fun and the ftars to fhine? And on proceeding to form an idea of thefe fuperior powers; what was again more natural than to reprefent them in a fenfitive way? Or I may even fay, how could they go about it otherwife? Judaifm, anterior to our religion, and prefcribed by God himfelf, was full of thofe images, under which the deity is reprefented. He condefcends to fpeak the language of men in a bufh; he makes his appearance on a mountain; the heavenly fpirits fent by him all come in a human fhape; in a word, the fanctuary itfelf is filled with cherubims, human bodies, and the wings and heads of beafts. This led Plutarch, Tacitus, Appian, and fo many others, into the ridiculous miftake of upbraiding the Jews with worfhipping an afs's head. Thus God, who had forbidden the painting and carving of any figure, has been pleafed neverthelefs to accommodate himfelf to human weaknefs, which require the fenfes to be fpoken to by images.
Ifaiah, chap vi. fees the Lord feated on a throne, and his train fill the temple: in chap. i. of Jeremiah, the Lord ftretches out his hand, and touches the prophet's mouth. Ezekiel, chap. iii. fees a throne of faphire, and God appears to him like a man feated on that throne. This imagery does not in the leatt defile the purity of the Jewifh religion, which never made ufe of pictures, tratues, and idols as public reprefentations of the deity.
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The lettered Chinefe, the Parfis, the antient Egyptians, had no idols; but Ifis and Ofiris were foon reprefented in figures; Bel at Babylon was as foon exhibited in a huge coloffus; Brama was in the Indian peninfula an hideous kind of monfter. The Greeks above all muttiplied the names of the deities, and of courfe the ftatues and temples ; but ever attributing the fupreme power to their Zeus, by the Latins named Jupiter, the fovereign of gods and men. The Romans imitated the Greeks; both always placed their gods in heaven, without knowing what they meant by heaven and their olympus: thefe fuperior beings could not be fuppofed to refide in the clouds, which are only water. At firft feven of them were placed in the feven planets, among which was reckoned the fun; but afterwards the refidence of all the gods was extended to the whole heavenly expanfe.

The Romans had twelve great deities, fix male and fix female, whom they diftinguifhed by the appellation of "Dii majorum gentium," Jupiter, Neptune, Apollo, Vulcan, Mars, Mercury; Juno, Vefta, Minerva, Ceres, Venus, Diana. Pluto was then omitted, and Vefta took his place.

Next were the gods "minorum gentium," the indigetes, or heroes, as Bacchus, Hercules, Efculapius; the infernal deities, Pluto, Proferpine; the fea gods, as Thetis, Amphitrite, the Nereïdes and Glaucus ; afterwards the Dryades, the Naïades; the gods of gardens; the paftoral deities; every profeffion, every action of life, children, maidens, wives, women in childbed, all had their deity: there was even the god FART; laftly, emperors were deified: 1 not that thefe emperors, nor the god FART, nor the goddefs Pertunda, nor Priapus, nor Rumilia the goddefs of bubbies, nor Stercutius the god of privies, were accounted the lords of heaven and earth.
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Some of the emperors indeed had temples; the petty houfhold gods went without them, bur all had their image, or their idol.

Thefe were little grotefque figures, fet up in a clofet by way of ornament; old women and children were highly delighted with them; but never were thefe figures authorized by any public wormip; every one was left to follow his own private fuperftition. Thefe little idols are ftill found is, the ruins of antient cities.

Though we cannot fix the precife time when men began to make idols, they are, however, known to belong to the moft remote antiquity. Thara, Abraham's father, ufed to make them at Ur in Chaldea. Rachael purloined and carried off Laban's idols. There is no going higher.

But what did the antient nations think of all thefe images? what vircue, what pqwer did they attribute to them? Was it thought that the Gods quitted heaven to come down, and hide themfelves : in thefe ftatues? or that they imparted to them a portion of the divine fpirit, or did not impart any thing at all to them? a great deal of ufelefs erudition has been thrown away on this point; it being evident that every one's notions of them were proportioned to his reafon, his credulity, or his fanaticifm. The prieits, we may be fure, would not be wanting to annex to their ftatues all the divinity they poffibly could, in order todraw the more offerings. The philofophers, it is well known, cenfured thefe fuperftitions; the military people made a jeft of them; and the commonalty, ever ignorant and filly, knew not what it was doing. This is, in a few words, the hiftory of all the nations to which God has not made himfelf known.

The premifes are applicable to the worfhip univerfally paid in Egypt to an ox, and in feveral
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 cities to a dog, a monkey, a cat, and onions. Int all appearance they were at firit only emblems. Afterwards a certain ox called Apis, a certain dog named Anubis, were worfhipped; ftill the people went on eating beef and onions; but what the Egyptian old women thought of facred onions and oxen, is not cleared up.It was not uncommon for idols to fpeak. On the anniverfary of Cybele's feftival, the city of Rome commemorated the beautiful diftich uttered by the ftatue on it's removal from king Attalus's palace :
"Ipfa pati volui, ne fit mora, mitte volentem, Dignus Roma locus, quó Deus omṇis eat."
" I allowed myfelf to be carried off; away with " me quickly; Rome is worthy to be the refidence " of every deity."

The ftatue of Fortune had fpoke : the Śipios, the Ciceros, the Cæfars, indeed believed nothing of the matter ; but the old women, to whom Encolpus gave a crown to buy geefe and gods, might very well believe it.

The idols likewife pronounced oracles, the prietts concealed within the ftatues fpeaking in the name of the deity.

A midft fo many gods, fo many different theo. gonies and feparate worfhips, whence is it, that no fuch thing as a religious war was ever known among the people called idolaters? This tranquility was a good fpringing from an evil, from error itfelf; for every nation owning feveral inferior gods, peaceably allowed its neigbours to have therrs likewile. Except Cambyles's killing the ox Apis, not one inftance is to be found, in ail prophane hiftory, of a conqueror offering any infuit to the gods of a vanquith-
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vanquifhed nation. The Gentiles had no exclufive religion; and all the priefts . minded was to multiply offerings and facrifices.

The firft offerings were the fruits of the earth. But the priefts foon came to want animal food for their table: with their own hands they flew the victims; and as they made themfelves butchers, they became fanguinary. At length they introduced the horrible practice of offering human victims, and efpecially comely boys and girls, abominations never known among the Chinefe, the Parfis, or the Indians; but at Hieropolis in Egypt, Porphyry tells us, it was nothing extraordinary to facrifice men.

In Tauris ftrangers were facrificed; but this favage cuftom being known, the priefts of Tauris, it is to be fuppofed, did not much bufinefs. This execrable fuperftition prevailed among the moft ancient Greeks, the Cypriots, the Phenicians, the Tyrians and the Carthaginians. The Romans themfelves gave into this religious guilt; and, according to Plutarch, facrificed two Greeks and two Gauls, to expiate the incontinency of three veftals. Procopius, who was cotemporary with Theodobert, king of the Francs, fays, that the Francs facrificed men on their entrance into Italy under that prince. Thefe horrid facrifices were common among the Gauls and Germans. There is no reading hiftory, without being very much difpleafed with one's own fpecies.

What if, among the Jews, Jephthah facrificed his daughter, and Saul was going to lay his fon; what if they, who were devoted to the lord by anathema, could not be redeemed, as beafts were redeemed, but were indifpenfably put to death; what though Samuel, a Jewifh prieft, cut to pieces with a confecrated cleaver king Agag, prifoner of war, whom Saul had fpared, and Sharply reproved Saul for having treated that king according to the laws of na-
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tions; what of all this? God is the fovereign of mani kind, and may take away their lives when he will, as he will, and by whom he will; but men are not to put themfelves on a footing with the lord of life and death, and ufurp the prerogatives of the Supreme Being.

Amidft fuch deteftable proceedings, it is fome relief to the feeling heart, to know that in almoft all thofe nations called idolatrous, there was the facred theology and popular error, private worfhip and public ceremonies, the religion of the wife and that of the vulgar. To thofe who were initiated in the mytteries, the exiftence of one only God was preached. Of this a fufficient teftimony is the hymn attributed to the elder Oipheus, which was fung in the celebrated myfteries of Ceres Eleufina: "Contemplate the di"s vine nature, illume thy mind, govern thy heart,
" walk in the path of juftice, take care that the
"God of heaven be before thine eyes ; there is "" none but him, he alone is felf-exiftent; all be" ings derive their exittence from him; he up" holds them all; never has he been feen by mor"tals, and he fees all things."
The following paffage of the philofopher Maximus of Madaura, in his letter to St. Auguftine, is likewife worth attention, "What man is fo dull, fo " flupid, as to queftion the exiftence of an eternal, " a fupreme, infinite deity, who has created no"thing like himfelf, and is the common father of " all things?"

A thoufand monuments might be produced, that wife men in all times abhorred both idolatry and polytheifm.

Epictetus, that pattern of refignation and patience, fo great in fo mean a condition, never fpeaks but of one only God. One of his maxims is this,
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${ }_{56}$ God has created me, God is within me, I carry ${ }^{66}$ him about every where. Shall I defile him with sc obfcene thoughts, unjuft actions, or infamous des6 fires? My duty is to thank God for every thing, " to praife him for every thing; and to thank, "p praife, and ferve him continually, whilit I have " life." All Epictetus's ideas turn on this principle. Marcus Aurelius, who perhaps was on the throne of the Roman empire not lefs great than Epictetus in fervitude, does indeed often mention gods, in conformity to the current phrafeology, or to exprefs intermediate beings, between the Supreme Effence and men ; but in how many paffages does he fhew, that in reality he acknowledges only one eternal infinite God? "Our fouls, fays he, are an emana"t tion of the Deity; my body, my fpirits, proceed "f from God."

The Stoics, the Platonics, held one divine and univerfal nature ; the Epicureans denied it. The priefts in their myfteries fpoike only of one God: where then were the idolaters?

Befides, it is one of the great miftakes in Morery's Dictionary to fay, that in the time of ${ }^{*}$ Theodofius the Younger, no idolaters remained but in the remote parts of Afia and Africa. There was ftill, and even down to the feventh century, many Gentile nations in Italy. All Germany north of the Wefer were ftrangers to Chriftianity in Charlemain's time; and, long after him, Poland and the whole North continued in what is called idulatry. Half $\lambda$ frica, all the realms beyond the Ganges, Japan, the innumerable commonalty of China, a hundred Tartarian hords, retain their antient worfhip; whereas in Europe, this religion is to be found only among fome Laplanders, Samoiedes, and Tartars. To conclude, in the time which we diftinguifh by the ap-
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 pellation of the middle age, the Mahometans were called Pagans: a people who execrate images were branded as idolaters and image-worfhippers; and it mult be frankly owned, that the Turks, feeing our churches crowded with images and ftatues, are more excufable in calling us idolaters.
## J E P H T HA H. Or, Human Sacrifices.

IT is clear from the book of Judges, that Jeph: thah did promife to facrifice the firt perfon who came out of his houfe, in order to congratulate him on his victory againft the Ammonites : and who fhould this prove to be but his only daughter? Hereupon he rent his garments for grief; and after permitting her to go and lament among the hills her misfortune in dying a maid, he actually facrificed her. The Jewifh maidens for a long time comme, morated this event, lamenting Jephthah's daughter four days in a year. (See Judges, ch. xi.)
In whatever time this hiftory was written, whether it be an imitation or the original of the Grecian ftory of Agamemnon and Iphigenia, be it prior or pofterior to fome fimilar Affyrian tale, is what I do not examine; I abide by the text: Jephthah vowed his daughter for a burnt-offering, and performed his vow.

It was exprefsly enjoined in the Jewifh law to facrifice all who had been devoted to the L.ord. No man fhall be redeemed, but fhall be put to death, without remiffion: (D) the Vulgate has it, "Non

[^12]
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redimetur, fed morte morietur." Lev. chap. xxvii. vè. 29.

In confequence of this law it was, that Samuel hewed king Agag in pieces, though Saul had fpared him; and for his improper clemency, Saul was reproved by the Lord, and forfeited his kingdom.

Here is an evident proof of human facrifices; no point of hiftory can be more authentically verified; certainly a nation cannot be better known than by records, and what it relates of its felf.

## J OSEP H.

THE hiftory of Jofeph, confidered only as an object of curiofity and literature, is one of the molt valuable monuments of antiquity which have reached our times. It appears to have been the model of all the oriental writers; it is more pathetic than Homer's Odyffy, as a forgiving hero is more moving than he that gluts his vengeance.

We account the Arabs to have been the firft authors of thofe ingenious fictions, which have been adopted in all other languages; but for my part, I meet with no tale among them comparable to that of Jofeph : in almoft every part it is of admirable beauty; and the conclufion draws forth tears of tendernefs. It exhibits a youth in his fixteenth year, of whom his brothers are jealous. He is fold by them to a caravan of Ifhmaelite merchants, carried into Egypt, and bought by one of the king's eunuchs. This eunuch had a wife, at which we are not to be ftartled, for the Kiflar-aga of Conftantinople, who is an arch-eunuch, the whole of his genital parts being abfcinded, has a feraglio: his eyes and hands are left, and nature is ftill na- prived only of the two appendages of the generative organ, often make ufe of it; and Potiphar to whom Jofeph was. fold, might very well be of the latter clafs of eunuchs.

Potiphar's wife becomes enamoured with young Jofeph, who, faithful to his mafter as a moft gracious benefactor, rejects her follicitations. Such behaviour turns her love into rancour, and fhe charges Joreph with an attempt to feduce her. This is the hiftory of Hippolitus and Phædra, of Bellerophon and Stenobæa, of Hebrus and Damafippe, of Tanis and Peribea, of Marfillus and Hipodamia, of Peleus and Demenetta.

Which is the original of all there hiftories is not eafily known; but the ancient Arabian authors have a paffage relating to the tranfaction between Jofeph and Pociphar's wife, which is very ingenious. The author fuppofes that Potiphar, hefitating between his wife and Jofeph, did not look upon his wife's having torn a piece of Jofeph's robe, as any weighty proof of the young man's crime. There was at that time, in the wife's chamber, a child in a cradle. Jofeph faid that the had forcibly taken hold of his robe, and torn it in the child's prefence; Potiphar afked the child, who it feems was of a very pregnant wit for his age. The child faid to Potiphar, fee whether the robe be torn before or behind; if before, it fhews that Jofeph was for laying hands on your wife, and that fhe ftood on her defence; if behird, it is plain your wife run after him. Thus did this child's genius clear up Jofeph's innocence. This is the account given in the Alcoran from an ancient Arabian author, without informing us to whom this witty child belonged. If it was a fon of dame Poti-
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phar's, Jofeph was not the firft with whom this woman had defired an intimacy.

However it be, Jofeph, according to the book of Genefis, is clapped up in prifon, and happens to be with the king's cup-bearer and butler: both thefe fate prifoners had a dream the fame night, which Jofeph explained to them ; he foretold that within three days the cup-bearer fhould be reftored to favour, and the butler hanged, which fell out accordingly.

Two years after the king of Egypt had a very perplexing dream, on which his cup-bearer acquaints him, that there is in prifon a Jewifh young man who had not his equal for explaining dreams; he is fent for, and predicts the feven years of plenty and the feven barren years.

Here we muft make a fmall interruption in the thread of the ftory, to obferve the prodigious antiquity of the interpretation of dreams. Jacob had feen in a dream the myfterious ladder, at the top of which was God himfelf : in a dream he learned the method of multiplying his flocks, a method which has never fucceeded but with him. Jofeph himfelf had been informed by a dream, that he frould one day be fuperior to his brothers. Abimelech, long before, had notice given him in a dream, that Sarah was Abraham's wife. See the article Dream. We fhall now return to Jofeph. - On his having explained Pharaoh's dream, he was immediately created prime minifter. It is a queftion whether now a-days any king, even in Afia, would beftow a poft of that importance for having explained a dream; Pharaoh made up a match between Jofeph and a daughter of Potiphar's. This Potiphar is faid to have been high. prieft of Heliopolis, fo that it could not be the eunuch his firft mafter; or if it was, he muft cer-
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tainly have had another title than that of high prieft; and his wife had been a mother more than once,

In the mean time the famine came on, according to Jofeph's prediction ; and this minifter, to rivet himfelf into the royal favour, fo managed matters, that all the people were under a neceffity of felling their lands to Pharaoh; and the whole nation, to procure corn, became naves to the crown. This may probably be the origin of defpotifm. It muft be owned that never king made a better bargain; but, on the other hand, the people owed little gratitude and applaufe to the prime minitter.

At length Jofeph's father and brothers likewife came to want corn, for the famine was fore in all the land: as for Jofeph's reception of his brethren, his forgiving them, and loading them with kindnefs, we fhall take the liberty to omit thofe particulars, obferving only, that this hiftory has every interefting part of an epic poem; the fublime, the marvellous, the expofition, connection, difcovery, and reverfe of fortune. I know nothing more ftrongly marked with oriental genius.

The anfwer of good Jacob, Jofeph's hoary father, to Pharaoh, ought deeply to imprefs every one who can read. What may your age be, faid the king to him? A hundred and thirty years, anfwered the old man; and in this fhort pilgrimage, I have not feen one happy day.

## L A W S.

IN the time of Vefpafian and Titus, when the Romans ufed to rip up and draw the Jews, a very wealthy Ifraelite, to avoid that difagreeable
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treatment, moved off with all the fruits of his ufury, carrying with him to Eziongaber all his family, which confifted of his aged wife, a fon, and a daughter; for retinue, he had two eunuchs, one a cook, the other a kind of gardener and vine-dreffer: an honeft Effene, who knew the Pentateuch by heart, officiated as his chaplain. All thefe going aboard a veffel at Eziongaber, croffed the Red Sea, as it is called, though it has nothing of that colour, and entered the gulph of Perfia, in queft of the country of Ophir, without knowing where it lay: a dreadful ftorm drove this Hebrew family towards India, where the veffel was ftranded on one of the Maldivia iflands, then defert, but now called Pa drabranca.

The old hunks and his joan were drowned; but the fon and daughter, with the two eunuchs and chaplain, got fafe to land. They made fhift to fave fome of the provifions; and, having built huts in the ifland, began to be fomething reconciled to their difafter. The ifland of Padrabranca, you know, is five degrees from the line, and produces the largeft cocoa-nuts and the beft pine-apples in the whole world: it was not uncomfortable living there at a time when every where elfe, the favoured people were flaughtered as faft as they could be found; but the good Effene frequently wept at thinking, that they might be the only Jews on earth, and that the feed of Abraham was drawing to an end.

What fignify your tears, faid the young Jew: it is in your power to prevent it's ending; marry my fifter. Very willingly, anfwered the chaplain; but it is againtt the law. I am an Effene, and have made a vow againft marriage ; and, by the laws, vows are to be obferved: come of the Jewihn race what will, never will I marry your
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My two eunuchs, anfwered the Jew, cannot raife feed from her; fo, with your leave, I will do the bufinefs, and you fhall marry us.

Let me be ripped up and drawn over and over, faid the chaplain, rarher than have any hand in making you commit inceft: were fhe your fifter only by the father's fide, I would not hefitate fo much about it, as not being directly againft law; but fhe is your fifter by the mother's fide, fo that it would be quite abominable.

I am very well aware that it would be a crime at Jerufalem, where I might have other young women; but on the ifland of Padrabranca, where I fee only cocoa-nuts, ananas, and oyfters, I hold it very allowable. Thus the Jew married his fifter, and, notwithftanding all the Effene's proteftations, had by her a daughter, who was the fole fruit of a marriage, by one held legal, and by the other abominable.

Fourteen years after the mother departed this life: Well, faid the father to the chaplain, have you got over your former prejudices? Will you marry my daughter ? God forbid ! faid the Effene. If you will not, I will, faid the father; the feed of Abraham thall not come to an end, if I can help it. The Effene, quite frightened at fuch horrible words, would not live any longer with one who made fo light of the law, and fled. The bridegroom called after him, Stop, honeft A naneel, I obferve the law of nature, I am preferving the chofen race, do not leave your friends; but the Effene, full of the Mofaic law, without fo much as looking back, fwam over to the neareft ifland.

This was Attola, a large illand, both populous and thoroughly civilized; at his landing he was made
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thade a llave. When he had got a little of the Attola tongue, he complained very bitterly of his being ufed fo inhofpitably; but he was given to underfand, that fuch was their law, and that fince the ifland had narrowly efcaped being furprized by the inhabitants of Shot Ada, it had been wifely provided, that all ftrangers coming to Attola fhould be made flaves. A law it cannot be, faid the Effene, for no fuch thing is in the Pentateuch: to which he had for anfwer, that it was in the country-code, and a ीlave he remained; but with the good fortune of having an excellent mafter, who was very rich, and ruled him in a manner which much endeared him to the Effene.

Some ruffians came one day to rob and kill the mafter: they afked the naves whether he was at home and had a great deal of money by him? By all the gods, faid the flaves, he has little or no money at all, neither is he at home. But the Effenian faid, the law does not allow of lying; and I fwear to you that he is at home, and has a great deal of money; fo the matter was robbed and murdered : on this, the flaves had the Effene before the judges for betraying his mafter. The Effene own'd his words, faying, that he would not tell a lye on any account; and he was hanged.

This ftory, and many fuch, were told me in my laft journey from the Indies to France. On my [arrival, fome bufinefs calling me to Verfailles, here I faw a very fine woman followed by feveral other fine women: Who is that fine woman, faid I to my lawyer, who was come with me; for having a procefs in the parliament at Paris, on account of cloaths made for me in the Indies, I had my counfellor always with me: It is the king's daughter, faid he ; and, befides her beauty, fhe is of a moft excellent temper; it is a
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pity that fhe can never be queen of Francee, How! faid I, if, which God forbid, all her royal relations and the princes of the blood were to die, could not fhe inherit her father's kingdom? No, faid the counfellor, the Salic law is exprefsly, againft it. And who made that Salic law, faid I. That I know nothing of, anfwered he; but the tradition is, that an ancient people called the Salians, who could neither read nor write, had a law, by which in the Salic country no female was to inherit an hereditary fief; and this law has been admitted in a country which is not Salic. Has it fo, faid I, and I annul it: You affure me that, befides this princefs's beauty, fhe is of an excellent temper; fhe has therefore an indifputable right to the crown, if unfortunately fhe fhould furvive aill the reft of the royal family: my mother was heirefs to her father, and this princefs fhall be heirefs to hers.

The next day my caufe came on in one of the courts of parliament, and they all gave it againft me: my counfellor told me, that in another court I fhould have gained it unanimounly. Very odd, indeed, faid I; then fo many courts fo many laws. Yes, faid he, there are no lefs than twentyfive commentaries on the common law at Paris; that is, the Paris common law has been twentyfive times proved to be ambiguous; and were there twenty-five courts, there would be twenty-five different bodies of laws. We have, continued he, a province called Normandy, about fifteen leagues from Paris; and there your caufe would have been decided quite otherwife than here. This made me defirous of feeing Normandy, and I went thither with one of my brothers. At the firft inn we came to, was a young man ftorming moft furioufly. I afked him what was the matter? Matter enough anfwered he; I have an elder brother. Where is
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the mighty misfortune of having a brother, faid I to him? my brother is my elder, and yet we live very eafy together. But here, Sir, faid he, the damned law gives every thing to the elder, and the younger may hift for themtelves. If that be the cale, faid I, well may you be angry; with us, things are equally divided, yet fometimes brothers do not love one another the better for it.

Thefe little adventures led me to fome very profound reflections on the laws, and I found them to be like our garments; at Conftantinople it is proper to wear a doliman, and at Paris a coat. If all human laws are by compact, faid I, the only point is to make good bargains. The citizens of Leli and Agra lay, that they made a very bad agreefment with Tamerlane: the citizens of London again value themfelves for the good bargain they made with king William III. One of that opulent body was faying to me, it is neceffity which makes laws, and force caufes them to be obferved. I afked him whether force did not likewife make laws, and whecher William the conqueror, had not prefcribed to England laws, without any previous convention? Yes, faid he, we were then oxen, and William put a yoke upon us, and groaded us along. Since thofe times we are become men; but with our horns ftill remaining, we are fure to gore any one that will make us plough for him, and not for ourfelves.

Full of thefe reflections, I was pleafed to find that there is a natural law independant of all human conventions; that the fruit of my labour fhould be my property; that it is my duty to honour my parents ; that I have no right to my neighbour's life, nor my neighbour to mine, \&xc. But when it came into my mind that, from Cordolaomer down to Mentzel, colonel of huffars, it has been cufto-
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I am told that robbers had their laws, and that war had alfo its laws. On my afking what were thofe laws of war, I was anfwered: It is to hang up a brave officer for maintaining, againft a royal army, a bad poft and without cannon; it is to hang up a prifoner if one of your men has been hanged; it is to burn and deftroy thofe villages which have not brought in their whole fubfiftence at the day appointed by the gracious fovereign of the neighbourhood. So that is the firit of laws, faid I.

By farther information I heard of fome very wife laws, condemning a fhepherd to the galleys for nine years, for giving a little foreign falt to his fheep. A neighbour of mine has been ruined by an indictment for cutting down two oaks in his own wood, not obferving a formality which he had not been able to know any thing of: his wife died of grief in extreme differfs, and his fon lives, if it may be fo called, very wretchedly. I own that thefe laws are juft, tho' theexecution of them is a litthe hard; but I cannot bear with thofe laws which authorize a hundred thoufand men to go, under the pretence of loyaliy, and maffacre as many peaceable neighbours. The generality of mankind a pear to be naturally endued with fenfe enough to make laws; but then it is not every one who has virtue fufficient to enact good laws.

Call together from all the ends of the earth, the hufbandmen, a fimple quiet elafs, they will, at once, agree that the furplus of one's corn fhould be allowed to be fold to our neighbours; and that a law to the contrary is both abfurd and inhuman ; that coin, as reprefenting provifions, thould be no more adulte-
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adulterated than the products of the earth; that a father of a family fhould be mafter within his own walls; that religion fhould promote friend/hip and benevolence among men living in fociety, and not make them fanatics and perfecutors; that the labouring and bufy part of the world fhould not deprive themfelves of the fruits of their induftry, to beftow them on fuperftition and floth: this plain affembly would in an hour make thirty fuch laws; all beneficial to mankind.

But fhould Tamerlane come and fubdue India; then you will fee nothing but arbitrary laws. One fhall fqueeze a province to enrich a publican of Tamerlane's; another fhall make it high-treafon only for having dropped a free word cons cerning the miftrefs of the raja's firft valet de chambre; a third fhall take away from the farmer half his harveft, and difpute the remainder with him; and, what is worfe than all this, there will be laws, by which a Tartar meffenger fhall come and take away your children in the cradle, making them fodiers or eunuchs according to their conttitutions, and leave the father and mother to wipe away each other's tears.

Now, whether is it beft to be Tamerlane's dog or his fubject? Doubtlefs, his dog has by much the beft of it.

## CIVIL and ECCL.ESIASTICAL LAWS.

THE following minutes were found among the papers of an eminent lawyer, and perhaps deferve a listle confideration.

No ecclefiaftical law thould ever be in force till it has formally received the exprefs fanction of the
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 government ; by this it was that Athens and Rome never had any religious quarrels.Thole quarrels appertain only to barbarous nations.

To permit or prohibit working on holidays, fhould only be in the magiftrates power ; it is not the fit concern of priefts to hinider men from cultivating their grounds.

Every thing relating to marriages fhould depend follely on the magiftrate; and let the priefts be limited to the auguft function of the folemnization.

Lending at intereft to be intirely within the cognizance of the civil law, as by it, commercial affairs are regulated.

All ecclefiaftics whatever Thould, as the fate's fubjects in all cafes, be under the control and animadverfion of the government.

Away with that difgraceful abfurdity of paying to a foreign prieft the firft year's produce of an eftate, given to a prieft of our own country.

No prieft fhould have it in his power to deprive a member of fociety of the leaft privilege, on pretence of his fins; for a prielt being himfelf a finner, is to pray for finners: he has no bufinefs to try and condemn them.

Magiffrates, farmers, and priefts, are alike to consribute to the expences of the ftate, as alike belonging to the ftate.

One weight, one meafure, one cuftom.
The punifhments of criminals fhould be of ufe; when a man is hariged he is good for nothing, whereas a man condemned to the public works ftill benefits his country, and is a living admonition.

Every law hould be clear, uniform, and precife; explanations are for the moft part corruptions.
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The only infamy fhould be vice.
Taxes to be proportionate.
A law fhould never clafh with cuftom, for if the cuftom be good, the law mult be faulty.

## I. I B E R T Y.

A. Battery of cannon is playing clofe by your ears; are you at liberty to hear or not to hear it ?
B. Unqueflionably I cannot but hear it.
A. Would you have thofe cannon carry off your head, and your wife's and daughter's, who are walking with you?
B. What a queftion is that? in my fober fenfes it is impofible, that I fhould w.II any fuch thing. It cannot be.
A. Well, you necflarily hear the explofion of thofe cannon, and you neceffarily are againft you and your family being cut off by a cannon fhot as you are taking the air; you have not the power not to hear, nor the power of willing to remain here.
B. Nothing more evident.
A. Accordingly you have come thirty paces to be out of the cannons way: thus you have had the power of walking that little fpace with me.
B. That again is clear.
A. And if you had been paralytic you could not have avoided being expofed to this battery; you would not have had the power of being where you are ; you would, neceffarily, not only have heard the explofion, but received a cannon fhot; and thus you would neceffarily have been killed.
B. Very true.
A. In what then confifts your liberty? if not in the power which your body has made ufe of to do,
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 what your volition, by an abfolute neceffity, required.B. You put me to a ftand: Liberty then is nothing but the power of doing what I will.
A. Think of it, and fee whether liberty can have any other meaning.
B. At this rate my grey hound is as free as I am: he has neceffarily a will to tun at the fight of a hare, and likewife the power of running, if not lame; fo that in nothing am I fuperior to my dog; this is levelling me with the beafts.
A. Such are the wretched fophifms of the wretched fophifts who have tutored you. Wretched thing indeed, to be in the fame fate of liberty as your dog! And are not you like your dog in a thoufand things? in hunger, thirft, waking, fleeping; and your five fenfes, are they not common to him? are you for fmelling otherwile than through the nofe? why then are you for having liberty in a manner different from him.
B. But I have a foul continually reafoning, which my dog knows Jittle of: fimple ideas are very nearly all his partion, whereas I have a thoufand metaphyfical ideas.
A. Well, you are a thouland times more free than he; that is, you have a thoufand times more power of thinking than he: ftill you are not free in a manner different from him.
B. How! am I not at liberty to will what I will?
A. Your meaning ?
B. I mean what all the world means; is it not a common faying, Will is free?
A. A proverb is no reafon: pleafe to explain yourfelf more clearly.
B. I mean that I have the liberty of willing as I pleafe.
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A. By your leave, there is no fenfe in that; don't you perceive that it is ridiculous to fay, I will will; you will neceffarily, in confequence of the ideas occurring to you: Would you marry, yes, or no?
B. But were I to fay, I neither will the one nor the other?
A. That would be anfwering like him who faid, fome think cardinal Mazarine dead, others believe him ftill living, and I belleve neither one nor the other.
B. Well, I have a mind to marry.
A. Good! that is fomething of an anfwer; and why have you a mind to marry?
B. Becaufe I am in love with a young gentlewoman, who is handfome, of a fweet temper, well bred, with a tolerable fortune, fings charmingly, and her parents are perhaps of good credit: be fides, I flatter myfelf, that my addreffes are very acceptable both to her family and herfelf.
A. Why, there is a reafon: you fee you cannot will without a reafon, and I declare you have the liberty of marrying; that is, you have the power of figning the contract.
B. How! not will without a reafon! What then becomes of another proverb ? "Sit pro ratione vo" luntas;" my will is my reafon. I will becaufe 1 will.
A. My dear friend, under favour, that is an abfurdity; there would then be in you an effect without a caufe.
B. What! when I am playing at even or odd, is there a reafon for my choofing even rather than pdd ?
A. Yes, to be fure.
B. And pray let us hear that reafon?
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A. Becaute the idea of odd prefented itfelf to your mind before the contrary notion. It would be ftrange, indeed, that in fome cafes you will becaufe there is a caufe of volition; and that in fome cafes you will without any caufe. In your willing to be married, you evidently perceive the determining reafon; and in playing at even or odd, you do not perceive it ; and yet one there muft be.
B. But agaín, am I not then free ?
A. Your will is not free, but your actions are; you are free to act when you have the power of acting.
B. But all the books I have read on the liberty of indifference -
A. Are nonfenfe: there is no fuch thing as liberty of indifference ; (E) it is a word void of fenfe, and coined by thofe who were not overloaded with it.

## LIMITS of the human UNDERSTANDING.

P
OOR doctor, thefe limits are every where. Art thou for knowing how it comes to pafs, that thine arm and thy leg obey thy will, and thy liver does not? Wouldf thou invettigate how thought is formed in thy minute underftanding, and the child in that woman's womb? I give thee what

[^13]
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time thou wilt. Tell me alfo what is matter. Thy equals have written ten thoufand volumes on this article: fome qualities of this fubftance they have found, and children know them as well as thylelf; but what is that fubftance effentially ? and what is that to which thou halt given the appellation of fpirit, from a Latin word fignifying breath, in the want of a better, becaufe thou haft no idea of it ?

See this grain of corn which I throw into the ground, and tell me how it rifes again to fhoot forth a ftem with an ear? Inform me how the fame ground produces an apple on this tree, and a chefnut in that next to it: I could fill a folio with fuch queftions, to which thy anfwer ought to be, I know not.

And yet thou haft taken thy degrees, and weareft a furred gown and cap, and art called mafter; and there is another fool, who, priding himfelf upon a petty employment in fome paltry town, conceits that he has likewife purchafed the privilege of judging, and condemning what he does not underftand.

Montaigne's motto was, "What do I know ?" (Que fai-je?) and thine is, "What do I not know ?" (Que ne fai-je pas?)

## L O V E.

AMOR omnibus idem. Here we muft call in the conftitution; the ground is natural, and embroidered by imagination. Shall I give you an idea of love? View the fparrows in thy garden; view thy pidgeons; behold the bull led to thy heifer ; look on that fpirited horfe, which two of thy fervants are bringing to thy mare, who quietly waits his coming, and turns afide her tail to admit
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him ; how his eyes glare, how he neighs ; obferve how he prances; his erect ears, his convulfed mouth, his fnorting, his turgid noftrils, his fiery breath iffuing from them; the flutterings of his mane; the imperuofity with which he rufhes on the object that nature has appointed for him: but forbear all jealoufy, and confider the advantages of the human fpecies; in matters of love they make up for thofe which nature has given to beafts, ftrength, beauty, activity, and velocity.

There are even creatures ftrangers to fruition. It is a delight of which fhell-fifh are deprived; the female ejects millions of eggs on the flime and mud; the male, in paffing by fecundates them by his fperm, without troubling himfelf what female they belong to.
Moft creatures in copulation receive pleafure only from one fenfe, and that appetite fatisfied, fink into infenfibility. Thou alone of all animals art acquainted with the warm endearments of embraces; thy whole body glows with ecflatic fenfations; thy lips efpecially enjoy a moft fweet delight, without fatiety or wearinefs, and this delight is peculiar to thy fpecies. Laftly, thou canft at all times give thy felf to love; whereas other creatures have only a ftated feafon. Reflect on there pre-eminences, and thou wilt fay with the earl of Rochefter, "Love would caufe the deity to be worfhipped in a land of atheifts."

As it has been imparted to mankind to improve the feveral gifts of nature, they have made improvements in love. Cleanlinefs, or the care of one's perfor, rendering the fkin fofter, increafes the pleafure of touch; and attention to health adds a more exquifite fenfibility to the organs of voluptuoufnefs.

All other fentiments combine with that of love, as metais amalgamate with gold: friendfhip and efteem
efteem join to fupport it ; and the talents, both of the body and mind, are additional ties.
" Nam facit ipfa fuis interdum fæmina factis, Morigerifque modis et mundo corpori cultu, Ut facile infuefcat fecum vir degere vitam."

Self-love efpecially adds force to the feveral ties. We are enraptured with our choice, and a crowd of illufions decorate that work, of which the foundation is laid in nature.

Such is thy pre-eminence above other animals; but if thou enjoyeft fo many pleafures withheld from them; how many vexations are thy portion of which beafts have no idea! One dreadful circumfance to thee is, that, in three-fourths of the earth, nature has infected the delights of love and the fource of life with a horrible diftemper, to which man alone is fubject, and in him affecting only the organs of generation.

This contagion is not like many other diftempers, the confequence of exceffes; neither was it debauchery which brought it into the world. Phryne, Laïs, Flora, and Meffalina, knew nothing of it. It received its birth in iflands, where mankind lived in innocence; and thence it has fpread itfelf into the old world.

If ever nature could be arraigned of neglecting its work, of thwarting its own plan, and counteracting its own views, it is here. Is this the beft of the pofible worlds? What! has Cæfar, Antony, Octavius never had this diftemper; and was it not pofible that it fhould not prove the death of Francis I.? No, it is faid, things were fo ordered for the beft; I will believe fo, but that's very melancholy for thofe to whom Rabelais dedicated his book.
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## SOCRATIC LOVE; <br> As it is called ( F ).

$\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$W could it be, that a vice, which if general, would extinguifh the human fpecies, an infamous crime againft nature, fhould become fo natural ? It appears to be the laft degree
(F) The very ingenious and learned critics, known by the vulgar name of the Monthly Reviewers, have paffed a moft fevere cenfure upon this whole article. "We conceive, fay " they, it could only come from the pen of one of the moit " inconfiderate, diffolute, and abandoned of mankind. No" thing can be more infamous than what is there advanced, " in palliation of the moft deteftable of all crimes." But nothing can be more falfe, than that our author attempts to palliate this crime. Does not he fet out with affirming it to be deffructive of the human race, a debafement and violation of nature, and the higheft degree of corruption? Is this a palliation? or is it not rather a reprefentation of that infamous vice in the light it deferves. Whether he be miftaken in tracing its fource, we cannot pretend to affirm, not being fo well acquainted as thofe learned critics with the practices of the courts of juftice, nor with the arts of thofe hypocritical monfters, hackneyed in the ways of iniquity. But after all, this is a mere point of fpeculation, not at all tending to immorality. He may be miftaken again, when he fays, that the Greeks never authorized this vice, and that the Socratic Love was not infamous. But thefe are hiftorical matters, concerning which men of very great learning have differed in opinion. Our author, however, thinks the crime fo horrid and unnatural, that it could never be authorized by any government; fo that, inftead of looking on this article of Socratic Love with the fame horror as the ferupulous Reviewers, we rather apprehend it to be one of the leaft exceptionable parts of the whole work. But as Mr. Dryden well obferves, much of ill nature and a very little judgment, go far in finding the miftakes of writers.
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of $r$ flective corruption ; and yet it is ufually found in thofe who have not had time to be corrupted. It makes its way into novice hearts, who are ftrangers to ambition, fraud and a thirit after wealth; it is blind youth, which at the end of childhood, by an unaccountable inftinet, plunges itfelf into this enormity.

The inclination of the two fexes for each other declares itfelf very early; but after all that has been faid of the African women, and thofe of the fouthern part of Afia, this propenfity is much ftronger in man than in woman. Agreeably to the univerfal law of nature in all creatures, it is evef the male who makes the firft advances. The young males of our fpecies brought up together, coming to feel that play which nature begins to unfold to them, in the want of the natural object of their inftinet, betake themfelves to a refemblance of fuch objects.

It is nothing uncommon for a boy by the beaitty of his complexion, and the mild fparkle of his eyes for two or three years, to have the look of a pretty girl : now the love of fuch a boy arifes from a miftake in nature; the female fex is honoured in our fondneis for what partakes of her beauties, and when fuch refemblance is withered by age, the miftake is at an end.

## citraque juventam

Etatis breve ver et primos carpere flores.
This miftake in nature is known to be much more common in mild climates than amidtt the northern frofts, the blood being there more fervid and the occafion more frequent : accordingly, what feems only a weaknefs in young Alcibiades, is in a Durch fallor or a Rufian futler, a loathfome abomination.
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I cannot bear that the Greeks fhould be charged with having authorized this licentioufnefs. The legillator Solon is brought in becaufe he has faid,
" Thou fhalt carefs a beauteous boy, Whilft no beard his fmooth chin deforms."

But who will fay that Solon was a legifator at The time of his making thofe two ridiculous lines?
He was then young, and when the rake was grown He was then young, and when the rake was grown
virtuous, it cannot be thought that he inferted fuch an infamy among the laws of his republic: it is like accufing Theodore de Beza of having peeached up pederaty in his church, becaufe, in his youth, he had made verfes on young Candidus, and fays:

## " Amplector hunc et illam."

Plutarch likewife is mifunderfood, who, among his rants in the dialogue on love, makes one of the fpeakers fay, that women are not worthy of a genuine love; but another fpeaker keenly takes the women's part.

It is as certain, as the knowledge of antiquity can be, that Socratic love was not an infamous paffion. It is the word love has occafioned the miftake. The lovers of a youth were exactly what among us are the minions of our princes, or, formerly the pages of honour; young gentlemen who had partaken of the education of a child of rank, and accompanied him in his fudies or in the field: this was a martial and holy inflitution, but it was foon abufed, as were the nocturnal feafts and orgies.

The troop of lovers inftituted by Laïus, was an invincible corps of young warriors engaged by oath, mutually to lay down their lives for one ano-
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ther; and, perhaps, never had antient difcipline any thing more grand and ufeful.

Sextus Empiricus and others may talk as long as they pleafe of pederafty being recommended by the laws of Perfia. Let them quote the text of the law, and even fhew the Perfian code, yet will I not believe it; I will fay it is not true, by reafon of its being irpoffible. I do aver that it is not in human nature to make a law contradictory and injurious to natüre; a law which, if literally kept to, would put an end to the human fpecies. The thing is, fcandalous cuftoms being connived at, are often miftaken for the laws of a country. Sextus Empiricus, doubting of every thing, might as welld doubt of this jurifprudence. If living in our days he had feen two or three young jefuits fondling fome fcholars, could he from thence fay that this fport was permitted them by the conftitutions of Ignatius Loyola?

The love of boys was fo common at Rome, that no punifhment was thought of for a foolery into which every body run headlong. Octavius Auguftus, that fenfualift, that cowardly murderer, dared to banifh Ovid, at the fame time that he was very well pleafed with Virgil's finging the beauty and flights of Alexis, and Horace's making little odes for Ligurinus. Still the old Scantinian law againtt pederafty was in force : the emperor Philip revived it, and caufed the boys who followed that trade to be driven out of Rome. In a word, I cannot think that ever there was a policed nation, where the laws were contrary to morality.
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## SELF-L O V E.

ABEGGAR, about the firts of Madrid, ufed to afk alms with great dignity : one paling by faid to him, Are not you afhamed to follow this feandalous trade, you who are able to work? Sir, anfwered the beggar, I afk you for money and not for advice; then turned his back upon him with all the ftatelinefs of a Caftilian. Don was a lofty beggar indeed, his vanity foon took pet. He could ank alms out of felf-love; and from another kind of felf-love, would not bare reproof,

A miffionary in India met a facquier loaded with chains, as bare as an ape, lying on his belly, while his countryman, at his requeft, was whipping him forhis fins, and at the fame time dropping him fome farthings. What felf-denial is this, what abafement, faid one of the fpectators. Self-denial, abafement! anfwered the facquier; I would have you to know, that I confent to be flogged in this world, only to give it you home in the other, when you fhall be horfes and I the rider.

Thus they who have affirmed felf-love to be the bafis of all our fentiments and all our actions, are much in the right, in India, Spain, and all the habitable parts of the earth; and as there is no occafion to demonftrate that men have a face, as little need there is of proving to them that they are actuated by felf-love. This felf love is the means of our prefervation; and like the inftrument of the perpetuation of the fpecies, it is neceffary, it is dear to us, it gives us pleafure, but ftill is to be concealed.

LUXURY.
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## L U X U R Y,

OR thefe two thoufand years paft luxury has been declaimed againft, both in verfe and profe ; and ftill mankind has always delighted in it:
What encomiums have been beftowed on the primitive Romans, when thofe banditti ravaged their neighbours fields! when, to increafe their poor village, they deftroyed the poor villages of the Volfci and Samnites. They were, to be fure, men of a glorious difintereftednefs, and elevated virtue! gold, filver, and jewels they never had ftolen, becaufe there were no fuch things in the towns which they pillaged; their woods and fens afforded no partidges nor pheafants; and their temperance is cried up.

When having gradually plundered people after people, from the Adriatic to the Euphrates, they had fenfe enough to fit down in the quiet enjoyment of their rapine for feven or eight hundred years; when they cultivated every art and lived in every pleafure, and even introduced them among thofe whom they had conquered; then they are faid to have loft. both their prudence and virtue.

The fubitance of all thefe declamations is to prove, that a robber oughe never to eat the dinner he has taken away, nor wear the cloaths or ring which he has folen. Thofe things, fay the declaimers, to keep themfelves honeft, they fhould have thrown into the river. Rather fay, gentlemen that they ought not to have robbed; execrate robbers as much as you pleafe, but do not call them madmen, for quietly enjoying what. they have got. Are thofe Englifh to be blamed, who, after filling their purfes at the taking of Pondicherry and the Havanna, made them fomething
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lighter amidft the diverfions of London, in amends
for the hardfhips they had undergone in Afia and America?

Would thofe declaimers have a man bury the fiches which he may have acquired by war or agriculcure, by trade and ingenuity? They quote Lacedemon, and why do they not allo quote the republic of St. Marino? What good did Sparta ever do to Greece ? Did it ever produce a Demothenes, a Sophocles, an Apelles, or a Phidias? whereas the luxury of Athens gave rife to great men of every kind. Sparta had fomie good commanders, and yet not fo many as the other cities. But we will allow fo petty a republic as Lacedemon to retain its poverty. Whether we live in fcarcity, or in the affluent fruition of whatever makes life pleafant, we fhall one day come to our journey's end. The Canadian lives, and lives to old age, as well as the Englifhman who has fifty thoufand pounds a year ; but who will compare the councry of the Iroquois to England ?

That the republic of Ragula and the Canton of Zug, make fumptuary laws, is right; the poor man is not to fpend beyond his ability; and I have read fomewhere,
"Luxury enriches the ample ffate,
Whilft the lefs profp'rous finks beneath its weight.,"

If by luxury you mean excefs, excefs in every thing is certainly pernicious: in abflinence as in gluttony, in parfimony as in liberality. I don't know how it comes to pafs that, in my villages, where the foil is very indifferent, the taxes heavy, the prohibition againft the exportation of grain intolerably rigid ; yet is there fcarce a farmer, who is
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thot well cloathed and fed. But fhould this farmer follow his rural occupations in his beft cloaths, clean linnen, and his hair curl'd and powdered; a greater piece of loxury there could not be, befides the ridiculoufnefs of it: but for a citizen of Paris or London, to go to the play apparell'd like this farmer, is a moitt clownifh and indecent piece of flinginefs.
"Ett modus in rebus, funt certi denique fines, Quos ultra cirraque nequit confiftere rectum."

On the invention of Sciffors, which certainly does not belong to the moit remote antiquity, doubtlefs fevere were the declamations againft the firt who pared their nails, and cropped off part of their hair, which hung down to their nofe. To Be fure thiey were called fops and fpendthrifts, laying out their money for an inftrument of vanity, to mar the creator's work. What an enormity, to cut off the horn which God has caufed to grow at our fingers ends! it is an infult to the Deity. Bue much worfe was it on the firft appearance of fhirts and focks: it is fill well known, with what heaf the old counfellors, who had never worn any, exclaimed againft the younger, who came into this deffructive piece of luxury.

## M A D N E S S.

I A M not going about to revive Erafmus's treatife, which in our times would be but a common place-book; and that none of the mofk entertaining.

By madnefs is meant that diftemper of the ortans of the brain, which neceflarily hinders a mant
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from thinking and acting like others; if unable to manage his fubftance, a commiffion is iffued out againtt him; if incapable of ideas fuitable to fociety, he is excluded; if he be dangerous, he is

- Thut up; and, if frantic, he is bound.

An important obfervation here is, that this man is not without ideas; he has them, whillt waking, like all other men, and often in his fleep. It may be afked how his foul, being fpiritual and immortal, and refiding in his brain, whither all the ideas are conveyed to it by the fenfes very plain and diftinct, yet never forms a right judgment of them. It fees objects equally as the fouls of Ariftotle, Plato, Locke, and Newton; it hears the fame founds, it has the fame fenfe of the touch; how happens it then, that with the fame perceptions as the wifeft men, it makes a wild incoherent jumble, without being able to help it felf? If this fimple and eternal fubftance has the fame inftruments for acting as the fouls of the wifefl brains, it thould reafon like them; what can hinder it? If this madman fees red and the fenfible man blue; if when this hears mufic, the madman hears the braying of an afs; if when they are at church, the madman thinks himfelf at the play; if when they hear yes, he hears no, I muft of necefility conclude that his foul muft think differently from the others. But this madman has the like perceptions as they; and there is no apparent reafon why his foul, having through the fenfes received all its tools, cannot make ufe of them. It is faid to be pure, to be, of itfelf, fubject to no infirmity, to be provided with all neceffary helps; and whatever happens in the body, its effence remains unalterable; yet it is carried in its cafe to Bediam.

This reflection may give rife to an apprehenfion, that the faculty of thinking, with which man
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is endued, is liable to be difordered like the other fences. A madman is a patient, whole brain fuffirs; as a gouty man is a patient whole feet and hands fuffer; he thought by means of the brain, as he walked with his feet, without knowing any thing of his incomprehenfible power to walk, nor of his no lets incomprehenfible power to think. The brain may have the gout as well as the feet: after all, let us argue ever fo long, perhaps it is faith, a'one, which can convince us, that a fimple and immaterial fubftance can be fick (G).

Some Doctors will fay to the madman, Friend, Tho' thou haft no longer common fenfe, thy foul is no lefs pure, fpiritual, and immortal than ours; but our foul is in good quarters, and thine otherwife. The windows of its apartment are flopped up; and it is filled for want of air. The madman, in his calm intervals, would give them this anfwer: This is always your way, you are begging the queftion; my windows are as much open as yours, I fee the fame objects and hear the fame words: fo that my foul muff neceffarily either make a bad ute of its fenfes, or iffelf be but a vitiated fenfe, a depraved quality. In a word, either my foul is naturally mad, or I have no foul.
(G) Our author is all of a fudden a great fickler for faith; but we are afraid it proceeds from his ignorance in philofoply. The foul has its perceptions, it is true, by means of the renfes. But there perceptions may be impeded by bodily indifpofition, or by an irregular construction of the internal or external organs. In that cafe it does not fee the objects in the fame inanner as the foul of Plato and Ariftotle; that is, it does not receive the fame perceptions ; and therefore it may be faid to be flick and difordered as to the exercife of its faculties. See the article SOUI, where the reader will find the proofs of its being an immaterial fubftance.
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One of the doctors will anfwer, Brother, God may perhaps have created mad as well as wife fouls. The madman will reply, To believe what you fay, I muft be madder than I am. For God's fake, you who are fo very knowing, tell me wherefore is it that I am mad?

If the doctors have any fenfe remaining, their anfwer will be: We know not. Why a brain has incoherent ideas is above their comprehenfion; and they as little comprehend why, in another brain, the ideas are regular and connected. They will fancy themfelves wife, and they are no lefs mad than he.

## M A T TER (H).

THISE men, on being afked what the fout is, anfwer, they are entirely ignorant of it; arid if afked what matter is, they give the like anfwer.

- (H) M. Voltaire pretends to give under this artide the opinions of the ancient philofophers in regard to matter, which he does not however attempt to refute. It is fufficient for him to know by faith that God drew matter out of nothing. He therefore fuppofes that the non-eternity of matter, or the creation of the world in time, is not to be demonftrated frictly by bare reafoning; but the proof of it can be taken only from revelation. And herein perhaps he is right. But he is grofsly miftaken in feveral other points, as that, according to the light of reafon only, motion muft be effential to matter, and matter itfelf neceflarily exifting. Were motion effential to matter, it would imply a contradiction in terms to fuppofe matter at reft, which is highly abfurd. Then that matter is not neceffarily felf-exifting, evidently appears from the doctrine of a vacuum. It has been demonftrated that all fpace was not filled with matter; confequently there muft be a vacuum. If fo, it is evidently
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fwer. Profeflors indeed, and efpecially fchoolmen, are perfectly verfed in thofe things; and when they fay as they have been taught, that matter is extended and divifible, they fancy that is all; but when defired to tell what this extended thing is, then they are hard put to it. It is compofed of parts, fay they. And thefe parts, of what are they compofed? Are the elements of thofe parts divifible? Then they are ftruck dumb or talk without end, which is equally fufpicious. This almoft unknown being called matter, is it eternal? So all antiquity believed. Has it, of it felf, an active force? This is the opinion of feveral philofophers. Have they who deny it, any fuperior reafon for their opinion? You do not conceive that matter can, intrinfically, have any property; but how can you affirm that it has not intrinfically fuch properties as are neceffary to it? You know nothing of its nature, and yet deny it to have modes, which refide in its nature: for, after all, as matter exifts, it muft have a form and figure; and being neceffarily figured, is it impoffible that there are other modes annexed to its configuration ? Matter exifts, this you know ; but you know it no farther than by your fenfations. Alas! what avail all fubtilcies and fophifms, fince reafoning has been in vogue? Geometry has taught us many truths, and metaphyfics very few. We weigh, we
more than pofible for matter not to be ; therefore it is not a neceffary being. And fome may anfwer, that matter may be, neceffary, though not neceffary to be every where ; but this is. infinitely abfurd; for if it be no impoffibility for matter to be abfent from one place, it is no abfolute impoffibility, in the nature of the thing, that matter fhould be abfent from any other place, or from every place. See Dr. Clarke on the Being and Attributes of God, and Wollafton's Religion of Nafure Delineated.
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meafure, we analyfe, we decompound maver; but on offering to go a ftep beyond thefe rude operations, we find ourfelves bewildered, and an abyls opens before us

Forgive, I intreat you, the miftake of the whole univerfe, in believing matter felf-exiftent. How could they do otherwife? how could they conceivethat, what is without fucceffion has not always. been? were the exiftence of matter not neceffary, why exifts it? and if it was to exift, why fhould it not always have exifted? never was axiom more univerfally received than this: nothing produces nothing. The contrary indeed is incomprehenfible: all nations have held their chaos anterior to the divine difpofition of the world. The eternity of matter never was known to do any hurt to the worthip of the Deity. Religion never took offence at an eternal God's being owned as the matter of an eternal matter; it is the happinefs of our times to know by faith, that God drew matter from nothing; an article, which no nation had been informed of: the very Jews know nothing of it. The firft verfe of Genefis fays, that the gods Eloïm, and not Eloi, made heaven and earth; it does not fay that heaven and earth were created out of nothing.

Pnilo, who came at the only time when the Jews had any erudition, fays, in his chapter of the creation, "God being naturally good, did not "envy fubitance or matter, which of itfelf had "nothing good, which naturally is nothing but " inertnefs, confufion, and diforder; but from bad "as it was, he condefcended to make it good."
The opinion of the chaos being arranged by a deity is to be met with in all the ancient theogonies. Hefiod, in faying, "the chaos was firft in exiftence," delivered the thoughts of the whole eaft; and Ovid declared
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declared the fentiments of the Roman empire in the following verfe :
"Sic ubi difpofitam quifquis fuit ille deorum Congeriem fecuit."

Matter therefore was looked on in the hands of God as clay under the potter's wheel; if fuch faint images may be ufed to exprefs the divine power. Matter being eternal fhould have eternal properties, as configuration, the inert power, motion, and divifibility. But this divifibility is no more than the confequence of motion, as without motion there can be no divifion, feparation, nor arrangement; therefore motion was looked on as effential to matter. The chaos had been a confufed motion; and the arrangement of the univerfe was a regular motion, impreffed on all bodies by the Sovereign of the world. But how fhould matter of itfelf have motion; as, according to all the antients, it has extenfion and impenetrability?

It cannot, however, be conceived without extenfion, and it may without motion. To this the anfwer was, It is impoffible but matter muft be permeable; and if permeable, fomething mutt be continually paffing into its pores; where is the ufe of paffages, if nothing paffes through them ?

There would be no end of replying: the fyitem of the eternity of matter has, like all other fyltems, very great difficulties. That of matter formed out of nothing is not lefs incomprehenfible. It muft be admitted, without flattering ourfelves to account for it ; philofophy does not account for every thing. How many incomprehenfible things are admitted, even in geometry itfelf! can you conceive two lines ever approaching to each other, and never meeting ?
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Geometricians, indeed, will tell us, the properties of the alymptotes are demonftrated to you, fo that you cannot but admit them; the creation is not, wherefore then do you admit it? what diff. culty do you find to believe, with all antiquity, the eternity of matter? On the other hand, the divine pufhes you, and fays, that in believing the eternity of matter, you make two principles, God and matter, and fall into the error of Zoroafter and Manes.

The Geometricians fhall go without an anfwer, for they pay no regard to any thing but their lines, their furfaces, and their folids; but to the divine it may be faid, how am I a manichee? There is an heap of ftones which no architect has made, but with them he has built a vaft edifice. Here I do not admit of two architects; only the rough fones have fubmitted to the operations of power and genius.

Happily, which ever fyftem be efpoufed, morality is hurt by neither; for what fignifies it, whether matter be made or only arranged? God is equally our abfolute mafter. Whether the chaos was only put in order, or whether it was created of nothing, ftill it behoves us to be virtuous: fcarce any of thefe metaphyfical queftions have a relation to the conduct of life; difputes are like table-talk, every one forgets after dinner what he has faid, and goes away where his intereft and inclination lead him.

## M E S S I A H.
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We fee in the Old Teftament that the name of, Meffiah was often given to idolatrous, or infidel princes. God is faid to have fent a prophet to anoint Jehu king of Irael; he fignified the facred unction to Hazael king of Damafcus and Syria, thofe two princes being the Meffiahs of the moft high to punilh the houfe of Ahab.

In the 45th of Ifaiah, the name of Meffiah is exprefsly given to Cyrus. "Thus hath the Lord said to his anointed (his Meffiah) whofe right hand I have holden to fubdue nations hefore him."

Ezekiel, in the twenty-eighth chapter of his Revelations, gives the appellation of Meffiah to the king of Tyrus, whom he alfo calls Cherubin. Son of man, fays the eternal to the prophet, lift up thy voice and utter a lamentation concerning the king of Tyrus; and fay unto him, thus faith the Lord, the eternal, thou waft the feal of the likenefs of God, full of wifdom, and perfect in beauty: thou waft the Lord's garden of Eden; or, according to other verfions, Thou waft the Lord's whole delight. Thy garments. were of fardonix, topaz, jafper, chryfolite, onyx, beryl, fapphire, carbuncle, emerald, and gold. What thy tabrets and thy flutes could do was within thee; they were all ready on the day thou waft created; thou haft a cherubim, a Meffiah.

This title of Meffiah, or Chrift, was given to the kings, prophets, and high-priefts among the Hebrews. The Lord and his Meffiah are witnefs, I Kings, chap. xii. ver. 3. that is, the Lord and the king whom he hath fet up; and elfewhere, touch not mine anointed, and do my prophets na harm. David, who was divinely infpired, in more than one place gives the title of Meffiah to Saul his rejected father-in-law, who perfecuted him. God forbid, fays he frequently, that I Thould lay my hand on the Lord's anointed, the Meffiah of God.
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As the name of Meffiah, or anointed of the Eternal, has been given to idolatrous kings and reprobate perfons, very often has it been ufed to indicate the true anointed of the Lord ; the Mefliah, by way of excellence, the Shrift, the Son of God; laity, God himself.

If all the oracles ufually applied to the Meffiah, were to be compared, it may give rife to forme feeming difficulties, and which the Jews have made ute of to juftify their hardnefs of belief and obstinacy, did it admit of an apology? Several! eminent divines allow, that the Jews, groaning under an oppreffive flavery, and having fo many repeated promises from the Eternal, might well long for the coming of a Meffiah, who was to deliver them and fubdue their enemies; and that they are in forme meafure, excufable for having not imme. diately perceived Jefus to be this deliverer and conqueror.

It was agreeable to the plan of eternal wifdom, that the fpiritual ideas of the real Meffiah fhould be unknown to the blind multitude; and fo far were they unknown, that the Jewifh doctors have denied that thole paffages which we produce, are to be underftood of the Melliah. Many affirm that the Meffiah is already come in the perfon of He zekiah; and this was the famous Hillel's opinion. Others, and there are many, fay, that the belief of the coming of a Meffiah, fo far from being a fundamental article of faith, was only a comfortable hope, no [fuck thing being mentioned in the Decalogue, or in Leviticus.

Several Rabbin tell you, that they do not in the leaft queltion the Meffiah's being come at the time decreed; that he is not however growing old, but remains in the world concealed, and waits till Ifrael fall have duly celebrated the Sabbath, to reveal himself.
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The famous Rabbi, Solomon Jarchy or Raichy, who lived in the beginning of the twelfth century, fays, in his Talmudics, that the antient Hebrews believed the Meffiah to have been born on the very day of the final deftruction of Jerufalem by the Romans. This anfwers to the common faying, of fending for the doctor when a man is dead.

The rabbi Kimchy, who alfo lived in the twelfth century, preached that the Mefliah, whofe coming he imagined to be at hand, would drive the Chriftians out of Judea, which was then in their poffeflion. The Chriftians, indeed, were difpoffelfed of the Holy Land; but this was done by Saladin; and had that conqueror taken the Jews under his protection, it is very probable that, in their enthufiafm, they would have made him their Meffiah.

The facred authors, and our Lord Jefus himfelf, offen compare the Meffiab's reign, and the eternal beatitude, to a wedding and banquet; but thefe parables have been flrangely wrefted by the Talmudifts. Ascording to them, the Meffiah will gather together all his people in the land of Canaan, and give them an entertainment, where the wine will be that which Adam himfelf made in the earthly Paradife, and which he keeps in valt cellars, dug by angels in the center of the earcti.

The firt courle will be the famous fifh calied the great Leviathan, which at once fwallows a fifh, lefs than itfelf; yet it is three hundred leagues in length; and the whole mafs of waters is fupported on this Leviathan. God at firft created a male and a female; but, left they might overturn the earch, or crowd the univerfe with their offfpring, he killed the female and falted it down for the Mefliah's banquet.
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The Rabbins add, that there will likewife $\hat{b}$ killed the bull called Behemoth, of fuch a monff trous fize, that every day it eats the herbage of a thoufand mountains. This bull's female was flair at the beginning of the world, to prevent the multiplication of fuch prodigious fpecies, which muff have been extremely detrimental to other creatures; but they fay, that the Eternal did not falt it, cow's flefh not being fo good falted as that of the female Leviathan. So firmly do the Jews believe all thefe rabbinical chimeras, that it is common among them to fwear by their fhare of the Behemoth.

With fuch coarfe ideas concerning the coming of the Meffiah and his reign, is it to be wondered at; that the Jews, both ancient and modern, and fevera? even of the firft Chriftians, unhappily prepoffeffed with all thefe reveries, could not raife their conceptions to the idea of the divine nature of the Lord's anointed, or perceive God in the Meffiah? See the fentiments of the Jews concerning this, in a work, intitled, Judæi Lufitani queftiones ad Chriftianos, Queft. $1,2,4,23$. "To acknowledge a man God, fay they, is impofing on one's felf, it is forming a monfter, a centaur, the ftrange compound of two natures incompatible with each? other." Adding, that the prophets never taught the Meffiah's being Man-God; that they exprefsly diftinguifh between God and David; that they plainly declare the former to be mafter, and the latter fervant, \&c.

It is fufficiently known that the Jews fervilely adhered to the letter of the icriptures, never like us, penetrating into the firit.

When the Saviour appeared, the prejudiced Jews declared againft him. And Jefus Chritt himfelf, that their blindnefs might not be too much
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irritated, feems extremely referved in the article of his divinity, meaning, fays St. Chryfoftom, infenfibly to accuftom his hearers to believe a myftery fo very much above bare reafon: his affuming the divine prerogative of pardoning fins, fhocked all the bye-ftanders; his molt manifeft miracles convinced not even thofe for whafe relief they were operated, that he was'God. When with a modeft circumlocution, he owned himfelf the Son of God before the high prieft's judgment feat; the high prieft, filled with indignation, rent his cloaths, and cried out Blafphemy! Before the mifion of the Holy Ghoft, the apoftles themfelves had not the leaft apprehenfion of their mafter's divinity; he afks them what the people think of him? and their anfwer is, that fome took him for Elias, others for Jeremiah, or fome other prophet; and it was by a particular revelation that St. Peter knew Jefus to be the Chrift, the Son of the living God.

The Jews being irreconcileably fcandalized at the divinity of Jefus, have left no ftone unturned to explode it; perverting the fenfe of their own oracles, nounot applying them to the Meflah. They affirm that the name of God, Eloi, is not peculiar to the Deity; and that it is by facred authors given to judges, to magiftrates, and in general to all perfons in autheriey: they do indeed quote a great number of paffages, which countenance this obfervation, but without in the leaft invalidating thofeftrong and clear terms of the antient oracles, which manifeftly relate to the Meffiah.

Laftly, fay they, if the Saviour, and after him, the evangelifts, the apoftles, and primitive Chriftians did call Jefus, Son of God; this augult term in the gofpel-times imported no more than the sontrary to the fons of Belial, i, e. a good man, a fervant
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fervant of God, in oppofition to a wicked man, of to one who does not fear God.

The Jews, befides denying Chrift his quality of Meffiah, and his divinity, have omitted nothing to render him contemptible, expofing his birth, life, and death, with all the ridicule, virulence, and contumely, which their guilty rancour could fuggeft.

Of all the works which Jewifh blindnefs has produced, none in extravagance and impiety exceed the antient book, intitled, Sepher Toldos Jefchut, which has been refcued from the worms by M. Vagenfeil, in vol. ii. of his work, called, Tela Ignea.

This Sepher Toldos Jefchut has a moft fhocking hiftory of the life of our Saviour, forged with the utmoft fallity and malice: for inftance, they have dared to write, that one Panther or Pandera, who dwelt at Bethlehem, feduced a young woman married to Jochaman; and the fruit of this foul commerce was a child, whom they named Jefus or Jefu. The father being obliged to fly the place, withdrew to Babylon. As for young Jefus, he was fent to fchool; but, adds the author, he had the infolence to raife his head and uncover himfelf before the priefts, contrary to the ufage, which was to appear in their prefence with the head hanging down and the face covered; a petulance for which he received a fmart check: this occafioning an enquiry into his birth, it was confequently found to be impure, and he became expofed to public ignominy.

That deteftable book, Sepher Toldos Jefchut, was known fo early as the fecond century; Celfus cites it with exultation, and Origen in his ninth chapter confutes it.

There is another book which likewife bears the title of Toledos Jefu, pablifhed in 1705 by M. Huldric, which is more confonant with the evangelical hiftory of our Saviour's birth, but fwarms with the groffeft anachronifms and other errors. It makes Chrift to have been born and have died under Herod the Great; and affirms, that the complaint of Panther's adultery with Nary the mother of Jefus, was brought before that prince.

The author, who calls himfelf Jonathan, and if his word may be taken, was cotemporary with Chrift, and lived at Jerufalem, affirms that Herod, relatively to Jefus Chrift, confulted the fenators of a city in the land of Cefarea; but fuch an abfurd author; with all his contradictions; we fhall leave to himfelf.

Thefe calumnies; however, ferve to foment the implacable hatred of the Jews againft the Chriftians and the gofpel; fo that they have ftuck at nothing to fallify the chronology of the Old Teftament, and to fpread doubts and difficulties about the time of our Saviour's coming.

Ahmed-ben Caffum-al Anacoufy, a Moor of Grenada, who lived towards the clofe of the fixteenth century, quotes an ancient Arabic manufrript, found in a cave near Grenada, together with fixteen fheets of lead, on which fome tales in Arabic characters were engraved Don Pedro y Quinones, archbifhop of Grenada, has certified this fact. Thele famous Grenadjan fheers have been fince carried to Rome, where, afrer an examination of feveral years, they were at latt condemned as apocryphal under the Pontificate of Alexander VII. Their contents, are only fome fabulous tales concerning Maty and her fon.

The name of Meffiah, joined to the epithet of falfe, is likewife given to thofe impoftors, who, at
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feveral times, have made it their bufinefs to de-s ceive the Jewifh nation. Some of thefe falfe Meffiahs fet up even before the coming of the true anointed of God. The wife Gamaliel, Acts chap. v. ver. $34, \& c$. mentions one named Theudas, whofe hiftory is to be found in Jofephus's Antiquities, b. 20. chap. 2. He boafted that he could pals the Jordan dry footed, and was joined by confiderable numbers; but the Romans, coming to an action with his raw men, foon difperfed them; and taking the chief prifoner, fet up his head in Jerufalęm.

Gamaliel further fpeaks of Judas the Galilean, doubtlefs the fame whom Jofephus mentions in the twelfth chapter of the fecond book of his Jewifh wars. He fays that this falfe prophet had got together near 30,000 men; but the Jewifh hiftorian is noted for hyperboles.

So early as the apoftolic times, Simon, furnamed the Magician, made his appearance; and to fuch a degree had he feduced the people of Samaria, that they accounted him the power of God; Acts chap. viii. ver. 9 .

In the year 178 and 179 of the Chriftian æras, Adrian being then emperor, the falfe Mefliah, Barchochebas, afferted his pretenfions at the head of añ army. Julius Severus, being fent againft him, hemmed in the infurgents at the city of Bither, which after an obftinate fiege he carried; and Barchochebas being taken, was put to death. Adrian, as the beft expedient for preventing the continual revolts of the Jews, iffued an edict againft their going to Jerufalem; and even guards were pofted at the city gates to keep them out.

Socrates, an ecclefiaftic hiftorian, book 2. ch. 38. relates, that in the year 434 , a falfe Meffiah farted up in the ifland of Candia, under the name
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8 F Moles, and as the ancient deliverer of the He brews raifed from the dead to effect a fecond deliverance for them.

The next century, in 530, faw in Paleftine a falle Meffiah, named Julian; he recommended himfelf to the people as a great conqueror, who at the head of his nation fhould deffroy all Chriftians whatever; and the Jews were fo far feduced by his promifes, that they tan to arms, and maffacred great numbers of Chriftians. The emperor Juftinian's forces engaging him, the falfe Chrift was taken and execured.

In the beginning of the eighth century, Serenus, a Spanifh Jew, ftood for the Meffiahfhip, preached and gained followers; but the upfhot was, that both foilowers and leader came to a miferable end.
The twelfth century produced feveral falfe
275 Mefithis, particularly one in France under Lewis the Younger ; but both he and his adherents were banged, without fo much as the names of mafter or difciples being known.
The thirteenth century was ftill more fertile in falfe Meffiahs ; of thefe the more remarkable were feven or eight who appeared in Arabia, in Perfia, in Spain, and Moravia: one of them who ftiled himfelf David el Re , is reckoned to have been a very great magician; his artifices fo far fucceeded with the Jews, that he faw himfelf at the head of a confiderable party; but this fair profpect terminated in his being murdered.
James Zieglerne, a Moravian, who lived in the middle of the 16 th century, promulgated the approach of the Mefliah's manifeftation, affuring the people that this Meffiah had been born fourteen years before, and that he himfelf had feen him at Strafbourgh; and he carefully kept a fword and a
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 $\mathrm{f}_{\text {cepter, }}$ to put into his hands when he fhould be of age to teach.In the year 1624 another Zieglerne confirmed the former prediction.

In the year 1666 Zabathei Sevi, a native of Aleppo, gave himfelf out to be the Meffiah, foretold by the Zieglernes. He began by preaching in the highways and fields, and while his difciples admired him, the Turks laughed at him. It appears that at firft his preaching had no very extraordinary fuccefs, for the chiefs of the Smyrna iynagogue went fo far as to pronounce fentence of death againft him; but his puniffment was mitigated to exile.

He contracted three marriages without confummating any, faying it was beneath him. He took a partner named Nathan Levi, who was to act the part of Elias, as the Meffiah's harbinger. They repaired to Jerufalem, and Nathan there preached up Zabathei-Sevi as the deliverer of the nations. The Jewihh populace declared for hion, whilft they who had any thing to lofe anathematized him.

Sevi, to fhun the form, withdrew to Conftantinople, and from thence to Smyrna: Nathan Levi deputed to him four ambaffadors, who, befides acknowledging his dignity, did him homage publicly as Meffiah; this embaffy dazzled the commonalty and even fome doctors, who declared Zaba-thei-Sevi, Meffiah, and king of the Hebrews; but the Smyrna fynagogue condemned their king to be impaled.

Zabathé put himfelf under the cadi of Smyrna's protection, and foon had on his fide the whole Jewifh people; he even had two thrones fet up, one for himfelf and the other for his favourite fpoufe, affuming the title of king of kings: his, brother Sevi he created king of Judah; and to the
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Jews themfelves he gave the moft pofitive affurances, that the Ottoman empire fhould foon be their own; in the height of his infolence, he had the emperor's name ftruck out of the Jewifh Liturgy, and his own fubftituted in its ftead.

He was confined in the caftle of the Dardanelles, and the Jews gave out that his life was fpared, only becaufe the Turks very well knew him to be immortal. The governor of the Dardanelles made a great fortune by the prefents which the Jews poured on him for leave to vifit their king, their Mefliah, who in his fetters maintained his dignity, and even the ceremony of kiffing his feet.

The Sultan, however, who then kept his court at Adrianople, was for putting an end to this farce; and fending for Sevi told him, that if he was the Meffiah, he muft be invulnerable. This Sevi allowed; but on the grand feignior's ordering him to be placed as a mark for his icoglans or pages to difcharge their arrows at, the Meffiah owned that he was not invulnerable, and protefted that God fent him only to bear teftimony to the holy Mahometan religion. After undergoing a fevere flagellation by the minifters of the law, he turned Mahometan, and lived and died defpifed both by Jews and Muffulmen. This adventure has brought the profeffion of a falfe Meffiah into fuch difrepute, that fince Sevi nobody has taken it up.

## META MOR PHOSIS,

Metempsychosis.
S it not very natural that all the various meta-
morphofes with which the earth may be faid
to be covered, fhould have led the orientals, whofe
imagination is fo luxuriant, to imagine that our
fouls
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fouls paffed from one body to ahother? An almoft imperceptible point grows to be a worm, and this worm becomes a butter-lly; an acorn changes ta an oak, an egg to a bird; water becomes clouds and thunder; wood is turned into fire and afhes: in a word, all nature is more or lefs a metamorphofis. Souls being accounted tenuous forms, were foon concluded to partake of that property, which was fenfibly feen in more denfe and heavy bodies. The metempfychofis is perhaps the moft antient doctrine in the known world, and ftill prevails in a great part of India and China.

It is likewife very natural that thofe antient fables, collected and embellifhed by Ovid in his admirable work, took rife from the feveral metamorphofes with which our eyes are converfant. The very Jews have not been without their metay morphofes. If Niobe was changed into marble, Hedith, Lot's wife, was turned into falt. As Euridice was detained in hell for looking back, a like indifcretion coft Lot's wife her human nature. The country town in Phrygia where lived the hofpitable Baucis andPhilemon, is changed into a lake; the fame fubmerfion has befallen Sodom. Arius's daughters turned water into oil; the Scripture mentions a change fomething fimilar, but more facred and real. Cadmus was turned into a ferpent, and the like was

- feen in Aaron's rod.

The pagan deities very often affumed a human difguife; and when angels appeared to the Jews, it was always as men; with A braham they partook of a repaft. Sr. Paul, in his epiftle to the Corinthians, fays, that the meffenger of Satan cuffed
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## M I R A C L E (I).

AMiracle, in the energetic fenfe of the word, means fomething wonderful; and thus every thing is a miracle. The order of nature, the
(I) As our authon does not abfolutely deny the poffibility of miracles, but acknowledges thofe which have been operated in favour of our holy religion by Chrift and his apoftes; he cannot be charged on that account with infidelity. But viewing the matter in a philofophic light, and abftracting from faith, he ftarts feveral doubts, which had he dealt with candour, he ought to have folved. He feems to have borrowed great part of this article from the Effay on Miracles, written by the learned hiforian Mr. Hume, whom he imitates in his cant language of refting our holy religion on faith, and not on reafon; a teft which be fays it is by no means fitted to endure.

It has been the pratice of modern deifs to deny the porfibility of miracles in general: obferving that the frame and order of the world is preferved according to fixed laws or sules in an uniform manner, they weakly conclude, that there are in matter certain neceflary laws or powers, the refult of which they call the courfe of nature; this they think impoffible to be changed, and confequently that there can be no miracle. But if they would confider things duly, they would find that lifelefs matter is utterly incapable of obeying any laws, or of being endued with any powers; and therefore what they call the courfe of nature can be nothing more than the arbitrary will and pleafure of God, acting continually upon matter, according to certain rules of uniformity and proportion. Hence it follows, that it is altogether as eafy to alter the courfe of nature, as to preferve it. Thofe effects which are produced in the world regularly and conftantly, and which we call the works of nature, prove the conftant providente of the Deity : thofe which upon any extraordinary occafion are produced in fuch a manner, as it is manifeft they could neither have been done by any power or art of man, nor by what we call chance ; thefe undeniably
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the rotation of a hundred millions of globes round a million of funs, the activity of light, the life of animals, are perpetual miracles.

According to the received notion, however, a miracle is a violation of the divine and eternal laws. An eclipfe of the fun and moon, a dead man walking two leagues with his head in his hands, are what we call a miracle.

Several naturalifts affirm that, in this fenfe, there are no miracles; and their arguments are thefe:

A miracle is a breach of the mathematical, divine, immutable, eterna! laws : now this definition alone makes a miracle a contradiction in terms. A law cannot be both immutable and broken; but it is anfwered, Cannot a law of God's making be fufpended by its author? They boldly aniwer, no; and it cannot be that the infiniely wife Being fhould
prove to us the immediate interpofition of the Deity, in order to fignify his pleafure on that particular occafion. The true definition therefore of a miracle, as the learned Dr. Clarke obferves, is " a work effecied in a manner different from the "c common method of Providence, by the interpofition of "i the Deity, for the proof of fome particular doctrine, or in "atteftation to the authority of fome particular perfon." In this fenfe the miracles which the difciples of Chrift faw him perform, were a compleat demonftration to them, that he had truly a divine commiffion, as it was certain that God would not himfelf interpofe in the ufual order of nature, to lead men into a neceffary and invincible error. Thefe miracles were worked to atteft a doctrine, that tended in the higheft degree to promote the honour of God, and the general reformation of mankind. This is an anfwer to all the queries of the philofophers in the following article, and is a fufficient reafon for the miracles recorded in the Scriptures, in fupport of the true religion. With regard to fuch as are faid to have been performed fince the eftablifhment of Chriftianity, that is another queftion, which we leave to the antagonifts of the late Dr. Middleton to fettle. bave made laws, and afterwards break them. If, fay they, he made any alteration in his machine, it would be to make it go the better: now it is clear, that God has framed this immenfe machine as good as it poffibly could be; if he faw that any imperfection would hereaffer be occafioned by the nature of the materials, he at firft provided againft any fuch future defect, fo that there would be no caufe for any after-change.

Befides, God can do nothing without reafon; now what reafon fhould induce him to disfigure his own work for any time?

It is for man's fake, fay their opponents. It is to be hoped then, anfwer they, that it is for the fake of all men, it being impoffible to conceive that the divine nature fhould work for fome particular men, and not for all mankind: and even all mankind is but a very little thing; lefs than an ant's neft in comparifon of all the beings which fill the immenfity of fpace. Now what can be more low and abfurd, than to imagine that the infinite Being will, for the fake of three or four hundred ants on that little clod of mud, fufpend or alter the eternal play of thofe immenfe fprings on which depends the motion of the univerfe,

Bur fuppofing that God had been pleafed to diftinguifh a fmall number of men by particular favours, muft he therefore alter what he has fettled for all times and all places? He certainly can fayour his creatures without any fuch inconftancy and change ; his favours are comprifed in his very laws; every thing has been wifely contrived and arranged for their good; and they all irrevocably obey the force which he has originally implanted in nature.

Wherefore is God to work a miracle? to accomplifh a defign he has for fome living beings !
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 that is making God to fay, I have not been able, by the fabric of the univerfe, by my divine decrees, by my eternal laws, to, compars fuch a defign: I fee I muft make an alteration in my eternal ideas, my immutable laws, as what I intended cannot be executed by thofe means. This would be an acknowled'gment of weaknefs, not a declaration of power; it would be the moft inconceivable contradiction. So that to fuppofe God works any miracles is, if men can infult God, a downright infult to him; it is no lefs than faying to him, You are a weak and inconfiftent Being: Therefore to believe miracl-s is an abfurdity; it is, in fome meafure, fcandalizing the Deity.A farther reply to there philofophers is, Your crying up the immutability of the Supreme Being, the eternity of his laws, with the regularity of his infinite worlds, fignifies nothing; our fmall heap of dirt has been covered with miracles; in hiftory prodigies are as frequent as natural events. The daughters of the high-prieft Anius changed whatever they would into, wine or oil; Athalida, daughter ta Mercury, rofe from the dead feveral times; Efcu-lapius-reftored-Hypolitus; Hercules delivered Alceftes from death; heroes returned upon earth after flaying a fortnight in the infernal regions; Romulus and Remus were the iffue of a god and a veftal; the Palladium dropped from Heaven into the city of Troy; Berenice's treffes became a conftellation; Baucis and Philemon's hut was changed to a ftately temple; Orpheus's head uttered oracles after his death; the walls of Thebes were formed before numbers of Greeks, by fones moving of themfelves to the found of a flute; innumerable " cures were performed in Efculapius's temple; and we have ftill monuments with the names of ocular witneffes to his miracles,
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Name me one nation where incredible prodigies have not been performed, efpecially in times when reading and writing were little known,

All the anfwer unbelieving philofophers give to thefe objections is a fneer and a fhrug; but thofe who profefs Chriftianity fay, We make no doubt of the miracles wrought within our holy religion ; yet it is by faith we believe them, and not by reafon; as for the latter we turn the deaf ear to it; for we know, that when faith fpeaks, reafon is to be mute: the miracles of Jefus Chrift and his apoftles we are fully and firmly perfuaded of; but allow us to doubt a little of feveral others; indulge us, for inftance, in fufpending our judgment concerning what is related by a weak man $(\mathrm{K})$, who yet has been fur named the Great. He affirms that a little monk got fuch a cuftom of working miracles, that, at length, the pprior forbad him to exercife his fupernatural talent. The monk conformed to the order, but one day feeing a bricklayer falling from the roof of a houfe, he hefitated between monaftical obedience and charity in faving the poor man's life, and only ordering him to remain in the air till he got orders, he ran to acquaint the prior with the cafe. The prior gave him abfolution for the fin of beginning a miracle without leave, and allowed him to go through with it, but never to do the like again. It is granted to philofophers that this fory may be a little miftrufted.

But it is again faid to them, How will you dare to deny that St. Gervafe and St. Protais appeared in a dream to St. Ambrofe, and informed him of the place where their reliques lay; that St. Ambrofe had them taken up; and that a blind man was

[^14]
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cured by them? St. Auftin was then at Milan, and it is he who ielates this miracle in Book XXII. of his City of God, and that it was performed "immenfo populo tefte." Here is a miracle with every circumftance of proof. Philofophers, however, fay, that they believe nothing at all of Gervale and Protais appearing; that to know where the remains of their carcafes lie, is a thing of no concern to mankind; and that they give no more credit to that blind man than to Vefpafian's; that it is an ufelefs miracle; that God does nothing ufelefs; and in 2 word, they abide immoveable by their principles. My regard for St. Gervafe and St. Protais will not allow me to fide with thofe philofophers; I only give an account of their incredulity. They are vaftly fond of a paffage of Lucian in the death of Peregrinus, "a dexterous juggler turning Chriftian is fure of making his fortune ;" but Lucian is a profane author, and, of courfe, fhould be of no weight among us.

Thefe philofophers cannot bring themfelves to believe the miracles of the fecond century, though eye-witneffes have in writing declared, that the bifhop of Smyrna, St. Policarpe, having, purfuant to the fentence paffed on him, been thrown into a blazing fire, they heard a voice from heaven calling out, "Chear up, Policarpe, be ftrong in the Lord, and fhew thy felf a man;" at which the flames of the pile drawing back from his body, formed a fiery canopy over his head, and out of the pile flew a dove; at laft they were obliged to cut off the good bilhop's head. To what purpofe was this miracle? fay unbelievers; how came it that the flames deviated from their nature, and the executioner's ax had the natural effect? how is it that fo many martyrs, aftef coming fafe and found out of boiling oil, have fallen under the edge of the fword?
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The ufual anfwer is, that fuch was God's will; But philofophers will believe no fuch thing, unlefs they had feen it with their own eyes.

They who improve their reafonings by ftudy, will tell you that the fathers of the church have themfelves often owned that miracles were ceafed in their time. St. Chryfoftom fays exprefsly, ". The extraordinary gifts of the fpirit were " given even to the unworthy, becaufe the church "s then ftood in need of miracles; but at prefent " they are not fo much as given to the worthy, "t the church no longer ftanding in need of them." Afterwards he acknowledges that there was nobody then who raifed the dead, or fo much as cured the fick.

St, Auftin himfelf, as if he had forgot the miracle of Gervafe and Protais, fays in his City of God, "Why are thofe miracles, which were per"formed fome time ago, at prefent ceafed?" and he gives the fame reafon, "Cur, inquiunt, nunc illa miracula quæ prædicatis facta effe, non fiunt? Poffem quidem dicere, neceffaria priùsfuiffe, quam crederet mundus, ad hoc ut crederet musdus."

It is objected to the philofophers, that St. Auftin, notwithftanding this avowal, fpeaks of an old cobler at Hippo, who having loft his cloak, went to pray for relief at the chapel of the Twenty Martyrs, and in his return home found a fifh, in the body of which was difcovered a gold ring; the cook who dreffed it giving it to the cobler, faid, There is a prefent for you from the Twenty Martyrs.

To this the philofophers anfwer, that in that ftory there is nothing contrary to the laws of nature; that a fifh may very naturally have fwallowed
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a gold ring; and that there is no miracle in the cook's giving that ring to the cobler.

If the philofophers are put in mind that, according to St. Jerom, in his Life of the hermit Paul, this devout perfon had feveral converfations with fatyrs and fauns; that a raven for thirty years together daily brought him half a loaf for his dinner; and a whole loaf the day St. Anthony paid him a vifit; they may ftill reply that nothing of all this, is abfolutely contrary to nature; that fatyrs and fauns may have exifted; and that, after all, if this ftory be a puerility, that does not in the leaft affect the real miracles of our Saviour and his apoftles. Several good Chritians have rejected the ftory of St. Simeon Stilites, written by Theodoret: many miracles accounted authentic in the Greek church have been queftioned by Latin writers; fo in return, Latin miracles have been fufpected by the Greeks; in procefs of time came the Proteftants, who have made very free with the miracles of both churches.

A learned Jefuit (L) who preached a long time in the Indies, complains, that neither his brethren nor he could ever perform one fingle miracle. Xavier, in feveral letters, laments his not having: the gift of tongues: he fays that he is but as a dumb image among the Japonefe; yet, according to the narrative of the Jefuits, he reftored eight dead perfons to life, and that is a great many; but it muft withal be confidered, that the fcene of thofe reftorations was fix thoufand leagues off. Some perfons of later times make the fuppreffion of the Jefuits in France a much greater miracle than all thofe of Xavier and Ignatius put together, -Be
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that as it may, all Chriftians hold the miracles of Jefus Chrift and his apoftes to be indifputably true and real, but allow that fome miracles of our modern times, and which are without any certain authenticity, may very well be doubted of.

It were to be wifhed, that for the legal verification of a miracle, it fhould be performed before the Academy of Sciences at Paris, or the Royal Society, and the College of Phyficians at London, with a detachment of the guards to keep off the people, whofe tumultuous indifcretion might hinder the performance of the miracle.

A philofopher was one day afked what he would fay if the fun fhould ftand ftill, that is, if the motion of the earth round that body ceared; if all the dead arofe; and if all the mountains went and threw themfelves into the fea; and all this to prove fome important truth, we will fuppofeverfatile grace. What I fhould fay, anfwered the philofopher, I would turn Manichee, and fay, that there is a principle which undoes what the other has done.

## M O S E S.

1T (M) has been the groundlefs opinion of many learned men that the Pentateuch cannot have been written by Mofes. They fay that, according to the
(M) So fond is our anthor of paradox, that in the following article he fuppofes Mofes not to have been the author of the Pentateuch, or the five books commonly attributed to that legiflator, viz. Genefis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy. It is true, as he acknowledges thofe books to have been written by an infpired writer, it is not effential to religion, whether Mofes was the author of them or not.
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 the frripture itfelf, the firft known copy was found in the time of king Jofias, and that this only copyBut for the fake of hiftorical truth, we fhall give a few remarks on this fubject. The Pentateuch was called the law by way of excellence, becaufe the principal part of it contained the law which Mofes had received from God on Mount Sinai. Now it can hardly be queftioned but this legiflator wals the author of the Pentateuch, if we attentively confider the $z_{4}$ th chapter of Exodus and the 31 ft of Deuteronomy. In the former, it is exprefsly faid, "And Mofes wrote all the "words of the Lord;" in the latter, "And Mofes wrote this " law, and delivered it unto the priefts, the fons of Levi :" Again, "And it came to pafs when Mofes had made an end " of writing the words of this law in a book until they were " finifhed." Befides, all antiquity, both facred and profane, acknowledges Mofes to have been the legiflator of the Jews: That whole nation has always carefully preferved his books; and looked upon them-as containing their law. When the tribes were divided into two kingdoms, both of them preferved the fame refpect for thofe books as being written by Mofes. Prophane authors have fpoken of thofe books, as penned by the fame legiflator. In fhort, it is as certain that the books which go by the name of Mofes are bis own, as that thofe afcribed to Thucydides and Livy, \&c. appertain to thofe whofe names they bear. It is pofible there may have been fome additions and alterations made in them; but the body of the hiftory and the laws could not be altered. Thus the death of Mofes is clearly mentioned in the laft chapter of Deuteros nomy; whence it is probable, that either Jofhua or Efdras added the eight laft verfes of that book; though Jofephus pretends that Mofes, finding his diffofution approaching, wrote thofe lines himfelf, in order to certify his death at thend of his books, left the Jews, from too great a regard 8 memory, fhould deny his death, and give out that he had been tranflated into Heaven. Notwithftanding this evidence of Mofes's being the author of the Pentateuch, fome Iate writers, as Pere Simon and Le Clerc, have efpoufed the contrary opinion of Hobbes and Spinofa, which feems alfo to be adopted by M. Voltaire. The difficulties ftarted by thofe writers may appear plaufible at firft fight, but are fully refuted by M. du Pin, in his preliminary differtation to the Bible, to which we refer the reader.
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 Was brought to the king by Saphan the feribe: Now the interval from Mofes to this circumftance of Saphan the frribe, according to the Hebrew computation, makes a face of 1167 years; for God appeared to Mofes in the burning-bufh in the year of the world 2213 , and Saphan the fcribe made public the book of the law in the year of the world 3380 . This book, which had been found under Jofias, was unknown till the return from the captivity of Babylon; and Efdras is faid, by divine infpiration, to have brought to light all the facred writings.But whether Efdras or any other was the compiler of this book, is abfolutely a matter of indifference, admitting its being infpired. The Pentateuch does not fay that Mores was the author of it; fo that it might, without profanenefs, be attributed to any other facred penman, if the church had not pofitively decided, that this book was written by Mofes.

Some adverfaries add, that no prophet has quoted any of the books of the Pentateuch; that not the leaft mention is made of it in the Pfalms; is the books attributed to Solomon; nor in Jeremiah, nor in Ifaiah; nor, in a word, in any canonical book of the Jews. Then the words anfwering to thofe of Genefis, Exodus, Numbers, Leviticus, Deuteronomy, are not to be found in any other book received as authentic by that nation.

- Others more fanguine have put the following queftions :

1. In what language could Mofes have written in a wilderness? It could be only in the Egyptian, for, from this very book it is clear, that Mofes and his whole people were born in Egypt, and very probably acquainted with no other lan-
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guage. The Egyptians were yet ftrangers to the ufe of the papyrus; they had their hieroglyphics cut in marble and wood; the very tables of the commandments are faid to have been engraved on ftone : fo that here were five volumes to be engraved on polifhed ftones; a work of prodigious time and labour !
2. Is it probable that in a wildernefs, where the Jewifh people had neither fhoemaker nor taylor, and where the God of the univerfe was obliged to work a continual miracle to preferve their old cloaths and fhoes, they fhould have among them perfons of fuch fkill as to engrave the five books of the Pentateuch on marble or wood? It will be faid that workmen were found among them who could make a golden calf in one night, and afterwards reduce the gold to duft; (an operation beyond the fkill of common chemiftry, an art not yet invented) who could build the tabernacle, adorn it with thirtyfour brafs pillars, with filver chapiters; who wove and embroidered linen veils with hyacinth, purple, and fcarlet: but this very thing ftrengthens the adverfaries opinion, and they rejoin that it is not in nature that fuch curious works fhould have been made in a defert, and under the want of every thing; that fhoes and coats would have been the things to have begun with; that people wanting neceffaries farce think of luxury; and that to fay they had founders, engravers, carvers, dyers, embroiderers, when they had not fo much as cloaths, fandals, nor bread, is grofs and palpable contradiction.
3. If Mofes had written the firft chapter of $\mathrm{Ge}-$ nefis, would the reading of that chapter have been forbidden to all young people? would the legiAator be treated with fuch difregard? had it been Mofes who faid that God punifhes the iniquities of
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the fathers to the fourth generation, would Ezekiel have prefumed to fay the contrary?
4. Had Mofes written Leviticus, could he have contradicted himfelf in Deuteronomy? Leviticus forbids the marrying a brother's wife, Deuteronomy enjoins it.
5. Would Mofes have fpoken of towns which were not known in his time? Would he have faid that towns which, relatively to him lay eaft of Jordan, were weft of that river?
6. Would he have affigned to the Levites fortyeight towns in a country which never had ten; and in a wildernefs where he had never fo much as a houfe daring all his wanderings?
7. Would he have laid down rules for the Jewifh kings, whilft that people not only had no kings, but abhorred them, and there was no probability that they would ever have any? How! would Mofes have given precepts for the conduct of kings, who did not come till about five hundred years after him, and fay nothing concerning the judges and high priefts, his immediate fucceffors? Does not this reflection incline one to believe, that the Pentateuch was written in the time of the Kings; and that the ceremonies inftituted by Mofes were only traditional.
8. Is it poffible that hevfhould fay to the Jews, ye were fix hundred thoufand men when I brought you out of the land of Egypt under the protection of your God? Would not the Jews have anfwered? Then you mult have been a faint-hearted creature not have led us againft Pharoah; he had not an army of two hundred thoufand men to oppofe us. Egypt never had fo many men on foot; we fhould eafily have defeated him, and made ourfelves mafters of his country. How I the God, who fpeaks to you, has, to pleafe us, killed all the
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firft-born in Egypt; and if that country contained three hundred thoufand families, there's three hundred thoufand men carried off in one night to revenge us; and you have not feconded your God. You have not given us that fruitful country which was likewife defencelefs. You made us come out of Egypt like thieves and poltroons, that we might perifh in wilderneffes among rocks and precipices : you might at leaft have led us by the direct way into that land of Canaan, to which we have no right ( N ), and which you promifed us, but have not yet brought us thither.

It was natural that from the land of Gofhen, we fhould have taken the way towards Tyre and Sidon along the Mediterranean; but you have made us traverfe almoft the ifthmus of Suez, have brought us again into Egypt as far as beyond Memphis, and behold we are now at Bel-Sephon on the Red Sea, with the land of Canaan behind us, after a march of fourfcore leagues in that very country which we were for fhunning; and, after all, in imminent danger of perifhing either by the fea or Pharoah's army.

Had your intention been to deliver us up to our enemies, what other meafures could you have taken ? God, you fay, has faved us by a miracle, the fea opened to let us pafs through; but, after fuch kindnefs, fhould you have brought us to die with hunger and wearinefs, in the horrible deferts of Ethan, Kadefh-Barnea, Mara, Elim, Oreb, and Sinai ? All our fathers perifhed in thofe dreadful wilderneffes, and after forty fuch calamitous years,
(N) Mr. Bachiene, gographer to the prince of Orange, has, in his Sacred Geography, proved the Ifraelites right to the land of Canaan.
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you come and tell us, that God took particular care of our fathers.

This is what thofe murmuring Jews, thofe perverfe children of vagabond fathers, who died in the deferts, might have faid to Mofes, had he read Exodus and Genefis to them: and what ought they not to have faid, and even to have done, on account of the golden calf? How ! you dare tell us that your brother made a golden calf for our fathers, whilft you was with God on the mount; you who fometimes fay, that you fpoke to God face to face, and fometimes that you could only fee his hinder parts. Well, but you was with God, and your brother caft a golden calf in one day, and fet it up for us to workhip; but inftead of punifhing your worchlefs brother, you make him our high prieft, and order your Levites to flay three-and-twenty thoufand of your people. Would our fathers have tamely fuffered this? Would they have let themfelves been knocked down by fanguinary priefts like fo many victims. You farther tell us, as if this butchery was not fufficient, that another time you ordered twenty-four thoufand of your poor followers to be maffacred, becaufe one of them had lain with a Midianite, and you yourfelf married a Midianite ; and after this, you add, that you are the meekeft of all men. A few more fuch meek procedures would have made an end of mankind.
No, had you been capable of fuch cruelty, had you been able to carry it into execution, you would have been the moft barbarous of men; it would have been fo enormous a guilt, that no punifhment could have been equal to it.

Thefe are pretty nearly the objections made by the learned to thofe who hold Mofes to have been the author of the Pentateuch. But thefe rejoin,
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that the ways of God are not like thofe of men; that God, by a wifdom unknown to us, has tried and alternately protected and forfaken his people; that the Jews themfelves, for above two thoufand years, have univerfally believed Mofes to be the author of thofe books; that the church, which has fucceeded to the fynagogue, and is endued with the like infallibility, has decided this point of controverfy; and that the learned fhould keep filence, when the church fpeaks.

## P E T E R

TN Italian Piefo, or Pietro; in Spanish Pedro, in Latin Petrus, in Greek Petros, in Hebrew Cepha.

How comes it that Peter's fucceffors have had fo much power in the weft and none in the eaft ? This is afking why the bifhop of Wurtzburg and Saltzburg have in troublefome times affumed royal prerogatives, whilft the Greek bihhops have remained fubjects. Time, opportunity, and the ambition of fome, and the weaknefs of others, do every thing in this world, and ever will.

To thele troubles was added opinion, and opinion rules men; not that they in reality have a very determinate opinion, but they are ds tenacious of words.

It is related in the Gofpel, that Jefus faid to Peter, "I will give thee the keys of the kingdom " of heaven." The flicklers for the bihhop of Rome maintained, about the eleventh century, that he who gives the greater gives the lefs; that the heavens encompaffed the earth; and that Peter, having the keys of the containing, had allo the keys of the contents. If by the heavens we mean all the ftars and all the planets, then the keys given
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 to Simon Bar-jona, furnamed Peter, were a paffe-par-tout. If by the heavens are meant the clouds, the atmofphere, the ether, the fpace in which the planets roll, there are few lock-fmiths, fays Meurfius, who can make a key to fuch doors.In Paleftine, keys were a wooden peg faftened with a leathern thong Jefus fays to Bar-jona, "What thou fhalt bind on earch fhall be bound in "heaven." From this the pope's theologians have inferred, that the popes are invefted with a power of binding and loofening fubjects from the oath of allegiance to their kings, and of difpofing of all kingdoms at their pleafure : a notable inference indeed! The commons at a general affembly of the flates of Erance in 1302 , in their petition to the king, fay, "that Boniface VIII. was a "fcoundrel," believing that God bound and imprifoned in beaven all whom Boniface bound on earth. A famous German Lutheran (I think it was Melancthon) could hardly believe that Jefus flould have faid to Simon Bar--iona, Cepha or Cephas, "Thou art Peter, and on this rock, will I "build my church." He could not conceive that God had made ufe of fuch a play of words, fo very extraordinary a pun, and that the pope's power was founded on a quibble.

Peter.has been thought the firft bifhop of Rome; but it is fufficiently known that then, and for a long time after, there was no particular fee. It was not till towards the end of the fecond century, that the Chriftians were moulded into a regular body.
It is poffible that St. Peter went to Rome; it is even poffible that he was crucified with his head downwards, though that was not cultomary; but of all this we have no proof. A letter, bearing his name, is ftill extants, in which he fays that he is at Babylon. Judicious canonifts will have this Babylon to mean Rome; fo that had he dated his
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letter from Rome, it might have been inferred that the letter had been written from Babylon: fuch inferences are of a long ftanding; and thus it is that the world has been governed.

A very pious man, who had been exorbitantly impofed on at Rome in relation to the purchafe of a benefice, a practice, which is called fimony, being afked whether he thought that Simon Peter had ever been in that country, anfwered, I fee no marks of Peter's having been there, but I am very certain Simon was.

As to Peter's perfon, Paul is not the only one who has taken offence at his behaviour: both he and his fucceffors have often been withftood to their face. St. Paul keenly reproached him for eating prohibited meats, as pork, puddings, hare, eels, \&cc. Peter, in juffification of himfelif, alledged that, about the fixth hour, he had feen the heavens opened, and a large table-cloth full of eels, beafts, and birds defcending from the four quarters of the heavens; and that the voice of an angel called out, "Kill and eat." Probably, fays Wolafton, it was the fame voice, which has called to fo many popes, "Kill every body, and eat up. the people's fubftance."

Cafaubon could not approve Peter's bevaviour to Ananias and his wife ( $O$ ), who were a good

- (O) The punifhment of Ananias and Sapphira might ap pear very fevere for a fault, which does not feem at firf fight to be confiderable; but the offence was grievous, fince they made fo flight of lying to the Holy Ghoft. For it is thought by fome eminent writers, that they had taken an oath not to referve any thing to themfelves; but to devote their effates to the common ufe of the faithful. Their crime therefore was a kind of perjury and facrilege: and it was feverely punifhed, becaufe it was requifite in the beginning to give fanction to the laws of Chriftianity.
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fort of people: What right, fays he, had a Jew, a flave under the Romans, to order or allow all who believed in Jefus to fell their fubftance, and lay the produce at his feet. Were an Anabaptift preacher at London to order his brethren to bring him all their money, would he not be taken up as a mover of fedition, a robber, and as fuch fent to Tyburn? Was it not a horrid thing to ftrike Ananias dead, only becaufe out of the money for which he had fold his eftate, he fecretly referved a few pounds againft a rainy day, bringing the far greater part to Peter. Scarce was the breath out of Ananias's body, when in comes his wife. Peter, inftead of kindly informing her that he had juft killed her hufband for keeping a few pence, and telling her to take care of what fhe had, allures her into the fnare. He afks her whether her hufband had brought in all his money for the faints; the poor woman anfwers, yes, and inftantly drops down dead. Something hard this !

Corringius afks why Peter, who thus demolifhes thofe who brought him alms, did not rather go and kill all the doctors who had a hand in putting Jefus to death, and had caufed himfelf to be fcourged feveral times. Fie, Peter, to kill two Chriftians who had brought you a good purfe of money; and they who crucified your God, you allow to live!

It is to be fuppofed that Corringius, when he put forth thefe bold queftions, was not in a country fubject to the inquifition. Erafmus has concerning Peter a pretty fingular remark, that the head of the Chriftian religion began his apoftleThip by denying Jefus Chrift; and the high prieft of Judaifm began his miniftry by making a golden calf, and worfhipping it.

However it be, Peter is tranfmitted to us as poor, and humbly inftructing the poor; he is like thofe
266. A PHILOSOPHICAL thore founders of orders who lived in indigence, but whofe fucceffors are become great men.

The pope, St. Peter's fucceffor, has both won and loft: however, he has ftill remaining, in the feveral parts of the world, befides his immediate fubjects, about fifty millions of people, who in many articles acknowledge his laws.
To bave a mafter three or four hundred leagues from one's home; to forbear thinking till that man fhall have feemed to think; not to dare to try definitively a procels between our fellow-citizens, but by commiffioners of this foreigner's nomination; to tranfgrefs the laws of one's country, by which a perfon is reftrained from marrying his niece, and yet to render this a legitimate marriage, by giving a fill more confiderable fum to that foreign mafter; not to dare take poffeffion of any fields or vineyards conferred by one's own fovereign, without paying a large fum to this foreign malter; not to dare plough one's grounds on a day appointed by a foreigner for commemorating an unknown perfon, whom he has placed in heaven by his own private authority; thefe are the advantages of acknowledging a pope; thefe are the liberties of the Gallican church.

Other nations there are who carry fubmiffion ftill farther. We have in our times feen a fovereign afk the pope leave to bring to a trial, in his royal court of juftice, fome monks acculed of regicide, fail in his follicitations for leave, and not dare to try thofe wretches.
It is well known that, formerly, the popes power was ftill of greater extent. They were much fuperior to the gods of antiquity ; for thofe deities were only imagined to difpofe of empires, but the popes difpofed of them in reality.

Sturbinus fays, that they who doubt of the pope's divinity and infallibility are excufable, when
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it is confidered that St. Peter's fee has been profaned by forty fchifms, and twenty-feven of them have been attended with murders, maffacres, and wars.

That Stephen VII. a prieft's fon, had his predeceffor, Formofus, dug up, and the corpfe's head cut off.

That Sergius III. was convicted of affaflinations, and had a fon by Marozia, who inherited the papacy.

That John X. Theodoras's gallant, was ftrangled in his bed.

That John XI. fon of Sergius III. was known only for his fcandalous intemperance.

That John XII, was murdered at his frumpet's houfe.

That Benedict IX. bought the pontificate, and fold it again.

That Gregory VII. was the author of civil wars, which were continually profecuted by his fucceffors for the fpace of five hundred years.

That laftly, among fo many debauched, ambitious, and fanguinary popes, there has been an Alexander VI. whofe name always excites no lefs horror and deteftation than thofe of Nero and Caligula.

This, it is faid, proves the divinity of their character, that it Chould have fubfifted amidft fo many crimes; but had the behaviour of the califs been ftill more flagitious and execrable, they would then have been ftill more divine. This is Dermius's argument; but the Jefuits have anfwered him.

## PREJUDICES.

PRejudice is an opinion void of judgment: thus every where many opinions are inftilled into children before they are able to judge.
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1 There are univerfal and neceffary prejudices, and fuch are effential to virtue. In every country, children are taught to believe in a God, who punifhes and rewards; to refpect and to love their father and mother; to hold theft a crime ; a felfifh lye a vice, before they can fo much as guefs what vice or virtue is.
4. Thus there are very good prejudices, and thefe are fuch as on being brought to the teft, judg. ment ratifies.

Sentiment is not mere prejudice; it is much fronger. It is not becaufe the mother has been told that fhe mut love her fon, that fhe loves him; fhe, happily, cannot help her fondnefs for him. It is not from prejudice that a man runs to affift an unknown child, whom a beaft is near devouring, or who is in any other dariger.

But it is from mere prejudice that you refpect a man dreffed in a particular manner, and grave in his carriage and difcourfe. Your parents have told you to bow to fuch a man; thus you come to reTpect him, before you know whether he deferves your refpect. Being grown up, and your knowledge enlarged, you begin to fee that this man is a hypocrite, eaten up with pride, felfifhnefs, and craft ; hereupon you defpife what you venerated, and prejudice is fuperfeded by judgment. You have, from prejudice, believed the fables with which you was amufed, in your childhood; you were told that the Titans waged war againft the Gods; and that Venus was in love with Adonis. Thefe fables at twelve years of age go down with you as realities; but, at twenty, you perceive them to be only ingenious allegories.

Let us briefly, for order fake, examine the different forts of prejudices; we may perhaps find ourfelves like thofe who perceived that at the time of the Miffiffippi, they had been calculating in aginary riches,
Preju-
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Prejudices of the Senses.
Iswit not very odd that our eyes always'deceive us, even when we fee very well; whereas we are never deceived by our ears? If a found ear hears there words, You are handfome, I love you; it is very certain that the perfon fpeaking did not fay, I hate you, you are ugly: but the apparent fmoothnefs of a looking-glafs is a deception ; a microfcope. Thews the furface to be in reality very rugged. The fun feems to be about two feet in diameter; whereas it is demonftrated to be a million of times larger than the earth.

God apparently has put truth in your ears, and error in your eyes: but fudy optics, and you will find that God has not impofed on you ; and that it is impoffible, in the prefent ftate of things, objects fhould appear otherwife than you fee them.

## Physical Prejudiczs.

That the fun rifes and fets, and the earth is immoveable, are prejudices naturally imbibed: but that lobfters are good for the blood, becaufe in boiling they turn red; that eels cure the palfy, becaufe of their frifking; that the moon has an influence on difeafes, becaufe a ftronger fymptom of a fever was obferved in a patient in the wane of the moon: thefe notions, with a thoufand others, were entertained by the empyrics of old, who judged without reafoning, and led others into their: miftakes.

## Historical Prejudices.

Moft ftories have been credited without examination, and fuch belief is a prejudice. Fabius

Pictor

## $87^{\circ}$ A PHILOSOPHICAL

Pictor relates, that feveral ages before him, a veftal virgin of the city of Alba, going with her pitcher to draw water, was ravifhed and brought into the world Romulus and Remus; and that thefe twins were fuckled by a fhe-wolf, \&c. This fable the Roman people greedily fwallowed, without examining whether, at that time, veftal virgins were known in Latium ; whether it was likely that a king's daughter fhould go out of her convent with a pitcher in her hand; and whether it was agreeable to nature, that a fhe-wolf, fo far from eating two infants, fhould fuckle them. The prejudice rook root.

A monk wrote that Clovis, being in great danger at the battle of Tolbiac, made a vow, if he efcaped fafe, to turn Chriftian; but is it natural in fuch an exigency to apply to a foreign deity? Is it not in extremities, that our native religion acts with the greateft force? What Chriftian in a battle againft the Turks would not call on the Bleffed Virgin, rather than on Mahomet? It is added, that a dove brought a phial in its bill for anointing Clovis; and that an angel brought the oriflamme or banner to be carried before him. All fuch little tales, prejudice readily credited; but they who are acquainted with human nature very well know, that both the ufurper Clovis and the ufurper Rollo, or Rolf, turned Chriftians, that they might more fafely rule ovel̂ Chriftians, as the Turks, on their becoming mafters of the empire of Conftantinople, turned Muffulmen, to ingratiate themfelves with the Muffulmen.

> RELIGIOUS PREJUDICES.

If your nurfe has told you that Ceres prefides over grain; or that Vifnou and Xaca have feveral
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times become men; or that Sanmoncodom came upon earth, and cut down a foreft; or that Odin expects you in his hall towards Jutland ; or that Mahomet, or fome other, has made a journey into heaven; laftly, if your governor afterwards inculcates into your brain the traces made in it by your nurfe, you will never get rid of them during your life. Should your judgment attempt to efface thefe prejudices, your acquaintance, and efpecially your female acquaintance, will charge you with impiety, and terrify you; then your dervife, left his income may fuffer fome curtailment, will accule you to the cadi; the cadi will do his beft to have you impaled, for he would have all under'him blockheads, thinking that blockheads make tamer fubjects than others; and thus things will go on till your acquaintance, the dervife, and the cadi fhall begin to perceive that folly does no good, and that perfecution is abominable,
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QUESTION I.

DR. Warburton, bihop of Gloucefter, author of one of the moft learned pieces that ever appeared, in vol. i. p. 8. exprefles himfelf to this purpofe: "A religion, or fociety, not founded on " the belief of a future ftate, ought to be fupported "s by an extraordinary providence: the Jewifh reli"s gion was not founded on the belief of a future "Itate ; therefore it muft have been fupported by "s an extraordinary providence."

Several divines have declared againft him, and, difputant like, have retorted his argument on
himfelf.
$\because$ A re-
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" A religion not founded on the doctrine of the
" foul's immortality, and eternal rewards, muft be
sc falfe. Now Judaifm had no fuch tenets; there-
" fore Judaifm, fo far from being fupported by
"s providence, was, according to your principles,
46 a falfe and favage religion, which denied any "fuch thing as providence."

Others of the bifhop's adverfaries maintained that the immortality of the foul was knowh among the Jews, even in Mofes's time; but he very evidently proved againft them, that neither in the $\mathrm{De}=$ calogue, nor Leviticus, nor Deuteronomy, is one fingle word faid of this belief; and that it is ridiculous to go about wrefting and corrupting a few paffages of the other books, in fupport of a truth about which their book of laws is filent.

The bifhop, though he compofed four volumes to demonftrate that the Jewifh law propofed neither punifhments nor rewards after death, has not been able to give his adverfaries any very fatisfactory anfwer. They urged, " either Mofes was «6 acquainted with this doctrine, and then he de"ceived the Jews in not making it public : or he "، was jignorant of it ; and if fo, he was incapable "c of founding a good religion. Indeed, had the "t religion been good, why was it abolifhed? A
"true religion hould fuit all times and places; it
" Thould be like the light of the fun, which fhines " in all lands and throughout all generations."

This prelate, with all his erudition and fagacity; has been hard put to it in making his way through all thefe difficulties; but what fyftem is without difficulties?

> Question il.

Another learned perfon, a much greater philofopher, ${ }^{3}$ and one of the moft profound metaphyfi-
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 clans of the times, produces ftrong reafons to prove, that the firft religion was Polytheifm; and that, before improved reafon came to fee there could be only one Supreme Being, men began with believing feveral gods.I, on the contrary, prefume to believe that they began with worfhipping only one God; and that; afterwards, human weaknefs adopted feveral others ; and I conceive the thing to be thus.

It is not to be doubted but villages and country towns were prior to large cities; and that men were divided into fmall republics before they were united in large empires. It is very natural, that a town, terrified at the thunder; diffreffed by the ruin of its harveft ; infulted by a neighbouring town; daily feeling its weaknets, and every where perceiving an invifible power, foon came to fay, There is fome being above us, which does us good and hure.

It feems to me impoffible that they fhould have faid: there are two powers; for wherefore feveral ? In every thing we begin with the fimple, then proceed to the compound, and often an improvement of knowledge brings us back again to the fimple: this is the procefs of the human mind.

Which being was firft worfhipped? was it the fun, was it the moon? I can hardly believe ir. Only let us take a view of children; they are pretty nearly on a footing with ignorant men. The beauty and benefit of that luminous body which animates nature, make no imprefion on them; as infenfible are they of the conveniences we derive from the moon, or of the regular variations of its courfe; they do not fo much as think of thefe things; they are accuftomed to them. What men do not fear; they never worfhip. Children. look up to the fky with as much indifference as on the ground; but
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 at a tempeft, the poor creatures tremble and run and hide themfelves. I am inclined to think it was fo with primitive men. They who firft obferved the courfe of the heavenly bodies, and brought them to be objects of admiration and worfhip, muft neceffarily have had a tincture of philofophy; the error was too exalted for rude illiterate hufbandmen.Thus the cry of a village would have been no more than this: There is a power which thunders, which fends down hail on us, which caufes our children to die, let us, by all means, appeafe it; but which way? Why, we fee, that little prefents will footh angry people, let us try what little prefents will do with this power. He mult alfo to be fure have a name or title; and that, which naturally prefents itfelf firft, is chief, matter, lord: thus is this power called my Lord. Hence it probably was, that the firft Egyptians called their god Knef; the Syrians, Adoni; the neighbouring nations Baal or Bel, or Melch or Moloc ; the Scythians Pape, all words fignifying Lord, Mafter.

In like manner almoft all America was found to be divided into multitudes of litrle colonies, all with their patron deity. The Mexicans and Peruvians themfelves, who were large nations, had but one only God; the former worfhipping Mango Kapack, the other the God of war, whom they called Vilipufti, as the Hebrews had filed their lord, Sabaoth.

It is not from any fuperiority or exercife of reafon, that all nations began with worfhipping only one Deity; for had they been philofophers, they would have the univerfal God of nature and not the god of a village; they would have examined the infinite teftimonies acknowledged of a creating and preferving being; but they examined
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 the progrefs of our weak underftanding. Every town perceived its weaknefs and want of a powerful protector. This tutelary and terrible being they fancied to refide in a neighbouring foreft, or mountain, or in a cloud. They fancied only one fuch power, becaufe in war the town had but one chief; this being they imagined to be corporeal, it being impoffible they could have any other idea. They could not but believe that the neighbouring town had alfo its god. Accordingly Jephtha fays to the inhabitants of Moab: "You lawfully poffers "what your god Chamos has made you conquer ; " and you ought to let us quietly enjoy what our " god has given us by his victories ( P )."This fpeech from one foreigner, to another is very remarkable. The Jews and Moabites had outed the natives, with no other right than force; and one fays to the other, Thy god has fupported thee in thy ufurpation, allow my god likewife to fupport me in mine.
Jeremiah and Amos both ank, "Wherefore has " the god Moloch feized on the country of Gad "(Q)?" There paffages fhew that antiquity attributed a guardian god to every country, and traces of this theology are likewife to be met with in Homer.

It is very natural that, from the heat of fancy and a vague increafe of knowledge, men foon multiplied their gods, and affigned guardians to the elements, feas, forefts, fprings, and fields. The more they furveyed the heavenly bodies, the greater

- (P) The fenfe in our verfion is very different. Judges xi. 24. (Q) Here the difference is ftill greater. Jer, xi. 1. Amos fays nothing like it. they who worfhipped the deiry of a brook, pay their adorations to the fun: and, the firft ftep being taken, the earth was foon covered with deities; fo that at length cats and onions came to be worfhipped.

However, time mult neceffarily improve reafon : accordingly it produced fome philofophers, who faw that neither onions nor cats, nor even the heavenly bodies, had any fhare in the difpofition of nature. All thofe philofophers, Babylonians, Perfians, Egyptians, Scythians, Greeks, and Romans, acknowledged only one Supreme God, rewarding and punifhing.

This they did not immediately make known to the people, for a word againft onions and cats fpoken before old women and priefts, would have coft a man his life; thofe good people would have ftoned him. He who fhould have ridiculed fome Egyptians for eating their gods, would have been eaten himfelf, fince Juvenal relates as fact, that in a controverfial difpute, an Egyptian was killed and eaten quite raw.

Well! what was to be done? Orpheus and others inftitute myfteries, which the initiated fwear by execrable oaths never to reveal; and of thefe mytteries the principal is, the worfhip of one only God. This great truth fpreads over half the earth; the number of the initiated fwells immenfely; the antient religion indeed ftill fubfifts, but not being contrary to the tenet of God's unity, it is connived at. The Romans had their Deus Optimus Maximus; the Greeks their Zeus, their Supreme God. All the other deities are only intermediate beings; heroes and emperors were claffed among the gods, which meant no more than the bleffed, for it is not so be fuppofed, that Claudius, Octavius, Tiberius,
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and Caligula, were accounted the creators of heaven and earth.

In a word, it feems demonftrated that, in Auguftus's time, all who had any religion acknowledged one fupreme eternal God, with feveral claffes of fecondary deities; the worfhipping of whom has fince been called idolatry.

The Jewifh laws never countenanced idolatry; for though they admitted Malachim, Angels, and inferior orders of coeleftial beings; their law appointed no manner of worfhip for thefe fecondary deities. Indeed they adored angels, that is, when they faw any, they proftrated themfelves before them; but as this was a very uncommon cafe, no ceremonial, or legal worfhip, had been inftituted for them; neither was any homage paid even to the cherubim of the ark. It is manifeft that the Jews worfhipped openly one fingle God, even as the innumerable crowds of the initiated worfhipped him privately in their myfteries,
QUESTION IIf.

At this time, when the worfhip of one Supreme God univerfally prevailed in Afia, in Europe, and Africa, among all who made a due ufe of their reafon, it was that the chriftian religion received its birth.

Platonifm greatly promoted the underftanding of its dogmas. The Logos, which in Plato fignifies the wifdom, the reafon of the Supreme Being, with us was made the word, and the fecond perfon of the Deity. Thus religion was wrapped up in metaphyfics, to human reafon unfathomable.

How Mary was afterwards declared mother of God; how the confubftantiality of the Father and
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the word were eftablifhed, together with the proceffion of the Pneuma, the divine organ of the divine Logos; two natures and two wills refulting from the Hypoftafis; and laftly, the fuperior manducation, in which both foul and body are fed with the members of the incarnate God, worfhipped and eaten in the form of bread, prefent to the fight, felt by the tafte, and yet annihilated : thefe things we fhall not repeat here. All myfteries have ever been fublime.

So early as the fecond century, the expulfion of devils was performed, by pronouncing the name of Jefus; whereas before, the name of Jehovah, or Yhaho, was made ufe of in fuch miracles: for St, Matthew relates that Jefus's enemies having fpread abroad, that it was by the name of the prince of the devils that he caft out the devils, he made them this anfwer: "If I caft out devils by Beelze"bub, by whom do your children caft them out?"

At what time the Jews acknowledged Beelzebub, a foreign deity, to be prince of the devils is not known; but we know, and learn it from Jofephus, that at Jerufalem there were exorcifts, whofe immediate province it was to diflodge the devils from the bodies of the poffeffed, that is men labouring under uncommon diftempers; which, in thofe times, a great part of the world attributed to malignant genii.

Thus the demoniacs were relieved by the true pronunciation of the word Jehovah; now loft, together with other ceremonies at prefent buried in oblivion.

Exorcifms by Jehovah, or other of God's names, continued to be practifed even in the early ages of the church. Origen againft Celfus, $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} \cdot 262$, fays, "If when invoking God or fwearing by him, he "s is termed the God of Abraham, Ifaac, and Ja-
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"cob, certain things will be done by thofe names, fuch being their nature and force, that devils are fubject to thofe who utter them; whereas if called by any other appellation, as god of the ' tumultuous fea, or the deftroyer, no effect fol-
${ }_{4}$ lows. The word Ifrael tranflated into Greek will do nothing ; but on pronouncing it in Hebrew, along with the other requifite words, the magical operation will take place."
The fame Origen, $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} .19$, has thefe remarkable words: "There are names of a natural vir" tue, as thofe ufed by the wife men in Egypt, " the Magi in Perfia, and the Brachmans in In"dia. Magic, as it is called, is no vain and chi-
" merical art, as the Stoics and Epicureans pretend; " neither 凹were the names of Sabaoth or Adonai " made for created beings, but appertain to a myf" terious theology concerning the Creator; hence " comes the virtue of thefe names, when placed " in order, and pronounced according to the " rules, \&c."

Origen, in fpeaking thus, only relates what was univerfally held, and does not deliver his own private opinion. All the religions then known admitted a kind of magic, and with two diftinctions, the celeftial and infernal magic, necromancy and theurgy; every nation had its prodigies, divinations, and oracles. The Perfians did not deny the Egyptian miracles, nor the Egyptians offer to difcredit the Perfiar. God was pleafed to wink at the firft Chriftians efpoufing the Sybilline oracles, and fome other unconfequential errors, as not corrupting the effentials of religion.
Another very remarkable circumftance is, that the chriftians of the two firft centuries abhorred temples, altars, and images. This Origen owns, No. 374, but on the church's being modelled into

280 A PHILOSOPHICAL
a fettled form, its difcipline and every thing elfe became altered.

## Question IV.

When once a religion comes to be eftablifhed by law, the magiftrates are very vigilant in fuppreffing moft of the things; which ufed to be done by the profeffors of that religion before it was publicly received. The founders held their private meetings, though forbidden under penalties; now none but public affemblies held under the eye of the law are permitted, and all clandeftine affociations made punifhable. The old maxim was, It is better to obey God than man ; now the oppofite maxim comes into vogue, To obey God is to conform to the laws of the land. All places rung with obfeffions and poffeffions, the devil was let loo e upon earth; now the devil does not ftir out of his den. Prodigies and predictions were neceffary then ; now a itop is put to them, and they are exploded: he who fhould openly take upon him to foretel any public calamity, would foon be fhewn the way to Bedlam. The founders took money underhand from the believers; whereas a man collecting money to difpofe of it as he pleafes, without any legal warrant, would be taken to tafk. Thus the whole of the fcaffolding ufed in the conftruction of the building, is taken away.
Question V.

- Next to our holy religion, to be fure the only good religion, which would be the leaft bad?

Would it not be the moft fimple? Would it not be that which taught a great deal of morality and few doctrines? that which tended to make men virtuous
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wirtuous without making them fools? that which did not impofe the belief of things impoffible, contradictory, injurious to the Deity, and pernicious ta mankind; and which did not take on itfelf to threaten with eternal punifhments all who had common fenfe? Would it not be that which did not fupport its articles by executioners, and deluge the earth with blood for unintelligible fophifms? that in which a quibble, a pun, and two or three fuppofititious maps, would not fuffice to make a prieft a fovereign and a God, though noted for the moit profligate morals and execrable practices? that which did not make kings fubject to this prieft? Would it not be that which taught only the adoration of one God, juftice, forbearance, and humanity ?

## Question VI.

The religion of the Gentiles is faid to be abfurd in feveral points, contradictory, and pernicious. But have not its evils and follies been greatly exaggerated? Jupiter's carrying on his amours in the fhape of a fwan, a bull, with other fuch doings of the Pagan deities, is certainly the height of ridicule ; but let any one, throughout all antiquity, fhew me a temple dedicated to Leda lying with a fwan or a bull. Did Athens or Rome ever hear a fermon to encourage girls to copulate with the fwans in their court-yards? Did the collection of fables fo beautifully embellifhed by Ovid, conftitute their religion? Are they not like our Golden Legend, or Flower of the Saints? Should fome Bramin or Dervife object to us the ftory of St. Mary the Egyptian, who not having wherewith to pay the failors who had brought her into Egypt, voluntarily granted to each of them, in lieu of money,
what is called favours, we fhould immediately fay to the Bramin, You are miftaken, father, the Golden Legend is not our religion.

We taunt the antients with their prodigies and oracles; but could they return on earth, and were the miracles of our lady of Loretto, and thofe of our lady of Ephefus, to be numbered, in whofe favour would the ballance of the account be?

Human facrifices have been introduced almoft among all nations, but very rarely were they practifed. Jephtha's daughter and king Agag are the only two we meet with among the Jews, for Ifaac and Jonathan were not facrificed. The Grecian ftory of Iphigenia is not thoroughly verified: human facrifices are very rarely heard of among the antient Romans; in a word, very little blood has the Pagan religion thed, and ours has made the earth an aceldama. Ours, to be fure, is the only good, the only true religion; but by ou: abufe of it, we have done fo much mifchief, that when we fpeak of other religions, it fhould be with temper and modefty.

## Questicn VII.

If a man would recommend his religion to ftrangers or his countrymen, fhould he not go about it with the moft winning compofure, the moft infinuating mildneis? If he fets our with faying that what he declares is demonftrably true, he will meet with ftrong oppofition ; and if he takes upon him to tell them that they reject his doctrine, only becaufe it condemns their paffions; that their heart has corrupted their mind; that they have only a falle and prefumptuous reafon, he excites their contempt and refentment, and overthrows what he was for building up.
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If the religion which he preaches be true, will paffion and infolence add to its truth? Do you form and rage when you fay that men fhould be mild, patient, benevolent, juft, exact in the difcharge of all the duties of fociety? No; here every body is of your mind; why then fuch virulent language to your brother when you are preaching to him metaphyfical myfteries? It is becaufe his good fenfe irritates your felf-love. You proudly require that your brother fhould fubmit his underttanding to yours; and pride difappointed blazes into rage; from hence, and hence only, arifes your paffion. A man who receives ever fo many mufket-fhot in a battle, is never feen to exprefs any anger; but a doctor, at the denial of affent, kindles into implacable fary.

## RESURRECTION(R).

1HE Egyptians are faid to have built their fuperb pyramids only for tombs, where their bodies being embalmed outwardly and in wardly, lay till, at the expiration of a thoufand years, their fouls returned into them. But if their bodies were
(R) The docrine of the refurrection is one of the fundamen-
tal points of our holy religion. M. Voltaire does not attempt to
weaken our belief of fit, but to fhew his learning by enumerating
the opinions of the Heathens, as well as of the primitive Chrif-
tians relative to that article. We fhall only offerve that his ex-
plication of the famous paffage of Job, ch. xix. ver, 25 , is taken
from the very learned bifhop of Gloucefter, who in his Divine
Legation, book vi. fect. 2, p. 543 , has given us a beautiful
account of this whole book, and cleared up all the difficulties
in it, but particularly makes it appear, that the words in
queftion can relate only to a temporal deliverance.
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to come to life again, and it was their firft operation, why did the embalmers pierce the fcull with a hook, and draw the brain out? To think of a man's coming to life again without brains, inclines one to apprehend that the Egyptians had little or none when living; but it muft be confidered, that moft of the antients believed the foul to refide in the breaft. And why in the breaft fooner than any other part? becaule it is well known that under all our fenfations, if any thing violent, we feel a dilatation or contraction about the region of the heart ; and this produced the opinion, that there was the foul's refidence. This foul was fomething aerial, a light figure roving about where it could, till it had joined its body again.

The belief of the refurrection is much more antient than the hiforical times. Athaladas, Mer. cury's fon, could die and come to life again at pleafure; Efculapius reftored Hyppolitus to life ; Hercules conferred the like kindnefs on Alceftes; and Pelops, who had been cut into pieces by his father, the gods made whole again: Plato relates that Heres returned to life only for a fortnight.

It was not till a very long time after Plato, that the Pharifees among the Jews adopted the tenet of the refurrection.

The Acts of the Apofties mention a very fingular tranfaction, and well worthy of notice. St. James and feveral of his companions advifed St, Paul, though fo thorough a Chriftian, to go into the temple of Jerufalem, and obferve all the ceremonies of the antient law, to the end all may know, fay they, that every thing which is faid of you is falfe, and that you ftill continue to obferve Mofes's law.

St. Paul accordingly went into the temple for feven days; but being known on the feventh, he
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*as accufed of having brought ftrangers into it, with a view of prophaning it.

Now Paul perceiving that fome of the crowd were Sadducees and others Pharifees, he cried out in the council, "Brethren I am a Pharifee, the fon "s of a Pharifee; it is for the hope of another life, " and the refurrection of the dead, that I am in "danger of being condemned," Acts xxiii. ver. 6. In all this affair not a word had been faid about the refurrection of the dead; but Paul's drift in mentioning it was to raife a quarrel between the Pharifees and Sadducees.

Ver. 7. "And Paul having faid, there arofe a "6 diffenfion between the Pharifees and Sadducees, " and the multitude was divided."

Ver. 8. "For the Sadducees fay, there is no re"furrection, neither angel nor fpirit; but the Pha"rifees confefs both, \&xc."

It has been affirmed that Job, who doubtlefs is of great antiquity, was acquainted with the doctrine of the refurrection; and, in proof of it, the following words are quoted: "I know that my re" deemer liveth, and that one day his redemption "s will rife on me, or that I fhall rife again from the " duft; that my fkin will return; and that I fhali "s again fee God in my flefh."

But feveral commentators underftand no more by thefe words, than that Job hopes he fhall foon get over his diftemper, and fhall not always be lying in the ground as he then was: the fequel fufficiently proves the truth of this explanation; for the moment he cries out to his falfe and harfh friends, "Why then fay you, let us perfecute him, or be"s caufe you thall fay, Becaufe we have perfecuted " him (S). Does not this evidently mean, you will
(S) See our tranflation, chap. xix. yer, 25 and 28.
repent
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repent of having infulted me, when you foal fee me again in my former fate of health and opulance? A fick perfon fays, I fall recover, not I Shall rife from the dead: to give forced meanings to clear paffages, is the fure way never to understand one another.

According to St. Jerome, the feet of the Shariles began but a very little time before Jefus Chritt. Rabbi Hillel is accounted its founder, and he was cotemporary with Gamaliel, St. Paul's matter.

Many of there Pharifees believed that it was only the Jews who were to fife again; and that as to the reft of mankind, they were not worth' while. Others affirmed that the refurrection would be only (in Paleftine, and that bodies buried in other parts would be fecretly conveyed to the neighbourhood of Jerufalem, there to be united to their foul. St. Paul tells the inhabitants of Thenfalonica, "That the fecond coming of Jefus Chit " is for them and for him; and that they fall be " witneffes of it."

Ver. 16. "For on the fignal being given by the " archangel and the trumpet of God, the Lord " himfelf hall defcend from heaven, and they who " Shall have died in Jefus Shrift fall rife frt." Ver. 17. "Then we who are alive, and who Shall " have remained till then, fall be caught up with "them in the clouds, to go and meet the Lord in the " air; and thus we fhall live for, ever with the Lord." I Theffalonians, chap. iv.
Does not this important paffage evidently prove, that the firn Chriftians made themfelves fore that they fhould fee the end of the world; and St. Luke actually foretels it, as what fhould happen in his lifetime ?
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St. Auttin thinks that children, and even ffillborn infants, fhall rife at the age of maturity. Origen, Jerome, Athanafius, Batil, did not believe that women were to rile again with the diftinctions of fex.

In a word, there have ever been difputes about what we were, what we are, and what we fhall be.

## SOMOMON (T).

SURELY Solomon could not be fo rich as he is faid?
The book of Chronicles tells us that Melk David his father left him one hundred thoufand talents
(T) This whole article is liable to great exceptions, and betrays a fpirit of licentioufnefs in the author. He takes upon himfelf to ftrike what books he pleafes out of the canon of the fcriptures, becaufe, they do not fuit his fancy, or becaufe he meets with a few difficulties, which are eafily folved. We are forry to own that he fhews himfelf in this article to have joined that clafs of Deifts, whom Dr. Clarke mentions as not capable of being argued with. Thefe are they who endeavour to turn the moft facred things into ridicule; and fhew as great a difregard to common decency as to religion. They pretend to expofe the abufes and corruption of religion: but the profane and lewd images with which they affect to drefs up their difcourfe, demonftrate that they do not intend to deride any vice or folly, but rather to foment the vicious inclinations of others. By turning every thing alike into ridicule, they plainly declare that they have no regard for virtue or religion. Such men are not to be argued with, till they learn to ufe arguments inftead of drollery. For banter is not capable of being anfwered by reafon, not becaufe it has any ftrength in it ; but becaufe it runs out of all the bounds of reafon and good fenfe, by extravagantly joining together fuch images as have not in
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talents of gold * and one thoufand talents of filver ; fo enormous a fum, that it is quite incredible.

There

themfelves any manner of fimilitude or connection; thus all things are alike eafy to be rendered ridiculous, by being reprefented only in an abfurd drefs.

This is what our author has unhappily done in regard to the Song of Solomon. Whether this book, as well as thofe of Proverbs and Ecclefiaftes, were written by that prince; is not at all material to our religion ; but it is certain that they belong to the canonical books, and their authority is the fame as that of the other parts of the fcripture, of which there never was any doubt in the chutch.

The Song of Songs is generally believed to have been written by king Solomon. It contains an epithalamium, in which the lover and his fpoufe are reprefented fpeaking their parts. King Solomon is named feveral times in the body of the work ; fo that there can be no doubt of its being written in his time. In regard to the impropriety which fome imagine of inferting a book of this kind among thofe of holy writ, it muft be obferved, that there is a double meaning to be underffood ; the hiftorical and the myftical. In the hiftorical fenfe, it is a fong for the nuptials of Solomon, and the daughter of the king of Egypt, who is called Shulamite. According to the myftical fenfe, of which the hiftorical is only the foundation, it denotes the union between Chriit and his church, which in the fcripture is compared to that between man and wife. Such is the myftery reprefented by the nuptials of Solomon. But we are afraid our author is too carnally minded, to attend to the myftical fenfe of this or any other part of fcripture.

Our author's objections againft the books of Proverbs and Ecclefiaftes are puerile, and fcarce deferving of notice. But it is very droll to fee him difplaying his erudition on the French tranflation of the 3 Ift verfe in the 23 d chapter of Proverbs: "Ne regardez point le vin quand il paroit clair, et " que fa couleur brille dans le verre:" becaufe verre fignifies glafs, which is a recent invention. But it is highly probable the French tranflators meant no more than a cup, as the original implies, and as it is rendered in the Englifh verfion; whers

[^16]
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## There is not fo much cafh in all the nations of the

" when it giveth his colour in the cup." The book of Ecelefiaites was certainly written by Solomon, fince it is mena tioned to be the work of the fon of David, king of Jerufalem, who excelled in wifdom and magnificence. It is a difcourfe made.to a congregation, upon the vanity and emptinefs of all worldly things: That the paffages which our author finds fault with, are to be confidered as objections which Solomon makes to himfelf, appears from the whole tenour of the book, and cannot bear any other conffruction. But does not Solomon clearly explain his meaning in other paffages? as in this, "Rejoice, oh! young man, in thy youth, and let " thy heart cheer thee in the days of thy youth, and walk in "the ways of thy heart, and in the fight of thine eyes; but " know, that for all thefe things God will bring thee into " judgment." Does this breathe the air of libertinifm? We fincerely wifh our author had never been more licentious than Solomon hews himfelf in this book; the public then would never had fo much reafon to complain of fome of his writings.
In regard to the objections our author farts at the entrance of this article againft the opulence of Solomon, they only difcover his ignorance of the Jewifh hiftory. The fcripture fays, (I Chron. xxix. 4.) "That David left behind him "f for the building of the temple, three thoufand talents of "gold, of the gold of Ophir, and feven thoufand talents of "refined filver." Now Dean Prideaux obferves (Connect. book I.) two things concerning this immenfe quantity of goid : firf, that it was the gold of Ophir; from whence he concludes that David muft have effablinhed a navigation to that place in his time, by the affiftance of Hiram's expert failors, without which it cannot be conceived how he could have amafied fo vaft a treafure. Thus Solomon did but improve what his father had begun in regard to the encouragement of commerce. Secondly, this fum, as he fays, is fo prodigious; as gives reafon to think, that the talents were another fort of talents, of a far lefs value than the Mofaic talents. For what is faid to be given by David, and contributed by his princes; towards the building of the temple at Jerufalem, if valued by the Mofaic talents, exceeded the value of eight hundred mile lions of our money, which was enough to have built all that temple of folid filver.
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 whole world; and it is not eafy to conceive thatSolomon was a far more powerful prince than many people imagine : his dominions were not confined to the little country of Paleftine, as M. Voltaire is pleafed to call it ; they reached from the river Euphrates, or even beyond it, to the Nile, or borders of Egypt ; and all the kingg of thofe countries were tributaries to him (1 Kings iv. 24.) What ftanding army he kept, the fcripture does not mention'; yet, befides his fourteen hundred chariots and horfes, the text fays he had twelve thoufand horfemen, which fome take to have been rather faddle horfes; and others, his life-guards. In regard to the forty thoufand ffalls of horfes for his chariots, mentioned in the firf book of Kings, chap. iv. this paffage we muft own has created fome difficulty, efpecially as in the fecond book of Chron. chap. ix. it is faid, he had only four thoufand ftails. But we muft obferve that M . Voltaire very unfairly magnifies the number of horfes in the fiyft palage, by making ufe of theword ecurie, fable; whereas in the original it figgifies only prafepe, a crib, that is a divifion of the ftable, fo many cribs to each ftable. Hence Buxtorff fuppofes that the book of Kings means the hor es, that of Chronicles the ftables, viz. that there were forty thoufand horfes in four thoufand ftables. Recourfe muft be therefore had to an hyfpallage; he bad forty thoufand fables, viz. forty thoufand borfes in his falls; and the latter were four thoufand according, to the book of Chronicles. Some interpreters think that the number in the book of Chronicles has been corrupted; but this is faid without any authority ; therefore the plain and eafieft way of folving the whole difficulty is, that in the book of Kings the word prafepium, or Aable, is taken in its proper fenfe for a crib, or divifion of the fable; in the book of Chronicles, it is a fynnecdoche, and fignifies a fable containing ten divifions, or cribs for ten horfes: that is, there were four thoufand equilia majora, qua forty thoufand minora confriibant. And this difference of fignification is pointed out by the very words in the original, as may be feen in Pool's Synof fs Criticrum.

Be that as it may, Solomon was the firft who introduced the ufe of chariots and horfes in lirael, at leaft to any degree of magnificence. For it is certain that the multiplying of chaniots and korf.s, was exprefsly forbidden by the Mofaic law. Thefe

# Datid amaffed fuch treafures in fo fmall a country as Paleft ne (U). 

Solomon;

he fent for out of Egypt, not only for his own ufe, but for that of feveral neighbouring kings, whom he obliged to pay him fix hundred fhekels for every chariot and four horfes, and one hundred and fifty for every fingle horfe. He had likewife abundance of yain, linen, and other commodities, brought to him out bf Egypt, which he fold to his fubjects and merchants at a certain price ( 1 Kings $x$.) ; all this produced an immenfe reventie. He did not keep all his horfes and chariots at Jerufalem, but difpofed them in feveral of his ftrong cities, referving only a convenient number about his perfon, either for guards or grandeur (ibid.) but not quite fo many as four hundred and twelve thoufand, as our facetious author pretends, to efcort his concubines in taking the frefh air along the lake of Genefareth, or that of Sodom.

In order to fupply his vaft expences, Solomon built a navy at Ezion-geber, a fea-port near Eloth, in the land of Edom apon the Red Sea, and put it under the care of fome Syrian mariners, to whom many of his own people were joined. The fleet failed to Ophir, and in about three years brought him back an immenfe weight of gold and filver, befides feveral kinds of precious fones, fpices, ebony, and other curious woods, ivory, peacocks, monkeys, and othet rarities (r Kings ix.) The gold itfelf amounted to four hundred and fifty talents yearly, befides the profir he made of all the other commodities. Ophir not only afforded the greateft quantity of gold, but exceeded all other gold in finenefs and value ( 1 Kings x.) Various are the opinions of the learned in regard to the fituation of Ophir; but the moft probable conjecture places it in fome of thofe remote rich countries of India beyond the Ganges, and perhaps as far as China or Japan. The latter ftill abounds with the finelt gold, and with feveral other commodities imported by Sołomon's fleet; and by its diftance beft anfivers to the length of the voyage. Thus by encouraging navigation and commerce, Solomon became the richeft prince of his time, and his kingdom the moft flourithing in the world.
(U) See Gentleman's Magazine for November or December, 1764 .
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Solomon, according to the firlt book of Chrow nicles, had forty thoufand ftables for his chariothorfes. Each ftable containing ten horfes, makes four hundred thoufand, which, with his twelve thoufand faddle horfes, amount to four hundred and twelve thoufand good war horfes; a great many for a Jewifh melk who never was engaged in a war. Never was the like magnificence feen in a country breeding only affes, and at prefent without any other beaft for the faddle. But probably times are altered; indeed fo wife a prince having a thoufand concubines, might very well have four hundred and twelve thoufand horfes, were it only to give his feraglio an airing along Genefareth lake, or that of Sodom, or toward Cedron brook, one of the moft delicious fpots on earth, except that this brook is dry nine months of the year, and the ground a litele foney.

But is this fame wife Solomon really author of the works fathered on him? is it likely, for inftance, that the Jewifh eclogue called the Song of Songs is of his writing?

A monarch who had a thoufand mifteffes, may have faid to one of thefe charmers, Kifs me with the kiffes of thy mouth, for thy breafts are better than wine. A king and a fhepherd amidf fuch amorous indearments may very naturally talk alike : but it is fomething odd, that it is the girt who is made to talk thus wantonly about kiffes and her fweetheart's breatts.

I likewife will not deny but a courlly prince may make his miftrefs fay, My hufband is like a clufter of myrrh, he fhall lye all night betwixt my breafts. A clufter of myrrh is to me fomething obfcure; but I very well underftand the charmer's meaning, when fhe bids her beloved lay his leff hand over her neck, and embrace her with his right.

There are fome expreffions in which the au-
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thor's elucidation is wanted, as when he fays, Your navel is like a goblet in which there is always fomething to drink; your belly is like a buhhel of wheat; your breafts are like two young roes; your nofe is as the tower of Lebanon.

This I own is not the flile of Virgil's Eclogues; but all have not a like ftile, and a Jew is not obliged to write like Virgil.

I fuppofe it may likewife be another beautiful Atrain of eaftern eloquence to fay, Oun fifter is yet little; The has no breafts; what fhall we do for our fifter? If the be a wall, let us build on her; if a door, let us fhut her.

We will allow that fuch words might have efcaped Solomon, though the wifeft of men, in a merry mood: : This compofition is faid to be an epithalamium on his marriage with Pharaoh's datrghter: but is it natural that Pharaoh's fon-inlaw thould leave his beloved in the night, to go and faunter in his walnut yard; and that the queen thould run after him bare-footed? that the city watch thould beat her, and take her gown from her?

Could a king's daughter have faid, I am brown, yet am I beaurifal like Solomon's furrs (W). Such expreffions might be overlooked in a home-fpun fwain; though, after, all there can be little affinity between furrs and a girl's beauty. Well, but Solomon's furrs might be exceedingly admired in their time ; and for a low-lived Jew in a lay to his fweetheart, to tell her in his Jewifh gibberifh, that never any Jewifh king had fuch fine furred gowns as her dear felf, was not at all out of character; but Solomon muft have been ftrangely infatuated with

[^17]
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his furrs to compare them to his mittrefs. Were a king in our times to write fuch an epithalamium on his marriage with a neighbouring monarch's daughter, he would forfeit all title to the laurel.

Several Rabbis have advanced that this lufcious eclogue not only is not Solomon's, but is not fo much as authentic. Theodore de Mopfuefte was of the fame opinion ; and the celebrated Grotius calls the Song of Songs a libidinous work, flagitiofus; yet is it received as canonical, and reputed to be throughout an allegory of Chrift's and his church's efpoufals. The allegory muft be owned a little forced; and what the church could mean by its little fifter having no bubbies, and that if a wall, fhe mutt be built on, is impenetrably obfcure (X).

Ecclefiaftes is of a more ferious turn, but no more Solomon's than the Song of Songs. The author is commonily thought to be Jefus the fon of Sirach, whilft others ateribute it to Philo of Biblos; but whoever he was, the Pentateuch feems not to have been known in his time, elfe he would not have faid that, at the time of the deluge Abraham was going to facrifice Ifaac, or have fpoken of $\mathrm{Jo}_{-}$ feph the patriarch as a king of Egypt.

The Proverbs have been attributed to Ffaiah, Elziah, Sobna, Eliakim, Joake, and many others; but to whomfoever we owe this collection of eaftern fentences, we may be fure it does not come from a royal hand. Would a king have faid, The wrath of a king is as the roaring of a lion? This is the language of a fubject or flave, who trembles at a frown from his mafter. Would So-
(X) The Rabbis I think compare the book of Proverbs to the outward court of the temple, Ecclefiaftes to the inward court, and the Song of Songs to the fanctuary.
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lomon have harped fo much on a whorifh woman ? would he have faid, Look not on wine when it appears bright in the glafs, and its colour fhines?

I very much queition whether drinking-glaffes were made in Solomon's time; the invention is but modern: the antients drank out of wooden or metal cups; and this fingle paffage betrays that book to be the work of fome Alexandrine Jew, and written long fince Alexander,

We now come to Ecclefiafter, which Grotius affirms to have been written in the time of Zorobabel. This author's freedom is known to every body; he fays, "That men are in nothing ber" ter than beafts; that it is better never to have " been born than to exift; that there is no other " life; that the only good is to eat and drink, and " be merry with the woman one loves."

Solomon perhaps might have talked in this manner to fome of his women, and fome conitrue thefe fayings as objections which he makes to himfelf; but, befides the libertinifm of which they ftrongly favour, they have nothing of the appearance of objections; and to make an author mean the contrary of what he fays, is an infult on the world.

However, feveral of the fathers tell us, that Solomon repented, and impofed on himfelf a fevere penance: now this fhould filence all animadverfions on his conduct.

But though thefe books were written by a Jew, what is that to us? The Chriftian religion is indeed founded on Judaifm, but not on all the Jewifh books. Why fhould the Song of Songs be held more facred among us than the fables of the Talmud? The anfwer is, becaufe we have included it in the Hebrew canon. And what is this fame canon? It is a collection of authentic works. Well, and muft a work of courfe be divine, for being au-
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thentic? For inftance, a hiftory of the kings of Juda and of Sichem, what is it but a hiftory? A Arange prepoffeffion, indeed! We defpife and abfor the Jews; and yet we infift, that all fuch of their writings which we have collected, bear the facred ftamp of divinity. Never was fuch a con= tradiction heard of !

## S E N S:A T I ON.

0Yfters, we are told, have two fenfes, moles four, and other animals, like men, have five. Some are for admitting a fixth, but it is evident that the voluptuous fenfation, which is what they mean, comes within the touch; and that five fenfes make up our whole portion. We cannot conceive or defire any thing beyond.

The inhabitants of other globes may have fenfes which we know nothing of : the number of the fenfes may gradually increafe from globe to globe; and the being endued with innumerable fenfes and all perfect, may be the apex or period of all beings.

But we with our five organs, what power have we over them? It is always involuntarily that we feel, and never from our own inclination; in the prefence of the object it is impolfible not to have the fenfation appointed by our nature. The fenfation, though in us, does not at all depend on us; we receive it, and in what manner? Is there any affinity between the vibrations of the air, the words of a fong, and the impreffion which thefe words make on my brain?

Thought feems to us fomething ftrange; but fenfation is no lefs wonderful: a divine power equally fhews itfelf in the fenfation of the meaneft infeet, as in a Newton's brain. Yet at reeing thoufands of little animals deftroyed, you are not
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in the leaft concerned what becomes of their fenfitive faculty, though this faculty be the work of the Being of beings. You look on them as machines in nature, born to perifh and make room for others.

Wherefore and how fhould their fenfations fubfift, when they no longer exift? What need is there for the author of every thing that has being, to preferve properties of which the fubject is extinct? It may as well be faid, that the power of the Senfitive Plant, to draw in its leaves towards its twigs, fubfifts when the plant is withered. Here undoubtedly it will be afked, how it is that the fenfation of animals perifhing with them, man's faculty furvives him? That is a queftion beyond the verge of my knowledge ; all I can fay to it is, the eternal Author both of fenfation and thought, alone knows how he imparts it, and how he preferves it.

It was the current opinion of all antiquity, that nothing is in our underftanding, which was not before in our fenfes. Defcartes, in his Philofophical Romances, advanced that we had metaphyficalideas before we fo much as knew our nurfe's breafts. A college of divines condemned this dogma, not becaufe it was an error, but a novelty: afterwards it adopted this very error, becaufe it had been overthrown by Locke, an Englifh philofopher; and an Englifhman, to be fure, muft be in the wrong. After fuch fhifts of opinion, it has again profcribed that antient truth, that the fenfes are the inlets to the underftanding. It feems to have acted like governments loaded with debts, fometimes giving a currency to certain notes, and afterwards fuppreffing them. But this college's notes have quite loft their currency for fome time paft.

In fpight of all the colleges of the world, philofophers will ftill fee that our firft knowledge we
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receive from our fenfations; and that our memory is no more than a continued fenfation: a man born without any of his five fenfes would, could he live, be totally void of any ideas. It is owing to the fenfes chat we even have our metaphyfical notions: for how fhould a circle or a triangle be meafured, without having feen or felt a triangle? How can we form an idea, imperfect as it is, of infinitude, but by enlarging boundaries? and how can we throw down boundaries, without having feen or felt them?

An eminent philofopher (Traité des Senfations, tom. ii. p. 128) fays, Senfation includes all our faculties.

What muft be inferred from all this? That I leave to reflective readers ( X ).

## S O U L (Y).

IT would be a fine thing to fee one's foul. Know thyfelf, is an excellent precept, which God alone can practife. Who but he can know his effence?

We call foul, that which animates; and fo contracted is our underftanding, that we know little
more
(X) Mr. Voltaire does not tell us what inferences we are to draw from the foregoing doctrine of fenfations; but we muft confefs, the whole article contains the fubftance of the Lucre= tian arguments againft the immateriality of human fouls, which is this ; that, fince the five fenfes are the only means we have of perception, and thefe depend upon the corporeal organs, the foul without the body is incapable of perception, and therefore is nothing. In anfwer to which we muft obferve, firft, that though the fenfes or perceptions depend on the corporeal organs, as to their prefent exercife, yet in their nature they are really diftinct powers, and cannot arife from any of the known properties or qualities of matter, as the learned
learned Dr, Clarke hath fully demonftrated. Secondly, our five fenfes cannot be faid to be the only poffible ways of perception, by an abfolute neceffity in the nature of the thing: thefe are purely arbitrary; and the fame power that gave us thefe, may have given others to other beings : if they be purely arbitrary, the want of them does not imply a total want of perception ; but the fame foul which in the prefent ftate has the powers of reflection, reafon, and judgment, which are faculties intirely different from fenfe, may as eafily in another ftate have different ways of perception. To fay that the fenfes are neceffarily the only ways of perception, is a mere prejudice arifing from cuftom; for fuppofing men had never known the ufe of fight, would not they have the fame reafon to conclude, there were but four poffible ways of perception, and that fight is an impoffible, imaginary power, as they now prefume the faculties of immaterial beings to be fo? Men from their own mere negative ignorance, fhould never difpute againft the poffibility of things. See Dr , Clarke on the Being and Attributes of God.
(Y) This article abounds with metaphýfical queftions concerning the immortality of the foul, which our author fays can be only made known to us by faith. We apprehend he is much miftaken, and fliall therefore give the reader the proofs of the immortality of the foul, or of a future ftate of rewards and punifhments. This we have attempted the rather, as throughout his whole work he feems inclined to difcredit this doetrine, the bafis of all natural as well as of revealed religion. With regard to the notions of fchoolmen, mentioned by M. Voltaire, they are a matter of no confequence, as they do not affect the doctrine itfelf, but are only defigned to amufe an idle curiofity.
I. In this prefent world the natural order of things is fo perverted, that vice often flourifhes in great profperity, and virtue falls under the heavieft calamities; whence we conclude, there muft be a future ftate of rewards and punifhments. For if there be a God, he is infinitely juft and good; and it muft needs be his will, that all rational creatures fhall imitate his moral perfections; he cannot therefore but be pleafed with fuch as obey his will, and difpleafed with thofe who dif-
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obey it; thence it follows, that in vindication of the honour of his government, he muff fignify his approbation or dift pleafure fome time or other, by making finally a fuitable difference between thofe who obey him, and thofe who act otherwife ; confequently there muft be a ftate of rewards and punifhments after this life, wherein all the prefent difficulties of providence fhall be cleared up by an exact adminiftration of juftice. To fay, that virtue is fufficient to its own happinefs, is talking idly with the Stoics; fince in the prefent flate of things, virtue is not itfelf the chief good, but only the means to obtain it; and he who dies for the fake of virtue, is not really more happy, dbftracted from a regard to futurity, than he who dies for any fond opinion or humour.
II. Confidering the nature and operations of the foul itfelf, none of the known qualities of matter can in any poffible variation, divifion, or compofition, produce fenfe and thought. The powers of the foul are the meft remote from the known properties of matter. It is abfurd to fuppofe the foul made up of innumerable confcioufnefies, as matter of innumerable parts ; therefore the feat of thought muit be a fimple fubftance, fuch as cannot be divided into pieces like matter ; confequently, the foul is not liable to be diffolved along with the body; therefore it will naturally be immortal.
III. A third argument in favour of a future ftate, is drawn from men's natural defire of immortality. For it is not at all probable, that God fhould have given men appetites, which were never to be fatisfied; defires, that had no objects to anfwer them; and unavoidable apprehemfions of what was never to happen.
IV. A fourth argument is drawn from men's confcience, or judgment of their own conduct. Virtuous actions are attended with felf-applaufe and expectation of rewards; crimes, on the other hand, are followed by remorfe, and dread of punifhment. Hence it is not therefore at all likely, that the Deity fhould have fo framed the mind of man, as neceffarily to pafs upon itfelf a judgment, which fhall never be verified; and ftand perpetually convicted by a fentence, which flall never be confirmed.
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the thinking being; the other fourth is feeking, but nobody has found, nor ever will find.

Thou poor pedant feeft a vegetating plant, and thou fayeft Vegetation, or even Vegetative foul. Thou obferveft bodies have and give motion, and this with thee is ftrength. Thy hound's aptnefs in learning to hunt under thy inftruction, thou calleft inftinet, fenfitive foul ; and as thou haft combined ideas, thou termeft fpirit.

But pray what do you mean by thefe words, This flower vegetates? But is there a real being named Vegetation? One body impels another, but is there in it a diftinct being called Strength? This hound brings thee a partridge; but is there a being called Inftinct? Wouldft thou not laugh at a philofopher, had he even been Alexander's. preceptor, who fhould tell thee: All animals live; therefore there is in them a being, a fubitantial form, which is life?

Could a tulip fpeak, and fhould it fay to thee, We are evidently two beings united, wouldft thous not contemptuouny turn thy back on the tulip?

Let us firt fee what thou knoweft, and of what


#### Abstract

V. A fifth and laft argument is drawn from man's being by nature an accountable creature, and capable of being judged. Every moral action a perfor performs proceeds. either from fome good, or bad motive; is either conformable or contrary to right reafon, and worthy of praife, or difpraife. Therefore it is highly reafonable to fuppofe, that fince all the moral difference of our actions confifts in the right ufe or abufe of thofe faculties, which we have received from a fuperior being, there will at fome time or other be an inquiry made into the grounds of our feveral actions, whether they have been agreeable or difagreeable to the rule that was given us, and a fuitable judgment be paffed upon them. See further concerning this fubject, Dr. Clarke on the Being and Attributes of God, and Woolafton's Religion of Nature delineated.
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thou art certain : that thou walkeft with thy feet के that thou digefteft by thy ftomach; that thou feeleft all over thy body; and that thou thinkeft by thy head. Let us fee if thy reafon alone could give thee fo much infight, as to conclude, without any fupernatural help, that thoii haft a foul ?

The firf philofophers, both Chaldeans and Egyptians, faid: There muft be formething in us that produces our thoughts. This fomething muft be very fubtile; it is a breath; it is fire; it is æther; it is a quinteffence; it is a light form; it is an entelechia; it is a number; it is a harmony. Acs cording to the divine Plato, it is a compound of the fame and of the other; and Epicurus from Democritus has faid, that it is thinking atoms in us: but, friend, how does an atom think? Own your ignorance here.

The opinion which, unqueftionably we fhould embrace, is that the foul is an immarerial being; but as certainly you do not conceive what this immaterial being is. No, anfwer the learned; but we know that its nature is to think. And how come you to know that? We know it, becaufe it does think. Odoctors! O fchoolmen! I am very much afraid that you are as ignorant as Epicurus. The nature of a ftone is to fall, becaufe it falls; but I afk you what makes it fall?

We know, continue they, that a fone has no foul. Granted, I believe it as well as you. We know that a negative and an affirmative are not divififble, are not parts of matter: I am of your opinion. But matter, otherwife unknown to us, has qualities that are not divifible, as gravitation towards a center given it by God. Now this gravitation has no parts, is not divifible. The motory force of bodies is not a being compofed of parts; neither can it be faid that the vegetation of all organized bodies, their
their life, their inftinct, are diftinct, or divifible beings. You can no more cut in two the vegetation of a rofe, the life of a horfe, the inftinct of a dog, than you can cut in two a fenfation, a negation, or an affirmation. Thus your fine argument, taken from the indivifbility of thought, proves nothing at all.

What then do you call your foul? what idea have you of it? All you can of yourfelf, without a revelation, allow to be in yourfelf, is a power unknown to you of feeling and thinking.

Now, honeftly tell me, is this power of feeling and thinking, the fame as that by which you digeft and walk? Youtell me it is not: for it would be in vain for your underftanding to fay to your ftomach, digeft; it will do no fuch thing if it be out of order; and to as little effect would your immaterial being command your feet to walk; they will not budge, if the gout be in them.

The Greeks were well aware that thought often had no concern with the play of our organs; inftead of thofe organs, they fubftituted a fenfitive foul, and for the thoughts, a more fine and more fubtile foul, a nous.

But let us come to chis foul of thought, which on a thoufand occafions has the fuperintendency over the fenfitive foul. The thinking foul orders its hands to take, and they take; but it never tells its heart to beat, its blood to llow, or its chyle to form, itfelf; all this is done without it. Thus are two fouls full of bufinefs, and very little miftreffes in their own home.

Now certainly that firft fenfitive foul does not exif; ; it is nothing but the motion of your organs. Obferve this, O man! that thy weak reafon affords thee no more proof that the other foul exifts. It is only by faith that thou canft know it. Thou art born; thou liveft; thou acteit; thou thinkeft;
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 hou fleepeft and wakeft without knowing how. God has given thee the faculy of thinking, as he has given thee all thy other appurtenances; and had he not come at the time appointed by his providence to inform thee, that thou haft an immaterial and immortal foul, thou wouldf have been without any proof of it.Let us now take a view of the fine fyftems which philofophy has fruck out concerning the fouls. .

One fays that the foul of man is part of the fubftance of God himfelf; another, that is is part of the greatyall; a third, that it has been created from all eternity; a fourth, that it is made and not created; others affirm, that God makes them as they'are wanted; and that they come at the inftant of copulation : one cries they are lodged in the feminal animalcules : not at all, fays another, they take up their refidence in the Falopian tubes. One coming in at the heat of the difpute, bawls, You are all out, the foul ftays fix weeks till the fretus be formed, and then poffeffes itfelf of the pineal gland; but if germ prove addle, it goes away to whence it came, till a better opportunity. The laft opinion makes its abode to be in the callous body. This is the fituation affigned to it by La Peironie. Indeed none under the king of France's firft furgeon could provide fuch an apartment for the foul. However, the furgeon has got into berter vogue than his callours body...

St. Thomas, in his 75 h queftion, $8 x$ c. fays, that the foul is a form Swbfiftens per fe; that it is all in all; that it's effence differs from it's power; that there are three vegetative fouls, the nutritive, the augmentative, and the generative; that the memory of fpiritual things is firitual, and the memory of corporeal things is corporeal; that the rational foul is an immaterial form as to the operations;
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and material in effence. St. Thomas has written two thoufand pages all of this force and perpicuity. No wonder that fchools ftile him the angelic doctor !
As many fyftems have been invented on the manner of chis foul's perceptions, when it fhall have quitted this body by which it perceived, how it will hear without ears, fmell without a nofe, and feel without hands; what body it will afterwards te-affume, whether that which it had at the age of two years, or of fourfcore? how the Me, the identity of the fame perfon, will fubfift? how the foul of a man, who was feized with ideotifm at the age of fifteen, and died in that ftate at feventy, will recover the train of ideas which it had at its age of puberty? by what dexterity, a foul, one of whofe legs was cut off in Europe, and which loft an arm in America, will find this leg and ardi again, after their feveral mutations into efcuient herbs; and the blood of fome other animal? There would be no end of enumerating all the extravagancies which this poor human foul has broached concerning it's felf.

We live upon this earth in the fame manner as the man with the iron mafk fpent his days in prif fon, without knowing his original, or the reafori of his being confined, which excited a general cufiofity.

If any man has difoovered a ray of light in this region of darknefs, perhaps it is Mallebranche, notwithfanding the general prejudices againft his fyltem. It does not differ greatly from that of the Stoics; and who knows but thefe two opinions, properly rectified, come neareft the truth? There is, I think, fomething very fublime in that antient notion: "We exift in God; our thought, our "fentiments, are derived from the Supreme Being."
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A mot remarkable circumftance is, that in the laws of God's people, not a word is fail of the foul's spirituality and immortality, nothing in the Decalogue, nothing in Leviticus, nor in Deuteronome.

It is very certain, it is manifeft, that Mores no where propofes to the Jews rewards and punifhmints in another fate; that he never mentions to them the immortality of their fouls; that he never encourages them with the hopes of heaven, nor does he threaten them with hell; his promifes and menaces are all temporal.

Before his death, he tells them in Deuteronomy :
"If, after having children and grand children, " you deal falsely, you hall be cut off from the " land, and be made little among the nations.
"I am a jealous God, punifhing the iniquity " of the fathers to the third and fourth generation.
"Honour thy father and mother, that thy life " may be long.
" You hall never want food.
" If you follow after ftrange gods, you fhall be
" deftroyed-
"If you obey the Lord, you fall have rain in " (spring and autumn; corn, oil, wine, and fodder " for your beafts, that you may eat and be fatisfied. "Put the fe words into your hearts, about your区 hands, between your eyes; write them on your " doors, that your days may be multiplied.
"Do as I order you, without adding or taking " away any thing.
"If a prophet arife among you, foretelling " ftrange things, and his prophecy is true, and " what he fays comes to paris; fhould he fay to " you, Come, let us follow itrange gods, ye fall " immediately kill him ; and all the people fmite "6 him after you.
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" When the Lord fhall have delivered the na${ }^{6}$ tions into your hands, put them all to the fword;
*s without fparing one fingle man ; thou fhalt not
" pity any one.
"Eat no unclean birds, as the eagle, and the " omfrage, and the ofpray, \&zc.
"Eat no creatures which chew the cud and are
" not clowen footed, as the camel, the hare, and
" the cony.
"Whilft you obferve all thofe ordinances you
" fhall be bleffed in your houfes and in your
". fields; the fruits of your body, of your land,
" of your cattle thall be bleffed.
"If you fail to obferve all thefe ordinances and
"c ceremonies, curfed fhall ye be in your houfes " and in your fields.
"Famine and poverty thall come on you; you
"f fhall die, diftrefled by cold, want, and fick-
"s nefs; you fhall have the itch, the fcab; you fhall " have ulcers in your knees, and in your legs.
"The ftrangers fhall lend to you on ufury--." becaule ye have not ferved the Lord.

And ye hall eat the fruit of your bodies, and "the llefh of your fons and of your daughters."

Do not all thefe promifes and threatnings relate intirely to things of time and this world? is there a fingle word in them concerning the foul's immortality, and a future life ?

Several celebrated commentators have thought, that thofe two capital doctrines were very well known to Moles, and in proof of it produce Jacob's words, who apprehending that his fon had been devoured by wild beafts, fays in his grief, I thall go down with my fon to the grave, in infernum, into hell ; that is to fay, as my fon is dead, let me die.

They farther prove it by paffages from Ifaiah
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and Ezekiel ; but the Hebrews, to whom Molesf was fpeaking, knew nothing of thofe two prophets, as not living till fome ages after.

To difpute about Mofes's private fentiments is wafting words to no purpofe. The certain fact is, that in his public laws he had never fo much as once made mention of a life to come, limiting all punifhments and all rewards to the prefent ftate. If he was acquainted with a future life, why did he not exprefly fet forth fuch an important tenet? and if he was a ftranger to it, what was the fcope of his miffion?

This is a queftion advanced by feveral great men : and in anfwer to it they fay, that Mofes's Lord, who is the lord of all men, referved to himfelf the prerogative of explaining to the Jews in his own time, a doctrine which they were not in a condition to underftand, when in the wildernefs.

Had Mofes taught the doctrine of the immortality of the foul, a great fchool among the Jews would not always have oppofed it. Nay, that great fchool, the Sadducees, would not have been allowed of in the ftate, much lefs would they have held the chief employments; and ftill much lefs would high-priefts have been taken from fuch a body.

It appears that the Jews were not divided into three fects, the Pharifees, the Sadducees, and the Effenes, till after the foundation of Alexandria. Jofephus the hiftorian, who was a Pharifee, fays in book XIII. of his antiquities, that the Pharifees believed the metempfichofis. The Sadducees held that the foul perifhed with the body. The opinion of the Effenes was, that fouls were immortal and came down into bodies from the upper regions of the air in an aerial form; that their return thither is by 2 rapid attraction; and, after death, thofe which
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belonged to good perfons have manfions affigned them beyond the ocean, in a country where there is neither heat nor cold, wind nor rain, whitf the fouls of the wicked go to a quite contrary climate: fuch was the theology of the Jews.

He who alone was to fet mankind right came and overthrew thefe three fects ; but without him we never thould have been able to know any thing of the foul: for philorophers never had any determinate idea of it ; and Mofes, the only true legiAlator of the world before our divine teacher; Mofes, who fpoke to God face to face, and who faw only his hinder parts, has left mankind in their natural ignorance of this momentous article: fo that it is but feventeen hundred years fince there has been any certainty of the exiftence and immortality of the foul.

Cicero had only furmifes; his grand-fon and grand-daughter might have learned farther from the firft Galileans who came to Rome.

But before, and fince that time, in all the parts of the earth, where the apoftles had not preached the gofpel, every one might fay to his foul, Who art thou? whence comeft thou? what art thou doing ? whither art thou going? Thou art, i know not what; thou thinkeft and perceiveft ; and wert thou to perceive and think a hundred thoufand millions of years, never wouldft thou, by thine own faculties, without the affiftance of God, know a jot more than thou knoweft now.

Know man, that God has given thee underftanding to guide thy behaviour, and not to penetrate into the effence of the things which he has preated. A PHILOSOPHICA

SUPERSTITION(Y).

$B M$Hatever goes beyond the adoration of one Supreme Being, and a fubmiffion of the heart to his eternal orders, is generally fuperftition; and a moft dangerous fuperftition is the annexing of the pardon of crimes to certain cere= monies.
"Et nigras mactant pecudes et manibus divis ${ }^{6}$ Inferias mittunt.
"O faciles nimium qui triftia crimina cædis "Fluminea tolli poffe putatis aqua."

You imagine that God will forget your having killed a man, only for your wathing yourielf in a river, facrificing a black fheep, and fome words being faid over you. Of courfe then a fecond murder will be forgiven you at the fame eafy rate, and fo a third; and a hundred murders will only coft you a hundred black heep, and a hundred ablutions! Poor mortals! away with fuch con-
(Y) The do\&urine contained in this article may come very well from the mouth of Cicero, Seneca, or Plutarch; but if it intends to fupprefs all external ceremonies of religion, it is not fuitable to a believer of Chriftianity. We are taught that every particular or national church hath authority to decree and appoint ceremonies or religious rites, without being charged with fuperfition. And we believe that Baptifm and the Lord's-Prayer, which conftitute a part of our external wothip, were ordained by Chrift, and confequently a divine infititution. Superftition properly confifts in the practice of fuch ceremonies as are repugnant to reafon, or the word of God.
ceits; the beft way is, commit no murder, and fo fave your black theep.

How fcandalous is it to imagine that a prieft of Ifis and Cybele can reconcile you to the deity, by playing on cymbals and caftanets! And what is this prieft of Cybele, this vagrant gelding, who lives by your weaknefs, that he fhall fet up to be as a mediator between heaven and you? Has he any commifion from God? He takes money from you only for muttering fome ftrange words; and you can think that the Being of Beings ratifies what this hyporrite fays.

Some fuperftitions are innocent; you dance on Diana or Pomona's feftivals, or thofe of any of the fecondary gods in your calendar: be it fo; dancing is pleafant, healthy, and exhilarating; it hurts no body; but do not take it into your head that Pomona and Vertumnus are mightily pleafed at your having frolicked in honour of them; and that fhould you fail to do fo, they would make you fmart for it. The gardener's fpade and hoe are the only Pomona and Vertumnus. Don't be fo weak as to think that your garden will be deftroyed by a tempeft, if you omit dancing the pyrrhic or the cordax.

There is another fuperftition which perhaps is excufable, and even an incentive to virtue; I mean deifying great men who have been fignal benefactors to their own fpecies. To be fure it would be better only to loak on them as venerable perfonages, and efpecially to endeavour to imitate them : therefore revere, without worhipping, a Solon, a Thales, a Pythagoras; but by no means do not pay thy adorations to Hercules for having cleanfed Augeas's ftables, and lying with fifty girls in one pight.

$$
\text { X }_{4} \quad \text { Efpe- }
$$
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Efpecially forbear fetting up a worfhip foe wretches without any other merit than ignorance, enthufiafm, and naftinefs; who made a vow of idlenefs and beggary, and gloried in fuch infamy : fit fubjects indeed for deification after their death; who were never known to do the leaft good when living!

Obferve that the mof fuperfitious times have ever been noted for the greateft enormities.

## TOLERATION.

WHAT is toleration? It is a privilege to which human nature is entitled: we are alt made up of weaknefs and errors; it therefore behoves us mutually to forgive another's follies. This is the very firf law of nature.

Though the Gueber, the Banian, the Jew, the Mahometan, the lettered Chinefe, the Greek, the Roman Catholic, the Quaker, traffic together on the 'Change of Amfterdam, London, Surat, or Baffora; they will never offer to lift up a poniard againft each other, to gain profelytes : wherefore then, fince the firft council of Nice, have we been almoft continually cutting each other's throats?

Conftantine began with iffuing an edict, allowing the exercife of all religions; and fome time after turned perfecutor. Before him, all the fevere treatment of the Chriftians proceeded purely from their beginning to make a party in the ftate. The Romans permitted every kind of worfhip, even of the Jews and Egyptians, both which they fo very much defpifed. How then came Rome to tolerate thefe forms? It was becaufe neither the Egyptians nor the Jews themfelves went about to exterminate the antient religion of the empire; they did not crofs
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crofs feas and lands to make profelytes; the getting of money was all they minded; whereas it is indifputable, that the Chriftians could not be eafy, unless their religion bore the fay. The Jews were difgufted at the ftatue of Jupiter being let up in Jerufalem; but the Chriftians would not fo much as allow it to be in the capitol of Rome. St. Thomas candidly owns, that it was only for want of power that the Chriftians did not dethrone the emperors: they held that all the world ought to embrace their religion; this of courfe made them enemies to all the world, till its happy converfion.

Their controverfial points likewife feet them at enmity one againft another concerning the divinity of Chrift: they who denied it, were anathematized as Ebonites; and there anathematized the wonShippers of Jefus.

If come would have all goods to be in common, as they alledged was the cuftom in the Apoftles time; their adverfaries call them Nicolaitans, and accufe them of the molt horrid crimes. If others fut up for a myftical devotion, they are branded with the appellation of Gnoftics, and oppofed with extreme vehemence and feverity. Marcion, for difputing on the Trinity, got the name of an idolater.

Tertullian, Praxeas, Origen, Novatus, Novatianus, Sabellus, and Donatus, were all perfected by their brethren before Conftantine's time : and no fooner had Constantine eftablifhed the Chriftian religion, than the Athanafians and Eufebians fell foul of one another; and ever fince, down to our own times, the Christian church has been deluged with blood.

The Jewish people were, I own, extremely barbarous and merciless; maffacring all the inhabitans of a little wretched country, to which it had
$3 \times 4$ A PHILOSOPHICAL
no more right $(Z)$ than their vile defcendants have to Paris or London. However, when Naaman is cured of his leprofy by dipping feven times in the river Jordan, and by way of expreffing his gratitude to Elijah, from whom he had the fecret of that eafy cure, be tells him that he will workip the God of the Jews, he yet referves to himfelf the liberty to worthip his fovereign's God likewife; and afks Elifha's leave, which the prophet readily grants ( $A$ ). The Jews workhipped their God, but never were offended at, or fo much as thought it Arange, that every nation had its nwn Deity. They acquiefced in Chamoth's giving a tract of land to the Moabites, provided they would let them quietly enjoy what they held from their God. Jacob made no difficulty of marrying an idolater's daughter; for Laban had another kind of god than he whom Jacob worfhipped. Thefe are inftances of toleration among the moft haughty, moft obftinate, and moft cruel people of all antiquity; and we, overlooking what little indulgence was among them, have have imitated only their fanguinary rancour.

Every individual perfecuting another for not being of his opinion, is a monfter; this is evident beyond alldifpute: but the government! mien in power,
(Z) This is a miftake of M. Voltaire. The Ifraelies treated the Cananites with great feverity by the exprefs command of God, who would have thefe nations extirpated becaufe of their horrid impiety, which foon made them unworthy of the lands they poffeffed, and was the caufe of their being given away to the Ifraelites.
(A) This fory of Naaman is not fairly reprefented. Naaman does not afk Elinha's permiffion to worfhip his mafter's God, but to bow himfelf down along with his mafter, who leaned upon his hand; fo that it was not a religious, but a civil ceremony, in the difcharge of his office. Thus Abraham, Gen. xxiii. 7. bowed himfelf to the people of the land.
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princes! how are they to deal with thofe of a difs ferent worfhip from theirs? If foreigners and powerful, it is certain a prince will not difdain entering into an alliance with them. Francis I. though his moft Chriftian majefty, unites with the Muffulmen againft Charles V. likewife a moft Chriftian monarch. Francis fupplies the German Lutherans with money to fupport their revolt againit the emperor; but, according to cuftom, burns them in his own country: thus, from policy, he pays them in Saxony ; and, from policy, makes bonfires of them at Paris. But what was the confequence? Perfecution ever makes profelytes. France came to fwarm with new Proteftants, who at firft quietly fubmitted to be hanged, and afterwards hung others; civil wars came on ; and St. Bartholomew's day, or the maffacre of Paris, crowned all. Thus this corner of the world became worfe than all that ever the antients or moderns have faid of hell.

Ye fools, never to pay a proper worfhip to the God who made you! wretches, on whom the example of the Noachidæ, the lettered Chinefe, the Perfees, and all wife men have had no influence! monfters, to whom fuperftitions are neceffary as carrion to crows! You have been already told it, and I have nothing elfe to tell you ; whilft you have but two religions among you, they will be ever at daggers. drawing; if you have thirty they will live quietly. Turn your eyes to the grand fignior, he has among his fubjects Guebers, Banians, Greeks, Latins, Chriftians, and Neftorians. Whoever goes about to raife any difturbance is furely impaled; and thus all live in peace and quiernefs.
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## T Y R A N N Y.

BY a tyrant is meant a fovereign who makes his humour the law, who feizes on his fubjects fubftance, and afterwards inlifts them to go and give his neighbours the like treatment. Thefe cyrants are not known in Europe,

Tyranny is diftinguifhed into that of one perfon and of many; a body invading the rights of other bodies, and corrupting the laws that it may exercife a defpotifm apparently legal, is the latter tyranny; but Europe likewife has none of thefe tyrants.

Under which tyranny would you chufe to live? Under none; but had I the option, the cyranny of one perfon appears to me lefs odious and dreadful than that of many. A defpot has always fome intervals of good humour; which is never known in an affembly of defpots. If a cyrant has done me an injury, there is his miftrefs, his confeffor, or his page, by means of whom I may appeafe him, and obtain redrefs; but a fet of fupercilious tyrants is inacceffible to all applications. If they are not unjuft, ftill they are auttere and harf; and no favours are ever known to come from them.
2. Under one defpor, I need only ftand up againft a wall when I fee him coming by, or proftrate my felf, or knock my forehead againft the ground, according to the cuftom of the country; but under a body of perhaps a hundred defpots, I may be obliged to repeat this ceremony a hundred times a day, which is not a little troublefome to thofe who are not very nimble. Another difagreeable circumftance is, if my farm happens to be in the neighbourhood of one of our great lords, it is unknown
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unknown what damages I am obliged to put up with ; and if I have a law-fuit with a relation to a relation of one of their high-mightineffes, it will infallibly go againft me, I am very much afraid that in this world things will come to fuch a pafs, as to have no other oprion than being either hammer or anvil. Happy he! who gets clear of this alternative.

$$
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WHAT is virtue? Doing good to others? How can I give the name of virtue to any one but to him who does me good? I am in want; you relieve me; I am in danger, you come to my affiftance; I have been deceived, you tell me the truth. I am ill ufed, you comfort me; I am ignorant, you inftruct me: I muft fay then you are virtuous. But what will become of the cardinal and theological virtues? Let fome e'en remain in the fchools.
(B) Our author may give fome offence to minute critics in the following article, but upon confideration the reader will find the whole to be a logomachia. By virtue he means charity and beneficence. The cardinal and theological virtues he calls excellent qualities, but does not allow them to be virtues in regard to our neighbour. No body pretends they are; but they are yirtues in regard to ourfelves, and to the Deity; or they are excellent qualities, for we fhall not difpute about the wơd. We do not perceive, neverthelefs, how M. Voltaire can be faid to give indirect encouragement in this article to private vices, for ho acknowledges that gluttony, drunkennefs, \&cc. are blemifhes or defects in a hermit, tho' - not pernicious to fociety, becaufe he does not live in a focial fatat.
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What is your temperance to me? It is no more than an obfervance of a rule of health; you will be the better for it; and much good may it do you. If you have faith and hope, better ftill; they will procure you eternal life. Your theological virtues are heavenly gifts, and thofe you call cardinal are excellent qualities for your guidance in life; but, relatively to your neighbour, they are no virtues. The prudent man does good to himfelf; the virtuous to men in general. Very well was it faid by St. Paul, that charity is better than faith and hope.

But how! are no virtues to be admitted bue thofe by which others are benefited? No indeed. We live in a fociety; confequently there is nothing truly good to us, but what is for the good of fuch fociety. If a hermit is fober and devout, and among other mortifications wears a fackcloth fhirt; fuch a one I fet down as a faint; but before I fhall ftyle him virtuous, let him do fome act of virtue which will promote the well being of his fellow creatures. Whilft he lives by himfelf, to us he is neither good nor bad; he is nothing. If St. Bruno reconciled families, and relieved the indigent, he was virtuous; if he prayed and fafted in the defert, he was a faint. Among men virtue is a mutual exchange of kindneffes, and whoever declines fuch exchanges, ought not to be reckoned a member of fociety. Were that faint to live in the world, probably he would do good in it; but whilft he keeps out of it, the world will only do his faintfhip juftice, in not allowing him to be virtuous. He may be good to himfelf, but not to us.

But, fay you, if a hermit be given to drunkennefs, fenfuality, and private debauchery, he is a vicious man; confequently with the oppofite qualities, he is virtuous. That is what I cannot come into: if he has thofe faults he is a very filthy man;
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but, with regard to fociety, as it is not hurt by his infamies, he is not vicious, wicked, or deferving of punifhment. It is to be prefumed, that were he to return into fociety, he would do much harm, and prove a very bad man. Of this there is a greater probability, than that the temperate and chafte hermic will be a good man; for in public life, faules increafe, and good qualities diminifh.

A much ftronger objection is, that Nero, pope Alexander VI. and other fuch monfters did fome good things. I take upon me to anfwer, that when they did, they were virtuous.'

Some divines, fo far from allowing that excellene emperor Antoninus to have been a good man, reprefent him as a conceited Stoic, who, befides ruling over men, coveted theit efteem; that in all the good he did to mankind, his own reputation was the end; that bis juftice, application, and benevolence, proceeded purely from vanity; and that his virtues were a downright impofition on the world. At this, I cannot forbear crying out, O ! my God, be pleafed in thy goodnefs, often to give us fuch hypocrites.

## W A R.

$\frac{1}{4}$Amine, the plague, and war, are the three moft famous ingredients in this lower world. Under famine may be claffed all the noxious foods, which want obliges us to have recourfe to; thus fhortening our life, whilft we hope to fuppors it.

In the plague are included all contagious diftempers; and thefe are not lefs than two or chree thoufand. Thele two gifts we hold from providence; but war, in which all thofe gifts are concentered,
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ennered, we owe to the fancy of three or fout hundred perfons fcattered over the furface of this globe, under the name of princes and minifters ; and on this account it may be, that in feveral dedications, they are called the living images of the Deity.

The moft hardened flatterer will allow, that wat is ever attended with plague and famine, efpecially if he has feen the military-hofpitals in Germany; or paffed through any villages where fome notable feat of arms has been performed.

It is unqueftionably a very noble art to ravage countries, deftroy dwellings, and communibus annis; out of a hundred thoufand men to cut off forty thoufand. This invention was originally cultivated by nations, affembled for their common good; for inftance, the diet of the Greeks fent word to the diet of Phrygia and its neighbours, that they were putting to fea in a thoufand fifhing-boats, in order to do their beft to cut them off root and branch.

The Roman people, in a general affembly, refolved that it was their intereft to go and fight the Vejentes or the Volfcians before harveft; and fome years after, all the Romans being angry with all the Carthaginians, fought a long time both by fea and land. It is otherwife in our time.

A genealogift fets forth to a prince that he is defcended in a direct line from a count, whole kindred, three or four hundred years ago, had made a family-compact with a houfe, the very memory of which is extinguifhed. That houfe had fome diftant claim to a province, the laft proprietor of which died of an apoplexy. The prince and his council inftantly refolve, that this province belongs to him by divine right. The province, which is fome hundred leagues from him, protefts that it is does not fo much as know him; that it is not diff
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pofed to be governed by him ; that before prefcribing laws to them, their confent, at leaft, was neceffary: thefe allegations do not fo much as reach the prince's ears ; it is infifted on that his right is inconteftable. He inftantly picks up a multitude of men, who have nothing to do, nor nothing to lofe; cloachs them with coarfe blue cloth, one fou to the ell; puts them on hats bound with coarfe white worlted; makes them turn to the right and left; and thus marches away with them to glory:

Other princes, on this armamen:, take part in Yク 357 it to the beft of their ability, and foon cover a fmall extent of country, with more hireling murderers than Gengis-Kan, Tamerlane, and Bajazet had at their heels.

People, at no fmall diftarice, on hearing that fighting is going forward, and that if they would make one, there are five or fix fous a day for them, immediately divide into two bands, like reapers; and go and fell their fervices to the firft bidder. Thefe multitudes furiounly butcher one anothers not only without having any concern in the quarrel, but without fo much as knowing what it is about.

Sometimes five or fix powers are engaged, three againft three, two againft four, fometimes even one againft five, all equally detefting one another s and friends and foes, by turns, agreeing only in one thing, to do all the mifchief poffible.

An odd circumftance in this infernal enterprize is, that every chief of thefe ruffians has his colours confecrated; and folemnly prays to God before he goes to deftroy his neighbour. If the flain in a battle do not exceed two or three thoufand, the fortunate commander does not think it worth thanking God for; but if, befides killing ten or twelve thoufand men, he has been fo far favoured by hea-
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ven, as totally to deftroy fome remarkable place, then a verbofe hymn is fung in four parts, compofed in a language unknown to all the combatants, and befides ituffed with barbarifms. The fame fong does for marriages and births, as for maffacres; which is fcarce pardonable, efpecially in a nation of all others the moft noted for new fongs.

All countries pay a certain number of orators to celebrate theie fanguinary actions; fome in a long black coat, and over it a fhort docked cloak; otkers in a gown, with a kind of frirt over it; fome again over their thirts have two pieces of a motleycoloured ftuff hanging down. They are all very long-winded in their harangues, and to illuftrate a battle fought in Weteravia, bring up what paffed thoufands of years ago in Paleftine.

At other times thefe gentry declaim againft vice ; they prove by fyllogitms and antithefes, that ladies, for flightly heightening the hue of their cheeks with a little carmine, will affuredly be the eternal objects of eternal vengeance ; that Polyeucte and Athalia (C) are the devil's works; that he, whofe table on a day of abftinence, is loaded with fifh to the amount of two hundred crowns, is infallibly faved; and that a poor man, for eating two penny-worth of mutton, goes to the devil for ever and ever.

Among five or fix thoufand fuch declamations, there may be, and that is the moft, three or four, written by a Gaul named Maffillon, which a gentleman may bear to read; but in not one of all thofe difcourfes has the orator the fpirit to animadvert on war, that fcourge and crime which includes
(C) Two French Tragedies.
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all others. Thefe groveling feakers are continually prating againft love, mankind's only folace, and the only way of repairing it: not a word do they fay of the deteftable endeavours of the mighty for its deftruction.

Bourdaloue, a very bad fermon have you made againlt impurity, but not one either bad or good on thole various kinds of murders, on thofe robberies, on thofe violences, that univerfal rage, by which the world is laid wafte! Put together all the vices of all ages and places, and never will they come up to the mifchiefs and enormities of only one campaign.

Ye bungling foul-phyficians, to bellow for an hour and more againft a few flea-bites, and not fay a word about that horrid diftemper, which tears us to pieces. Burn your books, ye moralizing philofophers! Whilft the humour of a few fhall make it an act of loyalty to butcher thoufands of our fel-low-creatures, the part of mankind dedicated to heroifm will berthe moft execrable and deftructive monfters in all nature. Of what avail is humanity, benevolence, modefty, temperance, mildnefs, difcretion, and piety; when half a pound of lead difcharged at the diftance of fix hundred paces fhatters my body; when I expire at the age of twenty under pains unfpeakable, and amidft thoufands in the fame miferable condition; when my eyes at their laft opening fee my native town all in a blaze; and the lait founds I hear are the fhrieks and groans of women and children expiring among the ruins, and all for the pretended intereft of a mant who is a ftranger to us!

The worft is, that war appears to be an unavoidable fcourge; for if we obterve it, the god Mars was worfhipped in all nations; and among the Jews, Sabaoth fignifies the god of armies: but in
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Homer, Minerva calls Mars a furious hare-brained infernal de:ty.

## WHATEVER IS IS RIGHT.

wHAT a clamour was raifed in the fchools, and even among fober thinkers, when Leibnitz, paraphrafing on Plato, built his ftructure of the beft of poffible worlds, affirming that all things went in the beft manner, and that God could make but one world. Now, Plato had allowed that God could make five, there being five regular folid bodies; the tetraedron or three-faced pyramid, with the bafe equal, the cube, the exaedron, the dodecaedron, and licoaedron. But our world is not of the form of any of Plato's bodies, fo that he fhould have allowed God a fixth manner.

So much for the divine Plato. Leibnitz, who certainly was his fuperior both in metaphyfics and geomerry, in the tendernefs of philanthropy fhewed mankind, that we ought to be very well fatisfied, and that God had done all he could for us; that be had neceffarily, among all poffibilites, made choice of what was indifputably the beft.

What becomes of original fin? was the cry of many. Let what will come of it, faid Leibnitz and his friends; but in his public writings he makes original fin neceffarily a part of the beft woild.

How! our firft parents to be driven out of a delightful abode, where they were to have lived for ever, had they not eaten an apple! How! in wretchednefs to beget children loaded with a variety of wretchednefs, and making others as wretched as themftlves! How! to undergo fuch difeafes; to feel fuch vexations; to expire in pain; and by
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way of refrefhment to be burned through all the ages of eternity; was this the belt portion? That is not over good for us; and in what can it be good for God ?

Leibnitz was fenfible this admitted of no anfiwer; accordingly he falls to making of large books unintelligible to his very felf.

To deny that there is any evil, may be faid as a banter by a Lucullus full of health, and feafting in his faloon with his miftrefs and jocund cronies; but only let him look out at the window, and he will fee fome unhappy people; and a fever will make the great man himfelf fo.

I am not fond of quoting; it is ufually a critical tafk; it is neglecting both what precedes and follows the paffage quoted, and bringing on one's felf complaints and quarrels: yet I mult quote Lactantius, a father of the church, who, in his thirteenth chapter on the Divine Anger, puts the following words info Epicurus's mouth; "EitherGod " would remove evil out of this world, and cannot; " or he can or will not; or he has neither the "power nor will; or laftly, he has both the power " and will. If he has the will and not the power, "this hews weaknefs, which is contrary to the "s nature of God; if he has the power, and not "the will, it is malignity; and this is no lefs con"t trary to his nature. If he is neither able nor "6 willing, it is both weaknefs and malignity; if "s he be both willing and able (which alone is " confonant to the nature of God) how came it "that there is evil in the world?"

This is a home argument; and accordingly Lactantius gives but a forry anfwer to it, in faying that God wills evil, but that he has given us witdom for acquiring good. This anfwer mult be allowed to fall very fhort of the objection; as fup-
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poling that God, without producing evil, could not have given us wifdom; if f , our wifdom is a dear bargain.

The origin of evil (D) has ever been an abyfs, the bottom of which lies beyond the reach of human eye ; and many philofophers and legiflators, in their perplexity, had recourfe to two principles, one good and the other evil ; Tiphon was the evil principle among the Egyptians, and A rimane among the Perfians. This divinity is' well known to have been efpouled by the Manichees; but thefe wife foliss, having never converfed with either the good

- (D) How difficult foever this great queftion of the caufe and original of evil may appear to our author, it has been admirably well folved by the learned Dr. Clarke, in the inference he draws from the proofs of the poffibility and real exiftence of liberty. For liberty implying a natural power of doing evil as well as good, and the imperfect nature of finite beings making it poffible for them to abufe their liberty to an actual commiflion of evil, and it being neceffary to the order and beauty of the whole, there fhould be different degrees of creatures, fome lefs perfect than others; hence there neceflarily arifeth a poffibility of evil, though the Creator is infinitely good. Evil is either natural or moral. Moral evil arifes wholly from the abufe of liberty, which God gave to his creatures for other purpofes, and which it was reafonable and fit to give them for the perfection and order of the whole creation: but they, contrary to the divine intention and command, have abufed what was neceflary for the perfecion of the whole, to the corruption and depravation of themfelyes. Natural evil is either counterpoifed in the whole, with as great or greater good; fuch are the afflictions and fufferings of good men, and then it is not properly an evil: or it is a punifhment, and then it is a necefiary confequence of moral evil. As for death, it is not a natural evil, though generally counted fuch; fince it is only the want of immortality, a perfection which does not belong to our nature, and fuch a want is not properly an cril. See Dr. Clarke oa the Being and Attributes of God.
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or the evil principle, I think they are not to be believed on their bare word.

Amid!t the abfurdities which fwarm in the world, and may be claffed among its evils, it is no light error to have fuppofed two Almighty Beings ftruggling which thould bear the greater fway in the world, and making an agreement together, like Moliere's two phyficians, Allow me the puke, and I will allow you the bleeding.

Bafilides, from the Platonics, affirmed, fo early as the firtt century of the church, that God gave our world to be made by his loweft angels; and that by their aukwardnefs and ignorance things are as they are. This theological fable falls to pieces before the terrible objection, that it is not in the nature of an infinitely wife and powerful God to caufe a world to be conftructed by ignorant architects, who know not how to conduct fuch a tafk.

Simon, aware of this objection, obviates it by faying, that the angel who acted as furveyor is damned for his bungling; but this bungling of the angel does not mend our cale.

Neither does the Grecian ftory of Pandora folve the objection any better. The box with all evils in it, and hope remaining at the bottom, is indeed a charming allegory; but this Pandora Vulcan made purely to be revenged of Prometheus, who had formed a man of mud.

The Indians are not a whit nearer the mark: God on creating man gave him a drug, by which he was to njoy perpetual health; the man put his drug on his afs; the afs being thirfty, the ferpent fhewed it the way to a fpring, and whilft the afs was drinking, the ferpent made off with the drug.

The Syrians had a conceit, that the man and the woman having been created in the fourth heaven, they took a fancy to eat a bit of cake inftead of
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ambrofia, their na ural regale. Ambrofia perfpired through the pores; but after eating the cake they had a motion to go to fool, and afked an angel the way to the privy. Do you fee, laid the angel, yon little planet, farce vifible, about fixty milliohs of leagues off? that is the privy of the univerle; make the beft of your way thither. They marched, and there they were left to continue; and ever fince this our world has been what it is.

But the Syrians are gravelled when afked, why God permitted man to eat ${ }^{*}$ of the cake, and why it Should be productive of foch dreadful evils to us ?

To fhorten my journey, I hoot away from the fourth heaven to lord Bolingbroke. This perfonage, who it mull be allowed had a great genius, gave the famous Pope his. plan of whatever is is RICHT, which accordingly occurs word for word in lord Bolingbroke's pofthumous works; and the fame fentiment occurs before in lord Shaftefbury's Characterifics, In his treatife entitled the Moralif, are the fe words:
"Much is alledged in anfwer, to flew why co nature errs, and how fie came thus impotent $\because$ and erring from an unerring hand. But I deny \&. The errs - Wis, on the contrary, from this oris der of inferior and fuperior things, that we adce mire the, world's beauty, founded thus on con" trarieties; whilst from fuch various and difagreeis ing principles, an univerfal concord is eftablifhed,
"Thus in the feveral orders of terreftrial forms, "t a refignation is required, a facrifice and yielding 6. of natures one to another. The vegetables by os their death fuftain the animals; and animal " bodies diffolved, enrich the earth, and raife again \% the vegetable world. Numerous infects are ress duce again by the fuperior kinds of birds and *6 beats; and thee again are checked by man ${ }_{2}$
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${ }^{66}$ who in his turn fubmits to other natures, and
${ }^{6}$ refigns his form a facrifice in common to the reft
" of things. And if in natures fo little exalted,
" and pre-eminent above each other, the facrifice
" of intereft can appear fo juft; how much more " reafonably may all inferior natures be fubjected "s to the fuperior nature of the world! - The " central powers, which hold the lafting orbs in " their juft poife and movement, muft not be
6s controuled to fave a fleeting form, and refcue
© from the precipice a puny animal, whofe brittle
" frame, howe'er protected, muft of itfelf fo foon
"s diffolve...The ambient air, the inward vapours,
"s the impending meteors, or whatever elfe is nu-
" trimental or prefervative of this earth, muft ope-
"s rate in a natural courfe; and other conftitutions
" muft fubmit to the good habit and conftitution "t of the all-fuftaining globe."

Bolingbroke, Shaftefbury, and Pope their artift; are not more fatisfactory than the others; their WHATEVER is is RIGHT, imports no more, than that all is directed by immutable laws; and who knows not that? You tell us nothing in obferving with every little child, that flies are born to be devoured by fpiders; fpiders by fwallows; fwallows by magpies; magpies by eagles; and eagles to be fhot at by men, and men to kill one another, and to be eaten by worms; and afterwards by devils, at leaft a thoufand to one.

Thus we fee a clear and ftated order throughout every fpecies of creatures: in fhort, there is order in all things. The formation of a ftone in my bladder is a wonderful mechanifm : ftony particles infenfibly get into my blood; are filtrated in my Kidnies; pafs through the urethra; fettle in my bladder; and there, by an admirable Newtonian attraction, concrete. The ftone forms and grows bigger,
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 A PHILOSOPHICAL bigger, and by the fineft difpofitions in the world, I undergo tortures worfe than death: a furgeon, having improved Tubal Cain's invention, comes and ftabs a fharp and edged fteel inftrument into my _, lays hold of my ftone with his forceps; but by a neceffary mechanifm it breaks as he is trying to excract it, and by the fame mechanifm I expire as on the rack. As what ever is is right, all this muft be likewife right; it is evidently a confequence of the unalterable phyfical principles granted; and I know it as well as yourfelf.Had we no feeling, no objection would lye againit fuch a fyftem: but that is not the point; what we afk is, whether there are no fenfible evils, and whence they are originated? Pope, in his fourth epiftle on whatever is is Right, fays, "There " is no evil, or all partial evil is univerfal good."

An odd general good, truly; compofed of the gout, the ftone, pains, afflictions, crimes, fufferings, death, and damnation!

The fall of man is the plaifter we lay on all thefe partial difeafes of foul and body, which you term general health; but with Shaftesbury and Bolingbroke, original fin is a mere jeft, and Pope is filent about it; their fyftem manifeftly undermines Chriftianity, and explains nothing at all.

This fyftem, however, has lately been countenanced by feveral divines, who make no difficulty of contrarietics: well, let no body be grudged the comfort of reafoning in his way on the deluge of evil, with which the world is overwhelmed; incurable patients fhould be allowed to gratify their appetites in eating what they like; fome have even cried up this fyftem as confolatory.

A frange comfort I own! And do not you find great relief in Shaftesbury's prefcription, who fays, that God will not change his eternal laws for fo paltry
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paltry a creature as man? It muft however be owned, that this paltry animal has a right humbly to lament, and, amidit his lamentations, to endeavour at comprehending why thofe eternal laws are not adapted to the well-being of every individual.

This fyftem of whatever is is Right, reprefents the Author of nature merely as a powerful cruel king, who, if he does but compafs his des figns, is very eafy about the death, diftreffes, and afflictions of his lubjects.

So very far, then, is the opinion of the beft world poffible from being confolatory, that it puzzles thofe philofophers who embrace it. The queftion of good and evil remains an inexplicable chaos to candid enquirers; cavillers may trifle with it; they are galley-flaves playing with their chains. As to the thoughtlefs commonalty, they are not unlike fifhes taken out of a river and put into a refervoir, little thinking they are to undergo a fecond removal in Lent; fo we of ourfelves are totally ignorant of the caufes of our deftiny.

At the end of almoft every chapter of metaphyfics, we fhould put the two letters ufed by the Roman judges when a caufe was obfcure, N. L. non liquet, 1 don't underftand it.

WICKED, WICKEDNESS (E).

TVE are perpetually told that human nature is effentially perverfe, that man is born a child of the devil. Now nothing can be more imprudent,

[^18]
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 prudent; for, my friend, in preaching to me that all the world is born in wickednefs, thou informeft me that thou art born fo, and that behoves me to beware of thee, as I would of a fox or crocodile. O! not at all, fayeft thou, I am regenerated, I am no unbeliever or heretic, I may be trufted: fo then, the remainder of mankind being either heretics, or what thou calleft infidels, will be a mere herd of monflers; and whenever thou art fpeaking to a Lucheran or a Turk, thou Thouldeft conclude that they are for robbing and murdering thee, for they are the devil's fpawn; one is not regenerated, and the other is degenerated. Much more rational and much more handfome would it be to fay to men, "You are all born good; confider how dreadful it "would be to defile the purity of your being." Mankind fhould be dealt with as individuals. If a prebendary leads a fcandalous life, a friend fays to him, Is it poffible that you can thus difgrace the dignity of a prebendary? A counfellor or judge is reminded that he has the honour of being counfellor to the king; and that it is his duty to be an example of virtue. The encouragement to a foldier is, Remember you belong to the regiment of Champagne; and every individual fhould be told, Remember your dignity as a man.Say or do what you will, this muft at length be the cale: for what can mean this faying, fo
gives of it in his Univerfal Hiftory. As he would infinuate, however, that human nature is exempt from original fin, he is guilty of a very great error ; for it is an effential dogma of Chriftianity, evidently laid down in fcripture, that we have 'all finned in Adam, "as by one man fin entered into the " world, and death by fin; fo death paffed upon all men, "for that all have finned." From this, and ir confequence of original fin, it may be faid, that man is of his own nature inclined to evil.
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common among all nations, Reflect within thyfelf. Now, were you born a child of the devil; were your origin criminal; were your blood formed of an infernal liquor ; to bid you reflect within yourfelf would import, Confult your diabolical nature, and follow its fuggeftions; cheat, rob, murder, it is your father's law.

Man is not born wicked; he becomes fo, as he falls fick. Should fome phyficians come and tell him you are born fick, it is certain that thefe phyficians, whatever they might fay or do, will not cure him if his difeafe be inherent in his nature; and thefe realoners are themfelves very fick.

Bring together all the children of the univerfe, you will fee nothing in them but innocence, gentlenefs, and fear; were they born wicked, fpightful, and cruel, fome figns of it would come from them, as little fnakes ftrive to bite, and little tygers to tear. But nature having been as fparing of offenfive weapons to man as to pigeons and rabbits, it cannot have given them an inftinct to mifchief and deftruction.

So man is not born wicked: how comes it then that fo many are infected with the peftilence of wickednets? It is becaufe they who bear rule over them, having caught the diftemper, communicate it to others; as a woman, having the diftemper which Carillopher Columbus brought from America, has fpread the venom all over Europe. By the firft ambitious man was the world corrupted.

You will fay that this firft monfter only fecundated that germ of pride, rapine, fraud, and cruelty, which is in all men. I own, that in general, the greater part of our brethren eafily contract thefe qualities: but has every body the puttid fever, the ftone, and gravel, becaule every body is liable to thole diftempers?
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There are whole nations which are not wicked; the Philadelphians, the Banyans have never fhed human blood. The Chinefe, the people of Tonquin, Lao, Siam, and even of Japan, have lived in the moft profound tranquility for thefe hundred years paft. In the fpace of ten years fcarce any of thofe enormities at which human nature ftands aftonifhed, is heard of in the cities of Rome, Venice, Paris, London, and Amfterdam ; cities, where yet cupidity, the mother of all crimes, is flagrant.

If men were effentially wicked, and all born under the fway of a being as malignant as wretched, who, in revenge for his punifhment, infired them with all his rage, we fhould every morning hear of hufbands being murdered by their wives, and fathers by their children, juft as fowls are found killed by a polecat, who carre in the night and fucked their biood.
If we fuppofe there are ten hundred millions of men upon the earth, it is a great many; and this makes about five hundred millions of women, who few and fpin, feed cheir little ones, keep the houfe or hut clean, and backbite their neighbours a little. 1 do not fee any great harm thefe poor fimpletons do on earth. Of this number of inhabitants on the globe, there are at leaft two hundred millions of children, who certainly neither kill nor plunder, and about as many who, through age and ficknefs, are not capable of thofe crimes. I hus there remains, at moft, but a hundred millions whom youth and vigor qualify for the commifion of crimes. Of thefe hundred millions we may fay, that ninety are continually taken up with prodigious labour, inforcing the earth to furnifh them with food and raiment: now thefe have fcarce time to perpetrate
outrages.

In the remaining ten millions will be included idlers and jocund companions, who love peace and feltivity; the men of talents, who are taken up with their feveral profeffions; magiftrates and priefts, whom it manifefty behoves to lead an irreproachable life, at leaft in appearance. So that the real wicked men are reduced to fome few politicians, either fecular or regular, who will always be for difturbing the world; and fome thoufands of vagrants, who hire their fervices to thofe politicians. Now never is a million of thefe wild beafts employed at once, and among thefe I reckon highwaymen; fo that at moft, and in the moft tempeftuous times, there is but one man of a thoufand who may be called wicked, and he is not fo always.

Thus is wickednefs on earth infinitely lefs than is talked of and believed. To be fure, there is ftill too much misfortune, diftrefs, and horrible crimes; but the pleafure of complaining and magnifying is fuch, that at the leaft fcratch you cry out : the earth is deluged with blood. If you have been cheated, then the world is full of perjury. An atrabilarious mind, on having been wronged, fees the univerfe covered with damned fouls; as a young rake, feated at fupper with his doxy after the opera, does not dream that there are any diftreffed objects.
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[^0]:    * See Critical Review, December 1764.

[^1]:    - (C) One would imagine our author had never heard of fuch a memorable ara as "The Call of Abraham," when this holy man was made choice of to be the ftock and father of all-believers.

[^2]:    * Commentarium Rerum Gallicarum. L. XXVIII.

[^3]:    * This is the Pythagorean fyytem, "Quod Deus fit anima mundi." See Ruæus on Virg. Æn. lib. vi. ver. 726 .

[^4]:    - . * This is only difputing about words ; a place of future rewards, which the Chinefe philofopher feems to allow, is Heawen, wherever it be.

[^5]:    < * This opinion of the Chinefe is the Pythagorean dogma of the "Anima Mundi," which has been fully refuted by Cudworth, Dr, Clarke, and feveral other learned divines. ideas,

[^6]:    * Our author has omitted the natural proofs of the immortality of the foul, which the reader may fee in Dr. Clarke's Evidences of Natural and Revealed Religion, p. 265. See alfo our remarks on the word SOUL.

[^7]:    (I) Jofephus's filtence is very well accounted for by the bifhop of Cloyne, in, his Minute Philosopher, p. 313.

[^8]:    (M) Our tramilation has it : "I have rolled away the re"proach of Egypt from off you." Jofh y, 9 .

[^9]:    (Q) The phyfical world is fubject to invariable laws; man, therefore, as a phyfical being, is, like other bodies, governed by thofe invariable laws: but as an intelligent being, his nature requires him to be a free agent. Our author has taken his notions on this article, and on that of Liberty, from Mr. Locke, who denies that there is fuch a power in man as a Liberty of Will; which you may fee refuted by the ingenious Dr. Clarke, on the Being and Attributes, p. 86.

[^10]:    (U) M. Voltaire tranflates the above paffage thus: "Whofe " member is like that of an afs, and who caft their feed like "horfes." Whether that be more agreable to the original than ours, is not of great confequence; the idea is the fame.

[^11]:    * A lis fignifies 124 paces.

[^12]:    (D) Our tranflation is, "None devoted, which fhall be dewoted of men, fhall be redeemed, but fhall furely be put ta death."

[^13]:    - (E) Here our author has followed Mr. Locke, who fays, " that liberty belongs not to the will; and that it is as in" fignificant to afk, whether a man's will be free, as to afk, "s whether his fleep be fwift, or his virtue fquare. For li" berty being but a power belongs only to agents, and can" not be an attribute of the will, which is alro but a power." See this notion refuted by Dr. Clarke in his Demonftration of the Being and Attributes of a God.

[^14]:    (K) Gregory the Great.

[^15]:    (L) Ofpinian, p. 230,

[^16]:    * A talent of gold is generally eftimated about 50751 , fterlingo

[^17]:    (W) The Geneva and Dutch tranflations fay, Curtains: Beza has it, Similis fum inhabitantibus aulea Schelomonis. The author feems difingenuous in moft of his quotations.

[^18]:    (E) Our author talks very favourably of humanity under this article, which is inconfiftent with the horrid picture he

